

Dear Reviewers,

We are very grateful for your valuable feedback and your time and effort in checking our revised manuscript. Below is our response to the comments from the revised manuscript.

Yours sincerely and on behalf of all co-authors,  
Sweety Mohanty

## Review report #1

### **Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection**

(visible to the public if the article is accepted and published)

---

**Author Comments:** Not required.

## Review report #2

### **Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection**

(visible to the public if the article is accepted and published)

I appreciate the author's revisions, and my only (minor, technical) comment is for Figure 8--the colorbar only goes between -80 and 80, but the example in the figure caption is for 100%. I would suggest an adjustment of the wording to "As an example, if there were a value of +100%..." and then a sentence at the end stating that the bounds of this experiment resulted in no values below or above 80%. Alternatively, if this is not the case, I recommend adjusting the colorbar to include the outliers at a -100-100 range.

**Author Comments:** Thank you for the comment regarding the colorbar. We have updated the Fig. 8 with the colorbar between -100% to +100% and have left the caption unchanged.

## List of changes made to figures and tables

Original manuscript figure/table no.	Revision 1 figure/table no.	Revision 2 figure/table no.	Comments
1	1	1	No change.
2	2	2	No change.
3	3	3	No change.
4	4	4	No change.
5	5	5	No change.
6	6	6	No change.
7	7	7	No change.
-	8	8	The figure has been revised with an updated colorbar.
A1	A1	A1	No change.
B1	B1	B1	No change.
C1	C1	C1	No change.
D1	D1	D1	No change.
-	E1	E1	No change.
-	F1	F1	No change.
-	G1	G1	No change.
-	H1	H1	No change.