

The Authors have fully answered the remarks and taken into account the suggestions of the two reviewers; the final version of the manuscript clarifies several points arisen during the review process; moreover, a flowchart now summarizes the new procedure proposed by the authors;

Here below a list of very minor changes needed before final publication of the manuscript,

best regards,

Andrea Di Muro

List of minor changes on the text

[Note: all lines mentioned here are in all markup mode](#)

1.Lines 24-27 basically repeat the statement of lines 19-21

[Author's response:](#)

- Lines 24-27 have the repeated statement deleted.

2.Lines 66-68 are the same of lines 56-58

[Author's response:](#)

- Lines 64-66 have the repeated statement deleted.

3.Line 278 please clarify the meaning of "collaborative reaction"

[Author's response:](#)

- Lines 275-276: we deleted "with collaborative reaction".

4.Line 287 as well as (broad) bands

[Author's response:](#)

- Line 285: we added "(broad)".

5.Line 294 "was only increased" instead of "obviously increased"

[Author's response:](#)

- Line 292: we substituted "was only increased" instead of "obviously increased"

6.Line 312 As they are in low concentration (traces),

[Author's response:](#)

- Line 310: we added "As they are in low concentration (traces), this is also...in this study" as suggested.

7.Line 354 the absence of....could be replaced by the non-systematic occurrence of...

[Author's response:](#)

- Line 354: we substituted "the non-systematic occurrence of..." instead of "an absence of..." as suggested.

8.Line 520 trapped at(?) or by?

[Author's response:](#)

- Line 520: trapped at.