Dear Associate Editor,

on behalf of all the authors, I would like to thank you for the constructive comments and criticism received on our manuscript entitled ‘Individual Flood Risk Adaptation in Germany: Exploring the Role of Different Types of Flooding‘. We believe that in the current revision we have addressed the comments raised and that in doing so our revised manuscript is now more suitable for publication in Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences.

No.	Comment	Answer
1	The abstract was too lengthy. Only the most important findings should be included.	We have shortened the abstract with a focus on the key findings.
2	The research gaps should be further elaborated.	Thank you for pointing this out. In the revised version we are now going into the research gap in more detail: Page 2, line 53f: added text: . “There has not yet been a study focusing on adaptive behaviour and its drivers in the context of different types of flooding to identify and analyse factors influencing the motivation to adapt.” Page 4, line 100ff: added text: “While past research has analysed factors that influence adaptive behaviour solely in the context of one specific type of flooding ((Bubeck et al., 2020; Dillenardt et al., 2022; Grothmann & Reusswig, 2006) there is a lack of research about how those influencing factors are differing among flood types. “
3	Try to avoid the descriptions of "we did...", and change it to passive voice.	We have converted all formulations with “we” into an impersonal formulation.
4	Change the caption of Figure 2, as the cross-referencing should be avoided. A figure or table should be able to explain itself.	The caption of Figure 2 reads: “Figure 2: Simplified illustration of the survey designs A - D used to contact Flood-affected households in Germany” and does not contain any cross-referencing. The numbering A-D refers to the

		numbering shown in the figure itself. This illustration is therefore self-standing.
5	All the abbreviations should be explained at their first shown-up places, such as "SPAA", "ANOVA", ... Some abbreviations that occurred only once should be deleted.	We are unsure what you mean by the abbreviation SPAA as it does not appear in the paper. We suspect that you might mean SPSS instead. The fact that this is the name of the IBM software package used is already explained in line 169, page 7.We have now also added the written-out form of the name in line 170f, page 7. The abbreviation ANOVA was explained in line 173, page 7. All other abbreviations have been checked: They have already been explained when they were first used in the text.
6	There are many grammars, the writing should be significantly improved.	We have proofread the text.