Supplement of

Carbon cycle and climate feedback under CO₂ and non-CO₂ overshoot pathways

⁵ Irina Melnikova^{1,2}, Philippe Ciais², Katsumasa Tanaka^{1,2}, Hideo Shiogama¹, Kaoru Tachiiri^{1,3}, Tokuta Yokohata¹ and Olivier Boucher⁴

¹Earth System Division, National Institute for Environmental Studies (NIES), Tsukuba, 305-8506, Japan, ²Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), IPSL, CEA/CNRS/UVSQ, Université Paris-Saclay, Gifsur-Yvette, 91191, France

³Research Institute for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokohama, 236-0001, Japan ⁴Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, Sorbonne Université / CNRS, Paris, 75005, France



15 Figure S1. (a) Comparison of the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) time evolution in the IPSL-CM6A-LR idealised experiments relative to the initial date in the pre-industrial control simulation. Time evolution of the 5-year moving average AMOC (Sv) from the piControl simulation (grey) and from the idealised experiments. (b) AMOC as a function of time (year), CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]), GSAT (°C) and cumulative GSAT (°C*year) under selected scenarios. Thick lines indicate the ensemble means and thin lines correspond to three ensemble members.



Figure S2. (a) Global, (b) land and (c) ocean mean annual surface averaged over the last 20 years of each experiment plotted against corresponding maximum annual temperature for each experiment and each ensemble member (transparent dots) and ensemble means (solid dots). The correlation coefficients are calculated for ensemble means.



Figure S3. Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean changes in (a) surface temperature (°C) and (b) surface albedo averaged over 20 years at the end of (first rows) ramp-up, (middle rows) ramp-down, and (bottom rows) stabilisation phases relative to piControl under selected scenarios. We draw only grids significantly different from piControl (p < 0.1 based on t test, N=60) and between [CO₂], [CO₂rad] and [nonCO₂] experiments using three ensemble members (p < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).



Figure S4. Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean changes in surface temperature (°C) averaged over 20 years at the end of (first rows) ramp-up, (middle rows) ramp-down, and (bottom rows) stabilisation phases in the [CO₂rad] and [nonCO₂] experiment. We draw only significantly different grids using three ensemble members (p < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).



Figure S4 (continued). Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean changes in TOA Outgoing Clear-Sky Longwave Radiation (W m⁻²) averaged over 20 years at the end of (first rows) ramp-up, (middle rows) ramp-down, and (bottom rows) stabilisation phases
 in the [CO₂rad] and [nonCO₂] experiment. We draw only significantly different grids using three ensemble members (*p* < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).



Figure S5. Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean seasonal changes in (a) grid snow area (%), (b) surface temperature (°C), and (c) LAI, averaged over 20 years at the end of (first rows) ramp-up, (middle rows) ramp-down, and (bottom rows) stabilisation phases in the [CO₂] and [nonCO₂] experiment. We draw only significantly different grids using three ensemble members (*p* < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).



Figure S5 (continued). Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean seasonal changes in (d) grid snow area (%), (e) surface
temperature (°C), and (f) LAI, averaged over 20 years at the end of (first rows) ramp-up, (middle rows) ramp-down, and (bottom rows) stabilisation phases in the [CO₂] and [CO₂rad] experiment. We draw only significantly different grids using three ensemble members (*p* < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).



Figure S6. Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean changes in leaf area index (LAI) averaged over 20 years at the end of (first rows) ramp-up, (middle rows) ramp-down, and (bottom rows) stabilisation phases relative to piControl under selected scenarios. We draw only grids significantly different from piControl (p < 0.1 based on t test, N=60) and between [CO₂] and [nonCO₂] experiments using three ensemble members (p < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).



Figure S7. (a–d) Global, (e–h) land and (i–l) ocean annually-averaged changes in evapotranspiration (mm year⁻¹) as a function of (a, e, i) time (year), (b, f, j) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]), (c, g, k) GSAT (°C) and (d, h, l) cumulative GSAT (°C).



Figure S8. Global (a–c, g–i) land and (d–f, j–l) ocean carbon flux, positive sink to the land/ocean (GtC year⁻¹) as a function of (a, d, g, j) time (year), (b, e, h, k) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i, l) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



Figure S9. Global (a–c) GPP, (d–f) Ra and (g–i) Rh (GtC year⁻¹) as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



Figure S9 (continued). Global (a–c) GPP, (d–f) Ra and (g–i) Rh (GtC year⁻¹) as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



Figure S10. (a, d) Biomass, (b, e) soil and litter and (c, f) land turnover time (years) over (a–c) northern high-latitude (>30° N) and (d–f) subtropical and southern (<30° N) under selected scenarios. Turnover is estimated as the ratio of carbon pool and net primary production. Estimates are shown for three-member ensemble means.





Figure S11. (a–c) Global, (d–f), northern high-latitude (> 30° N) and (g–i) subtropical and southern (< 30° N) net biome production, NBP (GtC year⁻¹), as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



Figure S11 (continued). (a–c) Global, (d–f), northern high-latitude (>30° N) and (g–i) subtropical and southern (<30° N) net biome production, NBP (GtC year⁻¹), as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.





Figure S12. (a–c) Global, (d–f), northern high-latitude (>30° N) and (g–i) subtropical and southern (<30° N) changes in vegetation carbon pool (GtC), as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



Figure S12 (continued). (a–c) Global, (d–f), northern high-latitude (> 30° N) and (g–i) subtropical and southern (< 30° N) changes in vegetation carbon pool (GtC), as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



105 Figure S13. (a-c) Global, (d-f), northern high-latitude (>30° N) and (g-i) subtropical and southern (<30° N) changes in soil and litter carbon pool (GtC), as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



110 Figure S13 (continued). (a-c) Global, (d-f), northern high-latitude (>30° N) and (g-i) subtropical and southern (<30° N) changes in soil and litter carbon pool (GtC), as a function of (a, d, g) time (year), (b, e, h) CO₂ concentration (ppm) / CH₄ concentration (ppb, only for [nonCO₂]) and (c, f, i) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios.



Figure S14. Globally- and annually-averaged changes in (a, b) GSAT (°C), cumulative climate change-driven carbon fluxes (GtC) over (c–e) land and (f–h) ocean as a function of (a, c, f) time (year), (b, d, g) CO₂ concentration (ppm) and (e, h) GSAT (°C) under selected scenarios. The ramp-up, ramp-down and stabilisation periods for GSAT are indicated by different line styles. Thick lines indicate the ensemble means and thin lines correspond to three ensemble members.



Figure S15. Spatial variation of three-member-ensemble mean changes in surface temperature ($^{\circ}$ C) averaged over 20 years at the end of (a, d, g) ramp-up, (b, e, h) ramp-down, and (c, f, i) stabilisation phases relative to piControl. For the differences between two scenarios (g, h, i), we draw only significantly different grids using three ensemble members (p < 0.1 based on t test, N=60).