





Authors' response to the review:

Technical correction:

1. *The authors are required to provide formulas involving the formation of NO₃⁻ on dust in the model in the methodology section.*

The number of species and equilibrium reactions to be solved by ISORROPIA-lite is determined by the relative abundance of each aerosol precursor and the ambient relative humidity and temperature. The major species potentially present are determined from the abundance of cations (NH₄⁺, Ca²⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Na⁺) with respect to the SO₄²⁻. Depending on these sulfate ratios, the relative humidity and the temperature, ISORROPIA-lite solves the appropriate set of equilibrium equations by taking into account mass conservation, electroneutrality, water activity equations and precalculated activity coefficients for specific ionic pairs (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007; Kakavas et al., 2022). ISORROPIA-lite always assumes metastable aerosols and therefore, nitrate can form salts with the mineral dust nonvolatile cations that are completely deliquesced as follows:



More information on equilibrium reactions and equilibrium constants as well as the corresponding thermodynamic equilibrium calculations can be found in Fountoukis and Nenes (2007). The above information has been added in Section 2.2

Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for K⁺-Ca²⁺-Mg²⁺-NH₄⁺-Na⁺-SO₄²⁻-NO₃⁻-Cl⁻-H₂O aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4639–4659, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007>, 2007.

Kakavas, S., Pandis, S. N., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA-Lite: A Comprehensive Atmospheric Aerosol Thermodynamics Module for Earth System Models, Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology, 74(1), 1-23, <https://doi.org/10.16993/tellusb.33>, 2022.

Authors' response to comments made by anonymous reviewer #1:

Summary

This manuscript presents a modeling effort to quantify the impact of mineral dust on radiative forcing effect of nitrate aerosol. Nitrate was believed to be a cooling aerosol although great uncertainties remain within the estimated radiative forcing. As the authors mentioned, nitrate is probably going to play a more important role due to the decrease in sulfate. In addition, mineral dust was known to affect the formation of nitrate aerosol through thermodynamic equilibrium and heterogeneous reactions. I strongly agree that a thorough investigation of the interaction between dust and nitrate and the associated radiative forcing effect will help to improve the understanding of climate change. Therefore, this study focused on an important and interesting topic and applied a proper modeling method. In general, the manuscript is well written with a clear description of the objective and well-organized discussions of the results. However, there are a few major issues regarding the modeling method which requires more details to help better justify and support the results and conclusion of this study. I would recommend a major revision to address these issues before a final decision could be made regarding the acceptance of the submission. Please find the major and minor comments below.

We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her thoughtful review and positive response. Below is a point-by-point response (in black) to the major and minor comments (in blue).

Major Comments

- 1. A main issue is that it seems this study didn't consider dust heterogeneous chemistry which may promote conversion from NO_x to nitrate on the surface of dust particles. The "physicochemical interactions of mineral dust particles with gas and aerosol tracers" in this study refers to the thermodynamic equilibrium between gas-phase HNO₃ and particle phase nitrate if I understand the manuscript correctly. I am not quite sure which one of these two process, heterogeneous chemistry or thermodynamic equilibrium, plays a major role in dominating the production of nitrate in the presence of dust as there is no such demonstration in this work. Similarly, dust heterogeneous chemistry also promotes conversion from gas phase SO₂ to sulfate which may further affect the thermodynamic equilibrium of HNO₃. Therefore, as the topic focused on "impact of dust on the global nitrate", I would recommend the authors to include a brief discussion to explain that omitting dust heterogeneous chemistry may or may not affect the conclusion of this study.*

In addition to nitrate production on dust particles by thermodynamic equilibrium between gas-phase HNO₃ and particulate nitrate, this study also considers production via heterogeneous chemistry by hydrolysis of N₂O₅. It has been shown that this chemical formation pathway is the most dominant for heterogeneous nitrate production (Seisel et al., 2005; Tang et al., 2012), while others such as NO₂ oxidation do not show such high yields, although they are also important during dust pollution events over polluted regions (Li et al., 2024). The same is true for heterogeneous SO₂ oxidation and therefore it would not significantly affect the thermodynamic equilibrium of HNO₃ under most conditions. Consideration of sulphate production by heterogeneous chemistry

could theoretically result in reduced amounts of particulate nitrate in some cases due to acidification of dust particles, which inhibits partitioning of HNO₃ to the aerosol phase (Nenes et al., 2020). More information on the heterogeneous nitrate production considered in this study has been added to the relevant part of Section 1 in the revised version. In addition, a disclaimer regarding the omission of full consideration of heterogeneous chemistry and its potential impact on our results has been added to Section 2.2 in the revised version.

- 2. The second main issue with the study is a lack of discussion about uncertainties of the RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} estimations. Radiative forcing effect is a complex index calculated based on a series of model simulated variables, and it may subsequently inherit the associated uncertainties. For example, how were the model performances for simulating dust emission and size distribution, nitrate concentration, aerosol vertical distributions? These variables will significantly affect the estimations of RE_{ari} and RE_{aci}, and a clear demonstration of modeling biases for these variables will help audiences to better understand the radiative effects quantified by the modeling system. Especially, many climate models represent formation of nitrate in a very simplified manner. Therefore, I am very interested to see how well the model used in this study can simulate mass concentration of nitrates as evaluated against observations.*

We acknowledge the lack of information on the ability of the model to accurately simulate the amounts of nitrate and dust aerosol in the atmosphere and agree with the reviewer that such an addition would indeed help readers to better assess the credibility of the study's conclusions. EMAC is routinely evaluated against ground-based, aircraft, and satellite observations of aerosol concentrations and composition, aerosol optical depth, acid deposition, gas-phase mixing ratios, cloud properties, and meteorological parameters (Tsimpidi et al., 2016, 2017; Karydis et al., 2016; Karydis et al., 2017; Bacer et al., 2018; Pozzer et al., 2022). Here, we have included in the supplementary material the zonal profiles for coarse and fine nitrate aerosols and for the mineral ions present in dust particles. We have also included a comparison of model results for surface concentrations of PM_{2.5} nitrate aerosols with observations from measurement networks in the most active regions of the polluted northern hemisphere (EANET, EMEP, EPA & IMPROVE).

Minor Comments

- 1. Table 1 talks about details of simulation configuration, so it might be better to move it to section 2.*

Yes, this is true, as it essentially summarizes the last paragraph of Section 2.1. It has therefore been moved to the end of that section in the revised manuscript.

- 2. It's necessary to briefly describe the difference in ionic composition between Karydis et al. (2016) and global homogeneous setting.*

A detailed description of the ionic composition of both cases, namely Karydis et al. (2016) and Sposito (1989), is mentioned at the end of Section 2.1, along with the details of the other sensitivity

simulations performed. In the revised version, we have also added footnotes to Table 1 to make this information more visible to the reader.

3. *Better use math symbol instead of letter for “x”.*

This has been corrected in the revised version, where the grid resolution is entered as a mathematical equation instead of plain text.

4. *These “mineral ions” are treated as individual particles or as supplements of dust particle?*

These mineral ions are treated as individual species that are part of the aerosol in each size mode and are assumed to be well mixed with the rest of the aerosol species considered (i.e., dust, black carbon, organics, inorganic ions). In total, EMAC considers 7 particles described by lognormal size modes (four hydrophilic and three hydrophobic). The aerosol composition within each mode is uniform in size (internally mixed) but can vary between modes (externally mixed). This information has been added to the revised manuscript.

5. *Does “chemically inert” mean the gas-phase HNO₃ adsorbed onto the surface of dust particle will not partition into nitrate through equilibrium with NVCs?*

In the base case simulation, nitrate is formed by the rapid uptake of HNO₃ by dust particles due to simple acid–base interactions with the NVCs. In the “chemically inert” case, these interactions do not occur because there are no NVCs in the dust composition and therefore HNO₃ remains in the gas phase. This information is now included in the revised version.

6. *Do you mean 94% of emitted dust mass is treated as “bulk dust” in the model? The particle size distributions of dust and other ions are the same?*

Yes, exactly. In this sensitivity, 94 % of the emitted dust mass is treated as a bulk species in the model. The size distribution of the emitted dust mass remains the same as before, only the ionic composition is different. This clarification has been added in the revised version.

7. *Please include the function here to help illustrate the partition process and how it is represented in the model.*

The equation describing the diffusion gas flux on a single particle surface, which is essentially the amount of gas that can kinetically condense on it within a time step, as described in Vignati et al. (2004), has been added to the text at the beginning of Section 2.2 in the revised version.

8. *Freshly emitted species are usually NO_x instead of HNO₃.*

This is of course the case. It was not our intention to suggest that HNO₃ is a primary pollutant rather than a transported one, as stated in the figure caption. A reference to ‘freshly emitted nitric acid’ has been corrected to ‘freshly formed nitric acid’. We understand that the small dark/green arrows could possibly give the impression of a direct emission to the atmosphere, but our aim was

to imply only transport (they are also shown above the surface), as fresh emissions are represented by the thicker arrows coming from the 3 different emission categories at the surface.

9. *Please specify how exactly nitrate formation was turned off. Was gas phase HNO₃ still condense but no nitrate was produced, or HNO₃ is the end product of nitrous oxide?*

For these sensitivity simulations we have assumed that no HNO₃ is produced from NO₂ oxidation and N₂O₅ hydrolysis. Therefore, in the model simulations with nitrate formation completely switched off, there is no HNO₃ in the atmosphere to condense in the aerosol via equilibrium partitioning and form nitrate. A clarification has been added at the beginning of Section 2.3.1 in the revised version.

10. *If HNO₃ was forced to condense only on fine mode, will this lead to a lower level of sulfate formation since the model need to keep the equilibrium? Will there be any non-linear response of other aerosols such as sulfate by tuning off condensation? If we set up a 4th simulation by forcing HNO₃ to condense only on coarse mode, can we estimate the radiative effect as: $F_{fineNO_3,ari} = F_{1,ari} - F_{4,ari}$?*

The amount of nitric acid that would otherwise condense on the coarse mode remains in the gas phase. Since sulfuric acid has an extremely low vapor pressure, it will partition completely into the aerosol phase anyway. So, such a change in HNO₃ partitioning cannot lead to a non-linear response of sulfate aerosols. Finally, yes, theoretically, the direct radiative effect of fine nitrates can be calculated in a setup like the one proposed here, since it is a similar methodology to the one used in this study to calculate the coarse mode radiative effect. However, by allowing HNO₃ to condense only on the coarse mode, the results are more prone to errors due to kinetic limitations on the coarse particles, since the HNO₃ left in the gas phase by the missing condensation in the fine mode will be available to condense on the coarse particles, leading to an overestimation of nitrate.

11. *As there was no aerosol-cloud interaction, does it mean the simplest cloud scheme apply a prescribed aerosol configuration? Can it properly reproduce cloud over the study period?*

The cloud scheme used for all instances of the direct radiative effect calculations is a statistical cloud cover scheme using prognostic equations for the different water phases and distribution moments as described in Tompkins (2002) and also Roeckner et al. (2006). The bulk microphysics of the scheme follows the methodology of Lohmann and Roeckner (1996), where the cloud droplet number concentration (CDNC) is empirically related to the sulfate aerosol mass and more specifically its monthly mean values derived from the sulfur cycle in ECHAM. The detailed equations for the marine and continental CDNC can be found in Lohmann and Roeckner (1996), and more detailed information on the aerosol sulfate mass can be found in Boucher and Lohmann (1995) as well as Feichter et al., (1996). This information has now been added to the revised version. This particular scheme has indeed been proved to be able to accurately simulate cloud cover, although this particular aspect is not of major importance for the calculation of aerosol-radiation interactions.

12. Model configuration for cloud scheme is a little confusing here, line#313 mentions FN,ari is calculated using method in sec2.3.1 which applied the simplest cloud scheme as mentioned in line#294, but it seems in order to estimate aci, another set of cloud scheme was used.

As explained in Section 2.3.2, in order to calculate the indirect effect, we first estimate the feedback radiative effect of nitrates using two additional simulations for each sensitivity case, using the more advanced cloud scheme (described in Section 2.3.2). Indeed, the feedback and direct radiative effects were calculated with different cloud schemes, because for the latter it was necessary to eliminate any climatological influences, but for the former it was essential to include them. However, since the feedback effect could be considered as an estimate that includes both the direct and indirect effects, in order to isolate the indirect effect, we had to subtract the direct effect, as correctly calculated with the three initial simulations (Section 2.3.1).

13. A table showing REari reported in these references would be helpful to better demonstrate the comparison.

This is an excellent suggestion. A table comparing the estimates of the REari for total nitrate aerosols between this study and those referred to at the beginning of Section 3.1 has been added to the supplementary material of the manuscript, and the reader is referred to it in the revised version.

14. Please explain why there is a strong warming dot over Sahara in Fig.2(v).

The presence of this localized warming over the Sahara in the SW part of the spectrum is related to the interactions of nitrate aerosols with dust particles, in combination with the region itself. Because the underlying desert surface is so bright, its absorption is less than that of the particles above it at these wavelengths, which means that the surface of the desert can scatter radiation more effectively than the particles. This is amplified by particle growth there, as seen by the increase in the coarse mode wet radius over the Sahara in the presence of nitrates, which also means an increase in the absorption cross section of the particles. This leads to positive forcing in parts of the region and weak negative forcing in other parts. The explanation in the text has been changed in the revised version to better describe this interplay to the reader.

15. It's a very interesting point that nitrate REari seems insensitive to dust load but Table 2 suggested that interaction between nitrate and dust has a significant impact on coarse mode aerosols' LW and SW forcing. It's better to include more detailed discussions in this paragraph to explain why "nitrate-dust interactions are not linearly correlated", and why "a given increase or decrease in dust emissions does not lead to an analogous change in nitrate aerosol level".

In fact, the behavior of the nitrate REari is not insensitive to dust loading, as changes in this also led to altered estimates of the LW & SW forcing (Table 2). However, the changes are indeed more pronounced when considering the inclusion of dust chemistry. It is a good idea to include some more discussion of why this non-linear behavior exists, so that the reader has a broader understanding of the interactions between dust and nitrates. Therefore, an additional paragraph has been added at the end of Section 3.2 in the revised manuscript to cover this aspect. In short, the

amount of HNO₃ present over dust aerosol surface is mainly the limiting factor for nitrate production (due to adsorption on dust particles) than the amount of dust itself. Furthermore, in cases where more dust is present in the atmosphere, its increased removal rates by wet deposition and/or coagulation led to non-analogous increases in nitrate production.

16. What is the “advanced cloud scheme” ?

The advanced cloud scheme refers to the one used in the two additional simulations from which the nitrate feedback radiative effect was estimated and is described at the end of Section 2.3.2. This clarification has been added in the revised version. More specifically, it is the scheme of Lohmann and Ferrachat (2010), which uses prognostic equations for the water phases and bulk cloud microphysics. It also uses the empirical cloud cover scheme of Sundqvist et al. (1989). In addition, it uses the CDNC activation scheme of Morales and Nenes (2014) for aerosol activation, which includes the adsorption activation of mineral dust as described in Karydis et al. (2017). Finally, the scheme of Barahona and Nenes (2009) is used for the ICNC activation, which calculates the ice crystal size distribution through heterogeneous and homogeneous freezing and ice crystal growth as described in Bacer et al. (2018).

References

- Bacer, S., Sullivan, S. C., Karydis, V. A., Barahona, D., Kramer, M., Nenes, A., Tost, H., Tsimpidi, A. P., Lelieveld, J., and Pozzer, A.: Implementation of a comprehensive ice crystal formation parameterization for cirrus and mixed-phase clouds in the EMAC model (based on MESSy 2.53), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(10), <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-4021-2018>, 2018.
- Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Parameterizing the competition between homogeneous and heterogeneous freezing in cirrus cloud formation - monodisperse ice nuclei, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9(16), 369-381, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5933-2009>, 2009.
- Boucher, O., & Lohmann, U.: The sulfate-CCN-cloud albedo effect. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 47(3), 281-300, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v47i3.16048>, 1995.
- Feichter, J., Kjellström, E., Rodhe, H., Dentener, F., Lelieveld, J., & Roelofs, G. J.: Simulation of the tropospheric sulfur cycle in a global climate model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 30(10-11), 1693-1707, [https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310\(95\)00394-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00394-0), 1996.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pozzer, A., Astitha, M., and Lelieveld, J.: Effects of mineral dust on global atmospheric nitrate concentrations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(3), 1491-1509, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1491-2016>, 2016.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Bacer, S., Pozzer, A., Nenes, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Global impact of mineral dust on cloud droplet number concentration, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(9), 5601-5621, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5601-2017>, 2017.
- Li, X., Yu, Z., Yue, M., Liu, Y., Huang, K., Chi, X., ... & Wang, M.: Impact of mineral dust photocatalytic heterogeneous chemistry on the formation of the sulfate and nitrate: A modelling study over East Asia. *Atmospheric Environment*, 316, 120166, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120166>, 2024.
- Lohmann, U. and Ferrachat, S.: Impact of parametric uncertainties on the present-day climate and on the anthropogenic aerosol effect, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(23), <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11373-2010>, 2010.

- Morales Betancourt, R. and Nenes, A.: Understanding the contributions of aerosol properties and parameterization discrepancies to droplet number variability in a global climate model, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(9), 4809-4826, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4809-2014> , 2014.
- Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Weber, R. J., and Russell, A.: Aerosol pH and liquid water content determine when particulate matter is sensitive to ammonia and nitrate availability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 3249–3258, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3249-2020> , 2020.
- Pozzer, A., Reifenberg, S. F., Kumar, V., Franco, B., Kohl, M., Taraborrelli, D., Gromov, S., Ehrhart, S., Jöckel, P., Sander, R., Fall, V., Rosanka, S., Karydis, V., Akritidis, D., Emmerichs, T., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Kaiser, J. W., Clarisse, L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Tost, H., and Tsimpidi, A.: Simulation of organics in the atmosphere: evaluation of EMACv2.54 with the Mainz Organic Mechanism (MOM) coupled to the ORACLE (v1.0) submodel, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 2673–2710, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-2673-2022> , 2022.
- Seisel, S., Börensén, C., Vogt, R., & Zellner, R.: Kinetics and mechanism of the uptake of N₂O₅ on mineral dust at 298 K. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5(12), 3423-3432, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-3423-2005> , 2005.
- Sposito, G.: *The Chemistry of Soils*, Oxford University Press, New York, ISBN 9780190630881, 1989.
- Sundqvist, H., Berge, E., and Kristjánsson, J. E.: Condensation and Cloud Parameterization Studies with a Mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction Model, *Monthly Weather Review*, 117(8), 1641-1657, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1989\)117%3C1641:CACPSW%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1989)117%3C1641:CACPSW%3E2.0.CO;2) , 1989.
- Tang, M. J., Thieser, J., Schuster, G., & Crowley, J. N.: Kinetics and mechanism of the heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ with mineral dust particles. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 14(24), 8551-8561, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CP40805H>, 2012.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: Global combustion sources of organic aerosols: model comparison with 84 AMS factor-analysis data sets, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 8939–8962, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-8939-2016> , 2016.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: Global-scale combustion sources of organic aerosols: sensitivity to formation and removal mechanisms, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 7345–7364, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7345-2017> , 2017.
- Vignati, E., Wilson, J., and Stier, P.: M7: An efficient size-resolved aerosol microphysics module for large-scale aerosol transport models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D22), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004485> , 2004.

Authors' response to comments made by anonymous reviewer #2:

Summary

The manuscript titled “Impact of mineral dust on the global nitrate aerosol direct and indirect radiative effect” by Milousis et al. investigated the radiative effects of nitrate on dust by using a climate model, including the aerosol-radiation interactions and aerosol-cloud interactions. Nitrate chemistry on dust is implemented in the EMAC model, simulations were conducted based on the base case and several sensitivity simulations. In general, the logic of the study is explicit and the organization of the manuscript structure is clear. However, the study lacks of necessary evaluation of the simulation results and thus the results could be subject to high uncertainties.

We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her thoughtful review and positive response. Below is a point-by-point response (in black) to his/her comments (in blue).

Major Comments

17. There is no comparison between model results and observations, e.g. mass concentrations of nitrate, dust (PM10), aerosol number concentrations. There are plenty of observational datasets or literature values available. The lacking of constraints from observational data will reduce the credibility of model simulations.

We agree with the reviewer that including comparisons with observational data to demonstrate the ability of the model to provide realistic estimates of both aerosol concentrations and cloud droplet numbers will help to increase the credibility of the study's findings. For this reason, we have now included in the supplementary material a comparison of the model results for surface mass concentrations of PM₁₀ aerosols with observations from measurement networks in the polluted Northern Hemisphere (EANET, EMEP & IMPROVE). In addition, we have also included a comparison between the CDNCs simulated by the model and those measured in a variety of regions across the world (continental, polluted and clean marine) over different time periods and altitudes, as found in Karydis et al., (2017) and all relevant references therein. The reader is made aware of this content at the end of Section 2.1 in the revised version.

18. Figure 2 & Line 371 – 377: In Figure 2v and 2vi, the TOA SW RE_{ari} of coarse nitrate is much stronger than that of fine nitrate, it seems unreasonable as fine nitrate dominates the total nitrate, especially in East Asia.

This is an excellent point, which helped us discover an error in the simulation where HNO₃ should only condense on the fine mode (i.e., the simulations where the coarse mode was excluded). The error came from the thermodynamic calculations, where only negligible amounts of HNO₃ actually condensed on the fine mode, resulting in unrealistically low fine nitrate concentrations and thus such weak estimates of the radiative effect. This error affected the contribution of the fine and coarse modes to the direct radiative estimate of total nitrate (namely F_{TN,ari} & F_{cN,ari}) and not the estimate of the total nitrate aerosol itself (F_{N,ari}) in Section 2.3.1. This is because only the

quantity $F_{3,ari}$ (calculated taking into account all aerosol components except coarse NO_3^-) was incorrectly calculated. Therefore, this error was not transferred to the calculation of the indirect radiative estimate, since only the quantity $F_{N,ari}$ was used for this (Section 2.3.2). We have now corrected this error by ensuring that for simulations where the coarse mode is removed from the aerosol load, the thermodynamic calculations for HNO_3 condensation are performed correctly and the condensed HNO_3 is only transferred to the fine mode. For this reason, we have performed 5 new simulations (1 for each sensitivity case) where these conditions apply. As a result, Figure 2 and Table 2 have been updated with the correct results for the fine and coarse mode estimates for the direct radiative effect. In addition, Sections 3.1 and 3.2 have been thoroughly revised to incorporate the new results.

19. Figure 4: the kappa values of fine aerosol over the continents are mostly lower than 0.04 (iii), which are incorrect. Even considering the mixing between dust and anthropogenic emissions, the hygroscopicity of aerosols couldn't be so weak.

While the kappa values of the fine aerosol population appear to be low, particularly over the dust belt zone, this is largely due to the higher proportion of insoluble fine aerosols present there. This is also observed over other regions with similarly low fine aerosol hygroscopicity (South Africa, South America and Western U.S). Furthermore, the estimates of aerosol kappa values at 940 hPa are broadly in agreement with the findings of Pringle et al., (2010). We have included the model estimates for the global insoluble fractions of the fine and coarse aerosol populations in the revised supplementary material. The reader is referred to this in the relevant part of Section 5.1 in the revised manuscript.

20. Line 195 – 197: Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} constituted 100% of bulk dust? How are the anions treated?

No, this is not the case. The composition of the emitted mineral dust consists of a bulk component, which accounts for 94% of the emitted flux, and the remaining 6% represents the mass fractions of the mineral cations. No anions are considered to be explicitly emitted as part of the emitted dust flux.

21. Line 222 – 223: How is the delinquencies of salts treated in the model under different relative humidity?

In our model, the deliquescence of salts under different relative humidities is treated according to the Mutual Deliquescence Relative Humidity (MDRH) approach of Wexler and Seinfeld (1991), as described in Fountoukis and Nenes (2007). More specifically, each individual salt has a certain threshold, the DRH, above which its phase transition from solid to liquid occurs. However, in the presence of a multicomponent mixture, it is the MDRH that determines the humidity value above which all salts in the mixture are considered to be saturated. The MDRH is below the DRH of all the pure solids in the mixture. As the RH over a wet particle decreases, the aerosol may not crystallize below the MDRH but instead remain in a state where it consists of an aqueous solution that is supersaturated with dissolved salts. This state is called metastable and is the state considered in our study by the ISORROPIA-lite thermodynamic model (Kakavas et al., 2022; Milousis et al.,

2024). This information has been added in the revised version of the manuscript in Section 2.2 right after the deliquescence chemical reactions.

22. Section 4: Why the radiative effects from Aerosol-Cloud Interactions are not separated for fine and coarse nitrate?

This is a valid question. As explained at the beginning of Section 2.3.2 on the REaci calculation methodology, we estimate it in this way because it is essential to include feedbacks from different climatological conditions. In particular, since climatology plays a crucial role in aerosol-cloud interactions, the simulation of a "fine-only NO_3^- atmosphere", as done for the REaci calculations, would produce a climatological scenario that would lead to inaccurate estimates of the feedback radiative effect of nitrate aerosol. This is because coarse-mode NO_3^- is strongly associated with cations in mineral dust particles (Karydis et al., 2016), making them quite effective as CCN (Karydis et al., 2017). Separating of the direct radiative effect between fine and coarse nitrate is a simpler task that provides realistic results because of two conditions. First, not only are the aerosol-cloud interactions switched off (by not considering any specific parameterization for aerosol activation besides the cloud cover prognostic equations, as described at the end of Section 2.3.1), but also any potential uncertainties due to different climatological conditions are eliminated in this case, as explained in Section 2.3.1.

References

- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for K^+ – Ca^{2+} – Mg^{2+} – NH_4^+ – Na^+ – SO_4^{2-} – NO_3^- – Cl^- – H_2O aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4639–4659, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007>, 2007.
- Kakavas, S., Pandis, S. N., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA-Lite: A Comprehensive Atmospheric Aerosol Thermodynamics Module for Earth System Models, *Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 74(1), 1-23, <https://doi.org/10.16993/tellusb.33>, 2022.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pozzer, A., Astitha, M., and Lelieveld, J.: Effects of mineral dust on global atmospheric nitrate concentrations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(3), 1491-1509, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1491-2016>, 2016.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Bacer, S., Pozzer, A., Nenes, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Global impact of mineral dust on cloud droplet number concentration, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(9), 5601-5621, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5601-2017>, 2017.
- Milousis, A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Tost, H., Pandis, S. N., Nenes, A., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Karydis, V. A.: Implementation of the ISORROPIA-lite aerosol thermodynamics model into the EMAC chemistry climate model (based on MESSy v2.55): implications for aerosol composition and acidity, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 17(3), 1111-1131, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-1111-2024>, 2024.
- Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Pöschl, U., and Lelieveld, J.: Global distribution of the effective aerosol hygroscopicity parameter for CCN activation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5241–5255, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5241-2010>, 2010.
- Wexler, A. S., & Seinfeld, J. H.: Second-generation inorganic aerosol model. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 25(12), 2731-2748, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\(91\)90203-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90203-J), 1991.

Impact of mineral dust on the global nitrate aerosol direct and indirect radiative effect

Alexandros Milousis¹, Klaus Klingmüller², Alexandra P. Tsimpidi¹, Jasper F. Kok³, Maria Kanakidou^{4,5,6}, Athanasios Nenes^{5,7}, and Vlassis A. Karydis¹

¹Institute of Climate and Energy Systems: Troposphere (ICE-3) for Energy and Climate Research, IEK-8 Troposphere, Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany

²Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

³Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA.

⁴Environmental Chemical Processes Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Crete, Heraklion, Greece

⁵Center for the Study of Air Quality and Climate Change, Foundation for Research & Technology Hellas, Patras, Greece

⁶Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

⁷Laboratory of Atmospheric Processes and Their Impacts, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland

Correspondence to: Vlassis A. Karydis (v.karydis@fz-juelich.de)

Abstract

Nitrate (NO_3^-) aerosol is projected to increase dramatically in the coming decades and may become the dominant inorganic particle species. This is due to the continued strong decrease in SO_2 emissions, which is not accompanied by a corresponding decrease in NO_x and especially NH_3 emissions. Thus, the radiative effect (RE) of NO_3^- aerosol may become more important than that of SO_4^{2-} aerosol in the future. The physicochemical interactions of mineral dust particles with gas and aerosol tracers play an important role in influencing the overall RE of dust and non-dust aerosols but can be a major source of uncertainty due to their lack of representation in many global climate models. Therefore, this study investigates how and to what extent dust affects the current global NO_3^- aerosol radiative effect through both radiation (RE_{rad}) and cloud interactions (RE_{aci}) at the top of the atmosphere (TOA). For this purpose, multi-year simulations nudged towards the observed atmospheric circulation were performed with the global atmospheric chemistry and climate model EMAC, while the thermodynamics of the interactions between inorganic aerosols and mineral dust were simulated with the thermodynamic equilibrium model ISORROPIA-lite. The emission flux of the mineral cations Na^+ , Ca^{2+} , K^+ and Mg^{2+} is calculated as a fraction of the total aeolian dust emission based on the unique chemical composition of the major deserts worldwide. Our results reveal positive and negative shortwave and longwave radiative effects in different regions of the world via aerosol-radiation interactions and cloud adjustments. Overall, the NO_3^- aerosol direct effect contributes a global cooling of -0.11 W/m^2 , driven by coarse-mode particle cooling at short wavelengths. Regarding the indirect effect, it is noteworthy that NO_3^- aerosol exerts a global mean warming of $+0.17 \text{ W/m}^2$. While the presence of NO_3^- aerosol enhances the ability of mineral dust particles to act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), it simultaneously inhibits the formation of cloud droplets from the smaller anthropogenic particles. This is due to the coagulation of fine anthropogenic CCN particles with the larger nitrate-coated mineral dust particles, which leads to a reduction in total aerosol number concentration. This mechanism results in an overall reduced cloud albedo effect and is thus attributed as warming.

Keywords: direct radiative effect, indirect radiative effect, nitrate aerosols, mineral dust

1. Introduction

Atmospheric aerosols are among the most complex components of the Earth's climate system. This is due not only to the diversity of their origins, with many natural and anthropogenic emission sources, but also to their extremely varied chemical composition and properties. The many mechanisms by which they interact with each other and with physical entities such as radiation, clouds, land, and oceans add to their complexity and play a critical role in the energy balance of the planet (Arias et al., 2021). The most direct way in which aerosols affect the Earth's energy balance is through their interactions with solar shortwave (SW) and terrestrial longwave (LW) radiation (IPCC, 2013). Overall, the radiative effect due to aerosol-radiation interactions (RE_{ari}) is mainly dominated by the scattering of SW radiation back to space (negative radiative effect, generating a cooling of the climate system) and the absorption of LW radiation (positive radiative effect, generating a warming of the climate system) (Gao et al., 2018; Tsigaridis and Kanakidou, 2018). Aerosols belonging to the black and/or brown carbon family, together with mineral dust particles, contribute to absorption (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2019), while the main inorganic aerosol components, such as sulfate and nitrate, as well as a significant amount of organic carbon contribute mainly to scattering (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; (Bond and Bergstrom, 2006; Klingmüller et al., 2019; Zhang, 2020). However, mineral dust can also influence the behavior of the RE_{ari} of anthropogenic pollution. Dust particles alter the anthropogenic radiative effect of aerosol-radiation interactions by reducing the loading of anthropogenic aerosols (either by coagulating with them or by adsorption of their precursor inorganic trace gases), leading to less scattering of solar radiation and thus a warming effect (Kok et al., 2023).

Atmospheric aerosols can also indirectly affect the Earth's energy balance by forming clouds, controlling cloud optical thickness and scattering properties, and altering their precipitation and lifetime (IPCC, 2013). Atmospheric aerosols act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), providing a suitable surface for water vapor to condense, leading to the formation of liquid droplets that develop into a corresponding liquid cloud (Lance et al., 2004). Such clouds are referred to as warm clouds and are typically found in the lower troposphere (Khain and Pinsky, 2018). However, there is constant competition between small and large particles for the available amount of water vapor (Barahona et al., 2010; Morales and Nenes, 2014). Under the same humidity conditions, the presence of small particles will lead to the formation of small droplets with high number concentrations, while the presence of larger particles will lead to the formation of large droplets but with lower number concentrations. Depending on the size characteristics of its particle population, a warm cloud will exhibit different optical properties, with a population dominated by smaller particles generally being more reactive in the SW spectrum. The change in cloud reflectivity due to the presence of aerosols is referred to as the first radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions (RE_{aci}) and was first described by Twomey (1977). The small size of anthropogenic aerosols results in an overall smaller cloud droplet size, which reduces precipitation efficiency and thus increases cloud lifetime. This contributes to cloud reflectivity and is referred to as the second radiative effect of aerosol cloud-interactions, first described by Albrecht (1989). These two indirect effects are considered equally important for the total indirect radiative effect of aerosols (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005). Atmospheric aerosols exert a net cooling effect that can

partially mask the warming effect of greenhouse gases, therefore, the recent decline in anthropogenic aerosol concentrations may accelerate global warming (Urdiales-Flores et al., 2023). Overall, the radiative effect due to aerosol-cloud interactions is considered the main source of existing uncertainty in the effective (total) radiative effect of aerosols in the atmosphere (Myhre et al., 2014; Seinfeld et al., 2016).

Mineral dust influences the anthropogenic radiative effect through aerosol-cloud interactions in several ways that can result in either a net warming or net cooling effect. Dust particles can increase the [of cloud droplet number concentrations \(CDNC\)](#) in remote areas since through chemical aging by pollutants (Nenes et al., 2014; Karydis et al., 2017), dust particles become more hygroscopic and require lower supersaturation thresholds for activation (Karydis et al., 2011). This is caused by the transfer of anthropogenic pollutants towards remote desert regions which enhances the solubility of dust particles. In such regions, this mostly results in increased cloud albedo and a net cooling effect. However, dust particles also tend to reduce the availability of smaller anthropogenic CCN. This is due to intrusions of aged dust particles into polluted environments which reduce the numbers of smaller aerosols through increased coagulation with them. This results in lower cloud reflectivity (albedo) and thus a net warming effect (Klingmüller et al., 2020). Furthermore, when dust is above or below low-level clouds, the resulting effect of local heating is an increase in total cloud cover due to enhanced temperature inversion or enhanced upward vertical motion, respectively (Kok et al., 2023). On the other hand, when dust is present inside low-level clouds, local heating enhances in-cloud evaporation, resulting in an overall decrease in cloud cover. Kok et al. (2023) showed that the amount of desert dust in the atmosphere has increased since the mid-19th century, causing an overall cooling effect on the Earth that masks up to 8% of the warming caused by greenhouse gases. If the increase in dust were halted, the previously hidden additional warming potential of greenhouse gases could lead to slightly faster climate warming.

NO_3^- is expected to dominate the global aerosol composition in the coming decades due to the predicted limited availability of SO_4^{2-} following the abrupt decline in SO_2 emissions, which will not necessarily be accompanied by proportional reductions in NO_x and NH_3 emissions (Bellouin et al., 2011; Hauglustaine et al., 2014). Excess NO_3^- is expected to exert a cooling RE_{ari} by scattering SW radiation (Bauer et al., 2007a; Xu and Penner, 2012; Myhre et al., 2013; IPCC, 2013; Li et al., 2015), but the RE_{aci} is much more complex and complicated and can lead to both cooling and warming. Mineral dust thus becomes a key factor, as it is one of the main promoters of NO_3^- aerosol formation, providing a very suitable surface for gaseous HNO_3 condensation to the aerosol phase (Karydis et al., 2011; Trump et al., 2015). [This affectsIn addition to \$\text{HNO}_3\$ adsorption, heterogeneous reactions on the surface of dust particles are known to promote nitrate formation \(Krueger et al.,2004; Hodzic et al.,2006\). The most important pathway through which this occurs is \$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5\$ hydrolysis with a yield for aerosol nitrate of ~2 \(Seisel et al.,2005; Tang et al.,2012\). At the same time, other reactions, such as \$\text{NO}_2\$ oxidation, contribute to much slower nitrate production and are of major importance mainly during short periods of dust pollution events \(Li et al., 2024\). These processes affect](#) not only the optical properties of dust aerosols, which will influence their overall RE_{ari} , but also how they can alter cloud formation and microphysics. NO_3^- aerosols increase the hygroscopicity of mineral dust (Kelly et al., 2007) by providing layers of soluble material on their surface, thus increasing their ability to act as CCN (Karydis et al., 2017).

In doing so, they also increase the size of dust particles through hygroscopic growth and therefore their coagulation efficiency. Thus, nitrate-dust interactions are a complex mechanism that ultimately affects climatology in a variety of ways. The role of mineral dust in modifying the influence of NO_3^- aerosols in the global RE_{aci} is not yet well understood. This study aims to focus on the extent of the RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} of NO_3^- aerosols and on how interactions with mineral dust regulate both on a global scale.

This study is organized as follows: in Section 2, details of the modeling setup for conducting the global simulations as well as the treatment of dust-nitrate interactions in the model are discussed and the methodology for calculating the global RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} of NO_3^- aerosols is explained. Section 3 presents the main results for the global RE_{ari} for coarse and fine NO_3^- aerosols for the base case simulation and the sensitivity cases listed in Table 1. Section 4 presents the results for the global RE_{aci} of total NO_3^- aerosols, while section 5 includes the feedback mechanism of dust-nitrate interactions with cloud microphysics. Finally, the main conclusions and a general discussion on the scope of the study are presented in section 6.

Table 1: Differences between base case and sensitivity simulations performed.

Simulation Name	Conditions Applied
Base Case	Mineral dust ion composition according to Karydis et al. (2016)
Sensitivity 1: Chemically Inert Dust	Mineral dust emitted exclusively as a chemically inert bulk particle
Sensitivity 2: Homogeneous Ion Composition	Global homogeneous ionic composition of mineral dust particles according to Sposito (1989)
Sensitivity 3: Half Dust Scenario	50% reduced dust emission flux.
Sensitivity 4: Increased Dust Scenario	50% increased dust emission flux.

2. Methodology

2.1 Model Setup

The simulations were performed with the global atmospheric chemistry and climate model EMAC (ECHAM/MESSy) (Jockel et al., 2006), which includes several submodels describing atmospheric processes and their interactions with oceans, land, and human influences. These submodels are linked through the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) (Jockel et al., 2005) to a base model, the 5th Generation European Center Hamburg General Circulation Model (ECHAM) (Roeckner et al., 2006). The submodel system used in this work includes the MECCA submodel, which performs the gas phase chemistry calculations (Sander et al., 2019). The SCAV submodel is responsible for the in-cloud liquid-phase chemistry and wet deposition processes (Tost et al., 2006; Tost et al., 2007b), while DRYDEP and SEDI are used to compute the dry deposition of gases and aerosols and gravitational settling, respectively (Kerkweg et al., 2006). All aerosol microphysical processes are calculated by the GMXe submodel (Pringle et al., 2010a; Pringle et al., 2010b), where aerosols are divided into 4 lognormal size modes (nucleation, Aitken, accumulation and coarse). Each mode is defined in terms of aerosol number concentration, number mean dry radius, and geometric standard deviation (sigma). The mean dry radius for each mode is allowed to vary within fixed bounds (0.5 nm – 6 nm for nucleation, 6 nm - 60 nm for Aitken, 60 nm - 700 nm for accumulation, and above 700 for coarse) and the sigma is fixed and equal to 1.59

for the first three size modes and 2 for the coarse mode. The coagulation of aerosols is also handled by GMXe, following Vignati et al. (2004) and the coagulation coefficients for Brownian motion are calculated according to Fuchs and Davies (1964). The partitioning between the gas and aerosol phases is calculated using the ISORROPIA-lite thermodynamic module (Kakavas et al., 2022) as implemented in EMAC by Milousis et al. (2024). The optical properties of the aerosols and the radiative transfer calculations are simulated by the submodels AEROPT (Dietmuller et al., 2016) and RAD (Dietmuller et al., 2016), respectively. AEROPT can be called several times within a model time step with different settings for the aerosol properties. More details are given in section 2.3.1. All cloud properties and microphysical processes are simulated by the CLOUD submodel (Roeckner et al., 2006) using the two-moment microphysical scheme of Lohmann and Ferrachat (2010) for liquid and ice clouds. The activation processes of liquid cloud droplets and ice crystals follow the physical treatment of Morales and Nenes (2014) and Barahona and Nenes (2009), respectively, as described by Karydis et al. (2017) and Bacer et al. (2018). More details are given in Section 2.3.2.

The meteorology for each of the simulations was nudged by ERA5 reanalysis data (C3S, 2017), thus this study estimates the radiative effect of nitrate aerosols with respect to RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} separately, rather than the effective (total) radiative effect, as this would require multiple free-run simulations with prescribed sea surface temperatures for each case separately. The spectral resolution used for each simulation was T63L31, which corresponds to a grid resolution of $1.875^\circ \times 1.875^\circ \times 1.875^\circ$ and 31 vertical layers up to 25 km in height. The period covered by the simulations is from 2007 to 2018, with the first year representing the model spin-up period.

Anthropogenic aerosol and trace gas emissions were taken from the CMIP6 database (O'Neill et al., 2016) according to the SSP370 scenario. Natural NH_3 emissions (from land and ocean) were based on the GEIA database (Bouwman et al., 1997), and natural volcanic SO_2 emissions were taken from the AEROCOM database (Dentener et al., 2006). Biogenic NO emissions from soils were calculated online according to the algorithm of Yienger and Levy (1995), while lightning-produced NO_x was also calculated online by the LNOx submodel (Tost et al., 2007a) using the parameterization of Grewe et al. (2001). DMS emissions from the oceans are calculated online by the AIRSEA submodel (Pozzer et al., 2006). Sea salt emissions are based on the AEROCOM database (Dentener et al., 2006) following the chemical composition reported by Seinfeld and Pandis (2016), i.e. 30.6% Na^+ , 3.7% Mg^+ , 1.2% Ca^{2+} , 1.1% K^+ , and 55% Cl^- . Dust emissions are calculated online using the parameterization of Astitha et al. (2012). In this scheme, while the surface friction velocity is the most important parameter for the amount of the emitted dust flux, the meteorological information for each grid cell is also taken into account. Dust particles are emitted in the accumulation and coarse size modes of the insoluble fraction, but can be transferred to the soluble fraction after either coagulation with other soluble species and/or by condensation of soluble material on their surface. Both processes are treated and calculated by GMXe and ISORROPIA-lite. The emissions of mineral ions (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , K^+ , and Na^+) are estimated as a fraction of the total dust emission flux based on the soil chemical composition of each grid cell. This is done using desert soil composition maps from Klingmüller et al. (2018) which are based on the mineral ion fractions from Karydis et al. (2016). [These mineral ions are treated as individual species that are part of the aerosol in each size mode and are assumed to be well mixed with the rest of the aerosol species considered \(i.e., dust, black carbon, organics, inorganic ions\). The](#)

[aerosol composition within each of the seven modes considered is uniform in size \(internally mixed\), but may vary between modes \(externally mixed\).](#)

To assess the impact of changes in mineral dust chemistry and emissions on the global NO_3^- aerosol RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} , four additional sensitivity simulations were performed (Table 1). In the first sensitivity simulation, mineral dust is described only by a bulk, chemically inert species. [In this case, there is no uptake of \$\text{HNO}_3\$ by the dust particles due to acid-base interactions with the non-volatile cations \(NVCs\), and so it remains in the gas phase.](#) In the second sensitivity case, the chemical composition of the mineral dust was assumed to be spatially uniform, with a percentage distribution for bulk dust, Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} particles assumed to be 94%, 1.2%, 1.5%, 2.4% and 0.9% respectively according to Sposito (1989). Finally, two additional simulations were performed to assess the impact of the global mineral dust budget on the results, where the dust emission fluxes were first halved and then increased by 50% to account for the historical increase in global dust mass load since pre-industrial times, as reconstructed by Kok et al. (2023). [The particle size distribution of the emitted dust mass remained unchanged in all sensitivity simulations.](#)

[Overall, the EMAC model is well established in the literature for its ability to accurately predict organic and inorganic aerosol concentrations and compositions, aerosol optical depth, acid deposition, gas-phase mixing ratios, cloud properties, and meteorological parameters \(de Meij et al., 2012; Pozzer et al., 2012, 2022; Tsimpidi et al., 2016, 2017; Karydis et al., 2016, 2017; Bacer et al., 2018; Milousis et al., 2024\), factually replicate dust emissions \(Astitha et al., 2012; Abdelkader et al., 2015; Klingmüller et al., 2018\), and provide realistic estimates for CCN and CDNC \(Chang et al., 2017; Karydis et al., 2017; Fanourgakis et al., 2019\). Here, a comparison of the performance of the model in estimating the surface mass concentrations of \$\text{PM}_{2.5}\$ \$\text{NO}_3^-\$ and total \$\text{PM}_{10}\$ aerosols is provided in the supplemental material \(Figures S2, S3 and Tables S1, S2\). In addition, the ability of the model to estimate CDNCs is evaluated \(Figure S4 and Table S3\). The comparison is made with observations of \$\text{PM}_{2.5}\$ nitrate aerosols from regional networks in the polluted northern hemisphere covering the regions of East Asia \(EANET, The Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia\), Europe \(EMEP, European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme\) and the USA for urban \(EPA-CASTNET, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Clean Air Status and Trends Network\) and rural \(IMPROVE, Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments\) locations. The comparison with observations of surface mass \$\text{PM}_{10}\$ aerosols also covers the above mentioned monitoring networks, with the exception of the EPA. Finally, the CDNCs estimated by the base case simulation are compared with the CDNCs observed in different regions of the planet \(continental, polluted and clean marine\) over different time periods, but also altitudes, as found in Karydis et al., \(2017\) and all relevant references therein.](#)

Table 1: Differences between the base case and all sensitivity simulations performed.

Simulation Name	Conditions Applied
Base Case	Mineral dust ion composition according to Karydis et al. (2016)¹
Sensitivity 1: Chemically Inert Dust	Mineral dust emitted exclusively as a chemically inert bulk particle
Sensitivity 2: Homogeneous Ion Composition	Global homogeneous ionic composition of mineral dust particles according to Sposito (1989)²
Sensitivity 3: Half Dust Scenario	50% reduced dust emission flux
Sensitivity 4: Increased Dust Scenario	50% increased dust emission flux

2.2 Treatment of Dust-Nitrate Interactions

The interactions between mineral dust and nitrate aerosols play a crucial role in altering the size distribution and optical properties of both species and can also strongly influence cloud microphysical processes (Fig. 1). Therefore, these interactions affect both the RE_{ari} and the RE_{aci} of both nitrate and dust aerosols. First, the adsorption of HNO_3 onto the surface of dust particles is a process that strongly promotes the formation of nitrate aerosols on dust (Karydis et al., 2016). We treat this condensation process using the GMXe submodel. Specifically, the amount of gas phase species that kinetically condenses within a model time step (equal to 10 minutes in this study) is calculated according to the diffusion-limited condensation theory of Vignati et al. (2004). [The diffusive flux of gas on a single particle surface for each size mode \$i\$ is described by the](#)

¹ [The ionic composition of the dust particles with respect to the mineral ions \$Ca^{2+}\$, \$Mg^{2+}\$, \$K^+\$, and \$Na^+\$ depends on the chemical composition of the soil in each grid cell, which is estimated from the desert soil composition maps of Klingmüller et al. \(2018\) based on the fraction of mineral ions present found in Karydis et al. \(2016\).](#)





² [The ionic composition of the dust particles is homogeneous and held constant in all grid cells where dust is present. The dust particles are a mixture of bulk species and the mineral ions \$Na^+\$, \$K^+\$, \$Ca^{2+}\$ and \$Mg^{2+}\$ with mass fraction of 94%, 1.2%, 1.5%, 2.4% and 0.9% respectively.](#)

condensation coefficient C_i according to Fuchs and Davies (1964) and is estimated from the following function as found in Vignati et al. (2004).

$$C_i = \frac{4\pi D r_{gi}}{\frac{4D}{svr_{gi}} + \frac{r_{gi}}{r_{gi} + \Delta}}$$

Where r_{gi} is the geometric mean radius of the size mode i , D is the diffusion coefficient, s is an accommodation coefficient for each gas species treated and has the assigned values of 1 for H_2SO_4 (Vignati et al. 2004), 0.1 for HNO_3 , 0.064 for HCl and 0.09 for NH_3 (Pringle et al., 2010a; Pringle et al., 2010b). v is the mean thermal velocity of the molecule and Δ is the mean free path length of the gas molecule (the distance from which the kinetic regime applies with respect to the particle). This information is then passed to the ISORROPIA-lite thermodynamic module to calculate the gas/aerosol partitioning.

Specifically, the module receives as input the ambient temperature and humidity along with the diffusion-limited concentrations of H_2SO_4 , NH_3 , HNO_3 , and HCl , the concentrations of the non-volatile cations (NVCs) Na^+ , K^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , and the concentrations of the ions SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- , NH_4^+ , and Cl^- present in the aerosol phase from the previous time step. The module then calculates the equilibrium reactions of the NO_3^- anion with the NVCs, depending on their abundance with respect to the SO_4^{2-} anion, taking into account mass conservation, electroneutrality, water activity equations and precalculated activity coefficients for specific ionic pairs (Fountoukis et al., and Nenes, 2007; Kakavas et al., 2022). Therefore, in all cases where mineral dust is considered chemically active, all reactions of nitrate aerosols with NVC are treated. The salts that may be formed are assumed to be completely deliquesced as follows:



More information on equilibrium reactions and equilibrium constants as well as the corresponding thermodynamic equilibrium calculations can be found in Fountoukis and Nenes (2007).

Salt deliquescence over a range of relative humidities is treated by the Mutual Deliquescence Relative Humidity (MDRH) approach of Wexler and Seinfeld (1991). In a multicomponent salt mixture, the MDRH determines the humidity value above which all salts are considered to be saturated. In this study, if the wet aerosol is below the MDRH, it does not crystalize and remains in a supersaturated aqueous solution (Kakavas et al., 2022), with all salts completely deliquesced. More information on equilibrium reactions and equilibrium constants as well as the corresponding thermodynamic equilibrium calculations can be found in Fountoukis and Nenes (2007). It should be noted that in this study nitrate production on dust particles does not only occur via the thermodynamic equilibrium between gas-phase HNO_3 and particulate nitrate, but also via heterogeneous chemistry by hydrolysis of N_2O_5 on the dust surface. This chemical formation pathway is the most dominant for heterogeneous nitrate production (Seisel et al., 2005; Tang et al., 2012), while others, such as NO_2 oxidation during dust pollution events over polluted regions

Formatted: Line spacing: Multiple 1.08 li, Border: Top: (No border), Bottom: (No border), Left: (No border), Right: (No border), Between : (No border)

Formatted: Font: Font color: Black

(Li et al., 2024), do not show such high yields under normal conditions. On the other hand, consideration of sulphate production by heterogeneous chemistry on dust would theoretically result in slightly reduced amounts of particulate nitrate in some cases due to acidification of dust particles inhibiting partitioning of HNO_3 to the aerosol phase (Nenes et al., 2020). Overall, full consideration of heterogeneous chemistry on dust could change simulated nitrate aerosol concentrations only slightly and episodically, and therefore changes to radiative effect estimates are not expected to be critical.

The coating of dust particles by nitrate aerosols during gas/aerosol partitioning calculations is an important process that leads to an increase in dust solubility and hygroscopicity (Laskin et al., 2005). Therefore, after these processes have taken place, a large fraction of the originally insoluble dust particles has become soluble (Fig. 1a), which leads to changes in their optical properties, as their increased ability to absorb water makes them more efficient in extinguishing SW radiation and absorbing and emitting LW radiation (Fig. 1a, 1b) (Kok et al., 2023). The transfer to the soluble fraction after coating with soluble material is handled by the GMXe submodel, which also provides key aerosol attributes necessary for the calculation of the dust optical properties (see Section 2.3).




Figure 1: Conceptual illustration of how dust-nitrate interactions affect the total NO_3^- (left) RE_{ari} and (right) RE_{aci} . **a.)** In dust-rich environments, nitric acid transported from anthropogenic pollution and biomass burning regions interacts with mineral cations to form a soluble coating on the surface of dust particles. The dominant effect of these interactions is an enhanced LW absorption (warming RE_{ari}) by the coarse dust particles. **b.)** In nitrate-rich environments, the intrusion of dust particles and their subsequent interaction with freshly emitted/formed nitric acid leads to an overall increase in aerosol hygroscopicity and thus a stronger SW reflection (cooling RE_{ari}). **c.)** In dust-rich environments, the number of ice crystals in cirrus clouds is reduced while their size is increased due to the interaction of dust particles with the transported

Formatted: Font: 11 pt, Bold

HNO₃. This results in an optical thinning of the ice clouds, which leads to less trapping of outgoing LW radiation (cooling RE_{aci}). **d.** In nitrate-rich environments, the increased wet radius of aged dust particles leads to enhanced coagulation with smaller particles, resulting in a decrease in the number of smaller aerosols and, in turn, a decrease in the number of activated particles in cloud droplets by smaller aerosols, which ultimately leads to a reduction in the backscattering of SW radiation by warm clouds (warming RE_{ari}).

In general, the changes in the properties of dust particles through their interactions with nitrate aerosols will result in more efficient removal rates, mainly through wet deposition, due to their higher hygroscopicity and increased size (Fan et al., 2004). The reduced number of dust particles that can act as ice nuclei (IN) and their increased size can lead to an optical thinning of cirrus clouds (Fig. 1c) (Kok et al., 2023). Furthermore, the changes induced by dust-nitrate interactions reduce the activation of smaller aerosols in warm clouds (Fig. 1d). In particular, the enhanced hygroscopicity of dust particles will lead to a faster depletion of the available supersaturation, as they act as giant CCN that absorb large amounts of water vapor to activate into cloud droplets (Karydis et al., 2017). In addition, the population of smaller aerosols will also be depleted by increased coagulation with the large dust particles. As a consequence of the different degrees of complexity of the dust-nitrate interactions, it is very important to note that they do not always result in a linear response in terms of how they affect climate through their subsequent interactions with radiation, clouds, or both.

2.3 Radiative Effect Calculation

To calculate the global RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} of NO₃⁻ aerosols, the optical properties from the AEROPT submodel and the radiative transfer calculations from the RAD submodel were used. First, AEROPT provides the aerosol extinction (absorption and scattering) coefficients, the single scattering albedo, and the aerosol asymmetry factor for each grid cell with a vertical distribution analogous to the vertical resolution used. The GMXe submodel is used to provide input of aerosol attributes for the calculation of aerosol optical properties, which is done online using 3D look-up tables. The tables provide information on the real and imaginary parts of the refractive index and the Mie size parameter per size mode (Dietmuller et al., 2016). Then, the radiative scheme of RAD uses the particle number weighted average of the extinction cross section, the single scattering albedo, and the asymmetry factor as input for the radiative transfer calculations. In addition to AEROPT, RAD takes input from the submodels ORBIT (Earth orbital parameters), CLOUDOPT (cloud optical properties) (Dietmuller et al., 2016), and IMPORT (import of external datasets) to calculate the radiative transfer properties for longwave and shortwave radiation fluxes separately. Both the AEROPT and RAD submodels can be invoked multiple times within a model time step, each time with different settings for the aerosol optical properties, allowing radiative transfer estimates for identical climatological conditions. This is of paramount importance for the calculation of the RE_{ari} of aerosols since any effects due to possibly different climatological conditions must be eliminated. Henceforth, all references to RE estimates, as well as net, longwave, and shortwave flux quantities, will refer to the top of the atmosphere (TOA) only.

2.3.1 Radiative Effect from Aerosol-Radiation Interactions (RE_{ari})

To estimate the global RE_{ari} of all aerosols as well as that of total, coarse, and fine NO₃⁻ aerosols, 3 simulations were performed for each sensitivity case in Table 1. In the first simulation all aerosol species are present. In the second simulation NO₃⁻ aerosols are completely removed by turning off their formation by removing the pathway of HNO₃ formation through both NO₂ oxidation and N₂O₅ hydrolysis, leaving no available HNO₃ to condense on the aerosol via equilibrium partitioning and form nitrate. In the third simulation, coarse mode NO₃⁻ aerosols are removed by forcing HNO₃ to condense only on the fine mode (i.e., the sum of the three smaller lognormal size modes: nucleation, Aitken, and accumulation). For each of these three simulations, the radiative transfer routines are called twice for each time step. One call uses the normal aerosol optical properties of the existing population, and the other call uses an aerosol optical depth equal to 0 to emulate an atmosphere without aerosols. Essentially, the global RE_{ari} of each simulation can be calculated by taking the difference between the net fluxes between the two calls. More specifically, the first simulation will yield the RE_{ari} of the total aerosol load (F_{1,ari} hereafter), the second simulation will yield the RE_{ari} of all aerosols except NO₃⁻ (F_{2,ari} below), and the third simulation will yield the RE_{ari} of all aerosols except the coarse mode NO₃⁻ (F_{3,ari} below). Since the above estimates of the radiative effect were computed using the exact same climatology, its effect was effectively eliminated. However, in order to isolate the NO₃⁻ aerosol radiative effect, it is also essential to disable any aerosol-cloud interactions, otherwise the cooling effect would be severely underestimated because cloud scattering would make aerosol scattering less relevant (Ghan et al., 2012). For this purpose, the simplest cloud scheme available in the EMAC model is used, which calculates the cloud microphysics according to Lohmann and Roeckner (1996) and who empirically relate the cloud droplet number concentration to the sulfate aerosol mass (Boucher and Lohmann 1995) and specifically to its monthly mean values as derived from the sulfur cycle of the ECHAM5 circulation model (Feichter et al., 1996). The cloud coverage is estimated according to Tompkins (2002) with the use of prognostic equations for the water phases and the distribution moments. To disable aerosol-cloud interactions, no aerosol activation routines are used to avoid coupling with the activation schemes. Overall, the global RE_{ari} of total, coarse, and fine NO₃⁻ aerosols are obtained as follows:

- $F_{NO3,ari}(F_{N,ari}) = F_{1,ari} - F_{2,ari}$
- $F_{coarseNO3,ari}(F_{cN,ari}) = F_{1,ari} - F_{3,ari}$
- $F_{fineNO3,ari}(F_{fN,ari}) = F_{3,ari} - F_{2,ari}$

2.3.2 Radiative Effect from Aerosol-Cloud Interactions (RE_{aci})

In this work we estimate the effect of total NO₃⁻ aerosols on the calculated global RE_{aci}. Climatology plays a crucial role in aerosol-cloud interactions and simulating a "fine-only NO₃⁻ atmosphere", as done for the RE_{ari} calculations, would produce an unrealistic climatological scenario, since coarse-mode NO₃⁻ is strongly associated with cations in mineral dust particles (Karydis et al., 2016), making them quite effective as CCN (Karydis et al., 2017). Therefore, the RE_{aci} calculations require only 2 additional simulations for each sensitivity case separately: one

with all aerosols present and one with the entire NO_3^- aerosol load removed by turning off their formation, as described in the previous section. The global RE_{aci} is then given by:

- $F_{\text{NO}_3, \text{aci}}(F_{\text{N, aci}}) = FF_{\text{N}} - F_{\text{N, ari}}$

where FF_{N} is the total NO_3^- aerosol feedback radiative effect. Since $F_{\text{N, ari}}$ is calculated using the methodology described in Section 2.3.1, it is only necessary to estimate FF_{N} . This is equal to the difference in net fluxes between the two additional simulations. There is no need to emulate an aerosol-free atmosphere here since any differences induced by different climatologies must be included. The two simulations performed for the calculation of RE_{aci} use the cloud formation scheme as described in Lohmann and Ferrachat (2010), which uses prognostic equations for the water phases and the bulk cloud microphysics. In addition, the empirical cloud cover scheme of Sundqvist et al. (1989) is used. For aerosol activation, the CDNC activation scheme of Morales and Nenes (2014) is used, which includes the adsorption activation of mineral dust as described in Karydis et al. (2017). The effect of dust-nitrate interactions on clouds presented here refers to the lowest level of cloud formation at 940 hPa. For the ICNC activation, the scheme of Barahona and Nenes (2009) is used, which calculates the ice crystal size distribution through heterogeneous and homogeneous freezing as well as ice crystal growth.

3. Radiative Effect from Aerosol-Radiation Interactions (RE_{ari})

3.1 Base Case

The global average RE_{ari} of total NO_3^- aerosols at the top of the atmosphere was found to be -0.11 W/m^2 , which is within the reported range of the estimated present day all-sky direct radiative effect of total NO_3^- aerosols by other studies (Liao et al., 2004; Bauer et al., 2007a; Bauer et al., 2007b; Bellouin et al., 2011; Xu and Penner, 2012; Myhre et al., 2013; IPCC, 2013, 2014) (Table S4). The NO_3^- cooling of the RE_{ari} calculated by EMAC is driven by the scattering of SW radiation (equal to -0.34 W/m^2), which outweighs the warming due to absorption of LW radiation (equal to $+0.23 \text{ W/m}^2$) (Table 2). The RE_{ari} of the total NO_3^- aerosol is dominated by shows a clearly contrasting behavior with respect to the size mode considered (Table 2; Figure 2).

In particular, the coarse particles, as they account for 82% of the calculated SW cooling and all the LW warming (Table 2; Figure 2). They show a net warming effect of $+0.17 \text{ W/m}^2$ (Fig. 2i) and contribute to 96% of the coarse particles LW warming of the total nitrate, while only contributing 15% of the radiative cooling in the SW spectrum (-0.05 W/m^2). The LW warming is strongest over the dust belt zone and especially over the regions of Sahara, the Middle East and the northern face of the Himalayan plateau, while the contribution over other arid regions such as the Atacama, Gobi, Taklimakan and Mojave deserts is significant. These regions are characterized by moderate to high concentrations of coarse NO_3^- aerosols due to the adsorption of HNO_3 on desert soil particles (Karydis et al., 2016; Milousis et al., 2024). Therefore, the warming due to absorption of terrestrial LW radiation by coarse-mode nitrates interacting with mineral dust is the strongest over these areas (see Fig. 1a), reaching up ranging from $+1.5 \text{ W/m}^2$ to $+4.5 \text{ W/m}^2$ (Fig. 2iii). On the other hand, the scattering of solar SW radiation is higher over regions with higher concentrations of total NO_3^- aerosols. Over the USA and Europe, the SW RE_{ari} is -1.5 W/m^2 (Fig. 2v). However, the cooling exerted by coarse nitrate aerosol through the SW RE_{ari} is more profound pronounced

Formatted: Font: Not Superscript/ Subscript

Formatted: Font: Not Superscript/ Subscript

over areas where it interacts strongly with high concentrations of mineral dust particles (see Fig. 1b). Such areas include the Congo Basin, where HNO_3 from tropical forest biomass burning interacts with Saharan mineral dust particles; the Middle East and North Indian regions, where anthropogenic HNO_3 emissions interact with mineral dust particles from the Sahara and Taklimakan deserts, respectively; and the East Asian region, where HNO_3 emissions from Chinese megacities interact with mineral dust particles from the Gobi Desert. These regions can result in lead to an average cooling of up to -43.5 W/m^2 (Fig. 2v).

Interestingly, there is no significant cooling through from SW interactions is not evident over the Sahara Desert, most likely due for the coarse mode. This phenomenon can be attributed to the minimal presence of nitrate aerosols in two factors, the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ size range (not shown). The high concentrations of nitrate aerosols in the $\text{PM}_{2.5-10}$ range over the Sahara contribute first related to LW absorption, but SW scattering is almost exclusively associated with the regions dominated by high concentrations in the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ (and smaller) size range (i.e. East Asia). Moreover, nitrate aerosols over the Sahara are exclusively associated with nitrate-dust interactions and the second related to the characteristics of the region. Specifically, because the underlying desert surface is very bright, its absorption in this part of the spectrum is less than that of the particles above it, which means that the desert surface can scatter radiation more effectively than the particles above it. This is further enhanced by the growth of coarse mode particles there (see Fig. 4x and section 5.1) which increases the absorption cross section of the particles. All this leads to an overall attenuation of the cooling effect over this region and sometimes even to local warming (Fig. 2v), particles which have a relatively high imaginary part of the refractive index, with typical values for SW wavelengths being ~ 0.005 (Di Biagio et al., 2019). As a result, nitrate aerosols over the Sahara exhibit relatively strong absorption in the SW spectrum as well, and the combination of the bright surface of the desert below leads to a weakened cooling effect and even sometimes in localized warming.

In contrast to the radiative effect of coarse NO_3^- particles, the RE_{ari} of fine NO_3^- particles due to interactions with LW radiation is an overall cooling of -0.28 W/m^2 (Fig. 2ii). Fine nitrates have a negligible 4% contribution to the warming in the LW spectrum (Fig. 2iv), while its effect on SW radiation is about an order but account for 85% of magnitude smaller than that induced by the coarse NO_3^- the net cooling of the total nitrate aerosols (Fig. 2vi). The cooling induced by fine NO_3^- aerosols from the scattering of SW radiation is stronger (up to -5 W/m^2) over regions of high anthropogenic activity, namely particularly the East Asian and Indian regions, which are where fine nitrates dominate the total nitrate aerosol load. The regions of West Africa and the Amazon Basin are characterized by high concentrations of fine NO_3^- aerosols, and peaks over eastern China (-0.25 W/m^2). Conversely, a weak warming effect ($+0.15 \text{ W/m}^2$) is calculated over deserts (e.g. Gobi, Sahara), moderate fine nitrate concentrations, and the cooling observed there is enhanced by HNO_3 associated with biomass burning interacting with fresh and aged Saharan dust particles, respectively, which are dominated by accumulation mode sizes in the absence of coarse mode nitrates. Finally, other polluted regions such as North America and Europe also show SW cooling up to -2 W/m^2 .









Figure 2: Global mean TOA net RE_{ari} for (i) coarse and (ii) fine NO_3^- aerosols; longwave RE_{ari} for (iii) coarse and (iv) fine NO_3^- aerosols; shortwave RE_{ari} for (v) coarse and (vi) fine NO_3^- aerosols, as calculated by EMAC from the base case simulation.

Table 2: Net, longwave, and shortwave global mean TOA RE_{ari} of total, coarse, and fine NO_3^- aerosols for the base case and each sensitivity case simulations.

Simulation	Aerosol Component	TOA RE_{ari} (W/m^2)		
		Net	LW	SW
Base Case	Total NO_3^-	- 0.11	+ 0.23	- 0.34
	Coarse NO_3^-	+ 0.0917	+ 0.2322	- 0.3205
	Fine NO_3^-	- 0.0228	- +0.01	- 0.0229
Chemically Inert Dust	Total NO_3^-	- 0.09	+ 0.11	- 0.20
	Coarse NO_3^-	+ 0.07	+ 0.1410	- 0.1803
	Fine NO_3^-	- 0.0216	- + 0.01	- 0.0217
Homogeneous Ion Composition	Total NO_3^-	- 0.09	+ 0.18	- 0.27
	Coarse NO_3^-	+ 0.0813	+ 0.1817	- 0.2604
	Fine NO_3^-	- 0.0422	- + 0.01	- 0.0423
Half Dust Scenario	Total NO_3^-	- 0.08	+ 0.19	- 0.27
	Coarse NO_3^-	+ 0.0615	+ 0.1918	- 0.2503
	Fine NO_3^-	- 0.0223	- + 0.01	- 0.0224
Increased Dust Scenario	Total NO_3^-	- 0.10	+ 0.27	- 0.37
	Coarse NO_3^-	+ 0.0820	+ 0.2726	- 0.3506
	Fine NO_3^-	- 0.0230	- + 0.01	- 0.0231

3.2 Sensitivity of RE_{ari} Estimates

The comparison of the calculated total NO₃⁻ radiative effect due to interactions with net, LW, and SW radiation for the sensitivity cases listed in Table 1 can be found in Table 2, which shows each of the estimates. Consideration of nitrate interactions with mineral dust cations can greatly affect the NO₃⁻ RE_{ari} estimates. Assuming that mineral dust particles are inert, the estimated warming due to LW radiation interactions [for total nitrate aerosols](#) is 52% weaker than in the base case where dust reactivity is considered. Similarly, the cooling effect exerted by [all](#) nitrate aerosols through interactions with SW radiation is estimated to be 41% weaker under the assumption that mineral dust is non-reactive. Both estimates are lower when mineral dust is assumed to be chemically inert, since HNO₃ is no longer effectively adsorbed on dust particles ~~and therefore the RE_{ari} by coarse NO₃⁻ aerosol is significantly weaker compared to the base case where it dominates the total NO₃⁻ effect (see Sect. 3.1).~~ However, since both the estimated warming and cooling are weaker, the effects partially cancel each other out, resulting in a net cooling effect (-0.09 W/m²) that is 18% weaker compared to the base case calculations. Assuming a homogeneous ionic composition for the dust, results in SW cooling and LW warming [for total nitrate aerosols](#) being 21% and 22% lower, respectively, weakening the estimate for the net cooling RE_{ari} by 18% (-0.09 W/m²). The net direct radiative effect of total NO₃⁻ is the same for the cases where dust is assumed to have a homogeneous chemical composition and where it has no chemical identity, indicating the importance of both aspects for the impact of dust-nitrate interactions on the direct radiative effect.

In the Half Dust scenario, the [total nitrate aerosol](#) LW warming estimate is 17% weaker than in the base case, while the [total nitrate aerosol](#) SW estimate is even more so (21%), resulting in a lower net cooling estimate of -0.08 W/m². Finally, the Increased Dust scenario shows the strongest [total nitrate aerosol](#) LW warming effect (17% increase over the base case) due to an increase in coarse mode nitrate. At the same time, the cooling effect [of total nitrate aerosols](#) due to interactions with SW radiation shows a smaller increase of 9%. Thus, accounting for the historical increase in mineral dust emissions results in a net cooling estimate of -0.10 W/m², which is smaller than the base case. Interestingly, the behavior of the global total NO₃⁻ RE_{ari} does not exhibit linearity with respect to the global dust load. This is not surprising since the nitrate-dust interactions themselves are not linearly correlated, and a given increase or decrease in dust emissions does not lead to an analogous change in nitrate aerosol levels. For example, Karydis et al. (2016) have shown that moving from a scenario in which nitrate-dust chemistry is not considered to one in which it is, but with half dust emissions, resulted in a 39% increase in the tropospheric burden of nitrate aerosols. However, moving from a scenario with half to full dust emissions, the corresponding increase was only 9%. In our case, moving from the chemically inert dust scenario to the half dust scenario led to an 18% increase in atmospheric nitrate aerosol burden, while moving from the half dust scenario to the base case led to an additional 8% increase, and finally moving from the base case to the increased dust scenario led to an even smaller increase of 5%.

[There are several reasons for this non-linearity between changes in dust load and nitrate production. Firstly, since the adsorption of HNO₃ onto dust particles is the main driver of nitrate production on dust, over desert areas \(where the change in dust load takes place\) the amount of nitric acid present is the limiting factor for such production, rather than the amount of dust itself.](#)

[Secondly, when more dust is present in the atmosphere, the combination of its increased coating with the higher aerosol numbers, tends to result in its more efficient removal by wet deposition as well as coagulation. This inherently affects nitrate production, which does not increase in proportion to the increase in dust.](#)

4 Radiative Effect from Aerosol-Cloud Interactions (RE_{aci})

4.1 Base Case

The global average RE_{aci} of total NO_3^- aerosols at the top of the atmosphere was found to be $+0.17 \text{ W/m}^2$. In contrast, an estimate of the RE_{aci} of nitrate aerosols by Xu and Penner (2012) showed only a trivial cooling effect for particulate NO_3^- (-0.01 W/m^2). Similar to the RE_{ari} , the net RE_{aci} estimated by EMAC is driven by the effect on the SW part of the spectrum, which causes a warming effect of $+0.27 \text{ W/m}^2$, while the effect on the LW radiation causes an average cooling of -0.10 W/m^2 (Table 3). Overall, the net RE_{aci} of total NO_3^- aerosols is reversed compared to the net RE_{ari} , i.e. RE_{aci} exerts a strong cooling effect over regions where RE_{ari} exerts a warming effect and vice versa (Fig. 3i). The reason for this is that the regions contributing to a cooling RE_{ari} are dominated by smaller sized nitrate aerosols and vice versa. Therefore, the size characteristics of the dominant nitrate aerosol population lead to different effects on the cloud optical properties as discussed in section 1. For example, as the dominance of smaller nitrate aerosols decreases over a particular region, the optical thinning of low-level clouds will have an opposite effect on the RE_{aci} (Fig. 1d). Details of the mechanism by which nitrate-dust interactions affect cloud microphysical processes are discussed in section 5. Over North America and Europe, RE_{aci} causes a warming effect of up to $+3 \text{ W/m}^2$, driven solely by the effect on SW radiation (Fig. 3iii). Over the regions of East Asia and the Amazon and Congo basins, RE_{aci} reaches a maximum of $+5 \text{ W/m}^2$, driven by both the effect on the SW (up to $+4 \text{ W/m}^2$) and LW (up to $+1.5 \text{ W/m}^2$) parts of the radiation spectrum. The cooling effect of RE_{aci} (up to -2 W/m^2) extends mainly between the equatorial line and the Tropic of Cancer, mainly due to the interaction of nitrate aerosols with desert dust particles (e.g. from the Sahara) and their effect on the terrestrial spectrum (LW) (Figs. 1c & 3ii). The cooling effect of dust interactions with anthropogenic particles in the LW spectrum corroborates the findings of Klingmüller et al. (2020) and is attributed to the reduced ice-water path due to the depletion of small aerosols, which in turn leads to less trapped outgoing terrestrial radiation. In addition, Kok et al. (2023) note how the presence of dust particles leads to an optical thinning of cirrus clouds by reducing the number of ice crystals while increasing their size, which also leads to less trapping of outgoing LW radiation and thus a cooling effect (Fig. 1c). On the other hand, the warming effect of dust interactions with anthropogenic particles in the SW spectrum requires further investigation and is therefore discussed in more detail in Section 5.




Figure 3: Global mean TOA RE_{aci} for total NO_3^- aerosols. Estimates for (i) net, (ii) longwave, and (iii) shortwave, as calculated by EMAC from the base case simulation.

Table 3: Net, longwave, and shortwave global mean TOA RE_{aci} of total NO_3^- aerosols for the base case and each sensitivity case simulations.

Simulation	TOA RE_{aci} (W/m^2)		
	Net	LW	SW
Base Case	+ 0.17	- 0.10	+ 0.27
Chemically Inert Dust	+ 0.11	- 0.06	+ 0.17
Homogeneous Ion Composition	+ 0.13	- 0.09	+ 0.22
Half Dust Scenario	+ 0.15	- 0.08	+ 0.23
Increased Dust Scenario	+ 0.14	- 0.11	+ 0.25

4.2 Sensitivity of RE_{aci} Estimates

Table 3 shows the comparison of the net, LW, and SW contributions of total NO_3^- to the RE_{aci} at the top of the atmosphere as calculated by the base case simulation and all sensitivity cases considered. By assuming a chemically inert dust, the calculated net RE_{aci} of nitrate decreases by 35%, resulting in a net warming of +0.11 W/m^2 . As with the RE_{ari} estimate, this sensitivity case produces the largest deviation from the base case among all sensitivity simulations, for both the SW (37% less warming) and LW (40% less cooling) estimates. This is due to the fact that the absence of dust-nitrate interactions does not have such a large impact on the population of both aerosols and activated particles (see also Section 5). The assumption of a homogeneous ionic composition of the mineral dust leads to a weakened LW cooling estimate of 10% and a weakened SW warming estimate of 19% resulting in a net NO_3^- RE_{aci} of +0.13 W/m^2 (24% lower than in the base case).

The reduced dust emissions result in a 15% weaker warming in the SW spectrum and a 20% weaker cooling in the LW spectrum, leading to an overall NO_3^- RE_{aci} of +0.15 W/m^2 (12% weaker than the base case scenario). This is because the reduced loading of nitrate aerosols, especially in the coarse mode, in the half dust scenario results in less absorption of LW radiation (Fig. 1c) (hence less cooling). Similarly, the effect of dust-nitrate interactions on the activation of smaller particles (Fig. 1d) is less drastic and results in a weaker inhibition of SW radiation scattering (hence less warming, see also Section 5). Finally, increased dust emissions in the increased dust scenario show a 10% increase in the LW cooling and an 8% decrease in the SW warming effect, surprisingly resulting in a net warming (+0.14 W/m^2) that is lower than in the half dust scenario. The reason that this scenario results in more LW cooling than the base case is that the increased amount of dust particles leads to even more optical thinning of the ice clouds, and therefore even less trapping of LW radiation (more cooling). However, the reason why the SW warming estimate is lower than the base case is more complicated. First, the transition from the half dust scenario to the base case and then to the increased dust scenario does not lead to an analogous increase in the nitrate aerosol

burden (see Section 3.2). Moreover, since the number of aerosols has increased from the increased dust scenario to the base case, but the relative humidity has remained largely the same, there is more competition for water vapor because it is now distributed over a larger population. As a result, the wet radius increase in the presence of nitrates is not as strong in the increased dust scenario compared to the base case, and the depletion of smaller sized particles is also not as strong (not shown). The implications of the depletion of the aerosol population in the presence of nitrate aerosols on the microphysical processes of warm clouds, and consequently on SW warming, are discussed in the next section.


5 Effect Of NO_3^- Aerosols on Cloud Microphysics







5.1 Maximum Supersaturation, Hygroscopicity and Wet Radius

To further investigate the cause of the positive RE_{aci} induced by the NO_3^- aerosols, their effect on the [characteristics of the aerosol population](#) [characteristics](#) as well as on the cloud microphysics is investigated, with respect to the lowest forming cloud level of 940 hPa. For this purpose, a sensitivity simulation is performed assuming a 'nitrate aerosol free' (NAF) atmosphere, in which the formation of NO_3^- aerosols has been switched off, but an advanced cloud scheme is considered [which is the same as the one described in Section 2.3.2. Essentially the same setup that was used for the estimation of the total nitrate aerosol feedback radiative effect](#). This simulation is used to determine whether the presence of NO_3^- aerosols has a significant effect on the hygroscopicity and size of atmospheric aerosols and ultimately on the maximum supersaturation developed during cloud formation. Over polluted areas affected by transported dust air masses from surrounding arid areas, the presence of NO_3^- aerosols can increase the CCN activity of the large mineral dust particles, resulting in a reduction of the maximum supersaturation and inhibiting the activation of the small anthropogenic particles into cloud droplets (Klingmüller et al., 2020). Results from the NAF sensitivity simulation support this hypothesis over parts of Eastern and Central Asia, where the maximum supersaturation decreases by up to 0.05%. In contrast, the presence of NO_3^- aerosols increases maximum supersaturation by up to 0.2% over North America, Europe, the Middle East, and parts of southern Asia (Fig. 4ii). Therefore, changes in maximum supersaturation caused by the presence of NO_3^- aerosols cannot explain their warming effect through the RE_{aci} .

The presence of NO_3^- has a significant effect on the hygroscopicity of both fine and coarse aerosols and consequently on their wet radius, as shown in Figures 1a,b & 4. This is most evident for coarse desert dust particles, which mix with NO_3^- aerosols from urban and forest regions, increasing their hygroscopicity by an order of magnitude (up to 0.1), especially over the African-Asian dust belt and the Atacama Desert in South America (Fig. 4vi). Aerosol hygroscopicity is similarly increased for the fine mode particles both near arid regions and over the highly industrialized region of Southeast Asia (Fig. 4iv). [The low values of the hygroscopic parameter of the fine aerosol population, especially over the dust belt zone, are largely due to the higher proportion of insoluble fine particles present over these regions \(Figure S5\). This is also observed over other regions with similarly low fine aerosol hygroscopicity \(South Africa, South America and Western U.S\). Nevertheless, the estimates of aerosol kappa values at 940 hPa are broadly consistent with the results of Pringle et al., \(2010c\)](#). On the other hand, the aerosol hygroscopicity for the two size modes is only slightly reduced, by up to 0.06 (or <10%) over the oceans and coasts

of Europe and East Asia, due to interactions of NO_3^- with sea salt particles, reducing their hygroscopicity. The increased ability of both coarse dust aerosols and smaller aerosols to absorb water leads to an increase in their wet radius, but in different parts of the world. For example, fine particle sizes increase by up to $0.04 \mu\text{m}$ (up to 40%) mostly over regions of high anthropogenic activity (North America, Europe, and East Asia) (Fig. 4viii). On the other hand, coarse mode particle sizes are increased by up to $0.1 \mu\text{m}$ (up to 10%) over the forests of central Africa and the African-Asian dust belt zone (Fig. 4x), while showing a similar decrease near the coasts of the polluted northern hemisphere due to the effect of NO_3^- on the hygroscopicity of sea salt.

















Figure 4: (i) Global mean maximum supersaturation, fine aerosol (iii) hygroscopicity and (v) wet radius, and coarse aerosol (vii) hygroscopicity and (ix) wet radius, as calculated by EMAC from the base case simulation at the altitude of 940 hPa. Absolute difference between base case and Nitrate Aerosol Free (NAF) sensitivity simulation in (ii) maximum supersaturation, fine aerosol (iv) hygroscopicity and (vi) wet radius, and coarse aerosol (viii) hygroscopicity and (x) wet radius at the altitude of 940 hPa. Red indicates higher values calculated by the base case simulation in the presence of NO_3^- aerosols.

5.2 Number Concentrations of Aerosol and Activated Particles

Figure 5 shows the effect of NO_3^- on the number concentration of fine and coarse aerosols between the base case and the 'NAF' sensitivity simulation, as well as the total aerosol population. The presence of NO_3^- aerosols decreases the total aerosol number concentration over forests and polluted regions (see also Fig. 1d). This behavior is driven solely by the decrease in smaller particle sizes, as the effect is minimal for the coarser particles (Figs. 5ii & 5iv). The largest decrease is calculated over East and South Asia (up to 1000 cm^{-3} or 10%), while decreases of up to 200 cm^{-3} on average (~10%) are found over Europe, the USA, and Central Africa. This effect is directly related to the increased wet radius of the aerosol population (Fig. 4viii) over these regions and thus to its depositional efficiency. In addition, coarse dust particles become more hygroscopic due to interactions with NO_3^- aerosols that increase in size, resulting in increased coagulation with the smaller anthropogenic particles, which reduces their abundance.

The reduced aerosol number concentration in the presence of NO_3^- can lead to a reduction of particles that are also activated into cloud droplets. Such behavior can be seen in Figure 6, which shows the effect of NO_3^- on the number concentration of activated fine and coarse particles in cloud droplets between the base case and the 'NAF' sensitivity simulation. The reduction in the total number of activated cloud droplets is almost entirely due to the reduction in smaller size particles (Figs. 6ii & 6iv). A reduction in the total number of activated droplets of up to 30 cm^{-3} or 10% is observed over the USA, Amazon, Europe, Central Africa, and parts of the Middle East, while this reduction reaches up to 100 cm^{-3} (10%) over Southeast Asia, where the largest reductions in aerosol numbers are also calculated (Fig. 4ii). In turn, these are the regions where the warming effect of NO_3^- aerosols on the calculated mean RE_{aci} is strongest (Figure 3i). The small increase in activated droplets (~ 10 cm^{-3} or 1%) over Beijing, which concerns the fine mode particles, is most likely because their number concentration decreases with increasing size. The high aerosol number concentration there, which is the global maximum (Figure 5i), results in a hotspot of more readily activated particles in the presence of NO_3^- . On the other hand, the CDNC decreases slightly over the Sahara due to the more efficient deposition capacity of coarse dust particles due to their interactions with nitrate aerosols, which is also reflected in the decrease in aerosol number (Fig. 6iv). Overall, the lower particle number in the presence of NO_3^- aerosols hinders the ability of the smaller anthropogenic particles to activate into cloud droplets, leading to a reduced cloud cover and thus a reduced cloud albedo effect. Therefore, not only less LW radiation is absorbed, but more importantly, less SW radiation is scattered back to space, resulting in an overall warming of the net average RE_{aci} for total NO_3^- aerosols.










Figure 5: Global mean number concentration of (i) fine and (iii) coarse aerosols as calculated by EMAC from the base case simulation at the altitude of 940 hPa. Absolute difference between the base case and the Nitrate Aerosol Free (NAF) sensitivity simulation in the number concentration of (ii) fine and (iv) coarse aerosols at the altitude of 940 hPa. Blue indicates that number concentrations are lower in the presence of NO_3^- aerosols.






Figure 6: Global mean number concentration of activated (i) fine and (iii) coarse aerosols as calculated by EMAC from the base case simulation at the altitude of 940 hPa. Absolute difference between the base case and the Nitrate Aerosol Free (NAF) sensitivity simulation in the number concentration of activated (ii) fine and (iv) coarse aerosols at the altitude of 940 hPa. Blue indicates that number concentrations are lower in the presence of NO_3^- aerosols.

6. Conclusions and Discussion

This study presents the effects of interactions between mineral dust and NO_3^- aerosols on the present-day global TOA radiative effect of the latter. We investigate how the presence of dust affects the radiative effect of NO_3^- aerosols, both through aerosol interactions with radiation and separately with clouds (RE_{ari} and RE_{aci} , respectively). Sensitivity simulations are also performed, varying both the mineral dust composition and its emissions, to assess their effect on the calculated NO_3^- aerosol radiative effect.

It was found that the global average net RE_{ari} of total NO_3^- aerosols is -0.11 W/m^2 , which is mainly due to the cooling from the shortwave part of the radiation spectrum due to scattering,

equal to -0.34 W/m^2 . A warming from the longwave part of the spectrum due to absorption was found to be $+0.23 \text{ W/m}^2$ on global average and was mainly located over regions with high concentrations of coarse NO_3^- aerosols. SW cooling was also observed in these regions, but also over regions of high anthropogenic activity, mainly over the polluted northern hemisphere. The overall sign behavior of the net RE_{ari} for total NO_3^- aerosols was dominated by the behavior of the coarse mode particles, which contributed on average -0.09 W/m^2 . Specifically, the coarse nitrate particles were responsible for 82% of the net estimated warming in the LW part of the spectrum, but 15% of the estimated cooling and 100% of the net warming, while in the SW part of the spectrum. On the other hand, the contribution of the fine mode played a minor role to the LW warming was negligible, but it was the main contributor to the SW cooling, accounting for 85% of the net estimate. The sensitivity experiments revealed that the chemistry of the mineral dust is the most important factor in changing the estimated RE_{ari} of the total NO_3^- aerosols. In particular, LW warming is most affected by this assumption, being 52% weaker after assuming chemically inert dust emissions, while the SW cooling is reduced by 41% compared to the base case simulation, amounting to a net cooling of -0.09 W/m^2 . A globally homogeneous ionic composition for mineral dust had a smaller effect in LW (22% decrease) and SW (21% decrease) but resulted in the same net estimate of -0.09 W/m^2 . Halving the dust emissions resulted in weaker estimates for LW and SW by 17% and 21%, respectively, and the lowest overall net RE_{ari} of -0.08 W/m^2 . On the other hand, a 50% increase in dust emissions increased both LW warming and SW cooling by 17% and 9% respectively, resulting in a net cooling RE_{ari} of -0.10 W/m^2 , indicating the strong non-linear relationship of nitrate-dust interactions and how they affect the radiative effect estimates.

The global average net RE_{aci} of total NO_3^- aerosols was $+0.17 \text{ W/m}^2$ due to the effect on the shortwave portion of the spectrum. This was found to be $+0.27 \text{ W/m}^2$, while the cooling from the longwave part was -0.10 W/m^2 . Spatially, the net RE_{aci} is reversed compared to the net RE_{ari} for total NO_3^- aerosols, where regions responsible for a strong SW cooling of the RE_{ari} contribute to a strong SW warming of the RE_{aci} and vice versa. This is due to the fact that nitrate-dust interactions challenge the dominance of smaller particles over heavily polluted regions, reducing the reflectivity of warm cloud and thus having an opposite effect on the RE_{aci} . The sensitivity experiments again showed that the consideration of the chemistry of the mineral dust chemistry is the most important aspect for the calculation of the RE_{aci} of the total NO_3^- aerosols. When the dust was assumed to be chemically inert, the LW and SW estimates were up to 40% weaker, resulting in a net warming of $+0.11 \text{ W/m}^2$. Assuming a homogeneous ion composition resulted in a smaller weakening of the estimates (up to 18%) and a net warming of $+0.13 \text{ W/m}^2$. When dust emissions were halved, the LW cooling was reduced slightly more than in the base case, resulting in a net warming of $+0.15 \text{ W/m}^2$. The 50% increase in dust emissions had the largest effect on LW behavior (10% increase), but surprisingly the net estimate ($+0.14 \text{ W/m}^2$) was smaller than in the half-dust scenario. The reason for this is that the SW estimate did not increase but decreased by 8% due to the fact that in this scenario the increased nitrate burden causes increased competition for the available supersaturation and the effect of dust-nitrate interactions on the smaller aerosol populations is not as emphasized as in the base case.

The total NO_3^- aerosol RE_{aci} shows a positive sign, which is attributed to a reduced cloud albedo effect. More specifically, although the presence or absence of NO_3^- aerosol in the atmosphere did

not significantly affect the total available maximum supersaturation, it did alter both the hygroscopicity and wet radii of the aerosols. In the presence of NO_3^- , the hygroscopicity of aerosols over deserts was increased by up to an order of magnitude, leading to an increase in their wet radius of up to 10%, with an even larger increase of up to 40% for smaller particles over urban regions. Therefore, in the presence of NO_3^- aerosols, ~~there is an increased~~the depletion of fine particles by coagulation with coarser particles (i.e., mineral dust) ~~that have been~~is enhanced and further ~~increased in~~increases the size of the coarse particles. The reduction in the number of aerosols is ~~as much as up to~~ 10% in some regions, with maximum reductions calculated over Southeast Asia. This reduction in the number of fine aerosols leads to a reduction in the number of cloud droplets activated by fine aerosols (also up to 10%), which would otherwise have absorbed more outgoing longwave radiation and, more importantly, scattered more incoming shortwave radiation. Thus, the reduced cloud albedo effect leads to a cooling in the longwave part of the spectrum, which is offset by a strong warming in the shortwave part, ~~overall resulting in a net~~ warming of the atmosphere.

The chemistry-climate model simulations presented here suggest that NO_3^- aerosol-radiation interactions lead to a net effect of -0.11 W/m^2 (cooling) driven by fine NO_3^- aerosol, while NO_3^- aerosol-cloud interactions lead to a net effect of $+0.17 \text{ W/m}^2$ (warming) driven mainly by coarse mode NO_3^- aerosol.

Code and Data Availability

The usage of MESSy (Modular Earth Submodel System) and access to the source code is licensed to all affiliates of institutions which are members of the MESSy Consortium. Institutions can become a member of the MESSy Consortium by signing the “MESSy Memorandum of Understanding”. More information can be found on the MESSy Consortium website: <http://www.messy-interface.org> (last access: 22 May 2024). The code used in this study has been based on MESSy version 2.55 and is archived with a restricted access DOI (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8379120>, The MESSy Consortium, 2023). The data produced in the study is available from the authors upon request.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the project FORCeS funded from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 821205. JFK was funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF) Directorate for Geosciences grants 1856389 and 2151093. The work described in this paper has received funding from the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association through the project “Advanced Earth System Modelling Capacity (ESM)”. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Earth System Modelling Project (ESM) for funding this work by providing computing time on the ESM partition of the supercomputer JUWELS (Alvarez, 2021) at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC).

Competing Interests

At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics.

Author Contributions

AM and VAK wrote the paper with contributions from KK, APT, JFK, MK, and AN. VAK planned the research with contributions from APT, MK and AN. AM, KK and VAK designed the methodology for the radiative effect calculations. AM performed the simulations and analyzed the results, assisted by VAK and APT. All the authors discussed the results and contributed to the paper.

REFERENCES

- Abdelkader, M., Metzger, S., Mamouri, R. E., Astitha, M., Barrie, L., Levin, Z., and Lelieveld, J.: Dust–air pollution dynamics over the eastern Mediterranean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **15**, 9173–9189, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-9173-2015>, 2015.
- Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, Cloud Microphysics, and Fractional Cloudiness, *Science*, **245**(4923), 1227–1230, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.245.4923.1227>, 1989.
- Alvarez, D.: JUWELS cluster and booster: Exascale pathfinder with modular supercomputing architecture at juelich supercomputing Centre. *Journal of large-scale research facilities JLSRF*, **7**, A183–A183, <https://doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-7-183>, 2021.
- Arias, P.A., N. Bellouin, E. Coppola, R.G. Jones, G. Krinner, J. Marotzke, V. Naik, M.D. Palmer, G.-K. Plattner, J. Rogelj, M. Rojas, J. Sillmann, T. Storelvmo, P.W. Thorne, B. Trewin, K. Achuta Rao, B. Adhikary, R.P. Allan, K. Armour, G. Bala, R. Barimalala, S. Berger, J.G. Canadell, C. Cassou, A. Cherchi, W. Collins, W.D. Collins, S.L. Connors, S. Corti, F. Cruz, F.J. Dentener, C. Deroczynski, A. Di Luca, A. Diongue Niang, F.J. Doblas-Reyes, A. Dosio, H. Douville, F. Engelbrecht, V. Eyring, E. Fischer, P. Forster, B. Fox-Kemper, J.S. Fuglested, J.C. Fyfe, N.P. Gillett, L. Goldfarb, I. Gorodetskaya, J.M. Gutierrez, R. Hamdi, E. Hawkins, H.T. Hewitt, P. Hope, A.S. Islam, C. Jones, D.S. Kaufman, R.E. Kopp, Y. Kosaka, J. Kossin, S. Krakovska, J.-Y. Lee, J. Li, T. Mauritsen, T.K. Maycock, M. Meinshausen, S.-K. Min, P.M.S. Monteiro, T. Ngo-Duc, F. Otto, I. Pinto, A. Pirani, K. Raghavan, R. Ranasinghe, A.C. Ruane, L. Ruiz, J.-B. Sallée, B.H. Samset, S. Sathyendranath, S.I. Seneviratne, A.A. Sörensson, S. Szopa, I. Takayabu, A.-M. Tréguier, B. van den Hurk, R. Vautard, K. von Schuckmann, S. Zaehle, X. Zhang, and K. Zickfeld, 2021: Technical Summary. In *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.002>, 2021.
- Astitha, M., Lelieveld, J., Kader, M. A., Pozzer, A., and de Meij, A.: Parameterization of dust emissions in the global atmospheric chemistry-climate model EMAC: impact of nudging and soil properties, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **12**(22), 11057–11083, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11057-2012>, 2012.
- Bacer, S., Sullivan, S. C., Karydis, V. A., Barahona, D., Kramer, M., Nenes, A., Tost, H., Tsimpidi, A. P., Lelieveld, J., and Pozzer, A.: Implementation of a comprehensive ice crystal formation parameterization for cirrus and mixed-phase clouds in the EMAC model (based on MESSy 2.53), *Geoscientific Model Development*, **11**(10), <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-4021-2018>, 2018.
- Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Parameterizing the competition between homogeneous and heterogeneous freezing in cirrus cloud formation - monodisperse ice nuclei, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **9**(16), 369–381, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5933-2009>, 2009.
- Barahona, D., West, R., Stier, P., Romakkaniemi, S., Kokkola, H., and Nenes, A.: Comprehensively accounting for the effect of giant CCN in cloud activation parameterizations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **10**(5), 2467–2473, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-2467-2010>, 2010.
- Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Unger, N., Metzger, S. M., Shindell, D. T., and Streets, D. G.: Nitrate aerosols today and in 2030: a global simulation including aerosols and tropospheric ozone, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, **7**(19), <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5043-2007>, 2007a.
- Bauer, S. E., Mishchenko, M. I., Laci, A. A., Zhang, S., Perlwitz, J., and Metzger, S. M.: Do sulfate and nitrate coatings on mineral dust have important effects on radiative properties and climate modeling?, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, **112**(D6), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006977>, 2007b.

- Bellouin, N., Rae, J., Jones, A., Johnson, C., Haywood, J., and Boucher, O.: Aerosol forcing in the Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5) simulations by HadGEM2-ES and the role of ammonium nitrate, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 116(D20) , <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016074> , 2011.
- Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: An investigative review, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 40(1), 27-67, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786820500421521> , 2006.
- Boucher, O., & Lohmann, U.: The sulfate-CCN-cloud albedo effect. *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 47(3), 281-300, <https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v47i3.16048>, 1995.
- Bouwman, A. F., Lee, D. S., Asman, W. A. H., Dentener, F. J., VanderHoek, K. W., and Olivier, J. G. J.: A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* , 11(4) , <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GB02266> , 1997.
- Chang, D. Y., Lelieveld, J., Tost, H., Steil, B., Pozzer, A., & Yoon, J.: Aerosol physicochemical effects on CCN activation simulated with the chemistry-climate model EMAC, *Atmospheric Environment*, 162, 127-140, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.03.036>, 2017.
- De Meij, A., Pozzer, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., & Lelieveld, J.: EMAC model evaluation and analysis of atmospheric aerosol properties and distribution with a focus on the Mediterranean region, *Atmospheric research*, 114, 38-69, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.05.014>, 2012.
- Dentener, F., Kinne, S., Bond, T., Boucher, O., Cofala, J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Hoelzemann, J. J., Ito, A., Marelli, L., Penner, J. E., Putaud, J. P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., van der Werf, G. R., and Wilson, J.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and 1750 prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* , 6(12) , 4321-4344, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-4321-2006> , 2006.
- Di Biagio, C., Formenti, P., Balkanski, Y., Caponi, L., Cazaunau, M., Pangui, E., Journet, E., Nowak, S., Andreae, M. O., Kandler, K., Saeed, T., Piketh, S., Seibert, D., Williams, E., and Doussin, J. F.: Complex refractive indices and single scattering albedo of global dust aerosols in the shortwave spectrum and relationship to size and iron content, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(24), 15503–15531, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-15503-2019>, 2019.
- Dietmüller, S., Jockel, P., Tost, H., Kunze, M., Gellhorn, C., Brinkop, S., Fromming, C., Ponater, M., Steil, B., Lauer, A., and Hendricks, J.: A new radiation infrastructure for the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy, based on version 2.51), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 9(6) , 2209-2222, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-2209-2016> , 2016.
- European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP): EBAS database online, <https://projects.nilu.no/ccc/index.html> , last access: 3 September 2024.
- Fan, S.-M., Horowitz, L. W., Levy II, H., and Moxim, W. J.: Impact of air pollution on wet deposition of mineral dust aerosols, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(2), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL018501> , 2004.
- Fanourgakis, G. S., Kanakidou, M., Nenes, A., Bauer, S. E., Bergman, T., Carslaw, K. S., Grini, A., Hamilton, D. S., Johnson, J. S., Karydis, V. A., Kirkevåg, A., Kodros, J. K., Lohmann, U., Luo, G., Makkonen, R., Matsui, H., Neubauer, D., Pierce, J. R., Schmale, J., Stier, P., Tsigaridis, K., van Noije, T., Wang, H., Watson-Parris, D., Westervelt, D. M., Yang, Y., Yoshioka, M., Daskalakis, N., Decesari, S., Gysel-Beer, M., Kalivitis, N., Liu, X., Mahowald, N. M., Myriokefalitakis, S., Schrödner, R., Sfakianaki, M., Tsimpidi, A. P., Wu, M., and Yu, F.: Evaluation of global simulations of aerosol particle and cloud condensation nuclei number, with implications for cloud droplet formation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 8591–8617, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-8591-2019> , 2019.
- Feichter, J., Kjellström, E., Rodhe, H., Dentener, F., Lelieveld, J., & Roelofs, G. J.: Simulation of the tropospheric sulfur cycle in a global climate model. *Atmospheric Environment*, 30(10-11), 1693-1707, [https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310\(95\)00394-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00394-0), 1996.

- Fountoukis, C. and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for K^+ - Ca^{2+} - Mg^{2+} - NH_4^+ - Na^+ - SO_4^{2-} - NO_3^- - Cl^- - H_2O aerosols, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4639–4659, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007>, 2007.
- Fuchs, N. A. and Davies, C. N.: The mechanics of aerosols, Pergamon Press, Oxford, ISBN 9780486660554, 1964.
- Gao, M., Ji, D., Liang, F., and Liu, Y.: Attribution of aerosol direct radiative forcing in China and India to emitting sectors, *Atmospheric Environment*, 190, 35-42, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.07.011>, 2018.
- Ghan, S. J., Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P. J., Yoon, J. H., and Eaton, B.: Toward a Minimal Representation of Aerosols in Climate Models: Comparative Decomposition of Aerosol Direct, Semidirect, and Indirect Radiative Forcing, *Journal of Climate*, 25(19), 6461-6476, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00650.1>, 2012.
- Grewe, V., Brunner, D., Dameris, M., Grenfell, J. L., Hein, R., Shindell, D., and Staehelin, J.: Origin and variability of upper tropospheric nitrogen oxides and ozone at northern mid-latitudes, *Atmospheric Environment*, 35(20), 3421-3433, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(01\)00134-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00134-0), 2001.
- Hauglustaine, D. A., Balkanski, Y., and Schulz, M.: A global model simulation of present and future nitrate aerosols and their direct radiative forcing of climate, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(20), 11031-11063, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11031-2014>, 2014.
- Heald, C. L., Ridley, D. A., Kroll, J. H., Barrett, S. R. H., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Alvarado, M. J., and Holmes, C. D.: Contrasting the direct radiative effect and direct radiative forcing of aerosols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 5513–5527, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-5513-2014>, 2014.
- Hodzic, A., Bessagnet, B., & Vautard, R.: A model evaluation of coarse-mode nitrate heterogeneous formation on dust particles. *Atmospheric Environment*, 40(22), 4158-4171, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.02.015>, 2006.
- Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environment (IM-PROVE): Federal Land Manager Environmental Database, <https://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/improve-data/>, last access: 3 September 2024.
- IPCC, 2013: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jockel, P., Sander, R., Kerkweg, A., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: The Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy) - a new approach towards Earth System Modeling, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5(2), 433-444, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-433-2005>, 2005.
- Jockel, P., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Bruhl, C., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Hoor, P., Kerkweg, A., Lawrence, M. G., Sander, R., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Tanarhte, M., Taraborrelli, D., Van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: The atmospheric chemistry general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy1: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(12), 5067-5104, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-5067-2006>, 2006.
- Kakavas, S., Pandis, S. N., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA-Lite: A Comprehensive Atmospheric Aerosol Thermodynamics Module for Earth System Models, *Tellus Series B-Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 74(1), 1-23, <https://doi.org/10.16993/tellusb.33>, 2022.
- Kanakidou, M., Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N., Barnes, I., Dentener, F. J., Facchini, M. C., Van Dingenen, R., Ervens, B., Nenes, A., Nielsen, C. J., Swietlicki, E., Putaud, J. P., Balkanski, Y., Fuzzi, S., Horth, J., Moortgat, G. K., Winterhalter, R., Myhre, C. E. L., Tsigaridis, K., Vignati, E., Stephanou, E. G., and Wilson, J.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5(4), 1053-1123, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005>, 2005.

- Karydis, V. A., Kumar, P., Barahona, D., Sokolik, I. N., and Nenes, A.: On the effect of dust particles on global cloud condensation nuclei and cloud droplet number, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 116, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016283>, 2011.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pozzer, A., Astitha, M., and Lelieveld, J.: Effects of mineral dust on global atmospheric nitrate concentrations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16(3), 1491-1509, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1491-2016>, 2016.
- Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Bacer, S., Pozzer, A., Nenes, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Global impact of mineral dust on cloud droplet number concentration, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17(9), 5601-5621, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5601-2017>, 2017.
- Kelly, J. T., Chuang, C. C., and Wexler, A. S.: Influence of dust composition on cloud droplet formation, *Atmospheric Environment*, 41(14), 2904-2916, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.12.008>, 2007.
- Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and Jockel, P.: Technical note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDimentation in the modular earth submodel system (MESSy), *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(12), 4617-4632, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-4617-2006>, 2006.
- Khain, A. P. and Pinsky, M.: *Physical Processes in Clouds and Cloud Modeling*, Cambridge University Press, ISBN 9781139049481, 2018.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakov, T., and Hobbs, P. V.: Evidence that the spectral dependence of light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D21), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004999>, 2004.
- Klingmüller, K., Metzger, S., Abdelkader, M., Karydis, V. A., Stenichkov, G. L., Pozzer, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Revised mineral dust emissions in the atmospheric chemistry-climate model EMAC (MESSy 2.52 DU_Astitha1 KKDU2017 patch), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 11(3), 989-1008, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-989-2018>, 2018.
- Klingmüller, K., Lelieveld, J., Karydis, V. A., and Stenichkov, G. L.: Direct radiative effect of dust–pollution interactions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(11), 7397-7408, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7397-2019>, 2019.
- Klingmüller, K., Karydis, V. A., Bacer, S., Stenichkov, G. L., and Lelieveld, J.: Weaker cooling by aerosols due to dust–pollution interactions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 20(23), 15285-15295, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-15285-2020>, 2020.
- Kok, J. F., Storelvmo, T., Karydis, V. A., Adebisi, A. A., Mahowald, N. M., Evan, A. T., He, C., and Leung, D. M.: Mineral dust aerosol impacts on global climate and climate change, *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment*, 4(2), 71-86, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-022-00379-5>, 2023.
- [Krueger, B. J., Grassian, V. H., Cowin, J. P., & Laskin, A.: Heterogeneous chemistry of individual mineral dust particles from different dust source regions: the importance of particle mineralogy. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38\(36\), 6253-6261, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.07.010, 2004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.07.010)
- Lance, S., Nenes, A., and Rissman, T. A.: Chemical and dynamical effects on cloud droplet number: Implications for estimates of the aerosol indirect effect, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D22), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004596>, 2004.
- Laskin, A., Wietsma, T. W., Krueger, B. J., and Grassian, V. H.: Heterogeneous chemistry of individual mineral dust particles with nitric acid: A combined CCSEM/EDX, ESEM, and ICP-MS study, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 110(D10), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD005206>, 2005.
- Li, J., Wang, W.-C., Liao, H., and Chang, W.: Past and future direct radiative forcing of nitrate aerosol in East Asia, *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 121, 445-458, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-014-1249-1>, 2015.
- [Li, X., Yu, Z., Yue, M., Liu, Y., Huang, K., Chi, X., ... & Wang, M.: Impact of mineral dust photocatalytic heterogeneous chemistry on the formation of the sulfate and nitrate: A modelling study over East](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.07.010)

[Asia. Atmospheric Environment, 316, 120166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120166, 2024.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.120166)

- Liao, H., Seinfeld, J. H., Adams, P. J., and Mickley, L. J.: Global radiative forcing of coupled tropospheric ozone and aerosols in a unified general circulation model, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D16), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004456>, 2004.
- Lohmann, U. and Roeckner, E.: Design and performance of a new cloud microphysics scheme developed for the ECHAM general circulation model, *Climate Dynamics*, 12, 557-572, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00207939>, 1996.
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5(3), <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-715-2005>, 2005.
- Lohmann, U. and Ferrachat, S.: Impact of parametric uncertainties on the present-day climate and on the anthropogenic aerosol effect, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10(23), <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-11373-2010>, 2010.
- Milousis, A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Tost, H., Pandis, S. N., Nenes, A., Kiendler-Scharr, A., and Karydis, V. A.: Implementation of the ISORROPIA-lite aerosol thermodynamics model into the EMAC chemistry climate model (based on MESSy v2.55): implications for aerosol composition and acidity, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 17(3), 1111-1131, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-17-1111-2024>, 2024.
- Morales Betancourt, R. and Nenes, A.: Understanding the contributions of aerosol properties and parameterization discrepancies to droplet number variability in a global climate model, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14(9), 4809-4826, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4809-2014>, 2014.
- Myhre, G., Samset, B. H., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Bernsten, T. K., Bian, H., Bellouin, N., Chin, M., Diehl, T., Easter, R. C., Feichter, J., Ghan, S. J., Hauglustaine, D., Iversen, T., Kinne, S., Kirkevåg, A., Lamarque, J. F., Lin, G., Liu, X., Lund, M. T., Luo, G., Ma, X., van Noije, T., Penner, J. E., Rasch, P. J., Ruiz, A., Seland, Ø., Skeie, R. B., Stier, P., Takemura, T., Tsigaridis, K., Wang, P., Wang, Z., Xu, L., Yu, H., Yu, F., Yoon, J. H., Zhang, K., Zhang, H., and Zhou, C.: Radiative forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II simulations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13(4), 1853-1877, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-1853-2013>, 2013.
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., and Pongratz, J.: Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing, *Climate Change 2013-The Physical Science Basis*, 659-740, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.018>, 2014.
- Nenes, A., Murray, B., Bougiatioti, A. (2014). Mineral Dust and its Microphysical Interactions with Clouds. In: Knippertz, P., Stuut, JB. (eds) Mineral Dust. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-8978-3_12, 2014.
- [Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N., Weber, R. J., and Russell, A.: Aerosol pH and liquid water content determine when particulate matter is sensitive to ammonia and nitrate availability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 20, 3249–3258, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3249-2020, 2020.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3249-2020)
- Pozzer, A., Jockel, P. J., Sander, R., Williams, J., Ganzeveld, L., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: the MESSy-submodel AIRSEA calculating the air-sea exchange of chemical species, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(12), 5435-5444, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-5435-2006>, 2006.
- [Pozzer, A., de Meij, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Doering, U. M., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Distributions and regional budgets of aerosols and their precursors simulated with the EMAC chemistry-climate model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 961–987, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-961-2012, 2012.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-961-2012)
- [Pozzer, A., Reifenberg, S. F., Kumar, V., Franco, B., Kohl, M., Taraborrelli, D., Gromov, S., Ehrhart, S., Jöckel, P., Sander, R., Fall, V., Rosanka, S., Karydis, V., Akritidis, D., Emmerichs, T., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Kaiser, J. W., Clarisse, L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Tost, H., and Tsimpidi, A.: Simulation of organics in the atmosphere: evaluation of EMACv2.54 with the Mainz Organic Mechanism \(MOM\)](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-961-2012)

Field Code Changed

- [coupled to the ORACLE \(v1.0\) submodel, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 15, 2673–2710, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-2673-2022>, 2022.](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-2673-2022)
- Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Message, S., Steil, B., Giannadaki, D., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Stier, P., Vignati, E., and Lelieveld, J.: Description and evaluation of GMXe: a new aerosol submodel for global simulations (v1), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3(2), <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-391-2010>, 2010a.
- Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Metzger, S., Steil, B., Giannadaki, D., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Stier, P., Vignati, E., and Lelieveld, J.: Corrigendum to "Description and evaluation of GMXe: a new aerosol submodel for global simulations (v1)" published in *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3, 391–412, 2010, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 3(2), 413–413, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-413-2010>, 2010b.
- [Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Pöschl, U., and Lelieveld, J.: Global distribution of the effective aerosol hygroscopicity parameter for CCN activation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 5241–5255, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5241-2010>, 2010c.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5241-2010)
- Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, *Journal of Climate*, 19(16), 3771–3791, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI3824.1>, 2006.
- Sander, R., Baumgaertner, A., Cabrera-Perez, D., Frank, F., Gromov, S., Grooss, J. U., Harder, H., Huijnen, V., Jockel, P., Karydis, V. A., Niemeyer, K. E., Pozzer, A., Hella, R. B., Schultz, M. G., Taraborrelli, D., and Tauer, S.: The community atmospheric chemistry box model CAABA/MECCA-4.0, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(4), 1365–1385, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-1365-2019>, 2019.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric chemistry and physics from air pollution to climate change*, John Wiley & Sons, ISBN 1118947401, 2016.
- Seinfeld, J. H., Bretherton, C., Carslaw, K. S., Coe, H., DeMott, P. J., Dunlea, E. J., Feingold, G., Ghan, S., Guenther, A. B., Kahn, R., Kraucunas, I., Kreidenweis, S. M., Molina, M. J., Nenes, A., Penner, J. E., Prather, K. A., Ramanathan, V., Ramaswamy, V., Rasch, P. J., Ravishankara, A. R., Rosenfeld, D., Stephens, G., and Wood, R.: Improving our fundamental understanding of the role of aerosol–cloud interactions in the climate system, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(21), 5781–5790, <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1514043113>, 2016.
- [Seisel, S., Börensen, C., Vogt, R., & Zellner, R.: Kinetics and mechanism of the uptake of N₂O₅ on mineral dust at 298 K. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 5\(12\), 3423–3432, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-3423-2005>, 2005.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-3423-2005)
- Sposito, G.: *The Chemistry of Soils*, Oxford University Press, New York, ISBN 9780190630881, 1989.
- Sundqvist, H., Berge, E., and Kristjánsson, J. E.: Condensation and Cloud Parameterization Studies with a Mesoscale Numerical Weather Prediction Model, *Monthly Weather Review*, 117(8), 1641–1657, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493\(1989\)117%3C1641:CACPSW%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1989)117%3C1641:CACPSW%3E2.0.CO;2), 1989.
- [Tang, M. J., Thieser, J., Schuster, G., & Crowley, J. N.: Kinetics and mechanism of the heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ with mineral dust particles. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 14\(24\), 8551–8561, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CP40805H>, 2012.](https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CP40805H)
- [The Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia: EANET Data on the Acid Deposition in the East Asian Region, <https://monitoring.eanet.asia/document/public/index>, last access: 3 September 2024.](https://monitoring.eanet.asia/document/public/index)
- Tompkins, A. M.: A Prognostic Parameterization for the Subgrid-Scale Variability of Water Vapor and Clouds in Large-Scale Models and Its Use to Diagnose Cloud Cover, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 59(12), 1917–1942, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2002\)059%3C1917:APPFTS%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2002)059%3C1917:APPFTS%3E2.0.CO;2), 2002.
- Tost, H., Jockel, P. J., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(3), 565–574, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-565-2006>, 2006.

- Tost, H., Jöckel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Lightning and convection parameterisations - uncertainties in global modelling, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7(17), 4553-4568, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4553-2007>, 2007a.
- Tost, H., Jockel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Global cloud and precipitation chemistry and wet deposition: tropospheric model simulations with ECHAM5/MESSy1, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7(10), 2733-2757, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-2733-2007>, 2007b.
- Trump, E. R., Fountoukis, C., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Improvement of simulation of fine inorganic PM levels through better descriptions of coarse particle chemistry, *Atmospheric Environment*, 102, 274-281, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.11.059>, 2015.
- Tsigaridis, K. and Kanakidou, M.: The present and future of secondary organic aerosol direct forcing on climate, *Current Climate Change Reports*, 4, 84-98, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40641-018-0092-3>, 2018.
- [Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: Global combustion sources of organic aerosols: model comparison with 84 AMS factor-analysis data sets, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 8939–8962, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-8939-2016>, 2016.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-8939-2016)
- [Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Pandis, S. N., and Lelieveld, J.: Global-scale combustion sources of organic aerosols: sensitivity to formation and removal mechanisms, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 7345–7364, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7345-2017>, 2017.](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-7345-2017)
- Twomey, S.: The Influence of Pollution on the Shortwave Albedo of Clouds, *Journal of Atmospheric Sciences*, 34, 1149-1152, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1977\)034%3C1149:TIOPOT%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1977)034%3C1149:TIOPOT%3E2.0.CO;2), 1977.
- Urdiales-Flores, D., Zittis, G., Hadjinicolaou, P., Osipov, S., Klingmüller, K., Mihalopoulos, N., Kanakidou, M., Economou, T., and Lelieveld, J.: Drivers of accelerated warming in Mediterranean climate-type regions, *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 6(1), 97, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-023-00423-1>, 2023.
- [U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Clean Air Markets Division Clean Air Status and Trends Network \(CASTNET\): CASTNET Data, <https://www.epa.gov/castnet>, last access: 3 September 2024.](https://www.epa.gov/castnet)
- Vignati, E., Wilson, J., and Stier, P.: M7: An efficient size-resolved aerosol microphysics module for large-scale aerosol transport models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 109(D22), <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004485>, 2004.
- [Wexler, A. S., & Seinfeld, J. H.: Second-generation inorganic aerosol model. *Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics*, 25\(12\), 2731-2748, \[https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\\(91\\)90203-J\]\(https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686\(91\)90203-J\), 1991.](https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90203-J)
- Wong, J. P. S., Tsagkaraki, M., Tsiotra, I., Mihalopoulos, N., Violaki, K., Kanakidou, M., Sciare, J., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Atmospheric evolution of molecular-weight-separated brown carbon from biomass burning, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19(11), 7319-7334, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-7319-2019>, 2019.
- Xu, L. and Penner, J. E.: Global simulations of nitrate and ammonium aerosols and their radiative effects, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12(20), <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-9479-2012>, 2012.
- Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: EMPIRICAL-MODEL OF GLOBAL SOIL-BIOGENIC NOX EMISSIONS, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 100(D6), <https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD00370>, 1995.
- Zhang, Y., Forrister, H., Liu, J., Dibb, J., Anderson, B., Schwarz, J. P., Perring, A. E., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Wang, Y., Nenes, A., and Weber, R. J.: Top-of-atmosphere radiative forcing affected by brown carbon in the upper troposphere, *Nature Geoscience*, 10(7), <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2960>, 2017.
- Zhang, B.: The effect of aerosols to climate change and society, *Journal of Geoscience and Environment Protection*, 8(08), 55, <https://doi.org/10.4236/gep.2020.88006>, 2020.