NITROUS OXIDE (N2O) in MACQUARIE HARBOUR, TASMANIA

Maxey, Johnathan Daniel^{1,2}, Neil D. Hartstein², Hermann W. Bange³, Moritz Müller¹

¹Faculty of Engineering, Computing and Science, Swinburne University of Technology, Kuching 93350, Malaysia

²ADS Environmental Services, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, 88400, Malaysia

³GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstr. 1-3, 24148 Kiel, Germany

Correspondence to: Johnathan Daniel Maxey, Neil D. Hartstein, Hermann W. Bange, and Moritz Müller

Abstract.

10

5

Fjord-like estuaries are hotspots of biogeochemical cycling due to steep physicochemical gradients. The spatiotemporal distribution of nitrous oxide (N_2O) within many of these systems is poorly described, especially in the southern hemisphere. The goal of this study is to describe the spatiotemporal distribution of N_2O within a southern hemisphere fjord-like estuary, describe the main environmental drivers of this distribution, the air/sea flux of N_2O , and the main drivers of N_2O production. Sampling surveys were undertaken in Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania to capture N_2O concentrations and water column physicochemical profiles in winter (July 2022),

15 Tasmania to capture N₂O concentrations and water column physicochemical profiles in winter (July 2022), spring (October 2022), summer (February 2023), and autumn (April 2023). N₂O samples were collected at one depth at system end members, and at 5 depths at 4 stations within the harbour.

Results indicate that N₂O is consistently supersaturated (reaching 170% saturation) below the system's freshwater lens where oxygen concentrations are often hypoxic, but infrequently anoxic. In the surface lens,
levels of N₂O saturation vary with estimated river flow and with proximity to the system's main freshwater endmember. The linear relationship between apparent oxygen utilization and ΔN₂O saturation indicates that nitrification is the process generating N₂O in the system. When river flow was high (July and October 2022), surface water N₂O was undersaturated (as low as 70%) throughout most of the harbour.

When river flow was low (February and April 2023) N₂O was observed to be supersaturated at most stations. Calculated air/sea fluxes of N₂O indicated that the system is generally a source of N₂O to the atmosphere under weak river flow conditions and a sink during strong river flow conditions. The diapycnal flux was a minor contributor to surface water N₂O concentrations, and subhalocline N₂O is intercepted by the riverine surface lens and transported out of the system to the ocean during strong river flow conditions. In a changing climate, Western Tasmania is expected to receive higher winter rainfall and lower summer rainfall which may

30

augment the source and sink dynamics of this system by enhancing the summer / autumn efflux of N₂O to the atmosphere.

This study is the first to report observations of N_2O distribution, generation processes, and estimated diapycnal / surface N_2O fluxes from this system.

35 1. Introduction

Despite the fact that fjords and fjord-like estuaries represent only a small portion of the coastal area worldwide, they are responsible for sequestering 11% of the global organic carbon (C) burial along terrestrial margins (**Smith** *et al.*, **2015**; **Bianchi** *et al.*, **2018**, **2020**). These systems are significant sources of greenhouse gasses (GHG) to the atmosphere (Wilson *et al.*, **2020**; **Rosentreter** *et al.*, **2023**; **Bange** *et al.*, **2024**). Many are

40 heavily stratified with strong water column physicochemical gradients (Acuña-González et al., 2006; Inall and Gillibrand, 2010; Hartstein et al. 2019; Salamena et al., 2021, 2022; Maxey et al. 2022). These gradients can be influenced by mesoscale climate drivers like North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and Southern Annular Mode SAM (see Austin and Inall 2002; Gillibrand et al., 2005; Maxey et al., 2022) and local scale drivers like fresh water input and marine intrusions (Inall and Gillibrand 2010; Hartstein et al., 2019; Maxey et al., 2020;

45 Salamena *et al.*, 2022).

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) is a potent greenhouse gas (GHG) whose increased presence in the atmosphere is primarily driven by emissions from agricultural soils (**Tian** *et al.*, **2020**, **2023**). With a global warming potential nearly 300 times that of CO₂, N₂O is a key focus of climate studies (**Myhre** *et al.*, **2013**; **Etminan** *et al.*, **2016**; **Eyring** *et al.*, **2021**; **Forster** *et al.*, **2021**). Biological N₂O production occurs through the microbially mediated

- 50 processes of ammonia oxidation, nitrite (NO₂⁻) reduction, and nitrate (NO₃⁻) reduction (**Kuypers** *et al.*, **2018**). In marine systems N₂O production is influenced by environmental conditions such as dissolved oxygen (DO) availability, inorganic nitrogen (N) availability, light availability, temperature (*e.g.* **Raes** *et al.*, **2016**), pH (*e.g.* **Breider** *et al.*, **2019**), and microbial community composition (*e.g.* **Wu** *et al.* **2020**). Many coastal systems are experiencing a reduction in DO availability (**Limburg** *et al.*, **2020**; **Testa** *et al.*, **2023**) and an increased presence
- of N₂O as a consequence (Laffoley and Baxter 2019; Ji et al., 2020; Wilson et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2022;
 Orif et al., 2023; Resplandy et al., 2024).

Estuarine systems often have disproportionately high biological productivity relative to other marine systems (Walinsky *et al.*, 2009; Gilbert *et al.*, 2010; Bianchi *et al.*, 2018, 2020). This also applies to N₂O dynamics with approx. 33% of marine N₂O emissions coming from estuaries (Bange *et al.*, 1996; Seitzinger *et*

- *al.*, 2000; Murry *et al.*, 2015; Reading, 2022; Rosentreter *et al.*, 2023). Estuaries can act as net sinks (Maher *et al.*, 2016; Wells *et al.*, 2018) or sources (De Bie *et al.*, 2002; Zhang *et al.*, 2010; Sánchez-Rodríguez *et al.*, 2022) of N₂O depending on physical drivers of air/sea fluxes including waterbody/atmospheric concentration gradients, current velocities, depth, and wind speed (Wells *et al.*, 2018; Bange *et al.* 2019). Other factors include land use modification (Reading *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2022) and the presence of microplastics (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2022)
- 65 2022). Despite the advancements made thus far, our understanding of marine N₂O distribution and atmospheric emissions is poorly constrained and needs improvement (Bange *et al.*, 2019, 2024), especially in southern hemisphere fjord-like systems (Yevenes *et al.*, 2017). Much of the current uncertainty lies with a lack of in-situ data describing seasonal N₂O dynamics to constrain global emissions models (Bange *et al.*, 2019).

The purpose of this study was (1) to investigate the distribution and seasonal variability of N₂O concentrations and emissions in a southern hemisphere fjord-like estuary and (2) to decipher the major physical and biological drivers of these emissions.

Methods 2.

2.1 Study Area

75

Macquarie Harbour is a southern hemisphere fjord-like estuary located on Tasmania, Australia's west coast (Figure 1). The harbour is oriented NW by SE, and is approximately 33 km long, 9 km wide, with a surface area of 276 km². The mouth of the harbour is constricted by a shallow (4-8m), long (14km) sill known as "Hells Gates". Hells Gates muffles tidal forcing resulting in harbour water levels primarily determined by river flow and wind set up (Hartstein et al., 2019). The morphology of this system results in sharp gradients of DO, salinity, and temperature which are seasonally dependant (Creswell et al., 1989; Hartstein et al., 2019; Maxey 80 et al., 2022). In surface waters dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations are nearly always in equilibrium with the air but decrease sharply through the halocline (~8m to 15m). There is almost no DO produced below the

halocline (8m to 12m deep) due to high chromophoric dissolved organic matter (CDOM) levels limiting primary production at the surface (Maxey et al., 2017, 2020). Subhalocline layers (~15m to a few meters from the

- 85 bottom) are observed to have DO concentrations below 62.5 µM more than 50% of the time (see Maxey et al., 2022). Near the seabed, episodic marine intrusions (deep water renewal) refresh the supply of DO near the mouth of the system but refresh the upper reaches of the harbour less frequently (see Andrewartha and Wild-Allen 2017; Hartstein et al., 2019; Maxey et al., 2022). This process is driven by low atmospheric pressure, sustained NW winds, and low catchment rainfall which itself is influenced by Southern Annular Mode (SAM)
- 90 (Hartstein et al., 2019; Maxey et al., 2022). In the harbour's upper reaches DO concentrations fall below 31 µM nearly a third of the time (Maxey et al., 2022). Hydrodynamic and oxygen tracer numerical model simulations of the harbour by Andrewartha and Wild-Allen (2017) estimate that 50% of the harbour's basin waters are replaced every 65 days during low river flow conditions and approximately 110 days during normal flow conditions.
- 95 The main source of freshwater to the harbour is located on its southeast end (the Gordon River) and drains a nearly pristine catchment (including the Franklin River) of approximately 5,682 km² (Macquarie Harbour Dissolved Oxygen Working Group, 2014; Fig 1). The Gordon River discharges an estimated 180,000 tons organic carbon (OC) per year into the estuary (Maxey et al., 2020, 2022). It should be noted that this area receives the some of the highest rainfall (more than 2,500 mm year-1) volume in Australia (Dey et al., 100 2019). The King River, located on the harbour's northern end, is the second largest contributor of fresh water to
- the estuary and drains a catchment area of 802 km². Unlike the Gordon River, the King River has a history of receiving treated mining (e.g. copper) effluent and transporting this to the harbour (Carpenter et al., 1991; Teasdale et al., 2003).



Distance from Station HG3 (m)

Figure 1: Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania. Water sampling stations shown with red circles; Cape Grim Air Pollution monitoring station shown as a green star (see inset map). Cape Sorell Weather Station shown as an orange star. Gordon Above Denison stream gauge shown as a red star (see inset map). Aquaculture lease boundaries are shown as hollow rectangles. Lease locations are sourced from Land Information Systems Tasmania (LISTmap - https://maps.thelist.tas.gov.au/). Station names reflect general harbour locations where KR1 indicates King River 1;
 C10 and C08 indicate Central Harbour 10 and 08 respectively; WH2 indicates World Heritage Area 2; and GR1 indicates Gordon River station 1. Coordinates are displayed in GDA_1994_MGA_Zone_55. Bathymetry through the system shown as a dashed line, note that this track excludes stations KR4 and KR1.

2.2 **Experimental Design**

120

115 Nitrous oxide distribution was assessed by collecting water samples across 7 stations, including the harbour's endmembers (mouths of the Gordon and King Rivers as well as the harbour mouth at Hells Gates Inlet; see Figure 1 and Table 1) and stations along the longitudinal axis of the harbour where the deepest basins are located (named KR1, C10, C08, and WH2). Samples collected at endmember stations were collected from a single depth as these stations are shallow. Samples in the harbour body were collected at 5 depths from the surface (2m) to approx. 1m from the seabed. Collection campaigns were conducted in July 2022, October 2022, February 2023, and April 2023. At each station and depth, three replicate vials (n = 3) were collected for the determination of N₂O concentration.

2.3 **Field Sampling**

- At each station, water quality sonde profiles were collected from the surface to the seabed at 1 meter 125 intervals using a YSI EXO-1 equipped with optical DO (accuracy from 0 to 625 μ M ± 3 μ M or 1% of reading whichever is greater; precision is 0.03 μ M), salinity (accuracy \pm 0.1 or 1% of reading whichever is greater; precision is 0.01), temperature (accuracy is ± 0.15 °C; precision is 0.01 °C), and depth sensors. Sonde calibration was checked and corrected (when needed) each sampling period.
- 130 Water samples were collected at various depths (see Table 1) using a 5 L Niskin bottle sampler. Water sample parameters include dissolved Total Ammoniacal N (NH₃ + NH₄⁺) (TAN), NO₃⁻, and N₂O. N₂O samples were collected in triplicate immediately after retrieval of the Niskin bottle by transferring water from the bottle through silicone tubing into a 20 mL borosilicate vial. Sample water was added to the vial by placing the tubing at the bottom and allowing the vial to overflow several volumes before sealing with a butyl rubber stopper and 135 aluminium crimp. After ensuring the sample vial was bubble free, 50 µL of saturated mercury chloride (HgCl₂)
- solution was injected into the sample to arrest biological activity. All N2O samples were shipped to GEOMAR in Kiel, Germany for analysis. Samples were measured in July/August 2023 within 4 to 12 months after sampling and were not affected by the storage time (Wilson et al., 2018).
- Water collected for dissolved inorganic N was filtered immediately using 0.45 µm polyethersulfone syringe 140 filters (Whatman Puradisc). Samples were stored in a chilled dark container until being transported to Analytical Services Tasmania in Hobart, Australia for analysis. Dissolved Total TAN and NO₃⁻ were analysed using a Lachat Flow Injection Analyser. TAN and NO3⁻ analyses used methods based on APHA Standard methods (2005) 4500-NH₃ H (reporting limit 0.005 mg L⁻¹) and 4500 - NO₃⁻¹ L⁻¹ (reporting limit 0.002 mg L⁻¹).

145 Table 1: Sampling stations showing coordinates, parameters, and sampling depth (in meters).

Station	Station Depth (m) (MSL)	Station Depth (m) (MSL) Dissolved Oxygen Salinity Temperature		TAN (NH3 + NH ₄ +)	NO ₃ -	
HG3 352484, 5325594	8	Every Meter	5m	5m	5m	
KR4 365018, 5327550	3	1 <i>m</i>	1 <i>m</i>	1 <i>m</i>	1 <i>m</i>	
KR1 361316, 5325972	36	Every Meter	2, 12, 20, 30, 35m	2, 12, 20, 30, 35m	2, 12, 20, 30, 35m	

C10 363708, 5320464	44	Every Meter	2, 12, 20, 30, 42m	2, 12, 20, 30, 42m	2, 12, 20, 30, 42m
C08 365489, 5317238	47	Every Meter	2, 15, 25, 35, 45m	2, 15, 25, 35, 45m	2, 15, 25, 35, 45m
WH2 370218, 5309894	32	Every Meter	2, 12, 20, 25, 30m	2, 12, 20, 25, 30m	2, 12, 20, 25, 30m
GR1 377784, 5300603	12	Every Meter	10m	10m	10m

2.4 Analysis of Rainfall and River Loading Estimation

150

Rainfall and river discharge were analysed using methods presented in **Maxey** *et al.* (2022) where rainfall and stream gauge data were collected from the Gordon River catchment, Strathgordon rainfall gauge station and the Gordon Above Denison (GAD) stream gauge (**Figure 1**). The rainfall and flow metrics computed include the average daily rainfall over a 20-day period prior to sampling; total accumulated rainfall 20,10, 5, and 3 days prior to sampling; estimated Gordon River flow into the estuary; and measured flow at the GAD stream gauge.

Gordon River flow was estimated by scaling daily rainfall to the size of the catchment and assuming a
rainfall and runoff coefficient of 0.70 adopted from a neighbouring catchment with similar land cover, geology, and slope (Willis, 2008). Additional streamflow from Gordon River dam releases was estimated by subtracting scaled rainfall contributions to river flow measured at the GAD stream gauge. This flow was added to the estimated runoff entering the harbour. Rainfall and flow data were provided by the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM). NO₃⁻ and TAN loading was estimated my multiplying the measured concentration of each parameter at station GR1 (*see* Figure 1 and Table 1) by the estimated Gordon River flow.

2.5 Analysis of Water Column N₂O Concentrations, Air/Sea Flux, and Diapycnal Flux

2.5.1 Determination of N₂O Concentrations

Water samples were analysed for N₂O using the static-headspace equilibration method followed by gas
165 chromatographic separation (HP Agilent 5890) and detection with an electron capture detector (ECD) as described in Bange *et al*, (2019), Bastian (2017), and Kallert (2017). The concentration of N₂O in the samples was calculated with the following equation (Equation 1; *see* Bange *et al.*, 2006):
Equation 1

$$C_{obs} = \frac{x'PV_{hs}}{RTPV_{wp}} + X'\beta P$$

170 C_{obs} is the concentration (nmol L⁻¹) of N₂O in the water sample; **x'** is the measured dry mole fraction of N₂O in the sample vial's headspace; *P* is the ambient pressure set to 1 atm; V_{hs} and V_{wp} are the volumes of the headspace in the vial and water in the vial; **R** is the gas constant; **T** is the temperature during equilibrium; and β is the solubility of N₂O (Weiss and Price, 1980). The mean relative error of the concentration values obtained was 2.4% (± 0.16).

2.5.2 Estimation of N₂O Air/Sea Fluxes and N₂O Saturations

N₂O air/sea fluxes (F in μ mol m⁻² d⁻¹) were estimated using equations from Zhang *et al.*, (2010) and Bange *et al.*, (2019) (Equation 2) *Where*:

180 Equation 2

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{K} * (\mathbf{C}_{obs} - \mathbf{C}_{eq})$$

 C_{obs} is the measured concentration (nmol L⁻¹) of N₂O in the water sample; C_{eq} is the air-equilibrated seawater N₂O concentration, calculated for in situ temperature and salinity using the solubility data of Weiss and Price (1980). K is the gas transfer velocity, which in the absence of direct measurements can be expressed as a 185 function of the wind speed and the Schmidt Number (Sc). For this study we sourced daily average wind speed from the Cape Sorrel Weather Station at the northern end of Macquarie Harbour (http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/index.shtml station ID 097000; see Figure 1 for station location). K was estimated using relationships in Nightingale (2000), Raymond and Cole (2001), and Wanninkhof (2014). Fluxes at Macquarie Harbour's endmember stations used K values that account for additional forcings like 190 bottom sheer (see Raymond and Cole 2001; Zappa et al., 2003; Abril and Borges 2004, Beaulieu et al., 2012; Rosentreter et al., 2021). Deeper stations in the harbour's main body (i.e. KR1, C10, C08, WH2) have surface layers which are separated from the seabed by more than 10 meters. Wind-based K_{600} estimators were used to estimate air-sea flux in those locations (see Nightingale 2000; Raymond and Cole 2001; Wanninkhof 2014). Atmospheric N₂O for this estimation was sourced from monthly mean baseline greenhouse gas mole fractions 195 measured at the Kennaook / Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution Station, located in north west Tasmania. This

station measures atmospheric N₂O using a gas chromatograph (GC) equipped with an ECD (https://www.csiro.au/en/research/natural-environment/atmosphere/latest-greenhouse-gas-data). N₂O saturation (in %) were computed as N₂O saturation = $100 * (C_{obs} / C_{eq})$.

200 2.5.3 Estimation of Diapycnal N₂O Flux

 N_2O diapycnal fluxes (F_{dia} ; Equation 3) from basin waters (sample depths of 20m or 25m) to the harbour's surface lens (sample depths of 2m) were estimated as: Equation 3

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{dia}} = \mathbf{K} \rho \, \frac{\mathbf{d}[\mathbf{N}_2 \mathbf{O}]}{\mathbf{d} \mathbf{z}}$$

205 Where z is depth. Diapycnal diffusivity (K_p ; Equation 4) was computed with the local buoyancy frequency (N^2), Γ set to 0.2 (Osborn 1980), and ε the dissipation rate of turbulent kinetic energy assumed to be on the upper end of values for the mixing zone of stratified systems 1 x 10⁻⁵ (Arneborg *et al.*, 2004; Mickett *et al.*, 2004; Fer *et al.*, 2006).

Equation 4

210
$$\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{\rho}} = \mathbf{\Gamma} \frac{\mathbf{\epsilon}}{\mathbf{N}^2}$$

2.6 Data Analysis

The relationships between N_2O saturation and water quality parameters such as DO concentration, salinity, temperature, nitrate, and ammonium concentrations determined using Pearson correlation. Differences in mean

N₂O saturation between season, depth and each sampling station were tested using a 2-way ANOVA. Differences between rainfall / river flow metrics between seasons were tested using 1-way ANOVA and where significant differences between seasons were detected pair-wise testing using Bonferroni's correction was undertaken. The relationship between rainfall / river flow metrics, from the Gordon River, and surface water N₂O saturation / N₂O air/sea flux, at each station, was analysed using Pearson correlation. Standard deviation (std. dev.) of the mean air/sea flux and diapycnal flux was computed from error propagated from replicate observations of N₂O wind speed, N₂O concentration, and density (where appropriate) using methods from Ku (1966). Contour plots were made with Plotly Chart Studio: Plotly Technologies Inc. Title: Collaborative data science Publisher: Plotly Technologies Inc. Place of publication: Montréal, QC Date of publication: 2015 URL: https://plot.ly

225 **3. Results**

3.1 Rainfall and River Loading

Twenty-day rainfall accumulation ranged from a low of 117 mm in July 2022 to a high of 139 mm in April 2023 (*see* Figure 2a). Average (\pm se) daily rainfall was similar across all months and ranged from 5.12 (\pm 2.57) mm in July 2022 to 5.79 (\pm 3.03) mm in October 2022 (*see* Figure 2b) with no seasonal differences detected (p = 0.4326).

Estimated flow at the Gordon River mouth and GAD stream gauge was greater in July and October 2022 than February and April 2023 (**Figure 2c**). Significant seasonal differences in flow measured at the GAD stream gauge were detected ($p = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}$); with greatest flow in July and October 2022 and decreasing over February and April 2023. July flows at the GAD stream gauge were observed to be 107.6 (± 15.9) m³ s⁻¹ and in April 2023 were observed to be 30.5 (± 2.2) m³ s⁻¹ (**Figure 2d**).

235

240

230

Estimated NO₃⁻ and TAN loading varied with NO₃⁻ loads of 1.69 tonnes day⁻¹ observed in July 2022, which then dipped to 0.31 tonnes day⁻¹ in October 2022 and then increased again to 1.77 and 2.77 tonnes day⁻¹ in February and April 2023 (**Figure 2e**). TAN loading mirrored this pattern with peaks occurring in October 2022 and February 2023 and lows occurring in July 2022 and April 2023. N₂O loading from the Gordon River was observed to be 0.015 tonnes day⁻¹ in July 2022, 0.012 tonnes day⁻¹ in October 2022, 0.015 tonnes day⁻¹ in February 2023, and 0.016 tonnes day⁻¹ in April 2023 (**Figure 2f**).

3.2 Water Column Physicochemical Profiles

- DO profiles at the stations located within the main body of the harbour show a well oxygenated surface 245 layer that rapidly attenuates with depth (**Figure 3A**) through the halocline (**Figure 3B**). There is a prominent riverine surface lens in the main harbour extending to depths of up to 8m depending on sampling period and location within the estuary. Salinity in the surface waters was lower in July and October 2022 (6 to 13) than February and April 2023 (greater than 20). Below the halocline salinity ranged from approx. 28 to 32.
- The DO gradient between the surface and subhalocline waters was steeper in October relative to July 2022 with October 2022 DO concentrations approaching single digits (3.1 μM) at station WH2, nearest the Gordon River mouth (*see* Figure 1). In general, the subhalocline concentrations of DO were lower with proximity to the Gordon River mouth. The temperature of the freshwater surface layer ranged from about 9 °C to 19 °C, but showed little variation below the halocline where temperature ranged between 13 °C to 16 °C (Figure 3C).
- Nitrate concentrations in the surface water lens tended to be lower than those observed at subhalocline 255 depths (**Figure 4a**). The greatest NO_3^- concentrations were observed 2m above the seabed at station WH2 in July and October 2022 as well as mid basin depths at stations C10 and C08 during those same periods with concentrations reaching 1.77 µmol. TAN concentrations were often observed below detection limits (0.3 µmol), but were greatest in the surface lens or within the halocline itself when detectable (**Figure 4b**). TAN concentrations at WH2 tended to be found at higher levels through the water column relative to other stations
- 260 (down to about 20m) reaching 1.53 µmol at 15m in October.



Figure 2: Rainfall and estimated Gordon River loading estimates for each sampling event. A) accumulated rainfall (mm) 10, 5, and 3 days prior to each sampling event; B) average (mean) daily rainfall over a 20 day period prior to each sampling event; C) estimated Gordon River Flow into the harbour in millions of m³ day⁻¹; D) daily mean flow (m³ sec⁻¹) over previous 20 days prior to sampling (± standard error) at the Gordon Above Denison Stream Gauge; E) estimated nitrate and ammonium loads entering the harbour from the Gordon River; F) estimated N₂O load (tonnes day⁻¹) entering the harbour from the Gordon River.



270 Figure 3: Dissolved oxygen (μM) (Row A), salinity (Row B), and temperature (°C) (Row C) profiles (referencing height from seabed) collected at stations KR1, C10, C08, and WH2 in July 2022 (red dots), October 2022 (yellow dots), February 2023 (blue dots), and April 2023 (purple dots). Measurements were made every 1 meter.



Figure 4: Nitrate NO₃⁻ (row a) and TAN (row b) concentrations with depth (referencing height from seabed) collected at stations KR1, C10, C08, and WH2 in July 2022 (red dots), October 2022 (yellow dots), February 2023 (blue dots), and April 2023 (purple dots). Data presented as having a concentration of 0.0 are below the detection limits of the analyte.

3.3 N₂O Distribution

At each harbour station, depth and season (and their interaction) significantly impacted N₂O saturation (twoway ANOVA, $\alpha = 0.05$, *degree of freedom* (*d.f.*) = 59). At 2 m, N₂O saturation was observed to be below 100% at all stations in July 2022 (**Figure 5** and **Figure 6**) and at stations KR1, C10, and C08 in October 2022. In February and April 2023 N₂O saturation in the harbour was above 100% through the water column except in KR1 surface waters. The maximum N₂O concentrations were observed in the subhalocline. Among the subhalocline observations the maximum N₂O concentrations (reaching over 170%) were observed at the base of the Hells Gates sill at station C10 in October 2022.

285

290

280

All endmember N₂O concentrations were undersaturated in July 2022. In October, stations KR1 and HG3 were observed to be approx. 100% saturated but N₂O at station GR1 was undersaturated. In February and April 2023 N₂O concentrations were supersaturated at all endmember stations. There were statistically significant linear correlations between N₂O saturation and salinity (r = 0.494; $p = 5.5 \times 10^{-7}$, n = 92), temperature (r = 0.391; $p = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$, *d.f.* = 90), DO concentration (r = -0.563; $p = 5.2 \times 10^{-9}$, *d.f.* = 90), and nitrate concentration (r = 0.559; $p = 6.9 \times 10^{-9}$, *d.f.* = 90) in the harbour stations (**Figure 7**). The correlation between N₂O saturation and the TAN concentration however was not statistically significant (r = 0.174; p = 0.31, *d.f.* = 34).



Figure 5: Mean (\pm standard error) N₂O % saturation observed at each sampling station, with depth, and across seasons. Note that a red dashed line indicating 100% at the time of sampling has been placed on each panel for reference.



Figure 6: Contour plots of mean N₂O % saturation (left column) and mean DO concentration in units of µmol (right column) observed at stations HG3, C10, C08, WH2, and GR1 from July 2022 to April 2023. Red shaded areas on the DO plots indicate low oxygen concentrations (< 93 µmol). Relative positions of the stations are shown on the top left panel. Y-axis displays depth in metres relative to mean sea level.



305 Figure 7: Correlation between N₂O % saturation observed across the harbour and a) Salinity, b) Temperature, c) Total Ammoniacal Nitrogen (TAN) concentration, d) Nitrate concentration. The correlation between AOU [µM] and ΔN₂O [nM] is shown in panel e). The relationship between N₂O % saturation and DO % saturation is shown in panel f). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and their associated p value are shown in each panel.

310 3.4 N₂O Air/Sea and Diapycnal Fluxes

Atmospheric N₂O mole fractions measured at Kinnaook / Cape Grim Air Pollution Station (see Figure 1) were observed to increase from 334.7 ppb in July 2022 to 335.9 ppb in February 2023. The April 2023 atmospheric N₂O mole fraction was slightly lower than that observed in February 2023 at 335.6 ppb. Average (\pm std. dev.) wind speeds were observed to be 6.6 (\pm 3.7) m sec⁻¹ in July, 5.6 (\pm 2.5) m sec⁻¹ in October, 6.3 (\pm 3.4) m sec⁻¹ in February, and 6.4 (\pm 4.0) m sec⁻¹ in April.

Estimated N₂O air/sea flux in the main harbour stations (KR1, C10, C08, WH2) ranged from -12.88 (± 6.00) μ mol N₂O m⁻² day⁻¹ at C10 in July 2022 (negative sign indicates absorption of N₂O into the surface waters from the atmosphere) to 7.31 (\pm 3.43) µmol N₂O m⁻² day⁻¹ at the same station in February 2023 (using the "High" K₆₀₀ estimator from Raymond and Cole (2001); see Table 2)



315

Station KR1 was always observed to be a site of atmospheric N₂O uptake and was every non-endmember station in July 2022. Near the head of the system, station WH2 was observed to be a net source of N₂O to the atmosphere from October 2022 to April 2023, and stations C10 and C08 (positioned above the deepest basins) were net sources in February 2023 and April 2023.

- Estimated diapycnal fluxes (\pm std. dev.) using local buoyancy frequencies showed a consistent upwards 325 movement of N₂O from the subhalocline to surface layers with the smallest fluxes observed in July 2022 (49 \pm 2.3 nmol N₂O m⁻² day⁻¹ at C08) and largest fluxes observed in October 2022 (up to 1308 nmol N₂O m⁻² day⁻¹ at WH2) and February 2023 (up to 1200 \pm 47.3 nmol N₂O m⁻² day⁻¹ at C10) see Error! Reference source not found.. Patterns in the size of the diapycnal flux generally reflected the patterns of N₂O % saturation with the largest fluxes occurring in October 2022 during the periods of greatest N₂O % saturation. Overall the magnitude
- 330 of the estimated diapycnal fluxes was smaller than estimated air/sea fluxes.

333 Table 2: Estimated sea-to-air N₂O flux (mean μ mol N₂O m⁻² day⁻¹ ± std. dev.) of the main harbour stations using

calculations presented in Bange et al. (2019) and Zhang et al. (2020) and a range of k₆₀₀ parameterisations from
Wanninkhof (2014; W₂₀₁₄), Raymond and Cole (2001; RC_{Low}, RC_{Mid}, and RC_{High}), and Nightingale (2000; N₂₀₀₀).
Positive values indicate the flux of N₂O from the harbour water to the atmosphere. Negative values (shown in with
bold text) indicate flux of N₂O from the atmosphere into the harbour water. Estimated Gordon River Flow and Mean
(20 day) Gordon Above Dennison (GAD)Stream Gauge are also shown for each month as well as the Pearson
Correlation and associated p-values between flow metrics, rainfall, and air/sea flux (and surface water % saturation).

Statior	n K ₆₀₀	Jul 2022 µmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	Oct 2022 µmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	Feb 2023 µmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	Apr 2023 μmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	Gordon Flow vs Surface Flux	GAD Flow vs Surface Flux	GAD Flow vs % N ₂ O Sat.	Rainfall vs Surface Flux
KR1	RC _{High} : RC _{Mid} : RC _{Low} : N ₂₀₀₀ : W ₂₀₁₄ :	$\begin{array}{c} -11.07 \pm 5.17 \\ -08.45 \pm 4.42 \\ -04.69 \pm 3.17 \\ -0.85 \pm 0.31 \\ -0.78 \pm 0.25 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -04.01 \pm 1.77 \\ -03.19 \pm 1.59 \\ -01.93 \pm 1.27 \\ -0.30 \pm 0.08 \\ -0.27 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -03.30 \pm 1.54 \\ -02.55 \pm 1.34 \\ -01.46 \pm 0.99 \\ -0.25 \pm 0.09 \\ -0.23 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{-03.17} \pm 1.66 \\ \textbf{-02.44} \pm 1.41 \\ \textbf{-01.38} \pm 0.99 \\ \textbf{-0.24} \pm 0.11 \\ \textbf{-0.22} \pm 0.09 \end{array}$	r = -0.8316 p = 7.5 x 10 ⁻⁴	r = -0.8624 $p = 3.1 \times 10^{-4}$	r = -0.8726 $p = 2.1 \times 10^{-4}$	r = 0.5577 p = 0.060
C10	$\begin{array}{l} \text{RC}_{\text{High}}\text{:}\\ \text{RC}_{\text{Mid}}\text{:}\\ \text{RC}_{\text{Low}}\text{:}\\ \text{N}_{2000}\text{:}\\ \text{W}_{2014}\text{:} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -12.88 \pm 6.00 \\ -09.83 \pm 5.14 \\ -05.46 \pm 3.68 \\ -0.99 \pm 0.36 \\ -0.91 \pm 0.29 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -01.21 \pm 0.53 \\ -00.96 \pm 0.48 \\ -00.58 \pm 0.38 \\ -0.09 \pm 0.02 \\ -0.08 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 07.31 \pm 3.43 \\ 05.65 \pm 2.98 \\ 03.22 \pm 2.19 \\ 0.67 \pm 0.23 \\ 0.61 \pm 0.18 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 02.60 \pm 1.36 \\ 02.00 \pm 1.16 \\ 01.13 \pm 0.81 \\ 0.20 \pm 0.09 \\ 0.18 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	r = -0.8298 p = 8.4 x 10 ⁻⁴	r = -0.9091 $p = 4.2 \times 10^{-5}$	r = -0.8795 p = 1.6 x 10 ⁻⁴	r = 0.2751 p = 0.387
C08	$\begin{array}{l} RC_{High}:\\ RC_{Mid}:\\ RC_{Low}:\\ N_{2000}:\\ W_{2014}: \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -03.50 \pm 1.63 \\ -02.67 \pm 1.40 \\ -01.49 \pm 1.00 \\ -0.27 \pm 0.10 \\ -0.25 \pm 0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{-01.69} \pm 0.74 \\ \text{-01.34} \pm 0.67 \\ \text{-0.81} \pm 0.53 \\ \text{-0.12} \pm 0.03 \\ \text{-0.11} \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 04.08 \pm 1.91 \\ 03.15 \pm 1.66 \\ 01.80 \pm 1.22 \\ 0.31 \pm 0.11 \\ 0.29 \pm 0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 04.57 \pm 2.40 \\ 03.52 \pm 2.03 \\ 01.98 \pm 1.43 \\ 0.35 \pm 0.15 \\ 0.32 \pm 0.13 \end{array}$	r = -0.8547 p = 3.97 x 10 ⁻⁴	r = -0.8804 $p = 1.6 \text{ x } 10^{-4}$	r = -0.8447 $p = 5.4 \times 10^{-4}$	r = 0.1846 p = 0.566
WH2	$\begin{array}{l} RC_{High}:\\ RC_{Mid}:\\ RC_{Low}:\\ N_{2000}:\\ W_{2014}: \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -10.88 \pm 5.06 \\ -08.30 \pm 4.34 \\ -04.61 \pm 3.11 \\ -0.84 \pm 0.30 \\ -0.77 \pm 0.24 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 02.63 \pm 1.15 \\ 02.09 \pm 1.04 \\ 01.26 \pm 0.83 \\ 0.19 \pm 0.05 \\ 0.17 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 02.40 \pm 1.13 \\ 01.85 \pm 0.98 \\ 01.06 \pm 0.72 \\ 0.19 \pm 0.06 \\ 0.17 \pm 0.05 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 03.50 \pm 1.84 \\ 02.69 \pm 1.56 \\ 01.52 \pm 1.09 \\ 0.27 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.25 \pm 0.10 \end{array}$	r = -0.8071 p = 1.51 x 10 ⁻³	r = -0.8269 $p = 9.1 \times 10^{-4}$	r = -0.8077 p = 1.5 x 10 ⁻³	r = 0.6316 p = 0.028
Gordo Fl (m ³	n River ow sec ⁻¹)	383.6 ± 38.9	360.3 ± 54.1	$342.6\pm\ 74.6$	324.3 ± 26.6	-	-	-	-
GAD (m ³	Flow sec ⁻¹)	107.6 ± 15.9	73.7 ± 12.1	$38.8\pm~5.1$	30.5 ± 2.2	-	-	-	-

342Table 3: Estimated diapycnal N2O flux (nmol N2O m⁻² day⁻¹ ± std. dev.) calculated form local buoyancy frequencies343from 20 m to 2 m within the main harbour stations Positive values indicate the flux of N2O from the basin water (20344m) to the surface lens (2m).

Station	July 2022 nmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	October 2022 nmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	February 2023 nmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	April 2023 nmol N ₂ O m ⁻² day ⁻¹
KR1	80 ± 3.5	282 ± 17.7	992 ± 12.9	395 ± 8.6
C10	140 ± 4.5	$1{,}200\pm47.3$	$1,\!040\pm65.3$	454 ± 16.2
C08	49 ± 2.3	782 ± 12.1	778 ± 37.4	348 ± 18.6
WH2	117 ± 4.0	125 ± 2.8	$1{,}308\pm67.8$	240 ± 18.0

347 4. Discussion

348 Our study is the first to report on N₂O distribution and air/sea flux from an Australasian fjord-like estuary. 349 We set out to investigate how N₂O concentrations varied along horizontal and depth gradients; how N₂O 350 concentrations and estimated surface water emissions vary seasonally; how N₂O concentrations vary with freshwater inputs; and whether the relationship between AOU and ΔN_2O could help clarify the primary 351 352 mechanism for N₂O generation in this system. We used surface water observations, local wind speed (from Cape 353 Sorell weather station) and atmospheric N_2O mole fractions (from Cape Grimm; Figure 1) to estimate N_2O air/sea flux (based on Zhang et al., 2010 and Bange et al., 2019) and found that Macquarie Harbour functions as 354 355 both a site of atmospheric uptake and emission of N₂O. Most harbour stations were estimated to be removing 356 atmospheric N₂O in July and October 2022 (when river flow was greater) and emitting N₂O into the atmosphere 357 in February and April 2023 (during low river flow periods; see Figure 8 and Table 2). Pearson correlations 358 show that when freshwater flow is high N₂O air/sea flux is negative (indicating uptake from the atmosphere) and 359 when freshwater flow is low N_2O air/sea flux is positive (Table 2). Our observations highlight that freshwater 360 flow is a key driver of N₂O emissions in this estuary. In addition, Gordon River flow is heavily influenced by 361 hydroelectric dam release (up to ~28% of the flow in July 2023). Rainfall in the catchment area may offset the effects of dam release, but our observations did not capture this as rainfall itself was not significantly correlated 362 363 with N₂O concentrations or air/sea flux.

364 The river endmember concentrations of N₂O were often observed to be undersaturated, as observed in the 365 South Platte River Basin, USA, McMahon and Dennehy (1999); Neuse River Estuary, USA, Stow et al., (2005); headwater streams, Ontario, Canada, Baulch et al., (2011); and Upper Mara River Basin, Kenya, 366 Mwanke et al., (2019). Our observations of endmember N₂O concentrations were similar to the lower end of the 367 concentrations reported in McMahon and Dennehy (1999) (approx. 80% saturation), but not as low as those 368 369 reported Jackson Creek, Ontario, Canada in **Baulch** et al., (2011), where some observations reached <20% 370 saturation. N₂O undersaturation in those systems was attributed to complete denitrification (use of N₂O as a 371 terminal electron acceptor by denitrifies) in streams with high DOC loads, low DO, and low NO3-372 concentrations. It should also be noted that up to 28% of the estimated Gordon River flow was found to be 373 associated with flow through the Gordon Above Dennison stream gauge (a proxy for hydroelectric dam/reservoir 374 release to the Gordon River). Boreal reservoirs have been shown to be net sinks of atmospheric N₂O (Hendzel et 375 al., 2005) which was attributed to increased N_2O demand to drive complete denitrification. There is good reason 376 to believe that N₂O may be scavenged in the Gordon and King Rivers as well because they do often have high 377 DOC concentrations, high water column DO demand (Maxey et al., 2020), and low DO concentrations in near 378 the stream bed (Maxey et al., 2022).

379 Below the estuary's predominately freshwater surface lens, the fjord-like morphology drives suboxic 380 conditions like those observed in the subhalocline waters at station WH2 in October 2022 (see Figure 3; 381 Hartstein et al., 2019; Maxey et al., 2020, 2022). While these conditions do not always persist, DO concentrations below 31 µM have been observed to occur more than 30% of the time up estuary, specifically at 382 383 station WH2 (Maxey et al., 2022). In the low DO sub-halocline layers of the harbour we observed the maximum N_2O concentrations (Figure and Figure 6). Subhalocline N_2O saturation was observed to generally range from 384 385 approx. 110% to 170% with the highest values observed within the deeper basins near the foot of the sill 386 (stations C10 and C08; Figure 6).



387

Figure 8: Mean Air/Sea Flux (μ M m⁻² day⁻¹) versus a) Gordon above Dennison River flow (m³ day⁻¹), b) estimated Gordon River flow (m³ day⁻¹), c) daily rainfall (mm) (20 day mean), and d) % of estimated Gordon River flow this is accounted for by the Gordon above Dennison River gauge (proxy for hydroelectric dam release). Error bars indicate ± 1 standard error.

In the harbour's subhalocline layer there is not enough light to support photosynthesis (Hartstein *et al.*,
2019; Maxey *et al.*, 2017, 2020, and 2022) and thus the main source of oxygen is advection from marine
intrusions. N₂O producing microbes have been observed to populate this layer of the harbour (*see* Da Silva *et al.*,

395 2021 and 2022) and our observations of supersaturated N_2O in these layers show that those microbes are active. 396 Linear relationships between AOU and ΔN_2O (slope = 0.0154; r = 0.596; p = 2.4 x 10⁻²³; Figure C) and NO₃⁻¹ and N₂O saturation (r = 0.559; p = 6.9 x 10^{-9} ; Figure D) indicate that N₂O production likely occurs primarily 397 398 through the ammonia oxidation (nitrification) pathway (Yoshinari, 1976; Walter et al., 2004; Brase et al., 2017). Our observations are on the lower end of reported N2O yield per mole O2 consumed (see 399 400 Suntharalingam and Sarmiento, 2000; Brase et al., 2017) which may be an artefact of mixing and loss 401 dynamics such as basin water DO recharges from marine intrusions, and loss to aerobic respiration and the 402 atmosphere. This suggests that some portion of subhalocline pelagic oxygen demand in the harbour can be 403 attributed to nitrifying microbes (albeit at a much lower rate compared to aerobic respiration). Ji et al., (2020) 404 also observed similar relationships in the Saanich Inlet, a seasonally anoxic fjord-like estuary in British 405 Columbia, but in that system anoxic conditions are more persistent (Bourbonnais et al., 2013; Manning et al., 406 2010) compared to Macquarie Harbour (Maxey et al., 2022). Deep-water renewal / marine intrusions have been hypothesized to stimulate N₂O production in the Saanich Inlet (Capelle et al., 2018; Michiles et al., 2019; Ji et 407 408 al., 2020), and Baltic Sea (Walter et al., 2006) and may also be stimulating it in Macquarie Harbour as well. In 409 the Baltic Sea, Walter et al. (2006) and Myllykangas et al. (2017) observed enhanced N₂O production in areas 410 receiving significant marine intrusions. Positive correlations between AOU and ΔN_2O observed in western Baltic Sea waters (Walter et al., 2006) along with mean (11-year; 2006-2017) seasonal variations in DO and N_2O 411 412 observed through the water column at the Boknis Eck Time-Series Station (Eckernförde Bay, Southwest Baltic 413 Sea) indicate a tight coupling between DO supply and N₂O production (presumably by nitrification) / 414 consumption (presumably by denitrification) pathways in that area (Ma et al., 2019). The reintroduction of 415 marine water on the upstream side of a dam in the Nakong River, South Korea was found to affect bottom water 416 trapping (stagnation), DO conditions, N process rates, process specific gene abundances, and subsequently the 417 fate of N in that system (Huang et al., 2024). Marine intrusions primarily refresh the DO supply adjacent to the sill in Macquarie Harbour (near station C10). As we observed a positive correlation between AOU and ΔN_2O 418 419 marine intrusions offer a possible explanation for the higher subhalocline N₂O concentrations observed in this 420 part of the harbour (see Error! Reference source not found.).

421 One other possible pathway of water column N_2O production might be through denitrification as DO 422 concentrations at WH2 in October 2022 approached single digits (3.1 μ M). This station has the highest basin 423 residence time compared the others used in this study. Low oxygen concentrations may also likely be found 424 under the harbour's fish farms due to the aerobic respiration of farm debris (**Maxey** *et al.* **2020**). Though whether 425 denitrification functions as a production process or a loss process will depend upon the drivers of DO 426 concentration (*i.e.* respiration rates, physical mixing, *etc.*) and may differ depending on the location of the basins 427 in this system. It is likely the main driver of undersaturated N₂O concentrations in the Gordon River.

We conceptualize that during periods of high river flow, the surface water lens thickens and transports water undersaturated with N₂O quickly across the harbour surface and out of Hells Gates inlet. Some N₂O from the continuously oversaturated subhalocline water is entrained in the surface lens (diapycnal flux) and transported laterallyout of the system in its dissolved form. During periods of low river flow, the surface lens is thinner and residence times longer (**Andrewartha and Wild-Allen 2017; Maxey** *et al.*, **2022**). We suspect that N₂O from the oversaturated subhalocline water then diffuses through the surface layer and is emitted into the atmosphere in its gaseous form (**Figure**). Our estimates of diapycnal flux indicate that the mass transport from subhalocline waters is smaller (~2x smaller) than the air/sea flux, supporting this idea. This conceptual model suggests that the harbour surface lens functions to capture both gaseous N_2O from the atmosphere and dissolved N_2O generated in the subhalocline layer and transport it to the ocean is its dissolved form during high flow periods (**Figure**).

439 This study focusing on characterizing N₂O dynamics at end-members and at stations through the 440 harbour's longitudinal axis. Other areas of the harbour, most prominently the shallow embayments around the 441 parameter of the system and the areas occupied by fin fish farms were not included here. Fin fish aquaculture can 442 increase water column DO demand near the pens in this system (Maxey et al., 2020), and introduces particulate 443 organic material to the water. Whether this manifests in altered N cycling dynamics (especially DO sensitive 444 processes like nitrification and denitrification) would be system specific and has never been described in this system. High particles loads have been shown to induce denitrification in normoxic waters e.g. Wan et al., 445 (2023); Frey et al., (2020); Codispoti et al., (2005); Nevison et al., (2003); Usui et al., (2001); Robinson et 446 447 al., (1998) so an N_2O sink might be present even under farms, even in more oxygenated basins. Future studies 448 should investigate the impacts of fin fish aquaculture on DO and N₂O cycling.

One source of uncertainty in our approach is in using literature derived estimators for air/sea and diapycnal flux estimations. We also used literature derived k_{600} estimates from **Nightingale** *et al.*, (2000), **Raymond and Cole** (2001), and **Wanninkhof** (2014) to compute N₂O air/sea flux. Literature derived estimators of K₆₀₀ and eddy diffusivity are commonly used when direct measurements are unavailable (**Tang** *et al.*, 2024; Li *et al.* 2023; **Murray** *et al.* 2020) but to reduce uncertainty these are ideally measure in situ. Likewise, we presented diapycnal flux estimates using turbulent eddy diffusivities from **Fer** *et al.*, (2006) which were not measured in Macquarie Harbour.

456 Previous work in Australian estuaries with pristine catchments (like Macquarie Harbour) has shown that 457 many tend to function as a sink for atmospheric N₂O (Maher et al., 2016; Wells et al., 2018). Our study adds 458 the caveat that water column / atmospheric exchange may also depend on factors controlling river flow in deeper 459 stratified systems. Despite the advancements made to date, many of the deeper estuaries in Chile, Australia and New Zealand are lacking descriptions of N_2O exchange between the water column and atmosphere (e.g. Bathurst 460 461 Harbour, Tasmania; fjords of South Island New Zealand; estuaries on Stewart Island New Zealand). Given that 462 these systems have relatively pristine catchments they offer an opportunity to better understand natural fjord-like estuarine responses to the climate drivers of N_2O dynamics. Mesoscale climate oscillations (*i.e.* the Southern 463 Annular Mode; SAM; North Atlantic Oscillation; NAO) have been shown to affect rainfall, river flow, and DO 464 465 concentrations in this and other fjord-like estuaries (Maxey et al., 2022; Austin and Inall, 2002). In Western 466 Tasmania, SAM in its positive phase results in increased orographic rainfall and a greater propensity for higher river flow, possibly tilting the source and sink balance to net N_2O uptake during these periods. 467

Climate change predictions for Tasmania's West Coast (which includes the Macquarie Harbour catchment) indicate that the region will experience a more extreme precipitation regime with increased winter precipitation and decreased summer precipitation (**Grose** *et al.*, **2010**; **Bennett** *et al.*, **2010**). If these future predictions result in more extreme seasonality in Gordon River flow, then the harbour may respond in kind with a larger variation in N₂O air / sea flux *i.e.* greater N₂O atmospheric uptake in winter and greater N₂O emission in summer. However, given that the river flow is somewhat regulated by the hydroelectric dam, our study suggests that flow regulation has the potential to augment harbour N₂O emissions. Releasing water during extreme low rainfall periods might allow N_2O slowly accumulating in subhalocline waters to be released in the exported surface lens.

477 It is well established that fjord and fjord-like estuaries are important sites of C burial (Smith et al., 478 2015; Bianchi et al., 2018, 2020). This study supports the idea that they can also be important sites of 479 atmospheric N₂O removal and transport. Macquarie Harbour air/sea flux estimates are similar in magnitude to 480 observations made in other stratified estuaries and enclosed seas such as the Reloncaví Estuary, Chile (Yevenes 481 et al., 2017) and Eckernförde Bay, Germany (Ma et al., 2019) (Table A1). Macquarie Harbour, however, was 482 observed to have lower fluxes of N₂O into the atmosphere than other river dominated, but not fjord-like, estuaries (Elbe River, Germany; Schulz et al., 2023) including those on the Australian mainland's east coast 483 484 (Wells et al., 2018).

Fjord and fjord-like estuaries are defined by their strong stratification and sensitivity to freshwater inputs. With climate change, rainfall patterns are expected to become more extreme and thus alter the river flow, and subsequently N_2O source sink dynamics in these systems on a global scale. In systems that are expected to experience increasingly drier conditions they may shift from net sinks of N_2O to sources, and further perpetuate the accumulation of N_2O in the atmosphere.

490 **5.** Conclusions

In summary, river flow, and specifically river flow driven by hydroelectric dam release, significantly affects both
 surface water N₂O concentrations and air/sea flux in Macquarie Harbour. Importantly, when river flow is low

492 surface water N_2O concentrations and air/sea flux in Macquarie Harbour. Importantly, when river flow is low 493 most of the harbour emits N_2O to the atmosphere. When river flow is high most of the harbour removes N_2O

494 from the atmosphere, intercepts the diapycnal flux, and laterally exports this N_2O to the ocean in its dissolved

495 form. N₂O is continually supersaturated below the halocline and the relationship between AOU and ΔN_2O and

496 N_2O saturation and NO_3^- concentration indicates that the main N_2O generation process is likely nitrification.

497 Climate change is predicted to result in wetter winter / drier summers for the Tasmanian West Coast, which may

498 result in augmented N₂O air/sea fluxes. This work represents the first descriptions of N₂O spatiotemporal

499 distribution, estimated air/sea flux, estimated diapycnal flux, and N₂O production pathways in this system.



502 Figure 9: Conceptual model of Macquarie Harbour's N_2O dynamics. The top diagram depicts the capture of N_2O 503 generated in the subhalocline during strong river flow conditions. Here N_2O is exported from the harbour in its 504 dissolved form via undersaturated surface flows from the harbour to the ocean. The bottom diagram depicts the 505 efflux of N_2O from the harbour surface during low flow conditions. Note that during these conditions the surface

flows are weak and generally supersaturated with N2O permitting its escape in gaseous form to the atmosphere.

507

506

6. Appendix

509Table A1: N2O fluxes and observed ranges of mean (± standard deviation) N2O concentration / saturation from both510fjord-like / river dominated estuaries around the globe and estuaries in Australia.

Location	System Type	Measurement Depth Range	Mean Sea-to-Air N2O flux uMol N2O m ⁻² day ⁻¹	Min and Max Sea-to-Air N2O flux uMol N2O m ⁻² dav ⁻¹	Mean N ₂ O Concentration (and Saturation) nM N ₂ O (and %)	Min and Max N ₂ O Concentration (and Saturation) nM N ₂ O (and %)	Reference
Macquarie Harbour, Western Tasmania, Australia	Fjord-like Estuary	2m to 45m	$\begin{array}{c} -09.83 \pm 0.67 \ to \\ 05.65 \pm 1.22 \end{array}$	-10.82 to 7.73	11.7 ± 1.6 (121.8 ± 17.8)	7.87 to 17.12 (81 to 174)	This Study
Reloncaví Estuary, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	0m to 5m	0.86 ± 2.28	-1.58 to 5.60	11.8 ± 1.70 (111 ± 18.3)	8.34 to 14.5 (80 to 140)	Yevenes et al., 2017
Reloncaví Estuary, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	10m to 200m	-	-	14.5 ± 1.73 (145 ± 17.7)	10.5 to 17.0 (11 to 170)	Yevenes et al., 2017
Chiloé Interior Sea, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	0m to 200m	1.08 ± 1.41	-0.18 to 3.19	12.6 ± 2.36 (121 \pm 17.5)	8.81 to 21.1 (87 to 160)	Yevenes et al., 2017
Europa Sound, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	-15.22 to -0.81	-	11.9 ± 5.7 to 12.7 ± 1.0	-	Farías et al., 2018
Concepción Channel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 150m	0.69 to 7.70	-	$\begin{array}{c} 13.6 \pm 1.1 \text{ to} \\ 17.0 \pm 0.02 \end{array}$	-	Farías et al., 2018
Sarmiento Channel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	2.07 to 12.53	-	$\begin{array}{c} 13.1 \pm 0.1 \text{ to} \\ 16.5 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$	-	Farías et al., 2018
Estero Peel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	0.11 to 2.01	-	$\begin{array}{c} 13.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ to} \\ 13.5 \pm 0.5 \end{array}$	-	Farías et al., 2018
Estero Calvo, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	0.04	-	13.9 ± 0.8	-	Farías et al., 2018
Estero Amalia, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 100m	-0.08	-	14.2 ± 1.7	-	Farías et al., 2018
Estero las Montañas, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	-2.95	-	9.69 ± 1.6	-	Farías et al., 2018
Smyth Channel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 300m	1.07 to 11.2	-	14.3 ± 0.4 to 16.0 ± 0.5	-	Farías et al., 2018
Última Esperanza Sound, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	-3.7 to 10.4	-	12.1 ± 1.1 to 13.7 ± 0.07	-	Farías et al., 2018
Almirante Montt Gulf, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 150m	15.6	-	21.0 ± 5.7	-	Farías et al., 2018
Kirke Channel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	0.12 to 8.19	-	13.3 ± 0.1 to 15.4 ± 0.4	-	Farías et al., 2018
Union Channel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	22.1	-	16.7 ± 0.8	-	Farías et al., 2018
Union Sound, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	2.86	-	14.8 ± 0.8	-	Farías et al., 2018
Western Magellan Strait, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m to 10m	143	-	15.71	-	Farías et al., 2018
Eastern Magellan Strait, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m	36.3	-	16.4	-	Farías et al., 2018
San Gregorio Cape, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1 m	24.8	-	12.07	-	Farías et al., 2018
Otway Center Sound, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1 m	35.5	-	11.4	-	Farías et al., 2018
Magdalena North Channel,	Fjord-like Estuary	1m	-0.22	-	11.4	-	Farías et al., 2018
Chasco Sound, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1 m	6.81	-	16.01	-	Farías <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Cockburn West Channel, Magellanic Region, Chile	Fjord-like Estuary	1m	6.18	-	14.47	-	Farías <i>et al.</i> , 2018
Saanich Inlet, British Columbia, Canada	Fjord-like Estuary	10m to 200m	2.3 ± 2.5 to 3.9 ± 2.9	-	14.7	<0.5 to 37.4	Capelle et al., 2018
Saanich Inlet, British Columbia, Canada	Fjord-like Estuary	Surface to 110m	11.3 to 20.4	-	-	-	Cohen 1978
Elbe River Estuary, Germany	Well-Mixed River Dominated Estuary	1.2m	-	26.0 ± 23.5 to 100.7 \pm 101.2	-	(161 ± 53.6) to (243 ± 141.6)	Schulz et al. 2023
Eckernförde Bay, Boknis Eck Time Series Station, Baltic Sea, Germany	Enclosed Sea	1m to 25m	3.5 ± 12.4	-19.0 to 105.7	(111± 30)	(56 to 314)	Ma et al., 2019
Eckernförde Bay, Boknis Eck Time Series Station, Baltic Sea, Germany	Enclosed Sea	1m to 25m	-	-	10 to 17	-	Walter et al., 2006
Baltic Sea, Germany	Enclosed Sea	110m	5 -11	-	14 to 1523	-	Rönner 1983

Gotland Basin, Baltic Sea, Germany	Enclosed Sea	90m	-	-	13	0 to 126 (0 to 450)	Brettar and Rheinheimer 1991
Northwest Shelf, Black Sea	Enclosed Sea	-	1.6 to 4.4	-	6.5 to 8	-	Amouroux et al., 2002
Deep Basin, Black Sea	Enclosed Sea	70m	3.1 to 5.2	-	7.5 to 10.2	-	Amouroux et al., 2002
Cariaco Basin, Venezuela	Coastal Basin	Surface to 400m	-	-	4.4 to 5.5	-	Hashimoto et al., 1983
Guadalquivir Estuary, Gulf of Cadiz, Spain	River Dominated Estuary	2m	18.7 ± 33.6	-	20.6 ± 24.3	-	Sierra et al., 2020
Guadalquivir Estuary, Gulf of Cadiz, Spain	River Dominated Estuary	2m	0.3 ± 0.5	-	6.7 ± 0.4	-	Sierra et al., 2020
Guadalquivir Estuary, Gulf of Cadiz, Spain	River Dominated Estuary	2m	0.9 ± 21.6	-	7.3 ± 15.4	-	Sierra et al., 2020
Noosa River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	0.5m to 9.6m	-14.24 ± 14.02	-57.72 to 22.20	$\begin{array}{c} 6.99 \pm 0.43 \\ (97 \pm 2.2) \end{array}$	5.92 to 7.95 (90 to 103)	Wells et al., 2018
Mooloolah River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	0.5m to 6.8m	-7.33 ± 7.25	-48.76 to 16.31	$\begin{array}{c} 6.74 \pm 0.64 \\ (97 \pm 3.8) \end{array}$	5.19 to 7.71 (82 to 112)	Wells et al., 2018
Maroochy River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	0.5m to 8.2m	51.33 ± 55.3	-34.94 to 179.64	$\begin{array}{c} 8.4 \pm 1.50 \\ (113 \pm 16.7) \end{array}$	6.07 to 12.93 (92 to 163)	Wells et al., 2018
Pine River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	0.5m to 10.1m	17.10 ± 39.44	-33.22 to 145.50	$\begin{array}{c} 7.1 \pm 0.76 \\ (102 \pm 6.24) \end{array}$	6.05 to 8.57 (93 to 117)	Wells et al., 2018
Brisbane River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	0.5m to 23.9m	209.54 ± 143.59	15.42 to 662.62	$\begin{array}{c} 9.8 \pm 1.36 \\ (133 \pm 9.9) \end{array}$	6.75 to 12.75 (105 to 158)	Wells et al., 2018
Middle Reach, Brisbane River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	Surface	14.5 ± 1.19	$\begin{array}{c} 5.4\pm0.34 \text{ to}\\ 25.2\pm1.87 \end{array}$	-	13.1 to 17.9 (160 to 250)	Sturm et al., 2017
Lower Reach, Brisbane River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	Surface	6. ± 0.51	$\begin{array}{c} 3.7 \pm 0.85 \text{ to} \\ 9.1 \pm 1.19 \end{array}$	-	9.2 to 12.7 (125 to 410)	Sturm et al., 2017
Oxley Creek, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	2.1m to 13.1m	210.59 ± 60.23	91.54 to 280.16	$\begin{array}{c} 11.7 \pm 1.34 \\ (156 \pm 19.7) \end{array}$	9.65 to 14.89 (139 to 199.7)	Wells et al., 2017
Nerang River Estuary, Eastern Australia	River Dominated Estuary	0.5m to 6.8m	$\textbf{-0.62} \pm 20.87$	-67.98 to 45.92	$\begin{array}{c} 6.73 \pm 0.43 \\ (100 \pm 4.3) \end{array}$	5.99 to 7.79 (88 to 109)	Wells et al., 2018
Logan River Estuary, Eastern Australia	-	0.5m to 14.4m	110.00 ± 153.55	-54.48 to 796.00	9.3 ± 2.36 (127 ± 27.5)	5.54 to 14.8 (81 to 191)	Wells et al., 2018
Albert River Estuary, Eastern Australia	-	1.1m to 15.7m	90.05 ± 73.32	-9.50 to 264.25	$\begin{array}{c} 10.10 \pm 2.24 \\ (131 \pm 29.8) \end{array}$	7.32 to 15.1 (98 to 205)	Wells et al., 2018
Darwin Creek, Australia	Mangrove Creek	~1m	-0.12	-	6.3 (98.9)	6.0 to 6.8 (95 to 104)	Maher et al., 2016
Hinchinbrook Creek, Australia	Mangrove Creek	~1m	-3.43	-	6.1 (83.3)	5.6 to 6.8 (75 to 91)	Maher et al., 2016
Melbourne Creek, Australia	Mangrove Creek	~1m	-1.33	-	7.9 (96.6)	6.9 to 9.1 (86 to 115)	Maher et al., 2016
Morton Bay Creek, Australia	Mangrove Creek	~1m	-3.19	-	5.1 (77.4)	3.4 to 6.6 (50 to 105)	Maher et al., 2016
Seventeen Seventy Creek, Australia	Mangrove Creek	~1m	-1.75	-	7.7 (94.3)	7.1 to 8.9 (88 to 106)	Maher et al., 2016
Brisbane River, Australia	-	-	-	-	(285)	(135 to 435)	Musenze et al., 2014
Coffs Creek, Australia	-	-	-	-	(219 ± 37)	(53 to 386)	Reading et al., 2017
Coffs Creek, Australia	-	-	-	-	(266.5 ± 128)	(86 to 678)	Reading et al., 2020
Boambee Creek, Australia	-	-	-	-	(197.1 ± 75)	(87 to 329)	Reading et al., 2020
Bonville Creek, Australia	-	-	-	-	(183.7 ± 65)	(78 to 310)	Reading et al., 2020
Pine Creek, Australia	-	-	-	-	(194.1 ± 65)	(79 to 382)	Reading et al., 2020
Yarra River, Australia	Salt Wedge Estuary	-	-	-	(135.9 ± 31)	-	Tait et al., 2017

511 7. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank GEOMAR for providing the facilities and training (thank you Lea, Florian, and 512 513 Chukwudi) required to analyse N2O samples. We want to thank Torsten and Leonie Schwoch for their sampling assistance and tireless vessel operation on the Harbour. We want to thank the ADS Environmental Services Sdn. 514 515 Bhd. technical staff for helping to collect portions of this dataset (Grace, Shukry, Atika, Chance, Gene, and Azza). We would also like to thank our families for supporting our long days away from home. This research has 516 517 been supported by internal funding from ADS Environmental Services, Swinburne University of Technology 518 student travel grant, and GEOMAR. We have used some of the data available in the MEMENTO database. The 519 MEMENTO database is administered by the Kiel Data Management Team at GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for 520 Ocean Research Kiel. The database is accessible through the MEMENTO webpage: https://memento.geomar.de.

521 8. Data Availability

522 This data set is available upon request

523 9. Author Contributio	ns
---------------------------	----

524	Johnathan Daniel Maxey – Conceptualization, Field Collection, Analytical Methodology, Data
525	Analysis, Writing – Original Draft, Writing – Review & Editing
526	
527	Neil David Hartstein – Conceptualization, Field Collection, Analytical Guidance, Writing – Review &
528	Editing, Funding
529	
530	Hermann W. Bange – Conceptualization, Analytical Methodology, Data Analysis, Writing – Review
531	& Editing
532	
533	Moritz Müller – Conceptualization, Field Collection, Analytical Guidance, Writing – Review &
534	Editing
535	

536 **10. Competing Interests**

HWB serves on the editorial board for Biogeosciences. The authors declare that they have no other conflicts ofinterest.

539

540 11. References

541 Borges, A. V., Delille, B., Schiettecatte, L. S., Gazeau, F., Abril, G., and Frankignoulle, M.: Gas transfer 542 velocities of CO2 in three European estuaries (Randers Fjord, Scheldt, and Thames). Limnology and 543 Oceanography, 49(5), 1630-1641, 2004. DOI: 10.4319/lo.2004.49.5.1630

544

Acuña-González, J. A., Vargas-Zamora, J. A., and Córdoba-Muñoz, R.: A snapshot view of some vertical
distributions of water parameters at a deep (200 m) station in the fjord-like Golfo Dulce, embayment, Costa Rica.

- 547 Revista de Biología Tropical, 54(1), 193-200, 2006. ISSN: 0034-7744
- 548
- 549 Amouroux, D., Roberts, G., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Biogenic gas (CH₄, N₂O, DMS) emission to
- the atmosphere from near-shore and shelf waters of the north-western Black Sea. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
- 551 Science, 54(3), 575-587, 2002. DOI: 10.1006/ecss.2000.0666
- 552

- 553 Andrewartha, J. and Wild-Allen, K.: CSIRO Macquarie Harbour Hydrodynamic and Oxygen Tracer Modelling.
- 554 Progress report to FRDC 2016/067 Project Steering Committee, 2017.
- 555
- Arneborg, L., Janzen, C., Liljebladh, B., Rippeth, T. P., Simpson, J. H., and Stigebrandt, A.: Spatial variability of
- 557 diapycnal mixing and turbulent dissipation rates in a stagnant fjord basin. Journal of Physical Oceanography,
- 558 34(7), 1679-1691, 2004. DOI: 10.1175/1520-0485(2004)034<1679:SVODMA>2.0.CO;2
- 559
- Austin, W. E., and Inall, M. E.: Deep-water renewal in a Scottish fjord: temperature, salinity and oxygen isotopes. Polar Research, 21(2), 251-257, 2002. DOI: 10.3402/polar.v21i2.6485
- 562
- Bange, H. W., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O.: Nitrous oxide in coastal waters. Global Biogeochemical
 Cycles, 10(1), 197-207, 1996. DOI: 10.1029/95GB03834.
- 565
- Bange, H. W.: Nitrous oxide and methane in European coastal waters. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science,
 70(3), 361-374, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2006.05.042
- 568
- Bange, H. W., Bell, T. G., Cornejo, M., Freing, A., Uher, G., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., and Zhang, G. L.:
 MEMENTO: A proposal to develop a database of marine nitrous oxide and methane measurements.
 Environmental Chemistry, 6, 195-197, 2009. DOI: 10.1071/en09033.
- 572

Bange, H. W., Sim, C. H., Bastian, D., Kallert, J., Kock, A., Mujahid, A., and Müller, M.: Nitrous oxide (N₂O)
and methane (CH₄) in rivers and estuaries of northwestern Borneo. Biogeosciences, 16(22), 4321-4335, 2019.
DOI: 10.5194/bg-16-4321-2019

576

578

577 Bange, H. W., Mongwe, P., Shutler, J. D., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Bianchi, D., Lauvset, S. K., Liu, C., Löscher,

C. R., Martins, H., Rosentreter, J. A., Schmale, O., Steinhoff, T., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Wanninkhof, R.,

- 579 Wilson, S. T., and Xie, H.: Advances in understanding of air-sea exchange and cycling of greenhouse gases in
- the upper ocean, Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 12, 2024. DOI: 10.1525/elementa.2023.00044
- 581

- 584
- Baulch, H. M., Schiff, S. L., Maranger, R., and Dillon, P. J.: Nitrogen enrichment and the emission of nitrous
 oxide from streams. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 25(4), 2011. DOI: 10.1029/2011GB004047
- 587
- 588 Beaulieu, J. J., Shuster, W. D., and Rebholz, J. A.: Controls on gas transfer velocities in a large river. Journal of
- 589 Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 117(G2), 2012. DOI: 10.1029/2011JG001794
- 590
- Bennett, J. C., Ling, F. L. N., Graham, B., Grose, M. R., Corney, S. P., White, C. J., Holz, G. K., Post, D. A.,
 Gaynor, S. M. and Bindoff, N. L.: Climate Futures for Tasmania: water and catchments technical report.

<sup>Bastian, D.: N₂O und CH₄ Verteilung in Ästuaren und Flüssen im Nordwesten von Borneo, 2017. BSc thesis,
Kiel University, Kiel, 50 pp., 2017.</sup>

593	Antarctic Climate & Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre, Hobart, Tasmania, 2010. ISBN: 978-1-921197-
594	06-8
595	
596	Bianchi, T. S., Cui, X., Blair, N. E., Burdige, D. J., Eglinton, T. I., and Galy, V.: Centers of organic carbon burial
597	and oxidation at the land-ocean interface. Organic Geochemistry, 115, 138-155, 2018. DOI:
598	10.1016/j.orggeochem.2017.09.008
599	
600	Bianchi, T. S., Arndt, S., Austin, W. E., Benn, D. I., Bertrand, S., Cui, X., Faust, J., Koziorowska-Makuch, K.,
601	Moy, C., Savage, C., Smeaton, C., Smith, R., and Syvitski, J.: Fjords as aquatic critical zones (ACZs). Earth-
602	Science Reviews, 203(103145), 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103145
603	
604	Brase, L., Bange, H. W., Lendt, R., Sanders, T., and Dähnke, K.: High resolution measurements of nitrous oxide
605	(N ₂ O) in the Elbe estuary. Frontiers in Marine Science, 4(162), 2017. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00162
606	
607	Breider, F., Yoshikawa, C., Makabe, A., Toyoda, S., Wakita, M., Matsui, Y., Kawagucci, S., Fujiki, T., Harada,
608	N. and Yoshida, N.: Response of N_2O production rate to ocean acidification in the western North Pacific. Nature
609	Climate Change, 9(12), 954-958, 2019. DOI: 10.1038/s41558-019-0605-7
610	
611	Breitburg, D., Grégoire, M., and Isensee, K. (eds): Global Ocean Oxygen Network 2018. The ocean is losing its
612	breath: Declining oxygen in the world's ocean and coastal waters. OC-UNESCO, IOC Technical Series, No. 137,
613	2018.
614	
615	Brettar, I., and Rheinheimer, G.: Denitrification in the Central Baltic: evidence for H ₂ S-oxidation as motor of
616	denitrification at the oxic-anoxic interface. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 77(2-3), 157-169, 1991.
617	http://www.jstor.org/stable/24826569
618	
619	Bourbonnais, A., Lehmann, M. F., Hamme, R. C., Manning, C. C., and Juniper, S. K.: Nitrate elimination and
620	regeneration as evidenced by dissolved inorganic nitrogen isotopes in Saanich Inlet, a seasonally anoxic fjord.
621	Marine Chemistry, 157, 194–207, 2013. DOI: 10.1016/j.marchem.2013.09.006
622	
623	Capelle, D. W., Hawley, A. K., Hallam, S. J., and Tortell, P. D.: A multi-year time-series of N ₂ O dynamics in a
624	seasonally anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. Limnology and Oceanography, 63(2), 524-539, 2018.
625	DOI: 10.1002/lno.10645
626	
627	Carpenter, P. D., Butler, E. C. V., Higgins, H. W., Mackey, D. J., and Nichols, P. D.: Chemistry of trace
628	elements, humic substances and sedimentary organic matter in Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania. Marine and
629	Freshwater Research, 42(6), 625-654, 1991. DOI: 10.1071/MF9910625
630	

- Chen, C., Pan, J., Xiao, S., Wang, J., Gong, X., Yin, G., Hou, L., Liu, M., and Zheng, Y.: Microplastics alter
 nitrous oxide production and pathways through affecting microbiome in estuarine sediments. Water Research
 221(118733), 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.watres.2022.118733
- 634
- Chen, J., Wells, N. S., Erler, D. V., and Eyre, B. D.: Land-use intensity increases benthic N₂O emissions across
 three sub-tropical estuaries. Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences 127, 2022. DOI:
 10.1029/2022JG006899
- 638
- 639 Cresswell, G. R., Edwards, R. J., Barker, B. A.: Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania-seasonal oceanographic
- surveys in 1985. University of Tasmania Journal contribution. 1989. DOI: 10.26749/rstpp.123.63
- 641
- de Bie, M. J. M.: Factors controlling nitrification and nitrous oxide production in the Schelde estuary. Doctoral
 dissertation, Yerseke: Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-CEMO). 2002.
- 644
- Dey, R., Lewis, S. C., Arblaster, J. M., and Abram, N. J.: A review of past and projected changes in Australia's
 rainfall. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 10(3), e577, 2019. DOI: 10.1002/wcc.577
- 647
- Etminan, M., Myhre, G., Highwood, E. J., and Shine, K. P.: Radiative forcing of carbon dioxide, methane, and
 nitrous oxide: A significant revision of the methane radiative forcing. Geophysical Research Letters, 43(24), 12614, 2016. DOI: 10.1002/2016GL071930
- 651

652 Eyring, V., Gillett, N. P., Achuta Rao, K. M., Barimalala, R., Barreiro Parrillo, M., Bellouin, N., Cassou, C., 653 Durack, P. J., Kosaka, Y., McGregor, S., Min, S., Morgenstern, O., Sun, Y.: Human Influence on the Climate System. In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth 654 655 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, 656 657 K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B. (eds.): Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 423-552, 2021. DOI: 658 659 10.1017/9781009157896.005.

- 660
- Farías, L., Bello, E., Arancibia, G., Fernandez, J.: Distribution of dissolved methane and nitrous oxide in Chilean
 coastal systems of the Magellanic Sub-Antarctic region (50°– 55°S). Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 215,
 225-240, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2018.10.020.
- 664
- Fer, I.: Scaling turbulent dissipation in an Arctic fjord. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in
 Oceanography, 53(1-2), 77-95, 2006. DOI: 10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.01.003
- 667

668 Forster P., Storelvmo T., Armour K., Collins W., Dufresne J.-L., Frame D., Lunt, D., Mauritsen, T., Palmer, M.,

- 669 Watanabe, M., Wild, M.: The earth's energy budget, climate feedbacks, and climate sensitivity In Climate
- 670 Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of

- the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L.,
 Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M. I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E.,
 Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, B. (eds.): Cambridge
 University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 423–552, 2021. DOI:
 10.1017/9781009157896.009
- 676
- Gilbert, D., Rabalais, N. N., Diaz, R. J., & Zhang, J.: Evidence for greater oxygen decline rates in the coastal
 ocean than in the open ocean. Biogeosciences, 7(7), 2283-2296, 2010. DOI: 10.5194/bg-7-2283-2010
- 679
- Gillibrand, P. A., Cage, A. G., and Austin, W. E. N.: A preliminary investigation of basin water response to
 climate forcing in a Scottish fjord: evaluating the influence of the NAO. Continental Shelf Research, 25(5-6),
 571-587, 2005. DOI: 10.1016/j.csr.2004.10.011
- 683
- Grose, M. R., Barnes-Keoghan, I., Corney S. P., White C. J., Holz, G.K., Bennett, J. B., Gaynor, S.M. and
 Bindof, N.L.: Climate Futures for Tasmania: general climate impacts technical report, Antarctic Climate &
 Ecosystems Cooperative Research Centre, Hobart, Tasmania, 2010. ISBN: 978-1-921197-05-5
- 687
- Hartstein, N. D., Maxey, J. D., Loo, J. C. H., and Then, A. Y. H.: Drivers of deep water renewal in Macquarie
 Harbour, Tasmania. Journal of Marine Systems, 199(103226), 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2019.103226
- Hashimoto, L. K., Kaplan, W. A., Wofsy, S. C., and McElroy, M. B.: Transformations of fixed nitrogen and
 N2O in the Cariaco Trench. Deep Sea Research Part A. Oceanographic Research Papers, 30(6), 575-590, 1983.
 DOI: 10.1016/0198-0149(83)90037-7
- 694
- Hendzel, L. L., Matthews, C. J. D., Venkiteswaran, J. J., St. Louis, V. L., Burton, D., Joyce, E. M., and Bodaly,
 R. A.: Nitrous oxide fluxes in three experimental boreal forest reservoirs. Environmental Science & Technology,
 39(12), 4353-4360, 2005. DOI: 10.1021/es049443j
- 698
- Huang, Y., Song, B., Zhang, Q., Park, Y., Wilson, S. J., Tobias, C. R., and An, S.: Seawater intrusion effects on
 nitrogen cycling in the regulated Nakdong River Estuary, South Korea. Frontiers in Marine Science.
 11(1369421), 2024. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2024.1369421
- 702
- Inall, M. E., & Gillibrand, P. A.: The physics of mid-latitude fjords: a review. Geological Society, London,
 Special Publications. 344(1), 17-33, 2010. DOI: 10.1144/SP344.3
- 705
- Ji, Q., Jameson, B. D., Juniper, S. K., and Grundle, D. S.: Temporal and vertical oxygen gradients modulate
 nitrous oxide production in a seasonally anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British Columbia. Journal of Geophysical
 Research: Biogeosciences, 125(9), 2020. DOI: 10.1029/2020JG005631
- 709

710	Kallert, J.: Verteilung von Lachgas (N2O) und Methan (CH4) im Fluss Rajang (Malaysia). Bachelor thesis,
711	Christian-Albrecht-University, Kiel, 2017. URI: https://oceanrep.geomar.de/id/eprint/40913/
712	
713	Kock, A. and Bange, H. W.: Counting the ocean's greenhouse gas emissions, Eos: Earth & Space Science News,
714	96(3), 10–13, 2015. DOI:10.1029/2015EO023665
715	
716	Ku, Harry H. "Notes on the use of propagation of error formulas." Journal of Research of the National Bureau of
717	Standards 70, no. 4 (1966). DOI: jresv70Cn4p263_A1b
718	
719	Kuypers, M. M. M., Marchant, H. K., and Kartal, B.: The microbial nitrogen-cycling network, Nature Reviews
720	Microbiology, 16, 263-276, 2018. DOI: 10.1038/nrmicro.2018.9
721	
722	Laffoley, D., and Baxter, J. M.: Ocean deoxygenation: Everyone's problem: Causes, impacts, consequences and
723	solutions: Summary for Policy Makers. International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), 2019. DOI:
724	10.2305/IUCN.CH.2019.13.en
725	
726	Li, Yuhong, Yang Luo, Jian Liu, Wangwang Ye, Jiexia Zhang, and Liyang Zhan.: Sources and sinks of N ₂ O in
727	the subtropical Jiulong River Estuary, Southeast China. Frontiers in Marine Science 10 (2023): 1138258. DOI:
728	10.3389/fmars.2023.1138258
729	
730	Limburg, Karin E., Denise Breitburg, Dennis P. Swaney, and Gil Jacinto.: Ocean deoxygenation: A primer. One
731	Earth 2, no. 1. 2020: 24-29. DOI: 10.1016/j.oneear.2020.01.001
732	
733	Lucieer, V.: SeaMap Tasmania Bathymetric Data [data set], Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies,
734	University of Tasmania, 2007. ISBN: 0-7246-8011-X
735	
736	Ma, X., Lennartz, S. T., and Bange, H. W. : A multi-year observation of nitrous oxide at the Boknis Eck Time
737	Series Station in the Eckernförde Bay (southwestern Baltic Sea). Biogeosciences, 16(20), 4097-4111, 2019.
738	DOI: 10.5194/bg-16-4097-2019
739	
740	Macquarie Harbour Dissolved Oxygen Working Group (October 2014), Final Report to the Tasmanian Salmonid
741	Growers Association, 2014.
742	
743	Maher, D. T., J. Z. Sippo, D. R. Tait, C. Holloway, and Santos, I. R.: Pristine mangrove creek waters are a sink
744	of nitrous oxide. Scientific Reports, 6(25701), 2016. DOI: 10.1038/srep25701
745	
746	Manning, C. C., Hamme, R. C., & Bourbonnais, A.: Impact of deep-water renewal events on fixed nitrogen loss
747	from seasonally-anoxic Saanich Inlet. Marine Chemistry, 122(1), 1–10, 2010. DOI:
748	10.1016/j.marchem.2010.08.002
749	

- 750 Maxey, J. D., Hartstein, N. D., Penjinus, D., & Kerroux, A.: Simple quality control technique to identify 751 dissolved oxygen diffusion issues with biochemical oxygen demand bottle incubations. Borneo Journal of 752 Marine Science and Aquaculture (BJoMSA), 1, 2017. DOI: 10.51200/bjomsa.v1i.995
- 753
- 754 Maxey, J. D., Hartstein, N. D., Then, A. Y. H., and Barrenger, M.: Dissolved oxygen consumption in a fjord-like 755 estuary, Macquarie Harbour, Tasmania. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 246(107016), 2020. DOI: 756 10.1016/j.ecss.2020.107016
- 757

- 758 Maxey, J. D., Hartstein, N. D., Mujahid, A., & Müller, M.: The influence of mesoscale climate drivers on
- hypoxia in a fjord-like deep coastal inlet and its potential implications regarding climate change: examining a 760 decade of water quality data. Biogeosciences, 19(13), 3131-3150, 2022. DOI: 10.5194/bg-19-3131-2022
- 761
- 762 McMahon, P. B., and Dennehy, K. F.: N₂O emissions from a nitrogen-enriched river. Environmental Science & 763 Technology, 33(1), 21-25, 1999. DOI: 10.1021/es980645n
- 764
- Michiels, C. C., Huggins, J. A., Giesbrecht, K. E., Spence, J. S., Simister, R. L., Varela, D. E., Hallam, S. J., 765
- Crowe, S. A.: Rates and pathways of N₂ production in a persistently anoxic fjord: Saanich Inlet, British 766 767 Columbia. Frontiers in Marine Science, 6(27), 2019. DOI: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00027
- 768
- 769 Mickett, J. B., Gregg, M. C., and Seim, H. E.: Direct measurements of diapycnal mixing in a fjord reach-Puget 770 Sound's Main Basin. Estuarine, Coastal Shelf Science, 59(4), 539-558, and 2004. DOI: 771 10.1016/j.ecss.2003.10.009
- 772
- 773 Murray, R. H., Erler, D. V., and Eyre, B. D.: Nitrous oxide fluxes in estuarine environments: response to global 774 change. Global Change Biology, 21(9), 3219-3245, 2015. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12923
- 775
- 776 Murray, Rachel, Dirk V. Erler, Judith Rosentreter, Naomi S. Wells, and Bradley D. Eyre .: Seasonal and spatial
- 777 controls on N₂O concentrations and emissions in low-nitrogen estuaries: Evidence from three tropical systems.
- 778 Marine Chemistry 221 (2020): 103779. DOI: 10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103779
- 779
- 780 Musenze, R. S., U. Werner, A. Grinham, J. Udy, and Z. Yuan.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a 781 subtropical estuary (the Brisbane River estuary, Australia). Science of the Total Environment, 472, 719–729, 782 2014. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.11.085
- 783
- 784 Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F. M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J. F., Lee, 785 D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., and Zhang, H.: Anthropogenic and
- Natural Radiative Forcing. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working 786
- 787 Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., Qin,
- 788 D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J. Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M.

- (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013. DOI:
 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018
- 791
- Myllykangas, J. P., Jilbert, T., Jakobs, G., Rehder, G., Werner, J., and Hietanen, S.: Effects of the 2014 major
 Baltic inflow on methane and nitrous oxide dynamics in the water column of the central Baltic Sea. Earth System
 Dynamics, 8(3), 817-826, 2017. DOI: 10.5194/esd-8-817-2017
- 795
- Nevison, C., and Holland, E.: A reexamination of the impact of anthropogenically fixed nitrogen on atmospheric
 N₂O and the stratospheric O₃ layer. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 102(D21), 25519-25536,
 1997. DOI: 10.1029/97JD02391
- 799
- Orif, M. I., Yasar N. K., Radwan K. A., and Sudheesh, V.: Deoxygenation turns the coastal Red Sea lagoons into
 sources of nitrous oxide. Marine Pollution Bulletin 189(114806), 2023. DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2023.114806
- Portmann, R. W., Daniel, J. S., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Stratospheric ozone depletion due to nitrous oxide:
 influences of other gases. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 367, 1256–1264, 2012. DOI:
 10.1098/rstb.2011.0377
- 806
- Raes, E. J., Bodrossy, L., Van de Kamp, J., Holmes, B., Hardman-Mountford, N., Thompson, P. A., McInnes, A.
 S., Waite, A. M.: Reduction of the powerful greenhouse gas N₂O in the South-Eastern Indian Ocean. PLoS One,
 11(1), 2016. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0145996
- 810
- Ravishankara, A. R., Daniel, J. S., and Portmann, R. W.: Nitrous oxide (N₂O): the dominant ozone-depleting
 substance emitted in the 21st century. Science, 326(5949), 123-125, 2009. DOI: 10.1126/science.1176985
- 813

Raymond, P. A., and Cole, J. J.: Gas exchange in rivers and estuaries: Choosing a gas transfer velocity.
Estuaries, 24(2), 312-317, 2001. DOI: 10.2307/1352954

- 816
- Reading, M. J., Santos, I. R., Maher, D. T., Jeffrey, L. C., and Tait, D. R.: 2017. Shifting nitrous oxide
 source/sink behaviour in a subtropical estuary revealed by automated time series observations. Estuarine, Coastal
 and Shelf Science, 194: 66-76, 2017. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2017.05.017
- 820
- Reading, M. J., Tait, D. R., Maher, D. T., Jeffrey, L. C., Looman, A., Holloway, C., Shishaye, H. A., Barron, S.
 and Santos, I. R.: Land use drives nitrous oxide dynamics in estuaries on regional and global scales. Limnology
 and Oceanography, 65(8), 1903-1920, 2020. DOI: 10.1002/lno.11426
- 824
- Reading, M.J.: Aquatic nitrous oxide dynamics from rivers to reefs. Doctoral dissertation, Southern Cross
- 826 University, 2022. DOI: 10.25918/thesis.197
- 827

- 828 Resplandy, Laure, Allison Hogikyan, Jens Daniel Müller, R. G. Najjar, Hermann W. Bange, Daniele Bianchi, T.
- 829 Weber, W.-J. Cai, S. C. Doney, K. Fennel, M. Gehlen, J. Hauck, F. Lacroix, P. Landschützer, C. Le Quéré, A.
- 830 Roobaert, J. Schwinger, S. Berthet, L. Bopp, T. T. T. Chau, M. Dai, N. Gruber, T. Ilyina, A. Kock, M. Manizza,
- 831 Z. Lachkar, G. G. Laruelle, E. Liao, I. D. Lima, C. Nissen, C. Rödenbeck, R. Séférian, K. Toyama, H. Tsujino,
- P. Regnier.: A synthesis of global coastal ocean greenhouse gas fluxes. Global biogeochemical cycles 38, no. 1
- 833 2024: e2023GB007803. DOI: 10.1029/2023GB007803
- 834
- Rönner, U.: Distribution, production and consumption of nitrous oxide in the Baltic Sea. Geochimica et
 Cosmochimica Acta, 47(12), 2179-2188, 1983. DOI: 10.1016/0016-7037(83)90041-8
- 837
- Rosentreter, J. A., Wells, N. S., Ulseth, A. J., and Eyre, B. D.: Divergent gas transfer velocities of CO₂, CH₄, and
 N₂O over spatial and temporal gradients in a subtropical estuary. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Biogeosciences, 126(10), 2021. DOI: 10.1029/2021JG006270
- 841
- 842 Rosentreter, J.A., Laruelle, G.G., Bange, H.W., Bianchi, T.S., Busecke, J.J., Cai, W.J., Eyre, B.D., Forbrich, I.,
- Kwon, E.Y., Maavara, T. and Moosdorf, N.: Coastal vegetation and estuaries are collectively a greenhouse gas
 sink. Nature Climate Change, 13(6), 579-587, 2023. DOI: 10.1038/s41558-023-01682-9
- 845
- Sánchez-Rodríguez, J., Sierra, A., Jiménez-López, D., Ortega, T., Gómez-Parra, A., and Forja, J.: Dynamic of
 CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O in the Guadalquivir estuary. Science of The Total Environment, 805, 2022. DOI:
 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150193
- 849
- Schulz, G., Sanders, T., Voynova, Y. G., Bange, H. W., and Dähnke, K.: Seasonal variability of nitrous oxide
 concentrations and emissions in a temperate estuary. Biogeosciences, 20(15), 3229-3247, 2023. DOI:
 10.5194/bg-20-3229-2023
- 853
- Schweiger, B.: Messung von NH₂OH in ausgewachlten Seegebieten. Master thesis, Leibniz Institute of Marine
 Science, Kiel (IFM-GEOMAR), 2006.
- 856
- Seitzinger, S. P., Kroeze, C., and Styles, R. V.: Global distribution of N₂O emissions from aquatic systems:
 natural emissions and anthropogenic effects. Chemosphere-Global Change Science, 2(3-4), 267-279, 2000. DOI:
 10.1016/S1465-9972(00)00015-5
- 860
- Sierra, A., Jiménez-López, D., Ortega, T., Gómez-Parra, A., and Forja, J.: Factors controlling the variability and
 emissions of greenhouse gases (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O) in three estuaries of the Southern Iberian Atlantic Basin
 during July 2017. Marine Chemistry, 226(103867), 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103867
- 864
- 865 Salamena, G. G., Whinney, J. C., Heron, S. F., and Ridd, P. V.: Internal tidal waves and deep-water renewal in a
- tropical fjord: Lessons from Ambon Bay, eastern Indonesia. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 253(107291),
 2021. DOI: 10.1016/j.ecss.2021.107291

868

- Salamena, G. G., Whinney, J. C., Heron, S. F., and Ridd, P. V.: Frontogenesis and estuarine circulation at the
 shallow sill of a tropical fjord: Insights from Ambon Bay, eastern Indonesia. Regional Studies in Marine Science,
 56(102696), 2022. DOI: 10.1016/j.rsma.2022.102696
 Smith, R. W., Bianchi, T. S., Allison, M., Savage, C., and Galy, V.: High rates of organic carbon burial in fjord
 sediments globally, Nature Geoscience, 8(6), 450-453, 2015. DOI: 10.1038/ngeo2421
- Stow, C. A., Walker, J. T., Cardoch, L., Spence, P., and Geron, C.: N₂O emissions from streams in the Neuse
 River watershed, North Carolina. Environmental Science & Technology, 39(18), 6999-7004, 2005. DOI:
 10.1021/es0500355
- 879
- Sturm, K., Werner, U., Grinham, A., and Yuan, Z.: Tidal variability in methane and nitrous oxide emissions
 along a subtropical estuarine gradient. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, 192, 159–169, 2017. DOI:
 10.1016/j.ecss.2017.04.027
- 883
- Suntharalingam, P., and Sarmiento, J. L.: Factors governing the oceanic nitrous oxide distribution: Simulations
 with an ocean general circulation model. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 14(1), 429-454, 2000. DOI:
 10.1029/1999GB900032
- 887

Tait, D. R., Maher, D. T., Wong, W., Santos, I. R., Sadat-Noori, M., Holloway, C., and Cook, P. L. M.:
Greenhouse gas dynamics in a salt-wedge estuary revealed by high resolution cavity ringdown spectroscopy
observations. Environmental Science & Technology, 51: 13771–13778, 2017. DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b04627

- 891
- Tang, Weiyi, Jeff Talbott, Timothy Jones, and Bess B. Ward: Variable contribution of wastewater treatment
 plant effluents to downstream nitrous oxide concentrations and emissions. Biogeosciences 21, no. 14, 3239-3250,
 2024. DOI: 10.5194/bg-21-3239-2024
- 895
- Teasdale, P. R., Apte, S. C., Ford, P. W., Batley, G. E., and Koehnken, L.: Geochemical cycling and speciation
 of copper in waters and sediments of Macquarie Harbour, Western Tasmania. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
 Science, 57(3), 475-487, 2003. DOI: 10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00381-5
- 899
- Testa, Jeremy M., Jacob Carstensen, Arnaud Laurent, and Ming Li.: Hypoxia and Climate Change in Estuaries.
 In Climate Change and Estuaries, pp. 143-170. CRC Press, 2023. ISBN: 9781003126096
- 902
- Walinsky, S. E., Prahl, F. G., Mix, A. C., Finney, B. P., Jaeger, J. M., and Rosen, G. P.: Distribution and
 composition of organic matter in surface sediments of coastal Southeast Alaska, Continental Shelf Research,
 29(13), 1565-1579, 2009. DOI: 10.1016/j.csr.2009.04.006
- 906

- Walter, S., Bange, H. W., and Wallace, D. W.: Nitrous oxide in the surface layer of the tropical North Atlantic
 Ocean along a west to east transect. Geophysical Research Letters, 31(23), 2004. DOI: 10.1029/2004GL019937
- 909
- Walter, S., Breitenbach, U., Bange, H. W., Naucsh, G., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Distribution of N₂O in the Baltic
 Sea during transition from anoxic to oxic conditions. Biogeosciences, 3, 557-570, 2006. DOI: 10.5194/bg-3-5572006
- 913
- Wan, X. S., Lin, H., Ward, B. B., Kao, S., and Dai M.: Significant seasonal N₂O dynamics revealed by multiyear observations in the Northern South China Sea. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 36(10), 2022. DOI:
 10.1029/2022GB007333
- 917
- Weiss, R. F. and Price, B. A.: Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater, Marine Chemistry, 8, 347-359,
 1980. DOI: 10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9
- 920

Wells, N. S., Maher, D. T., Erler, D. V., Hipsey, M., Rosentreter, J. A., and Eyre, B. D.: Estuaries as sources and
sinks of N₂O across a land use gradient in subtropical Australia. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 32, 877–894,
2918. DOI: 10. 1029/2017GB005826

924

Willis, M.: Tascatch Variation 2 – Surface Water Models (Document ID Number WR 2008/005). Department of
 Primary Industries and Water. Hydro Tasmania Consulting, https://nre.tas.gov.au /water/water-monitoring-and assessment/hydrological assessment/tasmanian-catchmentsmodelling /surface-water-models. 2008.

928

Wilson, S. T., Bange, H. W., Arévalo-Martínez, D. L., Barnes, J., Borges, A. V., Brown, I., Bullister, J. L.,
Burgos, M., Capelle, D. W., Casso, M., de la Paz, M., Farías, L., Fenwick, L., Ferrón, S., Garcia, G., Glockzin,
M., Karl, D. M., Kock, A., Laperriere, S., Law, C. S., Manning, C. C., Marriner, A., Myllykangas, J. P., Pohlman,

- J. W., Rees, A. P., Santoro, A. E., Tortell, P. D., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Wisegarver, D. P., Zhang, G. L., and
 Rehder, G.: An intercomparison of oceanic methane and nitrous oxide measurements, Biogeosciences, 15, 5891-
- 934 5907, 2018. DOI: 10.5194/bg-15-5891-2018
- 935

943

Wu, L., Chen X., Wei, W., Liu, Y., Wang, D., and Ni, B.: A critical review on nitrous oxide production by
ammonia-oxidizing archaea. Environmental Science & Technology 54(15), 9175-9190, 2020. DOI:
10.1021/(auxit.0.02048)

946 10.1021/acs.est.0c03948

<sup>Wilson, S. T., Al-Haj, A. N., Bourbonnais, A., Frey, C., Fulweiler, R. W., Kessler, J. D., Marchant, H. K.,
Milucka, J., Ray, N. E., Suntharalingham, P., Thornton, B. F., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Weber, T. S., ArévaloMartínez, D. L., Bange, H. W., Benway, H. M., Bianchi, D., Borges, A. V., Chang, B. X., Crill, P. M., del Valle,
D. A., Farías, L., Joye, S. B., Kock, A., Labidi, J., Manning, C. C., Pohlman, J. W., Rehder, G., Sparrow, K. J.,
Tortell, P. D., Treude, T., Valentine, D. L., Ward B. B., Yang, S., and Yurganov, L. N.: Ideas and perspectives:
A strategic assessment of methane and nitrous oxide measurements in the marine environment. Biogeosciences,
17, 5809-5828, 2020. DOI: 10.5194/bg-17-5809-2020</sup>

947

- 948 Yevenes, M. A., Bello, E., Sanhueza-Guevara, S., and Farías, L.: Spatial distribution of nitrous oxide (N₂O) in the Reloncaví estuary-sound and adjacent sea (41-43 S), Chilean Patagonia. Estuaries and Coasts, 40, 807-821, 949 2017. DOI: 10.1007/s12237-016-0184-z 950 951 Yoshinari, T.: Nitrous oxide in the sea. Marine Chemistry 2(4), 189-202, 1976. DOI: 10.1016/0304-952 953 4203(76)90007-4. 954 955 Zappa, C. J., Raymond, P. A., Terray, E. A., and McGillis, W. R.: Variation in surface turbulence and the gas 956 transfer velocity over a tidal cycle in a macro-tidal estuary. Estuaries, 26, 1401-1415, 2003. DOI: 10.1007/BF02803649 957 958 959 Zhang, G. L., Zhang, J., Liu, S. M., Ren, J. L., and Zhao. Y. C.: Nitrous oxide in the Changjiang (Yangtze
- 960 River) Estuary and its adjacent marine area: Riverine input, sediment release and atmospheric fluxes.
- 961 Biogeosciences 7(11), 3505-3516, 2010. DOI: 10.5194/bg-7-3505-2010