

2 **Opinion: Distribute paleoscience information across the next IPCC reports**

4 Darrell Kaufman¹ and Valérie Masson-Delmotte²

¹ School of Earth & Sustainability, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff AZ, USA

6 ² Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (UMR CEA-CNRS-UVSQ/IPSL
8212), Université Paris Saclay, France

8

Correspondence to: Darrell S. Kaufman (darrell.kaufman@nau.edu)

10

Abstract. In this opinion piece, we evaluate two approaches for incorporating paleoscience information into future assessment reports by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). One approach advocates for a dedicated paleoclimate chapter, while the other supports the continued integration of paleoscience with other lines of evidence across multiple sections of the report, as done in the most recent assessment cycle. We address the merits and challenges of these two approaches. Rather than reverting to a dedicated paleoclimate chapter, we argue that knowledge about pre-industrial climate should be further integrated with other lines of evidence throughout the 7th assessment reports by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. We contend that We argue that paleoscience expertise is most effectively deployed where it leads to integration of paleoscience knowledge and demonstration of its policy relevance, as it was in the most recent assessment cycle. We address arguments in favor of including a separate chapter devoted to paleoscience information as well as the challenges of the distributed approach, and we conclude with suggested and we suggest opportunities for expanding the paleoscience content contributions in future IPCC reports, regardless of the approach chosen.

26

Introduction

28 As the scoping phase for the next IPCC report is underway (IPCC, 2024a), discussions within the paleoscience community have emerged regarding the most effective way to incorporate paleoscience information into future reports. Some advocate for the inclusion of a dedicated chapter on paleoclimate (Esper et al., 2024; PAGES, 2024), as was done in previous IPCC reports. Proponents of this approach contend that a separate chapter would provide a more comprehensive assessment of paleoclimate information and ensure the visibility of this important field. They argue that this approach could safeguard the representation of paleoclimate experts as IPCC authors, together with increased relevancy and visibility of

34

36 ~~paleoscience (PAGES, 2024). With the scoping phase of the next IPCC report now taking shape~~
38 ~~(IPCC, 2024a), some in the paleoscience community are advocating for the inclusion of a~~
40 ~~separate chapter dedicated to this subject (Esper et al., 2024; PAGES, 2024). In contrast, We~~
42 ~~argue here that paleoscience is made more relevant to the target audience – those interested in~~
44 ~~current and future climate change, risks and responses – and that it is afforded greater visibility~~
46 ~~when the information is distributed and integrated with other lines of evidence across the~~
48 ~~reports, as it was in the latest IPCC Assessment Reports (AR6). In this distributed approach,~~
50 ~~knowledge of pre-instrumental, pre-industrial climate is considered alongside and on par with~~
52 ~~other multiple lines of evidence including observations, theory and modeling that are needed for~~
54 ~~a robust and comprehensive assessment of the state of knowledge, including the assignment of~~
56 ~~confidence levels. We find that insights from paleoscience were promoted in AR6 because,~~
58 ~~rather than consolidating the subject within a separate chapter where it might appear~~
60 ~~unconnected to actionable knowledge, the relevance of pre-industrial climate change was~~
62 ~~highlighted in multiple chapters of the Working Group I report (WGI; IPCC, 2021a) where~~
64 ~~current and projected climate changes were placed into a broader context of long-term natural~~
66 ~~variability. However, others see a dedicated paleoscience chapter as a safeguard to selecting~~
68 ~~paleoscience experts as IPCC authors, together with increased relevancy and visibility of~~
~~paleoscience (PAGES, 2024).~~

54 The outline for AR6 Working Group I report (IPCC, 2021a) was scoped following
56 extensive discussions by an international group of select climate experts, with input from the
58 broader community (IPCC, 2018a), and guided by a vision for a holistic and integrative report
60 (IPCC, 2018b). The resulting outline focused on the state of the climate system, processes that
62 shape global and regional climate responses, and regional information (section 1.1.2 of Chen et
64 al. (2021) explains the rationale for the AR6-WGI structure and its relation to the previous AR5-
66 WGI report). In AR6, paleoscience content was further distributed in the Working Group II report
68 (IPCC, 2022a) as it relates to the detection and attribution of ecosystem changes, and the
vulnerability and adaptation of Earth's biota, socio-ecological systems and societies to past
climate variations (Cross-Chapter Box PALEO, Vulnerability and Adaptation to Past Climate
Change, in Ara Begum et al., 2022). And in the Working Group III report (IPCC, 2022b),
centennial and longer timescales were part of the assessment of carbon storage and removal.

66 While the previous two assessment reports (AR4 and AR5) had included a separate
68 chapter focused on paleoclimate, this was not the case for AR6. The separate chapters in AR4
and AR5 did much to advance the assessment of the state of knowledge from paleoclimate
archives in those reports; however, considering the purpose of IPCC reports along with

70 advances in paleoscience, we see distinct advantages to the distributed approach adopted for
AR6, where paleoscience information was integrated with other lines of evidence whenever
72 possible based on available literature.

We view the expansion of paleoscience information across AR6 as integral to the
74 maturation of scientific knowledge. While maturation focused on specific past periods builds
depth and specialization, integration across lines of evidence and timescales enables a more
76 holistic understanding of such complex phenomena as the response of the Earth system to
natural and anthropogenic forcings. In AR6 for instance, proxy-based reconstructions provided a
78 long-term perspective on the evolution of modes of variability (Cassou et al., 2021). This
integration of knowledge across fields of climate science is facilitated by the IPCC assessment
80 process, which strengthens interactions among scientists with complementary expertise (Weart,
2013). It enhances the robustness and relevance of knowledge, making it a more powerful and
82 comprehensive process. This holistic approach ultimately accelerates the maturation of
knowledge by fostering a more interconnected, accurate, and actionable understanding of
84 climate science.

86 ~~Response to arguments favoring a separate chapter~~The case for a separate chapter and the benefits of the distributed approach

88 ~~Some see a~~A separate chapter has been proposed as a means to facilitate a more complete
assessment of paleoscience information. Thoroughness is indeed a core principle of IPCC
90 assessments, but there are practical limits to what can be included and the reports have already
been criticized for being too sprawling. The study of past Earth system changes is a huge field
92 and the diversity of scientists selected as IPCC authors must encompass its full breadth of
expertise. Considering the exponential rise in climate science evidence from the literature
94 (Masson-Delmotte, 2024) and faced with very tight constraints on the number of words and
pages available for any one topic, we ~~argue~~submit that the limited paleoscience information
96 that is included is most effectively deployed where it leads to integration of paleoscience
knowledge and demonstration of its relevance. The distributed approach facilitates a more
98 complete assessment by avoiding potential gaps where paleoscience information might have
contributed to informed decision-making. This relevance dimension is core to IPCC
100 assessments, which thus differ from textbooks or in-depth reviews for specialized audiences.

~~Some have suggested that paleoscience information should be included both in a~~
102 ~~dedicated chapter plus distributed in other chapters. However, this would be difficult to achieve~~
~~in practice because,~~ While some have proposed both a dedicated chapter and distributed

104 information, this presents practical challenges in terms of author selection and maintaining
106 consistency across chapters. Considering all of the other dimensions of climate science
108 expertise to represent when selecting IPCC authors, the fair share of paleoscience experts
110 among the group of IPCC Lead Authors would be too small to both populate a separate
112 paleoclimate chapter and to embed into other chapters. Embedding paleoscience authors within
114 each chapter team is needed to ensure that the paleo perspective is effectively included within
116 the context of those topics and their high-level, policy-relevant findings of the type that are
promoted to chapter Executive Summaries, which underpin the summary documents. Moreover,
this would increase the challenges to ensure consistency and complementarity among chapters
and reports, and to avoid gaps. ~~We argue that the contribution of the handful of paleoscience
authors in IPCC reports is most critical for the integration of advances in paleoscience
knowledge~~ We believe that the involvement of the limited number of paleoscience authors in
IPCC reports is particularly crucial for ensuring the integration of paleoscience knowledge
wherever possible, thereby demonstrating policy-relevant outcomes to a broader audience.

118 A separate chapter is also seen as a platform for a team of experts to work together
120 closely to assess topics in more depth and deliver a more robust and detailed assessment of
122 uncertainties, compared to the distributed approach. Whether information from paleoscience
124 comprises a separate chapter or is distributed across chapters, the quality in IPCC reports is
126 upheld through an extensive open review process overseen by designated Review Editors.
128 These subject-matter experts ensure that all substantive comments are addressed in a
balanced and transparent way. In our experience, and from our conversations with other IPCC
authors, the content of the reports are more thoroughly reviewed and heavily scrutinized than
any single publication in peer-reviewed journals. The quality of the information in IPCC reports
can also be attributed to the readily accessible data that underlie the major findings, which
enables traceability and reproducibility.

130 A separate chapter focusing on paleoscience could make it easier to locate information
132 about the subject. However, the field of climate science is far too large and rapidly growing for
134 each discipline in Earth system observations, theory, processes and projections to have their
136 own convenient chapter. Instead, in AR6-WGI, key paleoscience information from across the
chapters came together in a dedicated box in the Technical Summary (Box TS.2,
“Paleoclimate,” in Arias et al., 2021) as part of the report’s distillation process in support of the
Summary for Policy Makers. A cross-chapter box in AR6-WGI-Chapter 2 (Changing State of the
Climate System; Gulev et al., 2021) points to sections across the report that present information
about each of multiple “paleoclimate reference periods,” periods that have been extensively

138 studied based on both empirical evidence and climate modeling as examples of distinct climate
140 states. Meanwhile, emerging artificial intelligence tools (e.g., Climate Q&A, 2024) offer new
user-friendly opportunities to interact with IPCC reports across individual chapters.

Some see a separate chapter as providing greater visibility to paleoscience. We place
142 high value on visibility for raising awareness of our science across a broader audience, an
opportunity afforded by the widely distributed IPCC reports. We emphasize that paleoscience
144 information is made more visible when it is covered more comprehensively, as we contend that
it was in AR6-WGI than in previous IPCC reports. This is evidenced by the breadth of topics
146 informed by paleoscience information across the report, including the summary documents
(PAGES, 2022; Masson-Delmotte, 2021), and by a textural analysis of its content (see below).
148 Some of this expanded coverage reflects paleoscience knowledge developments, with longer
time span between reports and more material to be assessed. Plus, paleoscience chapters in
150 AR4 and AR5 had themselves stimulated new paleoscience research.

152 **Paleoscience coverage in AR6-WGI compared with previous reports**

Despite the absence of a chapter dedicated to paleoscience, or because of the choice of more
154 holistic approach for the report structure designed to integrate multiple lines of evidence,
paleoscience information was featured more prominently in AR6 than in the previous two
156 reports (AR5 and AR4). This assertion is based on an analysis of the contents of the WGI
Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) (IPCC, 2021b), which highlights findings of greatest
158 relevance to decision makers. Specifically, the keywords “paleo” or “millennia”(l), which were
typically used when assessing pre-industrial climate at multiple time scales, were mentioned
160 more frequently in AR6-WGI-SPM than in those of AR5 (IPCC, 2013) and AR4 (IPCC, 2007),
both as a total number of occurrences and relative to the number of pages (Table 1). The
162 frequency of key findings based on paleoscience evidence were also greater in AR6-WGI-SPM,
as was the number of words that comprise these findings (Table 1). Our simple keyword search
164 leads to the same conclusion as that of one of the preprint referees for this manuscript (Lunt,
2024) who independently surveyed the two SPMs for mentions of paleoscience information.

166 Another preprint referee (Brierley, 2024a) surveyed the frequency of citations to *Climate
of the Past*. He found that this journal was cited 122 times in AR5-WGI versus 163 times in
168 AR6-WGI. This increase in citations represents an approximately constant proportion of the total
number of works cited in the WGI contributions to AR5 and AR6 (1.34% vs 1.25%, respectively).
170 However, this metric needs to be seen in context of the huge expansion of papers published
across the field of climate change generally. The number of peer-reviewed papers with the

172 keyword “climate change” published in the year the AR5-WGI report was released was one-third
174 the number for the AR6-WGI report (approximately 5000 in 2013 versus 15,000 in 2021 based
176 on Web of Science accessed September 2024). This compares with the number of papers
178 published per year by this journal, which increased by one third (130 in 2013 versus 173 in
180 2021). Therefore, the importance of paleoscience as represented by the proportion of *Climate of
the Past* citations compared to all other citations in the WGI report was essentially equal
182 between AR5 and AR6, despite the huge growth of climate publications overall (300%)
compared with the modest growth of *Climate of the Past* publications during the same period
(33%). This analysis addresses the extent to which paleoscience was considered across the
WGI reports of AR5 and AR6 rather than their SPMs alone, and it supports our contention that
paleoscience was featured more prominently in AR6.

Paleoscience has been a part of IPCC reports since the beginning, and the SPMs of all
184 previous WGI reports contain findings that attest to increasingly unprecedented changes in the
climate system over centuries and millennia. The latest AR6-WGI-SPM expands on these
186 findings by describing evidence from additional indicators of the state of the climate system
beyond atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations and large-scale surface temperature.
188 Paleoscience in the AR6-WGI-SPM looks further back in time to climate states with higher
global warming levels than in previous assessment reports. It is mentioned along with other
190 evidence that narrows the uncertainty range of climate sensitivity and strengthens confidence in
projections of long-term sea-level responses to different levels of sustained warming. It is also
192 used to evaluate low-likelihood events with high-impact outcomes, including large explosive
volcanic eruptions and their documented climate effects.

194 A similar expansion of paleoscience information is also seen in the Technical Summary
(TS) of the AR6-WGI report (Arias et al., 2021) compared with the previous two reports (Stocker
196 et al., 2013; Solomon et al., 2007). All three contain a section or box dedicated to paleoscience.
Outside of these more specialized sections, the keywords “paleo” or “millennia”(l) are mentioned
198 more frequently in AR6-WGI-TS than in AR4 and AR5 relative to their number of pages (Table
1). Furthermore, AR6-WGI-TS includes seven figures that feature paleoscience information
200 compared with five in AR4 and three in AR5. Among these figures is a direct comparison of
atmospheric carbon dioxide levels back through the Cenozoic and forward through alternative
202 projections to 2300, including both timeseries and maps of global temperature. This is the first
time a figure with these global-scale climate indicators has appeared in an IPCC report; it is
204 indicative of the integrative approach in AR6, with attention to placing current and projected
changes into a long-term context. Finally, to reach a broader audience, paleoscience

206 information is included in more Frequently Asked Questions of AR6 (IPCC, 2021c) than in
previous reports (Table 1), and simulations of paleoclimate reference periods are incorporated
208 into the Interactive Atlas alongside historical runs and climate projections from the same models
(Gutiérrez et al., 2021).

210

Challenges of the distributed approach

212 While we view the integration of paleoscience topics throughout the IPCC report as an
inevitable and healthy progression for an increasingly expansive and relevant subject, we are
214 fully aware of its challenges. When writing the reports, paleoscience authors need to coordinate
closely to avoid redundancies, ensure important topics do not fall into cracks between chapters,
216 and prepare dedicated cross-chapter boxes as entry points for paleoscience topics. For
example, cross-chapter boxes in AR6-WGI Chapter 2 (Gulev et al., 2021) focuses on multiple
218 “paleoclimate reference periods” and another features the climate of the Pliocene when CO₂
concentrations were last similar to those of present day. Box TS.2 (Arias et al., 2021) includes a
220 synthesis of the assessed values for global mean temperature, atmospheric carbon dioxide, and
global mean sea level for multiple paleoclimate reference periods, and directly compares global
222 mean temperatures derived from observations with those from climate models for these
reference periods, with all of the data accessible and traceable.

224 These boxes were written by AR6 paleoscience Lead Authors and Contributing Authors
from multiple chapters who formed one of several WGI breakout groups that focused on cross-
226 cutting topics. Paleoscience authors of future reports should be prepared to devote additional
time to serve their roles as authors within both their chapter teams and the paleo breakout
228 group. In the future, more formal coordination mechanisms could include a new role for cross-
chapter paleoscience coordinators who could participate in Coordinating Lead Author meetings
230 and work proactively across the Working Groups to assure insights from paleo evidence are
considered within chapters where decisions about the specific content are made, as guided by
232 the scoping document. This way, novel and relevant paleoscience findings are more likely to be
promoted to the Executive Summary of each chapter, which underpins the most widely read
234 summary documents (TS and SPM). The author team for these summary documents needs to
include paleoscientists who can draw together key findings disseminated across chapters.

236 Despite their increasing length, space available for any climate change subject is
exceedingly limited in IPCC reports. There is generally no scope nor purpose for extensive
238 analyses of datasets, textbook-style reviews of methods or lengthy discussions of knowledge
gaps aimed at experts. Instead, IPCC reports rely heavily on evidence from timely published

240 literature, including community-based assessments of relevant topics that provide in-depth
242 analysis of methodologies, outcomes and uncertainties, and that support the integration and
distillation of information within IPCC assessments of policy-relevant information.

244 **Opportunities for future reports**

246 Regardless of whether the information is consolidated in a separate chapter or distributed
through the report, the success of paleoscience in future reports depends primarily on
248 community efforts to advance the state of knowledge and evaluate uncertainties within timely
academic publications. It also depends on input from paleoscientists during the scoping phase
of the report (planned in December 2024 for AR7) so the full breadth of relevant paleoscience
250 topics is explicitly identified and effectively parsed among chapters, and key expertise is
ensured within the selection of author teams. Timely publications calling for specific topics to be
252 addressed, with suggestions for scoping are also valuable. Individuals and organizations have
input to this process through their appointed IPCC National Focal Points and Observer
254 Organizations (IPCC, 2024b). They can advocate for topics and keywords to be included in
chapter outlines or cross-chapter boxes, which will help ensure that the author selection
256 includes the right balance of expertise.

Like the PAGES communication that motivated this piece (PAGES, 2024), we too
258 encourage paleoscientists to support and engage in the IPCC process. Among the various
avenues for participation (IPCC, 2024c) is volunteering as a reviewer during the drafting and
260 revision phases to make sure that new knowledge developments are included where relevant.
Collective reviews of IPCC reports by early career scientists can be especially fruitful, as it was
262 for AR6, and this activity could be strengthened for future reports (Moreno-Ibáñez et al., 2024).
In addition, Contributing Authors play an important role as content experts to help draft chapter
264 text alongside Lead Authors. In Chapter 2 of AR6-WGI (Gulev et al., 2021), for example, 22
paleoscientists served as Contributing Authors from outside the WGI Lead Author team.

266 Paleoscience, like all climate science communities competing for coverage in this high-
level product, can work proactively and in concert with the current IPCC assessment cycle to
268 generate or update systematic reviews of the state of knowledge regarding understanding past
climate variations and their implications, and regarding key policy-relevant topics. Now is the
270 time to identify what appraisals of major research advances that address socially relevant
understanding of climate change (e.g., Kaufman, 2020) are missing from the literature and to
272 initiate coordinated efforts by experts to fill these gaps in support of AR7. Paleontologists too
have opportunities to expand their contribution to providing policy-relevant information on

274 [climate change impacts \(Kießling et al., 2023\)](#). An example of such a community-led effort in
support of a key IPCC topic is that by the World Climate Research Programme (WCRP) for the
276 grand challenge of understanding climate sensitivity (Sherwood et al., 2020), with new
developments underway to inform AR7 (e.g., Cooper et al., 2024).

278 We see potential for stronger inclusion of information regarding topics such as climate
extremes of the past, implications of different durations of different sustained levels of warming,
280 past abrupt events, irreversibility, and insights related to the vulnerability and adaptation of
ecosystems and biodiversity. Major collective efforts are needed to evaluate and communicate
282 the state of understanding of past climate variations at global to regional levels.

While the AR6 placed a stronger emphasis on regional climate information than previous
284 reports, advances are needed to include paleoscience information in the distillation of regionally
relevant climate information. This includes, for example, regional-scale seasonal and annual
286 hydroclimate reconstructions, extreme events and climatic impact-drivers. Syntheses based on
transparent approaches and supported by well-curated and readily traceable data are especially
288 useful. This includes updates of paleoclimate forcings and of key indicators of the state of global
climate and their uncertainties – including the limitations of paleo data assimilation products –
290 for well-studied paleoclimate reference periods. Considering the emphasis on climate modeling
in IPCC reports, efforts directed toward model evaluation and other CMIP7 (2024) and PMIP5
292 (Brierley, 2024b) science goals are crucial. We see the need for expanded use of evidence from
paleoscience for assessing climate model fitness-for-purpose and confidence in projections
294 grounded in rigorous model-data comparisons, especially for the paleoclimate reference
periods, and where there is deep uncertainty, including for instance tipping points, Antarctic sea
296 ice, or land carbon feedbacks.

In addition to their core mandate, IPCC reports also contribute to strengthening climate
298 literacy. Report elements designed for schoolteachers and the general public include
“Frequently Asked Questions,” which address key topics with up-to-date information in a
300 consistent style and have been bundled into a single pdf (Connors et al., 2022). New for AR6 is
the colorfully illustrated, plain language “Summary for All” (IPCC, 2022c), which is translated
302 into multiple languages. Considering the widespread misconceptions and outdated views of past
climate variations, we see a need to distillate the current state of knowledge using accessible
304 plain-language text and scientifically rigorous, user-friendly data visualizations, anchored in a
co-design process (Morelli et al., 2021; InfoDesignLab, 2024). We argue that paleoclimate
306 literacy can be strengthened by clear communication of topics such as the causes, mechanisms
and characteristics of past climate changes, lessons from past climates that are relevant for

308 well-informed climate action, and how recent and future changes compare with those of the
past. This includes improving the display of post-industrial changes in key climate system
310 indicators, such as global mean surface temperature, in context of long-term changes in a way
that the general public and decision-makers can easily understand.

312 The underlying publications with these advances are needed in support of the AR7
assessment cycle. These advances will require substantial support for community efforts, both
314 by funding agencies and by professional organizations equipped for regional and international
coordination. Considering the major expansion of paleoscience knowledge since AR6, such
316 products are beyond what can be produced by a small group of IPCC authors regardless of
whether those authors work within a single chapter or are distributed across the Working
318 Groups.

320 **Competing interests**

The authors were involved in the preparation of AR6.

322

Acknowledgement

324 We thank M. Grosjean, F. Lambert, N. McKay, T. Stocker, and P. Thorne for their valued input
on an earlier version of the manuscript, although not all fully shared our views. We thank the two
326 referees (C. Brierley, D. Lunt) and members of the paleoclimate community (N. Abram, K. Allen,
K. Anchukaitis, E. Arellano-Torres, I. Cacho, C. Martin-Puertas, M. Prather, M. Sigl, J. Smerdon,
328 A. Voelker, R. Wilson, E. Wolff) for their comments and suggestions during the open discussion
phase of the manuscript review. C. Brierley provided the count of citations to articles in *Climate*
330 *of the Past*.

332 **References**

Ara Begum, R., Lempert, R., Ali, E., Benjaminsen, T. A., Bernauer, T., Cramer, W., Cui, X.,
334 Mach, K., Nagy, G., Stenseth, N. C., Sukumar, R., and Wester, P.: Point of departure and key
concepts, in: *Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, edited by: Pörtner,
336 H. -O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K., Alegría, A., Craig, M.,
Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B., Cambridge University Press.
338 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, pp. 121–196,
340 <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844.003>, 2022.

342 Arias, P. A., Bellouin, N., Coppola, E., Jones, R. G., Krinner, G., Marotzke, J., Naik, V., Palmer,
M. D., Plattner, G. -K., Rogelj, J., Rojas, M., Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Thorne, P. W., Trewin,
344 B., Achuta Rao, K., Adhikary, B., Allan, R. P., Armour, K., Bala, G., Barimalala, R., Berger, S.,
Canadell, J. G., Cassou, C., Cherchi, A., Collins, W., Collins, W. D., Connors, S.L., Corti, S.,
346 Cruz, F., Dentener, F. J., Dereczynski, C., Di Luca, A., Diongue Niang, A., Doblus-Reyes, F.J.,
Dosio, A., Douville, H., Engelbrecht, F., Eyring, V., Fischer, E., Forster, P., Fox-Kemper, B.,
348 Fuglestvedt, J. S., Fyfe, J. C., Gillett, N. P., Goldfarb, L., Gorodetskaya, I., Gutierrez, J. M.,
Hamdi, R., Hawkins, E., Hewitt, H. T., Hope, P., Islam, A. S., Jones, C., Kaufman, D. S., Kopp,
350 R. E., Kosaka, Y., Kossin, J., Krakovska, S., Lee, J. -Y., Li, J., Mauritsen, T., Maycock, T. K.,
Meinshausen, M., Min, S. -K., Monteiro, P. M. S., Ngo-Duc, T., Otto, F., Pinto, I., Pirani, A.,
352 Raghavan, K., Ranasinghe, R., Ruane, A. C., Ruiz, L., Sallée, J. -B., Samset, B. H.,
Sathyendranath, S., Seneviratne, S. I., Sörensson, A. A., Szopa, S., Takayabu, I., Treguier, A. -
354 M., van den Hurk, B., Vautard, R., von Schuckmann, K., Zaehle, S., Zhang, X., and Zickfeld, K.:
Technical summary, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of*
356 *Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate*
Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger,
358 S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews,
J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University
360 Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 33-144,
<https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.002>, 2021.

362
Brierley, C. M.: Review of egusphere-024-1845. doi: 10.5194/egusphere-2024-1845-RC1,
364 2024a.

366 Brierley, C. M.: PMIP and CMIP7 update. PAGES News.
<https://pastglobalchanges.org/news/137793>, last access: 21 September 2024b.

368
Chen, D., Rojas, M., Samset, B. H., Cobb, K., Diongue Niang, A., Edwards, P., Emori, S, Faria,
370 S. H., Hawkins, E., Hope, P., Huybrechts, P., Meinshausen, M., Mustafa, S. K., Plattner, G. -K.,
and Tréguier, A. -M.: Framing, context, and methods, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical*
372 *Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the*
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani,
374 A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang,
M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu,

376 R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY,
USA, pp. 147–286, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.003>, 2021.

378
Climate Q&A, <https://climateqa.com/docs/intro/>, last access: 3 August 2024.

380
CMIP7: <https://wcrp-cmip.org/cmip-panel-meet-to-advance-cmip7-and-ar7-fast-track/>, last
382 access: 27 July 2024.

384 Cooper, V. T., Armour, K. C., Hakim, G. J., Tierney, J. E., Osman, M. B., Proistosescu, C.,
Dong, Y., Burls, N. J., Andrews, T., Amrhein, D. E., and Zhu, J.: Last Glacial Maximum pattern
386 effects reduce climate sensitivity estimates, *Science Advances*, 10, p.eadk9461. 2024.

388 Cassou, C., Acherchi, A., Kosaka, Y., Corti, S., Engelbrecht, F., Lee, J.-Y., Maycock, A.,
McGregor, S., Morgenstern, O., Nnamchi, H. C., Rivera, J. A., and Trewin, B.: Annex IV: Modes
390 of variability, in: *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working
Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*,
392 edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud,
N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R.,
394 Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 2153-2192,
396 <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.018>, 2021.

398 Connors, S. L., Nicolai, M., Berger, S., Pidcock, R., Walsh, M., and Hawtin, N.: Co-developing
the IPCC frequently asked questions as an effective science communication tool. *Climatic
400 Change*, 171, 10, 2022.

402 Esper, J., Smerdon, J. E., Anchukaitis, K. J., Allen, J., Cook, E. R., D'Arrigo, R., Guillet, S.,
Ljungqvist, F. C., Reinig, F., Schneider, L., Sigl, M., Stoffel, M., Trnka, M., Wilson, R., and
404 Büntgen, U.: The IPCC's reductive Common Era temperature history, *Communications Earth &
Environment*, 5, 222, 2024.

406
Gulev, S. K., Thorne, P. W., Ahn, J., Dentener, F. J., Domingues, C. M., Gerland, S., Gong, D.,
408 Kaufman, D. S., Nnamchi, H. C., Quaas, J., Rivera, J. A., Sathyendranath, S., Smith, S. L.,
Trewin, B., von Shuckmann, K., and Vose, R. S.: Changing state of the climate system, in:

410 Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth
Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-
412 Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S .L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y.,
Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T.
414 K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 287–422,
416 <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.004>, 2021.

418 Gutiérrez, J. M., Jones, R. G., Narisma, R. T., Alves, L. M., Amjad, M., Gorodetskaya, I. V.,
Grose, M., Klutse, N. A. B., Krakovska, S., Li, J., Martínez-Castro, D., Mearns, L. O., Mernild, S.
420 H., Ngo-Duc, T., van den Hurk, B., and Yoon, J. -H.: Atlas, in: Climate Change 2021: The
Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the
422 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani,
A., Connors, S .L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang,
424 M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu,
R., and Zhou, B. Cambridge University Press. Interactive Atlas available from [http://interactive-](http://interactive-atlas.ipcc.ch/)
426 [atlas.ipcc.ch/](http://interactive-atlas.ipcc.ch/), 2021.

428 InfoDesignLab: <https://infodesignlab.com/selected-projects/ipcc-reports>, last access: 6 October
2024.

430
IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis.
432 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M.,
434 Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

436
IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis.
438 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. -K., Tognor, M., Allen, S.
440 K., Borschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

442

IPCC: [https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/04/040820170312-](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/04/040820170312-WGI_inf1_background_information.pdf)
444 [WGI_inf1_background_information.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/04/040820170312-WGI_inf1_background_information.pdf), last access: 1 June 2024, 2018a

446 IPCC: <https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/uploads/2018/11/AR6-Chair-Vision-Paper.pdf>, last
access: 1 June 2024, 2018b.

448

IPCC: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to
450 the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by:
Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S .L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen,
452 Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock,
T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,
454 United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896>, 2021a.

456 IPCC: Summary for policymakers, in: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis.
Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel
458 on Climate Change, edited by: Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S .L., Péan,
C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy,
460 E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B.,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, pp. 3–32,
462 <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.001>, 2021b.

464 IPCC: Frequently Asked Questions:
https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/faqs/IPCC_AR6_WGI_FAQs_Compiled.pdf, last
466 access: 6 October 2024, 2021c.

468 IPCC: Climate Change 2022: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working
Group II to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
470 edited by: Pörtner, H. -O., Roberts, D. C., Tignor, M., Poloczanska, E. S., Mintenbeck, K.,
Alegria, A., Craig, M., Langsdorf, S., Löschke, S., Möller, V., Okem, A., and Rama, B.,
472 Cambridge University Press. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY,
USA, 3056 pp., <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009325844>, 2022a.

474

IPCC: Climate Change 2022: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to
476 the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by:

Shukla, P. R., Skea, J., Slade, R., Al Khourdajie, A., van Diemen, R., McCollum, D., Pathak, M.,
478 Some, S., Vyas, P., Fradera, R., Belkacemi, M., Hasija, A., Lisboa, G., Luz, S., and Malley, J.,
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA,
480 <http://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157926>, 2022b.

482 IPCC: Climate Change 2021: Summary for All, Working Group I Technical Support Unit,
<https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/resources/summary-for-all/>, 2022c.

484
IPCC: <https://www.ipcc.ch/2024/05/03/ipcc-ar7-scoping-nominations/>, last access: 1 June
486 2024a.

488 IPCC: <https://www.ipcc.ch/focal-points/>, last access: 21 September, 2024b.

490 IPCC: https://www.ipcc.ch/about/engage_with_the_ipcc/, last access: 21 September 2024c.

492 Kaufman, D. S.: Paleoscience questions for socially relevant understanding of climate change.
Abstract PP017-0002 presented at 2020 Fall Meeting, AGU, Online, 1-17 Dec, 2020.

494
[Kiessling, W., Smith, J. A., and Raja, N. B.: Improving the relevance of paleontology to climate
496 change policy. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120, e2201926119, 2023.](#)

498 Lunt, D.: Comment on egusphere-2024-1845. doi: 10.5194/egusphere-2024-1845-RC1, 2024.

500 Masson-Delmotte, V. [@valmasdel] (11 Nov 2021). You may have noticed that there was no
specific chapter on paleoclimate in the 2021 AR6 @IPCC_CH #ClimateReport [tweet]. Twitter.
502 <https://x.com/valmasdel/status/1458853767194066949>.

504 Masson-Delmotte, V.: The physical science basis of climate change empowering
transformations, insights from the IPCC AR6 for a climate research agenda grounded in ethics.
506 PLOS Clim., 3, e0000451. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pclm.0000451>, 2024.

508 Morelli, A., Johansen, T. G., Pidcock, R., Harold, J., Pirani, A., Gomis, M., Lorenzoni, I.,
Haughey, E., and Coventry, K.: Co-designing engaging and accessible data visualisations: a
510 case study of the IPCC reports. Climatic Change, 168,1-11, 2021.

512 Moreno-Ibáñez, M., Casado, M., Gremion, G., Rabanal, V., Adojoh, O., Anoruo, C., Arshad, A.,
Faten, A. B., Bello, C., Bergstedt, H., and Caccavo, J. A.: Engagement of early career
514 researchers in collaborative assessments of IPCC reports: achievements and insights, *Frontiers
in Climate*, 6, 1395040, 2024.

516
PAGES: <https://pastglobalchanges.org/news/quick-guide-paleoclimate-ipcc-ar6-2021-report>, last
518 access: 27 July 2024, 2022.

520 PAGES: <https://pastglobalchanges.org/node/137814/>, last access: 1 June 2024.

522 Sherwood, S. C., Webb, M. J., Annan, J. D., Armour, K. C., Forster, P. M., Hargreaves, J. C.,
Hegerl, G., Klein, S. A., Marvel, K. D., Rohling, E. J., and Watanabe, M.: An assessment of
524 Earth's climate sensitivity using multiple lines of evidence. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 58,
e2019RG000678, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000678>, 2020.

526
Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Alley, R. B., Berntsen, T., Bindoff, N. L., Chen, Z.,
528 Chidthaisong, A., Gregory, J. M., Hegerl, G. C., Heimann, M., Hewitson, B., Hoskins, B. J.,
Joos, F., Jouzel, J., Kattsov, V., Lohmann, U., Matsuno, T., Molina, M., Nicholls, N., Overpeck,
530 J., Raga, G., Ramaswamy, V., Ren, J., Rusticucci, M., Somerville, R., Stocker, T. F., Whetton,
P., Wood R. A., and Wratt, D.: Technical summary, in: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical
532 Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the
Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M.,
534 Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press,
Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

536
Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Alexander, L. V., Allen, S. K., Bindoff, N. L., Bréon, F. M.,
538 Church, J. A., Cubasch, U., Emori, S., and Forster, P.: Technical summary, in: *Climate Change
2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment
540 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D.,
Plattner, G. -K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley,
542 P. M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 33-
115, 2013.

544

546 Weart, S.: Rise of interdisciplinary research on climate, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(supplement_1), 3657-3664, 2013.

548

Table 1. The frequency of paleoscience information in the Working Group I contributions to the last three IPCC climate assessment reports.

550

| Working Group I | AR4 | AR5 | AR6 |
|--|------|------|------|
| Publication year | 2007 | 2014 | 2021 |
| Paleoclimate chapter in report | Yes | Yes | No |
| FAQs with paleo content | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| CP citations | NA | 123 | 175 |
| Summary for Policy Makers | | | |
| Total pages of content | 17 | 26 | 28 |
| “Paleo” or “millennia” mentions* | 6 | 8 | 15 |
| Average mentions per page | 0.35 | 0.31 | 0.54 |
| Major sections with paleo content | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Bullets/subsections with paleo content | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| Approx. words containing paleo content | 390 | 360 | 460 |
| Figures with paleo content | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Technical Summary | | | |
| Total pages of content | 71 | 82 | 107 |
| “Paleo” or “millennia” mentions** | 19 | 38 | 56 |
| Average mentions per page | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.52 |
| Figures with paleo content | 5 | 3 | 7 |

* Includes “palaeo” and “millennial”

** Not counting the paleo box or paleo perspective or text within figures and their captions

552