

Authors' answer to the editor's review

Dear Editor,

Thank you for your positive evaluation and for your two helpful remarks.

Following your suggestions, we have removed the expression 'could be improved', which we had inadvertently left at the end of line 761. We have also moved the paragraph outlining the aim of the studies and describing the two previous studies it builds upon, from the beginning of Section 3.1. to the end of the Introduction.

We just uploaded two versions of the revised manuscript to the web platform: one with tracked changes, and one with the changes accepted.

Wishing you a pleasant summer.

Best regards,

Louise Le Vagueresse and co-authors.