Satellite-based data for agricultural index insurance: a systematic quantitative literature review

Thuy T.Nguyen[1,2], Shahbaz Mushtaq[1], Jarrod Kath[1,3], Thong Nguyen-Huy[1], Louis Reymondin[4]

[1]Centre for Applied Climate Sciences, Institute for Life Sciences and the Environment, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia
[2]International Center for Tropical Agriculture – Hanoi Hub, Agricultural Genetics Institute, Pham Van Dong, Bac Tu Liem, Hanoi, Vietnam
[3]School of Agriculture and Environmental Science, Faculty of Health, Engineering and Sciences, University of Southern Queensland, Toowoomba, QLD 4350, Australia
[4]Bioversity International, Parc scientifique Agropolis II, 1990 Bd de la Lironde, Montpellier, France

Correspondence to: Thuy T. Nguyen (email: thuy.nguyen@unisq.edu.au)

Abstract. Index-based insurance (IBI) is an effective tool for managing climate risk and promoting sustainable development. It provides payouts based on a measurable index. Remote sensing data obtained from satellites, planes, UAVs, or drones can be used to design index-based insurance products. However, the extent to which satellite-based data has been used for different crop types and geographical regions has not been systematically explored. To bridge this gap, a systematic quantitative literature review was conducted to examine the use of satellite-based datasets in designing index-based insurance products. The review analysed ~~86~~ 89 global studies on four major types of crops: cereals, pastures and forages, perennial crops, and others (i.e., vegetables, oilseed crops, fruits and nuts etc.). The analysis revealed a rising interest of developing index-based insurance solutions utilizing satellite-based data, particularly after 2015. Datasets from land surface Earth observation satellites were utilized in 91% of studies with satellite-based data, outstanding those from weather satellites. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was the most prominent satellite-retrieved vegetation index, featured in 61.2% of studies utilizing satellite imagery, revealing their effectiveness designing and developing IBI for various crops. ~~and found that NDVI was the most commonly used satellite-based index, accounting for approximately 77% of all studies using satellite data. The number of studies conducted after 2010 has sharply increased and almost doubled between 2016 and 2021. The studies have shown that satellite-based vegetation indices are effective in designing and developing index-based insurance for various crops.~~ They have also found that satellite-based vegetation health indices outperform weather indices and reduce basis risk with higher spatial resolution data. Most studies have focused on cereal crops, with fewer studies focusing on perennial crops. Countries in Asia and Africa were the most interested regions. ~~Most studies have focused on cereal crops, with fewer studies focusing on perennial crops. The number of studies conducted in Africa, Asia and Europe is balanced.~~ However, the research has focused on specific countries and has not been adequately spread across different regions, especially developing countries. The review suggests that satellite-based datasets will become increasingly important in designing crop index-based insurance products. This is due to their potential to reduce basis risk by providing high-resolution with adequately long and consistent

datasets for data-sparse environments. The review recommends using high spatial and temporal resolution satellite datasets to further assess their capability to reduce basis risk.

35   **Keywords:** remote sensing, satellite data, satellite-derived index, index-based insurance, basis risk

1 Introduction

Agricultural insurance plays a crucial role in managing risks and building resilience against natural disasters. It is a vital part of major global initiatives such as the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, the COP21 Paris Agreement, and the G7 InsuResilience. The goal of the G7 InsuResilience initiative is to offer insurance coverage to an additional 500 million

40   households worldwide by 2025 (Fisher et al., 2019). Index-based insurance is a type of agricultural insurance with payouts generally based on external easy-to-measure indices that are correlated with individual losses or outcomes (Barnett et al., 2008; Barnett and Mahul, 2007; Carter et al., n.d2014.; Carter et al., 2016; Chantarat et al., 2013; Skees, 2008; Turvey and Mclaurin, 2012). The payouts in index-based insurance are triggered when the actual measurement of the index exceeds or falls below a pre-defined threshold. It can aid smallholder farmers in strengthening their resilience to the impacts of weather extremes, such

45   as drought or flood, while also stimulating investments in productivity in favorable years to optimize profitability (Stoeffler et al., 2022).

Agricultural index-based insurance can be classified into three broad groups: (i) area-yield index insurance, which is based on an average yield of the crop over a specific area; (ii) weather-based index insurance, which is based on a specific weather parameter (such as rainfall, temperature, etc.), measured using data from weather stations, gridded climate information from

50   satellites or reanalysis climate data; and (iii) vegetation-based or satellite-based index insurance with indices developed using time series dataset from satellite imagery (Index Insurance Forum, 2024).

Index-based insurance can overcome two significant problems associated with traditional indemnity-based insurance, namely adverse selection and moral hazard, as these indices are beyond the control of any individual (Adeyinka et al., 2022; Mushtaq et al., 2020). Furthermore, index-based insurance is a cost-effective and efficient option for farmers to transfer climate risk as

55   they only need to monitor the index. In contrast, traditional multi-peril options require expensive loss assessment (Carter et al., 2017; De Leeuw et al., 2014; Miranda and Farrin, 2012).

However, the adoption of index-based insurance is often constrained by several factors, such as being restricted to certain measurable perils, insufficient technical capacity, lack of expertise, limited data availability, and basis risk, one of the most commonly cited limitations (Carter et al., 2017; Lichtenberg and Iglesias, 2022; Miranda and Farrin, 2012). Basis risk refers

60   to the difference between an index's estimate of an individual farmer's losses and actual losses, the situation that payouts may occur when no losses happen or vice versa.

There are three common sources of basis risks, including (i) design basis risk, which occurs when the index poorly correlates with losses; (ii) spatial basis risk, which arises when the loss estimated by the index at the measured location is unlikely to occur at the insured farm location, which is geographically far away; and (iii) temporal basis risk, which results from imperfect

65   choices of the time window for the index measurement (Boyd et al., 2019; Dalhaus and Finger, 2016; Dalhaus et al., 2018; Nieto et al., 2010; Woodard and Garcia, 2008). Despite its potential advantages, these limitations can make it challenging for farmers to access and benefit from index insurance (Kath et al., 2018, 2019).

    The use of remote sensing (RS) data, acquired from satellites, planes, UAVs, or drones, has been identified as a way to reduce basis risk when developing index-based insurance products. These products require reliable, broad coverage and long historical

70   data archives (De Leeuw et al., 2014). Over the years, researchers have focused on developing highly correlated indices that can accurately predict individual losses at lower costs. In several studies, satellite-based vegetation indices have been used to create effective index-based insurance for crops such as maize, wheat, cotton, and others. This has been successfully implemented in countries such as Canada, India, Mali, Kenya, Kazakhstan, Syria, and Zimbabwe (Bobojonov et al., 2014; Bokusheva et al., 2016; Makaudze and Miranda, 2010; Miranda and Farrin, 2012; Turvey and Mclaurin, 2012).

75   Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) extracted from satellite images have also been employed to design index-based livestock insurance in Kenya (Chantarat et al., 2013), and have shown their potential to develop an insurance index for drought risk under limited or even absent weather data (Bobojonov et al., 2014). Brock Porth et al. (2020) developed Pasture Production Indices (PPI) using multiple data sources. The results showed that these indices had stronger correlations with ground truth forage yield data than the weather-based indices that relied on ground weather station data. The satellite-derived

80   vegetation and biophysical parameter indices, such as NDVI, green NDVI (GNDVI), enhanced vegetation index (EVI), leaf area index (LAI), or fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (FPAR), used in PPI showed a maximum correlation of 62% and a minimum correlation of 43.8%. In some years, the best-performing satellite-based PPIs reached almost 90% correlation with yield losses. In demonstrating crop index-based insurance, remote sensing-based vegetation health indices (e.g., Vegetation Condition Index (VCI), Vegetation Health Index (VHI), and Temperature Condition Index (TCI),

85   etc.) outperformed weather indices (e.g., temperature, precipitation, etc.) (Kölle et al., 2021; Möllmann et al., 2019).

    Satellite-based indices are gaining popularity, but their potential applications in cropping systems, especially in developing countries, need to be further investigated. This involves identifying challenges and opportunities, particularly with the availability of new high-resolution satellite-retrieved indices that better address the issue of basis risk. More importantly, it is essential to conduct a comprehensive review to determine the crops and locations where satellite-retrieved data have been

90   utilized as the primary inputs to create index-based insurance solutions. Additionally, it is important to identify the commonly used satellite-based time series datasets in such solutions.

    We conducted a systematic quantitative literature review (SQLR) to identify gaps in index-based insurance research and build a comprehensive understanding of the potential use of satellite-based data for index-based insurance. The goal is to examine the current use of satellite-based data in developing crop-specific index-based insurance and identify gaps where future

95   research is most needed. The review also aims to evaluate the potential role of satellite-based data in reducing basis risk and improving the index-based insurance solution. As a global scale systematic review, we believe the findings will help identify future priorities of study regions and crops to promote crop-specific index insurance solutions.

The focus of the paper is on four key areas: (1) the locations where index-based insurance studies have been conducted and the crops targeted in those studies, (2) the trends in using satellite-based data to directly develop index-based insurance products, (3) the most commonly used satellite-based datasets for the development of the index, and (4) a discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of using satellite data in index insurance and the future research directions.

2 Materials and methods

The SQLR has been carried out following the method by (Pickering and Byrne, 2014). The keywords used in the review are identified from two fields of study: remote sensing and index insurance. For the field of utilizing remotely sensed satellite-based data, the keywords included "remote sensing" and "satellite-based", while for the field of index insurance, the keywords included "index-based insurance", "index insurance", "parametric insurance", "weather derivatives", "agricultural insurance", "crop insurance", and "basis risk". The logical function "OR" was used to link the searched keywords in each field and the logical function "AND" was used to link keywords from both fields; parentheses were used to separate two groups of keywords corresponding to two fields of study. The searched terms were: ("index insurance" OR "index-based insurance" OR "parametric insurance" OR "weather derivatives" OR "crop insurance" OR "agricultural insurance" OR "basis risk" OR "satellite-based insurance") AND ("remote sensing" OR "satellite-based").

The keywords were searched over all fields of journal articles, including title, abstract, keywords, and main text. There was no limitation in publishing year and type of articles. Non-English publications and book reviews were excluded. The searched terms were used consistently over multiple databases: Science Direct, Springer Link, Scopus, Taylor & Francis, and MDPI. The below results were as of July 2023November 2024.

The studies from searched results were screened in a three-stage process with the number of papers to be included and excluded as shown in the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flowchart (Figure 1).

- Stage one: Abstracts from the identified records through database search were screened. A paper was excluded if it did not develop any index-based insurance.
- Stage two: After the first stage of screening, all papers from different databases were combined to scan for duplication and relevant topics. Papers were excluded if (i) there was a duplication from other databases, (ii) the study was on irrelevant topics such as pricing, demand or willingness-to-pay for an index insurance product, or crop insurance was not the focus of the study. The full texts of the remaining papers after exclusion were obtained for further steps.
- Stage three: Papers that met the searching criteria but were not indexed in the selected database but from other sources were added to the final list to be included in the SQLR.

Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart showing steps for conducting a systematic quantitative literature review, where n is the number of research papers (adapted from (Moher et al., 2009)).

A structured table with categories and sub-categories was developed to present information from selected articles that were used to complete tasks (1) and (2) above. A subset of papers that directly used satellite-retrieved data to develop the index-based insurance was used to complete tasks (3) and (4) with data on the ~~Earth observation satellite (EOS)~~satellite-based datasets, extracted index, the spatial and temporal resolution of satellite images (please see Supplementary Table S1 for a complete data table). These review tasks only focused on data from satellites that were used to observe the land surface of the Earth from orbits for the purposes of environmental monitoring, such as vegetation cover, atmospheric content, ocean color, sea state and ice fields. These review tasks did not include other satellites for communication, navigation, or weather purposes. The indices, especially vegetation indices such as NDVI, EVI, LAI, FAPAR and so on, were computed from spectral bands collected by sensors onboard the satellites.

To identify the types of crops in our studies, we divided them into four main categories, based on the FAO Crop Classification System (ESS: Crops Statistics - Concepts, Definitions and Classifications, 2024). These categories were Cereals (including grain crops like rice, wheat, maize, sorghum, millets, etc.), Pasture and Forages (crops grown mainly for animal feed), Perennial crops (permanent crops as defined by FAO, such as coffee, cocoa, olive, sugar cane, etc.), and Others (including root/tuber crops, vegetables, oilseed crops, fruits, and nuts, etc.).

There are several types of insurance indices available, which are categorized into five main categories: area yield index, weather-based index, satellite-based index, hybrid index, and other indices. The area yield index calculates the average crop yield over a specific area. The weather-based index collects weather parameters from weather stations, gridded climate information, and reanalysis climate data. The satellite-based index calculates the index directly from spectral bands of satellite images. The hybrid index is developed from a combination of weather parameters and vegetation indicators that are retrieved directly from satellite images. Finally, other indices use soil information to determine the insurance coverage. The data sources utilized in the studies were classified into three primary groups: (i) non-satellite-based data, which includes studies relying on ground measurements from weather station networks, either location-based or spatially interpolated into gridded data, or integrated with reanalysis climate data, which combines ground measurements with modelling results; (ii) satellite-based weather data, encompassing studies that utilized at least one dataset from weather satellites such as CHIRPS, ESA CCI Climate Variable data; and (iii) satellite-based land surface data, involving studies that incorporated at least one dataset from land surface or Earth observation satellites. Studies employing a combination of datasets, including non-satellite, weather satellite, and land surface satellite data, were categorized under all relevant groups.

Based on the data sources, the indices for insurance were categorized into non-satellite-based index, satellite-based weather index, satellite-based land surface index, and composite index, which was developed using multiple sources of non-satellite and satellite-based data.

The satellite-based land surface datasets that were used directly in the studies to develop the index for insurance were classified into medium and high-resolution groups based on their spatial resolution: medium spatial resolution datasets including AVHRR, MODIS, SPOT-VGT/Proba-V and GRASS LAI, and high spatial resolution datasets including Landsat, and Sentinel, SPOT and Formosat 2.

3 Results

3.1. African, Asian, and European countries are where index-based insurance is focused

The global distribution (Figure 2a) reveals a comparable level of interest in Asian and African countries, both with 30 and 27 studies in index-based insurance, respectively. This is followed by Europe and North America regions, which have 14 and 13 studies. On the other hand, there are fewer studies focused on South America (5 studies) and Australia (4 studies). Within Southeast Asia, only a handful of studies (5 in total) were included in the review, with Indonesia accounting for three, and Myanmar and Lao PDR each represented by one study.

170   There are 34 countries presented within this SQLR; among them, countries that attract the most interest are China (n=12), Kenya (n=10), the United States (n=9), India (n=8) and Germany (n=8). Twenty-one other countries appear only once, while eight others appear in 2-6 papers.

~~The global distribution of the studies in index-based insurance is shown in Figure 2a~~**Error! Reference source not found.**~~. At the continental scale, African countries have gained the most interest with 26 total number of studies. Asia and Europe are~~

175 ~~almost equal, with 22 and 21 studies, respectively. North American region follows next with a total of 13 studies. There are a smaller number of studies in South American countries (n=5) and Australia (n=3) in this review. In Southeast Asia, there are only a few (n=5) studies included in the review, with three of them in Indonesia, while Myanmar and Lao PDR were the subjects of one study each.~~

~~There are 34 countries presented within this SQLR; among them, countries that attract the most interest are the United States~~

180 ~~(n=9), China (n=9), Kenya (n=9), and Germany (n=8). Twenty other countries appear only once, while ten others appear in 2-6 papers.~~

Figure 2: The distribution of studies on index-based insurance across the globe from 2007 to ~~July 2023~~November 2024. a) Total number of studies (n=~~90~~93), b) Number of studies using satellite data (n= ~~39~~49), c) Number of studies not using satellite data (n= ~~51~~44). Note: There is one study conducted in three countries and two studies conducted in two countries; so the total number of studies (n=~~90~~93), counted on the number of countries that the studies were conducted, is larger than the total papers in the review (n=~~86~~89).

From the global distribution (Figure 2b), Africa is the region with the highest number of studies that used satellite data, with 19 out of 26 studies in Africa (accounting for 73.1%). South America is the second region with a high percentage of satellite data used in the analysis (60% of total studies in the region). On the other hand, Asia, Europe, and North America show a low share of studies with satellite data, with percentages of 27.3%, 38.1% and 23.1% of total studies of the region, respectively. In Australia, there is not yet any study using satellite data directly in developing index-based insurance solutions. Africa is the region with the highest number of studies that used satellite-based data, with 23 out of 27 studies in Africa (accounting for 85.2%). South America is the second region with a high percentage of satellite data used in the analysis (60.0% of total studies in the region). On the other hand, Asia, Europe, and North America show a lower share of studies with satellite data, with percentages of 50.0%, 35.7% and 23.1% of total studies of the region, respectively. In Australia, there is not yet any study using satellite data directly in developing index-based insurance solutions (Figure 2b).

Out of the total 39 studies that used satellite data, almost half of them (n=19, 48.72%) were conducted in African countries. European countries accounted for 20.51% (n=8), while Asian countries accounted for 15.38% (n=6) of the satellite-based studies. On the other hand, North and South American countries had the lowest number of studies using satellite data, with only three studies (7.69% of the total satellite-based studies) in each region. Among the 49 studies that used satellite-based data, almost half of them (n=23, 46.9%) were conducted in African countries. Asian countries accounted for 30.6% (n=15), while European countries accounted for 10.2% (n=5) of the satellite-based studies. On the other hand, North and South American countries had the lowest number of studies using satellite data, with only three studies (6.1% of the total satellite-based studies) in each region.

Among 27 countries where satellite-based data were utilized, Kenya stands out with the highest number of studies. Nine out of ten studies conducted in Kenya made use of at least one satellite-based dataset, representing 33.3% of all satellite-based studies conducted in Africa. In most of the countries where satellite-based data have been used (19 out of 27, approximately 70.4%), only a single study was conducted. Of the remaining six countries, half of them (n=3) had two studies each, while the other half (n=3) had three studies in each country.

Out of 24 countries where satellite data studies have been used, Kenya has the highest number of studies using satellite data. In fact, seven out of nine studies conducted in Kenya make use of satellite data, which accounts for 36.8% of all such studies conducted in Africa. The remaining satellite-based studies are spreading over the world, with 70.83% of the countries (n=17) having only one study that used satellite data. Among the six remaining countries with studies using satellite data, half of them (n=3) have two studies and the other half (n=3) have three studies in each country.

China and the United States are among the top three countries with the highest number of studies in total. However, most studies did not utilize satellite data, with only one study in China and two in the United States. Among the top three countries with the highest number of studies, China (12 studies) and the United States (9 studies) predominantly relied on non-satellite data. In contrast, Kenya (10 studies) had the majority of its studies incorporating at least one satellite-based dataset.

3.2. Grain cereal crops are the most studied type of index-based insurance

Among four major insured crop types, Cereals have gained the most attention, with almost two thirds of the total studies (63 out of 97, approximately 64.9%) focused on them. Pasture and Forages come in second with approximately 16.5% (16 out of 97) of the studies (Figure 3). Approximately 14.4% of the studies (14 out of 97) explored the group of Others, including vegetables, oilseed crops, fruits and nuts, root/tuber crops (potato) and other crops (cotton). Perennial crops, however, have the lowest share of 4.1%, with only four studies conducted on four different crops in three countries. These crops include sugar cane in Australia, coffee in Colombia, and grape and olive in Spain.

When exploring insured crop types, the results show that Cereals have gained the most attention, with more than half of the total studies (64.5%) focused on them. Pasture and Forages come in second with approximately 19% of the papers (Figure 3). Perennial crops, however, have the lowest share of 4.7%, with only four studies conducted on four different crops in three countries. These crops include sugar cane in Australia, coffee in Colombia, and grape and olive in Spain.

Figure 3: Types of insured crops to be studied in different continents. Crops are categorized into four major groups: Cereals, Pasture and Forages, Perennial crops and Others (such as root/tuber crops, vegetables, oilseed crops, fruits, nuts, etc.)

Cereals stand out as the most studied crop across all continents (Figure 3), representing more than half of studies in each region, except for North America, where they accounted for 46.2% (6 out of 13 studies). In Asia, Cereals are the focus of 82.1% of studies (23 out of 28), while inn Europe, they gained the interests of 77.8% of studies (14 out of 18). In Africa,

Australia and South America, Cereals also constitute a significant portion, ranging from approximately 50.0% to 53.6% of total studies in each region.

~~In terms of geographical distribution, Cereals are still the most studied among all continents. Most of these studies, accounting for 82.6%, are conducted in Asia (n=19). North America is the only region where Cereals account for less than half of the studies (46.2%). On the other hand, in the remaining continents, over half of the total studies are focused on Cereals, with the proportion ranging from 55.6% in Africa to 68.2% in Europe (Figure 3), especially in Asia (n=19, accounting for 82.6% of all studies in Asia). In contrast to the other continents, North America is the only region with less than half of studies in Cereals (46.2%).~~ The studies in Pasture and Forages are highest in Africa, representing more than half of studies globally (9 out of 16 studies, approximately 56.3%). North American countries followed in the second place with five studies (31.3%). In Asia and South America, each region had a single study while no study developing an index-based insurance for Pasture and Forages was conducted in Australia and Europe.

~~The studies in Pasture and Forages are highest in Africa, with eight studies taking 44.4% of total studies globally. North American countries have five studies (27.8%), followed by European countries with three studies (16.7%). In Asia and South America, there is only one study on Pasture and Forages in each continent.~~ On the other hand, Perennial crops are the least studied globally with no study conducted in Asia, Africa, or North America. In Australia and South America, only a single study was conducted in each region, focusing on sugar cane and coffee, respectively. While in Europe, only two studies were conducted, exploring olives and grapes.

The remaining studies on crops categorized under the "Others" group were fairly evenly distributed across continents, with one to four studies per region. This group encompassed a diverse range of crops, including vegetables, oilseed crops, fruits and nuts, root and tuber crops, as well as crops classified by the FAO under "Other," such as cotton.

Among studies that utilized satellite-based data to develop the index for insured crops (Figure 4), Cereals have the highest number of studies (n=30), accounting for 60% of total studies using satellite-based data. Pasture and Forages show the second share with 24% (n=12). "Others" group followed with 14% (n=7), while only one study on Perennial crops utilized satellite-based data. When comparing the use of satellite-based data across crop groups, only Pasture and Forages show a significantly higher count of studies utilizing satellite-based data (12 studies) compared to those without it (4 studies), with the former being three times greater. On the other hand, both the Cereals and Others groups display a nearly equal distribution of studies using satellite-based versus non-satellite data. ~~Among studies that employed satellite-based data to develop the index for insured crops directly Figure 4), Cereals have the highest number of studies (n=20), accounting for more than half (52.6%) of total studies using satellite-based data. Pasture and Forages show the second share with 34.2% (n=13). Perennial crops and Others share a total of 13.2% (n=5). Compared with the number of studies without satellite data for each type of crop, only Pasture and Forages have a higher count, even triple, of studies using satellite-based data (n=13) than those without any source of satellite images (n=4). All other crops share a similar pattern of having approximately one-third of total studies using at least one source of satellite-based data to develop index insurance.~~

Figure 4: Use of satellite data for different types of insured crops. Crops are categorized into four major groups: Cereals, Pasture and Forages, Perennial crops and Others (such as vegetables, oilseed crops, fruits, nuts, etc.)

3.3. The number of studies is increasing over time

The total number of studies over time shows a sharp increase after 2010, particularly after 2015 (Figure 5). Over the three periods of approximately five years each, 2007-2014, 2015-2019, and 2020-2024, the number of studies grew by 62.5% and 53.85% in each subsequent period. Notably, the data for the most recent period only extends up to November 2024, meaning the full year's count is not yet complete. A closer year-by-year analysis reveals a sharp rise in the number of studies in 2016 and again between 2019 and 2021, while huge declines were observed in 2017 and 2023. ~~The total number of studies over time shows a sharp increase after 2010 (Figure 5). Between 2016 and 2021, they almost doubled the number of studies in the previous six-year period 2010-2015. A closer look by year shows a sharp increase in 2016 and 2019, while there's a big drop in 2017. Over the period from 2010 to 2022, the total number of studies in developing index insurance has shown a linear increasing trend. The two most popular indices, Weather and Satellite-based, also follow a similar trend.~~

Figure 5: Number of studies that developed index-based insurance through time from 2007 to 2024, divided into Non-Satellite-based Index and Satellite-based Index depending on data sources. The Satellite-based Index includes Satellite-based Weather Index (data from weather satellites), Satellite-based Land Surface Index (data from land surface Earth observation satellites), and Satellite-based Composite Index (a combination of multiple sources of non-satellite and satellite-based data).Number of studies that developed index-based insurance through time from 2007 to 2023, divided by types of index insurance: Weather-based index, Satellite-based index, and Hybrid index (combination of satellite-based vegetation indices and weather parameters). Note: Three studies on Area-yield index (in 2011, 2020 and 2021) and two studies developing Other indices using soil information (in 2016 and 2021) are not included in this figure.

3.4. ~~The development of non-satellite-based and satellite-based indices is balanced while satellite-based land surface index is gaining more interest.~~ ~~Weather-based index has always been the most popular while satellite-based index and hybrid index are gaining more interest~~

~~The analysis indicates that the number of studies developing Weather-based index insurance has been increasing consistently in the periods of 2014-2016, 2018-2019, and 2020-2021. The use of Satellite-based indices for insurance also follows a similar pattern during these periods, while there is no clear trend for other types of index insurance solutions. Throughout the entire review period, more than half (52.94%) of the studies focused on Weather-based index insurance (n=46).~~

~~The Satellite-based index holds the second place with 24 studies (approximately 28.24%), slightly more than half of the number for the Weather-based index. The combination of weather and vegetation parameters makes up the Hybrid type (n=11), accounting for 14.12% of all the studies. There are three studies (3.49%) on Area yield index and two studies (2.33%) developing Other indices (using soil information).~~

~~Among the satellite-based indices, NDVI is the most used vegetation index in satellite-based research. It accounts for 83.3% (n=20) of the studies that were conducted using satellite images. Other vegetation indices such as EVI, LAI, FAPAR, VCI, VHI, and TCI have also been utilized in other studies involving satellite-derived datasets.~~

~~In studies developing hybrid indices where satellite-based vegetation indices are combined with weather parameters (temperature, precipitation), NDVI is also the most popular vegetation index, appearing in 63.6% (n=7) of the studies. The remaining studies of the hybrid index have utilized FAPAR (n=2) and a group of VCI, TCI and VHI (n=2).~~

~~Combining Satellite-based and Hybrid indices, NDVI is still the most commonly used index extracted from satellite data with a total of 27 studies, accounting for approximately 77% of all studies using Satellite-based data.~~ The analysis indicates that the total number of studies developing non-satellite-based and satellite-based indices were balanced with 44 and 49 studies, respectively. The use of non-satellite-based data for index-based insurance was increased from the start of the study period to 2015 and has been staying stably since then. On the other hand, the satellite-based indices for insurance have grown fast since 2014 until 2024, except for a sharp decline in 2017.

Satellite-based land surface datasets were the most commonly used as inputs in 91% of studies (41 out of 49 studies) with satellite-based data. They were employed either independently to develop land surface indices (29 studies) or in combination with other weather datasets to develop composite indices (12 studies). In contrast, only four studies utilized data from weather satellites. The trend of developing satellite-based land surface indices has also increased rapidly, particularly after 2019, while the development of satellite-based composite indices firstly appeared in 2014 and remained relatively stable with one or two studies each year over the past decade, except for 2015, 2017 and the recent 2024.

NDVI is the most prominent vegetation index among satellite-based land surface data, featured in 30 out of 49 studies (61.2%) utilizing satellite imagery. Other vegetation indices, including EVI, LAI, FAPAR, VCI, VHI, and TCI, have also been applied in studies using satellite-derived datasets, either independently (7 studies) or alongside NDVI (16 studies).

As components in composite indices (12 studies), vegetation indices (10 studies) also stood out as the most popular over soil moisture (2 studies) retrieved from land surface satellite data.

3.5. Biweekly medium spatial resolution dataset is more preferred, high spatial resolution dataset is gaining more favour in recent years

~~During the period covered by this review from 2007-2023, satellite datasets have been employed in 39 studies with 49 times in total in different studies with medium spatial resolution Earth observation satellites (EOS), including AVHRR, MODIS, SPOT VGT/Proba-V and GRASS LAI, taking the dominance (81.6%). Among the medium spatial resolution EOS, AVHRR (with a varied spatial resolution of 1km, 4km and 8km) and MODIS (different products with a spatial resolution of 250m and 500m) are the most popular datasets that have been used for 15 and 21 times respectively, accounting for 37.5% and 52.5% of all medium spatial resolution datasets, with a relatively steady increase over time from 2007 to 2023 (Figure 6 and Table 1). SPOT VGT/Proba-V has been used three times, while GRASS LAI has been employed once.~~During the period covered by this review from 2007-2024, satellite datasets from different sources have been employed in 49 studies, among which, data from weather satellites were utilized in 11 studies, and those from land surface, or Earth observation satellites were employed in 41 studies.

Detail analysis on datasets from Earth observation satellites (EOS) reveals the dominance of data at medium spatial resolution, including AVHRR, MODIS, SPOT VGT/Proba-V and GRASS LAI. They were accounted for 84% of the EOS datasets (42 out of 50) used in developing index-based insurance. Among the medium spatial resolution EOS, AVHRR (with a varied spatial resolution of 1km, 4km and 8km) and MODIS (different products with a spatial resolution of 250m and 500m) are the most popular datasets that have been used for 15 and 22 times respectively, accounting for 33.3% and 52.4% of all medium spatial resolution datasets, with a relatively steady increase over time from 2007 to 2022 (Figure 6 and Table 1). SPOT VGT/Proba-V has been used four times, while GRASS LAI has been employed once.

Figure 6: The use of medium and high spatial resolution Earth observation satellite (EOS) datasets over years

Since 2020, high spatial resolution satellite datasets like Landsat and Sentinel have been used either independently or paired with medium spatial resolution datasets for 3 and 5 times, respectively. However, among the studies, high spatial resolution data were not used as time series for four times with Sentinel images, while Landsat images were only selected at specific times of the year, corresponding to key phenological phases of crops or interested events. Moreover, in each of the studies, depending on the data preparation process, the high spatial resolution satellite datasets were kept in their original spatial resolution or resampled to a certain resolution to ensure consistency with other datasets used in the analysis. Two studies utilized high spatial resolution satellite data (i.e., Landsat 8 and Sentinel 2) without any spatial aggregation, directly calculating the index for insurance at the plot level. In other studies, high spatial resolution datasets were resampled to coarser resolution to match with other datasets in the analysis, or aggregated to the insurance unit, whose size varies from farm level to village, division, district, county to zone, agro-ecological homogeneous zones (AHZ) and country levels.

360 **Table 1:** The use of satellite-based datasets as time series with different spatial resolutions. Studies that used satellite data at specific times of the year, rather than as continuous time series, were excluded.~~The use of satellite-based datasets with different spatial resolutions~~

		One dataset only	In combination with other datasets	Total number of usages	Average length of time series (years)
Medium spatial resolution	AVHRR	~~10~~11	~~4~~5	15	~~21.8~~22.7
	MODIS	~~13~~16	~~8~~6	~~21~~22	14.6
	SPOT VGT/Proba-V	0	~~3~~4	~~3~~4	~~8.0~~6.8
	GRASS LAI	1	0	1	16.0
High spatial resolution	~~Landsat~~Sentinel~~-8~~	0	~~3~~4	~~3~~4	~~3.0~~7.7

In terms of temporal dimension, satellite data have been employed in the studies as a time series with varied length and temporal
365 resolution. Studies using medium spatial resolution have much longer time series than those with high spatial resolution (Table 1). AVHRR datasets, available from 1981 until 2019, have been employed with the highest average length of the time series (22.7 years) with a maximum length of 38 years in one study and 32 years in two other studies. MODIS datasets, available from 2000 until present, have also been used with long time series with an average of 14.6 years. The Landsat dataset, a high spatial resolution with the longest archive, however, were not used as a time series. The images were only selected at some
370 dates with specific criteria of cloud cover and time coverage over growing seasons. Sentinel datasets, including Sentinel 1, 2 and 3 were utilized in a short time series of three years.

The various time series datasets were used at different temporal resolutions. Biweekly and 10-day are the most popular temporal resolution that were employed 16 times each in the studies. Weekly datasets were utilized 8 times, while daily and monthly datasets were the least used with four and two times, respectively (Figure 7).
375 The average length of the time series from satellite-based land surface datasets was 15.6 years, however, they were varied at different temporal resolutions (Figure 7). The monthly time series had the longest average length of 23 years, followed by the biweekly and weekly datasets with the average length of 19.6 and 19 years, respectively. The 10-day and daily datasets had the shortest averaged length of 12.9 and 14.8 years, respectively.~~Since 2015, high spatial resolution satellite datasets like Landsat 8, Sentinel 2, SPOT 4 and SPOT 6, and Formosat 2 have been used either alone or paired with medium spatial~~
380 ~~resolution data nine times, accounting for 18.4% of all studies. The use of high spatial resolution datasets has been increasing since 2020 with the availability of the Sentinel constellation together with Landsat. SPOT 4 and SPOT 6 and Formosat 2 have been used together only once in a study in 2015.~~
~~In each of the studies, depending on the data preparation process, the satellite datasets were kept in their original spatial resolution or resampled to a certain resolution to ensure consistency with other datasets used in the analysis. Two studies~~

385 ~~utilized high spatial resolution satellite data (such as Landsat 8, Sentinel 2, SPOT 4 and SPOT 6, and Formosat-2) without any spatial aggregation, directly calculating the index for insurance at the plot level. In other studies, high spatial resolution datasets were resampled to coarser resolution to match with other datasets in the analysis, or aggregated to the insurance unit, whose size varies from farm level to village, division, district, county to zone, agro-ecological homogeneous zones (AHZ) and country levels.~~

390 ~~In terms of temporal dimension, satellite data have been employed in the studies as a time series with varied length and temporal resolution. Studies using medium spatial resolution have much longer time series than those with high spatial resolution (Table 1). AVHRR datasets, available from 1981 until present, have been employed with the highest average length of the time series (21.8 years) with a maximum length of 32 years in two studies. MODIS datasets, available from 2000 until present, have also been used with long time series with an average of 14.6 years. The Landsat dataset, a high spatial resolution with the longest~~

395 ~~archive, also shows the appearance of the most extended time series among high spatial resolution datasets with an average of 7.7 years. The Sentinel 2 dataset, available since 2015, has been employed with a shorter time series, averaging 2.8 years. Different temporal resolutions have been used in the various datasets analysed. The popular temporal resolutions that have been employed are biweekly (n=19), 10-day (n=11) and weekly (n=9), while daily and monthly datasets have been used much less with two times each (Figure 7). Besides, six datasets have been used in undefined temporal resolution, which are the cases~~

400 ~~of high spatial resolution datasets (Landsat, Sentinel and Formosat 2) when only some satellite images have been selected per year with specific criteria of cloud cover and time coverage over growing seasons.~~

~~Figure 7 illustrates the average length of datasets at different temporal resolutions. The average length of the monthly time series (18 years) is slightly longer than that of weekly (16.56 years), 10-day (16 years) and biweekly (15.68 years) datasets, while daily time series have a bit shorter length (12.5 years). The shortest time series with an average of 4.67 years are the~~

405 ~~high spatial resolution datasets. Overall, the average length of datasets employed in all studies is 14.53 years, much longer than that of high spatial resolution datasets (Table 1).~~

Figure 7: Average length of study (years) and temporal resolution of EOS satellite data. Blue columns show the number of studies using datasets with different temporal resolutions such as daily, weekly, 10-day, biweekly, and monthly. Blue dots and error bars represent the average length and standard deviation of the study period (number of years) of the corresponding temporal resolution. Note: There are six studies that are not included in this figure because they used the datasets containing satellite images at different points in time through the study period without a fixed frequency. The average length among the six studies is 4.67 years.

However, the temporal resolution of the time series might be different from that of the index insurance, which is based on crop phenology or general calendar. Therefore, approximately 66% of the raw time series data ~~is~~ was processed to generate statistics such as average, maximum, minimum, anomaly, etc. over a specified period, such as a month or a crop growing phase or season. In some other cases where the studies employed time series with different temporal resolutions, satellite-derived datasets are resampled to a specific temporal resolution to have consistent datasets for analysis.

4. Discussion

420 The objective of the systematic quantitative literature review (SQLR) was to investigate the usage and scope, especially for smallholder farmers in developing countries, of satellite data in agricultural index-based insurance. The review comprises ~~86~~ 89 peer-reviewed journal articles from 34 countries, covering four major types of crops. Cereals were the most frequently studied crop, likely because of their global extent and essential role in food security. Perennial crops were underrepresented with only four studies, accounting for 4.7% of all studies.

425 Academic research in index-based insurance using satellite data is primarily focused on developing countries in Africa and Asia. However, this research is not uniformly distributed, as it tends to concentrate on a few specific countries like Kenya and Ethiopia in Africa, and China in Asia. This could be because international donor agencies have historically focused on these countries to manage climate risks; thus, leaving a gap in multiple important agricultural production regions such as Southeast Asia, South America, and other countries in Africa.

430 The use of satellite imagery is increasing over time, mostly leveraging freely available datasets with long historical archives and medium spatial resolution. Since 2020, there has been an increase in studies using high spatial resolution satellite data, which are often combined with medium spatial resolution datasets and aggregated to coarser resolution, depending on the desired insurance solutions.

To develop an index insurance solution, the employed datasets have an average length of approximately 15.6 years, which is 435 much longer than that of high spatial resolution datasets. Biweekly and 10-day frequency is the most favoured over other temporal resolutions, although satellite images are usually accumulated over a specific period of time or corresponding to crop-specific growth phases.

The review suggests that only a few studies have developed index-based insurance for perennial crops. This may be due to the fact that these crops are not included in government subsidy programs, and growers have substantial equity to manage financial 440 risks, making insurance unnecessary. However, as the climate becomes more variable, there could be an opportunity to develop index-based insurance for perennial crops in developing countries, especially where these crops are crucial to economic values and food security.

To develop suitable satellite-based insurance solutions that provide targeted coverage, more attention is required to assess the capability of satellite-based data in reducing basis risk at multiple spatial and temporal resolutions.

445 **4.1. African, Asian, and European countries are where index-based insurance is focused**

~~There is a moderate balance in the total number of studies in Africa, Asia and Europe. However, the studies are focused on some specific countries such as China in Asia, Ethiopia and Kenya in Africa, Germany in Europe, and the United States in North America. Developing countries, especially in Southeast Asia and South America, on the other hand, have not been attracting interest from academia to develop index-based insurance solutions despite their important role in global agriculture~~ 450 ~~production, especially various cash crops with high economic values.~~There is a moderate balance in the total number of studies

in Africa and Asia, which are prominent regions for developing index-based insurance for crops due to several interconnected factors. Firstly, these regions are highly vulnerable to climate risks with many countries heavily dependent on rain-fed agriculture; thus, index-based insurance solutions are essential to help mitigate risks from climate variability and extreme weather events such as droughts, floods, and other climatic shocks that significantly affect agricultural productivity. Secondly, large populations in these regions are dependent on agriculture for livelihood. Providing insurance solutions to such smallholder farmers would enable them to safeguard their income and adopt climate-resilient practices. Thirdly, index-based insurance, with its advantages of relying on pre-determined indices, offers a more viable alternative to the traditional indemnity-based insurance, which is often inaccessible or expensive in these regions due to the high administrative costs and the lack of data infrastructure for loss assessment. And last but not least, the initiatives and supports by international organizations such as the World Bank, CGIAR, and regional governments have recognized and implemented various programs in index-based insurance in the regions, particularly in Africa, to improve financial solutions and build resilience among smallholder farmers (Barnett et al., 2008; Barnett and Mahul, 2007; Osumba et al., 2023; Skees, 2008).

However, this review also reveals that within the most interested regions in the world, current studies were focused on some specific countries such as China in Asia, Ethiopia and Kenya in Africa. Developing countries, especially in Southeast Asia and South America, on the other hand, have not been attracting interests from academia to develop index-based insurance solutions despite their important role in global agriculture production, especially various cash crops with high economic values, and their vulnerability to climate variability and extreme weather events. Hence, more studies are needed in those underrepresented regions to support smallholder farmers in agricultural risk management.

Input data is the crucial part of any model to develop an effective index-based insurance. However, weather ground station densities in developing countries in general are usually low, and thus not sufficient for insurance purposes. Current studies in this review have shown promising results in using satellite data to design index-based insurance contracts in developing countries, especially in the Africa region (Chantarat et al., 2013; Eze et al., 2020; Makaudze and Miranda, 2010; Turvey and Mclaurin, 2012). Hence, satellite-based data provides an alternative solution to overcome the weather data constraint.

4.2. Grain cereal crops are the most studied type of index-based insurance

Cereals, the group of annual grain crops, are the most studied globally. This result is well aligned with their vital role in human diets, global food security and economics. According to production data from FAOSTAT in 2021, the global harvested areas for cereals reached 739.4 million hectares with a production of over three billion tons (FAOSTAT). There is greater interest in Pasture and Forages in Africa than in other continents. Livestock plays a significant role in Africa, accounting for one-third of the global livestock population and producing 40% of the agricultural GDP of African countries (Balehegn et al., 2021). Severe drought from 2008 to 2011 resulted in the total loss of livestock deaths worth billions of US dollars, with thousands of pastoralists losing their herds, which is their primary source of livelihood. Consequently, several programs have been implemented in African countries to develop livestock insurance, especially in Ethiopia and Kenya.

~~Perennial crops have not received as much attention as other crops, possibly due to the complexity of their crop cycles. These crops are often cash crops, which means they may not be eligible for government subsidies and may have enough equity to recover from climate disasters. Any existing studies on perennial crops may only be found in private sector-specific programs, making them inaccessible to the public and out of the scope of this review.~~ Cereals, the group of annual grain crops, are the most studied globally. This result is well aligned with their vital role in human diets, global food security and economics. Cereals, including rice, wheat, and maize, are staple crops that form the backbone of diets for a significant portion of the global population. Their reliability and production are crucial for global food security, especially in regions prone to climate risks like Asia and Africa. According to production data from FAOSTAT in 2021, the global harvested areas for cereals reached 739.4 million hectares with a production of over three billion tons (FAOSTAT). Besides, cereals contribute substantially to agricultural GDP in many countries. For smallholder farmers, particularly in developing nations, protecting cereal production is vital for maintaining livelihoods and reducing poverty. Nevertheless, cereals are highly susceptible to climate variability and extreme weather events, such as droughts and floods. These vulnerabilities make them a critical focus for risk management strategies like index-based insurance, which aims to mitigate the impacts of weather-related crop losses. Besides, studies have shown that satellite-based data, such as vegetation health indices, is particularly suited to monitor cereal crops, providing science-based evidence on the potential to be utilized to develop index-based insurance solutions (Abdi et al., 2022; Bobojonov et al., 2014; Bokusheva et al., 2016; Nieto et al., 2010; Stojanovski et al., 2015). Hence, grain cereal crops have consistently been at the forefront of agricultural research and development, including efforts to create effective risk transfer solutions.

Furthermore, there was a greater interest in Pasture and Forages in Africa than in other continents due to their essential role in providing livelihoods to populations in the region. Livestock production constitutes a significant part of Africa's agricultural economy, representing approximately one-third of the global livestock population and contributing about 40% of the agricultural GDP of African countries (Balehegn et al., 2021). Pasture and forage availability directly affects livestock health and productivity, making it a vital resource for pastoralist and agro-pastoralist communities. Extreme weather events, particularly severe droughts from 2008 to 2011 led to billions of dollars in losses due to livestock deaths, leaving thousands of pastoralists losing their primary source of livelihood, have underscored the need for robust risk management solutions. Addressing such urgency for tailored insurance mechanisms to protect pastoralists from climate shocks, several programs from international donors such as the Index-Based Livestock Insurance (IBLI) have been implemented in African countries, especially in Ethiopia and Kenya. Such initiatives utilizing satellite-based data have sparked growing interests in index-based insurance solutions for livestock in the region.

In contrast, perennial crops have not received as much attention as other crops, possibly due to the complexity of their crop cycles and lack of interest from local governments and international donors. Firstly, perennial crops have extended lifespan, involving multiple phases such as establishment, maturation, production, and decline (Cannell, 1985; Júnior et al., 2013; Moriondo et al., 2015; Villalobos et al., 2023). The impacts of environmental factors on yield loss, thus, can vary substantially across different stages of their prolonged lifecycle, making it difficult to establish a clear and consistent correlation between yield losses and stressors. Consequently, this lack of predictability would pose significant challenges for the development of

Despite the limited studies available, a recent study on Perennial crops shows promising results. The study developed an index-based insurance using satellite data for non-irrigated olives in Spain. Three satellite indices related to vegetation conditions (VCI, VHI, and TCI), derived from MODIS with meteorological indices as benchmarks, were used to develop different index insurance contracts. The hedging effectiveness result shows that VCI- and VHI-based index insurance contracts outperformed the traditional weather index with only weather variables (Kölle et al., 2021). Besides, the applications of satellite-based indices for annual crops also show that such data are suitable for developing index insurance (Bokusheva et al., 2016), and even outperformed weather-based IBI options (Brock Porth et al., 2020; Möllmann et al., 2019). As climate change results in increased variability and financial losses, and with the availability of high-resolution satellite data, it will become increasingly important to develop insurance solutions appropriate for perennial crops, in addition to traditional crops.

4.3. Satellite-based datasets show potential to reduce basis risk

4.3.1. High-resolution satellite data can reduce basis risk, but longer time series are needed

The analysis shows that medium spatial resolution satellite datasets are favoured over high spatial resolution (Figure 6), which might be due to a lack of evidence on the effectiveness of high spatial resolution on reducing spatial basis risk, and adequately long time series. To the best of the author's knowledge, there are only two studies exploring the potential to reduce basis risk of high-resolution data by comparing hedging effectiveness of insurance contracts developed using data at different spatial resolutions. ~~Results from these studies by Kölle et al. (2021) comparing MODIS (at two spatial resolutions) and Landsat 5/8, and Eltazarov et al. (2023) comparing original and downscaled gridded climate data, show that increasing the spatial resolution of the datasets can increase the hedging effectiveness of index-based insurance contracts, resulting in a lower basis risk. However, the two studies only considered wheat. Therefore, further research is required to assess the effectiveness of index-based insurance contracts with distinct datasets for different crops, regions, and spatial resolutions.~~(Kölle et al.~~,~~ (2021~~)~~) compared MODIS (at two spatial resolutions) and Landsat 5/8 using different models to determine hedging effectiveness. The results show that the hedging effectiveness of the insurance products was positive but varied considerably among indices and study areas. Among them, VHI-, VCI- and TCI-based insurance solutions showed higher average hedging effectiveness (38%, 38% and 29%, respectively) than precipitation and temperature-based insurance contracts (29% and 16% respectively). The study by (Eltazarov et al., 2023a~~)~~) found that comparing to original resolution, using the downscaled gridded climate data increased the hedging effectiveness for precipitation, temperature, and soil moisture. They also found that the hedging effectiveness were varied among indices and regions, between a minimum of 1% for temperature in Kazakhstan to a maximum of 9% for precipitation in Mongolia, except for soil moisture in Kazakhstan showing no improvement. However, the two

studies only considered wheat. Therefore, further research is required to assess the effectiveness of index-based insurance contracts with distinct datasets for different crops, regions, and spatial resolutions.

The review also shows that high-resolution satellite datasets were not used alone, but in combination with other medium-resolution datasets (Table 1) (Table 1). The reason for this might be the effect of cloud cover resulting in limited high-resolution satellite images available over a specific time period (Kölle et al., 2021). To overcome cloud cover, (Kölle et al., 2021) selected only Landsat 5/8 without cloud and MODIS images were chosen correspondingly and corresponding MODIS images. However, this solution limited the study within some selected years as there are trade-offs regarding spatial and temporal resolutions of satellite imagery (Warner et al., 2009). Utilizing a fusion of different datasets from multiple sensors might be a promising solution to gain a high spatial resolution with adequately long historical time series for insurance purposes due to potential effects on insurance premiums (Vrieling et al., 2014). Furthermore, data fusion is not restricted to optical sensors but can also include radar data, which is available throughout any weather condition, either day or night, ensuring continuous and gap-free time series (Pott et al., 2021; Van Tricht et al., 2018). Besides, commitments from the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the European Space Agency (ESA) to provide long-term satellite constellations free of charge will maintain the long historical archive of high-resolution satellite data for public access for multiple purposes. This presents an opportunity for further exploration into the use of such data to develop index-based insurance solutions in developing countries.

Furthermore, the development of composite insurance indices has shown a steady increase in recent years (Figure 5). This trend is likely driven by the promising results of combining multiple variables within a single index. Such composite indices allow multiple variables to complement and enhance one another, resulting in a more comprehensive proxy for crop performance overcoming the limitations of using a single variable as a proxy to crop yield (Eze et al., 2020; Murthy et al., 2024). Moreover, studies have also highlighted the value of integrating multiple independent satellite-based datasets into index-based insurance solutions. This approach offers a broader representation of the hydrological cycle and improves the ability to capture spatial variations in extreme weather events, especially at regional and global scales. The use of diverse datasets not only enhances the reliability of the indices but also increases their adaptability to different environmental and agricultural contexts, ultimately improving the resilience of insurance systems (Enenkel et al., 2018; Tarnavsky et al., 2018). Additionally, high-resolution satellite images can also contribute indirectly to reducing spatial basis risk by capturing commodity dissimilarities within a complex and heterogeneous landscape or diversified crop rotations that were put together within an insurance unit, especially at higher levels such as district than provincial or regional levels (Eltazarov et al., 2023a, b; Möllmann et al., 2019; Van Tricht et al., 2018). Hence, a precise commodity map, possibly developed from high-resolution satellite images, would be considered in data preparation to ensure consistent information on specific commodity delineation for model inputs.

4.3.2. Biweekly dataset is the most preferred, more frequent data might be useful to map crop phenology to adjust time period of index insurance

The results presented in Figure 7 indicate that satellite-based data with biweekly, weekly and 10-day frequency resolution are more preferred as compared to daily data. ~~This is because daily data is usually composited to a lower frequency to remove cloud cover. Additionally, input data is accumulated over a specific time window corresponding to a normal calendar or a crop growth phase, which is usually a few months long. Thus, the temporal resolutions from weekly to biweekly might be adequate. However, the effectiveness of using data at higher temporal resolution in developing index-based insurance still needs to be further explored. This is because such high frequency data can capture temporal fluctuations and outliers that might be reduced after aggregation.~~ Such products are usually produced by compositing daily data to a lower frequency to remove cloud cover. Additionally, input data was pre-processed to accumulate over a specific time window corresponding to a normal calendar or a crop growth phase, which is usually a few months long. Thus, the temporal resolutions from weekly to biweekly might be adequate. However, high frequency data can capture temporal fluctuations and outliers that might be reduced after aggregation. Hence, further studies are needed to explore the effectiveness of using data at higher temporal resolution in developing index-based insurance.

To link with spatial resolution, it is necessary to note that all datasets with favoured temporal frequency are at medium spatial resolution. Because of cloud cover, high spatial resolution satellite data can only be acquired at certain periods. Thus, it is challenging to obtain a consistent, no-gap time series of sufficient length for insurance purposes. The issue of data availability has limited the use of high spatial resolution datasets with much shorter lengths of study ~~(Table 1)~~ (Table 1). Again, the fusion of different datasets is proposed to create longer historical time series as inputs to models to better capture temporal variations in developing the index for insurance (Vrieling et al., 2014).

Moreover, studies have shown the potential to reduce temporal basis risk with crop phenological phases or flexible index design at different time windows compared to using fixed-period accumulated data (Conradt et al., 2015; Dalhaus et al., 2018; Leblois et al., 2014). However, traditional crop phenological data collection methods involve field observations, which are costly and site-specific and can lead to spatial basis risk when applied to other locations over long distances. To overcome this data limitation, high-frequency satellite data with the capability to map crop key growth phases, even in near real-time, can contribute indirectly to reducing temporal basis risk by identifying crop phenology, especially anomalies of early or late start of the growth phases with impacts on crop production (Gao and Zhang, 2021; Murthy et al., 2024). Furthermore, phenological information extracted from satellite-based data can also capture the heterogeneity in the timing of specific crop growth stages, which are used not only to disaggregate predictor values to improve crop yield estimation but also to adjust the time period of index insurance contracts (Afshar et al., 2021; Gao et al., 2017).

4.4. Satellite data-based index crop insurance solutions are expected to be prevalent in developing countries

While weather driver index-based insurance is an affordable and transparent method of providing insurance coverage, the widespread adoption has been limited due to the lack of available data, particularly in developing countries, and risks associated

with the policy. Satellite-based index insurance can help solve these issues by providing datasets with appropriate length and spatial resolution, leading to the increased adoption of index-based insurance in developing countries. Given the availability of satellite data and the fact that many datasets are freely available, satellite-based data will become increasingly important in designing crop insurance, especially in data-sparse environments in developing countries.

The insurance industry is increasingly relying on satellite data to assess and manage risks more effectively. However, to fully leverage satellite datasets, some challenges need to be addressed. One of the most significant challenges is to ensure that the data remains continuously available. Without this, the practical and enhanced use of satellite data will be limited. Therefore, besides making free datasets available, ensuring the data's accessibility will be a crucial task for the industry to overcome.

4.5 Limitations of the study

As already mentioned in the Methodology section, the review only includes peer-reviewed papers that are accessible through the abovementioned databases. Materials from grey literature, including working papers, project reports, and so on, are not included, especially those that are under commercial property and are not accessible for research purposes. Given the importance of such materials, the results of this systematic quantitative literature review could~~an~~ be improved with different points of view and discussion from such limited-access literature. Finally, our study was quantitative (e.g. it focused on assessing the number of studies using satellite-based data across different countries and crop types to help identify important knowledge gaps). However, we do acknowledge for the need for future qualitative analysis (e.g. using NVivo) which could be useful for identifying important themes and trends in the literature which were not captured with our quantitative approach.

5 Conclusion

~~Our analyses have indicated the increasing trend in developing index-based insurance since 2010, especially from 2016-2021 with almost double the number of studies in the previous period from 2010-2015. There is a balance in the number of studies in Africa, Asia and Europe. However, attention has been placed on specific countries and not adequately spread across different regions, especially developing countries. Most studies have focused on cereal crops, with fewer studies focusing on perennial crops.~~

~~Satellite-based data have been employed and shown their effectiveness in designing index-based insurance for diverse crops. Among them, satellite datasets at a biweekly frequency and medium spatial resolution are the most favoured. NDVI is the most commonly used satellite-retrieved vegetation index while some vegetation health indices even outperformed weather variables.~~

~~The review suggests that satellite-based datasets will become increasingly important in designing and developing crop index-based insurance products. This is due to their potential to reduce basis risk by providing high-resolution with adequately long and consistent datasets for data-sparse conditions. The review recommends using high spatial and temporal resolution satellite-based datasets to further assess their capability to reduce basis risk.~~

Our analyses have indicated the increasing trend in developing index-based insurance since 2010, especially after 2015 until 2024 with a more than 50% increase rate for each subsequent five-year period. Asia and Africa regions have attracted the highest interests with the highest number of studies. However, attention has been placed on specific countries and not adequately spread across different regions, especially developing countries. Most studies have focused on grain cereal crops, with fewer studies focusing on perennial crops.

Satellite-based data have been employed and shown their effectiveness in designing index-based insurance for diverse crops. Among them, satellite datasets at a biweekly and 10-day frequency and medium spatial resolution are the most favoured. NDVI is the most commonly used satellite-retrieved vegetation index while some vegetation health indices even outperformed weather variables.

The review suggests that satellite-based datasets will become increasingly important in designing and developing crop index-based insurance products. This is due to their potential to reduce basis risk by providing high-resolution with adequately long and consistent datasets for data-sparse conditions. The review recommends using high spatial and temporal resolution satellite-based datasets to further assess their capability to reduce basis risk for index-based insurance solutions.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table S1: Systematic Quantitative Literature Review (SQLR) table

Author contribution

TN, SM, JK, TNH and LR: Conceptualization; TN performed the Data curation, Methodology, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing - original draft; TN, SM, JK, TNH and LR: Writing - review and editing; SM: Funding acquisition.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank for the support from the International Climate Initiative (IKI) of the German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK).

References

Abdi, M. J., Raffar, N., Zulkafli, Z., Nurulhuda, K., Rehan, B. M., Muharam, F. M., Khosim, N. A., and Tangang, F.: Index-based insurance and hydroclimatic risk management in agriculture: A systematic review of index selection and yield-index modelling methods, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 67, 102653, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102653, 2022.

Adeyinka, A. A., Kath, J., Nguyen-Huy, T., Mushtaq, S., Souvignet, M., Range, M., and Barratt, J.: Global disparities in agricultural climate index-based insurance research, Climate Risk Management, 35, 100394, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2022.100394, 2022.

Afshar, M. H., Foster, T., Higginbottom, T. P., Parkes, B., Hufkens, K., Mansabdar, S., Ceballos, F., and Kramer, B.: Improving the Performance of Index Insurance Using Crop Models and Phenological Monitoring, Remote Sensing, 13, 924, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13050924, 2021.

Balehegn, M., Kebreab, E., Tolera, A., Hunt, S., Erickson, P., Crane, T. A., and Adesogan, A. T.: Livestock sustainability research in Africa with a focus on the environment, Animal Frontiers, 11, 47–56, https://doi.org/10.1093/af/vfab034, 2021.

Barnett, B. J. and Mahul, O.: Weather Index Insurance for Agriculture and Rural Areas in Lower-Income Countries, American J Agri Economics, 89, 1241–1247, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8276.2007.01091.x, 2007.

Barnett, B. J., Barrett, C. B., and Skees, J. R.: Poverty Traps and Index-Based Risk Transfer Products, World Development, 36, 1766–1785, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2007.10.016, 2008.

Bobojonov, I., Aw-Hassan, A., and Sommer, R.: Index-based insurance for climate risk management and rural development in Syria, Climate and Development, 6, 166–178, https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2013.844676, 2014.

Bokusheva, R., Kogan, F., Vitkovskaya, I., Conradt, S., and Batyrbayeva, M.: Satellite-based vegetation health indices as a criteria for insuring against drought-related yield losses, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 220, 200–206, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.12.066, 2016.

Boyd, M., Porth, B., Porth, L., and Turenne, D.: The Impact of Spatial Interpolation Techniques on Spatial Basis Risk for Weather Insurance: An Application to Forage Crops, North American Actuarial Journal, 23, 412–433, https://doi.org/10.1080/10920277.2019.1566074, 2019.

Brock Porth, C., Porth, L., Zhu, W., Boyd, M., Tan, K. S., and Liu, K.: Remote Sensing Applications for Insurance: A Predictive Model for Pasture Yield in the Presence of Systemic Weather, North American Actuarial Journal, 24, 333–354, https://doi.org/10.1080/10920277.2020.1717345, 2020.

Cannell, M. G. R.: Physiology of the Coffee Crop, in: Coffee, edited by: Clifford, M. N. and Willson, K. C., Springer US, Boston, MA, 108–134, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-6657-1_5, 1985.

Carter, M., De Janvry, A., Sadoulet, E., and Sarris, A.: Index Insurance for Developing Country Agriculture: A Reassessment, Annu. Rev. Resour. Econ., 9, 421–438, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-resource-100516-053352, 2017.

Carter, M. R.: Index-based weather insurance for developing countries: a review of evidence and a set of propositions for up-scaling, n.d.

Carter, M. R., Cheng, L., and Sarris, A.: Where and how index insurance can boost the adoption of improved agricultural technologies, Journal of Development Economics, 118, 59–71, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2015.08.008, 2016.

Chantarat, S., Mude, A. G., Barrett, C. B., and Carter, M. R.: Designing Index-Based Livestock Insurance for Managing Asset Risk in Northern Kenya, Journal of Risk and Insurance, 80, 205–237, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1539-6975.2012.01463.x, 2013.

705 Conradt, S., Finger, R., and Spörri, M.: Flexible weather index-based insurance design, Climate Risk Management, 10, 106–117, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2015.06.003, 2015.

Dalhaus, T. and Finger, R.: Can Gridded Precipitation Data and Phenological Observations Reduce Basis Risk of Weather Index–Based Insurance?, Weather, Climate, and Society, 8, 409–419, https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-16-0020.1, 2016.

Dalhaus, T., Musshoff, O., and Finger, R.: Phenology Information Contributes to Reduce Temporal Basis Risk in Agricultural Weather Index Insurance, Sci Rep, 8, 46, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18656-5, 2018.

710 De Leeuw, J., Vrieling, A., Shee, A., Atzberger, C., Hadgu, K., Biradar, C., Keah, H., and Turvey, C.: The Potential and Uptake of Remote Sensing in Insurance: A Review, Remote Sensing, 6, 10888–10912, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs61110888, 2014.

Eltazarov, S., Bobojonov, I., Kuhn, L., and Glauben, T.: Improving risk reduction potential of weather index insurance by spatially downscaling gridded climate data - a machine learning approach, Big Earth Data, 1–24, 715 https://doi.org/10.1080/20964471.2023.2196830, 2023a.

Eltazarov, S., Bobojonov, I., Kuhn, L., and Glauben, T.: The role of crop classification in detecting wheat yield variation for index-based agricultural insurance in arid and semiarid environments, Environmental and Sustainability Indicators, 18, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indic.2023.100250, 2023b.

Enenkel, M., Farah, C., Hain, C., White, A., Anderson, M., You, L., Wagner, W., and Osgood, D.: What rainfall does not tell 720 us-enhancing financial instruments with satellite-derived soil moisture and evaporative stress, Remote Sensing, 10, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111819, 2018.

Eze, E., Girma, A., Zenebe, A. A., and Zenebe, G.: Feasible crop insurance indexes for drought risk management in Northern Ethiopia, International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 47, 101544, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101544, 2020.

ESS: Crops Statistics - Concepts, Definitions and Classifications: https://www.fao.org/economic/the-statistics-division-725 ess/methodology/methodology-systems/crops-statistics-concepts-definitions-and-classifications/en/, last access: 8 February 2024.

FAOSTAT: https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data.

Fisher, E., Hellin, J., Greatrex, H., and Jensen, N.: Index insurance and climate risk management: Addressing social equity, Dev Policy Rev, 37, 581–602, https://doi.org/10.1111/dpr.12387, 2019.

730 Gao, F. and Zhang, X.: Mapping Crop Phenology in Near Real-Time Using Satellite Remote Sensing: Challenges and Opportunities, J Remote Sens, 2021, 2021/8379391, https://doi.org/10.34133/2021/8379391, 2021.

Gao, F., Anderson, M. C., Zhang, X., Yang, Z., Alfieri, J. G., Kustas, W. P., Mueller, R., Johnson, D. M., and Prueger, J. H.: Toward mapping crop progress at field scales through fusion of Landsat and MODIS imagery, Remote Sensing of Environment, 188, 9–25, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2016.11.004, 2017.

735 Index Insurance Forum: https://www.indexinsuranceforum.org/faq/what-are-different-types-%E2%80%9Ccrop%E2%80%9D-index-insurance, last access: 8 February 2024.

Júnior, A. F. C., Júnior, O. A. D. C., Martins, É. D. S., and Guerra, A. F.: PHENOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION OF COFFEE CROP (Coffea arabica L.) FROM MODIS TIME SERIES, Rev. Bras. Geof., 31, 569, https://doi.org/10.22564/rbgf.v31i4.338, 2013.

740   Kath, J., Mushtaq, S., Henry, R., Adeyinka, A., and Stone, R.: Index insurance benefits agricultural producers exposed to excessive rainfall risk, Weather and Climate Extremes, 22, 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.10.003, 2018.

Kath, J., Mushtaq, S., Henry, R., Adeyinka, A. A., Stone, R., Marcussen, T., and Kouadio, L.: Spatial variability in regional scale drought index insurance viability across Australia's wheat growing regions, Climate Risk Management, 24, 13–29, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.04.002, 2019.

745   Kölle, W., Martínez Salgueiro, A., Buchholz, M., and Musshoff, O.: Can satellite-based weather index insurance improve the hedging of yield risk of perennial non-irrigated olive trees in Spain?*, Aus J Agri & Res Econ, 65, 66–93, https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8489.12403, 2021.

Leblois, A., Quirion, P., Alhassane, A., and Traoré, S.: Weather Index Drought Insurance: An Ex Ante Evaluation for Millet Growers in Niger, Environ Resource Econ, 57, 527–551, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-013-9641-3, 2014.

750   Lichtenberg, E. and Iglesias, E.: Index insurance and basis risk: A reconsideration, Journal of Development Economics, 158, 102883, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2022.102883, 2022.

Makaudze, E. M. and Miranda, M. J.: Catastrophic drought insurance based on the remotely sensed normalised difference vegetation index for smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe, Agrekon, 49, 418–432, https://doi.org/10.1080/03031853.2010.526690, 2010.

755   Miranda, M. J. and Farrin, K.: Index Insurance for Developing Countries, Applied Eco Perspectives Pol, 34, 391–427, https://doi.org/10.1093/aepp/pps031, 2012.

Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., and The PRISMA Group: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement, PLoS Med, 6, e1000097, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097, 2009.

760   Möllmann, J., Buchholz, M., and Musshoff, O.: Comparing the Hedging Effectiveness of Weather Derivatives Based on Remotely Sensed Vegetation Health Indices and Meteorological Indices, Weather, Climate, and Society, 11, 33–48, https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-17-0127.1, 2019.

Moriondo, M., Ferrise, R., Trombi, G., Brilli, L., Dibari, C., and Bindi, M.: Modelling olive trees and grapevines in a changing climate, Environmental Modelling & Software, 72, 387–401, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.12.016, 2015.

765   Murthy, C. S., Choudhary, K. K., Pandey, V., Srikanth, P., Ramasubramanian, S., Kumar, G. S., Poddar, M. K., Milesi, C., and Nemani, R.: Transformative crop insurance solution with big earth data: Implementation for potato in India, Climate Risk Management, 45, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2024.100622, 2024.

Mushtaq, S., Kath, J., Stone, R., Henry, R., Läderach, P., Reardon-Smith, K., Cobon, D., Marcussen, T., Cliffe, N., Kristiansen, P., and Pischke, F.: Creating positive synergies between risk management and transfer to accelerate food system climate
770   resilience, Climatic Change, 161, 465–478, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-020-02679-5, 2020.

Nieto, J. D., Cook, S. E., LäDERACH, P., Fisher, M. J., and Jones, P. G.: Rainfall index insurance to help smallholder farmers manage drought risk, Climate and Development, 2, 233–247, https://doi.org/10.3763/cdev.2010.0050, 2010.

Osumba, J., Recha, J. W. M., and Demissie, T. D.: State of Index-Based Crop Insurance Interventions for Smallholder Farmers and Agribusinesses in East Africa, 2023.

775 Pickering, C. and Byrne, J.: The benefits of publishing systematic quantitative literature reviews for PhD candidates and other early-career researchers, Higher Education Research and Development, 33, 534–548, https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2013.841651, 2014.

Pott, L. P., Amado, T. J. C., Schwalbert, R. A., Corassa, G. M., and Ciampitti, I. A.: Satellite-based data fusion crop type classification and mapping in Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 176, 196–210, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isprsjprs.2021.04.015, 2021.

Skees, J. R.: Challenges for use of index-based weather insurance in lower income countries, Agricultural Finance Review, 68, 197–217, https://doi.org/10.1108/00214660880001226, 2008.

Stoeffler, Q., Carter, M., Guirkinger, C., and Gelade, W.: The Spillover Impact of Index Insurance on Agricultural Investment by Cotton Farmers in Burkina Faso, The World Bank Economic Review, 36, 114–140, https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhab011, 785 2022.

Stojanovski, P., Dong, W., Wang, M., Ye, T., Li, S., and Mortgat, C. P.: Agricultural Risk Modeling Challenges in China: Probabilistic Modeling of Rice Losses in Hunan Province, Int J Disaster Risk Sci, 6, 335–346, https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-015-0071-4, 2015.

Tarnavsky, E., Chavez, E., and Boogaard, H.: Agro-meteorological risks to maize production in Tanzania: Sensitivity of an 790 adapted Water Requirements Satisfaction Index (WRSI) model to rainfall, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation, 73, 77–87, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2018.04.008, 2018.

Turvey, C. G. and Mclaurin, M. K.: Applicability of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) in Index-Based Crop Insurance Design, Weather, Climate, and Society, 4, 271–284, https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-11-00059.1, 2012.

Van Tricht, K., Gobin, A., Gilliams, S., and Piccard, I.: Synergistic Use of Radar Sentinel-1 and Optical Sentinel-2 Imagery 795 for Crop Mapping: A Case Study for Belgium, Remote Sensing, 10, 1642, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10101642, 2018.

Villalobos, F. J., López-Bernal, Á., García-Tejera, O., and Testi, L.: Is olive crop modelling ready to assess the impacts of global change?, Front. Plant Sci., 14, 1249793, https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2023.1249793, 2023.

Vrieling, A., Meroni, M., Shee, A., Mude, A. G., Woodard, J., de Bie, C. A. J. M. (Kees), and Rembold, F.: Historical extension of operational NDVI products for livestock insurance in Kenya, International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and 800 Geoinformation, 28, 238–251, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2013.12.010, 2014.

Warner, T. A., Nellis, M. D., and Foody, G. M.: The SAGE Handbook of Remote Sensing, SAGE Publications Ltd, London, https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857021052, 2009.

Woodard, J. D. and Garcia, P.: Basis risk and weather hedging effectiveness, Agricultural Finance Review, 68, 99–117, https://doi.org/10.1108/00214660880001221, 2008.

805