

Supplement

Journal: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics

Title: Spatial and temporal evolution of future atmospheric reactive nitrogen deposition in China under different climate change mitigation strategies

Authors: Mingrui Ma, Jiachen Cao, Dan Tong, Bo Zheng, Yu Zhao

Table List

Table S1 Major physical options for WRF v3.9.1.

Table S2 Spatial correlation (R) between future emission changes and the resulting deposition changes under different future emission scenarios.

Table S3 Simulated outflow fluxes of OXN from WC to EC for Cases where emissions change to 2060s levels in all regions as well as Cases where emissions in WC are maintained at 2010s levels. Relative changes (%) are calculated by comparing Cases with 2060s emission levels in all regions to Cases with 2010s emission levels in WC, then dividing the difference by the 2010s emission levels in WC. The unit for outflow fluxes is kg N s^{-1} .

Table S4 Simulated outflow fluxes of OXN from EC for Cases where emissions are maintained at 2010s levels as well as Cases where emissions change to 2060s levels. Relative changes (%) are calculated by comparing Cases with 2060s emission levels to Cases with 2010s emission levels, then dividing the difference by the 2010s emission levels. The unit for outflow fluxes is kg N s^{-1} .

27

28 **Figure List**

29 **Figure S1** The model domain and defined key regions. The black box represents the
30 WRF domain, and the green box represents the CMAQ domain. Western and Eastern
31 China (WC and EC) are divided by 110°E. The red boxes represent the northern China
32 (NC, 30–45°N, 110–125°E) and southern China (SC, 20–30°N, 110–125°E),
33 respectively. The blue boxes represent the regions of Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH),
34 Yangtze River Delta (YRD, 20–30°N, 110–125°E), and Pearl River Delta (PRD),
35 respectively.

36 **Figure S2** Spatial distribution of relative changes (%) of NO_x (a-c) and NH₃
37 emissions (d-f) from 2010s (2010-2014) to 2060s (2060-2064) for emission scenarios
38 of “Baseline”, “Current-goal” and “Neutral-goal”. Relative changes are calculated by
39 comparing 2060s emission levels to 2010s emission levels, then dividing the
40 difference by the 2010s emission levels.

41 **Figure S3** Annual average emissions of NO_x, NH₃, PM₂₅, NMVOC, and SO₂ for
42 2010s and 2060s for emission scenarios of “Baseline”, “Current-goal”, and
43 “Neutral-goal”.

44 **Figure S4** Spatial distribution of Nr deposition fluxes in 2060s under “Neutral-goal”
45 scenario.

46 **Figure S5** Annual average changes in near-surface concentrations of NO₂ (a), O₃ (b),
47 HNO₃ (c) and DDEP_OXN (d) attributed to a 20% reduction of emissions in NC for
48 2010s and 2060s under different emission scenarios.

49

50 **Table S1 Major physical options for WRF v3.9.1.**

Physical Option	Setup
Cloud Microphysics	Lin scheme ^a
Long-wave Radiation	RRTMG scheme ^b
Short-wave Radiation	Goddard scheme ^c
Planetary Boundary Layer	YSU scheme ^d
Cumulus	G3 scheme ^e
Land Surface	Noah-MP scheme ^f
Urban Canopy	UCM scheme ^g
Sea Surface Temperature Update	On
Analysis Nudging	Temperature, water vapor mixing and wind (in and above PBL)

51 ^aLin scheme: A sophisticated microphysics scheme to predict different forms of water phase
 52 substance developed by Lin et al. (1983). The scheme has considered ice, snow and graupel
 53 processes, suitable for real-data high-resolution simulations.

54 ^bRRTMG scheme: A new version of Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTM) scheme developed
 55 by Iacono et al. (2008), which included the Monte Carlo Independent Column Approximation
 56 (MCICA) method of random cloud overlap.

57 ^cGoddard scheme: Two-stream multi-band scheme with ozone from climatology and cloud effects
 58 developed by Chou and Suarez (1994).

59 ^dYSU scheme: Yonsei University scheme developed by Hong et al. (2006), which explicit
 60 entrainment layer and parabolic K profile in unstable mixed layer based on the Non-local-K
 61 scheme.

62 ^eG3 scheme: Grell 3D scheme, which is an improved version of the Grell-Devenyi (GD) ensemble
 63 scheme (Goodarzi et al. 2019). It could be used on high resolution when considering subsidence
 64 spreading.

65 ^fNoah-MP scheme: Noah multi-physics Land Surface Model scheme. It contains a separate
 66 vegetation canopy defined by a canopy top and bottom with leaf physical and radiometric
 67 properties used in a two-stream canopy radiation transfer scheme that includes shading. Horizontal
 68 and vertical vegetation density can be prescribed or predicted using prognostic photosynthesis and
 69 dynamic vegetation models that allocate carbon to vegetation (leaf, stem, wood and root) and soil
 70 carbon pools (fast and slow) (Niu et al. 2011).

71 ^gUCM scheme: Urban Canopy Models scheme. It considers 3-category surface effects for roofs,
 72 walls, and streets when calculate the exchange of energy and kinetic energy between the surface
 73 and the atmosphere (Chen et al. 2011).

74 **Table S2** Spatial correlation (R) between the emission change and the deposition
75 change from 2010s to 2060s under different emission scenarios.

	“Baseline”	“Current-goal”	“Neutral-goal”
OXN	0.24	0.32	0.35
RDN	0.67	0.71	0.72

76
77

78

79 **Table S3** Simulated outflow fluxes of OXN from WC to EC for Cases where
80 emissions change to 2060s levels in all regions as well as Cases where emissions in
81 WC are maintained at 2010s levels. Relative changes (%) are calculated by comparing
82 Cases with 2060s emission levels in all regions to Cases with 2010s emission levels in
83 WC, then dividing the difference by the 2010s emission levels in WC. The unit for
84 outflow fluxes is kg N s⁻¹.

	Emissions in WC are maintained at 2010s levels	Emissions change to 2060s levels in all regions	Relative change
“Baseline”	175.49 (Case 7)	193.06 (Case 2)	10%
“Current-goal”	74.62 (Case 6)	54.53 (Case 1)	-27%
“Neutral-goal”	49.31 (Case 8)	12.19 (Case 5)	-75%

85

86

87


88 **Table S4** Simulated outflow fluxes of OXN from EC for Cases where emissions are
89 maintained at 2010s levels as well as Cases where emissions change to 2060s levels.
90 Relative changes (%) are calculated by comparing Cases with 2060s emission levels
91 to Cases with 2010s emission levels, then dividing the difference by the 2010s
92 emission levels. The unit for outflow fluxes is kg N s⁻¹.

	Emissions are at 2010s levels	Emissions are at 2060s levels	Relative change
“Baseline”	178.82 (Case4)	213.38 (Case2)	19%
“Current-goal”	193.70 (Case3)	99.25 (Case1)	-49%
“Neutral-goal”	193.70 (Case3)	20.84 (Case5)	-89%

93


94

95 **Figure S1**



96


97



99
100

101

102 **Figure S3**




103


104

105

106 **Figure S4**



107



109

110

111

112 **Supporting References**

113 Chen, F., Miao, S., Tewari, M., Bao, J., & Kusaka, H. (2011). A numerical study of
114 interactions between surface forcing and sea breeze circulations and their effects on
115 stagnation in the greater Houston area. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*,
116 116, D12105. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015533>

117 Chou, M. D., & Suarez, M. J. (1994). An efficient thermal infrared radiation
118 parameterization for use in general circulation models (NASA Tech): Memorandum,
119 NASA/TM-1994-104606.

120 Goodarzi, L., Banihabib, M. E., & Roozbahani, A. (2019). A decision-making model
121 for flood warning system based on ensemble forecasts. *Journal of hydrology*, 573,
122 207-219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2019.03.040>.

123 Hong, S., Noh, Y., & Dudhia, J. (2006). A New Vertical Diffusion Package with an
124 Explicit Treatment of Entrainment Processes. *Monthly Weather Review*, 134(9),
125 2318-2341. <https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3199.1>.

126 Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., &
127 Collins, W. D. (2008). Radiative forcing by long - lived greenhouse gases:
128 Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
129 *Atmospheres*, 113, D13103. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD009944>.

130 Lin, Y., Farley, R. D., & Orville, H. D. (1983). Bulk parameterization of the snow
131 field in a cloud model. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and climatology*, 22(6),
132 1065-1092. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450\(1983\)022<1065:BPOTSF>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1983)022<1065:BPOTSF>2.0.CO;2).

133 Niu, G., Yang, Z., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., Kumar, A.,
134 Manning, K., Niyogi, D., Rosero, Enrique., Tewari, M., & Xia, Y. (2011). The
135 community Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP):
136 1. Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements. *Journal of*
137 *Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 116, D12109.
138 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015139>.

139

140