Dissolved Mn(III) is a key redox intermediate in sediments of a seasonally euxinic coastal basin

Robin Klomp^{1,2}, Olga M. Żygadłowska², Mike S.M. Jetten¹,

5 Véronique E. Oldham³, Niels A.G.M. van Helmond^{1,2}, Caroline P. Slomp^{1,2} and Wytze K. Lenstra^{1,2}

¹Department of Microbiology, Radboud Institute for Biological and Environmental Science, Radboud University, Heyendaalseweg 135, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands ²Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Princetonlaan 8a, 3584 CB Utrecht, The Netherlands ³Graduate School of Oceanography, Rhode Island University, 215 S Ferry Rd, Narragansett, RI 02882, U.S.A.

10 Correspondence to: Robin Klomp (robin.klomp@ru.nl)

Abstract. Manganese (Mn) is an essential micronutrient and key redox intermediate in marine systems. The role of organically complexed dissolved Mn(III) (dMn(III)-L) as an electron acceptor and donor in marine environments is still incompletely understood. Here, we use geochemical depth profiles <u>of solutes and solids for the sediment and overlying waters</u> and a reactive transport model to reconstruct the seasonality in sedimentary dMn(III)-L dynamics and benthic Mn release in a eutrophic,

- 15 seasonally euxinic coastal basin (Lake Grevelingen, the Netherlands). <u>Our model results suggestWe find</u> that dMn(III)-L is a major component of the dissolved Mn pool throughout the year. <u>According to the model</u>, <u>Our model indicates that</u>, <u>when O2</u> is present in the bottom water, there are three major sources of pore water dMn(III)-L, <u>when O2</u> is present in the bottom water, namely reduction of Mn oxides coupled to the oxidation of Fe(II), reduction of Mn oxides coupled to organic matter degradation and oxidation of Mn(II) with O2. Removal of pore water dMn(III)-L is inferred to primarily takes place through
- 20 reduction by dissolved Fe(II). When bottom waters are euxinic in summer, <u>model-calculated</u> rates of sedimentary Mn cycling decrease strongly, because of a lower supply of Mn oxides. The dMn(III)-L transformations in summer mostly involve reactions with Fe(II) and organic matter. <u>Modelled benthic Benthic</u> release of Mn mainly occurs as dMn(III)-L when bottom waters are oxic, as Mn(II) upon initial bottom water euxinia and as both Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L when the euxinia becomes persistent. <u>Our model findings Our findings</u> highlight strong interactions between the sedimentary Fe and Mn cycles. Dissolved Mn(III)-L is a relatively stable and mobile Mn species, when compared to Mn(II), and is therefore more easily transported
- laterally throughout the coastal zone and possibly also to open marine waters.

Keywords

Manganese dynamics, benthic flux, reactive transport modelling, micronutrient

1 Introduction

Manganese (Mn) is an essential micronutrient and is one of the most abundant transition metals in natural environments (Raven, 1990; Neretin et al., 2003)(Neretin et al., 2003, Raven, 1990). In marine systems, dissolved Mn is present as either

- 35 Mn(II) or Mn(III) complexed to organic ligands (dMn(III)-L) (Burdige, 1993; Luther, 2010; Madison et al., 2013). Importantly, dMn(III)-L can act as either an electron acceptor or donor and can thereby play a key role as a redox intermediate in marine sediments (Kostka et al., 1995; Trouwborst et al., 2006; Madison et al., 2013). Sediments may act as a source of both dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L to overlying waters (Oldham et al., 2019). The redox state of the dissolved Mn will determine its reactivity and mobility and thus its ultimate fate in the water column (Oldham et al., 2017a; Lenstra et al., 2020).
- 40 Sedimentary Mn cycling is driven by interactions between Mn and other redox sensitive compounds (Burdige, 1993). For example, reductive dissolution of Mn oxides, which leads to mobilization of dissolved Mn, can be coupled to the oxidation of organic matter (OM), hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), ferrous iron (Fe(II)) or methane (CH₄) (Postma, 1985; Burdige, 1993; Aller, 1994; Beal et al., 2009). Interactions between Mn oxide and ammonium (NH₄⁺) have also been proposed (Hulth et al., 1999; Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2000). The occurrence of this process in marine environments is still debated, however. Dissolved
- 45 <u>Mn may The dissolved Mn generated may</u> be in the form of Mn(II) or dMn(III)-L (Madison et al., 2013; Luther et al., 2018). Dissolved Mn(II) can precipitate as Mn-carbonate when alkalinity is high (Calvert & Pedersen, 1996; Lepland & Stevens, 1998) and can adsorb onto Mn oxide minerals (van der Zee et al., 2001).

In the presence of <u>oxygen (O₂)</u>, Θ_2 , for example in surface sediments in marine settings with oxygenated waters, dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L can be oxidized, forming Mn oxides. When there is little O₂ penetration into the sediment or when

- 50 macrofauna are present, the dissolved Mn may escape to the overlying water (Slomp et al., 1997; McManus et al., 2012; Lenstra et al., 2020). In oxic waters, dissolved Mn may oxidize to Mn oxides and settle under gravitational forcing thereby enhancing the supply of Mn oxides to the sediment (Sulu-Gambari et al., 2017; Lenstra et al., 2021a). When bottom waters are anoxic, sediments will eventually become depleted of reactive Mn oxides and dissolved Mn can accumulate in the water column (Lenz et al., 2015; Dellwig et al., 2018).
- 55 Part of the Mn released from the sediment could consist of dMn(III)-L (Oldham et al., 2019)._Dissolved Mn(III) is highly reactive, but the complexation with organic ligands can result in a meta-stable complex (Kostka et al., 1995). The strength of the bond between Mn(III) and the ligand determines the reactivity of dMn(III)-L (Luther et al., 2015; Oldham et al., 2015, 2017b). The Mn(III)-L complex can be the dominant form of dissolved Mn in sediment pore water and in the water column (e.g. Trouwborst et al., 2006; Madison et al., 2013; Oldham et al., 2017b). Oxidation of Mn(II) and reduction of Mn(IV) are
- 60 suggested to occur via one-electron step transitions with dMn(III)-L as an intermediate product (Luther, 2005). Formation of dMn(III)-L has been proposed to mainly take place via reduction of Mn oxides coupled to OM degradation or oxidation of Mn(II) by O₂ (Madison et al., 2013). Other pathways that produce dMn(III)-L include reduction of Mn oxides coupled to oxidation of Fe(II) and H₂S (Madison et al., 2013). Removal of dMn(III)-L is suggested to mainly occur via oxidation by O₂ to Mn(IV) (Madison et al., 2013). Reduction of dMn(III)-L by oxidation of Fe(II), H₂S, nitrite (NO₂⁻) and OM is also possible

65 (Kostka et al., 1995; Oldham et al., 2015, 2019; Karolewski et al., 2021)(Kostka et al., 1995; Oldham et al., 2015, 2019), but does not necessarily always occur (Oldham et al., 2015, 2019).

In recent years, the number of areas that experience eutrophication and deoxygenation has increased strongly (Diaz & Rosenberg, 2008; Breitburg et al., 2018). Anoxia initially stimulates benchic release of dissolved Mn, since the dissolved Mn will no longer be re-oxidized near the sediment-water interface (Pakhomova et al., 2007; Lenstra et al., 2021a). Furthermore,

- organic carbon (C_{org}) oxidation rates and the benthic release of dissolved Mn can be positively correlated (Berelson et al., 2003; McManus et al., 2012). At present we do not know to what extent dMn(III)-L contributes to dissolved Mn released from the sediment and what processes control the redox state in which Mn leaves the sediment. The aim of this study is to understand the effects of seasonal euxinia on dMn(III)-L dynamics. This is studied in sediments in
- 75 with a reactive transport model that, for the first time, includes a detailed Mn(III) cycle, to investigate the main drivers of sedimentary Mn cycling and the benthic release of Mn. <u>Our model results suggest</u> Our results show that dMn(III)-L is released from the sediment both when bottom waters are oxic and euxinic. Furthermore, our results highlight the importance of dissolved Fe(II) for the mobilization of Mn and release of dissolved Mn from the sediment in seasonally euxinic basins.

a seasonally euxinic coastal basin (Lake Grevelingen, the Netherlands). We combine detailed sediment and pore water analyses

80 2 Methods

2.1 Study area

Lake Grevelingen is a coastal marine system in the south-west of the Netherlands (Fig. 1). It is a former estuary that was closed by a dam on the landward side in 1964 and a dam on the seaward side in 1971, in response to major flooding in the area. A sluice on the seaward side enables sea water exchange with the North Sea. The lake has an average depth of 5.1 m but is intersected by former tidal channels with several deeper basins (Egger et al., 2016).



Figure 1. A) Map of Lake Grevelingen indicating the location of the Scharendijke basin (adapted from Egger et al. (2016)). B) Temperature and C) O₂ concentrations as measured by Rijkswaterstaat (Directorate-General for Public Works and Water Management of the Netherlands). The observations by Rijkswaterstaat were carried out every two weeks to one month, giving a total of 19 time points in 2020 in the water column of the Scharendijke basin throughout 2020 (Adapted from Zygadlowska et al., 2023^a). The black vertical lines indicate the dates of sampling in March and September.

In this study, we focus on Scharendijke basin, located in the deepest part of the lake (Fig. 1; 51.742°N, 3.849°E; water depth of 45 m). During summer, temperature-driven stratification of the water column leads to the development of <u>anoxic and sulfidic</u>

- 95 bottom waters, as recorded by the seasonal drawdown of molybdenum from the water column and its consequent sedimentary enrichment (Egger et al., 2016; Żygadłowska et al., 2023, 2024b). Water column euxinia was confirmed by direct measurements of H₂S in 2021 (Żygadłowska et al., 2024a, b). Each year, the water column mixes again in autumn, resulting in bottom water reoxygenation. Scharendijke basin is a relatively narrow and deep basin in an overall shallow lake (Figure 1). As a consequence, vertical transport settling of suspended matter is expected to be supplemented by lateral transport of material
- 100 from shallower areas near the sediment-water interface. bottom water euxinia (Fig. 1; Żygadłowska et al., 2023a,b). The water column is reoxygenated in autumn. The sediment at this site is characterized by a high sedimentation rate (~13 cm yr⁻¹) and high rates of OM oxidation (~249 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹) which is also reflected in a shallow sulfate-methane transition zone at around 20 cm depth in the sediment (Egger et al., 2016). High sulfate reduction rates lead to significant buildup of H₂S in the sediment in summer (Egger et al., 2016). Macrofauna are absent from the sediment in Scharendijke basin (based on visual observations of sediment sieved over 0.5 mm). In 2020, two(Egger et al., 2016). Two field campaigns were carried out with RV *Navicula* in 2020, one when the water column was mixed and bottom waters were oxygenated (March) and one when
- bottom waters were euxinic (September). During these field campaigns, the water column and sediment was sampled as described in detail below. Additional sampling was carried out in eight field campaigns, one in each month from March to October 2021, as described in the supplement (Section 1).

110

90

2.2 Water column sampling

Depth profiles of temperature and O₂ were obtained from the water column with a CTD (Seabird SBE 911 plus) equipped with an oxygen sensor (SBE43) during the cruise in September 2020. Most O₂ sensors, including the SBE43, measure a background signal when O₂ is absent. Winkler titrations can not be used for calibration, because these also have artefacts when O₂ concentrations are low (Grégoire et al., 2021). Therefore we assume absence of O₂ when oxygen concentrations are low and concentrations do not change with increasing water depth (Żygadłowska et al., 2023a). Water samples were collected with a 10 L Niskin bottle at discrete depths (1-5 m depth resolution) in September 2020. Samples were obtained for analysis of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L and stored in a N₂ purged aluminum bag at -20°C until analysis.

2.3 Sediment and pore water collection

During both sampling campaigns in 2020, four sediment cores were collected with a UWITEC gravity corer with transparent PVC core liners of 120 cm length with a 6 cm inner diameter. During sampling, the surface sediment remained intact. The first core was sectioned for pore water and solid phase analyses at a depth resolution of 1 cm in a glove bag under a N₂ atmosphere

- 125 on board the ship. The sediment was placed in 50 ml centrifuge tubes and subsequently centrifuged at 4500 rpm for 20 minutes to separate the pore water from the solid phase. Pore_water was collected for all samples in the upper 10 cm, for samples from every second cm between 10 and 50 e-cm and every fifth cm from 50 cm until the bottom of the core. The supernatant was filtered over 0.45 μ m pore size filters (i.e. capturing the aqueous and colloidal fractions; Raiswell & Canfield, 2012) under a N₂ atmosphere in a glove bag and subsequently sub-sampled for the analysis of ammonium (NH₄⁺), sulfate (SO₄²⁻), alkalinity,
- 130 H₂S, total dissolved Fe and Mn and dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L. The samples for H₂S analysis were diluted five times in a 2% Zn-acetate solution in a glass vial and stored at 4°C. Samples for the analysis of NH₄⁺ and, in September, for the analysis of NO₂⁻ and nitrate (NO₃⁻) were stored at -20°C. The samples for SO₄²⁻ and alkalinity were stored at 4°C. Samples for total dissolved Fe and Mn (TD Fe and TD Mn) were acidified with 10 μ L 35% suprapure HCl per ml of sample and stored at 4°C. Samples for the analysis of dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L and the sediment residues were stored in N₂ purged aluminum
- 135 bags at -20°C.

The second core, with pre-drilled holes at 2.5 cm intervals covered with tape prior to coring, was used directly after retrieval to collect samples for pore water CH₄ concentrations. Plastic cut off syringes were used to transfer 10 ml of sediment directly into 65 ml glass bottles filled with saturated NaCl solution. The bottles were then stoppered, capped and stored upside down until analysis. Note that degassing of CH₄ during the sampling may lead to an underestimation of the total CH₄ concentrations,

especially when CH₄ concentrations are high (Egger et al., 2017; Jørgensen et al., 2019). The third core was sectioned at a resolution of 1 cm intervals to determine sediment porosity. The sediment was placed into pre-weighed 50 ml centrifuge tubes. The fourth core was used for high-resolution depth profiling of O₂ with micro-electrodes as described in Zygadłowska et al. (2023a).

145 2.4 Chemical analysis of pore water and water column samples

Pore water NH_{4^+} was analyzed spectrophotometrically with the indophenol blue method (Solórzano, 1968). <u>Concentrations of NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ were measured with a GalleryTM Automated Chemistry Analyzer type 861 (Thermo Fisher Scientific; detection limit of 1 µmol L⁻¹). Concentrations of SO₄²⁻ were measured using ion chromatography (Metrohm 930 Compact IC Flex; detection limit for SO₄²⁻ of 50 µmol L⁻¹). Alkalinity was measured through titration with 0.01 M HCl, within 24 h of sampling.</u>

150 Samples for H_2S were determined spectrophotometrically, using an acidified solution of phenylenediamine and ferric chloride, where H_2S is the sum of S^{2-} , HS^{-} and H_2S (Cline, 1969). Total dissolved Fe and Mn were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer Avio; detection limit 0.1 µmol L⁻¹ and 0.03 µmol L⁻¹ for Fe and Mn respectively). Dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L were determined simultaneously via a kinetic spectrophotometric method using porphyrin, cadmium chloride and an imidazole borate buffer as described in Madison et al. (2011: detection

limit of 50 nmol L^{-1} for Mn). The analysis was done in triplicate using a 1 cm pathlength quartz cuvette in a Shimadzu UV 155 1900i spectrophotometer.

Dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L concentrations were determined simultaneously via a kinetic spectrophotometric method using porphyrin, cadmium chloride and an imidazole borate buffer as described previously (Madison et al. 2011; detection limit of 1 μ mol L⁻¹ for Mn). The kinetics of the Mn(II) – porphyrin reaction depends on environmental characteristics such as

- 160 salinity (Thibault de Chanvalon and Luther, 2019) and should therefore be determined for each site separately. Here, the kinetic constant value for Mn(II) was determined in triplicate on an aliquot of sample in which the dissolved Mn was completely reduced by adding an excess of hydroxylamine (Oldham et al., 2015; for kinetic curves of the triplicate analysis see Fig. S1). All samples were analyzed in triplicate using a 1 cm pathlength quartz cuvette in a Shimadzu UV-1900i spectrophotometer (for examples of the kinetic curves, see Fig. S2). Our analyses were all carried out under normal atmospheric conditions.
- Strongly bound Mn(III)-ligand complexes cannot be measured via this method (Oldham et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2022). 165 Therefore, the difference between the sum of measured Mn(II)/Mn(III)-L and the total dissolved Mn measured by ICP-OES can be interpreted as the amount of Mn(III)-L that is bound to strong ligands.

Prior to the analysis of CH₄, a 10 ml N₂ headspace was injected in the bottle. After seven days, when equilibrium between the water and gas phase was established, CH₄ was measured with a Thermo Finnigan Trace[™] gas chromatograph (Flame Ionization Detector; limit of detection 0.02 µmol L⁻¹).

2.5 Solid phase analyses

Porosity was determined based on the weight loss upon drying the samples in an oven at 60° C. Sediment residues for the anoxic analyses were freeze-dried and subsequently ground with an agate mortar and pestle under a N₂ environment. For 175 analysis of Corg content, aliquots of ca. 300 mg of the powdered sediment were decalcified with 1 M HCl (2-step wash; Van Santvoort et al., 2002), dried, weighed and powdered. The aliquot was analyzed with an elemental analyzer (Fisons Instruments model NA 1500 NCS) and the C content was corrected for the weight loss during decalcification. The accuracy and precision of the analyses was determined based on measurements of the internationally certified soil standard IVA2. The certified value for IVA2 is 0.732 wt.% C. The mean value that was obtained in this study for IVA2 (n=24) was 0.722 wt.% C, with a standard deviation of 0.009 wt.% C. The analytical uncertainty based on duplicates (n=15) was 0.11 wt.% C for organic C.

180

170

A second aliquot (50 - 100 mg) was analyzed via a sequential extraction procedure to determine the speciation of Fe following a combination of the methods from Poulton and Canfield (2005) and Claff et al. (2010) as described by Kraal et al. (2017) (combined methods of Claff et al., 2010 and Raiswell et al., 1994 as described by Kraal et al., 2012) - and Mn (Lenstra et al., 2021b). The extraction procedure consists of the following five steps: (1) a mixture of 0.057 M ascorbic acid, 0.17 M sodium

- 185 citrate and 0.6 M sodium bicarbonate, pH of 7.5, to extract Fe oxides and easily reducible Mn, (2) 1 M HCl to extract reducible crystalline Fe oxides, Fe carbonate, FeS and Mn carbonate, (3) 0.35 M acetic acid, 0.2 M Na₃ citrate and 50 g L⁻¹ Na dithionite, pH 4.8, to extract crystalline Fe and Mn oxides, (4) 0.2 M ammonium oxalate and 0.17 M oxalic acid to extract recalcitrant Fe oxides and non-reactive Mn such as Mn associated with clay minerals, (5) 65% HNO₃ was used to extract pyrite and Mn associated with pyrite. Extracted Fe and Mn in step 1, 2 and 5 was measured with ICP-OES (ICP-OES, Perkin Elmer Avio;
- 190 detection limit 0.1 µmol L⁻¹ and 0.03 µmol L⁻¹ for Fe and Mn respectively). The average recovery for Fe and Mn was 106% and 100%, respectively and the average analytical uncertainty based on duplicates (n=16) was 3.2% and 2.6%, respectively. Extracted Fe in steps 2, 3 and 4 was determined spectrophotometrically using the phenanthroline method (APHA, 2005). Average analytical uncertainty based on duplicates (n=16) was < 13.4% for all fractions of the three sequential extraction procedures. Mn extracted in step 3 and 4 is not measured, because this is mainly Mn associated with clays (Lenstra et al.,</p>
- 195 2021), which is not relevant in this study. The concentration of Fe oxides is assumed to be the sum of the Fe extracted in steps 1, 3 and 4. The Fe extracted in step 2 is not taken into account for Fe oxides, because a separation of Fe(II) and Fe(III) on selected samples, to separate Fe oxides from Fe(II) containing minerals like FeS and Fe carbonate, indicated that nearly all Fe in this step was present as Fe(II). The concentration of Mn oxides is assumed to be the Mn extracted in step 1 (Anschutz et al., 2005; Lenstra et al., 2021b).

2.6 Calculation of benthic diffusive fluxes

Diffusive fluxes of dissolved Mn across the sediment-water interface were calculated with Fick's law of diffusion, based on the gradient in total Mn concentration between the bottom water and the pore water in the upper cm of the sediment (at an average depth of 0.5 cm) by applying the formula:

205

210

$$J = -\varphi D_s \frac{dC}{dz} \tag{1}$$

where J is the diffusive flux in mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$, ϕ is the porosity of the sediment, Ds is the diffusion coefficient for Mn in the sediment in $m^{-2} d^{-1}$, C is the concentration of Mn in µmol m^{-3} and z is the sediment depth in m. In our calculations, we assumed all Mn was present as Mn(II), The Ds for Mn(II) was corrected for temperature and salinity using the R package CRAN: marelac (Soetaert et al., 2010), taking into account the tortuosity of the sediment (Boudreau, 1996).

2.6-7 Reactive transport model

Takahashi, 2008; Table S6).

A 1-dimensional reactive transport model, written in R (version 3.6.2) and modified from (Egger et al., 2016; Lenstra et al., 2018), was used to model the sedimentary Mn cycle, including <u>the dynamics of</u> both dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L. The model, a standard multicomponent reactive transport model, is based on the principles that are outlined in, for example, Van Cappellen & Wang (1996). The modelled components include 9 solids and 12 solutes (Table S1). Solids are transported by

215

220

Cappellen & Wang (1996). The modelled components include 9 solids and 12 solutes (Table S1). Solids are transported by advection (burial), while solutes are transported both by advection and molecular diffusion. The model includes 36 reactions (Table S2, S3). The reaction parameters were obtained from the literature or constrained using the model (Table S3, S4). Chemical and physical constants were calculated using the *marelac* package (Soetaert et al., 2010) and transport coefficients were calculated using the *reactran* package (Soetaert & Meysman, 2012) and were, where relevant, adjusted for environmental characteristics of at the study site (Table S5). The diffusion coefficient for dMn(III)-L was set to a lower value than that of Mn(II), because the diffusive behavior of metal-ligand complexes is typically controlled by the organic ligand (Furukawa &

The model describes the upper 100 cm of the sediment column, which is divided into 1000 model layers of 1 mm. The boundary

- 225 conditions are set at the top of the sediment and are defined as fixed concentrations for solutes and fluxes for solids (Table S7). The model was fit to the data set for 2020. In a spin up of the model, the boundary conditions were fixed until steady state was reached after 60 years, as in Egger et al. (2016). The model was then run for 20 years, in which the seasonal cycle of oxic euxinic conditions was simulated by varying the bottom water O_2 and H_2S concentration, the influx of Fe-oxides, Mn oxides and OM and the sedimentation rate (Fig. S+3). The key purpose of the model application was to determine the seasonality in
- 230 the production and removal pathways of dMn(III)-L in the sediment and estimate the rate of diffusive release of dMn(III)-L and Mn(II) to the overlying water. Here we specifically focus on the general trends in the key processes that regulate the seasonal dMn(III)-L dynamics in a eutrophic basin. As the model focusses on these general trends, the overall picture of Mn dynamics at this site will not change due to uncertainties related to, for example, the sample resolution in the top part of the sediment.
- 235 In the model, high rates of CH₄ production lead to an overestimation of CH₄ concentrations because CH₄ bubble formation is not included. High rates of CH₄ production led to an overestimation of CH₄ concentrations, because CH₄ bubble formation was not included in the model. Adding bubble formation would not improve our main model results regarding dMn(III)-L dynamics, however, but would increase model uncertainty. We note that a perfect model fit of the data is not expected, due to the complexity of the system and the strong seasonal variations at our study site which also vary between years. This is
- 240 especially true for the fit to the solid phase profiles since such variations in the reactivity and input flux of solid phases are not specifically included in the model (Table S4, S5). - A detailed model description is provided in supplement section 42.
 - A sensitivity analysis was performed to investigate the response of the benthic release of dMn(III)-L and Mn(II) to variations in OM input. The input of OM was varied by a factor of 0.01 to 2, relative to the base line scenario, leading to average OM oxidation rates ranging from 0.6 to 143 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ in the months when bottom waters were oxygenated and 0.9 to 219

mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ in months with euxinic conditions. To obtain insight in the processes controlling the transformation and benthic 245 release of Mn, reaction rates were integrated over the upper 10 cm of the sediment. A forward simulation of pore water and solid phase data collected during 8 sampling events, one in each month from March to October 2021, was performed to verify the model.

3 Results 250

3.1 Water column and pore water profiles



Figure 2. A) Water column O₂ and B) total dissolved Mn and dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L (error bars represent standard deviation; n=3) in the Scharendijke basin as observed in September 2020. The shaded area indicates the anoxic part of the water column.

In March 2020, the water column of Scharendijke basin was fully oxygenated (Fig. 1). In September, in contrast, O₂ depletion was observed below a water depth of 35 m (Fig. 2) and dissolved Mn, primarily present as dMn(III)-L, accumulated to concentrations of up to 22 µmol L⁻¹ in the anoxic waters (Fig. 2). Small enrichments in Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L were observed above the redox cline at depths of 32 and 30 m, respectively.

260

255



5 Figure 3. Pore water profiles of key components in March (black) and September (<u>redgrey</u>) 2020. The dots represent measured concentrations, the lines indicate the results of the reactive transport model. Note the different depth scale on the y-axis for O₂. TD Fe and TD Mn refer to total dissolved Fe and total dissolved Mn. Profiles of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L with standard deviation error bars (n =3) and the contribution to TD Mn (in %) can be found in Fig. S42, S53. No O₂ was detected in the sediment in September 2020. A zoom of the top 20 cm of the profiles is presented in Fig. S6.

The seasonal contrast in bottom water oxygen was reflected in the O_2 concentration in the sediment: while O_2 was present in the upper 0.6 cm of the sediment in March 2020, O_2 was absent in September 2020 (Fig. 3; for a zoom of the top 20 cm, see Fig. S6). In September, NO_2^- and NO_3^- did not exceed the detection limit of 1 µmol L⁻¹ and showed no trend with depth.).

- 275 Concentrations of NH_4^+ , alkalinity and CH_4 increased with sediment depth to maximum values of ~15, 80 and 4 mmol L⁻¹, respectively. In the surface sediment (upper 10 cm) the profiles of NH_4^+ and alkalinity showed a distinct seasonality, however, with higher concentrations in September compared to March. Profiles of SO_4^{2-} and H_2S also varied between the two seasons seasonally with SO_4^{2-} being removed at a shallower depth in September than in March and the zone of high H_2S concentrations (i.e. 1.5 to 5 mmol L⁻¹) shifting upwards by 10 cm. Dissolved Fe, in contrast, was abundantly present in the top 10 cm of the
- 280 sediment in March, even reaching values of up to 1.1 mmol L⁻¹, but was nearly absent from the pore water in September. Similarly, concentrations of dissolved Mn reached a maximum of 437 μmol L⁻¹ near the sediment-water interface in March but were much lower in September. The peak in dissolved Mn in the upper 10 cm of the sediment in March was found to consist of two partially overlapping peaks, with that of dMn(III)-L (up to 380 μmol L⁻¹) explaining the sharp rise in dissolved Mn in the top cm of the sediment, and a broad peak in dissolved Mn(II) (up to 257 μmol L⁻¹) accounting for most (generally
- 285 >75%; Fig. S $\underline{42}$) of the remaining Mn in the top 10 cm.

- Between 10 and 30 cm, dissolved Mn was mainly present as dMn(III)-L (up to 100% of the total dMn pool) and below 30 cm dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L contributed equally, both varying between 25% and 75% of the total dMn pool. In September, dissolved Mn was mainly present in the form of dMn(III)-L, which almost always accounted for >50% of the total dMn pool (Fig. S<u>5</u>).
- 290 <u>The diffusive benthic Mn fluxes calculated with Fick's law of diffusion, based on the concentrations of the total dissolved Mn,</u> were 2.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in March and 0.09 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ in September.



Figure 4. Solid phase profiles of key components in March (black) and September (greyred) 2020. The dots represent measured values, the lines indicate the results of the reactive transport model. Fe oxides refer to the sum of the Fe extracted in the ascorbic acid, CDB and ammonium oxalate extraction steps. Mn oxides refer to the Mn that is extracted in the ascorbic acid step. The profiles for all extraction steps are shown in Fig. S47 and S58.

The solid phase profiles of C_{org}, Fe- and Mn oxides and Mn carbonate reflect the strong seasonality of biogeochemical processes in the basin. Concentrations of C_{org} The C_{org} content in the top 10 cm of the sediment were was much lower in March 2020 (~2.5 wt%) compared to September 2020 (~3.5 wt%) (Fig. 4). In March, when O₂ was present in the bottom water, the top part of the sediment was enriched in Fe and Mn oxides and Mn carbonate. In September, when bottom waters were euxinic, surface enrichments in Fe and Mn oxides and Mn carbonate were absent (Fig. 4). The oscillations in the solid phase records are preserved upon burial of the sediment. For profiles of all the Fe and Mn fractions, we refer to the supplement (Fig. S47, S85). Porosity values varies vary between 0.98 and 0.88, with a general trend towards lower values deeper in the sediment (Fig. S47, S58).

3.3 Sedimentary reactive transport modelling

3.3.1 Model fit

The reactive transport model generally describes the depth trends in the pore water and solid phase profiles for March and September 2020 quite well (Fig. 3, 4). For the pore water, the only exceptions are the modelled CH_4 profile and the profile of

315 <u>dMn(III)-L between 10 and 20 cm.</u>exception is the modelled CH₄ profile. However, given degassing of CH₄ during sampling, we cannot capture actual CH₄ concentrations at this site (Żygadłowska et al., 2023a). For the solid phase profiles, the amplitude of the change in C_{org}, Fe oxide and Mn carbonate content is not always fully captured. This likely results from temporal variations in the reactivity and input flux of solid phases that are not included in the model (Table S4, S5). In the next sections, we will primarily focus on <u>a description of</u> the model results for 2020 that are relevant to dMn(III)-L dynamics.

3.3.2 Dissolved Mn(III)-L dynamics in the sediment



330

Figure 5. Rates of Mn cycling in March (black) and September (white) 2020 as calculated with the reactive transport model. Numbers represent depth integrated reaction rates in mmol m⁻² d⁻¹. Note that the rates are not balanced because the system is not at steady state. The depth profiles of the reaction rates can be found in Fig. S₂6.

Depth-integrated reaction rates show that, according to the model, the formation of dMn(III)-L in March 2020 is driven equally
by reduction of Mn oxides coupled to OM degradation and Fe(II) oxidation and oxidation of dissolved Mn(II) by O₂ (each ~0.3 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹; Fig. 5; for reaction rate profiles see Fig. S96) with a negligible role for reduction of Mn oxides by H₂S. Removal of dMn(III)-L in March occurs via reduction coupled to Fe(II) oxidation (0.55 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹), oxidation by O₂ (0.1 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and benthic release (0.21 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). Besides oxidation by O₂, dissolved Mn(II) precipitates as Mn carbonate and is released to the water column. In September 2020, the input of Mn oxides is 9 times lower than in March, leading to much lower rates of Mn cycling. Formation of dMn(III)-L is still coupled to OM degradation (0.03 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and oxidation of Fe(II) (0.01 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) but now oxidation of H₂S also contributes (0.01 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). Removal of dMn(III)-L in September mainly takes place via benthic release (0.03 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and reduction of dMn(III)-L coupled to oxidation of Fe(II) (0.02 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). Again, Mn(II) is removed as Mn carbonate and via benthic release.



Figure 6. Depth integrated reaction rates for the sediment processes that (a) produce dMn(III)-L (A)-and (b) that remove dMn(III)-L (B)- as calculated with the model. The rates are calculated for each month of one year (2020) and are given in mmol m⁻² d⁻¹.

Our model also allows us to assess trends in depth-integrated rates of dMn(III)-L production and removal in the sediment
throughout the year (Fig. 6; see Fig. S710 for modelled pore water profiles in the months between March and September 2020). Taking October as the starting point of the oxic period that lasts until April, we see that production of dMn(III)-L via reduction of Mn oxides coupled to OM degradation initially dominates. Over time, reduction of Mn oxides coupled to Fe(II) oxidation and oxidation of dissolved Mn(II) by O₂ become increasingly important. Following the onset of anoxia in May reduction of Mn oxides by Fe(II) becomes the major source of dMn(III)-L. During the euxinic months, the role of reduction of Mn oxide
by Fe(II) decreases and OM degradation becomes the major driver of Mn oxide reduction from August onwards, followed by H₂S oxidation. Removal of dMn(III)-L from the sediment is dominated by reduction by dissolved Fe(II), especially during the oxic period, with additional loss through dMn(III)-L oxidation with O₂ (oxic period only) and benthic release.



Figure 7. Sediment-water exchange of dissolved Mn (mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) calculated per month <u>in 2020</u>. The total dissolved Mn flux consists of dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L. Positive numbers indicate a flux from the sediment to the overlying water.

According to tThe model, the benthic release of dissolved Mn is highest in the period when the bottom waters are oxic (Fig.

370 7). During this time, the flux consists primarily of dMn(III)-L. Upon the onset of euxinia in May, the flux mainly consists of Mn(II). With time, the benthic flux of Mn(II) subsequently decreases allowing the relatively constant low flux of dMn(III)-L to gain relative importance.





Figure 8. Depth-integrated reaction rates (0 - 10 cm) of formation ($\underline{a}A, \underline{B}b$) and removal ($\underline{C}, \underline{d}D$) of dMn(III)-L as a function of rates of organic matter oxidation in the sensitivity analysis. Note that the months when the basin is oxic (October – April; $\underline{a}A, C\underline{c}$) and euxinic (May – September; $\underline{B}b, \underline{D}d$) are separated. Average benthic flux of dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L as a function of the

To study the effect of variations in OM degradation rates on the benthic release of Mn, a <u>model</u> sensitivity analysis was performed in which the input of OM was varied <u>was performed</u>. The sensitivity analysis revealed that, in the model, average rates of formation and removal of dMn(III)-L for the oxic and euxinic periods are highly dependent on OM oxidation rates

[385 (Figure_ 8). Rates of all processes involving dMn(III)-L initially increase upon a rise in OM oxidation rates and then show a variable response, either decreasing (Fe(II), O₂), remaining largely constant (OM) or increasing (H₂S). Reactions involving dissolved Fe(II) generally dominate. The role of processes involving Fe(II) diminishes, however, during the euxinic months when rates of OM oxidation are above 150 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹.

According to the model, ^Tthe average benthic flux of Mn during the oxic period is highly sensitive to OM oxidation rates between 0 and 50 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ and shows a strong increase before stabilizing (Figure Fig. 98). Most of the Mn is released as dMn(III)-L. The pattern is different for the euxinic period: here, again an initial increase in the Mn flux is observed when OM oxidation rates vary between 0 and 10 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹, but this is followed by a strong decrease. In this case, most of the Mn is released as Mn(II), except for the model runs with the highest OM oxidation rate: here dMn(III)-L gains importance.

395 3.3.4 Forward simulation

The forward simulation for 2021 using depth profiles of pore water NH_4^+ , alkalinity, SO_4^{2-} , H_2S , TD Fe, TD Mn and sediment Mn oxides and Mn carbonates obtained for 2021 shows that, without any additional fitting, our model is able to capture the major trends in the sedimentary Mn cycle and key pore water constituents over a spring-summer-fall cycle (Fig. S11).

400 4 Discussion

4.1 Mn(III) is a key pore water component in a eutrophic coastal system

Dissolved Mn(III)-L is frequently observed in pore waters at sites where O₂ is present in the surface sediment (Madison et al., 2011, 2013; Oldham et al., 2019). Here, we show that dMn(III)-L is also a key pore water component in a setting where O₂ is absent and bottom waters are euxinic (Fig. 3). The results of our reactive transport model suggest Our reactive transport model shows that the pathways of production and removal of dMn(III)-L strongly depends on the pore water composition, with Fe(II) playing a critical role.

In March 2020, when bottom waters were oxic, a maximum in dMn(III)-L was observed in the top centimeter. This maximum is based on one individual data point, but we note that it is based on triplicate analyses (Fig. S4) and is in accordance with the

peak in total dissolved Mn determined via an independent procedure (ICP-OES; Fig. S4). Additionally, such a sharp peak in

- 410 <u>dMn(III)-L at the oxic/anoxic interface is expected when O₂ is involved in the production of dMn(III)-L (Madison et al., 2013). The model underestimates the dMn(III)-L concentrations between depths of 10 to 20 cm, which we attribute to an incomplete understanding of the processes that impact dMn(III)-L production and formation in sulfidic sediments. We note that the good fit of the model for most pore water and sediment components for 2020 and, as an outcome of the forward modelling, for 2021, gives confidence in the results.</u>
- 415 <u>According to the model, formation are oxic, formation</u> of dMn(III)-L in the pore water at our site <u>in March</u> is driven by at equal rates - reduction of Mn oxides by OM and Fe(II) and oxidation of dissolved Mn(II) by O₂ (Fig. 5). This contrasts with the production pathways of dMn(III)-L estimated from pore water profiles and solid phase data for different sites in the Saint Lawrence Estuary and Gulf, which pointed towards a dominant role for oxidation of Mn(II) by O₂ (Madison et al., 2013). This difference is likely due to the exceptionally large input of OM and Fe oxides at our study site, with a modelled average input
- 420 of ~240 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ and ~19 mmol reactive Fe m⁻² d⁻¹ throughout the year (Fig. S₂+; approximately half of the reactive Fe is accounted for by Fe extracted in ascorbic acid Fig. S₇₄, <u>S5S8</u>), compared to maximally 10 mmol C m⁻² d⁻¹ and 0.6 mmol Fe m⁻² d⁻¹ (Fe extracted with ascorbic acid) in the St. Lawrence Estuary and Gulf (Oldham et al., 2019).

In September 2020, when O_2 is was absent from the pore water at our site, <u>Mn oxide concentrations in the top layer of the</u> sediment were low compared to March. This was likely the result of a lower input of Mn oxides when the bottom water was

- sulfidic, linked to quick reduction of Mn oxides in the water column. Under these conditions, dMn(III)-L was-dMn(III) L is mainly formed via reduction of Mn oxides by OM, with a smaller contribution of Mn oxide reduction by H₂S and Fe(II) (Fig. 5). Strikingly, dMn(III)-L co-occureds with H₂S in the pore water, despite H₂S concentrations of several millimolar (Fig. 3). A co-occurrence of dMn(III)-L and H₂S was observed previously in estuarine waters at H₂S concentrations of several micromolar (Oldham et al., 2015). In this previous work, the co-occurrence was attributed to stabilization of dMn(III)-L by
- 430 organic ligands that kinetically hindered Mn(III) reduction by H₂S (Oldham et al., 2015). Our results suggest that stabilization of dMn(III)-L against reduction by H₂S is even possible when H₂S concentrations reach several millimolar.
 <u>According to our model, most dMn(III)-L at the study site is Most dMn(III) L at our study site is removed through reduction by Fe(II) (Fig. 5), explaining the strong counter gradients between dMn(III)-L and dissolved Fe in March (Fig. 3; Fig S7).
 </u>

Apparently, the ligands that stabilize dissolved Mn(III) do not shield the Mn(III) against reduction by Fe(II). The larger role

- 435 of Fe(II) as a reductant for dMn(III)-L then observed in the study by Madison et al. (2013) may be explained by the approximately 20 times higher Fe(II) concentrations at our site. Previous reactive transport modelling has highlighted the role of Fe(II) in the reduction of Mn oxides (Van Cappellen & Wang, 1996). What is novel here is that dissolved Fe(II) not only plays a key role in Mn oxide reduction and dMn(III)-L production but also in dMn(III)-L removal. We note that this role of Fe(II) in dMn(III)-L dynamics cameomes to a halt in August and September when the Fe-oxides that accumulated in winter are-were completely reduced and dissolved Fe precipitatesd with H₂S as FeS and FeS₂. Taken together, this indicates that, in Fe rich coastal systems, sediment Fe dynamics can be an even more important driver of Mn cycling than previously considered
 - 22

(e.g. Madison et al., 2013). The results of the sensitivity analysis highlight that the role of Fe(II) in dMn(III)-L cycling holds over a wide range of OM oxidation rates (Fig. 8).

Due to its organic complexation the diffusion coefficient of dissolved Mn(III) is expected to be lower compared to that of

- 445 dissolved Mn(II) (Furukawa & Takahashi, 2008; Kalinichev & Kirkpatrick, 2007). (Kalinichev and Kirkpatrick, 2007; Furukawa and Takahashi, 2008). Additionally, due to heterogeneity within the ligands that can stabilize dissolved Mn(III) (Madison et al., 2013; Oldham et al., 2015, 2017b), the diffusion coefficient of the complex of dMn(III)-L can vary per location. We find that a difference in diffusion coefficient between dMn(III)-L and Mn(II) is essential to describe the sharp gradients in the dissolved Mn profiles in the model (Fig. S8). Without a lower diffusion coefficient for dMn(III)-L it is not possible to form
- 450 <u>a sharp peak in dMn(III)-L near the sediment-water interface in our model (Fig. S12).</u> Notably, all modelling studies of sedimentary Mn cycling to date focus only on dissolved Mn(II) excluding dMn(III)-L, <u>which can</u> explaining why similar sharp gradients in dissolved Mn near the sediment water interface have so far been difficult to capture (e.g. Slomp et al., 1997; Reed et al., 2011)(e.g. Reed et al., 2011; Slomp et al., 1997). The adjusted diffusion coefficient for dMn(III)-L is 16.6 cm² yr⁻¹, 8 times lower than the diffusion coefficient for Mn(II) (132.6 cm⁻² yr⁻¹; Table S6). When we assume all dissolved Mn is present
- 455 as Mn(II) the calculated diffusive flux of dissolved Mn would be ca. 10 and 3 times higher than when we consider both Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L in March and September, respectively. The lower diffusion coefficient of dMn(III)-L when compared to Mn(II) also implies that calculated diffusive fluxes across the sediment-water interface will be overestimated if all Mn is assumed to be present as Mn(II) (Fig. S713).

460 4.2 Seasonality in benthic Mn fluxes

The model results imply that throughout the year, both dissolved Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L contribute to the release of Mn from the sediment to the overlying water (Fig. 7). The flux is highest and primarily consists of dMn(III)-L under oxic conditions in winter and spring, when the input of Mn oxides and recycling of Mn near the sediment-water interface is highest. The high contribution of dMn(III)-L to the benthic Mn flux in the oxygenated basin results from faster oxidation of Mn(II) with O₂

compared to dMn(III)-L, which leads to a build-up of dMn(III)-L just below the sediment-water interface and subsequently a

465

high benthic flux of dMn(III)-L.

When euxinic bottom-water conditions are established in summer, the modelled benthic flux primarily consists of Mn(II), because Mn(II) is no longer oxidized by O_2 (Fig. 7). However, a fraction of the Mn released from the sediment remains dMn(III)-L, indicating that a part of the dMn(III)-L released is a product of Mn oxide reduction rather than Mn(II) oxidation.

470 As sediments become depleted in Mn oxides, typically during persistent hypoxia or anoxia, the benthic flux of Mn is known to strongly decrease (Slomp et al., 1997; Lenstra et al., 2021a). We find that the benthic flux of Mn decreases substantially as soon as the basin becomes euxinic, which likely indicates that highly reactive Mn oxides are quickly removed from the sediment when the input of Mn oxides decreases as a result of the bottom water euxinia. This is supported by the pore water and sediment data for the fieldwork campaigns between March and October in 2021 (Supplements section 1; Fig. S11). The

- 475 TD Mn in the pore water already decreases between March and April 2021 and remains low throughout the euxinic period that lasts from June to September (Żygadłowska et al., 2024b). We note that part of the dissolved Mn(II) in the pore water precipitates as Mn carbonate and hence is retained in the sediment. To visualize that both variations in Mn carbonate formation and input of Mn carbonate contribute to the seasonal variation in the sediment, a model run without Mn carbonate precipitation was performed (Fig. S14).
- We also find that the modelled benthic flux of Mn decreases over summer. A new finding here is that, at the end of the anoxic period, the benthic Mn flux mainly consists of dMn(III)-L. The continuous release of dMn(III)-L from the sediment during the period of anoxia is reflected in the accumulation of dMn(III)-L in the anoxic part of the water column in September (Fig. 2). When bottom water O₂ re-establishes in October, the influx of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of sedimentary Mn cycling and the benthic flux of Mn all increase. When in October bottom water O₂ re establishes, the influx of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of the sedimentary of the rates of the sedimentary of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of the sedimentary of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of functional function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates of function of Mn and Fe oxides, the rates o
- 485 sedimentary Mn cycling and the benthic flux of Mn all increase. In subsequent months, the benthic flux of dMn(III)-L and the importance of Fe in Mn cycling continues to increase until just before the onset of a new euxinic period (Fig. 6, 7). The sensitivity analysis reveals that the benthic fluxes of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L are also dependent on the rate of OM oxidation in the sediment (Fig. 8). Nevertheless, the general patterns that emerged from the simulation for 2020, still hold: when bottom water O₂ is present, dMn(III)-L release from the sediment to the overlying water dominates over Mn(II) release. The reverse
- 490 is found during the euxinic period when taken as a whole. Taken together, our results highlight that while Fe(II) dynamics play a critical role in dMn(III)-L production and removal in our Fe- and OM-rich coastal sediment, the presence of bottom water O₂ ultimately determines whether, on a yearly basis, Mn(II) or dMn(III)-L is the dominant form of Mn that escapes to the overlying water. Regardless of the bottom water redox conditions, the model always predicts some benthic release of dMn(III)-

L.

495

4.3 Implications

Our results imply that dMn(III)-L should be considered as a potential pore water constituent when studying sedimentary Mn cycling in OM- and Fe-rich coastal sediments. When dMn(III)-L is released into anoxic waters, it can act as an oxidant and reductant, with potential implications for various redox interactions, as previously shown for anoxic water columns (e.g. Trouwborst et al., 2006; Yakushev et al., 2007; Oldham et al., 2015). When, in contrast, O₂ is present in the bottom water, dissolved Mn(II) will be readily oxidized to dMn(III)-L when it <u>is exposed to meets-O₂ near the sediment-water interface.</u> As a consequence, dMn(III)-L is the main form in which dissolved Mn may leave the sediment (Fig. <u>79</u>). The ligand stabilizing dMn(III)-L may not only slow down diffusion but is also likely to slow down the formation of solid phase Mn oxides (Sander & Koschinsky, 2011; Oldham et al., 2021). Because Mn oxides are subject to gravitational settling (e.g. Sulu-Gambari et al., 2017; Hermans et al., 2021) and dMn(III)-L is not, the dMn(III)-L is expected to be transported further away from the

sedimentary source (Lenstra et al., 2020). Thus, the presence of dMn(III) L can enhance the transport of Mn from the coastal zone to open marine waters. Additionally, the transport of trace metals that can be associated with Mn oxides, such as cobalt,

nickel and zinc, will be decoupled from that of Mn when it is primarily present as dMn(III)-L. Additionally, trace metals such as cobalt, nickel and zinc, can adsorb to the negatively charged surface of Mn oxides (Koschinsky and Hein, 2003). When

510 transport of Mn is in the form of dMn(III)-L instead of Mn oxides, these trace metals will no longer adsorb to the Mn oxides and the transport of the trace metals will be decoupled from the transport of Mn (Oldham et al., 2021; Lenstra et al., 2022).

Conclusions

- 515 Our combined seasonal field and modelling study reveals that dissolved Mn(III) (dMn(III)-L) is a key component of the Mn cycle in sediments of a seasonally euxinic coastal basin. Dissolved Mn(III) accounts for the majority of dissolved Mn in the pore water and coexists with high concentrations of H₂S. Results of a multicomponent reactive transport model indicate suggest that, at our study site, reduction of Mn oxides coupled to oxidation of Fe(II) and organic matter and oxidation of Mn(II) by O₂ are the primary sources of the dMn(III)-L when O₂ is present near the sediment water interface. However, when the bottom waters are euxinic, reactions with dissolved Fe(II) likely dominate both the production and removal of dMn(III)-L. Modelled benthic Mn fluxes indicate suggest that while dMn(III)-L is released from the sediment throughout the year, there is a distinct seasonal contrast: in the model, release of dMn(III)-L dominates when bottom waters are oxic while a transition to euxinia leads to a temporary increased role for Mn(II). The benthic release of dMn(III)-L, both under oxic and euxinic bottom waters, in combination with a higher mobility of dMn(III)-L in the water column when compared to Mn(II), may contribute to lateral
- 525 transport of Mn from coastal zones to open marine waters.

Code and data availability

The data presented in this paper are available in the supplements. The data and model presented in the study are also deposited in the Zenodo repository, doi: 10.5281/zenodo.11475150. These will be made public upon acceptance of the paper.

Conflict of interest

530 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

We thank the captain and crew of the R/V Navicula, A. Tramper and M. Hermans for their support during the sampling campaigns. We are also grateful to T. Claessen, C. Mulder, J. Visser and H. de Waard, for analytical assistance at Utrecht University. This research was financially supported by ERC Synergy grant MARIX (8540088) and the Netherlands Earth

535 System Science Center (NESSC 024002001). WKL acknowledges support from a NESSC Travel Grant and Veni grant VI.Veni.222.332.

Author contributions

RK, WL and CS designed the research and wrote the paper with comments provided by all authors. OZ, NvH, and WL

540 performed the sampling. RK, OZ, NvH, and WL performed the geochemical analyses. VO instructed on the dissolved Mn(II)/Mn(III) method. RK and WL wrote the reactive transport model code and performed the model simulations. RK, OZ, NvH, WL, CS and MJ interpreted the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

	Aller, R. C.: The sedimentary Mn cycle in Long Island Sound: Its role as intermediate oxidant and the influence of
54	bioturbation, O2, and Corg flux on diagenetic reaction balances. J. Mar. Res., 52(2), 259–295.
	https://doi.org/10.1357/0022240943077091, 1994.
	Anschutz, P., Dedieu, K., Desmazes, F., & Chaillou, G.: Speciation, oxidation state, and reactivity of particulate manganese in marine sediments. Chem. Geol., 218(3–4), 265–279. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2005.01.008, 2005.
5:	APHA: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American Public Health Association–American50Water Works Association–Water Environment Federation, 2005.
	Beal, E. J., House, C. H., & Orphan, V. J.: Manganese- and iron-dependent marine methane oxidation. Science, 325(5937), 184–187. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1169984, 2009.
5	Berelson, W., McManus, J., Coale, K., Johnson, K., Burdige, D., Kilgore, T., Colodner, D., Chavez, F., Kudela, R., & Boucher, J.: A time series of benthic flux measurements from Monterey Bay, CA. Cont. Shelf Res., 23(5), 457–481. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4343(03)00009-8.2003

Boudreau, B. P.: The diffusive tortuosity of fine-grained unlithified sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 60(16), 3139-3142, 1996.

 Breitburg, D., Levin, L. A., Oschlies, A., Grégoire, M., Chavez, F. P., Conley, D. J., Garçon, V., Gilbert, D., Gutiérrez, D., Isensee, K., Jacinto, G. S., Limburg, K. E., Montes, I., Naqvi, S. W. A., Pitcher, G. C., Rabalais, N. N., Roman, M. R., Rose, K. A., Seibel, B. A., ... Zhang, J.: Declining oxygen in the global ocean and coastal waters. Science, 359(6371). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam7240, 2018

Burdige, D. J.: The biogeochemistry of manganese and iron reduction in marine sediments. Earth-Sci. Rev., 35, 249–284., 1993.

- <u>Calvert, S. E., & Pedersen, T. F.: Sedimentary Geochemistry of Manganese: Implications for the Environment of Formation</u>
 <u>of Manganiferous Black Shales. Econ. Geol.</u>, 91(3), 36–47, 1996.
 - Claff, S. R., Sullivan, L. A., Burton, E. D., & Bush, R. T.: A sequential extraction procedure for acid sulfate soils: Partitioning of iron. Geoderma, 155(3–4), 224–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.12.002, 2010.

Cline, J. D.: Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters. Limnol. Oceanogr., 14(3), 454–458. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1969.14.3.0454

570 <u>Dellwig, O., Schnetger, B., Meyer, D., Pollehne, F., Häusler, K., & Arz, H. W.: Impact of the major Baltic inflow in 2014 on</u> <u>manganese cycling in the gotland deep (Baltic Sea). Front. Mar. Sci., 5(JUL), 1–20.</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2018.00248, 2018.</u>

Diaz, R. J., & Rosenberg, R.: Spreading dead zones and consequences for marine ecosystems. Science, 321(5891), 926–929. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1156401, 2008.

575 Egger, M., Hagens, M., Sapart, C. J., Dijkstra, N., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Mogollón, J. M., Risgaard-Petersen, N., van der Veen, C., Kasten, S., Riedinger, N., Böttcher, M. E., Röckmann, T., Jørgensen, B. B., & Slomp, C. P.: Iron oxide reduction in methane-rich deep Baltic Sea sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac.Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 207, 256– 276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.03.019, 2017.

Egger, M., Lenstra, W., Jong, D., Meysman, F. J. R., Sapart, C. J., Van Der Veen, C., Röckmann, T., Gonzalez, S., & Slomp,

- 580 <u>C. P.: Rapid sediment accumulation results in high methane effluxes from coastal sediments. PLoS ONE, 11(8), 1–22.</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161609, 2016.</u>
 - Furukawa, K., & Takahashi, Y.: Effect of complexation with humic substances on diffusion of metal ions in water. Chemosphere, 73(8), 1272–1278. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.07.017, 2008.
- Grégoire, M., Garçon, V., Garcia, H., Breitburg, D., Isensee, K., Oschlies, A., Telszewski, M., Barth, A., Bittig, H. C.,
 Carstensen, J., Carval, T., Chai, F., Chavez, F., Conley, D., Coppola, L., Crowe, S., Currie, K., Dai, M., Deflandre, B.,
 ... Yasuhara, M.: A Global Ocean Oxygen Database and Atlas for Assessing and Predicting Deoxygenation and Ocean
 Health in the Open and Coastal Ocean. Front. Mar. Sci., 8(December), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2021.724913, 2021.
- Hermans, M., Pascual, M. A., Behrends, T., Lenstra, W. K., Conley, D. J., & Slomp, C. P.: Coupled dynamics of iron, manganese, and phosphorus in brackish coastal sediments populated by cable bacteria. Limnol. Oceanogr., 66, 2611– 2631. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11776, 2021.
 - Hulth, S., Aller, R. C., & Gilbert, F.: Coupled anoxic nitrification / manganese reduction in marine sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 63(1), 49–66, 1999.
- Jørgensen, B. B., Beulig, F., Egger, M., Petro, C., Scholze, C., & Røy, H.: Organoclastic sulfate reduction in the sulfatemethane transition of marine sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 254, 231–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2019.03.016, 2019.
 - Kalinichev, A. G., & Kirkpatrick, R. J.: Molecular dynamics simulation of cationic complexation with natural organic matter. Eur. J. Soil Sci., 58(4), 909–917. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2007.00929.x, 2007.
- Karolewski, J. S., Sutherland, K. M., Hansel, C. M., & Wankel, S. D.: ScienceDirect An isotopic study of abiotic nitrite
 oxidation by ligand-bound manganese (III). Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 293, 365–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.11.004, 2021.
 - Kim, B., Lingappa, U. F., Magyar, J., Monteverde, D., Valentine, J. S., Cho, J., & Fischer, W.: Challenges of measuring soluble Mn(III) species in natural samples. Molecules, 27(5), 1661, 2022.
- Koschinsky, A., & Hein, J. R.. Uptake of elements from seawater by ferromanganese crusts: Solid-phase associations and seawater speciation. Mar. Geol., 198(3–4), 331–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(03)00122-1, 2003.
 - Kostka, J. E., Luther, G. W., & Nealson, K. H.: Chemical and biological reduction of Mn (III)-pyrophosphate complexes: Potential importance of dissolved Mn (III) as an environmental oxidant. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 59(5), 885–894. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(95)00007-0, 1995.
- Kraal, P., Dijkstra, N., Behrends, T., & Slomp, C. P.: Phosphorus burial in sediments of the sulfidic deep Black Sea: Key roles
 for adsorption by calcium carbonate and apatite authigenesis. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 204, 140-158, 2017.
 - Lenstra, W. K., Egger, M., Helmond, N. A. G. M. Van, Kritzberg, E., Conley, D. J. & Slomp, C.P.: Large variations in iron input to an oligotrophic Baltic Sea estuary : impact on sedimentary phosphorus burial. Biogeosciences, 6979–6996, 2018.
 - Lenstra, W. K., Hermans, M., Séguret, M. J. M., Witbaard, R., Severmann, S., Behrends, T., & Slomp, C. P.: Coastal hypoxia and eutrophication as key controls on benthic release and water column dynamics of iron and manganese. Limnol. Oceanogr., 66(3), 807–826. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11644, 2021.

Lenstra, W. K., Klomp, R., Molema, F., Behrends, T., & Slomp, C. P.: A sequential extraction procedure for particulate manganese and its application to coastal marine sediments. Chem. Geol., 584(June), 120538.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120538, 2021.

 Lenstra, W. K., Séguret, M. J. M., Behrends, T., Groeneveld, R. K., Hermans, M., Witbaard, R., & Slomp, C. P.: Controls on the shuttling of manganese over the northwestern Black Sea shelf and its fate in the euxinic deep basin. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 273, 177–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.01.031, 2020.

Lenstra, W. K., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Żygadłowska, O. M., van Zummeren, R., Witbaard, R., & Slomp, C. P.: Sediments as a Source of Iron, Manganese, Cobalt and Nickel to Continental Shelf Waters (Louisiana, Gulf of Mexico). Front. Mar. Sci., 9(January), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.811953, 2022.

625 <u>Lenz, C., Jilbert, T., Conley, D. J., Wolthers, M., & Slomp, C. P.: Are recent changes in sediment manganese sequestration in the euxinic basins of the Baltic Sea linked to the expansion of hypoxia? Biogeosciences, 12(16), 4875–4894. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4875-2015, 2015.</u>

Lepland, A., & Stevens, R. L.: Manganese authigenesis in the Landsort Deep, Baltic Sea. Mar. Geol., 151(1-4), 1-25. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(98)00046-2, 1998.

630 <u>Luther, G. W.: Manganese(II) oxidation and Mn(IV) reduction in the environment - Two one-electron transfer steps versus a</u> single two-electron step. Geomicrobiol. J., 22(3–4), 195–203. https://doi.org/10.1080/01490450590946022, 2005.

Luther, G. W., Madison, A. S., Mucci, A., Sundby, B., & Oldham, V. E.: A kinetic approach to assess the strengths of ligands bound to soluble Mn(III). Mar. Chem., 173, 93–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2014.09.006, 2015.

- 635 <u>Luther III, G. W.: The role of one- and two-electron transfer reactions in forming thermodynamically unstable intermediates</u> as barriers in multi-electron redox reactions. Aquat. Geochem. , 16(3), 395–420. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-009-9082-3, 2010.
 - Luther III, G. W., Thibault de Chanvalon, A., Oldham, V. E., Estes, E. R., Tebo, B. M., & Madison, A. S.: Reduction of Manganese Oxides : Thermodynamic, Kinetic and Mechanistic Considerations for One - Versus Two - Electron Transfer Steps. Aquat. Geochem., 24, 257–277. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-018-9342-1, 2018.
- 640 <u>Madison, A. S., Tebo, B. M., & Luther, G. W.: Simultaneous determination of soluble manganese(III), manganese(II) and total</u> manganese in natural (pore)waters. Talanta, 84(2), 374–381. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2011.01.025, 2011

Madison, A. S., Tebo, B. M., Mucci, A., Sundby, B., & Luther III, G. W.: Abundant Porewater Mn(III) Is a Major Component of the Sedimentary Redox System. Science, 341(August), 875–878. https://doi.org/10.5040/9780755621101.0007, 2013.

- McManus, J., Berelson, W. M., Severmann, S., Johnson, K. S., Hammond, D. E., Roy, M., & Coale, K. H.: Benthic manganese
 fluxes along the Oregon-California continental shelf and slope. Cont. Shelf Res., 43, 71–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2012.04.016, 2012.
 - Neretin, L. N., Pohl, C., Leipe, T., & Pollehne, F.: Manganese cycling in the Gotland Deep , Baltic Sea. Mar. Chem., 82, 125– 143, 2003.
- Oldham, V. E., Chmiel, R., Hansel, C. M., DiTullio, G. R., Rao, D., & Saito, M.: Inhibited Manganese Oxide Formation
 Hinders Cobalt Scavenging in the Ross Sea. Global Biogeochem. Cy., 35(5), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006706, 2021.
 - Oldham, V. E., Miller, M. T., Jensen, L. T., & Luther, G. W.: Revisiting Mn and Fe removal in humic rich estuaries. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 209, 267–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.04.001, 2017.
 - Oldham, V. E., Mucci, A., Tebo, B. M., & Luther, G. W.: Soluble Mn(III)-L complexes are abundant in oxygenated waters

- 655 and stabilized by humic ligands. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 199, 238–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.11.043, 2017.
 - Oldham, V. E., Owings, S. M., Jones, M. R., Tebo, B. M., & Luther, G. W.: Evidence for the presence of strong Mn(III)binding ligands in the water column of the Chesapeake Bay. Mar. Chem., 171, 58–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2015.02.008, 2015.
- 660 Oldham, V. E., Siebecker, M. G., Jones, M. R., Mucci, A., Tebo, B. M., & Luther, G. W.: The Speciation and Mobility of Mn and Fe in Estuarine Sediments. Aquat. Geochem. , 25(1–2), 3–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10498-019-09351-0, 2019.

Pakhomova, S. V., Hall, P. O. J., Kononets, M. Y., Rozanov, A. G., Tengberg, A., & Vershinin, A. V.: Fluxes of iron and manganese across the sediment-water interface under various redox conditions. Mar. Chem., 107(3), 319–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.001, 2007.

665 Poulton S. W. and Canfield D. E.: Development of a sequential extraction procedure for iron: implications for iron partitioning in continentally derived particulates. Chem. Geol. 214, 209–221, 2005.

Postma, D.: Concentration of Mn and separation from Fe in sediments-I. Kinetics and stoichiometry of the reaction between biiessite and dissolved Fe (H) at 10 ° C. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 49, 1023–1033, 1985.

Raiswell, R., & Canfield, D. E.: The Iron Biogeochemical Cycle Past and Present. Geochem. Perspect., 1, 2012.

- 670 Raven, J. A.: Predictions of Mn and Fe use efficiencies of phototrophic growth as a function of light availability for growth and of C assimilation pathway. New Phytol., 116(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1990.tb00505.x, 1990.
 - Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., & Gustafsson, B. G.: Sedimentary phosphorus dynamics and the evolution of bottom-water hypoxia: <u>A</u> coupled benthic-pelagic model of a coastal system. Limnol. Oceanogr., 56(3), 1075–1092. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.3.1075, 2011.
- 675 <u>Sander, S. G., & Koschinsky, A.: Metal flux from hydrothermal vents increased by organic complexation. Nat. Geosci, 4(3),</u> 145–150. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1088, 2011.

Slomp, C. P., Malschaert, J. F. P., Lohse, L., & Van Raaphorst, W.: Iron and manganese cycling in different sedimentary environments on the North Sea continental margin. Science, 17(9), 1083–1117, 1997.

 <u>Soetaert, K., & Meysman, F.: Environmental Modelling & Software Reactive transport in aquatic ecosystems : Rapid model</u>
 <u>prototyping in the open source software R. Environ Model Softw, 32, 49–60.</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.08.011, 2012.</u>

Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T., & Meysman, F.: marelac : Tools for Aquatic Sciences, 2010.

Solórzano, L.: Determination of Ammonia in Natural Waters by the Phenolhypochlorite Method. Limnol. Oceanogr., 14(September), 799–801. https://doi.org/10.4319/10.1969.14.5.0799, 1968.

685 <u>Sulu-Gambari, F., Roepert, A., Jilbert, T., Hagens, M., Meysman, F. J. R., & Slomp, C. P.: Molybdenum dynamics in sediments</u> of a seasonally-hypoxic coastal marine basin. Chem. Geol., 466(April), 627–640. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2017.07.015, 2017.</u>

Thamdrup, B., & Dalsgaard, T.: The fate of ammonium in anoxic manganese oxide-rich marine sediment. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 64(24), 4157–4164., 2000.

690 Thibault de Chanvalon, A., & Luther, G. W.: Mn speciation at nanomolar concentrations with a porphyrin competitive ligand

and UV-vis measurements. Talanta, 200, 15-21, 2019.

- Trouwborst, R. E., Clement, B. G., Tebo, B. M., Glazer, B. T., & Luther, G. W.: Soluble Mn(III) in suboxic zones. Science, 313(5795), 1955–1957. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1132876, 2006.
- Van Cappellen, P., & Wang, Y.: Cycling of iron and manganese in surface sediments: a general theory for the coupled transport and reaction of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, iron and manganese. Am. J. Sci., 296(March), 197–243, 1996.
 - van der Zee, C., van Raaphorst, W., & Epping, E.: Absorbed Mn2+ and Mn redox cycling in Iberian continental margin sediments (northeast Atlantic Ocean). J. Mar. Res., 59, 133–166, 2001.

Van Santvoort, P. J. M., De Lange, G. J., Thomson, J., Colley, S., Meysman, F. J. R., & Slomp, C. P.: Oxidation and origin of organic matter in surficial Eastern Mediterranean hemipelagic sediments. Aquat. Geochem. , 8(3), 153–175. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024271706896, 2002.

- Yakushev, E. V., Pollehne, F., Jost, G., Kuznetsov, I., Schneider, B., & Umlauf, L.: Analysis of the water column oxic/anoxic interface in the Black and Baltic seas with a numerical model. Mar. Chem., 107(3), 388–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.003, 2007.
- Żygadłowska, O. M., Venetz, J., Klomp, R., Lenstra, W. K., Van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Röckmann, T., Wallenius, A. J.,
 Dalcin Martins, P., Veraart, A. J., Jetten, M. S. M., & Slomp, C. P.: Pathways of methane removal in the sediment and water column of a seasonally anoxic eutrophic marine basin. Front. Mar. Sci., January, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1085728, 2023.
- Zygadłowska, O. M., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Lenstra, W. K., Klomp, R., Accou, R., Puyk, R., Dickson, A. J., Jetten, M. S. M., & Slomp, C. P.: Seasonal euxinia in a coastal basin: Impact on sedimentary molybdenum enrichments and isotope signatures. Chem. Geol., 670(September), 122297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2024.122297, 2024a.
 - Żygadłowska, O. M., Venetz, J., Lenstra, W. K., Van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Klomp, R., Röckmann, T., & Slomp, C. P.: <u>Ebullition drives high methane emissions from a eutrophic coastal basin. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 384, 1–13.</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2024.08.028, 2024b.</u>

715

Supplements to: Dissolved Mn(III) is a key redox intermediate in sediments of a seasonally euxinic coastal basin

1. Description of the fieldwork campaigns performed in 2021

Sampling of the sediment at Scharendijke basin was performed during 8 sampling campaigns with RV Navicula each month

- 5 from March to October 2021, following the same methods used in the fieldwork campaigns in 2020 presented in this study. An additional sampling event in August / September 2021 is reported in Żygadłowska et al. (2024a, b). These results are not included here, because we focus on the monthly output of the reactive transport model. During each campaign, a sediment core was collected using a UWITEC corer with a transparent PVC core liner (120 cm length, 6 cm inner diameter) to collect samples for NH₄⁺, alkalinity, SO₄²⁻, H₂S, TD Fe, TD Mn and during four campaigns, for the determination of Mn oxide and
- 10 <u>Mn carbonate. The core was sectioned at a 1 cm resolution under a N₂ environment, the sections were subsequently centrifuged</u> to separate the pore water from the solid phase. The supernatant was filtered over 0.45 μ m pore size filters. Samples for the analysis of NH₄⁺ were stored at -20°C and later analyzed using the indophenol blue method (Solórzano, 1968). The samples for alkalinity and SO₄²⁻ were stored at 4°C until analysis. Alkalinity was measured through titration with 0.01 M HCl, within 24 h after sampling and SO₄²⁻ was measured using ion chromatography (Metrohm 930 Compact IC Flex; detection limit for
- 15 SO₄²⁻ of 50 μmol L⁻¹). The samples for H₂S analysis were diluted five times in a 2% Zn-acetate solution in a glass vial and stored at 4°C. These samples were analyzed using the phenylenediamine and ferric chloride method (Cline, 1969). Samples for TD Fe and Mn were acidified with 10 μL 35% suprapure HCl per ml of sample and stored at 4°C. These samples were analyzed via ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Avio; detection limit 0.1 μmol L⁻¹ and 0.03 μmol L⁻¹ for Fe and Mn respectively). Samples for the analysis of the sediment residues were stored in N₂ purged aluminum bags at -20°C. Later, the samples were
- 20 <u>freeze-dried and ground with an agate mortar and pestle under a N_2 environment. Solid phase speciation of Mn was then</u> <u>determined using a sequential extraction procedure as described in Lenstra et al. (2021).</u>

<u>1.2.</u> Detailed model description

- 25 The reactions in the model describe organic matter (OM) degradation involving a range of electron acceptors combined with secondary reactions of the reaction products (Table S5). Degradation of OM is facilitated, in successive order, by reduction of O₂, NO₃⁻, MnO₂, Fe(OH)₃ and SO₄²⁻ and finally OM is degraded via methanogenesis (Table S2; Froelich et al., 1979; Reed et al., 2011; Rooze et al., 2016). Monod kinetics are used to describe the sequence of electron acceptors in OM degradation (Boudreau, 1997). In Monod kinetics, the oxidant with the highest metabolic free energy yield is used preferentially, until this
- 30 species becomes limiting and the next oxidant in the sequence is used preferentially (Boudreau, 1996; Van Cappellen & Wang,

1996). The OM is assumed to include carbon (C), nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) in a ratio of C:N:P = 106:15.45:1 (based on Egger et al., 2016b). <u>Hence, the rate of OM degradation in the sediment is directly linked to the NH_4^+ profiles.</u> In the model, reduction of MnO₂ can be coupled to oxidation of OM, Fe(II), H₂S and CH₄ (Table S2). Reduction of MnO₂ by OM, Fe(II) and H₂S is modelled as one electron transfer steps with Mn(III) as the product (Madison et al., 2013). Reduction of MnO₂ by

- 35 CH₄ is modelled as a two electron transfer with Mn(II) as a product, because, to our knowledge, the one electron transfer step that is theoretically possible has never been demonstrated. <u>Reduction of MnO₂ with NH₄⁺ as discussed by (Hulth et al., 1999)</u> and (Thamdrup and Dalsgaard, 2000) is not incorporated in the model, because the quantitative importance of this reaction is not well known. Reduction of <u>d</u>Mn(III)-<u>L</u> is assumed to occur with Fe(II), H₂S and OM to form Mn(II), while oxidation of Mn(III) is assumed to occur with O₂ to form MnO₂. Reduction of <u>d</u>Mn(III)-L by NO₂⁻ as described by Karolewski et al. (2021)
- 40 <u>is not incorporated in the model. Production of NO₂⁻ in the anoxic sediment is unlikely and concentrations in September are below the detection limit.</u> Oxidation of Mn(II) with O₂ and precipitation as MnCO₃ removes Mn(II). Dissolved inorganic carbon in the model is the sum of carbon in HCO₃²⁻ and CO₂, which are produced or consumed in reactions. <u>The dominant effect of adsorption of dissolved Mn(II) to solid phase Mn is related to transport through bioturbation (Slomp et al., 1997). At sites with little or no bioturbation, as is the case here, the effect of Mn(II) adsorption on modelled pore water profiles will be</u>
- 45 <u>limited.</u>

Depending on whether a compound is a solid or solute, its generic mass conservation is described by Eq. 1 or Eq. 2, respectively.

$$(1 - \varphi) \frac{\partial C_s}{\partial t} = -(1 - \varphi) v \frac{\partial C_s}{\partial z} + \sum R_s$$
(1)

$$\varphi \,\frac{\partial C_{aq}}{\partial t} = \varphi D' \,\frac{\partial^2 C_{aq}}{\partial z^2} - \varphi \,u \frac{\partial C_{aq}}{\partial z} + \sum R_{aq}$$
⁽²⁾

In these equations, φ is the sediment porosity, t is time (yr), C_s and C_{aq} are the concentrations of the solid and dissolved species (mol L⁻¹), respectively, D' is the diffusion coefficient of dissolved species in the porous medium (cm² yr⁻¹), z is the distance

from the Sediment-Water Interface (SWI; cm), v and u (cm yr⁻¹) are advective velocities of solids and dissolved species, respectively and ΣR_s and ΣR_{aq} are net rates of chemical reactions of solid and dissolved species, respectively. For porosity, a depth-dependent function is used to account for sediment compaction (Meysman et al., 2005; Reed 2011a) (eq 3):

$$\varphi(\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{x}) = \varphi_{\infty} + (\varphi_0 - \varphi_{\infty})e^{-\frac{y}{\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{x}}}$$
(3)

55 In this equation, φ_0 is the porosity at the SWI, φ_{∞} is the porosity at depth and y is the porosity attenuation factor/e-folding distance (Table <u>385</u>).

In the last 20 years of the model run, the seasonal cycle of oxic – euxinic conditions was simulated by varying the bottom water O₂ and H₂S concentration, the influx of Fe oxides, Mn oxides, Mn carbonates and OM and the sedimentation rate (Fig. S43). The boundary conditions for the bottom water concentration of O_2 were based on monitoring by Rijkswaterstaat

- 60
- (Directorate-General for Public Works and Water Management of the Netherlands) as reported in Żygadłowska et al. (2023a). The bottom water H_2S was varied together with O_2 and was either 0, or the concentration measured in the bottom water in September (111.8 μ mol L⁻¹M). Input of metal oxides was varied together with O₂ and was fitted to the sediment profiles. The input of Mn carbonates was also varied to fit the sediment profiles, because authigenic Mn carbonate formation alone was insufficient to induce the observed oscillations. OM input was varied to fit the sediment profiles. The input of OM and the
- 65 sedimentation rate in April differ from those in the other oxic months, because the effect of a spring bloom is was simulated. Such variations in OM input are in line with variations in primary productivity and OM supply from the North Sea known for this system (Hagens et al., 2015). Sedimentation rates for the model run until 20146 (6-4 years before the end of the model run) were based on Egger et al. (2016; 13.3 cm yr⁻¹). Between 60 - 80 cm depth, which is around where the shift in sedimentation rates is assumed, a shift in the oscillations is visible in for example the C_{org} and Mn oxides sediment profiles
- (Fig. 4). This shift is modelled by varying the input of these compounds through the seasons. For the last 6-4 years the 70 sedimentation rate was set to 20 cm yr⁻¹, based on the onset of the shallowest peak in C_{org} at 20 cm depth (Fig. 4), which marks the C_{org} deposition of the previous year (i.e. spring 2019).









Figure S2, Kinetic curves that were measured and modelled Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L concentrations used to determine the Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L content of the samples from (a) 0 - 1 cm; (b) 6 - 7 cm; (c) 43 - 44 cm; in March 2020 and (d) 1 - 2 cm; (e) 7 - 8 cm; (f) 23 - 24 cm in September 2020.



Figure S¹. Seasonal variation in bottom water O₂ and H₂S, sedimentation rate, and in the flux of Fe oxides ($J_{Fe(OH)_3}$), flux of Mn oxides ((J_{MnO_2}) and flux of organic matter (J_{OM}) at the sediment-water interface in the final year of the model simulations.





Figure S42: (a)A, B)(b) Data collected through spectrophotometric analysis of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L in March, including error bars showing standard deviation (n=3). C(c) The sum of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L measured spectrophotometrically, including error bars showing standard deviation (n=3), compared with the total dissolved Mn measured with ICP-OES. (dD) The contribution of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L to the total dissolved Mn pool (determined as the sum of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L) as percentage.



Figure S53: (a)A, B)(b) Data collected during the spectrophotometric analysis of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L in September, including error bars showing standard deviation (n = 3). C)(c) The sum of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L measured during the spectrophotometric method including error bars (n = 3), compared with the total dissolved Mn measured with ICP-OES. D)(d) The contribution of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L to the total dissolved Mn pool (determined as the sum of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L) as a percentage.



Figure S6. Top 20 cm of the pore water profiles of key components in March (black) and September (red) 2020. The dots represent measured concentrations, the lines indicate the results of the reactive transport model. Note the different depth scale on the y-axis for O₂. TD Fe and TD Mn refer to total dissolved Fe and total dissolved Mn. Profiles of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L with standard deviation error bars (n =3) and the contribution to TD Mn (in %) can be found in Fig. S2, S3. No O₂ was detected in the sediment in September 2020 (Żygadłowska et al. 2023).



Figure S47: Porosity and all Mn and Fe fractions as determined in the sequential extraction, for the sediment collected in March 2020.



Figure S⁸⁵: Porosity and all fractions extracted for Mn and Fe in the sequential extraction, for the sediment collected in September 2020 (0-20 cm).



Figure S26: Depth profiles of the reaction rates, which form the basis for the rate integrations shown in Fig. 4.



Figure S<u>10</u>⁷. The change in pore water profiles between March and September when anoxic conditions develop in the basin. With the reactive transport model, pore water profiles can be extrapolated to months where no fieldwork was done in <u>2020</u>.



125 Figure S11: Forward simulation of the reactive transport model to NH₄⁺, alkalinity, SO₄²⁻, H₂S, TD Fe, TD Mn and, for 4 months, Mn oxides and Mn carbonates obtained during 8 sampling campaigns performed between March and October 2021. In 2021, Scharendijke experienced euxinia from June until September (Żygadłowska et al., 2024a). Part of this dataset was previously published in Żygadłowska et al. (2024a, b). The figure continues on the next page.



130 Continuation of figure S11.



Figure S128: Model runs with different diffusion coefficients for dissolved Mn(III). The diffusion coefficients used and the range of diffusion coefficients for dissolved organic matter given in literature can be found in Table S6. When the diffusion coefficient decreases, the peak of dissolved Mn(III)-L and total dissolved Mn near the sediment-water interface in March is no longer well described by the model. The diffusion coefficient does not have a large effect on the pore water profiles of Mn(II) and dMn(III)-L in September.



Figure S139: Variation in the benthic flux of dissolved Mn over the model runs with changing diffusion coefficient for dMn(III)-L (D_{Mn(III}) in March (A) and September (B). Note the different y-axes for the different months. The figure shows that a lower diffusion coefficient for dMn(III)-L results in a lower benthic flux of total Mn and dMn(III)-L, but a slightly higher benthic flux of Mn(II). The values for the high, middle and low D_{Mn(III}) can be found in Table S6.



145 <u>Figure S14: Model output when authigenic Mn carbonate precipitation is turned off in red versus the model base run in black. The difference in Mn carbonate concentrations between the model without Mn carbonate precipitation and the base run indicate the amount of Mn carbonate that, according to the model, has formed within the sediment</u>

Tables 3.4.

Table S1. Chemical species included in the model

Species	Notation
Solids	
Organic Matter ^a	$OM^{lpha/eta/\gamma}$
Iron oxides ^a	Fe(OH) ₃ ^{α/β/γ}
Iron monosulfide	FeS
Pyrite	FeS ₂
Elemental Sulfur	S ⁰
Siderite	FeCO ₃
Vivianite	Fe ₃ (PO ₄) ₂
Manganese oxide ^b	MnO ₂ ^{α/β}
Manganese carbonate	MnCO ₃
Solutes	
Chloride	Cl
Oxygen	O ₂
Nitrate	NO ₃ -
Sulfate	SO4 ²⁻
Methane	CH ₄
Dissolved iron	Fe ²⁺
Ammonium ^c	ΣNH_4^+
Hydrogen sulfide ^c	$\Sigma H_2 S$
Phosphate ^c	ΣH ₃ PO ₄
Dissolved Inorganic Carbon	DIC
Dissolved manganese (II)	Mn ²⁺
Dissolved manganese(III)-L	$\underline{Mn^{3+}-L}\underline{Mn}^{3+}$

^b Consists of two types of species: reactive (α) and less reactive (β)

 $^{\rm c}$ Σ denotes that all species of an acid are included.

Table S2. Reaction pathways and stoichiometries implemented in the model

Primary redox reactions

$OM^{\alpha,\beta} + a O_2 \rightarrow a CO_2 + b NH_4^+ + c H_3PO_4 + a H_2O$	R1
$OM^{\alpha,\beta} + 0.8 a NO_3 + 0.8 a H^+ \rightarrow a CO_2 + b NH_4^+ + c H_3PO_4 + 0.4 a N_2 + 1.4 a H_2O_4$	R2
$OM^{\alpha,\beta} + 4a MnO_2^a + 2a H^+ \rightarrow 4a Mn^{3+} - LMn^{3+} + a CO_2 + b NH_4^+ + c H_3PO_4 + 2a H_2O_4$	R3
$OM^{\alpha,\beta} + 4a Fe(OH)_3^a + 4a \chi Fe_{ox}P + 12 a H^+$	R4
$\rightarrow 4a \operatorname{Fe}^{2+} + a \operatorname{CO}_2 + b \operatorname{NH}_4^+ + (c + 4a \chi) \operatorname{H}_3 \operatorname{PO}_4 + 13a \operatorname{H}_2 \operatorname{O}_4$	
$OM^{\alpha,\beta} + 0.5a SO_4^{2-} + a H^+ \rightarrow a CO_2 + b NH_4^+ + c H_3PO_4 + 0.5a H_2S + a H_2O_4$	R5
$OM^{\alpha,\beta} \rightarrow 0.5a CO_2 + b NH_4^+ + c H_3PO_4 + 0.5a CH_4$	R6

Secondary and other reactions

$O_2 + Fe^{2+} + 8 H_2O + 4 \chi H_3PO_4 \rightarrow 4 Fe(OH)_3^a + 4 \chi Fe_{ox}P + 8 CO_2$	R7
$2 O_2 + FeS \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2 Fe^{2+} + 4 H^+$	R8
$7 O_2 + 2 FeS_2 + 2 H_2O + 4 SO_4^{2-} \rightarrow 2 Fe^{2+} + 4 H^+$	R9
$2 O_2 + H_2 S + 2 H CO_3^- \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2 CO_2 + 2 H_2 O$	R10
$2 O_2 + CH_4 \rightarrow CO_2 + 2 H_2O$	R11
$2 Fe(OH)_3^a + 2 \chi Fe_{ox}P + H_2S + 4 CO_2 \rightarrow 2 Fe^{2+} + 2 \chi H_2PO_4^- + S^0 + 4 HCO_3^- + 2 H_2O$	R12
$Fe^{2+} + H_2S \rightarrow FeS + 2 H^+$	R13
$FeS + H_2S \rightarrow FeS_2 + H_2$	R14
$SO_4^{2-} + CH_4 + CO_2 \rightarrow 2 HCO_3^- + H_2S$	R15
$CH_4 + 8 Fe(OH)_3^{a,\beta} + 8 \chi Fe_{ox}P + 15 H^+ \rightarrow HCO_3^- + 8 Fe^{2+} + 8 \chi H_2PO_4^- + 21 H_2O$	R16
$4 S^0 + 4 H_2 0 \rightarrow 3 H_2 S + SO_4^{2-} + 2 H^+$	R17
$FeS + S^0 \rightarrow FeS_2$	R18
$\operatorname{Fe(OH)}_{3}^{a} + \chi \operatorname{Fe_{ox}} P \to \operatorname{Fe(OH)}_{3}^{\beta} + \chi \operatorname{H}_{2} \operatorname{PO}_{4}^{-}$	R19
$2 Fe(OH)_{3}^{\beta} + 2 \chi Fe_{ox}P + H_{2}S + 4 CO_{2} \rightarrow 2 Fe^{2+} + 2 \chi H_{2}PO_{4}^{-} + S^{0} + 4 HCO_{3}^{-} + 2 H_{2}O$	R20
$2 O_2 + NH_4^+ + 2 HCO_3^- \rightarrow NO_3^- + 2 CO_2 + 3 H_2O$	R21
$3 \text{ Fe}^{2+} + 2 \text{ H}_2 \text{PO}_4^- \rightarrow \text{ Fe}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 + 4 \text{ H}^+$	R22
$Fe^{2+} + CO_3^{2-} \rightarrow FeCO_3$	R23
$FeCO_3 + H_2S \rightarrow FeS + CO_2 + H_2O$	R24
$Fe_3(PO_4)_2 + 3 H_2S \rightarrow 2 FeS + 2 HPO_4^- + 4 H^+$	R25
$Mn^{2+} + HCO_3^- + OH^- \rightarrow MnCO_3 + H_2O$	R26
$4 \text{ Mm}^{2+} + 0 + 4 \text{ H}^+ + 1 \rightarrow 4 \text{ Mm}^{3+} - 1 + 2 \text{ H} 0$	R27

$MnO_2^{a,\beta} + Fe^{2+} + H_2O + H^+ + L \rightarrow Fe(OH)_3^a + Mn^{3+} - L$	R28
$MnO_2^a + H_2S + 2H^+ + L \rightarrow S^0 + Mn^{3+} - L + 2H_2O$	R29
$\mathrm{MnO_2}^a \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO_2}^\beta$	R30
$MnO_2^{\beta} + H_2S + 2H^+ + L \rightarrow S^0 + Mn^{3+} - L + 2H_2O$	R31
$4 \text{ MnO}_2^{a,\beta} + \text{CH}_4 + 7 \text{ H}^+ \rightarrow 4 \text{ Mn}^{2+} + \text{HCO}_3^- + 5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$	R32
$4 \text{ Mn}^{3+} - \text{L} + 2 \text{ O}_2 + 4 \text{ H}^+ \rightarrow 4 \text{ Mn}\text{O}_2{}^a + 2 \text{ H}_2\text{O} + \text{ L}$	R33
$Mn^{3+} - L + H_2S \rightarrow S^0 + Mn^{2+} + L$	R34
$Mn^{3+} - L + Fe^{2+} + 3 H_2O \rightarrow Mn^{2+} + Fe(OH)_3^a + 3 H^+ + L$	R35
$4 \text{ Mn}^{3+} - \text{L} + \text{OM}^{\alpha} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4 \text{ Mn}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2 + 3 \text{ H}^+ + \text{L}$	R36

Organic matter is of the form ((CH₂O)_a (NH₄ ⁺)_b (H₃PO₄)_c, where a=1, b= 1/15.45 and c = 106/1. α , β , & γ describe different fractions (i.e. highly reactive, less reactive and refractory). χ describes the P:Fe ratios of Fe(OH)₃ and has a value of 0.3 in the model.



Table S3. Reaction equations implemented in the model



$R16 = k_{10} \left[Fe(OH)_3^{\alpha,\beta} \right] [CH_4]$	E1
	6
$R17 = k_{11} [S^0]$	E1
	7
$R18 = k_{12} [FeS] [S^0]$	E1
	8
$R19 = k_{13} \left[Fe(OH)_3^{\alpha} \right]$	E1
	9
$R20 = k_{14} \left[Fe(OH)_3^{\beta} \right] \left[\sum H_2 S \right]$	E2
	0
$R21 = k_{15} [O_2] [NH_4^+]$	E2
	1
$R22 = k_{16} [Fe^{2+}] [HPO_4^{2-}]$	E2
	2
$R23 = k_{17} [Fe^{2+}] [HCO_3^-]$	E2
	3
$R24 = k_{18} [FeCO_3] [\Sigma H_2 S]$	E2
	4
$R25 = k_{19} [Fe_3(PO_4)_2] [\Sigma H_2 S]$	E2
	5
$R26 = k_{20} [Mn^{2+}] [HCO_3^-]$	E2
	6
$R27 = k_{21} [Mn^{2+}] [O_2]$	E2
	7
$R28 = k_{22} \left[MnO_2 Mn(OH)_4^{\alpha,\beta} \right] [Fe^{2+}]$	E2
	8
$R29 = k_{23} \left[MnO_2 \frac{Mn(OH)_4}{4} \right] \left[\sum H_2 S \right]$	E2
	9
$R30 = k_{24} \left[MnO_2 \frac{Mn(OH)_4}{\alpha} \right]$	E3
	0
$R31 = k_{25} \left[MnO_2 \frac{Mn(OH)_4}{\mu} \right] [\Sigma H_2 S]$	E3
	1

Table S4. Reaction parameters used in the model

Parameter	Value	Unit	Source	Values in
				literature
k_{α}^{*}	1.62	yr ⁻¹	a, b	0.05 - 1.62
k_{β}^{*}	0.0086	yr-1	b, d	0.0025 - 0.0086
K ₀₂	20	μmol L ⁻¹	с	1 - 30
K _{NO3}	20	μmol L ⁻¹	с	4 - 80
K _{MnO2} Mn(OH)4	32	μmol L ⁻¹	с	4 - 32
K _{Fe(OH)3}	65	μmol L ⁻¹	с	65 - 100
K _{SO4} ^{2–}	1.6	μmol L ⁻¹	с	1.6
k ₁ (E7)	1.4*10 ⁵	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	1.4*10 ⁵
k ₂ (E8)	300	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	300

k ₃ (E9)	1	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	1
k ₄ (E10)	160	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	160
k ₅ (E11)	100	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	107
k ₆ (E12)	80	mmol yr ⁻¹	c, g, i	8 - 100
k ₇ (E13)	11840	mmol yr ⁻¹	b, d	100 - 14800
k ₈ (E14)	0.0003	mmol yr ⁻¹	e, i	0.0003 - 0.0074
k ₉ (E15)	1.344	mmol yr ⁻¹	c, g	10 (c) – 120 (g)
<i>k</i> ₁₀ (E16)	3.04*10-6	mmol yr ⁻¹	g, i	$1.6^{*}10^{-7} - 0.0074$
<i>k</i> ₁₁ (E17)	3	yr-1	f	3
<i>k</i> ₁₂ (E18)	0.1	mmol yr ⁻¹	f, g	0.001 - 7
<i>k</i> ₁₃ (E19)	0.1	yr ⁻¹		model constrained
<i>k</i> ₁₄ (E20)	0.444	mmol yr ⁻¹	c, j	0.004 - 100
k ₁₅ (E21)	19500	mmol yr ⁻¹	c, d	5000 - 39000
k ₁₆ (E22)	0.052	mmol yr ⁻¹		model constrained
k ₁₇ (E23)	0.000351	mmol yr ⁻¹	i	0.0027
k ₁₈ (E24)	0.0008	mmol yr ⁻¹		model constrained
k ₁₉ (E25)	8*10-4	mmol yr ⁻¹	i	8*10-4
k ₂₀ (E26)	0.05565	mmol yr ⁻¹	k	0.265
k ₂₁ (E27)	15000	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	800 - 20000
k ₂₂ (E28)	2.652	mmol yr ⁻¹	f, k	0.002 - 2
k ₂₃ (E29)	1	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	< 100000 (20)
k ₂₄ (E30)	1.8	yr ⁻¹	f	1.8
k ₂₅ (E31)	0.02	mmol yr ⁻¹	с	< 100000 (20)
k ₂₆ (E32)	0.000019	mmol yr ⁻¹	k	0.0017
k ₂₇ (E33)	144	mmol yr ⁻¹		model constrained
k ₂₈ (E34)	64	mmol yr ⁻¹		model constrained
k ₂₉ (E35)	0.025	mmol yr ⁻¹		model constrained
k ₃₀ (E36)k ₃₀ (E36)	<u>2.5*10⁻⁴0.03675</u>	<u>mmol yr⁻¹yr</u> ⁻¹		model
				<u>constrained</u> model
				constrained
k ₃₁ (E37)	0.15	yr ⁻¹		model constrained
k ₃₂ (E38)	0.5	mmol yr ⁻¹		model constrained

k ₃₃ (E39)k ₃₃ (E39)	<u>0.03675</u> 2.5*10 ⁻⁴	<u>yr⁻¹mmol yr</u> -1	model
			constrained model
			constrained

a) Moodley et al. (2005); b) Reed et al. (2011a) c) Van Cappellen & Wang (1996); d) Reed et al. (2016); e) Rickard (1997); f) Berg et al. (2003); g) Rooze et al. (2016); h) Egger et al. (2016a); i) Egger et al. (2016b); j) Lenstra et al. (2018)

*Following the approach of Reed et al. (2011b), we have assumed different reactivities of the organic matter towards the electron acceptors. The following factors have been used for the α fraction: $O_2 = 1$, $NO_3 = 3$, $MnO_2 = 2.8$, $Fe(OH)_3 = 0.3$, $SO_4^{2-} = 1.7$, methanogenesis = 0.5; for the β fraction the following factors have been used: $O_2 = 1$, $NO_3^- = 3$, $MnO_2 = 1$, $Fe(OH)_3 = 0.3$, $SO_4^{2-} = 1$, methanogenesis = 3.

Table S5. Environmental parameters used in the model. Values of porosity, temperature, salinity and the sedimentation rate are based on data for the study site.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit		
Porosity at surface	φ ₀	0.944	vol/vol		
Porosity at depth	ϕ_{∞}	0.888	-		
Porosity e-folding	g	60	cm		
distance					
Sediment density	r	2.65	g cm ⁻³		
Temperature	Т	8.4	°C		
Salinity	S	35	-		
Pressure	Р	5.5	bar		
Tortuosity	q ²	$1-2\ln(\phi)$	-		
Molecular diffusion	<i>D</i> '	$D' = \frac{D_m}{A^2}$	cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹		
coefficient corrected for					
tortuosity					
Sediment accumulation	F _{Sed}	**	g cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹		
rate**					
Advective velocity at	n_0	F _{sed}	cm yr ⁻¹		
surface		$\rho(1-\varphi_0)$			
Advective velocity at	n_{∞}	$\frac{F_{sed}}{o(1-\omega)}$	cm yr ⁻¹		
depth		$\rho(1 - \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\infty})$			
** Sedimentation rate variations over the years					

Year	December – July	July - December	Unit
0 - 60	2.97	2.97	g cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹
60 - 75	0.69	3.93	g cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹
75 - 80	3.93	2.00	g cm ⁻² yr ⁻¹

175 Table S6. The various diffusion coefficients used for Mn(III) (D_{Mn(III)}) to evaluate the effect of the choice of the diffusion coefficient for Mn(III) when it forms a complex with an organic ligand.

Name	Scenario	Value	Range in literature	Sources
High D _{Mn(III)}	DMn(III) = DMn(II)	132.6 cm ² yr ⁻¹	-	а
	As calculated by			
	reactran package			
Middle D _{Mn(III)}	DMn(III) is within the	33.1 cm ² yr ⁻¹	$22.7 - 81 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ yr}^{-1}$	<i>b</i> , <i>c</i>
	range of diffusion			
	coefficients for			
	dissolved organic			
	matter			
Low D _{Mn(III)}	DMn(III) is	16.6 cm ² yr ⁻¹	-	
	constrained by the			
	model, by fitting			
	Mn(III) to the			
	collected data. This is			
	the DMn(III) that is			
	used in the model			
	throughout the paper.			

Sources: *a*) Soetaert & Meysman (2012); *b*) Burdige et al. (1999); *c*) Burdige et al. (2004)

180

Table S7. Boundary conditions of solids and solutes at the sediment-water interface in the model. For the time-dependent fluxes of $OM^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, $Fe(OH)_3^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$, $MnO_2^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $MnCO_3$ and concentration of O₂ the minimum and maximum fluxes and concentrations are given. For all chemical species, a zero-gradient boundary condition was specified at the bottom of the model domain.

Solids	Flux at sediment-water interface	Unit
FeS	0.4 * 10 ⁻⁴	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹

S ⁰ 0 mol m ² yr ¹ FeCO ₃ 2 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe ₃ (PO ₄) ₂ 0 mol m ² yr ¹ Min Max mol m ² yr ¹ OM ⁴ 9.51 52.31 mol m ² yr ¹ OM ⁵ 45.36 72.58 mol m ² yr ¹ OM ⁴ 0.001 0.001 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^a 0.0028 6.44 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^b 3.28 4.68 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^b 0 0 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^b 0.028 0.44 mol m ² yr ¹ MnO ₂ ^a 0.0108 0.081 mol m ² yr ¹ MnO ₂ ^b 0.0235 0.216 mol m ² yr ¹ MnCO ₃ 0.0624 0.163 mol m ² yr ¹ Solutes Bottom water c=trations Unit Min Max mol L ⁻¹ O2 0 0.111 mol L ⁻¹ SOlds ² 0 0.111 mol L ⁻¹ NO ₃ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ SOld ² 27.49	FeS_2	0		mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } FeCO_3 & 2 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline Fe_3(PO_4)_2 & 0 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline Min & Max & \\ OM^* & 9.51 & 52.31 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ OM^6 & 45.36 & 72.58 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ OM^6 & 0.001 & 0.001 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline OM^6 & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ Fe(OH)_3^6 & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ Fe(OH)_3^6 & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline Fe(OH)_3^6 & 0 & 0 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline Fe(OH)_3^6 & 0 & 0 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline MnO_2^6 & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline MnO_2^b & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1$ \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water c trations & Unit \\ \hline Mn & Max & \\ O_2 & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \SigmaH_2S & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SO_4^{2-} & 27.49 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_3^{-1} & 0 & ymol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_4 & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Pe_2^{2^*} & 0 & 0 & ymol L^{-1} \\ \hline SNH_4^+ & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3^-} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mnn^{3^+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$	S^0	0		mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c } Fe_{3}(PO_{4})_{2} & 0 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline Min & Max & & \\ \hline OM^{4} & 9.51 & 52.31 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline OM^{5} & 45.36 & 72.58 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline OM^{5} & 0.001 & 0.001 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline OM^{5} & 0.0028 & 6.44 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{a} & 0.0028 & 6.44 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 3.28 & 4.68 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 3.28 & 4.68 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 0 & 0 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 0.0108 & 0.081 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{b} & 0.0235 & 0.216 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline MnO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & \mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{1} & \\ \hline O_{2} & 0 & 0.205 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MnO_{4} & 0 & 0.205 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & \cdots & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline NO_{3} & 0 & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline NO_{3} & 0 & \\ \hline SO_{4}^{2} & 27.49 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline NO_{3} & 0 & \\ \hline SO_{4}^{2} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MmO_{4} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MmO_{4}^{-} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MmO_{4}^{-} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MnO_{4}^{-} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MnO_{4}^{2} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline MnO_{4}^{2} & \mol \ Mmol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mn^{2^{+}} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mn^{3^{+}} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mn^{3^{+}} & 0 & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \mol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline Mmol \ L^{1} & \\ \hline \ \$	FeCO ₃	2		mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
Min Max OM ^a 9.51 52.31 mol m ² yr ¹ OM ^b 45.36 72.58 mol m ² yr ¹ OM ^g 0.001 0.001 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^a 0.0028 6.44 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^b 3.28 4.68 mol m ² yr ¹ Fe(OH) ₃ ^g 0 mol m ² yr ¹ MnO ₂ ^a 0.0108 0.081 mol m ² yr ¹ MnO ₂ ^b 0.0235 0.216 mol m ² yr ¹ MnCO ₃ 0.0624 0.163 mol m ² yr ¹ Solutes Bottom water currations Unit Q 0 0.205 mmol L ⁻¹ Cl 532 mmol L ⁻¹ mol L ⁻¹ NO ₃ 0 .111 mmol L ⁻¹ Solq ²⁻ 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ Cl 532 mmol L ⁻¹ Solq ²⁻ 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ SNH ₄ ⁻¹ 0 mmol L ⁻¹	$Fe_3(PO_4)_2$	0		mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } OM^{a} & 9.51 & 52.31 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ OM^{b} & 45.36 & 72.58 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ OM^{g} & 0.001 & 0.001 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{a} & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 3.28 & 4.68 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{g} & 0 & 0 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{a} & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{b} & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{b} & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water constrations & Unit \\ \hline Min & Max & \\ O_{2} & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{4} \\ \hline SH_{2}S & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol L^{4} \\ \hline NO_{3} & 0 & 111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol L^{4} \\ \hline NO_{3} & 0 & 0.0111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SNH_{4}^{+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SNH_{4}^{-} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{2+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$		Min	Max	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } OM^b & 45.36 & 72.58 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ OM^g & 0.001 & 0.001 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ Fe(OH)_3^a & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ Fe(OH)_3^b & 3.28 & 4.68 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ Fe(OH)_3^g & 0 & 0 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ MnO_2^a & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ MnO_2^b & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water co-trations & Unit \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water co-trations & Unit \\ \hline O_2 & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CI^{-} & 532 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline CI^{-} & 532 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_3^{-2} & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CI^{-} & 532 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_3^{-2} & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CI^{+} & 532 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline SO_4^{2^-} & 27.49 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_4 & 0 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline Fe^{2^+} & 0 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline 2HPO_4^{2^-} & 0 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline 2HPO_4^{2^-} & 0 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3^+} & 0 & mol L^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$	OM ^a	9.51	52.31	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c } OM^g & 0.001 & 0.001 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3^a} & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3^b} & 3.28 & 4.68 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3^b} & 0 & 0 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline MnO_2^a & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline MnO_2^b & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^2 yr^1 \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water concentrations & Unit \\ \hline MnCO_2 & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline Solutes & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline SH_2S & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mol L^1 \\ \hline NO_3^{-} & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline NO_3^{-} & 0 & 0.0111 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline SO_4^{2-} & 27.49 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline SO_4^{2-} & 27.49 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline ShH_4^+ & 0 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline SHPO_4^{2-} & 0 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline SHPO_4^{2-} & 0 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol L^1 \\ \hline \end{array}$	OM ^b	45.36	72.58	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{a} & 0.0028 & 6.44 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 3.28 & 4.68 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{g} & 0 & 0 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{a} & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{b} & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water concentrations & Unit \\ \hline Min & Max & \\ \hline O_{2} & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \SigmaH_{2}S & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_{3}^{-} & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_{3}^{-2} & 27.49 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline EHPO_{4}^{2-} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline ZHPO_{4}^{-2} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{2+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$	OM ^g	0.001	0.001	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } Fe(OH)_{3}^{b} & 3.28 & 4.68 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline Fe(OH)_{3}^{g} & 0 & 0 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{a} & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{b} & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^{2} yr^{1} \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water constrations & Unit \\ \hline Min & Max & \\ O_{2} & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_{3}^{-} & 0 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_{3}^{-} & 0 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Fe^{2+} & 0 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SNH_{4}^{+} & 0 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{2+} & 0 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$	Fe(OH) ₃ ^a	0.0028	6.44	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c } Fe(OH)_{3}^{g} & 0 & 0 & 0 & mol \ m^{2} \ yr^{-1} & \\ \hline MnO_{2}^{a} & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol \ m^{-2} \ yr^{-1} & \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol \ m^{-2} \ yr^{-1} & \\ \hline MnCO_{3} & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol \ m^{-2} \ yr^{-1} & \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water \ concentrations & Unit & \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water \ concentrations & Unit & \\ \hline Min & Max & & \\ \hline O_{2} & 0 & 0.205 & mmol \ L^{-1} & \\ \hline Ch & 532 & mmol \ L^{-1} & \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol \ L^{-1} & \\ \hline NO_{3}^{-} & 0 & 0.111 & mmol \ L^{-1} & \\ \hline NO_{3}^{-} & 0 & & \\ \hline SOq^{2^{-}} & 27.49 & mmol \ L^{-1} & \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & & \\ \hline Fe^{2^{+}} & 0 & & \\ \hline CH_{4} & 0 & & \\ \hline Fe^{2^{+}} & 0 & & \\ \hline SNH_{4}^{+} & 0 & & \\ \hline DIC & 3 & & \\ \hline Mn^{2^{+}} & 0 & & \\ \hline Mn^{3^{+}} & 0 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Fe(OH) ₃ ^b	3.28	4.68	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c } MnO_2^n & 0.0108 & 0.081 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnO_2^b & 0.0235 & 0.216 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline MnCO_3 & 0.0624 & 0.163 & mol m^{-2} yr^{-1} \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water concentrations & Unit \\ \hline Solutes & Bottom water concentrations & Unit \\ \hline O_2 & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline O_2 & 0 & 0.205 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SH_2S & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Cl^{-} & 532 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_3^{-} & 0 & 0.111 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline NO_3^{-2} & 27.49 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SO4^{2-} & 27.49 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline CH_4 & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Fe^{2+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Fe^{2+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline SNH_4^+ & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{2+} & 0 & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol L^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array}$	Fe(OH) ₃ ^g	0	0	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
MnO2 ^b 0.0235 0.216 mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ MnCO3 0.0624 0.163 mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ Solutes Bottom water curve trations Unit Mn Max Unit O2 0 0.205 mmol L ⁻¹ EH ₂ S 0 0.111 mmol L ⁻¹ Cl' 532 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ NO3 ⁻ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ SO4 ²⁻ 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ SNH ₄ ⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ EHPO4 ²⁻ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ³⁺ 0	MnO ₂ ^a	0.0108	0.081	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				
MnCO3 0.0624 0.163 mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹ Solutes Bottom water correntrations Unit Min Max Unit O2 0 0.205 mmol L ⁻¹ $\Sigma H_2 S$ 0 0.111 mmol L ⁻¹ Cl ⁻ 532 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ NO3 ⁻ 0 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ CH4 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ ΣNH_4^+ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ DIC 3 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ Mn^{2+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ³⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹	MnO ₂ ^b	0.0235	0.216	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
Solutes Bottom water concentrations Unit Min Max O_2 0 0.205 mmol L ⁻¹ $\Sigma H_2 S$ 0 0.111 mmol L ⁻¹ $Cl^ 532$ mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ NO_3^- 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ SO_4^{2-} 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ CH_4 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ Fe^{2+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ ΣNH_4^+ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ DIC 3 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ Mn^{2+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹	MnCO ₃	0.0624	0.163	mol m ⁻² yr ⁻¹
Min Max O_2 0 0.205 mmol L ⁻¹ $\Sigma H_2 S$ 0 0.111 mmol L ⁻¹ Cl^- 532 mmol L ⁻¹ NO_3^- 0 mmol L ⁻¹ NO_3^- 0 mmol L ⁻¹ $SO_4^{2^-}$ 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ CH_4 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Fe^{2^+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ ΣNH_4^+ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ $\Sigma HPO_4^{2^-}$ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ DIC 3 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn^{2+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn^{3+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹				T T 1 /
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Solutes	Bottom wate	er concentrations	Unit
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Solutes	Bottom wate	er concentrations Max	
Cl ⁻ 532 mmol L ⁻¹ NO ₃ ⁻ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ SO ₄ ²⁻ 27.49 mmol L ⁻¹ CH ₄ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Fe ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ SNH ₄ ⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ DHPO ₄ ²⁻ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ DIC 3 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ³⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹	Solutes O ₂	Bottom wate Min 0	er concentrations Max 0.205	mmol L ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c cccc} NO_{3}^{-} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ SO_{4}^{2-} & 27.49 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ CH_{4} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ Fe^{2+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \overline{\Sigma}NH_{4}^{+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \overline{\Sigma}HPO_{4}^{2-} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ DIC & 3 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ Mn^{2+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	Solutes O2 ΣH2S	Bottom wate Min 0 0	Max 0.205 0.111 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Solutes O2 ΣH2S Cl ⁻	Bottom wate Min 0 0 532	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹
CH4 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Fe^{2+} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ ΣNH_4^+ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ ΣHPO_4^{2-} 0 mmol L ⁻¹ DIC 3 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ³⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹	Solutes O2 ΣH2S CI ⁻ NO3 ⁻	Bottom wate Min 0 0 532 0	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹ mmol L ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Fe^{2+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \Sigma NH_4^{+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \overline{\Sigma} HPO_4^{2-} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ DIC & 3 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ Mn^{2+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	Solutes O2 ΣH2S Cl ⁻ NO3 ⁻ SO42 ²⁻	Bottom wate Min 0 0 532 0 27.49	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c} \Sigma NH_4^+ & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \overline{\Sigma} HPO_4^{2-} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{2+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	Solutes O2 ΣH2S Cl ⁻ NO3 ⁻ SO4 ²⁻ CH4	Bottom wate Min 0 0 0 0 27.49 0	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹
$\begin{array}{c c} \Sigma HPO_4^{2-} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \hline DIC & 3 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ Mn^{2+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \\ \hline Mn^{3+} & 0 & mmol \ L^{-1} \end{array}$	Solutes O_2 $\Sigma H_2 S$ $Cl^ NO_3^ SO_4^{2-}$ CH_4 Fe^{2+}	Bottom wate Min 0 0 0 0 27.49 0 0 0	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹
DIC 3 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ³⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹	Solutes O_2 $\Sigma H_2 S$ $Cl^ NO_3^ SO_4^{2-}$ CH_4 Fe^{2+} ΣNH_4^+	Bottom wate Min 0 0 0 27.49 0 0 0 0	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹
Mn ²⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹ Mn ³⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹	Solutes O_2 $\Sigma H_2 S$ $CI^ NO_3^ SO_4^{2-}$ CH_4 Fe^{2+} ΣNH_4^+ ΣHPO_4^{2-}	Bottom wate Min 0 0 0 27.49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Max 0.205 0.111 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹
Mn ³⁺ 0 mmol L ⁻¹	Solutes O_2 $\Sigma H_2 S$ $Cl^ NO_3^ SO_4^{2-}$ CH_4 Fe^{2+} ΣNH_4^+ ΣHPO_4^{2-} DIC	Bottom wate Min 0 0 0 0 27.49 0 0 0 0 0 3	Max 0.205 0.111 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹
	Solutes O2 ΣH2S Cl ⁻ NO3 ⁻ SO4 ²⁻ CH4 Fe ²⁺ ΣNH4 ⁺ ΣHPO4 ²⁻ DIC Mn ²⁺	Bottom wate Min 0 0 0 532 0 27.49 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	er concentrations Max 0.205 0.111	Unit mmol L ⁻¹

References

- Berg, P., Rysgaard, S., & Thamdrup, B. O. : Dynamic Modeling of Early Diagenesis and Nutrient Cycling. A Case Study in an Arctic Marine Sediment. Am. J. Sci., 303, 905–955, 2003.
 - Boudreau, B. P.: Diagenetic models and their implementation : modelling transport and reactions in aquatic sediments Modelling Transport and Reactions in Aquatic Sediments. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-60421-8, 1997.
- Boudreau, P.: A Method-of-Lines Code For Carbon and Nutrient Diagenesis in Aquatic Sediments. Comput. Geosci., 22(5),
 479–496, 1996.
 - Burdige, D. J., Berelson, W. M., Coale, K. H., McManus, J., & Johnson, K. S.: Fluxes of dissolved organic carbon from California continental margin sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 63(10), 1507–1515, 1999.
 - Burdige, D. J., Kline, S. W., & Chen, W.: Fluorescent dissolved organic matter in marine sediment pore waters. Mar. Chem., 89, 289–311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.02.015, 2004.
- 200 <u>Cline, J. D.: Spectrophotometric Determination of Hydrogen Sulfide in Natural Waters. Limnol. Oceanogr., 14(3), 454–458.</u> https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1969.14.3.0454, 1969.

Egger, M., Kraal, P., Jilbert, T., Sulu-gambari, F., Sapart, C. J., & Röckmann, T.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane alters sediment records of sulfur, iron and phosphorus in the Black Sea. Biogeosciences, 5333–5355. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-5333-2016, 2016.

205 Egger, M., Lenstra, W., Jong, D., Meysman, F. J. R., Sapart, C. J., Van Der Veen, C., Röckmann, T., Gonzalez, S., & Slomp, C. P.: Rapid sediment accumulation results in high methane effluxes from coastal sediments. PLoS ONE, 11(8), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161609, 2016.

Froelich, P. N., Klinkhammer, G. P., Bender, M. L., Luedtke, N. A., Heath, G. R., Cullen, D., Dauphin, P., Hammond, D., & Hartman, B.: Early oxidation of organic matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern equatorial Atlantic : suboxic diagenesis. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 43, 1075–1090, 1979.

Hagens, M., Slomp, C. P., Meysman, F. J. R., Seitaj, D., Harlay, J., Borges, A. V., & Middelburg, J. J.: Biogeochemical processes and buffering capacity concurrently affect acidification in a seasonally hypoxic coastal marine basin. Biogeosciences, 12(5), 1561–1583. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-1561-2015, 2015.

Hulth, S., Aller, R. C., & Gilbert, F.: Coupled anoxic nitrification / manganese reduction in marine sediments. Geochim.
 215 Cosmochim. Ac., 63(1), 49–66, 1999.

Karolewski, J. S., Sutherland, K. M., Hansel, C. M., & Wankel, S. D.: An isotopic study of abiotic nitrite oxidation by ligandbound manganese (III). Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 293, 365–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2020.11.004, 2021.

Lenstra, W. K., Egger, M., Helmond, N. A. G. M. Van, Kritzberg, E., Conley, D. J. & Slomp, C.P.: Large variations in iron input to an oligotrophic Baltic Sea estuary : impact on sedimentary phosphorus burial. Biogeosciences, 6979–6996, 2018.

220 Lenstra, W. K., Klomp, R., Molema, F., Behrends, T., & Slomp, C. P.: A sequential extraction procedure for particulate manganese and its application to coastal marine sediments. Chem. Geol., 584(June), 120538. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2021.120538, 2021.

Meysman, F. J. R., Boudreau, B. P., & Middelburg, J. J.: Modeling reactive transport in sediments subject to bioturbation and compaction. Geochim. Ac., 69(14), 3601–3617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.01.004, 2005.

225 <u>Moodley, L., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M. J., Soetaert, K., & de Lange, G. J.: Oxygenation and organic-matter preservation in marine sediments : Direct experimental evidence from ancient organic carbon – rich deposits. Geology, 11, 889–892. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G21731.1, 2005.</u></u>

Reed, D. C., Gustafsson, B. G., & Slomp, C. P.: Shelf-to-basin iron shuttling enhances vivianite formation in deep Baltic Sea sediments. Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 434, 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.11.033, 2016.

230 <u>Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., & de Lange, G. J.: A quantitative reconstruction of organic matter and nutrient diagenesis in</u> <u>Mediterranean Sea sediments over the Holocene. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 75(19), 5540–5558.</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.07.002, 2011.</u>

235

255

260

Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., & Gustafsson, B. G.: Sedimentary phosphorus dynamics and the evolution of bottom-water hypoxia: <u>A coupled benthic-pelagic model of a coastal system. Limnol. Oceanogr., 56(3), 1075–1092.</u> https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.3.1075, 2011.

Rickard, D.: Kinetics of pyrite formation by the H2S oxidation of iron (II) monosulfide in aqueous solutions between 25 and 125 ° C : The rate equation. Geochim. Ac., 61(1), 115–134, 1997.

Rooze, J., Egger, M., Tsandev, I., & Slomp, C. P.: Iron-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane in coastal surface sediments: Potential controls and impact. Limnol. Oceanogr., 61(1), S267–S282. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10275, 2016.

- 240 Soetaert, K., & Meysman, F.: Environmental Modelling & Software Reactive transport in aquatic ecosystems : Rapid model prototyping in the open source software R. Environ. Model. Softw., 32, 49–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.08.011, 2012.
 - Solórzano, L.: Determination of Ammonia in Natural Waters by the Phenolhypochlorite Method. Limnol. Oceanogr., 14(September), 799–801. https://doi.org/10.4319/10.1969.14.5.0799, 1968.
- 245 <u>Thamdrup, B., & Dalsgaard, T.: The fate of ammonium in anoxic manganese oxide-rich marine sediment. Geochim.</u> <u>Cosmochim. Ac., 64(24), 4157–4164, 2000.</u>

Van Cappellen, P., & Wang, Y.: Cycling of iron and manganese in surface sediments: a general theory for the coupled transport and reaction of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, iron and manganese. Am J Sci, 296(March), 197–243, 1996.

 Żygadłowska, O. M., Venetz, J., Klomp, R., Lenstra, W. K., Van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Röckmann, T., Wallenius, A. J.,
 Dalcin Martins, P., Veraart, A. J., Jetten, M. S. M., & Slomp, C. P.: Pathways of methane removal in the sediment and water column of a seasonally anoxic eutrophic marine basin. Front Mar. Sci., January, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1085728, 2023.

Żygadłowska, O. M., van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Lenstra, W. K., Klomp, R., Accou, R., Puyk, R., Dickson, A. J., Jetten, M. S. M., & Slomp, C. P.: Seasonal euxinia in a coastal basin: Impact on sedimentary molybdenum enrichments and isotope signatures. Chem. Geol., 670(September), 122297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2024.122297, 2024a.

Żygadłowska, O. M., Venetz, J., Lenstra, W. K., Van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Klomp, R., Röckmann, T., & Slomp, C. P.: <u>Ebullition drives high methane emissions from a eutrophic coastal basin. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 384, 1–13.</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2024.08.028, 2024b.</u>

Berg, P., Rysgaard, S., & Thamdrup, B. O. (2003). Dynamic Modeling of Early Diagenesis and Nutrient Cycling. A Case Study in an Arctic Marine Sediment. *American Journal of Science*, *303*, 905–955.

Boudreau, B. P. (1997). Diagenetic models and their implementation : modelling transport and reactions in aquatic sediments

Modelling Transport and Reactions in Aquatic Sediments. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-

642-60421-8

- Boudreau, P. (1996). A Method of Lines Code For Carbon and Nutrient Diagenesis in Aquatic Sediments. *Computers* & 265 *Geoscience*, 22(5), 479–496.
 - Burdige, D. J., Berelson, W. M., Coale, K. H., McManus, J., & Johnson, K. S. (1999). Fluxes of dissolved organic carbon from California continental margin sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 63(10), 1507–1515.
 - Burdige, D. J., Kline, S. W., & Chen, W. (2004). Fluorescent dissolved organic matter in marine sediment pore waters. *Marine Chemistry*, 89, 289–311. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.02.015
- 270 Egger, M., Kraal, P., Jilbert, T., Sulu gambari, F., Sapart, C. J., & Röckmann, T. (2016a). Anaerobic oxidation of methane alters sediment records of sulfur, iron and phosphorus in the Black Sea. *Biogeosciences*, 5333-5355. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-5333-2016
 - Egger, M., Lenstra, W., Jong, D., Meysman, F. J. R., Sapart, C. J., Van Der Veen, C., Röckmann, T., Gonzalez, S., & Slomp, C. P. (2016b). Rapid sediment accumulation results in high methane effluxes from coastal sediments. *PLoS ONE*, *11*(8), <u>1–22. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0161609</u>
 - Froelich, P. N., Klinkhammer, G. P., Bender, M. L., Luedtke, N. A., Heath, G. R., Cullen, D., Dauphin, P., Hammond, D., & Hartman, B. (1979). Early oxidation of organic matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern equatorial Atlantic : suboxic diagenesis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 43, 1075–1090.
- Lenstra, W. K., Egger, M., Helmond, N. A. G. M. Van, Kritzberg, E., & Conley, D. J. (2018). Large variations in iron input to
 an oligotrophic Baltic Sea estuary : impact on sedimentary phosphorus burial. *Biogeosciences*, 6979–6996.
 - Meysman, F. J. R., Boudreau, B. P., & Middelburg, J. J. (2005). Modeling reactive transport in sediments subject to bioturbation and compaction. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 69(14), 3601-3617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2005.01.004
- Moodley, L., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M. J., Soetaert, K., & de Lange, G. J. (2005). Oxygenation and organic matter
 preservation in marine sediments : Direct experimental evidence from ancient organic carbon rich deposits. *Geology*, 11, 889–892. https://doi.org/10.1130/G21731.1
 - Reed, D. C., Gustafsson, B. G., & Slomp, C. P. (2016). Shelf to basin iron shuttling enhances vivianite formation in deep Baltic Sea sediments. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 434, 241–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2015.11.033
 - Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., & de Lange, G. J. (2011a). A quantitative reconstruction of organic matter and nutrient diagenesis
- 290 in Mediterranean Sea sediments over the Holocene. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 75(19), 5540-5558. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.07.002
 - Reed, D. C., Slomp, C. P., & Gustafsson, B. G. (2011b). Sedimentary phosphorus dynamics and the evolution of bottom water hypoxia: A coupled benthic pelagic model of a coastal system. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 56(3), 1075–1092. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2011.56.3.1075
- 295 Rickard, D. (1997). Kinetics of pyrite formation by the H2S oxidation of iron (II) monosulfide in aqueous solutions between 25 and 125 ° C : The rate equation. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 61(1), 115–134.

Rooze, J., Egger, M., Tsandev, I., & Slomp, C. P. (2016). Iron-dependent anaerobic oxidation of methane in coastal surface sediments: Potential controls and impact. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 61(1), S267–S282. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10275

300 Soetaert, K., & Meysman, F. (2012). Environmental Modelling & Software Reactive transport in aquatic ecosystems : Rapid model_prototyping_in_the_open_source_software_R. Environmental_Modelling_and_Software, 32, 49-60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.08.011

Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T., & Meysman, F. (2010). marelac : Tools for Aquatic Sciences.

Van Cappellen, P., & Wang, Y. (1996). Cycling of iron and manganese in surface sediments: a general theory for the coupled

- 305 transport and reaction of carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, sulfur, iron and manganese. *American Journal of Science*, 296(March), 197–243.
 - Żygadłowska, O. M., Venetz, J., Klomp, R., Lenstra, W. K., Van Helmond, N. A. G. M., Röckmann, T., Wallenius, A. J., Dalcin Martins, P., Veraart, A. J., Jetten, M. S. M., & Slomp, C. P. (2023). Pathways of methane removal in the sediment and water column of a seasonally anoxic eutrophic marine basin. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, *January*, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2023.1085728
- 310