



1	Conquering Soil Acidification: The Synergistic Effects of
2	Basalt Powder, Lime, and PAM
3	Qianmei. Zhang ^a , Xiuhong. Peng ^{a,b*} , Hongxia. Zhu ^{c*}
4	^a College of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu
5	610059, China
6	^b Applied Nuclear Technology in Geosciences Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province (Chengdu
7	University of Technology), Chengdu 610059, China
8	°State Key Laboratory of Geohazard Prevention and Geo-environment Protection (Chengdu
9	University of Technology), Chengdu 610059, China.
10	*Corresponding author:
11	Dr. Xiuhong, Peng
12	College of Earth and Planetary Sciences,
13	Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, China.
14	E-mail: <u>pengxh@cdut.edu.cn</u>
15	*Corresponding author:
16	Dr. Hongxia, Zhu
17	State Key Laboratory of Geohazard Prevention and Geo-environment Protection (Chengdu
18	University of Technology), Chengdu 610059, China.
19	E-mail: 670553294@qq.com
20	First author:
21	Dr. Qianmei, Zhang
22	College of Earth and Planetary Sciences,
23	Chengdu University of Technology, Chengdu 610059, China.
24	E-mail: 3294236310@qq.com





25 Abstract

26	Soil acidification poses a substantial threat to agricultural productivity by releasing
27	salt ions, diminishing soil fertility, and increasing susceptibility to aluminum toxicity.
28	The aim of the study was to investigate the potential improvement of acid yellow soil
29	through the combined application of basalt powder, lime, and polyacrylamide (PAM).
30	Herein, 0.1 g mixed basalt powder and CaO with various proportion were added to 10
31	g acidic yellow soil with an initial pH of 4.16 to explore the efficient of mixed soil
32	amendments. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis of basalt powder revealed its
33	effectiveness in supplementing soil mineral nutrients. The optimal results of reduced
34	acidification and ion leaching of soil were obtained when the addition proportion of
35	basalt powder to lime was $8/2$ and addition ratio of PAM was 0.0002%. The addition
36	of mixed amendments markedly increased the pH (by up to >2.0 units) and acid-damage
37	capacity (20.3mmol/kg) of soil, meanwhile decreased the leaching of $K^+(58.1\%)$,
38	Na ⁺ (42.9%), Mg ²⁺ (26.3%), and Al ³⁺ (below the detectable limit) as shown by the
39	optimal tests. The basalt powder undergoes decomposition in the soil solution, resulting
40	in the formation of some weak acids (i.e., H_2SiO_4), the release of OH^- , and an increase
41	in soil pH. The study reveals the underlying mechanisms of soil remediation with mixed
42	amendment, which has potential guidance for the application of mixed soil amendment
43	and the environment risks prediction of contaminated soil.

44 Keywords: soil acidification; mixed amendment; pH; acid-damage capacity; salt ions





45 **1. Introduction**

46 Soil degradation poses a significant menace to soil health and adverse effects on 47 human productivity, thereby garnering global attention. The various forms of soil 48 degradation encompass soil acidification, soil erosion, soil pollution, fertility depletion, 49 and so on (Kopittke et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2022; Nguyen, 2023; Xu, 2015) . Soil 50 acidification, caused by the increase of H^+ or Al^{3+} concentration, poses a threat to the 51 survival of plants and microorganisms in soil. Meanwhile, the acidification of soil 52 results in an elevation of H⁺ and Al³⁺, which compete with Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Na⁺, and 53 other salt nutrient ions for exchange sites. Consequently, a significant quantity of salt 54 ions are leached, further intensifying the acidification and diminishing soil fertility 55 (Alekseeva et al., 2011; Holland et al., 2018; Huang et al., 2017; Swoboda et al., 2022). 56 Furthermore, in acidic environments, the enhanced solubility of aluminum increased 57 aluminum uptake subsequently in plants and hindered the absorption of iron, 58 magnesium, and calcium, resulting in a deficiency of these essential nutrients and 59 ultimately leading to plant toxicity (Alekseeva et al., 2011; Goulding, 2016; Joris et al., 60 2013; Nguyen, 2023; Palansooriya et al., 2020; Rahman et al., 2018). Simultaneously, 61 the heavy metal ions present in soil become activated under acidic conditions, thereby 62 potentially leading to heavy metal pollution. Meanwhile, acidified soils exhibit 63 diminished organic matter content and compromised physical structure, thereby 64 predisposing them to soil slumping (Xu, 2015).

The addition of soil amendments is a crucial method for enhancing the physical and chemical characteristics as well as the fertility of acidic soil. Currently, acid soil amendments are categorized into three types: single amendments, composite amendments, and mixed amendments (Liu et al., 2022). Single modifiers mainly include lime modifiers (e.g., quicklime, limestone, and slaked lime), minerals (e.g.,





⁷⁰ basalt powder and hydroxyapatite), industrial by-products (e.g., phosphogypsum, fly
⁷¹ ash, and alkali residue), and organic modifiers (e.g., biochar and polyacrylamide
⁷² (PAM)) (Aquino et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2020).

73 The utilization of lime to ameliorate soil acidity in agricultural areas is a long-74 standing agricultural practice and has been subject to thorough investigation (Haque et 75 al., 2020; Mosharrof et al., 2021; Swoboda et al., 2022). Lime possesses the ability to 76 counteract both active and latent soil acids, precipitate hydroxides, mitigate aluminum 77 toxicity, promptly and efficiently diminish the acidity of acidic soils. Furthermore, 78 addition of lime enhances the exchangeable calcium content of the soil and augments 79 the efficacy of soil nutrients (Goulding, 2016; Holland et al., 2018; Joris et al., 2013; 80 Nguyen, 2023). Simultaneously, the transformation of heavy metals into biologically 81 unattainable forms occurs with the addition of lime, thus mitigating the potential for 82 environmental toxicity (Alekseeva et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the extensive and 83 prolonged utilization of lime can potentially give rise to issues such as soil caking, 84 nutrient imbalance, and subsequent pH rebound effects (Ding et al., 2023; Fageria and 85 Baligar, 2008).

86 In addition to the lime, the amendment of ground silicate rock powders (SRPs) 87 could improve soil properties effectively. However, there is little research on the 88 utilization of SRPs in the form of silicate, despite their multifaceted role in replenishing 89 soil nutrients, enhancing soil pH, alleviating aluminum toxicity, and ultimately 90 improving crop yields. The limited application was caused by the slow and inconsistent 91 weathering rate of SRPs (Anda et al., 2015; Gillman et al., 2002; Haynes, 2014; Kleiv 92 and Thornhill, 2007; Nunes et al., 2014; Panhwar et al., 2014). Numerous scholars have 93 conducted research on the dissolution kinetics of silicate rock powders, demonstrating 94 that while they cannot fully replace lime-based amendments in terms of soil acid





95 neutralization, they do present notable benefits in terms of environmental sustainability 96 and nutrient enrichment (Celimar Dalmora et al., 2020; Heřmanská et al., 2023; 97 Swoboda et al., 2022). Hence, further investigation of the mixed modifier and 98 weathering mechanism is warranted. Moreover, driven by the principles of 99 environmental sustainability and resource efficiency, the organic polymer (such as 100 PAM) is increasingly being utilized for soil enhancement. Previous studies indicated 101 that PAM primarily regulated soil through the augmentation of soil aggregates, the 102 enhancement of overall soil porosity, and the prevention of soil compaction (Sang et 103 al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023). Additionally, its adsorption capabilities contributed to 104 the improved retention of nutrients and water in the soil, mitigation of soil erosion and 105 wind erosion control (Xuan et al., 2023). Moreover, the utilization of PAM has been 106 found to enhance agricultural productivity by augmenting crop yield and enhancing 107 crop quality (Mulualem et al., 2021).

108 The research on mixed modifiers is still in its early stages and often involves 109 intricate preparation procedures. This thesis employed basalt as the primary material, 110 supplemented with a specific proportion of CaO, for the remediation of acidic soil. 111 Additionally, a certain quantity of PAM was introduced to examine the impact and 112 underlying remediation mechanisms of combined application of these three 113 amendments on acidification control and salt ions leaching in acidic soils. It is worth 114 noting that the three materials under investigation are readily available, cost-effective, 115 and have no adverse environmental impact. The combination of these materials may 116 offer novel approaches to enhancing acidic soil.

117 2. Materials and methods

118 2.1. Soil

¹¹⁹ The experimental soil sample was obtained from Jiangxi Province, China and





- classified as yellow loam. The soil exhibited a pH value of 4.16, an organic matter
 content of 12.91 mg/kg (Zhang et al., 2022). The mechanical and chemical
 compositions are presented in Table 1 and Table 2.
- 123 2.2. Amendments

124 Lime powder (> 98.0%) and PAM (molecular weight \geq 3 million) were purchased 125 from Chengdu Cologne Chemical Co., Ltd. The tested basalt powder was chosen from 126 preliminary experiment based on the pH value. Five original basalt samples, collected 127 in Sichuan, China, were pulverized to a particle size and passed through a 400-mesh 128 sieve. The pH values of five powders were 9.57, 9.66, 9.46, 9.71, and 9.18, respectively, 129 wherein the powder with the highest pH value (pH=9.71) was selected based on the 130 potential for acid soil improvement for further structural analysis and soil amendment 131 test. The concentrations of light and heavy elements present in basalt powder were 132 determined using X-ray fluorescence spectroscopy conducted by a CIT-3000SY X-ray 133 fluorescence spectrometer. The sample analyzed was a dense basalt with minimal 134 weathering, sporadic air holes, and a quartz filler.

135 2.3. Experimental design and suspension preparation

136 1% amendment of PAM did not effectively change the soil pH according to the 137 preliminary experiments with varied proportion addition of PAM, as the pH value of 138 PAM was almost neutral and had negligible impact on pH regulation. Consequently, 139 the addition of PAM in tests was set to a constant (0.0002%) based on pre-experiment. 140 The aim of this study is to adjust the soil pH to a nearly neutral level and examine the 141 impact of modifiers on the base and aluminum ions leaching, and thus CaO was added 142 into each experimental group due to its excellent acid improvement effect in soil. The 143 basalt powder was added in each experimental group as a base component in mixed





144	amendments. The total mass of basalt powder and CaO added in each experiment was
145	0.1 g, but with varied additive proportion. A pre-experiment showed that the soil pH $<$
146	6 when the proportion of basalt powder \geq 90 %, and soil pH value > 9 when the
147	proportion of basalt powder \leq 60%. Accordingly, the proportion of basalt powder was
148	set at 90%, 80%, 70%, and the experiment design was shown in Table 3.
149	The suspension was prepared by initially measuring 10 g soil samples in a beaker,
150	followed by the addition of the appropriate quantities of powder and CaO as indicated
151	in Table 3. 25ml distilled water was added and then shaken evenly. In addition, 25ml
152	of 8.0×10^{-6} g·ml ⁻¹ PAM solution was added in the experimental groups. The mixture
153	was sealed with a plastic film to prevent contamination, and left undisturbed for 2 days.
154	All test groups were marked as shown in Table 3.

155 2.4. Acid damage capacity

156 Soil acid damage capacity was defined as the quantity of acid necessary for a given 157 unit of soil to reach the threshold pH value at which plant damage occurs, thereby 158 indicating the soil's resilience to acidity. Herein, the soil acid damage capacity was 159 determined by the amount of acid required per unit of soil when the pH value reached 160 3.5 (Wang, 1994; Ma et al., 2020).

161 The pH measurement of soil suspension was conducted in 50 ml centrifuge tube. 162 10 g soil samples were added in 50 ml centrifuge tube and shook evenly with 25 mL 163 distilled water. After shaking for 1 hour using a bifunctional water bath constant 164 temperature oscillator, the original pH value of soil was measured. Soil sample pH was 165 adjusted by 1mol/L sulfuric acid using a micro-injector. After the addition of 1mol/L 166 sulfuric acid and shaking for 10 min, the soil pH was measured and documented. The 167 procedures of sulfuric acid addition were repeated until the soil suspension pH dropped 168 to 3.5, and the quantity of added acid was adjusted based on the last measured pH value.





169 2.5. Leaching and adsorption of ions

170	In the context of soil ion leaching, a 10 g sample of soil was carefully measured.
171	Amendments were subsequently incorporated based on the specific experimental group
172	conditions, and then 25ml of distilled water was added to the soil sample and thoroughly
173	mixed before being allowed to settle for a duration of 2 days. The resulting soil
174	suspension was then transferred to a 50 ml centrifuge tube and subjected to agitation on
175	a water bath constant temperature oscillator for a period of 1.5 hours. Subsequently, a
176	predetermined quantity of acid was introduced, and the mixture was vigorously shaken
177	for an additional 2 hours. In the ion adsorption experiment of PAM, a mixture was
178	prepared using the PAM solution and ion standard solution. The resulting mixture had
179	a PAM concentration of 8×10^{-5} g·ml ⁻¹ and contained K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Ca ²⁺ , Mg ²⁺ and Al ³⁺ at
180	concentrations of 100 µg·ml ⁻¹ , 100 µg·ml ⁻¹ , 100 µg·ml ⁻¹ , 100 µg·ml ⁻¹ , 20 µg·ml ⁻¹ ,
181	respectively. To ensure the adsorption equilibrium was achieved, the mixture was
182	allowed to stand undisturbed for a period of 2 days. The aqueous solutions were
183	separated by centrifuging at 1500 r/min for 10 min using a TDL-80-2B low-speed
184	centrifuge. The concentrations of K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Ca ²⁺ , and Mg ²⁺ were determined using an
185	AA-7001 atomic absorption spectrophotometer at 766.5nm (K ⁺), 589.0 nm (Na ⁺), 422.7
186	nm (Ca ²⁺) and 285.2 nm (Mg ²⁺), while the concentration of Al^{3+} was determined using
187	a UV-5500 ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer at 660 nm.

188 **3. Results**

189 3.1. Mineral and chemical composition of basalt powder

¹⁹⁰ Mineral nutrients play a crucial role in the plant growth and quality improvement
 ¹⁹¹ of agricultural products, and primarily obtained through mineral weathering and
 ¹⁹² decomposition processes. The main mineral composition of basalt powder, as shown in
 ¹⁹³ Fig.2, included pyroxene ((Ca,Na)(Mg,Fe,Al,Ti)(Si,Al)₂O₆),





- feldspar((Na,K,Ca)Al₂Si₃O₈), serpentine ((Mg,Fe)₃(Si₂O₅)(OH)₄), and quartz (SiO₂).
 The presence of serpentinite suggested that the basalt had undergone hydrothermal alteration, while quartz served as the filling material within the basalt's pores.
- 197 The analysis of the chemical composition of basalt powder (Table 4) indicated the 198 presence of numerous nutrients essential for plant growth. The basalt powder utilized 199 in this study exhibited a notably high concentration of silicon oxide, reaching levels of 200 up to 45.72% by weight. The significance of Si accumulation lies in its ability to 201 alleviate the toxicity of specific heavy metals, enhance the uptake of K, P& Ca, mitigate 202 the impacts of drought, either bolster crop resilience against pathogens and pests (Flore 203 et al., 2012; Rinder and Hagke, 2021). The P, K, Mg, and Ca were the primary elements 204 constituting essential nutrient elements for plants. The Fe was trace elements essential 205 for plant growth. The Na and Ti were beneficial elements for plant growth. In addition 206 to the constituents outlined in the mineral chemical formula of basalt powder depicted 207 in Fig.2, there were additional elements that were essential for the formation of 208 accessory minerals or present within the mineral lattice through isomorphism. These 209 elements could also be released through the process of basalt weathering, with the 210release of base ions being particularly noticeable. Several base ions were integral 211 components of the primary minerals found in basalt powder.

212 3.2. Variation of soil pH and acid damage capacity after amendments addition

All kinds of mixed amendments could significantly increase the soil pH at least 2 units as shown by Fig.3a. Notably, the highest soil pH value of mixed amendment with and without PAM corresponded to the addition of BCP730 (7.86) and BCP731 (7.96), respectively, which was higher than the optimum pH range (6.5-7.5) for plants growth. The addition of BCP911 and BCP910 adjusted the soil pH to 6.22 and 6.26, respectively, which was lower than the optimum pH range (6.5-7.5) for plants growth. Only BCP821





consistently adhered to the principle of adjusting soil pH within the optimal range of
 6.5-7.5, accordingly facilitating plant growth. The process of soil acidification was
 simulated through acid soaking.

222 The acid damage capacity of the soil was determined by the ratio of acid 223 consumption to soil mass (µmol·g⁻¹) until the soil pH value decreased to 3.5 and as 224 illustrated in Fig. 3b. The acid damage capacity of BCP821 was found to be 5 and 6.5 225 higher than that of BCP911 and BCP910, respectively. Furthermore, the addition of 226 basalt powder and quicklime resulted in a more gradual acid buffering curve compared 227 to the control group (Fig.4), which indicated that the mixed amendments were resistant 228 to soil acidification and could significantly improve the soil's buffering capacity against 229 acid.

230 3.3 Variation of soil salt ions and Al³⁺ leaching after amendments addition

Fig.5a demonstrated a notable decrease in the leaching of K^+ , Na^+ , and Mg^{2+} in BCP820 and BCP821 compared to the control group. Specifically, the leaching of K^+ , Na⁺, and Mg²⁺ in BCP821 decreased by 58.1%, 42.9%, and 26.3%, respectively. Similarly, the leaching of K^+ , Na⁺, and Mg²⁺ in BCP820 decreased by 50.3%, 35.4%, and 33.5%, respectively. Whereas, the leaching of Ca²⁺ experienced a substantial increase with the addition of BCP820 and BCP821.

The leaching of Al^{3+} in BCP820 was 37.2% lower than the control group, while the leaching of Al^{3+} in BCP821 was below the detectable limit. Generally, the leaching quantities of K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Al³⁺ exhibited an upward trend with the addition of acid in both the control and experimental groups, suggesting that the soil minerals, including the added basalt powder, underwent gradual decomposition in the acidic environment, resulting in the release of basic ions. Meanwhile, the decrease in soil pH also led to the gradual release of Al³⁺ (Fig. 5a-f.).





244	Based on the ion leaching data presented in Fig.5, several observations could be
245	made. Firstly, the leaching amount of Ca ²⁺ , both during the initial stage and the acid
246	addition process, was considerably higher compared to the control group. Conversely,
247	the addition of PAM did not significantly affect the leaching results. Secondly, the
248	initial leaching of K ⁺ , Na ⁺ , Ca ²⁺ , Mg ²⁺ , and Al ³⁺ was notably lower than that of the
249	control group. However, with the addition of PAM, there was a slight increase in the
250	leaching of K^+ and Na^+ , while the leaching of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Al^{3+} decreased. Thirdly,
251	the introduction of PAM resulted in a slight increase in the leaching of K^{+} and Na^{+} ,
252	while the leaching of Ca ²⁺ , Mg ²⁺ , and Al ³⁺ decreased. Furthermore, the leaching amount
253	of Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+} ions in the experimental group was lower than the control group.
254	Additionally, the addition of PAM led to a decrease in the leaching rate of Mg ²⁺ and an
255	increase in the leaching rate of Al ³⁺ .

256 4. Discussion

257 4.1 Advantages of mineral structure for basalt powder

258 The weathering of basalt led to the liberation of nutrient elements inherent in the 259 rock, thereby enriching the soil's nutritional content. Furthermore, this process produces 260silicic acid, releases OH- ions, and raises the soil pH (Anda et al., 2015). The pace of 261 weathering is predominantly determined by the mineral composition, pH level, and 262 particle size of the rock powder. Statistical analyses of the dissolution rate of silicate 263 rocks as influenced by pH levels have revealed that Al-containing silicate rocks exhibit 264 the slowest dissolution rates in neutral environments (Rinder and Hagke, 2021). 265 Additionally, scholarly studies have proposed that silicate rocks rich in Mg content 266 weathering faster than rocks rich in Ca content (Deer et al., 2013; Swoboda et al., 2022). 267 This phenomenon can be explained by the bonding energy and characteristics of the 268bonds present. The metallic bonds of Mg-O and Ca-O exhibit similarities in the





269 arrangement of electrons outside the nucleus, with Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ sharing comparable 270electron configurations. However, calcium possesses a higher relative atomic mass, 271 stronger attraction, and greater bonding energy than magnesium. When selecting rock 272 powder for agricultural purposes, it is important to take into account not only the variety 273 of nutrient elements present, but also the mineral composition. Rocks with high basal 274 ultramafic magnesium content, such as basalt powder containing pyroxene and feldspar, 275 are rich in essential nutrients and are more easily weathered, making them a favorable 276 option in the application of soil conditioner.

277 In a recent study, Swoboda et al., (2022) conducted a comprehensive analysis was 278 conducted on the utilization of silicate rock powder for soil enhancement. The findings 279 indicated that smaller particles of the same rock powder exhibited a higher weathering 280 rate, thereby providing greater benefits to the improvement of soil nutrients and the 281 increase of crop yield. Furthermore, the investigation of Vanderkloot and Ryan (2023) 282 indicated that the weathering rate of particles <45µm exhibits a twofold increase 283 compared to particles with a size of 150-500µm. Furthermore, despite the fact that fine 284 particles could enhance the weathering rate of basalt powder, the long-term efficacy of 285 basalt powder in soil remained intact. Rinder and Hagke (2021) calculated the amount 286 of powder dissolved within a time frame of 10 years is approximately 16% (<100 µm), 287 55% (<10 µm) and 99.9% (<1 µm).

²⁸⁸ Herein, the basalt powder possessed a particle size of approximately 38µm, and ²⁸⁹ the predominant rock-forming minerals were pyroxene and serpentine, which were rich ²⁹⁰ in magnesium. These minerals contributed to enhancing the weathering rate to a certain ²⁹¹ extent and it could maintain dissolving behavior more than 10 years based on the ²⁹² calculation of Rinder and Hagke (2021). Therefore, the utilization of basalt powder can ²⁹³ serve as a valuable means to enhance the presence of essential nutrients and





²⁹⁴ advantageous elements within soil, thereby promoting the growth of crops.

4.2 Effect of amendments in soil pH and acid damage capacity

296 The study conducted by Panhard et al., (2014) demonstrated that the application 297 of Ground magnesium limestone and bio-fertilizer at a rate of 4 tons/hectare resulted in 298 a maximum increase in soil pH from 3.8 to 5.4, representing a change of 1.6 units. 299 Similarly, Huang et al., (2017) found that the application of 40 tons biochar per hectare 300 increased soil pH from 5.76 to 5.89, indicating a change of 0.13 units. This study has 301 demonstrated significant enhancements in soil pH through the application of a basalt 302 powder and CaO mixture at a ratio of 8:2, resulting in an increase in soil pH from 4.16 303 to 6.86. Additionally, the substantial buffering capacity of the additive has led to a 304 considerable increase in the soil's acid damage capacity, with improvements of at least 305 300%.

306 CaO was the primary determinant of soil pH increase as shown by the positive 307 correlation between the addition amounts of CaO and soil pH (Fig. 3a). The soil acidity 308 or alkalinity enhanced by soil amendment involved the rapid reaction of CaO with the 309 soil solution, accordingly resulting in the generation of a significant quantity of OH⁻. 310 and facilitates the adjustment of soil pH levels. Additionally, the reaction can be 311 contributed to the addition of basalt powder, too. However, it is important to note that 312 the decomposition of basalt powder is heavily influenced by the pH value of the soil 313 solution. Specifically, a lower pH value promotes a more favorable weathering process 314 (Swoboda et al., 2022). The swift elevation of soil pH subsequent to the application of 315 lime hinders the weathering process of basalt powder, thereby indicating its enduring 316 impact and expediting said process during soil erosion caused by acidity. The release 317 of OH⁻ serves to increase the soil pH value, thus establishing a mutually beneficial 318 association between the two. PAM exhibited near neutrality and exerts minimal





- ³¹⁹ influence on soil pH value due to its minute dosage and weak efficacy.
- 320 4.3 Effect of amendments in salt-based ion leaching

321 To provide a more comprehensive explanation of the ion leaching results, 322 supplementary adsorption experiments of PAM on ions (Fig.6) and the ion release of 323 basalt powder (Fig.7) were conducted. Similar trend was observed in the acid soaking 324 experiment of basalt powder (Fig. 7). The acid $(1 \text{ mol/L } H_2 \text{SO}_4)$ presented in the basalt 325 powder within the range of 0-500umol (adjusted for concentration conversion) 326 underwent a rapid decomposition phase followed by a slower rate of change as shown 327 by Fig. 7b, which can be attributed to the unstable structure and properties of the basalt 328 powder, leading to the decomposition of minerals under acidic conditions. The 329 observation is further supported by the mineral composition analysis of the basalt 330 powder, which indicated that minerals such as pyroxene and serpentine, with higher 331 iron and magnesium content, were more susceptible to weathering. Additionally, it is 332 worth noting that pyroxene exhibited higher calcium content than other components. 333 Hence, the process of basaltic acid soaking resulted in a greater release of Ca²⁺ and 334 Mg^{2+} .

335 The large increase in Ca²⁺ leaching did not imply that the mixing amendments had 336 a deleterious effect on soil calcium ions, because CaO reacted with the soil solution to 337 rapidly produce large amounts of Ca²⁺ (Fig. 5a), and basalt powder decomposition also 338 produced a certain amount of Ca^{2+} (Fig. 7). These processes effectively replenished soil 339 Ca²⁺. The reduction of K⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺ and Al³⁺ leaching by the mixed amendment can 340 be attributed to several factors. Firstly, the inclusion of basalt powder with fine particles 341 resulted in a significant increase in specific surface area. The enhanced surface area was 342 characterized by the presence of hydroxyl groups (-OH) and negatively-charged 343 functional groups (Si-O), which exhibited superior ion adsorption capabilities.





Moreover, previous studies had explored the utilization of fine silicate rock powder as effective adsorbents and filter membranes (Ouyang et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021). Additionally, PAM exhibited a high adsorption capacity and demonstrated superior adsorption properties for the three types of ions (Fig. 6). Furthermore, the elevation of pH levels results in the conversion of Al³⁺ to Al(OH)₃, thereby intensifying the negative charge on the soil colloid surface and consequently facilitating the adsorption of basic cations.

351 The addition of PAM resulted in a slight increase in the leaching of K⁺ and Na⁺, 352 while the leaching of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Al³⁺ decreased. The different trends of ions could 353 be attributed to the mutual adsorption between basalt powder and PAM, which could 354 occupy the cation adsorption sites. The large charge of Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, and Al³⁺ allowed 355 them to preferentially occupy the adsorption sites in the competitive adsorption of ions, 356 thereby reducing leaching amounts. Conversely, the reduced availability of residual 357 sites for K⁺ and Na⁺ led to an increase in their leaching amounts. During the simulated 358 acidification process, the leaching of K⁺ and Na⁺ in BCP821 consistently surpassed that 359 of BCP820, indicating the persistent effect of PAM in BCP821. Notably, when the 360 quantity of acid added exceeded 240 µmol, the leaching of K⁺ in BCP821 exceeded that 361 of the control group. Similarly, when the quantity of acid added surpassed 120 µmol, 362 the leaching of Na⁺ in BCP821 exceeded the control group. The disparity was likely 363 attributed to the release of elements during the decomposition of basalt powder and the 364 reduction of cation adsorption sites under acidic conditions.

The experimental group consistently exhibited a significantly lower leaching amount of Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+} compared to the control group, which potentially attributable to the stronger adsorption effect for Mg^{2+} and Al^{3+} with large charge in contrast to K^+ and Na⁺. In comparison to BCP820, the leaching rate of Mg^{2+} decreased in BCP821





369	while the leaching rate of Al^{3+} increased, which might be attributed to the adsorption of
370	PAM. Notably, the adsorption rate of Mg^{2+} by PAM was significantly higher than that
371	of Al^{3+} (Fig. 6). The adsorption capacity of PAM on Mg^{2+} is greater than the reduction
372	in adsorption capacity resulting from the mutual adsorption of PAM and basalt powder,
373	thereby occupying the adsorption site. However, this effect was insufficient for Al^{3+} .

374 5. Conclusion

375 This study examines the impact of a combination of basalt powder, CaO, and PAM 376 mixed modifier on soil enhancement. The findings indicated that the optimal pH (4.16 377 to 6.86) adjustment effect was achieved when the ratio of basalt powder to CaO is 8 to 378 2. Furthermore, the application of the mixed modifier demonstrated the potential for 379 soil nutrition supplementing, increased the acid-damage capacity (20.3 mmol/kg), 380 effective mitigation of K⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺ depletion, and aluminum toxicity reduction. The 381 results suggested that the combined utilization of these three modifiers holds promise 382 for improving the characteristics of acidic soil. However, variations in the chemical 383 composition and mineral structure of silicate rocks across different regions result in 384 distinct properties of soil. Consequently, it is imperative to conduct further research 385 tailored to the specific local conditions.

386 Author contribution

387 Qianmei Zhang (First Author): Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation,

³⁸⁸ Writing - Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Supervision;

³⁸⁹ Xiuhong Peng (Corresponding Author): Conceptualization, Resources, Writing -

³⁹⁰ Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration;

- ³⁹¹ Hongxia Zhu(Corresponding Author): Methodology, Investigation, Writing -
- ³⁹² Review & Editing, Supervision.





393 Competing interests

- ³⁹⁴ The contact author has declared that noneof the authors has any competing
- ³⁹⁵ interests.

396 Acknowledgments

- ³⁹⁷ This study was supported by the Science & Technology Fundamental Resources
- ³⁹⁸ Investigation Program [2022FY100202]. Thank Prof. Zhu Xiaoping of Chengdu
- ³⁹⁹ University of Science and Technology for her guidance in the experiments.

400 **References**

- 401 Alekseeva, T., Alekseev, A., Xu, R.K., Zhao, A.Z., Kalinin, P.: Effect of soil acidification induced
- 402 by a tea plantation on chemical and mineralogical properties of Alfisols in eastern China. Environ.
- 403 Geochem. Health. 33, 137-148, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10653-010-9327-5, 2011.
- 404 Anda, M., Shamshuddin, J., Fauziah, C.: Improving chemical properties of a highly weathered soil
- 405 using finely ground basalt rocks. Catena. 124, 147-161, https://doi.org/
- 406 <u>10.1016/j.catena.2014.09.012</u>, 2015.
- 407 Celimar Dalmora, A., Gindri Ramos, C., DA COSTA, L., Müller Kautzmann, R., Silva Oliveira,
- 408 L.F.: Understanding the mobility of potential nutrients in rock mining by-products: An opportunity
- 409 for more sustainable agriculture and mining. Sci. Total Environ. 710, 136240, https://doi.org/
- 410 <u>10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.136240,</u> 2020.
- 411 Deer, W.A., Howie, R.A., Zussman, J.: An Introduction to the Rock-Forming Minerals.
 412 Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland, https://doi.org/10.1180/DHZ, 2013.
- 413 Ding, Z., Ren, B., Chen, Y., Yang, Q., Zhang, M.: Chemical and Biological Response of Four Soil
- 414 Types to Lime Application: An Incubation Study. Agronomy. 13, 504,
- 415 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13020504</u>, 2023.
- 416 Fageria, N., Baligar, V.: Ameliorating soil acidity of tropical Oxisols by liming for sustainable crop
- 417 production. Adv. Agron. 99, 345-399, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2113(08)00407-0</u>, 2008.
- 418 Flore, G., Catherine, K., Jean-Dominique, M.: Benefits of plant silicon for crops: a review. Agron.
- 419 Sustain. Dev. 32, 201-213, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-011-0039-8</u>, 2012.
- 420 Gillman, G., Burkett, D., Coventry, R.: Amending highly weathered soils with finely ground basalt





- 421 rock. Appl. Geochem. 17, 987-1001, https://doi.org/10.1016/s0883-2927(02)00078-1, 2002.
- 422 Goulding, K.: Soil acidification and the importance of liming agricultural soils with particular
- 423 reference to the United Kingdom. Soil Use Manage. 32, 390-399, https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12270,
- 424 2016.
- 425 Haque, F., Santos, R.M., Chiang, Y.W.: CO2 sequestration by wollastonite-amended agricultural
- 426 soils-An Ontario field study. Int. J. Greenhouse Gas Control. 97, 103017,
- 427 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijggc.2020.103017, 2020.
- 428 Haynes, R.: A contemporary overview of silicon availability in agricultural soils. J. Plant Nutr. Soil
- 429 Sci. 177, 831-844, https://doi.org / 10.1002/jpln.201400202, 2014.
- 430 Heřmanská, M., Voigt, M.J., Marieni, C., Declercq, J., Oelkers, E.H.: A comprehensive and
- 431 consistent mineral dissolution rate database: Part II: Secondary silicate minerals. Chem. Geol. 636,
- 432 121632, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2023.121632, 2023.
- 433 Holland, J.E., Bennett, A., Newton, A., White, P., McKenzie, B., George, T., Pakeman, R., Bailey,
- 434 J, Fornara, D., Hayes, R.: Liming impacts on soils, crops and biodiversity in the UK: A review. Sci.
- 435 Total Environ. 610, 316-332, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.020</u>, 2018.
- 436 Huang, M., Zhou, X., Chen, J., Cao, F., Jiang, L., Zou, Y., Analysis, P.: Interaction of changes in pH
- 437 and urease activity induced by biochar addition affects ammonia volatilization on an acid paddy soil
- 438 following application of urea. Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal. 48, 107-112,
- 439 <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00103624.2016.1253725</u>, 2017.
- 440 Liu, J.X., Cui, J., Liu, H.B., Pan, Q., He, X.S.: Research progress of soil amelioration of acidified
- 441 soil by soil amendments. J. Environ. Eng. Technol. (St. Clara, CA, U. S.). 12, 173-184,
- 442 <u>https://doi.org/10.12153/j.issn.1674-991X.20210119</u>, 2022.
- 443 Wang, J.H.: Study on sensitivity of red soils to acid rain in South China. Acta Pedol. Sin, 04, 348-
- 444 355, Link: <u>https://kns.cnki.net/kcms2/article/abstract?v=gR09I6yibQ7VnDouDzBbi-IGH3p-</u>
- 445 jcYTqu62YjPY-GK3MQd6sXSk1tZ157YAtEuZHiV4kUWZ2KV9Z7CElyAoSKT-Ax-
- 446 P53xWCQ189QSNOTp7nrJXW648zJ5AQd4-
- 447 <u>a_UoU9P_y5EV3t1RlFiFfzzwDw==&uniplatform=NZKPT&language=CHS</u>, 1994.
- 448 Joris, H.A.W., Caires, E.F., Bini, A.R., Scharr, D.A., Haliski, A.: Effects of soil acidity and water
- 449 stress on corn and soybean performance under a no-till system. Plant Soil. 365, 409-424,





- 450 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1413-2</u>, 2013.
- 451 Kleiv, R.A., Thornhill, M.: Production of mechanically activated rock flour fertilizer by high
- 452 intensive ultrafine grinding. Miner. Eng. 20, 334-341, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2006.08.017,
- 453 2007.
- 454 Kopittke, G., Tietema, A., Verstraten, J.: Soil acidification occurs under ambient conditions but is
- 455 retarded by repeated drought: results of a field-scale climate manipulation experiment. Sci. Total
- 456 Environ. 439, 332-342, <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1413-2</u>, 2012.
- 457 Ma, S., Chen, W., Zhang, J., Shen, H.: Influence of simulated acid rain on the physiological response
- 458 of flowering Chinese cabbage and variation of soil nutrients. Plant, Soil Environ. 66,
- 459 <u>https://doi.org/10.17221/469/2020-PSE</u>, 2020.
- 460 Mosharrof, M., Uddin, M.K., Jusop, S., Sulaiman, M.F., Shamsuzzaman, S.M., Haque, A.N.:
- 461 Integrated use of biochar and lime as a tool to improve maize yield and mitigate CO2 emission: A
- 462 review. Chi. J. Agric. Res. 81, 109-118, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0718-58392021000100109</u>,
- 463 2021.
- 464 Mulualem, T., Adgo, E., Meshesha, D.T., Tsunekawa, A., Haregeweyn, N., Tsubo, M., Kebede, B.,
- 465 Mamedov, A I., Masunaga, T., Berihun, M.L.: Examining the impact of polyacrylamide and other
- 466 soil amendments on soil fertility and crop yield in contrasting agroecological environments. J. Soil
- 467 Sci. Plant Nutr. 21, 1817-1830, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-021-00482-4, 2021.
- 468 Mulualem, T., Adgo, E., Meshesha, D.T., Tsunekawa, A., Haregeweyn, N., Tsubo, M., Kebede, B.,
- 469 Mamedov, A. I., Masunaga, T., Berihun, M.L.: Examining the impact of polyacrylamide and other
- 470 soil amendments on soil fertility and crop yield in contrasting agroecological environments. J. Plant
- 471 Nutr. Soil Sci. 21, 1817-1830, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-021-00482-4, 2021.
- 472 Nguyen, T.: Lime requirement for agricultural soils: A review of the current and potential methods
- 473 for routine use. J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci. 186, 23-29, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.202100357</u>, 2023.
- 474 Nunes, J.M.G., Kautzmann, R.M., Oliveira, C.: Evaluation of the natural fertilizing potential of
- 475 basalt dust wastes from the mining district of Nova Prata (Brazil). J. Cleaner Prod. 84, 649-656,
- 476 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.04.032</u>, 2014.
- 477 Ouyang, D., Zhuo, Y., Hu, L., Zeng, Q., Hu, Y., He, Z.: Research on the adsorption behavior of
- 478 heavy metal ions by porous material prepared with silicate tailings. Minerals. 9, 291,





- 479 https://doi.org/10.3390/min9050291, 2019.
- 480 Palansooriya, K.N., Shaheen, S.M., Chen, S.S., Tsang, D.C.W., Hashimoto, Y., Hou, D.Y., Bolan,
- 481 N.S., Rinklebe, J., Ok, Y.S.: Soil amendments for immobilization of potentially toxic elements in
- 482 contaminated soils: A critical review. Environ. Int. 134, 105046,
- 483 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105046, 2020.
- 484 Panhwar, Q., Naher, U., Radziah, O., Shamshuddin, J., Razi, I.M.: Bio-fertilizer, ground magnesium
- 485 limestone and basalt applications may improve chemical properties of Malaysian acid sulfate soils
- 486 and rice growth. Pedosphere. 24, 827-835, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(14)60070-9</u>, 2014.
- 487 Rahman, M.A., Lee, S.H., Ji, H.C., Kabir, A.H., Jones, C.S., Lee, K.W.: Importance of mineral
- 488 nutrition for mitigating aluminum toxicity in plants on acidic soils: current status and opportunities.
- 489 Int. J. Mol. Sci. 19, 3073, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19103073, 2018.
- 490 Rinder, T., Hagke, C.V.: The influence of particle size on the potential of enhanced basalt weathering
- 491 for carbon dioxide removal Insights from a regional assessment. J. Cleaner Prod. 315, 128178,
- 492 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128178</u>, 2021.
- 493 Sang, T., Kang, A., Zhang, Y., Li, B., Mao, H., Kong, H.: Effect of Different Ameliorants on the
- 494 Infiltration and Decontamination Capacities of Soil. Materials. 16, 2795,
- 495 <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16072795</u>, 2023.
- 496 Swoboda, P., Döring, T.F., Hamer, M.: Remineralizing soils? The agricultural usage of silicate rock
- 497 powders: A review. Sci. Total Environ. 807, 150976,
- 498 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150976</u>, 2022.
- 499 Vanderkloot, E., Ryan, P.: Quantifying the effect of grain size on weathering of basaltic powders,
- 500 implications for negative emission technologies via soil carbon sequestration. Appl. Geochem. 155,
- 501 105728, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2023.105728, 2023.
- 502 Xu, R.K.: Research progresses in soil acidification and its control. Soil. 47, 238-244, https://doi.org
- 503 / 10.13758/j.cnki.tr.2015.02.007, 2015.
- 504 Xuan, K., Li, X., Zhang, J., Jiang, Y., Ma, B., Liu, J.: Effects of organic amendments on soil pore
- 505 structure under waterlogging stress. Agron. J. 13, 289, https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13020289,
- 506 2023.
- 507 Zhang, C., Zhu, X., Zhong, J., Zhu, Z., Zhao, P., Ren, W.: Improvement of Acidic Yellow Soil by





- 508 Wollastonite-Sodium Silicate-Biochar Composites. Multipurp. Util. Miner. Resour , 1-16,
- 509 http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/51.1251.TD.20221124.1629.004.html, 2022.
- 510 Zhang, H., Wang, G., Du, J., Pei, X., Du, P., Zhou, L.: Effects of several polymeric materials on the
- 511 improvement of the sandy soil under rainfall simulation. J. Environ. Manage. 345, 118847,
- 512 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2023.118847</u>, 2023.
- 513 Zhang, Z., Yuan, Z., Zhuang, L., Chai, J.: Adsorption mechanism of polyacrylamide on kaolinite
- 514 surface in the presence of Ca2+: Insights from DFT calculation. Chem. Phys. Lett. 776, 138672,
- 515 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2021.138672</u>, 2021.





Caption of the Tables and Figures.

Table 1 Mechanical composition of tested soil.

 Table 2 Main chemical composition of tested soil (%).

Table 3 Experimental groups and corresponding codes.

Table 4 Elemental content of basalt powder.

Figure 1 Collection and production of basalt powder.

Figure 2 X-ray diffraction spectroscopy of basalt powder.

Figure 3 The pH value of the soil after the amendments addition (a); Acid damage

capacity of soil after the amendments addition (b).

Figure 4 Soil acid buffer curve.

Figure 5 Leaching amount of base salt ions and aluminum ions (a); Leaching amount

of ions under simulated acidification conditions (b-f).

Figure 6 Adsorption of K^+ , Na^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and Al^{3+} by PAM.

Figure 7 Ion leaching of basalt powder under neutral acidic condition.





Composition	Clay	Powder		
Size (mm)	< 0.005	0.01~0.005	0.05~0.01	0.075~0.01
Content (%)	43.1	11.1	28.8	5.6
Composition		Sand		
Size (mm)	0.25~0.075	0.5~0.25		1.0~0.5
Content (%)	9.4	0.9		1.1





Table 2 Main chemical composition of tested soil (%).

Fe ₂ O ₃	SiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	K ₂ O	MnO	Na ₂ O	CaO	MgO
2.71	50.37	14.23	16.15	0.017	0.19	0.14	0.47





Amendments (mg/g)	Control	BCP910	BCP820	BCP730	BCP911	BCP821	BCP731
Basalt powder	0	9	8	7	9	8	7
CaO	0	1	2	3	1	2	3
PAM	0	0	0	0	0.002	0.002	0.002

Table 3 Experimental groups and corresponding codes





Table 4 Elemental content of basalt powder

Element	(wt%)	Element	(wt%)	Element	(wt%)
SiO ₂	45.72	MgO	6.85	P_2O_3	0.24
Fe ₂ O ₃	12.44	TiO ₂	3.84	MnO	0.17
Al_2O_3	12.49	K ₂ O	1.84	Na ₂ O	1.41
CaO	7.64	Na ₂ O	1.41		

Note: The detection limit is 0.0001% (i.e., 1ppm)







Figure 1 Collection and production of basalt powder







Figure 2 X-ray diffraction spectroscopy of basalt powder







Figure 3 The pH value of the soil after the amendments addition (a); Acid damage capacity of soil after the amendments addition (b).







Figure 4 Soil acid buffer curve.







Figure 5 Leaching amount of base salt ions and aluminum ions (a); Leaching amount of ions under simulated acidification conditions (b-f).







Figure 6 Adsorption of K^+ , Na^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and Al^{3+} by PAM.







Figure 7 Ion leaching of basalt powder under neutral acidic condition.