

Supplement of

Seasonal evolution and parameterization of Arctic sea ice bulk density: results from the MOSAiC expedition and ICESat-2/ATLAS

Yi Zhou et al.

5 **Correspondence:** Xianwei Wang (xianwei.wang@sjtu.edu.cn) and Ruibo Lei (leiruibo@pric.org.cn)

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

Table S1. Overview of the 12 SIMBAs and 3 SIMBs deployed in the MOSAiC DN.

Buoy name	Start date (YYYY/MM/DD)	End date (YYYY/MM/DD)	Onset of growth (YYYY/MM/DD)	Initial ice thickness (m)	Initial snow depth (m)	Ice type
SIMBA_T47	2019/10/13	2020/02/07	2020/10/16	0.34	0.10	FYI
SIMBA_T58	2019/10/07	2020/07/21	2019/11/09	0.84	0.08	SYI
SIMBA_T62	2019/10/29	2020/07/27	2019/11/02	0.80	0.20	SYI
SIMBA_T63	2019/10/07	2020/07/28	2019/11/28	1.12	0.14	SYI
SIMBA_T64	2019/10/10	2020/08/02	2019/11/22	1.74	0.16	SYI
SIMBA_T65	2019/10/07	2020/05/30	2019/12/08	1.32	0.14	SYI
SIMBA_T66	2019/10/29	2020/08/03	2019/10/30	0.40	0.10	FYI
SIMBA_T67	2019/11/09	2020/08/03	2019/11/14	1.36	0.22	SYI
SIMBA_T68	2019/10/05	2020/06/05	2019/12/05	1.81	0.17	SYI
SIMBA_T69	2019/10/11	2020/01/31	2019/10/29	0.80	0.08	SYI
SIMBA_T70	2019/10/09	2020/08/05	2019/10/14	0.48	0.06	FYI
SIMBA_T72	2019/10/09	2020/04/27	2019/11/02	1.00	0.14	SYI
SIMB_I1	2019/10/05	2020/03/15	2019/12/17	1.20	0.17	SYI
SIMB_I2	2019/10/07	2020/07/30	2019/11/10	0.80	0.12	SYI
SIMB_I3	2019/10/10	2019/02/03	2019/10/10	0.30	0.06	FYI

Table S2. Growth rates of sea ice thickness for different buoys from mid-October to mid-April. Note that the statistical test *P*-values are all below 0.001.

Buoy name	Growth rate of sea ice thickness (m per month)
SIMBA_T47	0.23
SIMBA_T58	0.16
SIMBA_T62	0.16
SIMBA_T63	0.12
SIMBA_T64	0.10
SIMBA_T65	0.10
SIMBA_T66	0.19
SIMBA_T67	0.12
SIMBA_T68	0.08
SIMBA_T69	0.13
SIMBA_T70	0.21
SIMBA_T72	0.18
SIMB_I1	0.11
SIMB_I2	0.17
SIMB_I3	0.31

55 **Table S3.** Fitting performance of the ice draft-to-thickness ratio to sea ice bulk density at different spatial scales and sea ice types.

Spatial scale	Sea ice type	R^2	$RMSE (\text{kg m}^{-3})$	Counts
40 m	FYI	0.92	7	8538 (48.64 %)
	SYI	0.90	8	1061 (6.04 %)
	MYI	0.90	8	7955 (45.32 %)
800 m	FYI	0.59	12	1872 (80.45 %)
	SYI	0.76	10	80 (3.44 %)
	MYI	0.77	10	375 (16.12 %)
12.5 km	FYI	0.56	8	450 (77.45 %)
	SYI	0.92	4	25 (4.30 %)
	MYI	0.88	4	106 (18.24 %)
25 km	FYI	0.45	8	250 (77.16 %)
	SYI	0.94	3	20 (6.17 %)
	MYI	0.92	3	54 (16.67 %)

60

65

Text S1. Relative contribution of the input parameters

In order to quantify which input parameters used in Eq. (5) contribute most or least to the total uncertainty in sea ice bulk density,

70 the relative contribution of the input parameters (RC_X) was defined as follows:

$$RC_X = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial X}\right)^2 \times \sigma_X^2}{\sigma_{\rho_i}^2} \times 100\% \quad (S1)$$

where σ_{ρ_i} is the uncertainty in sea ice bulk density, X and σ_X denote each input parameter and its uncertainty, respectively.

The partial derivatives of sea ice bulk density with respect to each variable are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial \rho_w} = \left(1 - \frac{h_f}{h_i} + \frac{h_s}{h_i}\right) \quad (S2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial \rho_s} = -\frac{h_s}{h_i} \quad (S3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial h_i} = \frac{\rho_w h_f - \rho_w h_s + \rho_s h_s}{h_i^2} \quad (S4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial h_s} = \frac{\rho_w - \rho_s}{h_i} \quad (S5)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial h_f} = -\frac{\rho_w}{h_i} \quad (S6)$$

where ρ_i is the sea ice bulk density ρ_w and ρ_s represent the seawater and snow bulk densities, respectively; h_i , h_f , and h_s are the sea ice thickness, total freeboard, and snow depth, respectively.

75

80