



- 1 Surface Observation Constrained High Frequency Coal
- 2 Mine Methane Emissions in Shanxi China Reveal More
- 3 Emissions than Inventories, Consistency with Satellite

- 5 Fan Lu¹, Qin Kai^{1*}, Jason Blake Cohen^{1*}, Qin He¹, Pravash Tiwari¹, Wei Hu¹, Chang
- 6 Ye¹, Yanan Shan¹, Qing Xu¹, Shuo Wang¹, Qiansi Tu²
- 7 ¹Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Coal-Based Greenhouse Gas Control and Utilization, School of Environment
- 8 and Spatial Informatics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou, China
- 9 ²School of Mechanical Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China
- 10 Correspondence to: Kai Qin (qinkai@cumt.edu.cn) ; Jason Blake Cohen (jasonbc@alum.mit.edu)

11 Abstract. This work focuses on Changzhi, Shanxi China, a city and surrounding rural region with one 12 of the highest atmospheric concentrations of methane (CH4) world-wide (campaign-wide minimum/mean/standard deviation/max observations: 2.0, 2.9, 1.3, and 16 ppm) due to a rapid increase 13 14 in the mining, production, and use of coal over the past decade. An intensive 15-day surface observation 15 campaign of CH4 is used to drive a new analytical, mass-conserving method to compute and attribute 16 CH4 emissions. Observations made in concentric circles at 1km, 3km, and 5km around a high production 17 high gas coal mine yielded emissions of 0.73, 0.28, and 0.15 ppm min⁻¹ respectively. Attribution used a 18 2-box mass conserving model to identify the known mine's emissions from 0.042-5.3 ppm min⁻¹, and a 19 previously unidentified mine's emission from 0.22-7.9 ppm min⁻¹. These results demonstrate the 20 importance of simultaneously quantifying both the spatial and temporal distribution of CH4 to better control regional-scale CH4 emissions. Results of the attribution are used in tandem with observations of 21 22 boundary layer height to quantify policy-relevant emissions from the two coal mines as 13670±7400 kg 23 h⁻¹ and 5070±2270 kg h⁻¹ respectively. Both mines display a fat tail distribution, with respective 25th, median, and 75th percentile values of [870, 7500, 38700] kg h⁻¹ and [431, 1590, 7000] kg h⁻¹. These 24 25 findings are demonstrated to be higher than CH4 emissions from equivalent oil and gas operations in the 26 USA, with one about double and the other similar to day-to-day emissions inverted over 5-years using 27 TROPOMI over the same region. 28 29

30

⁴ Inversion





31 **1. Introduction**

32	Emissions of Methane (CH4) contribute the second most to direct anthropogenic longwave radiative
33	forcing (Etminan et al., 2016; Li et al., 2022). Since CH4 has a lifetime from 9.5 to 12.5 years (Li et al.,
34	2022; Prather et al., 2012), controlling CH4 emissions can provide an opportunity to mitigate peak
35	loading and slow the rate of net global warming. Fossil fuel CH_4 is one of the largest sources of
36	anthropogenic CH4 emissions (Kirschke et al., 2013; Saunois et al., 2020a). Since China is the world's
37	largest producer and consumer of coal (Bournazian, 2016), Coal mines CH4 (CMM) possibly contributes
38	up to 33%-40% of China's total CH4 emissions (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2017; Miller et al., 2019; Peng
39	et al., 2016). Although China enacted CMM regulations in 2010, CMM continues grow (Kerr and Yang,
40	2009; Miller et al., 2019). CH4 emission estimates are highly uncertain in both space and time (Brandt et
41	al., 2014; Saunois et al., 2020b). They also generally have a fat tail distribution, wherein a small number
42	of samples have extremely large emissions that overwhelm emissions under average conditions (Duren
43	et al., 2019; Plant et al., 2022). For these reasons, new approaches to quantify, reduce uncertainty, and
44	attribute CH4 emissions are necessary and can provide support for policies aiming to control and mitigate
45	СММ (Сао, 2017).

Bottom-up (BU) quantification of emissions requires a priori knowledge of source locations and 46 47 diversity, which tends to not represent real-world conditions. Top-down (TD) approaches analyze 48 concentration data with improving accuracy (Allen, 2014; Rigby et al., 2019; Varon et al., 2018; Vaughn 49 et al., 2018), specifically combining surface (Heerah et al., 2021; Katzenstein et al., 2003; Shi et al., 2023), aircraft (Karion et al., 2013; Shi et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2023; Vinković et al., 2022), and/or 50 satellite (Wecht et al., 2014) CH4 observations with atmospheric models. Some TD approaches use 51 52 physically realistic but complex chemical transport models (Bloom et al., 2017), others use plume models (Goldsmith et al., 2012), and others still use data driven approaches (Buchwitz et al., 2017). 53 54 Uncertainties are rarely assessed holistically or in detail (Cohen and Prinn, 2011; Cohen and Wang, 2014). 55 Airborne remote sensing is a highly technical and costly approach to record CH4 fluxes from 56 landfills, coal basins, and oil and gas production (Krautwurst et al., 2021; Krautwurst et al., 2017; 57 Kuhlmann et al., 2023), which suffers from not being able to monitor CH4 emissions over long periods 58 of time or in regions where the source is not well constrained (Brandt et al., 2014; Gorchov Negron et 59 al., 2020; Hiller et al., 2014; Mehrotra et al., 2017; Molina et al., 2010). Satellite remote sensing can 60 measure CH4 under specific orbits where the source is known and identified (Jacob et al., 2016; Jacob et





61	al., 2022; Plant et al., 2022; Varon et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020), but only after being calibrated by
62	upward looking remotely sensed measurements (Tu et al., 2022), and only when the atmosphere is rain,
63	cloud and aerosol free (Cohen and Prinn, 2011; Reuter et al., 2019; Sadavarte et al., 2021). TROPOMI
64	and GOSAT have both been shown to be data-rich at times (Butz et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2018; Jacob et
65	al., 2016), but severely limited at other times (Butz et al., 2012; Kuze et al., 2009). Even when these
66	satellites have sufficient data to compute emissions from other species, frequently CH4 cannot be
67	computed (Li et al., 2023; Qin et al., 2023b) due to insufficient signal strength, and uncertainties which
68	are both non-understood and mis-constrained (Povey and Grainger, 2015).
69	Ground-based remote sensing provides higher accuracy versus satellite observations (Heerah et al.,
70	2021; Luther et al., 2022; Tu et al., 2022). EM27/SUN measurements have approximated CH_4 emissions
71	in Poland (Luther et al., 2019; Luther et al., 2022). However, these instruments are expensive, require
72	calibration, and have limited data collection due to solar signal strength.
73	This work employs a high-frequency surface-based observation platform of CH4 concentration
74	which is portable, economical, and unaffected by most environmental factors. The observations are
75	combined with a new mass-conserving methodology based on temporal transformation of the spatially
76	derived mass-conserving framework successfully applied to NO ₂ (Li et al., 2023; Qin et al., 2023b). This
77	work focuses on Shanxi, one of the densest coal mining regions in the world, accounting for
78	approximately 10% of total global coal production (Lin and Liu, 2010; Qin et al., 2023a). Continuous
79	observations were made around known coal mines, unknown sources, and of background conditions.
80	High-frequency emissions calculated using these data were used to drive a 2-box model to attribute
81	emissions to the known mine and a second low production mine previously thought insignificant. The
82	results provide insights into the spatial distribution of CH4 emissions, demonstrate rapid adoption of
83	practical methods globally, and enable source attribution.

84 2. Methods and Data

85 2.1 Study Site and Campaign Design

Changzhi, Shanxi is located in a basin, with coal mines densely distributed throughout both flat central regions and around the mountainous edges (Figure 1), many of which are classified as high CH₄ emitting mines. Due to this combination, province-wide background CH₄ concentrations are very high and have large variation in time. This study mainly focuses on two coal mines: one mine is classified as





- 90 having high amounts of CH4 per unit of production and an annual coal production of 4 million tons (CM-
- 91 A), and the other is unclassified for CH4 per unit of production and having an annual coal production of
- 92 3 million tons (CM-B) (Qin et al., 2023a). Observations were positioned along concentric circles located
- 93 1km, 3km, and 5km from CM-A, over an approximation of the four ordinal directions: east, west, south,
- 94 north (Figure 2). All locations were planned to be far away from known anthropogenic sources, leading
- 95 to a net total 12 measurement points. As later discovered, CM-B is located approximately 1km southwest
- 96 from the measurement point located at 5km west.



97

98 Figure 1. Topographic map of Changzhi, and its location in Shanxi Province (bottom left). The triangles 99 represent the locations of all individual coal mines (including underground and abandoned mines), where the 100 triangle color represents the emissions amount: high (red), middle (green), low (blue), and very-low (grey).

101 The red stars represent the two coal mines in this work.



102

- 103 Figure 2. Locations of four individual coal mines (Green filled houses), a power plant (Yellow outlined house),
- 104 and the 12 observation locations presented in this work (red double-outlined triangles). Distance from CM-A





- 105 are given as concentric circles at 1km (white), 3km (yellow), and 5km (blue).
- 106 2.2 Measuring CH₄ concentration
- 107 Atmospheric CH₄ concentrations at 5m above the surface were observed daily at 1 Hz from 8:30 to
- 108 17:00 local time in August 2022 using two portable greenhouse gas analyzers (LGR-915-0011, California,
- 109 USA). Three different locations (1 km, 3 km and 5 km) were selected daily along a single direction from
- 110 the CM-A, allowing a more consistent and precise calculation of the spatial gradient (Table 1). In order
- 111 to reduce the time errors, using two portable greenhouse gas analyzers randomly selecting the three
- 112 observation points during the daily measurements and without fixed sequence.

113 The CH4 data was averaged minute-by-minute to match observed wind data, and subsequently used

114 to compute CH₄ emissions. As show in Figure 3, the CH₄ concentration data is highly correlated with

- 115 rapid changes in both the wind speed and direction.
- 116

Table 1. Detailed Information Summarizing the Dates, Times, and Locations Observed in the Field

Date	Direction of measured site		Sample period (min)	
		1 km	3 km	5 km
10 August 2022	North	88	35	47
12 August 2022	North	78	66	61
13 August 2022	North	168	181	178
14 August 2022	North	125	128	122
15 August 2022	North	121	122	119
17 August 2022	North, West	122, 124	119, 120	120, 117
18 August 2022	North, West	143, 119	135, 118	125, 120
19 August 2022	East	126	139	140
21 August 2022	North	140	127	125
22 August 2022	East, South	129, 123	124, 133	121, 124
23 August 2022	South	126	129	129

117 Observations made in clean locations with a wind direction not from the mine are subsequently 118 considered for background sites. The lowest and least variable CH4 observations are found on August 23 119 in the south (2.08±0.08 ppm) (Figure 3). It is important to note that although this site has the minimum 120 concentrations observed in this work, these values are significantly higher than the global latitude-band background. Three other locations and days were observed with relatively low mean and not significantly 121 122 large variation: August 19 in the east (2.63±0.35 ppm), August 22 in the east (2.65±0.51 ppm), and 123 August 22 in the south (2.60±0.55 ppm) (Figure 3). These results imply that the practice adopted by the 124 community to separate a plume from the global latitude band or climatological background state is not 125 applicable in the locations sampled in this paper (Buchwitz et al., 2017; Irakulis-Loitxate et al., 2021; 126 Lauvaux et al., 2022; Sadavarte et al., 2021). For this reason, a new quantitative approach is presented 127 to understand and quantify what is actually a source and what is not. This approach is applicable under 128 conditions both encountered globally as well as those under the uniquely high and variable conditions











Figure 3. Time series of CH₄ concentration (ppm) (blue), wind speed (m s⁻¹) (yellow) and wind direction [°]
(orange lines) measured at 1km (solid), 3km (dashed) and 5km (dash-dot) located east (top) and south (bottom)
of CM-A on three different days.

134 2.3 Meteorological Data

The wind speed and direction were obtained from local meteorological stations with a temporal frequency of 1min. As show in Figures 4 and 5 the overall wind was dominated by a southerly direction (38.0% of observations between 150° and 210°) and found to be moderately slow (69.9% of observations were between 1 m s⁻¹ and 4 m s⁻¹). The 10^{th} and 90^{th} percentiles of wind direction (54° and 312°) and wind speed (1 m s⁻¹ and 5.1 m s⁻¹) respectively, indicate that high frequency sampling reveals a small number of relatively large changes are observed, which are expected to lead to a "fat-tail" type of distribution of subsequently computed CH₄ emissions (Delkash et al., 2016).

The temperature and pressure data were measured by a handheld meteorological instrument (HWS1000, ZOGLAB, China) with a temporal frequency of 1min. The boundary layer data were obtained from <u>https://zenodo.org/records/6498004</u> (Guo et al., 2022) based on a merging of reanalysis data with observations (Guo et al., 2024).









Figure 4. The wind rose of all observed wind speeds from August 10, 2022 to August 25, 2022.



148



151 2.4 Quantitative Estimation of CH₄ Emissions

152 A mass conserving approach was used to estimate the CH4 emissions in connection with the high 153 frequency observations of CH4 and meteorological data, hereafter called the Mass Conserving Model of 154 Measured CMM (MCM²). This approach is based on previous dynamic emissions estimates of 155 tropospheric atmospheric column observations of short-lived NO2 (Li et al., 2023; Qin et al., 2023b), but 156 has never been applied to surface observations in general, or CH4 in specific. Adopting this approach to 157 solve for CH4 is done starting with the continuity equation for the conservation of mass (Equation 1), 158 reorganizing the individual terms and converting coordinates from space to time (Equation 2) and finally 159 combining the terms (Equation 3) as follows:

160
$$\frac{\partial CH_4}{\partial t} = E_{CH_4} - \nabla (U \times CH_4) \qquad (1)$$

161
$$\nabla (U \times CH_4) = CH_4 \times \nabla U + U \times \nabla CH_4 = \alpha \times \left(CH_4 \times \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \times \frac{\partial CH_4}{\partial t} \right)$$
(2)





162
$$\frac{\partial CH_4}{\partial t} = E_{CH_4} - \alpha \times \left(CH_4 \times \frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + U \times \frac{\partial CH_4}{\partial t}\right) \quad (3)$$
163 where CH₄ is the CH₄ concentration (ppm), t is the time (min), E_{CH_4} is the CH₄ emissions flux (ppm min⁻¹), and U is the wind speed (m s⁻¹). The ∇ is a mathematical operator that takes the gradient on spatially
165 distributed variables. However, when considering motion along one-dimension, the relationship between
166 distance, speed, and time can be used to rewrite the spatial derivatives of ∇ (CH₄) and ∇ (U) as temporal
167 derivatives (Brasseur and Jacob, 2017), where α is a conversion coefficient between distance and wind
168 speed.
169 The gradient term used in these equations take into account the local topography of Shanxi, which
170 is known for its significant features and surrounding mountains. These geographical features can impact
171 the transport and dispersion of CH₄, and their effects are incorporated into the wind field in the continuity
172 equation. Notably, when dealing with a non-divergent wind field, the gradient term simplifies to the term
173 ($U \times \nabla CH_4$) (Sun, 2022). Uncertainty analysis was conducted before calculating the CH₄ emissions to
174 ensure only reliable data was used, since observed variation of CH₄ over time is influenced not only by
175 CH₄ emissions, but also changes in wind speed and pressure. Specifically, $CH_4 \times \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}$ represents the
176 change in CH₄ influenced by pressure, while $U \times \frac{\partial CH_4}{\partial t}$ represents the change in CH₄ influenced by
177 advection. Furthermore, since there is uncertainty in the observations, this work takes a conservative
178 approach, and only considers data when the threshold given by equation (4) is observed to be considered
179 influenced by emissions (a lower threshold can be selected like 25% or 15% et al., but uncertainty will
180 increase).
181 $u \times \frac{\partial CH_4}{\partial t}/\nabla(U \times CH_4) > 30\%$ (4)

182 The remaining data (approximately 22%, presented as red circle indicators in Figure 6) is not processed 183 in the emissions calculation as the signal is most likely due to a combination of observational uncertainty

and white noise (Prinn et al., 1987).







186Figure 6. Time series of CH4 concentrations (top, blue), background concentrations (top, red), wind direction187(bottom, blue) and wind speed (bottom, red) measured 5km west of CM-A on August 18, 2022. MCM2188computed CH4 emissions (top, numbers) (ppm min⁻¹) are computed for all regions where the observations are189enhanced compared with the background for at least 3 consecutive observations, and which further pass the190noise threshold (Equation 4).

191 2.5 Uncertainty Analysis

185

192 In order to reduce the uncertainty of the CH4 emission estimation, only data above the threshold given 193 by equation (4) is consided. Prior to this, uncertainty analysis was also conducted on the relevant 194 variables in actual experiments. As shown in Figure 7, a 5% uncertainty was assigned to both the CH4 195 concentration and wind speed data, and the CH4 emission flux was calculated. The uncertainty analysis 196 results indicate that probability distribution of all possible calculated emissions are consistent, and the 197 errors are smaller than 5% in each case, consistent with Equation 3 leading to a dampening of the 198 uncertainty, as also observed in Qin et al. (2023a) study. Therefore, we believe that the results of CH4 199 emissions in this study can be trusted.









202 2.6 Attribution Analysis

200

A 2-box mass conserving model (based on equation 5) was used to attribute CH₄ emissions from the more than one suspected source of CH₄ in the 5k west. The changes in CH₄ over time t (min) at the observation point $C_{coal mine}$ (ppm) is driven by emissions from the upwind coal mine $E_{coal mine}$ (ppm min⁻¹) and the concentration gradient computed using the wind U (m s⁻¹), and the background concentration $C_{background}$ (ppm) as demonstrated in Figure 8.

208
$$\frac{\partial C_{coal\,mine}}{\partial t} = E_{coal\,mine} + U \times C_{background} - U \times C_{coal\,mine}$$
(5)

All observed data points and computed emissions are used when wind direction is capable of transporting the CH₄ from either CM-A or CM-B towards the observation site (Figure 8), while the remaining data is not used. A discretized version of Equation 5 is given in Equation 6 and solved using a first order finite difference approach: $C_{Coal\ mine_{\tau i+1}} - C_{coal\ mine_{\tau i}} = E_{coal\ mine_{\tau i}} + U_{\tau i} \times C_{background_{\tau i}} - U_{\tau i} \times C_{coal\ mine_{\tau i}}$ (6) where τ_i and τ_{i+1} are the current and next time step, and the other terms are defined as in equation 5.

All possible sets of steady-state concentrations are computed using all possible combinations of emissions and concentrations as boundary and initial conditions and running the equation forward to equilibrium. The computed concentrations are analyzed probabilistically by comparing the modeled CH₄ probability density function (PDF) with the observed CH₄ PDF. Differences between the PDFs are clearly associated with the different wind directions and hence geophysical locations of the sources can be distinguished.









Figure 8. Schematic diagram of the 2-Box model.

223 2.7. Converting Emissions into Policy-Relevant Units

224 In order to compare the emissions with some other studies, the units (ppm min⁻¹) were converted 225 into policy-relevant units (kg h⁻¹), although as outlined below, this conversion leads to a larger uncertainty 226 range. According to the attribution analysis in Section 2.6, when the wind direction is located within a 227 60° arc of coal mine A or coal mine B (Figure 8), the respective CH4 emissions which successfully passed 228 attribution were assigned to the respective coal mine. Therefore, based on the wind direction, CH4 229 emissions of coal mine A were screened from the CH4 emissions captured at North 1km, and CH4 230 emissions of coal mine B were screened from the CH4 emissions captured at West 5km. adopting the 231 following equation (7) to converte the units from ppm min⁻¹ to kg h⁻¹:

(7)

232
$$E'_{CH_4} = E_{CH_4} \times \rho_{air} \times H \times A \times 60$$

$$\rho_{air} = \frac{P \times M_{air}}{R \times T} \quad (8)$$

where E'_{CH_4} is the CH₄ emissions (kg h⁻¹), E_{CH_4} is the CH₄ emissions (ppm min⁻¹), ρ_{air} is the dry gas density (kg m³) (based on equation 8), H is the height of the vertical rise that the emissions undergo within their first minute (m), A is the area (m²) swept over an arc, which ranges linearly from 60° under slow wind conditions to 30° over very fast wind conditions, based on the wind speed when the direction is found to lead to successful attribution, P is the atmosphere pressure (Pa) over the sampling duration, M_{air} is the molecular weight of dry air, which is a fixed constant (28.97×10⁻³ kg mol⁻¹), R is the universal gas constant (8.314J mol⁻¹ k⁻¹), T is the air temperature (K) over the sampling duration.

Two different assumptions are made for the vertical extent of the plume rise, since the emissions are computed minute-by-minute which is shorter than the adjustment time throughout the entire boundary layer (Vaughn et al., 2018; Zinchenko et al., 2002). The first is to assume it has mixed within the bottom one fourth of the boundary layer, and the second is that it has mixed based on a steady vertical rise equal to one tenth of the horizontal wind. In this work, results using both assumptions will be presented.

246 3. Results and Discussion





247 **3.1 Spatial Distribution Characteristics of CH4 Concentration Around Coal Mine**

248 Time series of CH4 concentration, wind speed, and direction at 1 km, 3 km and 5 km north of CM-249 A are given in Figure 9a and Figure 9b. The wind direction blew from CM-A towards the observations 250 (between 150° and 210°) 59.2% of the time, with only one day observed at 1km north (August 15) with 251 a significant amount of wind from the west (between 240° and 300°) 92.8% of the time. Consistent with 252 CM-A being the major source at 1km, when the wind blew from the south, the CH₄ concentration 253 (3.45±0.79 ppm) was both higher and had a larger variation than when the wind blew from the west 254 (2.40±0.17 ppm) which was similar to background conditions. This is consistent with there being no 255 known significant sources to the west from this observation location, as shown in Figure 2. Similarly, 256 under faster than average wind conditions from the direction of CM-A (on August 21 the mean wind was 257 5.70 m s⁻¹ with 14.9% of observations faster than 7 m s⁻¹), the observed concentrations were slightly 258 lower, yet similarly variable (3.17±0.82 ppm). All of these findings are consistent with transport 259 dominating the concentrations at 1 km north, and that high frequency wind and concentration 260 observations are required in tandem to compute the required spatial gradients in the CH4, otherwise there 261 is no basis to objectively separate the effects of the emitting region (CM-A) from the background.





Figure 9. Time series of CH₄ concentration (ppm) (blue), wind speed (m s⁻¹) (yellow) and wind direction (°)
(orange lines) measured at 1 km (solid), 3km (dashed) and 5km (dash-dot) located north (top) and west
(bottom) of CM-A on four different days.

266

A similar set of findings were observed at 3 km north, while 5 km north is generally similar to the





267	background. At 3 km north, when the wind was from the south (59.3% of data), the concentration was
268	lower and more variable (3.16 \pm 1.48 ppm, with 78.7% of observations below 3.0 ppm) than at 1 km north,
269	consistent with advection from CM-A and a relatively stable atmosphere with a small contribution from
270	diffusion between the plume and the background. When the wind blew from other directions, the
271	distribution of concentrations broadened considerably, with a range from background (2.25 ppm) through
272	extremely polluted (16.2 ppm). One subset of this was observed on August 15 (observed over a total of
273	61 mins of observations, 6.68% of the total observations at 3 km north) when the wind was from the west
274	and slow, where the concentration was (5.44 \pm 2.82 ppm), as depicted in Figure 9. The data on this day
275	aligned with the presence of a major highway west of the observation site, which was observed in-person
276	to have heavy traffic consisting of vehicles carrying coal (which could still be outgassing) as well as
277	others powered by compressed natural gas (CNG). At 5km north the overall concentration (2.40 \pm 0.28
278	ppm) was generally lower than at 3km and had much lower variability, consistent with background CH4.
279	Time series of CH4 measured at 1 km, 3 km and 5 km west of CM-A and corresponding wind
280	direction and speed are given in Figure 9c and Figure 9d. Overall, the main wind direction is from the
281	south 98.4% of the time at 1km, 74.5% of the time at 3km, and 70.2% of the time at 5 km, and the wind
282	speed was very high when measuring $\rm CH_4$ at 1 km west, with an average value of 4.28 ± 1.13 m s $^{-1}$ and a
283	maximum of 7.4 m s ⁻¹ . This set of findings is consistent with clean upwind sources. Accordingly at 1 km
284	west, the observed CH4 concentration was slightly higher than background and had similar variability to
285	1km and 3km north (2.71±0.94 ppm and 86.5% of the data below 3 ppm). At 3 km west, $\rm CH_4$ was
286	observed to be similar to the background (2.32 \pm 0.09 ppm). The only exception was found at 1 km west
287	between 9:00 am and 9:30 am on August 17, in which all of the observations were greater than 4 ppm.
288	Since the areas to the west from 1 km west contains mostly farmland, there was no expected strong source
289	of CH_4 , as shown in Figure 2. This indicates that during this special short time, the observed slow increase
290	and rapid fall-off in CH_4 concentration must be due an unidentified source, or a change in the boundary
291	layer or vertical mixing structure.

Following this, it was anticipated that the 5 km west site would exhibit background types of conditions, however the observed data deviates significantly. Wind speed was low $(1.63\pm0.54 \text{ m s}^{-1})$, maximum 3.0 m s⁻¹), CH₄ concentration was both very high and exhibited substantial temporal variability (5.83±2.99 ppm, 66.7% exceeding 4 ppm, and peak of 15.3 ppm), and 70.2% of the observations were from the south as demonstrated in Figure 10d,e,f. From Figure 1, it can be seen that





- 297 there is another coal mine (CM-B) located about 1 km away from the 5 km west measurement point, to 298 its southwest, although CM-B has an annual production of about 3 million tons (smaller than CM-A) and 299 not considered to be high gas (like CM-A), and therefore was not previously considered important. The 300 overlap of high concentrations with low a priori emissions, suggests that formal attribution is essential 301 to quantitatively confirm whether CM-B is the source responsible for both typical conditions at 5 km 302 west, as well as the long-range transport event at 1 km west. 303 CH4 concentrations and wind observations in all directions except to the west, and except for the 304 small number of special events documents above, exhibit PDFs that show there is a decrease in 305 concentration the further the distance from CM-A (Figures 10 and 11), indicating that CM-A is consistent 306 with the major sources in these regions. These decreases are observed in terms of the median, mean,
- 307 distribution width, and percentage over 4.0 ppm all decreasing from 1 km north to 3 km north and again
- 308 from 3 km north to 5 km north.



309

311

310 Figure 10. Probability density map for CH4 concentration and wind rose measured at 1 km north (top left),

1 km west (bottom left), 3 km north (top center), 3 km west (bottom center), 5 km north (top right), and 5 km 312 west (bottom right) of CM-A.









Figure 11. Probability density map for CH₄ concentration measured at 1 km, 3 km and 5 km east and south
 of CM-A and corresponding wind rose chart.

The observed CH₄ concentration gradient as one moves westward from CM-A is inconsistent with the other ordinal directions (Figure 10d, e, f). While there was a small decrease in the mean and distribution breadth from 1 km west to 3 km west, there was a large increase in the median, mean, distribution width, percentatge over 4.0 ppm from 3 km west to 5 km west. Furthermore, the data at 5 km west was found to be skewed differently than at the other sites, with approximately 70% of the data greater than 4.0 ppm. The data clearly indicates that the 5 km west site behaves more like a source region than even the 1 km north site.

323 3.2 Quantification and Emission Characteristics of CMM

324 The CH4 emissions have been computed at each of the observation poits, with 25.7% of observations 325 yielding emissions results. The PDFs of the CH₄ emissions (Figures 12 and 13) reveal that the three 326 stations in the north and the 5km west station all are relatively high and variable, while the remainder are 327 relatively low and non-variable. Among all the CH4 emissions results, the highest median, mean, 328 maximum, and breadth of the distribution are all observed at 5km west. The 3km south location has the 329 lowest emissions of all points observed (by median), with a respective median, mean, maximum, and 330 percentage greater than 1.0ppm/min of (0.03 ppm min⁻¹, 0.26 ppm min⁻¹, 0.90 ppm min⁻¹, 0%) (Figure 331 13), and is subsequently considered representative of background emissions in this work. It is important 332 to note that there is no area within this region that has 0ppm/min emissions and that the minimum 333 concentration on average is about 2.23 ppm (Figure 11), both of which are considered very high or







334 polluted compared with most other current studies (Irakulis-Loitxate et al., 2021; Sadavarte et al., 2021).

335

336 Figure 12. Probability density functions (PDF) of computed CH4 emissions located at 1 km north (a), 3 km 337 north (b), 5 km north (c), 1 km west (d), 3 km west (e), and 5 km west (f) of CM-A, including median, mean,

338 maximum, and minimum statistics.



339

340

Figure 13. Probability density functions (PDF) of computed CH4 emissions located at 1 km east (a), 3 km east 341 (b), 5 km east (c), 1 km south (d), 3 km south (e), and 5 km south (f) of CM-A, including median, mean, 342 maximum, and minimum statistics.

343 The spatial distribution Characteristics of the CH4 emissions is similar to that of the CH4 concentration observations (Figure 12). First, there is a decrease as one moves northward along the axis 344 345 away from CM-A, with the median, mean, maximum, and percentage of emissions greater than 1.0 ppm





346	min ⁻¹ at 1 km north (0.73 ppm min ⁻¹ , 1.18 ppm min ⁻¹ , 5.67 ppm min ⁻¹ , and 42%) all larger than at 3km
347	north (0.28 ppm min ⁻¹ , 0.72 ppm min ⁻¹ , 3.41 ppm min ⁻¹ , and 29%). The values at 3 km north are also
348	larger than those at 5 km north, which respectively are 0.11 ppm min ⁻¹ , 0.18 ppm min ⁻¹ , and 0.59 ppm
349	min ⁻¹ , and 0%. The subset of emissions under low wind speed conditions exhibited a larger decline from
350	1 km to 3 km and from 3 km to 5 km. The observations are further consistent with transport from a single
351	dominant source located at CM-A being the primary driving factor, and diffusion from other industrial
352	sources in Changzhi city center being a secondary factor.

353 Consistent with there being few to no sources impacting the 1 km west and 3 km west sites, except for considerably less transport from CM-A the computed PDFs at these sites (Figure 12) demonstrate 354 355 low emissions and low variability, with the respective median, mean, maximum, and percentage of 356 emissions greater than 1.0 ppm min⁻¹ at 1 km west being 0.28 ppm min⁻¹, 0.55 ppm min⁻¹, 3.03 ppm 357 min⁻¹, and 16% and at 3km west being even lower (0.08 ppm min⁻¹, 0.10 ppm min⁻¹, 0.27 ppm min⁻¹, and 358 0%). However, the CH₄ emissions computed at 5 km west were the highest and most variable of all results 359 computed in this work, with the respective statistics being 1.45 ppm min⁻¹, 1.82 ppm min⁻¹, 7.92 ppm 360 min⁻¹, and 60%. Furthermore, the skewness of the distribution at 5 km west (which has 30% of the CH4 361 emissions above 2.0 ppm min⁻¹) is much larger than at 1 km north (which only has 15% of emissions 362 above 2.0 ppm min⁻¹). Combining these pieces of information, at first look it seems that the site at 5 km 363 west is not related to the emissions from CM-A, or at best are a mixture of emissions from CM-A and 364 those at another site, herein proposed to be CM-B. The remainder of this study focuses on disentangling 365 and attributing contributions from CM-A and CM-B at 5km west, with the observations at the remaining 366 sites ruled out in terms of having a contribution from CM-B.

367 3.3 Attribution of CH₄ Emissions

368 This works applied the 2-box model at the 5 km west site and quantified the contribution of both 369 CM-A and CM-B emissions to the observed CH₄ concentration distributions as given in Figure 14. First, 370 the results of the 2-box model produce PDFs which overlap with the overall observed CH4 PDF, 371 indicating that the results are reasonable. Second, space of the emissions computed from the two different 372 two coal mines do not overlap, and cover two independent portions of the observed CH4 PDF. Specifically, 373 the 30%, 50%, and 70% values of CH₄ concentration observed at 5km west are 3.68 ppm, 5.18 ppm, and 374 6.86 ppm respectively. The emissions from CM-A yield a CH₄ concentration less than 4 ppm most of 375 the time, with a 30%, 50%, 70%, and maximum concentration of 2.96 ppm, 3.15 ppm, 3.31 ppm, and





376 4.60 ppm, while the emissions from CM-B yield a CH₄ concentration more than 5 ppm most of the time, 377 with a minimum, 30%, 50%, 70%, and maximum concentration of 4.76 ppm, 5.20 ppm, 5.68 ppm, and 378 6.18 ppm. 379 Overall, the emissions from CM-B cover well the observed concentration values from the range of 380 50% to 70%, with a single high value around the 90% value, while the emissions from CM-A cover well 381 the observed concentration values in the range from 10% to 30%. One weakness is that the length of 382 observations is not as comprehensive as at the other sites, and therefore it is possible that had more 383 observations been made, the contributions from CM-B would have filled more of the space between the 384 70% and 90% levels, and some combination of sources from CM-A and CM-B would have better filled 385 the space between the 30% and 50% levels. The results indicate to a high degree of certainty that the 386 emissions from the two respective coal mines are distinct, with CM-A the source of emissions in the 387 lower range of the concentration distribution and CM-B the source for emissions in the higher 388 concentration range, covering values in the middle and upper range. Improvements in modeling, 389 additional observations, considering possible contributions from additional missing sources, and 390 consideration of longer-range transport could add further improvement and better explore the 391 intermediate range of observed concentrations.



392

393 Figure 14. The PDFs of CH₄ concentration measured at 5 km west (blue) and simulated using the 2-Box model

396 **3.4 Policy-Relevant Emissions**

397 In order to compare the values of CH₄ emissions from the Shanxi coal mines computed in section

under conditions when the source is CM-A (red), and when the sources is CM-B (orange), including
 representative 30%, 50%, and 70% bounds are in.





398	2.7, the units (ppm min ⁻¹) are transformed into units of $(kg h^{-1})$ via a conversion factor based on equations
399	(7) and (8). This conversion increases the overall uncertainty, since since it involves approximations of
400	the area swept, the boundary layer height, and and other uncertainties. In this study, average CH_4
401	emissions from CM-A and CM-B are 13670 \pm 7400 kg h ⁻¹ and 5070 \pm 2270 kg h ⁻¹ , and the CH ₄ emissions
402	range is from 200 kg $hr^{\text{-1}}$ to 67700 kg $h^{\text{-1}}$ and 430 kg $hr^{\text{-1}}$ to 15300 kg $h^{\text{-1}}$ repectivily (Table 2). Both mines
403	display a fat tail distribution, with respective 25 th , median, and 75 th percentile values of [870, 7500, 38700]
404	kg $h^{\text{-}1}$ and [431, 1590, 7000] kg $h^{\text{-}1}$ respectively. These findings are demonstrated to be higher than CH4
405	emissions from equivalent oil and gas operations in the USA (Chen et al., 2022), with one site being
406	roughly double and the other similar to and slightly lower than day-to-day emissions inverted over 5-
407	years from TROPOMI (Hu et al., 2024) over the same region. This is consistent with the fact that the
408	results herein target very high frequency and spatially confined emissions, while satellites provide day-
409	to-day values over a larger pixel area, as well as associated significant uncertainties involved in
410	converting from ppm to kg. In specific, at CM-A, the minimum (200 kg $h^{\text{-}1})$ and maxmum (67700 kg $h^{\text{-}1}$
411	$^1)$ values of CH4 emissions results are larger than the respective minimum (8 kg $h^{\rm -1})$ and maxmum (37300
412	kg $h^{\text{-}1})$ values of CH4 emissions inverted from TROPOMI, with the statistical values roughly double,
413	while at CM-B, the minimum (430 kg $h^{\text{-}1})$ value of CH_4 emissions results is greater than the minimum
414	(20 kg $h^{\text{-}1})$ from TROPOMI, the maxmum value $\ (15300 \text{ kg } h^{\text{-}1})$ of CH4 emissions is less than the
415	maximum (37300 kg $\rm h^{\text{-}1})$ from TROPOMI, and the statistical values are slightly smaller although similar.
416	In this study, observations were made within 1km of the coal mines on a minute-to-minute basis, while
417	the the TROPOMI observed the xCH4 over a space scale (5.5 $\times 7~km^2)$ and on a day-to-day average basis,
418	both of which indicate advantages of higher sampling diversity, especially so at the extreme values
419	observed, when compared with TROPOMI's results. For this reason, it is likely that the sampling time at
420	CM-B was insufficient to fully capture the fat tail of the emissions, since the maxmum is smaller than
421	the maximum estimated CH_4 from TROPOMI (consistent with the limited two days of data at coal mine
422	B), (Table 1). Given that the emissions are generally larger at the higher production coal mine, they are
423	consistent with the concept that over geologically similar environments, higher coal mine production
424	leads to increased CH4 emissions, although the increase is not linear as most current models assume.
425 426	
427	

428





4	2	9

- 430
- 431

432	Table 2. The CH ₄ emissions (kg h ⁻¹) of CM-A and CM-B using different observation methods and statistical
433	methods

Ch4 emissions (kg h ⁻¹)CH4 emissions (kg h ⁻¹)Min Median Max Mean±SE Min Median Max Mean±SE Min Median Max Mean±SE Min MedianMax Mean±SE Min Median Max Mean±SE Min Median Max Mean±SE Min MeCM-A13670±740020075006770019100±9800705790638005500±70082CM-B5070±22704306060153001000±44430160028506200±10002014344. Conclusions435This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down436emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with437multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection438with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a439frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal440 <t< th=""><th>icu</th></t<>	icu		
Initial1/1 boundary layer1/10 horizontal windMean±SEMinMedianMaxMean±SEMinMedianMaxMean±SEMinMeCM-A13670±740020075006770019100±9800705790638005500±70082CM-B 5070 ± 2270 4306060153001000±44430160028506200±10002014344. Conclusions435This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down436emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with437multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection438with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a439frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal441platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution442analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are443consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4	CH ₄ emissions (kg h ⁻¹)		
Mean±SEMinMedianMaxMean±SEMinMedianMaxMean±SEMinMinCM-A13670±740020075006770019100±9800705790638005500±70082CM-B5070±22704306060153001000±44430160028506200±10002014344. Conclusions435This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down436emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with437multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection438with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a439frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal441platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution442analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are443consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4			
CM-A 13670 ± 7400 200 7500 67700 19100 ± 9800 70 5790 63800 5500 ± 700 8 2 CM-B 5070 ± 2270 430 6060 15300 1000 ± 444 30 1600 2850 6200 ± 1000 20 1 4344. Conclusions435This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down436emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with437multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection438with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a439frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal441platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution442analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are443consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4	ian Max		
CM-B5070±22704306060153001000±44430160028506200±10002014344. Conclusions435This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down436emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with437multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection438with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a439frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal440mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling441platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution442analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are443consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4	24900		
 434 4. Conclusions 435 This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down 436 emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with 437 multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection 438 with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a 439 frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal 440 mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling 441 platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution 442 analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are 443 consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4 	37300		
435This study presents a high frequency ground observation campaign and a new analytical top down436emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with437multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection438with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a439frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal440mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling441platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution442analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are443consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4			
 emissions estimation approach to quantify the emissions of CH4 from a high gas coal mine region with multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling platform to estimate the CH4 emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH4 concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH4 			
 multiple mines. The base observations are made using a portable greenhouse gas analyzer in connection with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling platform to estimate the CH₄ emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH₄ concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH₄ 			
 with meterological and other optical measurements. Observations have been made over 15 days at a frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling platform to estimate the CH₄ emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH₄ concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH₄ 			
frequency of 1 second, at various locations of known distance from an existing high production coal mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling platform to estimate the CH ₄ emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH ₄ concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH ₄			
 mine. The high frequency observations are then used in connection with a mass conserving modeling platform to estimate the CH₄ emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH₄ concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH₄ 			
 platform to estimate the CH₄ emission rate. A mass-conserving Two-Box model was used for attribution analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH₄ concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH₄ 			
 analysis in this study. The results show that the spatial characteristics of CH₄ concentration/emissions are consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH₄ 			
443 consistent with the distance from a well characterized of single coal mine within 5km distance, and CH_4			
444 emissions demonstrate clear first order effects of both transport and diffusion, with methane emission			
rates of 0.73, 0.28 and 0.15 ppm min ⁻¹ at 1, 3 and 5 km downwind respectively. At 5 km north the overall			
446 concentration (2.40±0.28 ppm) was generally lower than at 3km and had much lower variability,			
447 consistent with background CH4, which demonstrate that the CMM emissions mainl affect the			
448 surrounding area with 5km distance. However, the overlap of two coal mines (CM-A and CM-B) have a			
far more complex distribution of emissions intensity, ranging as high as 1.82 ppm min ⁻¹ , which is much			
450 higher than the emissions of single source at any directions. Another, the background concentration of			
451 surface CH ₄ in the mining areas is very high compared with other studies, with a value always at and			
452 above 2.23 ppm. Finally, in order to compare these results with results from other parts of the world, the			
453 subset of emissions which successfully underwent attribution were converted into the unit of kg h^{-1} using			
an approximation of the volume swept by the wind and other approximations of the atmosphere. The			
455 resulting values were found to be $13670\pm7400 \text{ kg h}^{-1}$ and $5070\pm2270 \text{ kg h}^{-1}$ respectively, which are higher			





456 than CH4 emissions from equivalent oil and gas operations in the USA, and in one case are higher than but in the other case similar to day-to-day emissions inverted from 5-years of TROPOMI over the same 457 458 region. This work demonstrates that high frequency surface observations of CH4, in combination with high 459 460 frequency observations of wind can provide deep insights into emissions by accounting for high frequency changes in space and time at the same time, which tend to be missing from models which used 461 462 more idealized approaches (such as average plume shapes and sizes, levels of coal production, and 463 interpreting gradients from a small number of fixed images). A significant source of CH4 emissions from 464 a previously unknown or improperly classified mine may pose a vastly different range of observed 465 concentration as well as computed emissions than expected. The importance of observations at both high 466 frequency and regional spatial coverage are demonstrated, and a set of practical methods that are freely 467 open and can be adopted and modified rapidly are provided. The approach to source attribution used 468 herein can provide insights to policymakers to formulate regional emission control policies and provide 469 a check on or a priori assumption for the new generation of advance satellite-based top-down emissions 470 estimates, while demonstrating that spatial attribution is a critical next-step for satellite approximations 471 and CH4 control policies.

472 Data Availability

All underlying data herein are available for access by the editors and reviewers at
<u>https://figshare.com/s/1a393772d7b72ae17e62</u> and will be made available to the community upon
publication.

476 Author contributions

477 K.Q., J.B.C. and F.L. designed the research; F.L., C.Y., and Y.S. collected the data; J.B.C. and F.L.

478 analyzed the data; Q.T., W. H. and Q.X. provided the support for data analysis and drawing; Q.H., S.W

479 gave suggestion on running the 2-Box model; F.L. wrote the manuscript with inputs from J.B.C., Q.H.

480 and P.T.; All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

481 Competing interests

482 The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that

483 could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

484 **Disclaimer**

485 Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims made in





- 486 the text, pub- lished maps, institutional affiliations, or any other geographical rep- resentation in this
- 487 paper. While Copernicus Publications makes ev- ery effort to include appropriate place names, the final
- 488 responsibility lies with the authors.

489 Acknowledgments

- 490 We sincerely appreciate all the scientists, engineers, and students who participated in the field campaigns,
- 491 maintained the measurement instruments, and helped with and collection and processing of the data.
- 492 Finacial support
- 493 This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42075147, 42375125) and
- 494 the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2023KYJD1003, JP230021).
- 495

496 **References**

- 497 Allen, D. T.: Methane emissions from natural gas production and use: reconciling bottom-up and top-
- 498 down measurements, Curr. Opin. Chem., 5, 78-83, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coche.2014.05.004, 2014.
- 499 Bloom, A. A., Bowman, K. W., Lee, M., Turner, A. J., Schroeder, R., Worden, J. R., Weidner, R.,
- 500 McDonald, K. C., and Jacob, D. J.: A global wetland methane emissions and uncertainty dataset for
- 501 atmospheric chemical transport models (WetCHARTs version 1.0), Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 2141-2156,
- 502 https://gmd.copernicus.org/articles/10/2141/2017/, 2017.
- 503 Bournazian, J.: US. Energy Information Administration, https://hdl.handle.net/1813/4504, 2016.
- 504 Brandt, A. R., Heath, G. A., Kort, E. A., O'Sullivan, F., Pétron, G., Jordaan, S. M., Tans, P., Wilcox, J.,
- 505 Gopstein, A. M., Arent, D., Wofsy, S., Brown, N. J., Bradley, R., Stucky, G. D., Eardley, D., and Harriss,
- 506 R.: Methane Leaks from North American Natural Gas Systems, Science, 343, 733-735,
- 507 https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.1247045, 2014.
- 508 Brasseur, G. P., and Jacob, D. J.: Modeling of atmospheric chemistry, Cambridge University
- 509 Press Publishing, 2017.
- 510 Buchwitz, M., Schneising, O., Reuter, M., Heymann, J., Krautwurst, S., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P.,
- 511 Boesch, H., Parker, R. J., Somkuti, P., Detmers, R. G., Hasekamp, O. P., Aben, I., Butz, A., Frankenberg,
- 512 C., and Turner, A. J.: Satellite-derived methane hotspot emission estimates using a fast data-driven
- 513 method, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5751-5774, <u>https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/17/5751/2017/</u>, 2017.
- 514 Butz, A., Galli, A., Hasekamp, O., Landgraf, J., Tol, P., and Aben, I.: TROPOMI aboard Sentinel-5
- 515 Precursor: Prospective performance of CH4 retrievals for aerosol and cirrus loaded atmospheres, Remote





- 516 Sens. Environ., 120, 267-276, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.05.030</u>, 2012.
- 517 Cao, X.: Policy and regulatory responses to coalmine closure and coal resources consolidation for
- 518 sustainability in Shanxi, China, J. Clean. Prod., 145, 199-208,
- 519 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.01.050, 2017.
- 520 Chen, Y., Sherwin, E. D., Berman, E. S. F., Jones, B. B., Gordon, M. P., Wetherley, E. B., Kort, E. A.,
- 521 and Brandt, A. R.: Quantifying Regional Methane Emissions in the New Mexico Permian Basin with a
- 522 Comprehensive Aerial Survey, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 4317-4323,
- 523 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c06458, 2022.
- 524 Cohen, J. B., and Prinn, R. G.: Development of a fast, urban chemistry metamodel for inclusion in global
- 525 models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7629-7656, https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/11/7629/2011/, 2011.
- 526 Cohen, J. B., and Wang, C.: Estimating global black carbon emissions using a top-down Kalman Filter
- 527 approach, J. Geophys Res: Atmos., 119, 307-323, https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD019912, 2014.
- 528 Delkash, M., Zhou, B., Han, B., Chow, F. K., Rella, C. W., and Imhoff, P. T.: Short-term landfill methane
- 529 emissions dependency on wind, Waste Management, 55, 288-298,
- 530 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2016.02.009</u>, 2016.
- 531 Duren, R. M., Thorpe, A. K., Foster, K. T., Rafiq, T., Hopkins, F. M., Yadav, V., Bue, B. D., Thompson,
- 532 D. R., Conley, S., Colombi, N. K., Frankenberg, C., McCubbin, I. B., Eastwood, M. L., Falk, M., Herner,
- 533 J. D., Croes, B. E., Green, R. O., and Miller, C. E.: California's methane super-emitters, Nature, 575,
- 534 180-184, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1720-3</u>, 2019.
- 535 Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane,
- and nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 43, 12614-
- 537 12623, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071930, 2016.
- 538 Goldsmith, C. D., Chanton, J., Abichou, T., Swan, N., Green, R., and Hater, G.: Methane emissions from
- 539 20 landfills across the United States using vertical radial plume mapping, J. Air Waste Manage., 62, 183-
- 540 197, https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2011.639480, 2012.
- 541 Gorchov Negron, A. M., Kort, E. A., Conley, S. A., and Smith, M. L.: Airborne Assessment of Methane
- 542 Emissions from Offshore Platforms in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 5112-5120,
- 543 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c00179</u>, 2020.
- 544 Heerah, S., Frausto-Vicencio, I., Jeong, S., Marklein, A. R., Ding, Y., Meyer, A. G., Parker, H. A., Fischer,
- 545 M. L., Franklin, J. E., Hopkins, F. M., and Dubey, M.: Dairy Methane Emissions in California's San





- 546 Joaquin Valley Inferred With Ground-Based Remote Sensing Observations in the Summer and Winter,
- 547 Journal of Geophys Res: Atmo., 126, e2021JD034785, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD034785</u>, 2021.
- 548 Hiller, R. V., Neininger, B., Brunner, D., Gerbig, C., Bretscher, D., Künzle, T., Buchmann, N., and Eugster,
- 549 W.: Aircraft-based CH4 flux estimates for validation of emissions from an agriculturally dominated area
- 550 in Switzerland, Journal of Geophys Res: Atmos., 119, 4874-4887,
- 551 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2013JD020918</u>, 2014.
- 552 Hu, H., Landgraf, J., Detmers, R., Borsdorff, T., Aan de Brugh, J., Aben, I., Butz, A., and Hasekamp, O.:
- 553 Toward Global Mapping of Methane With TROPOMI: First Results and Intersatellite Comparison to
- 554 GOSAT, Geophys. Res. Lett., 45, 3682-3689, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2018GL077259</u>, 2018.
- 555 Irakulis-Loitxate, I., Guanter, L., Liu, Y.-N., Varon, D. J., Maasakkers, J. D., Zhang, Y., Chulakadabba,
- 556 A., Wofsy, S. C., Thorpe, A. K., Duren, R. M., Frankenberg, C., Lyon, D. R., Hmiel, B., Cusworth, D.
- 557 H., Zhang, Y., Segl, K., Gorroño, J., Sánchez-García, E., Sulprizio, M. P., Cao, K., Zhu, H., Liang, J., Li,
- 558 X., Aben, I., and Jacob, D. J.: Satellite-based survey of extreme methane emissions in the Permian basin,
- 559 Sci. Adv., 7, eabf4507, https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/sciadv.abf4507, 2021.
- 560 Jacob, D. J., Turner, A. J., Maasakkers, J. D., Sheng, J., Sun, K., Liu, X., Chance, K., Aben, I., McKeever,
- 561 J., and Frankenberg, C.: Satellite observations of atmospheric methane and their value for quantifying
- 562
 methane
 emissions,
 Atmos.
 Chem.
 Phys.,
 16,
 14371-14396,

 563
 https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/16/14371/2016/, 2016.
 2016.
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
 16
- 564 Jacob, D. J., Varon, D. J., Cusworth, D. H., Dennison, P. E., Frankenberg, C., Gautam, R., Guanter, L.,
- 565 Kelley, J., McKeever, J., Ott, L. E., Poulter, B., Qu, Z., Thorpe, A. K., Worden, J. R., and Duren, R. M.:
- Quantifying methane emissions from the global scale down to point sources using satellite observations
 of atmospheric methane, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 9617-9646,
- 568 https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/22/9617/2022/, 2022.
- 569 Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Muntean, M., and Petrescu, A. M. R.: EDGAR v4.3.2
- 570 Global Atlas of the three major Greenhouse Gas Emissions for the period 1970–2012, Earth Syst. Sci.
- 571 Data, 1-55, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-2017-79</u>, 2017.
- 572 Karion, A., Sweeney, C., Pétron, G., Frost, G., Michael Hardesty, R., Kofler, J., Miller, B. R., Newberger,
- 573 T., Wolter, S., Banta, R., Brewer, A., Dlugokencky, E., Lang, P., Montzka, S. A., Schnell, R., Tans, P.,
- 574 Trainer, M., Zamora, R., and Conley, S.: Methane emissions estimate from airborne measurements over
- 575 a western United States natural gas field, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 4393-4397,





- 576 https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50811, 2013.
- 577 Katzenstein, A. S., Doezema, L. A., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., and Rowland, F. S.: Extensive regional
- 578 atmospheric hydrocarbon pollution in the southwestern United States, PNAS, 100, 11975-11979,
- 579 https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1635258100, 2003.
- 580 Kerr, T., and Yang, M.: Coal mine methane in China: A budding asset with the potential to bloom, IEA
- 581 Information Paper, 1-35, https://www.iea.org/reports/coal-mine-methane-in-china-a-budding-asset-
- 582 with-the-potential-to-bloom, 2009.
- 583 Kirschke, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Saunois, M., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Bergamaschi, P.,
- 584 Bergmann, D., Blake, D. R., Bruhwiler, L., Cameron-Smith, P., Castaldi, S., Chevallier, F., Feng, L.,
- 585 Fraser, A., Heimann, M., Hodson, E. L., Houweling, S., Josse, B., Fraser, P. J., Krummel, P. B., Lamarque,
- 586 J.-F., Langenfelds, R. L., Le Quéré, C., Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Palmer, P. I., Pison, I., Plummer, D.,
- 587 Poulter, B., Prinn, R. G., Rigby, M., Ringeval, B., Santini, M., Schmidt, M., Shindell, D. T., Simpson, I.
- 588 J., Spahni, R., Steele, L. P., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., van der Werf, G. R., Voulgarakis, A., van
- 589 Weele, M., Weiss, R. F., Williams, J. E., and Zeng, G.: Three decades of global methane sources and
- 590 sinks, Nat. Geosci., 6, 813-823, https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1955, 2013.
- 591 Krautwurst, S., Gerilowski, K., Borchardt, J., Wildmann, N., Gałkowski, M., Swolkień, J., Marshall, J.,
- 592 Fiehn, A., Roiger, A., Ruhtz, T., Gerbig, C., Necki, J., Burrows, J. P., Fix, A., and Bovensmann, H.:
- 593 Quantification of CH₄ coal mining emissions in Upper Silesia by passive airborne remote sensing
- 594 observations with the Methane Airborne MAPper (MAMAP) instrument during the CO₂ and Methane
- 595 (CoMet) campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 17345-17371,
- 596 <u>https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/21/17345/2021/</u>, 2021.
- 597 Krautwurst, S., Gerilowski, K., Jonsson, H. H., Thompson, D. R., Kolyer, R. W., Iraci, L. T., Thorpe, A.
- 598 K., Horstjann, M., Eastwood, M., Leifer, I., Vigil, S. A., Krings, T., Borchardt, J., Buchwitz, M.,
- 599 Fladeland, M. M., Burrows, J. P., and Bovensmann, H.: Methane emissions from a Californian landfill,
- 600 determined from airborne remote sensing and in situ measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 10, 3429-3452,
- 601 https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/10/3429/2017/, 2017.
- 602 Kuhlmann, G., Brunner, D., Emmenegger, L., Schwietzke, S., Zavala-Araiza, D., Thorpe, A., Hueni, A.,
- 603 and Röckmann, T.: Quantifying methane super-emitters from oil and gas production in Romania with the
- 604 AVIRIS-NG imaging spectrometer, EGU General Assembly 2023, Vienna, Austria., 24–28 Apr 2023
- 605 EGU23-6751.





- 606 Kuze, A., Suto, H., Nakajima, M., and Hamazaki, T.: Thermal and near infrared sensor for carbon
- 607 observation Fourier-transform spectrometer on the Greenhouse Gases Observing Satellite for greenhouse
- 608 gases monitoring, Appl. Optics., 48, 6716-6733, https://opg.optica.org/ao/abstract.cfm?URI=ao-48-35-
- 609 <u>6716</u>, 2009.
- 610 Lauvaux, T., Giron, C., Mazzolini, M., d'Aspremont, A., Duren, R., Cusworth, D., Shindell, D., and Ciais,
- 611 P.: Global assessment of oil and gas methane ultra-emitters, Science, 375, 557-561,
- 612 <u>https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.abj4351</u>, 2022.
- 613 Li, Q., Fernandez, R. P., Hossaini, R., Iglesias-Suarez, F., Cuevas, C. A., Apel, E. C., Kinnison, D. E.,
- 614 Lamarque, J.-F., and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Reactive halogens increase the global methane lifetime and
- radiative forcing in the 21st century, Nat. Commun., 13, 2768, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-</u>
 <u>30456-8</u>, 2022.
- 617 Li, X., Cohen, J. B., Qin, K., Geng, H., Wu, L., Wu, X., Yang, C., Zhang, R., and Zhang, L.: Remotely
- 618 Sensed and Surface Measurement Derived Mass-Conserving Inversion of Daily High-Resolution NOx
- 619 Emissions and Inferred Combustion Technologies in Energy Rich Northern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 620 23, 8001-8019, https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/2023/egusphere-2023-2/, 2023,.
- 621 Lin, B.-q., and Liu, J.-h.: Estimating coal production peak and trends of coal imports in China, Energ.
- 622 Policy, 38, 512-519, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2009.09.042, 2010.
- 623 Luther, A., Kleinschek, R., Scheidweiler, L., Defratyka, S., Stanisavljevic, M., Forstmaier, A., Dandocsi,
- 624 A., Wolff, S., Dubravica, D., Wildmann, N., Kostinek, J., Jöckel, P., Nickl, A. L., Klausner, T., Hase, F.,
- 625 Frey, M., Chen, J., Dietrich, F., Nęcki, J., Swolkień, J., Fix, A., Roiger, A., and Butz, A.: Quantifying
- 626 CH₄ emissions from hard coal mines using mobile sun-viewing Fourier transform spectrometry, Atmos.
- 627 Meas. Tech., 12, 5217-5230, https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/12/5217/2019/, 2019.
- 628 Luther, A., Kostinek, J., Kleinschek, R., Defratyka, S., Stanisavljević, M., Forstmaier, A., Dandocsi, A.,
- 629 Scheidweiler, L., Dubravica, D., Wildmann, N., Hase, F., Frey, M. M., Chen, J., Dietrich, F., Nęcki, J.,
- 630 Swolkień, J., Knote, C., Vardag, S. N., Roiger, A., and Butz, A.: Observational constraints on methane
- 631 emissions from Polish coal mines using a ground-based remote sensing network, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 632 22, 5859-5876, <u>https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/22/5859/2022/</u>, 2022.
- 633 Mehrotra, S., Faloona, I., Suard, M., Conley, S., and Fischer, M. L.: Airborne Methane Emission
- 634 Measurements for Selected Oil and Gas Facilities Across California, Environ. Sci. Technol., 51, 12981-
- 635 12987, <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b03254</u>, 2017.





- 636 Miller, S. M., Michalak, A. M., Detmers, R. G., Hasekamp, O. P., Bruhwiler, L. M. P., and Schwietzke,
- 637 S.: China's coal mine methane regulations have not curbed growing emissions, Nat. Commun., 10, 303,
- 638 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07891-7</u>, 2019.
- 639 Molina, L. T., Madronich, S., Gaffney, J. S., Apel, E., de Foy, B., Fast, J., Ferrare, R., Herndon, S.,
- 40 Jimenez, J. L., Lamb, B., Osornio-Vargas, A. R., Russell, P., Schauer, J. J., Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R.,
- 641 and Zavala, M.: An overview of the MILAGRO 2006 Campaign: Mexico City emissions and their
- 642 transport and transformation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8697-8760,
- 643 https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/10/8697/2010/, 2010.
- 644 Peng, S., Piao, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Li, B., Lin, X., Tao, S., Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., and Zhou, F.:
- 645 Inventory of anthropogenic methane emissions in mainland China from 1980 to 2010, Atmos. Chem.
- 646 Phys., 16, 14545-14562, https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/16/14545/2016/, 2016.
- 647 Plant, G., Kort, E. A., Murray, L. T., Maasakkers, J. D., and Aben, I.: Evaluating urban methane emissions
- from space using TROPOMI methane and carbon monoxide observations, Remote Sen. Environ., 268,
- 649 112756, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112756, 2022.
- 650 Povey, A. C., and Grainger, R. G.: Known and unknown unknowns: uncertainty estimation in satellite
- eremote sensing, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 4699-4718, https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/8/4699/2015/,
- 652 2015.
- 653 Prather, M. J., Holmes, C. D., and Hsu, J.: Reactive greenhouse gas scenarios: Systematic exploration of
- 654 uncertainties and the role of atmospheric chemistry, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L09803,
- 655 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051440</u>, 2012.
- Prinn, R., Cunnold, D., Rasmussen, R., Simmonds, P., Alyea, F., Crawford, A., Fraser, P., and Rosen, R.:
- 657 Atmospheric Trends in Methylchloroform and the Global Average for the Hydroxyl Radical, Science,
- 658 238, 945-950, https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.238.4829.945, 1987.
- 659 Qin, K., Hu, W., He, Q., Lu, F., and Cohen, J. B.: Individual Coal Mine Methane Emissions Constrained
- by Eddy-Covariance Measurements: Low Bias and Missing Sources, EGUsphere, 1-49,
 https://egusphere.copernicus.org/preprints/2023/egusphere-2023-1210/, 2023a.
- 662 Qin, K., Lu, L., Liu, J., He, Q., Shi, J., Deng, W., Wang, S., and Cohen, J. B.: Model-free daily inversion
- 663 of NOx emissions using TROPOMI (MCMFE-NOx) and its uncertainty: Declining regulated emissions
- 664 and growth of new sources, Remote Sens. Environ., 295, 113720,
- 665 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113720</u>, 2023b.





- 666 Reuter, M., Buchwitz, M., Schneising, O., Krautwurst, S., O'Dell, C. W., Richter, A., Bovensmann, H.,
- 667 and Burrows, J. P.: Towards monitoring localized CO₂ emissions from space: co-located regional CO₂
- and NO₂ enhancements observed by the OCO-2 and S5P satellites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 9371-9383,
- 669 https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/19/9371/2019/, 2019.
- 670 Rigby, M., Park, S., Saito, T., Western, L. M., Redington, A. L., Fang, X., Henne, S., Manning, A. J.,
- 671 Prinn, R. G., Dutton, G. S., Fraser, P. J., Ganesan, A. L., Hall, B. D., Harth, C. M., Kim, J., Kim, K. R.,
- 672 Krummel, P. B., Lee, T., Li, S., Liang, Q., Lunt, M. F., Montzka, S. A., Mühle, J., O'Doherty, S., Park,
- 673 M. K., Reimann, S., Salameh, P. K., Simmonds, P., Tunnicliffe, R. L., Weiss, R. F., Yokouchi, Y., and
- 674 Young, D.: Increase in CFC-11 emissions from eastern China based on atmospheric observations, Nature,
- 675 569, 546-550, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1193-4</u>, 2019.
- 676 Sadavarte, P., Pandey, S., Maasakkers, J. D., Lorente, A., Borsdorff, T., Denier van der Gon, H.,
- 677 Houweling, S., and Aben, I.: Methane Emissions from Superemitting Coal Mines in Australia Quantified
- 678 Using TROPOMI Satellite Observations, Environ. Sci. Technol., 55, 16573-16580,
 679 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c03976</u>, 2021.
- 680 Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., Canadell, J. G., Jackson, R. B., Raymond, P. A.,
- 681 Dlugokencky, E. J., Houweling, S., Patra, P. K., Ciais, P., Arora, V. K., Bastviken, D., Bergamaschi, P.,
- 682 Blake, D. R., Brailsford, G., Bruhwiler, L., Carlson, K. M., Carrol, M., Castaldi, S., Chandra, N.,
- 683 Crevoisier, C., Crill, P. M., Covey, K., Curry, C. L., Etiope, G., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Hegglin,
- 684 M. I., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Hugelius, G., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Jensen, K. M.,
- 685 Joos, F., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., Laruelle, G. G., Liu, L., Machida, T., Maksyutov,
- 686 S., McDonald, K. C., McNorton, J., Miller, P. A., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Müller, J., Murguia-Flores,
- 687 F., Naik, V., Niwa, Y., Noce, S., O'Doherty, S., Parker, R. J., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Prigent, C.,
- 688 Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Regnier, P., Riley, W. J., Rosentreter, J. A., Segers, A., Simpson, I. J., Shi, H.,
- 689 Smith, S. J., Steele, L. P., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Tubiello, F. N., Tsuruta, A., Viovy, N.,
- 690 Voulgarakis, A., Weber, T. S., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R., Weiss, R. F., Worthy, D., Wunch, D.,
- 691 Yin, Y., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Z., Zhao, Y., Zheng, B., Zhu, Q., Zhu, Q., and Zhuang, Q.: The
- 692 Global Methane Budget 2000-2017, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 1561-1623,
- 693 https://essd.copernicus.org/articles/12/1561/2020/, 2020a.
- 694 Saunois, M., Stavert, A. R., Poulter, B., Bousquet, P., and Zhuang, Q.: The Global Methane Budget 2000-
- 695 2017, Earth System Science Data, 12, 1561-1623, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-12-1561-2020, 2020b.





- 696 Shi, T., Han, G., Ma, X., Mao, H., Chen, C., Han, Z., Pei, Z., Zhang, H., Li, S., and Gong, W.: Quantifying
- 697 factory-scale CO₂/CH₄ emission based on mobile measurements and EMISSION-PARTITION model:
- 698 cases in China, Environ. Res. Lett., 18, 034028, <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acbce7</u>, 2023.
- 699 Shi, T., Han, Z., Han, G., Ma, X., Chen, H., Andersen, T., Mao, H., Chen, C., Zhang, H., and Gong, W.:
- 700 Retrieving CH4-emission rates from coal mine ventilation shafts using UAV-based AirCore observations
- and the genetic algorithm-interior point penalty function (GA-IPPF) model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2,
- 702 13881-13896, https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/22/13881/2022/, 2022.
- 703 Sun, K.: Derivation of Emissions From Satellite-Observed Column Amounts and Its Application to
- 704 TROPOMI NO₂ and CO Observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 49, e2022GL101102,
- 705 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL101102</u>, 2022.
- 706 Tong, X., van Heuven, S., Scheeren, B., Kers, B., Hutjes, R., and Chen, H.: Aircraft-Based AirCore
- 707 Sampling for Estimates of N₂O and CH₄ Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 15571-15579,
- 708 <u>https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.3c04932</u>, 2023.
- 709 Tu, Q., Hase, F., Schneider, M., García, O., Blumenstock, T., Borsdorff, T., Frey, M., Khosrawi, F.,
- 710 Lorente, A., Alberti, C., Bustos, J. J., Butz, A., Carreño, V., Cuevas, E., Curcoll, R., Diekmann, C. J.,
- 711 Dubravica, D., Ertl, B., Estruch, C., León-Luis, S. F., Marrero, C., Morgui, J. A., Ramos, R., Scharun, C.,
- 712 Schneider, C., Sepúlveda, E., Toledano, C., and Torres, C.: Quantification of CH4 emissions from waste
- 713 disposal sites near the city of Madrid using ground- and space-based observations of COCCON,
- 714 TROPOMI and IASI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 295-317, <u>https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/22/295/2022/</u>,
 715 2022.
- 716 Varon, D. J., Jacob, D. J., McKeever, J., Jervis, D., Durak, B. O. A., Xia, Y., and Huang, Y.: Quantifying
- 717 methane point sources from fine-scale satellite observations of atmospheric methane plumes, Atmos.
- 718 Meas. Tech., 11, 5673-5686, https://amt.copernicus.org/articles/11/5673/2018/, 2018.
- 719 Vaughn, T. L., Bell, C. S., Pickering, C. K., Schwietzke, S., Heath, G. A., Pétron, G., Zimmerle, D. J.,
- 720 Schnell, R. C., and Nummedal, D.: Temporal variability largely explains top-down/bottom-up difference
- 721 in methane emission estimates from a natural gas production region, PNAS, 115, 11712-11717,
- 722 https://www.pnas.org/doi/abs/10.1073/pnas.1805687115, 2018.
- 723 Vinković, K., Andersen, T., de Vries, M., Kers, B., van Heuven, S., Peters, W., Hensen, A., van den Bulk,
- 724 P., and Chen, H.: Evaluating the use of an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)-based active AirCore system
- 725 to quantify methane emissions from dairy cows, Sci. Total Environ., 831, 154898,





- 726 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154898</u>, 2022.
- 727 Wecht, K. J., Jacob, D. J., Sulprizio, M. P., Santoni, G. W., Wofsy, S. C., Parker, R., Bösch, H., and
- 728 Worden, J.: Spatially resolving methane emissions in California: constraints from the CalNex aircraft
- 729 campaign and from present (GOSAT, TES) and future (TROPOMI, geostationary) satellite observations,
- 730 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8173-8184, https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/14/8173/2014/, 2014.
- 731 Zhang, Y., Gautam, R., Pandey, S., Omara, M., Maasakkers, J. D., Sadavarte, P., Lyon, D., Nesser, H.,
- 732 Sulprizio, M. P., Varon, D. J., Zhang, R., Houweling, S., Zavala-Araiza, D., Alvarez, R. A., Lorente, A.,
- 733 Hamburg, S. P., Aben, I., and Jacob, D. J.: Quantifying methane emissions from the largest oil-producing
- basin in the United States from space, Sci. Adv., 6, eaaz5120,
 https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/sciady.aaz5120, 2020.
- 736 Zinchenko, A. V., Paramonova, N. N., Privalov, V. I., and Reshetnikov, A. I.: Estimation of methane
- 737 emissions in the St. Petersburg, Russia, region: An atmospheric nocturnal boundary layer budget
- 738 approach, J. Geophys. Res-Atmos., 107, ACH 2-1-ACH 2-11, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001369,
- 739 2002.
- 740