Multitemporal UAV LiDAR detects seasonal heave and subsidence on palsas

Cas Renette[1], Mats Olvmo[1], Sofia Thorsson[1], Björn Holmer[1], Heather Reese[1]

[1]Department of Earth Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden

Correspondence to: Cas Renette (cas.renette@gvc.gu.se)

Abstract

In the context of the accelerating impacts of climate change on permafrost landscapes, we use an uncrewed aerial vehicle (UAV) carrying a LiDAR scanner ~~technology~~ to investigate seasonal terrain changes in palsas – mounds of frozen peat – since other remote sensing methods have struggled to capture the full dynamics of these landforms. We investigated two palsas (4–5 m in height) in Sweden's largest palsa mire complex, where we performed five field campaigns between September 2022 and September 2023 to track intra-annual frost heave and thaw subsidence. Our approach allowed us to create digital terrain models (DTMs) from high density point clouds (>1,000 points/m²) and analyze elevation changes over time. We found that both palsas heaved on average 0.15 m (and up to 0.30 m) from September to April and subsided back to their height from the previous year, or slightly below, over the course of the following summer. At one of the palsas, we observed a notable lateral degradation hotspot over the study period in a ~~300~~ 225 m^2 area, with 0.5–~~2.0~~1.9 m height loss, likely initiated during the preceding warm and wet summer months. Part of this degradation occurred between September 2022 and April 2023, suggesting that the degradation of these palsas is not limited to the summer months. Our study shows the substantial value of using UAV LiDAR for understanding how permafrost areas are changing. It ~~helps~~ facilitates ~~in~~ tracking the ongoing effects of climate change and highlights palsa dynamics that would not be captured by annual measurements alone.

1 Introduction

In the face of accelerating climate change, permafrost – defined as ground that remains at or below 0 ∘C for at least two consecutive years (Harris et al., 1988) – is warming at a global scale (Biskaborn et al., 2019). Permafrost regions hold an approximately 50% (1300±200 Pg) of the world's terrestrial carbon, making them vital to the global climate system (Hugelius et al., 2020). A significant amount (415±150 Pg carbon) is stored in northern peatlands, nearly half of which is affected by permafrost (Hugelius et al., 2020). In the discontinuous and sporadic permafrost zones, peatland permafrost can be found in palsa mires, consisting of peat plateaus and palsas. Palsas are peat mounds with a core of perennially frozen soil (Seppälä, 1986). Palsa mires are a sensitive and heterogeneous ecosystem, which are vulnerable to increased air temperatures and precipitation in the Arctic (Luoto, Fronzek, et al., 2004a).

(Seppälä, 1986)P(Luoto, Fronzek, et al., 2004)In the face of accelerating climate change, permafrost – defined as ground that remains at or below 0 ∘C for at least two consecutive years (Harris et al., 1988) – is warming at a global scale (Biskaborn et al., 2019). The thickness of the active layer, which is the top layer that thaws and freezes each year (Romanovsky & Osterkamp, 1995), is also increasing at many polar sites (Smith et al., 2022). Palsas, which are peat mounds with a core of perennially frozen soil, are indicative of permafrost presence and serve as particularly vulnerable indicators of climatic changes. They are generally found in subarctic wetlands (Seppälä, 1986), in the discontinuous or sporadic permafrost zone. The climatic space for palsas, typically with a mean annual air temperature between -3 °C and -5 °C and mean annual precipitation <450 mm, according to Luoto et al. (2004a), is projected to disappear in Fennoscandia by the end of the 21st century (Fewster et al., 2022). (Fewster et al., 2022; Leppiniemi et al., 2023). In recent studies, an increasing lateral degradation rate of palsas is reported (Borge et al., 2017; Mamet et al., 2017; Olvmo et al., 2020) which may have far-reaching consequences for these ecosystems and biodiversity of the subarctic region (Luoto, Heikkinen, et al., 2004b; Swindles et al., 2015). For example, the loss of palsas can lead to the decline of specialized plant species that are adapted to the unique, dry conditions of palsas. Additionally, animals that depend on these habitats, such as certain bird species and small mammals are affected(Luoto, Heikkinen, et al., 2004) (Luoto et al., 2004b). In addition to the threat to biodiversity, the degradation of palsas also impacts reindeer herding, berry picking and transport for local communities, as

51 these elevated, often dry, parts of the landscape shrink and become more fragmented. The transition from

52 a palsa to a lower lying, wet fen, is also associated with an increase in CH_4 and CO_2 emissions (e.g.

53 (Łakomiec et al., 2021; Pirk et al., 2024; Swindles et al., 2015; Voigt et al., 2019) as the stored carbon in

54 these peatlands is subject to microbial re-mobilization when permafrost thaws. The climatic feedback

55 mechanism further highlights the need for continued monitoring of these environments. Therefore, palsa

56 mires are a priority habitat of the EU Species and Habitat Directive (*EUNIS -Factsheet for Palsa Mires,*

57 2013). However, in Sweden only about half (47%) of the total palsa area is situated within ~~some kind of~~a

58 protected area (Backe, 2014).

70 The degradation of permafrost in palsas is Permafrost degradation of palsas is indicated by both lateral

71 erosion and vertical subsidence. Lateral erosion refers to the horizontal shrinkage of the permafrost body

72 along the edges of palsas, often resulting in the formation or expansion of connected water bodies, i.e.,

73 thermokarst lakes (Martin et al., 2021). Vertical subsidence, on the other hand, involves the downward

74 sinking of the ground surface as a result of the melting of excess ground ice, leading to a drop in surface

75 elevation. In addition to this, the elevation of permafrost terrain fluctuates cyclically due to annual freeze-

76 thaw cycles within the active layer (Gruber, 2020; Iwahana et al., 2021), although this has not been explicitly

77 studied on palsas. During fall, freezing of water in the soil and its expansion, in addition to the formation of

78 seasonally segregated ice, can cause heaving of the terrain, while terrain subsidence, caused by the

79 melting of both pore ice and segregated ice, occurs during spring and summer (Fu et al., 2022). The melting

80 of excess ground ice (i.e., ice that is in excess of the total pore volume of the ground in unfrozen conditions;

81 Harris et al., 1988) below the active layer causes longer-term subsidence as result of permafrost thaw.

82 Therefore, thaw-subsidence rates are generally higher in ice-rich than in ice-poor permafrost soils (Gruber,

83 2020; Zwieback & Meyer, 2021).

84 While there is growing awareness of the importance of monitoring palsa mires, there is a lack of quantitative

85 measurements of their intra-annual heave and subsidence patterns. de la Barreda-Bautista et al. (2022)

86   used InSAR data to analyze thaw-season subsidence on palsas in northern Sweden, ~~but~~ finding~~ound~~ only

87   sub-cm scale surface level changes. They suggest that this method likely highly underestimated actual

88   displacement rates as a result of spatial averaging. In other recent studies with attempts to quantify both

89   lateral and vertical changes in palsas or peat plateaus, the focus was on multi-year timescales (e.g.~,~ Martin

90   et al., 2021; Verdonen et al., 202~3~~2~) and not on changes occurring within a year. UAV photogrammetry to

91   create digital surface models (DSMs) to study palsas and peat plateaus has been applied more frequently

92   in recent years (e.g., de la Barreda-Bautista et al., 2022; Krutskikh et al., 2023; Martin et al., 2021; Verdonen

93   et al., 2023). However, as described in Verdonen et al. (2023), change analysis from DSMs created with

94   UAV photogrammetry is sensitive to relatively minor changes in vegetation and light conditions. Advances

95   in uncrewed aerial vehicles (UAV or drone) in combination with light detection and ranging (LiDAR)

96   technology (Ostrowski et al., 2017) have made it possible to collect accurate, high-resolution (cm-scale)

97   digital terrain models (DTMs) and DSMs. LiDAR sensors can prove advantageous over regular

98   photographic Red-Green-Blue (RGB) imagery, in that LiDAR can penetrate through small gaps in the

99   vegetation allowing creation of DTMs of the underlying ground, whereas terrain elevation models from RGB

100 cameras require clear sight to the ground surface. LiDAR can also be used in low-light conditions as

101 opposed to RGB imagery, which can be beneficial in the Arctic, where daylight is limited during parts of the

102 year. Another advantage that UAV LiDAR data holds is the absence of need of ground control points (GCPs)

103 due to the potentially low bias of UAV LiDAR data positional errors. This advantage drastically saves time

104 and thus costs on repeated visits (Harder et al., 2020). Therefore, the use of repeat UAV LiDAR scanning

105 is a promising tool for accurate change detection (Curcio et al., 2022; Harder et al., 2020; Jacobs et al.,

106 2021; Lin et al., 2019), but has not yet been widely used to monitor permafrost features.

107 In this study, our objective is to detect and quantify the intra-annual vertical heave and subsidence of two

108 palsas using repeat measurements from UAV LiDAR data. ~~Our findings show seasonal dynamics of the~~

109 ~~palsas and highlight the substantial potential of UAV LiDAR scanning to study permafrost-mediated~~

110 ~~landscape changes.~~

2 Study site: Vissátvuopmi palsa complex

Located near the Finnish border, and just southwest of the Könkämäeno river, Vissátvuopmi is the largest of the in total eight named, coherent palsa mire complexes in Sweden (ca. 150 ha of palsa area; Backe, 2014) at N 68°47'50″, E 21°11'30″ (Fig. 1). According to InSAR data from 2017 to, 55% of the total area of these eight palsa complexes is subsiding, compared to 98% of the Vissátvuopmi area (Valman et al., 2024). Though notably, only Vissátvuopmi and Árbuvuopmi (northwest of Vissátvuopmi) are not part of the EU Natura 2000 network.(Valman et al., 2024)

Surrounded by mountains up to 700 m a.s.l., the valley in which Vissátvuopmi is located has several larger lakes, thermokarst features and fens. Two distinct palsas, one ridge-shaped and one dome-shaped (called the "Ridge" and "Dome" palsas from hereon) situated at the foot-slope of a bedrock hill, are the focus of this study and are located between 443 and 452 m a.s.l. (Fig. 1c). Water tables typically do not rise above the mire surface in most of the surroundings of the two studied palsas, except for thermokarst ponds that border the palsas. In September 20222023, the Dome palsa was approximately 170 meters in length and 75 meters in width, with an area of 11408 m^2 and its highest point about 4 meters above the surrounding mire. The Ridge palsa measures about 125 meters in length and 40 meters in width, with an area of 3522 m^2 and with its highest point being roughly 5 meters above the adjacent mire terrain. The Dome palsa is taller on its northern and eastern sides, while it flattens out on the western and southern sides. An all-terrain vehicle (ATV) ATV track runs over the northeastern part of the palsa, which most certainly contributes to amplified degradation of the underlying permafrost by collecting snow and water. The track is visible in aerial photos from 1994 but is absent in the 1963 photos. A natural depression in the center part of the palsa has the same effect and further fragments this palsa. The Ridge palsa is smaller in area but slightly taller. This palsa consists of several crests of similar elevation with depressions in between. The southeastern margin of this palsa is 'tail-shaped' and of lower elevation.

135

Figure 1. (a,b) Location of the Vissátvuopmi palsa mire complex and used weather stations in Northern Sweden. (c) Orthophoto from 2021 (© Lantmäteriet, 2021) showing a segment of the palsa mire and the location of the two studied palsas. (d) Oblique UAV image highlighting the partly snow-covered Ridge palsa in April 2023. (e) ~~Aerial view~~ Oblique UAV image of the Dome palsa in September 2023.

The vegetation over the palsa mire complex is characterized by a mosaic of marshes with grasses and sphagnum mosses, wet heaths with willow, and drier areas with subalpine shrub heath. Birch forest occurs primarily on the slopes surrounding the mire, however, birches are also growing within the palsa mire complex. The palsa vegetation consists of dry heath and mesic heath (Andersson et al., 1985) where the field layer is predominantly low-growing *Betula nana* (< 35 cm in mean height), *Empetrum nigrum* ssp *hermaphroditum* and *Rubus chamaemorus.* The bottom layer consists of lichens in higher and drier areas of the palsa, while sphagnum mosses are in lower-lying, wetter areas. At the edges of the palsa, particularly

147   where pools of water have formed, grasses such as *Carex rotundata* and *C. saxatilis* are common, in

148   addition to *Eriophorum russeolum* (Backe, 2014). The ~~r~~Ridge palsa has a few birch trees growing on the

149   north and south parts of the palsa.

150   The Köppen climate type is Subarctic (Dfc) and a weather station operated by the Swedish Meteorological

151   Institute (SMHI) in Naimakka (established in 1944), ca. 18 km east of the study site observed a mean annual

152   air temperature of of -1.5 °C and mean annual precipitation was 460 mm in the 1991–2020 standard period.

153   In September 2022, a ~~HOBO~~ HOBO® U30-NRC (Onset Computer Corporation) weather station was set

154   up in the nearby settlement of Saarikoski, ca. 1.5 km from the study site (see Fig. 1b for location of the two

155   weather stations). At this station, air temperatures at 2 m above the ground surface are recorded at two-

156   hour intervals. Both precipitation and snow depth have been monitored by SMHI since 2015 at Saarikoski.

157   It is notable that in July 2022 the monthly precipitation was 158 mm, which is more than ~~a~~one-~~ ~~third of the

158   ~~normal~~ mean annual precipitation (Fig. 2).

159

160

Figure 2. Weather data in the study region between January 2022 and September 2023. (a) Mean daily a~~A~~ir temperature at ca. 1.5 km (Saarikoski) and at ca. 18 km (Naimakka) from the studied palsas. (b) Monthly precipitation and snow depth observed at Saarikoski.

Based on aerial photo interpretation, Olvmo et al. (2020) reported average areal decay rates of $-0.71\%a^{-1}$ and $-1.25\%a^{-1}$ in the Dome and Ridge palsa respectively between 1955 and 2016. Additionally, they

166 concluded that palsas in the Vissátvuopmi complex have most likely been in a phase of degradation since

167 the early 20th century.

3 Data and Methods

3.1 LiDAR data acquisition

170 We used repeat UAV-borne LiDAR scanning to obtain point clouds and create raster-based ~~digital terrain~~

171 ~~models (~~DTMs~~)~~ at a high temporal and spatial resolution. Flights were performed on 4 September 2022, 26

172 April 2023, 18 June 2023, 19 July 2023, and 7 September 2023. We conducted the scans on these dates

173 to capture the state of the palsas at the end of summer, then observed them when frozen, and continued

174 tracking them throughout the proceeding thawing season. A DJI Matrice 300 RTK UAV was equipped with

175 a YellowScan Mapper (YSM) LiDAR scanner in September 2022 and a YellowScan Mapper + (YSM+) in

176 all following flights (Fig. 3). These are lightweight Livox LiDAR scanners with an Applanix GNSS/INS

177 system. Table 1 shows the properties of the flights and scanner(s). The vertical accuracy and precision of

178 these specific LiDAR scanners are determined by the manufacturer. They performed 15 flight lines for

179 YSM+ and 13 for YSM at velocities between 5 and 10 m/s and between heights between 50 and 120 m.a.g.l.

180 over a series of surfaces. The assessment with 18 ground ~~18~~ truth points ~~then~~ resulted in the values given

181 in Table 1. All surveys in this study ~~we~~are performed within one year of the calibration. For both ~~of the~~

182 palsas, a flight with high overlap was done and supported by a second flight with additional orthogonal

183 trajectories. The same flight plans were executed for all five scannings to ensure equal spatial coverage

184 and resolution.

185

186 **Figure 3. DJI Matrice 300 RTK equipped with the YellowScan Mapper + in front of the Ridge pPalsa.**

187 The April 2023 flights were performed during a period of snowmelt, so that parts of both palsas were snow-

188 free, while other parts remained snow-covered. Consequently, in order to investigate changes in terrain

189 elevation, the snow-free parts needed to be isolated for analysis. For that reason, flights with a second UAV

190 (DJI Phantom 4 Pro v2.0) with an RGB camera to create orthomosaics were performed on the same day

191 as the LiDAR scanning.

192

193 **Table 1. LiDAR scanner and flight parameters of the flying flight missions. Where values for YellowScan**

194 **Mapper differ from YellowScan Mapper +, they are shown within parentheses.**

Parameter	Value, YellowScan Mapper + (Mapper)
Vertical Accuracy (RMSE, m)	0.021 (0.028)
Precision (m)	0.024 (0.032)
Number of returns	3 (2)
Altitude (m.a.g.l.)	60
Velocity (m/s)	8
Overlap (%)	60

195

196 Regarding the UAV LiDAR flights, the average flight time was 6 minutes and 49 seconds over the Dome

197 palsa, and slightly shorter over the Ridge palsa at 5 minutes and 13 seconds. Similarly, flights over the

198 Dome palsa yielded a slightly larger coverage area of 53993 m^2 compared to the Ridge palsa, which

199 covered an area of 42072 m^2, including part of the surrounding mire and forested mountain. The mean

200 point densities over the Dome palsas were 1327 (YSM) and 1462 (YSM+) points/m², and 1201 (YSM) and

201 1519 (YSM+) points/m² at the Ridge palsa. These pulse densities can be regarded as very high, easily

202 allowing the creation of DTMs with high spatial resolution. Terrain features such as surface cracks and

203 blocks of peat are well-identifiable in the LiDAR point cloud data, which emphasizes the high quality of the

204 collected data (Fig. 4).

205

206 **Figure 4. (a) RGB photo of a degrading edge and formation of a thermokarst lake at the Dome palsa, taken with**

207 **a DJI Phantom 4 UAV in September 2022. (b) Dense UAV LiDAR point cloud taken on the same day with the**

208 **DJI Matrice 300 RTK equipped with the YellowScan Mapper. Morphological features such as cracks in the**

209 **surface and individual blocks of peat can clearly be recognized in the point cloud. This section of the palsa**

210 **reaches a height of ca. 4 meters.**

211 **3.2 Point cloud processing and DTM creation**

212 The processing of a LiDAR point cloud requires several steps, including GPS correction, strip adjustment,

213 classification of ground points, and the creation of a DTM raster. The position data captured by the LiDAR

214 system during the flights ~~wea~~re postprocessed in PosPac UAV v. 8.2 (*PosPac UAV~~Applanix~~*, 2023) using

215 PP-RTX for trajectory correction. PP-RTX for UAV uses the Trimble CenterPoint® RTX™ correction

216 service, which computes corrections to satellite orbit and clock data for trajectory correction and positioning,

217 based on a global network of tracking stations. This cloud-based solution gives centimeter-level positioning

218 accuracy without the requirement to set up a local base, which makes PP-RTX particularly advantageous

219 for UAV surveys in remote regions. YellowScan's processing software, CloudStation

220 (~~YellowScan~~CloudStation, 2023), wa~~i~~s then used for strip adjustment to reduce the relative adjustment

221 error. The point clouds were compared visually for alignment in areas where changes were least likely.

222 Following this, CloudCompare v. 2.12.4 (CloudCompare, 2023~~(GPL software, 2023~~) wa~~i~~s used to perform

223 the classification of points into ground and non-ground points using the Cloth Simulation Filter (CSF) (~~W.~~

224 Zhang et al., 2016). The CSF method simulates a virtual cloth dropping onto the inverted point cloud. Points

225 that are close to where the cloth settles are classified as ground, while those that are farther away are

226 considered non-ground. The software allows three adjustable parameters for the CSF classification.

227 Several parameter combinations were tested and the best result, based on visual inspection of the resulting

228 point cloud, was achieved with the following parameters: 'Cloth resolution' = 0.1~~0~~ m (matching the resolution

229 of the created DTMs), 'Max iterations' = 500 and 'Classification threshold' = 0.1~~0~~ m (controlling the distance

230 of points to be classified as ground), resulting in a detailed ground surface. Only ground points we~~a~~re then

231 used to create the DTM, and in this project a grid cell resolution of 0.1~~0~~ m was chosen. Since the total

232 thickness of the point cloud along the ground wa~~i~~s between ca. 0.10 and 0.30 m, the minimum elevation

233 per grid cell wa~~i~~s used during the rasterization in order to ensure that the raster represents the ground

234 elevation. A comparison of the lowest point and the 25th percentile elevation in 100 random 0.10 m by 0.10

235 m areas on each palsa was carried out, which showed no outliers at the ground level. This process wa~~i~~s

236 carried out for all five time points, creating a DTM for each scanning and palsa. Finally, the resulting DTMs

237 we~~a~~re used for change detection by subtracting values of one raster from another. For the change

238 detection, the error range was calculated following the topographic error propagation law (Taylor, 1997),

239 where the propagated error was described as the root sum of squares (RSS) of individual errors. For the

240 individual errors, those described in Table 1 were used.

241 The snow free parts of the palsas from the April 2023 data were singled out for the computation of heave

242 and subsidence. ~~This~~ These areas were identified using~~was done with~~ georeferenced orthophotos taken

243 on the same day in April as the LiDAR data, in combination with a hillshade image from the DTM (since the

244 hillshade is smooth where there is snow). Orthophotos that were taken on the same day as the LiDAR

245 surveys in September 2022 and September 2023 ~~a~~were used to determine the extent of the palsas. For

246 each DTM, the mean elevation of the palsa's surrounding ~~mire~~ wais computed and subtracted from the

247 DTM, which normalizes the elevation of the palsa into the height above the ~~mire~~surroundings. Since the

248 definition of palsas is morphological (Harris et al., 1988), the height above its surroundings is per definition

249 the height of the palsa. For the normalization, we used the previously mentioned orthophotos to create a

250 polygon around each palsa, for which the mean elevation ~~i~~was taken per LiDAR flight. Areas ~~that~~ containing

251 open water at any of the time steps, either thermokarst lakes directly around the palsas or other ponds,

252 ~~a~~were not included in these polygons. The~~is simplifies~~ transformation from elevation to height, simplifies

253 the comparison between the data from the different flights. Since the mire in April was snow-covered, the

254 mire elevation from the closest date (June) was taken for normalizing the April DTM.

255 **4 Results**

256 **4.1 Annual terrain changes from UAV LiDAR**

257 Between September 2022 and September 2023, both palsas underwent degradation along their margins

258 (Fig. 5). The largest height change was observed along the northwest edge of the Dome palsa, where an

259 area of 225 m² (2.6% of the total palsa area) subsided up to 1.9 m and on average 0.85 m. This corresponds

260 to a 34% height loss on this part of the palsa ~~in. the maximum height difference was 0.03 m, (from 4.21 m~~

261 ~~to 4.18 m) on the Dome palsa and 0.05 m (4.67 m to 4.62 m) on Ridge palsa (Fig. 5).~~ From hereon we

262 name this ~~part300~~225 m² area the 'degradation hotspot'. ~~wawa (Table 1)weThe largest change in height~~

263 ~~was detected along the northwest edge of the Dome palsa where an area of ca. 300 m² subsided between~~

264 ~~from 0.5 and 2.0 m.~~ Degradation also occurred ~~also occurred~~ along the margins of the Dome palsa, as well

265 ~~as~~ within the ATV track that borders the eastern side of this ~~the new 300 m² degraded area~~degradation

266 hotspot. The height of the Ridge palsa decreased slightly over the entire landform, with most loss along the

267 margins in the form of lateral degradation.

268

269

Figure 5. DTMs of the Dome (a,b,~~c~~) and Ridge (d,e,~~f~~) palsas ~~palsa~~ on UAV LiDAR scans in September 2022 and

September 2023. The black lines represent the extent of the palsas, based on orthomosaics from the same day

as the UAV LiDAR surveys. The ~~images~~ difference maps (c,f) ~~highlight~~ show the topographical changes over

273 **one year, with the palsa extent from the September 2022 ~~flights~~orthomosaics in black.~~.~~ The dashed box in (c)**

274 **shows the 'degradation hotspot' on the Dome palsa.**

4.2 Seasonal terrain changes from UAV LiDAR

276 By comparing ~~each~~ DTMs from consecutive ~~with the following~~ periods, we observed intra-annual terrain

277 variations, i.e.~~,~~ frost heave and thaw subsidence on the two studied palsas. Change maps for the different

278 time steps are shown in Figs. 6a–h, while Figs. 6i–p are corresponding histograms of change. The first

279 two time steps are largely affected by snow cover in April, hence the histograms of change show both the

280 entire palsa as well as only the snow-free parts (Figs. 6i–p).

281 ~~(Fig. 6). ;April to June change histograms as well The heterogeneous snow cover that affects this pattern~~

282 ~~is more clearly visible in Fig. 7c and d.. The snow thickness is up to ca. 2.0 m at the eastern margin of both~~

283 ~~palsas and 1.0 to 1.5 m in the depressions, while the crests remain snow-free (also see Fig. 1d)..~~

284 ~~OMost interestingly, o~~n the~~se~~ snow-free crests there was ~~(i.e. Fig. 7f),~~ an elevation increase (heave) of up

285 to 0.30 m and on average of 0.15 m from September 2022 to April 2023 for both palsas (Figs. 6a~~X~~ and 6e)

286 ~~X) is observed.~~ ~~Comparing these two closeup parts of the Ridge palsa profile also shows that the~~

287 ~~subsidence the palsa underwent throughout the year in the depressions was larger than on the crests.~~

288 Between June and July, both palsas clearly subside ~~all~~ over the whole area (Figs. 6c and 6g), on average

289 0.05 m on the Dome palsa and 0.08 m on the Ridge palsa. Subsidence from July to September is only clear

290 on the Ridge palsa, with 0.05 m on average over the entire palsa (Fig. 6h~~X))~~.~~.~~ Despite being snow-covered

291 in April, the degradation hotspot in the northwestern part of the Dome Palsa displayed a height~~n elevation~~

292 ~~difference~~decrease of up to 0.4 m between September 2022 and April 2023 (Fig. 6a and 6~~,~~i), indicating

293 that subsidence in this area occurred between these months.

294 ~~Comparing these two closeup parts of the Ridge palsa profile also shows that the subsidence the palsa~~

295 ~~underwent throughout the year in the depressions was larger than on the crests.~~

296

297

Figure 6. Sequential height difference maps of the Dome (a,b,c,d~~,~~ with the 'degradation hotspot' in the dashed rectangles) and Ridge (e,f,g,h) palsas between ~~each of~~ the five UAV LiDAR DTMs from September 2022 to September 2023. Each panel showcases the topographical changes over successive intervals. Blue colors indicate elevation gains and red colors indicate elevation losses. The ~~areas that were~~ snow-covered areas (a, b, e, f) are greyed out, leaving the snow-free parts highlighted. ~~The snow cover in April is mostly responsible for changes observed to and from those panels.~~ Panels i–p display histograms with the distribution of height changes, separated into the entire palsa area (including snow-free parts), snow-free parts only, and the 'degradation hotspot' on the(Dome palsa ~~only), showing the distribution of changes over these areas~~.

306

307 ~~Even despite the snow cover in April, the~~ degradation hotspot in the northwestern ~~part~~ corner of the Dome

308 Palsa ~~shows signs of degradation~~ subsidence up to 0.4 m (Fig. 6a, i), indicating it occurred sometime

309 between September 2022 and April 2023. Figure 7 shows a time series of elevation changes along profiles,

310 providing another way to look at the heave and subsidence. The~~is~~ degradation hotspot is seen in Figs. 7c

311 and 7e, ~~remains evident and increases throughout the time series and is visible in Fig. 7c and e,~~ where this

312 area progressively degraded vertically up to 1.9 ~~2.0~~ m between September 2022 and September 2023. The

313 ATV track that crosses the Dome palsa shows a subsidence of 0.2–0.3 m just over the time period in this

314 study (at ca. 27–29 m in Fig. 7e). On the Ridge palsa it can be seen in Figs. 7f and g that the subsidence

315 was greater in the depressions than on the crests.~~.~~ The heterogeneous snow cover is visible in Figs. 7c

316 and d. The snow thickness is up to ca. 2.0 m at the eastern margin of both palsas and 1.0 to 1.5 m in the

317 depressions, while the crests remain snow-free (also see Fig. 1d).

318 ~~This degradation remains evident and increases throughout the time series and is visible in Fig. 7c and e,~~

319 ~~where this area progressively degraded vertically up to 2.0 m between September 2022 and September~~

320 ~~2023.~~ ~~Additionally, the ATV track that crosses the Dome palsa shows a deepening~~ subsidence of 0.2–0.3

321 ~~m just over the time period in this study (at ca. 27–29 m in Fig. 7e).~~

322

Figure 7. Elevation profiles of the Dome and Ridge palsa based on UAV LiDAR DTMs from September 2022 to September 2023. (a,b) September 2022 DTMs with the ~~according~~corresponding palsa outline. The dashed lines denote the location of the elevation profiles 1–2 and 3–4. (c) and (d) provide a general elevation overview of the transect, while (e), (f), and (g) offer zoomed-in views of specific areas of interest, indicated by the green boxes. The profile from April 2023 is mostly showing the snowpack, although some peaks are snow-free (see also Fig. 1d).

330 The mean height of both palsas, calculated by taking all pixels within the snow-free areas, increased 0.15

331 m between September 2022 and April 2023 (Fig. 8). The subsequent flights throughout the summer season

332 show a successive lowering of the palsa height, i.e. subsidence. As shown in Fig. 6, the rate of subsidence

333 is highest between the June and July flights. The dome Dome palsa returned to a similar mean height in

334 September 2023 as compared to September 2022, while the snow-free parts on the ridge Ridge palsa

335 subsided to a 0.04 m lower mean height in September 2023 than in September 2022, which is also shown

336 in Fig. 5. Overall, the heave and subsidence pattern is very similar across the two studieds palsas.

339 **Figure 8. Heave and subsidence on the two studied palsas during the 2022-2023 year. Mean height changes**

340 **relative to September 2022 are plotted (only for the areas that were completely snow-free in April 2023). The**

341   cumulative changes of the intermediate steps add up to the total change from September 2022 to September

342   2023. The error bars represent the scanner specific RMSE for the respective LiDAR scanners used.

343

344

5 Discussion

5.1 Intra-annual ~~terrain dynamics~~heave and subsidence on palsas

The objective of this study was to elucidate and quantify the intra-annual dynamics of two large palsas in the Vissátvuopmi palsa mire, and to achieve this, the use of UAV LiDAR proved to be an extremely suitable tool. Analysis of the DTMs indicated that both palsas had frost heave of ca. 0.15 m on average between September and April, and subsequent thaw subsidence from April to September. This is the first study that covers these dynamics on palsas in such high spatial resolution, although seasonal terrain variability has been investigated in other permafrost environments (Gruber, 2020; Hu et al., 2022; Iwahana et al., 2021; Lin et al., 2019). For example, the heave and subsidence detected in this study are similar to values (0.10 – 0.14 m) observed in the GNSS positioning survey by (Iwahana et al., (2021)) on an intact tundra site in Alaska after the warm summer of 2019.

The largest rate of subsidence in our study occurred between June and July 2023, which was 0.~~07~~05 m on the Dome palsa and 0.~~10~~08 m on the Ridge palsa. Freeze-thaw cycles within the active layer cause frost heave and thaw subsidence, partially due to the density difference between water and ice. However, the average observed heave of 0.15 m cannot be explained alone by this process and requires the formation of seasonal segregation ice (i.e. ice lenses) within the active layer (Fu et al., 2022; Iwahana et al., 2021). Alternatively, processes within the core of the palsa, for example, the infiltration and refreezing along meltwater pathways, might result in seasonal heave and subsidence. To make more conclusive statements about the exact mechanisms, it would be necessary to obtain complementary observations from the interior of these palsas, in the form of soil and ice cores. Taking this step in the future would allow the observed changes in the terrain morphology such as those done here to be better understood in relation to internal palsa dynamics.

The 0.15 m heave is computed on the areas that were snow-free in April and are thus biased towards the crests of the palsas that have a thinner active layer (see Appendix A) as they have a thinner winter snow cover, which limits the insulation of the ground below. Since the magnitude of the heave and subsidence depends on the thickness of the active layer (Iwahana et al., 2021), the areas with a deeper active layer

371 (i.e., those not included in the computation due to remaining snow in April) are therefore expected to have

372 undergone an even larger increase in height between September 2022 and April 2023. A process other

373 than freeze-thaw dynamics that could have affected the LiDAR measurements is the seasonal oscillation

374 of the peatland surface height due to water table fluctuations, called 'mire breathing' (Kellner & Halldin,

375 2002; Roulet, 1991). By accounting for the elevational changes in the ground surface of the surrounding

376 mire (and thus not open water), we ensure that the presented height values reflect height of the palsa

377 mounds and thus hold true to the morphological definition of palsas. surrounding the palsas, we have

378 corrected for this effect.Since palsa mires are very dynamic landscapes, each correction brings

379 uncertainties. Though, tThe closely aligned elevation profiles (Fig. 7) give us confidence that the presented

380 height changes are primarily a result of freeze-thaw dynamics of the palsas.

381 We present seasonal patterns at a high spatial resolution over the full spatial extent of two large palsas.

382 While other studies have applied multitemporal LiDAR for detecting permafrost dynamics, they have been

383 used terrestrial LiDAR scanning (Anders et al., 2020) rather than UAV-borne LiDAR, or else inter-annual

384 airborne LiDAR (e.g. Douglas et al., 2021; Jones et al., 2015), which generally has meter-scale spatial

385 resolutions and infrequent revisit turn periods. Anders et al., (2020) performed three TLS measurements

386 over 14 months (June 2015, Aug 20152015, and Aug 2016) to observe thaw subsidence of a permafrost

387 area in Northwest Territories, Canada, finding a total change of 1.4 cm; they determined that the TLS

388 measurements were more accurate than those from field-based surveying. While promising, the mobility

389 and range of UAV LiDAR is particularly advantageous when surveying permafrost features in peat- or

390 wetland landscapes.

391 Another approach to quantify longer-term subsidence and the effect of seasonal freeze/thaw dynamics on

392 topography is through the use of InSAR remote sensing (de la Barreda-Bautista et al., 2022; Kou et al.,

393 2021; Valman et al., 2024; Yanagiya et al., 2023), which can be an effective method to detect the signal of

394 subsidence. de la Barreda-Bautista et al. (2022) reported a maximum subsidence rate of 1.5 cm, from

395 InSAR data, between 2017 and 2020 on a palsa plateau at ca. 100 km from the Vissátvuopmi palsa

396 complex. Due to its the coarser spatial resolutiongrid cell size (20 m) of the resulting data, however, it is

397 likely to underestimate actual heave and subsidence values of smaller isolated features such as palsas.

Over the same period and location, they observed 25 cm subsidence from DEMs created with UAV photogrammetry. InSAR subsidence has also been analyzed at the Vissátvuopmi palsa complex (Valman et al., 2024). They found that Vissátvuopmi and the adjacent Árbuvuopmi are the fastest subsiding complexes of the eight studied in northern Sweden, with maximum subsidence rates of -8.9 and -9.9 mm yr^{-1} between 2017 and 2021. While the absolute values are not comparable with subsidence rates from our UAV LiDAR data, possibly due to the larger ~~discrepancy in spatial resolution~~grid cell size from InSAR analysis, they give an important context of the subsidence trend across the entire palsa complex in the years right before our study period. The fact that Vissátvuopmi is among the most quickly deforming complexes and at the same time does not hold a protected status, emphasizes the importance of studies conducted here.

In our study, we show that the elevation change of the Dome palsa ~~in~~as a whole from September 2022 to September 2023 was minor and mostly within the 0.030 m error range, while the Ridge palsa did subside on average 0.07 m within one year. A~~n~~ hypothesis for this is related to the surrounding thermokarst ponds. The Ridge palsa is surrounded by relatively larger and deeper thermokarst ponds, which could enhance vertical subsidence more centrally in the palsas as a result of increased heat transfer to the palsa core. To find out if the higher subsidence on this palsa is a robust signal and what processes are responsible for this, continued annual LiDAR surveys and observations of the palsa's interior via geophysical imaging are needed.

5.2 Lateral palsa degradation

The time series from this study showed not only the seasonal heave and subsidence patterns, but also revealed a ~~large area of~~ degradation hotspot on ~~one of~~ the Dome palsa~~s~~ that happened between September 2022 and April 2023. By comparing the elevation profiles ~~at,~~ and histogram~~s~~ of change ~~between,~~for these two timestamps ~~in the northwest part of the Dome palsa~~at the degradation hotspot (Fig. 7e and Fig. 6i), we can see that a drop of up to 0.4 m happened within the autumn and/or winter season, despite the presence of a snow cover in April. It is important to consider that positive air temperatures persisted until the end of October 2022 (Fig. 2), which suggests that the subsidence likely —continued into the late autumn 2022

424 period, influenced by prolonged thawing conditions. Whether this entire drop in palsa height occurred solely

425 between the September 2022 campaign and the freeze-up of the entire active layer, or if gravitational

426 processes also contributed after the complete freeze-up, remains uncertain. Regarding the initiation of the

427 degradation at this location, it is likely that it was ongoing earlier in the summer of 2022. Both the air

428 temperatures (>20 °C) and precipitation (158 mm) (both measured at Saarikoski weather station) peaked

429 in July of that year, which could have resulted in a deeply thawed, saturated upper layer of the palsa and

430 initiated a progressive lateral degradation event. Additionally, the precipitation in this winter was greater

431 than the previous winter, which may have caused additional warming of the ground, either via a thicker

432 snowpack (T. Zhang, 2005) or latent heat brought by rainfall (Putkonen & Roe, 2003). This falls in line with

433 Olvmo et al. (2020), who conclude that increased winter precipitation is one of the main causes of rapid

434 palsa degradation in the study region.

435 The characteristic of this lateral degradationdegradation hotspot is similar to that described in Martin et al.

436 (2021) as "constant edge degradation", which they found as the second phase of lateral degradation on

437 peat plateaus following "initial slope adjustment" and preceding "plateau collapse" phases. While both the

438 spatial and temporal scale differ between their and our study, this could indicate that a more widespread

439 collapse or subsidence can follow on the Dome palsa. As described in (Valman et al., (2024), approaches

440 that identify initial signs of permafrost degradation with the use of repeated measurements are needed, to

441 which our study adds. In order to make more conclusive statements about the reason for this rapid

442 degradation, the monitoring of ground temperatures and knowledge of the internal structure of this palsa is

443 required.

444 While thisThe described degradation hotspot could be just an isolated event, or a precursor to rapid

445 degradation in the following years.

446 the elevation change of the Dome palsa as a whole from September 2022 to September 2023 was minor.

447 The Ridge palsa, however, did subside on average 0.07 m within one year. The faster degradation on this

448 specific palsa is in line with observations in Olvmo et al. (2020) .found an average annual decay rate (loss

449 of palsa area) of -0.74 %a^{-1} and -2.45 %a^{-1} betweenfor respectively the Dome and Ridge palsas

450 respectively, for the period 2010–2016. Using the palsa area from Olvmo et al. (2020) in 2016 and the

451 extent in 2023 from our study, we can calculate a new annual decay rate. For the period 2016–2023, we

452 found respective rates of -3.27 %a^{-1} and -1.55 %a^{-1}. The -2.53 %a^{-1} change in decay rate on the Dome

453 palsa can be largely explained by the degradation hotspot, which covered ca. 2.6% of the total palsa area.

454 The slight decrease in annual decay rate on the Ridge palsa could be explained by a stabilization of

455 degraded areas. When excluding the degradation hotspot on the Dome palsa, the Ridge palsa lost a larger

456 percentage of its extent, similar to Olvmo et al. (2020). Again, lateral water fluxes greatly affect ground

457 temperatures and permafrost degradation (Martin et al., 2021; Sjöberg et al., 2016; Walvoord & Kurylyk,

458 2016). Therefore, smaller palsas are relatively more susceptible to lateral erosion through heat and water

459 fluxes, provided by surrounding thermokarst ponds, compared to larger palsas (e.g. Borge et al., 2017).

460 (Martin et al., 2021; Sjöberg et al., 2016; Walvoord & Kurylyk, 2016)

461 **5.3 Using UAV LiDAR to monitor permafrost landscapes**

462 The use of UAVs in assessing permafrost landscapes has increased in recent years (e.g. de la Barreda-

463 Bautista et al., 2022; Krutskikh et al., 2023; Martin et al., 2021; Siewert & Olofsson, 2020; Sjögersten et al.,

464 2023; Verdonen et al., 2023), although primarily with the use of photogrammetry. Changes in the exterior

465 of permafrost peatlands can be subtle and therefore require the use of highly accurate methods of

466 measuring ground elevation, particularly for vertical subsidence, and when studied over relatively short

467 timescales.

468 A challenge in permafrost environments, especially palsa mires, is the lack of stable elevation points to be

469 used for ground control points (GCPs). In this study, GCPs were not used, however, , allhowever, all point

470 clouds were obtained using GNSS, post-processed as described in 3.2, and visually checked over the

471 whole scanned area for consistency. The elevation profiles (Fig. 7) from the five campaigns are well-aligned

472 and thereby confirm that the positional data are highly accurate. As mentioned by Harder (2020), the use

473 of GCPs is not strictly necessary for UAV LiDAR applications, which significantly contributes to the efficient

474 field visits in harsh Arctic conditions.

475 In this study, we employed the YellowScan Mapper (YSM) system in September 2022 and the YellowScan

476 Mapper + (YSM+) in the four campaigns in 2023. The use of an upgraded LiDAR system after the first

477 scanning introduces a potential source of uncertainty in our measurements. However, both systems

478 achieved high point densities (>1,000 points/m²), ensuring detailed surface representation in both datasets

479 despite the difference in the number of recorded returns per pulse. Furthermore, the alignment and

480 positioning of the data were visually verified, showing that the impact of using different systems on our

481 results is minimal. The vertical accuracy of the YSM and YSM+ systems used here have an RMSE of 2.8

482 and 2.1 cm, respectively. This is a potential error source in the data and changes less than ~~these~~ the

483 propagated error (See Fig. 6a–h) are within the margin of error. The main findings of the study, which

484 include the observed 0.15 m mean heave in winter and associated subsidence over summer and the

485 identification of a degradation hotspot, are larger than the described error.— Both YellowScan LiDAR

486 systems have a minimum distance of 1 m between registered returns at a flying height of 60 m. This means

487 that all objects on the surface shorter than 1 m in height will be measured by a single return. In this case,

488 to measure the ground elevation underneath vegetation cover requires high point density, which was

489 acquired in this study. By using the minimum elevation per grid cell, the resulting DTM should exclude

490 vegetation heights. In our study, the diffuse cover of *Betula nana* ranged from 0-100% per m² over both

491 palsas, yet the ground could almost always be seen between the sparse branches. Careful consideration

492 of acquisition parameters and algorithms is needed when UAV LiDAR ~~is~~ to be used to create DTMs in

493 areas of extremely dense vegetation cover, or when small grid cell sizes are needed to allow determination

494 of the ground elevation (Kucharczyk et al., 2018).

495 The ability of LiDAR instruments to measure ground elevation below the vegetation canopy is one of the

496 major advantages that LiDAR has over photogrammetry. Fig. 3 shows, for example, the Ridge palsa with

497 several large birch trees, where terrain analysis can still be done below the canopy. Photogrammetry

498 creates surface models that consist of vegetation height, which is likely to increase over the vegetation

499 season, adding bias to the models. The observed frost heave and subsidence in our study would not have

500 been soundly established with just the use of photogrammetrically-derived surface models~~orthophotos or~~

501 ~~aerial imagery~~, due to the potential confusion between vegetation and ground height. A disadvantage when

502 comparing LiDAR and photogrammetric methods is the higher costs of UAV LiDAR scanners at the present

503 time. For that reason alone, when one's objective is to merely compare the perimeter of palsas (e.g, lateral

504 degradation) or other landforms with low-growing vegetation, photogrammetry might still be preferred. While

505   some UAV LiDAR systems can also be integrated with an RGB camera, due to the additional costs, this

506   was not done in this study. The main advantage that this would give is an improved visualization through

507   use of colorized point clouds and could thereby improve the delineation of, for example, snow-covered (or

508   -free) areas.

509   UAVs present several advantages over 'classic' airborne LiDAR surveys, including higher spatial resolution

510   DTMs, simpler planning, and reduced costs, particularly for smaller spatial extents. Additionally, the

511   increased temporal frequency achievable with UAVs enables more frequent data acquisition, which is

512   essential for monitoring intra-annual dynamics in permafrost landscapes. When compared to 'classic'

513   airborne LiDAR surveys, the potential for higher spatial resolution DTMs, ease of planning and lower

514   associated costs do largely favor the use of a UAV when studying permafrost landscapes at least over

515   smaller spatial extents.

516   **6 Conclusions**

517   This study has provided insights into the intra-annual dynamics of palsas through the use of repeat UAV

518   LiDAR measurements, which we highlight as an effective tool for detailed change detection in permafrost

519   landscapes. We present a unique time series of five UAV LiDAR campaigns during a one-year time span

520   on two large palsas in Sweden's largest coherent palsa mire complex. The study revealed seasonal

521   variations in the palsa's topography, with an average frost heave and thaw subsidence of 0.15 m (and up

522   to 0.30 m), with the highest rate of subsidence on the palsas between June and July. The time series also

523   shows a considerable lateral degradation hotspot in a 300 225 m^2 section at of one of the palsas, with a

524   subsidence of up to 0.5 1.92.0 m over the one-year study period. This substantial permafrost degradation

525   occurred between September 2022 and April 2023 which suggests that the degradation of palsas is not

526   limited to the summer months. To conclude, the use of repeat UAV LiDAR scanning has proven to be a

527   highly effective tool for capturing detailed seasonal measurements of permafrost dynamics, which would

528   not have been observed if only annual measurements had been taken.

529

537

538   **Figure A1. Active layer thickness (ALT) on the Dome palsa (a) and Ridge palsa (b) in September 2023 with their**

539   **corresponding DTMs.**

540

541   **Figure A2. Distribution of the ALT at both palsas, showing that ALT in the top-positions is generally lower and**

542   **more narrowly spread compared to the points in the lower parts or depressions.**

543

Data availability

The   data   presented   in   this   article   are   stored   at———1

https://zenodo.org/records/104970940.5281/zenodo.10497093 (Renette., 2024).

Author contribution

CR and HR designed the study and performed the UAV surveys. HR acquired funding. CR handled data

processing, analysis, and figure creation. ST and BH assisted in the setup of the weather station. MO and

BH contributed expertise and advice. The manuscript was initially prepared by CR, assisted by HR. All

authors reviewed and edited the manuscript draft. All authors approved the final version for submission.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Swedish Research Council Formas (grant number 2022-00959),

"Threatened subarctic palsa mires: a new integrated approach to map and understand permafrost

degradation". Also, we thank all those that helped with fieldwork and logistics. Finally, we would like to

thank reviewers Jan Henrik Blöthe and Martha Ledger for their valuable comments and suggestions, which

significantly improved the quality of the manuscript.

562 **References**

563 Anders, K., Marx, S., Boike, J., Herfort, B., Wilcox, E. J., Langer, M., Marsh, P., & Höfle, B. (2020).

564   Multitemporal terrestrial laser scanning point clouds for thaw subsidence observation at Arctic

565   permafrost monitoring sites. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, *45*(7), 1589–1600.

566   https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.4833

567 Andersson, L., Rafstedt, T., & von Sydow, U. (1985). *FJALLENS VEGETATION Norrbottens län: En*

568   *översikt av Norrbottenfjällens vegetation baserad på vegetationskartering och naturvärdering*.

569   Naturvårdsverket. https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:naturvardsverket:diva-7479

570 Backe, S. (2014). *Kartering av Sveriges palsmyrar* (pp. 1–54) [Text].

571   https://www.lansstyrelsen.se/norrbotten/om-oss/vara-tjanster/publikationer/2014/kartering-av-

572   sveriges-palsmyrar.html

573 Biskaborn, B. K., Smith, S. L., Noetzli, J., Matthes, H., Vieira, G., Streletskiy, D. A., Schoeneich, P.,

574   Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Abramov, A., Allard, M., Boike, J., Cable, W. L.,

575   Christiansen, H. H., Delaloye, R., Diekmann, B., Drozdov, D., Etzelmüller, B., Grosse, G., …

576   Lantuit, H. (2019). Permafrost is warming at a global scale. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1),

577   Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-08240-4

578 Borge, A. F., Westermann, S., Solheim, I., & Etzelmüller, B. (2017). Strong degradation of palsas and

579   peat plateaus in northern Norway during the last 60 years. *The Cryosphere*, *11*(1), 1–16.

580   https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-1-2017

581 *CloudCompare* (2.12.4). (2023). [Computer software]. GPL software.

582   https://www.danielgm.net/cc/release/

583 *CloudStation* (2309.0.0). (2023). [Computer software]. YellowScan.

584   https://www.yellowscan.com/products/cloudstation/

585 Curcio, A. C., Peralta, G., Aranda, M., & Barbero, L. (2022). Evaluating the Performance of High Spatial

586   Resolution UAV-Photogrammetry and UAV-LiDAR for Salt Marshes: The Cádiz Bay Study Case.

587   *Remote Sensing*, *14*(15), Article 15. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14153582

588 de la Barreda-Bautista, B., Boyd, D. S., Ledger, M., Siewert, M. B., Chandler, C., Bradley, A. V., Gee, D.,

589   Large, D. J., Olofsson, J., Sowter, A., & Sjögersten, S. (2022). Towards a Monitoring Approach

for Understanding Permafrost Degradation and Linked Subsidence in Arctic Peatlands. *Remote Sensing*, *14*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14030444

Douglas, T. A., Hiemstra, C. A., Anderson, J. E., Barbato, R. A., Bjella, K. L., Deeb, E. J., Gelvin, A. B., Nelsen, P. E., Newman, S. D., Saari, S. P., & Wagner, A. M. (2021). Recent degradation of interior Alaska permafrost mapped with ground surveys, geophysics, deep drilling, and repeat airborne lidar. *The Cryosphere*, *15*(8), 3555–3575. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3555-2021

*EUNIS - Factsheet for Palsa mires. (*2013). Retrieved November 10, 2023, from https://eunis.eea.europa.eu/habitats/10155

Fewster, R. E., Morris, P. J., Ivanovic, R. F., Swindles, G. T., Peregon, A. M., & Smith, C. J. (2022). Imminent loss of climate space for permafrost peatlands in Europe and Western Siberia. *Nature Climate Change*, *12*(4), 373–379. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01296-7

Fu, Z., Wu, Q., Zhang, W., He, H., & Wang, L. (2022). Water Migration and Segregated Ice Formation in Frozen Ground: Current Advances and Future Perspectives. *Frontiers in Earth Science*, *10*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/feart.2022.826961

Gruber, S. (2020). Ground subsidence and heave over permafrost: Hourly time series reveal interannual, seasonal and shorter-term movement caused by freezing, thawing and water movement. *The Cryosphere*, *14*(4), 1437–1447. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1437-2020

Harder, P., Pomeroy, J. W., & Helgason, W. D. (2020). Improving sub-canopy snow depth mapping with unmanned aerial vehicles: Lidar versus structure-from-motion techniques. *The Cryosphere*, *14*(6), 1919–1935. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-1919-2020

Harris, S., French, H., Heginbottom, J., Johnston, G., Ladanyi, B., Sego, D., & Everdingen, R. (1988). *Glossary of Permafrost and Related Ground-Ice Terms*. https://doi.org/10.4224/20386561

Hu, Y., Wang, J., Li, Z., & Peng, J. (2022). Ground surface elevation changes over permafrost areas revealed by multiple GNSS interferometric reflectometry. *Journal of Geodesy*, *96*(8), 56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00190-022-01646-5

616 Hugelius, G., Loisel, J., Chadburn, S., Jackson, R. B., Jones, M., MacDonald, G., Marushchak, M.,

617   Olefeldt, D., Packalen, M., Siewert, M. B., Treat, C., Turetsky, M., Voigt, C., & Yu, Z. (2020).

618   Large stocks of peatland carbon and nitrogen are vulnerable to permafrost thaw. *Proceedings of*

619   *the National Academy of Sciences*, *117*(34), 20438–20446.

620   https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916387117

621 Iwahana, G., Busey, R. C., & Saito, K. (2021). Seasonal and Interannual Ground-Surface Displacement in

622   Intact and Disturbed Tundra along the Dalton Highway on the North Slope, Alaska. *Land*, *10*(1),

623   Article 1. https://doi.org/10.3390/land10010022

624 Jacobs, J. M., Hunsaker, A. G., Sullivan, F. B., Palace, M., Burakowski, E. A., Herrick, C., & Cho, E.

625   (2021). Snow depth mapping with unpiloted aerial system lidar observations: A case study in

626   Durham, New Hampshire, United States. *The Cryosphere*, *15*(3), 1485–1500.

627   https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-1485-2021

628 Jones, B. M., Grosse, G., Arp, C. D., Miller, E., Liu, L., Hayes, D. J., & Larsen, C. F. (2015). Recent Arctic

629   tundra fire initiates widespread thermokarst development. *Scientific Reports*, *5*(1), Article 1.

630   https://doi.org/10.1038/srep15865

631 Kellner, E., & Halldin, S. (2002). Water budget and surface-layer water storage in a Sphagnum bog in

632   central Sweden. *Hydrological Processes*, *16*(1), 87–103. https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.286

633 Kou, X., Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Wang, T., & Yan, S. (2021). A Study on the Detection of

634   Deformation of Tuotuohe Area on the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *2021 IEEE International Geoscience*

635   *and Remote Sensing Symposium IGARSS*, 5362–5365.

636   https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS47720.2021.9555061

637 Krutskikh, N., Ryazantsev, P., Ignashov, P., & Kabonen, A. (2023). The Spatial Analysis of Vegetation

638   Cover and Permafrost Degradation for a Subarctic Palsa Mire Based on UAS Photogrammetry

639   and GPR Data in the Kola Peninsula. *Remote Sensing*, *15*, 1896.

640   https://doi.org/10.3390/rs15071896

641 Kucharczyk, M., Hugenholtz, C. H., & Zou, X. (2018). UAV–LiDAR accuracy in vegetated terrain. *Journal*

642   *of Unmanned Vehicle Systems*, *6*(4), 212–234. https://doi.org/10.1139/juvs-2017-0030

643   Lantmäteriet. 2021. GSD-Orthophoto. Available from https://www.lantmateriet.se/sv/Kartor-och-

644   geografisk-information/geodataprodukter/produktlista/ortofoto/ [accessed 1 Nov 2023].

645   Łakomiec, P., Holst, J., Friborg, T., Crill, P., Rakos, N., Kljun, N., Olsson, P.-O., Eklundh, L., Persson, A.,

646   & Rinne, J. (2021). Field-scale CH_4 emission at a subarctic mire with heterogeneous permafrost

647   thaw status. *Biogeosciences*, *18*(20), 5811–5830. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-18-5811-2021

648   Lin, Y.-C., Cheng, Y.-T., Zhou, T., Ravi, R., Hasheminasab, S. M., Flatt, J. E., Troy, C., & Habib, A.

649   (2019). Evaluation of UAV LiDAR for Mapping Coastal Environments. *Remote Sensing*, *11*(24),

650   Article 24. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11242893

651   Luoto, M., Fronzek, S., & Zuidhoff, F. S. (2004a). Spatial modelling of palsa mires in relation to climate in

652   northern Europe. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, *29*(11), 1373–1387.

653   https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.1099

654   Luoto, M., Heikkinen, R. K., & Carter, T. R. (2004b). Loss of palsa mires in Europe and biological

655   consequences. *Environmental Conservation*, *31*(1), 30–37.

656   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892904001018

657   Mamet, S. D., Chun, K. P., Kershaw, G. G. L., Loranty, M. M., & Peter Kershaw, G. (2017). Recent

658   Increases in Permafrost Thaw Rates and Areal Loss of Palsas in the Western Northwest

659   Territories, Canada: Non-linear Palsa Degradation. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*, *28*(4),

660   619–633. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1951

661   Martin, L. C. P., Nitzbon, J., Scheer, J., Aas, K. S., Eiken, T., Langer, M., Filhol, S., Etzelmüller, B., &

662   Westermann, S. (2021). Lateral thermokarst patterns in permafrost peat plateaus in northern

663   Norway. *The Cryosphere*, *15*(7), 3423–3442. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3423-2021

664   Olvmo, M., Holmer, B., Thorsson, S., Reese, H., & Lindberg, F. (2020). Sub-arctic palsa degradation and

665   the role of climatic drivers in the largest coherent palsa mire complex in Sweden (Vissátvuopmi),

666   1955–2016. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-65719-1

667   Ostrowski, W., Górski, K., Pilarska, M., Salach, A., & Bakuła, K. (2017). Comparison of the laser scanning

668   solutions for the unmanned aerial vehicles. *Archiwum Fotogrametrii, Kartografii i Teledetekcji*, *29*,

669   101–123. https://doi.org/10.14681/afkit.2017.008

670 Pirk, N., Aalstad, K., Mannerfelt, E. S., Clayer, F., de Wit, H., Christiansen, C. T., Althuizen, I., Lee, H., &

671   Westermann, S. (2024). Disaggregating the Carbon Exchange of Degrading Permafrost

672   Peatlands Using Bayesian Deep Learning. *Geophysical Research Letters*, *51*(10),

673   e2024GL109283. https://doi.org/10.1029/2024GL109283

674 *POSPac UAV* (8.2). (2023). [Computer software]. Applanix. https://www.applanix.com/products/pospac-

675   uav.html

676 *POSPac UAV* (8.2). (2023). [Computer software]. Applanix. https://www.applanix.com/products/pospac-

677   uav.htm

678 Putkonen, J., & Roe, G. (2003). Rain-on-snow events impact soil temperatures and affect ungulate

679   survival. *Geophysical Research Letters - GEOPHYS RES LETT*, *30*, 37–1.

680   https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016326

681 Renette, C. (2024). Dataset for: "Multitemporal UAV LiDAR detects seasonal heave and subsidence on

682   palsas" (Renette et al., 2024) V1.0, Zenodo [data set], 10.5281/zenodo.10497094

683 Romanovsky, V. E., & Osterkamp, T. E. (1995). Interannual variations of the thermal regime of the active

684   layer and near-surface permafrost in northern Alaska. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*,

685   *6*(4), 313–335. https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.3430060404

686 Roulet, N. T. (1991). Surface Level and Water Table Fluctuations in a Subarctic Fen. *Arctic and Alpine*

687   *Research*, *23*(3), 303–310. https://doi.org/10.2307/1551608

688 Seppälä, M. (1986). The Origin of Palsas. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography, 68*(3),

689   141–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/04353676.1986.11880167

690 Siewert, M. B., & Olofsson, J. (2020). Scale-dependency of Arctic ecosystem properties revealed by UAV.

691   *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(9), 094030. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba20b

692 Sjöberg, Y., Coon, E., K. Sannel, A. B., Pannetier, R., Harp, D., Frampton, A., Painter, S. L., & Lyon, S.

693   W. (2016). Thermal effects of groundwater flow through subarctic fens: A case study based on

694   field observations and numerical modeling. *Water Resources Research, 52*(3), 1591–1606.

695   https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR017571

696 Sjögersten, S., Ledger, M., Siewert, M., De la Barreda-Bautista, B., Sowter, A., Gee, D., Foody, G., &

697   Boyd, D. (2023). Optical and radar Earth observation data for upscaling methane emissions

linked to permafrost degradation in sub-Arctic peatlands in northern Sweden. *Biogeosciences, 20,* 4221–4239. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-4221-2023

Smith, S. L., O'Neill, H. B., Isaksen, K., Noetzli, J., & Romanovsky, V. E. (2022). The changing thermal state of permafrost. *Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 3*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00240-1

Swindles, G. T., Morris, P. J., Mullan, D., Watson, E. J., Turner, T. E., Roland, T. P., Amesbury, M. J., Kokfelt, U., Schoning, K., Pratte, S., Gallego-Sala, A., Charman, D. J., Sanderson, N., Garneau, M., Carrivick, J. L., Woulds, C., Holden, J., Parry, L., & Galloway, J. M. (2015). The long-term fate of permafrost peatlands under rapid climate warming. *Scientific Reports, 5*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17951

Taylor, J. R. (1997). Introduction to Error Analysis, the Study of Uncertainties in Physical Measurements (2nd ed.). *University Science Books,* ISBN 093570275X.

Valman, S., Siewert, M. B., Boyd, D., Ledger, M., Gee, D., de la Barreda-Bautista, B., Sowter, A., & Sjögersten, S. (2024). InSAR-measured permafrost degradation of palsa peatlands in northern Sweden. *The Cryosphere, 18*(4), 1773–1790. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-1773-2024

Verdonen, M., Störmer, A., Lotsari, E., Korpelainen, P., Burkhard, B., Colpaert, A., & Kumpula, T. (2023). Permafrost degradation at two monitored palsa mires in north-west Finland. *The Cryosphere, 17*(5), 1803–1819. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-1803-2023

Voigt, C., Marushchak, M. E., Mastepanov, M., Lamprecht, R. E., Christensen, T. R., Dorodnikov, M., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Lindgren, A., Lohila, A., Nykänen, H., Oinonen, M., Oksanen, T., Palonen, V., Treat, C. C., Martikainen, P. J., & Biasi, C. (2019). Ecosystem carbon response of an Arctic peatland to simulated permafrost thaw. *Global Change Biology, 25*(5), 1746–1764. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14574

Walvoord, M. A., & Kurylyk, B. L. (2016). Hydraulic impacts of permafrost thawing and implications for groundwater fluxes in the Arctic. *Water Resources Research,* 52(2), 1236–1255. https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018299

Yanagiya, K., Furuya, M., Danilov, P., & Iwahana, G. (2023). Transient Freeze-Thaw Deformation

Responses to the 2018 and 2019 Fires Near Batagaika Megaslump, Northeast Siberia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 128*. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JF006817

Zhang, T. (2005). Influence of the seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime: An overview. *Reviews of Geophysics - REV GEOPHYS, 43*. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004RG000157

Zhang, W., Qi, J., Wan, P., Wang, H., Xie, D., Wang, X., & Yan, G. (2016). An Easy-to-Use Airborne LiDAR Data Filtering Method Based on Cloth Simulation. *Remote Sensing, 8*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8060501

Zwieback, S., & Meyer, F. J. (2021). Top-of-permafrost ground ice indicated by remotely sensed late-season subsidence. *The Cryosphere, 15*(4), 2041–2055. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-2041-2021

Roulet, N. T. (1991). Surface Level and Water Table Fluctuations in a Subarctic Fen. *Arctic and Alpine Research, 23*(3), 303–310. https://doi.org/10.2307/1551608

Seppälä, M. (1986). The Origin of Palsas. *Geografiska Annaler: Series A, Physical Geography, 68*(3), 141–147. https://doi.org/10.1080/04353676.1986.11880167

Siewert, M. B., & Olofsson, J. (2020). Scale-dependency of Arctic ecosystem properties revealed by UAV. *Environmental Research Letters, 15*(9), 094030. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aba20b

Sjögersten, S., Ledger, M., Siewert, M., De la Barreda-Bautista, B., Sowter, A., Gee, D., Foody, G., & Boyd, D. (2023). Optical and radar Earth observation data for upscaling methane emissions linked to permafrost degradation in sub-Arctic peatlands in northern Sweden. *Biogeosciences, 20*, 4221–4239. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-20-4221-2023

Swindles, G. T., Morris, P. J., Mullan, D., Watson, E. J., Turner, T. E., Roland, T. P., Amesbury, M. J., Kokfelt, U., Schoning, K., Pratte, S., Gallego-Sala, A., Charman, D. J., Sanderson, N., Garneau, M., Carrivick, J. L., Woulds, C., Holden, J., Parry, L., & Galloway, J. M. (2015). The long-term fate of permafrost peatlands under rapid climate warming. *Scientific Reports, 5*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep17951

Valman, S., Siewert, M. B., Boyd, D., Ledger, M., Gee, D., de la Barreda-Bautista, B., Sowter, A., & Sjögersten, S. (2024). InSAR-measured permafrost degradation of palsa peatlands in northern Sweden. *The Cryosphere, 18*(4), 1773–1790. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-18-1773-2024

Verdonen, M., Störmer, A., Korpelainen, P., Lotsari, E., Burkhard, B., Colpaert, A., & Kumpula, T. (2022). Permafrost degradation at two monitored palsa mires in north-west Finland. *EGUsphere*, 1–23. https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2022-1173

Verdonen, M., Störmer, A., Lotsari, E., Korpelainen, P., Burkhard, B., Colpaert, A., & Kumpula, T. (2023). Permafrost degradation at two monitored palsa mires in north-west Finland. *The Cryosphere, 17*(5), 1803–1819. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-1803-2023

Voigt, C., Marushchak, M. E., Mastepanov, M., Lamprecht, R. E., Christensen, T. R., Dorodnikov, M., Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Lindgren, A., Lohila, A., Nykänen, H., Oinonen, M., Oksanen, T., Palonen, V., Treat, C. C., Martikainen, P. J., & Biasi, C. (2019). Ecosystem carbon response of an Arctic peatland to simulated permafrost thaw. *Global Change Biology, 25*(5), 1746–1764. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14574

Yanagiya, K., Furuya, M., Danilov, P., & Iwahana, G. (2023). Transient Freeze-Thaw Deformation Responses to the 2018 and 2019 Fires Near Batagaika Megaslump, Northeast Siberia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 128.* https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JF006817

Zhang, T. (2005). Influence of the seasonal snow cover on the ground thermal regime: An overview. *Reviews of Geophysics - REV GEOPHYS, 43.* https://doi.org/10.1029/2004RG000157

Zhang, W., Qi, J., Wan, P., Wang, H., Xie, D., Wang, X., & Yan, G. (2016). An Easy-to-Use Airborne LiDAR Data Filtering Method Based on Cloth Simulation. *Remote Sensing, 8*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8060501

Zwieback, S., & Meyer, F. J. (2021). Top-of-permafrost ground ice indicated by remotely sensed late-season subsidence. *The Cryosphere, 15*(4), 2041–2055. https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-2041-2021