Measurement Report: Urban Ammonia and Amines in Houston, Texas
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Abstract. Ammonia and amines play critical roles in secondary aerosol formation, especially in urban environments. However, fast measurements of ammonia and amines in the atmosphere are very scarce. We measured ammonia and amines with a chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) at the urban center in Houston, Texas, the fourth most populated urban site in the United States, during October 2022. Ammonia concentrations were on average 4 parts per billion in volume (ppbv), while the concentration of an individual amine ranged from several parts per trillion in volume (pptv) to hundreds of pptv. These reduced nitrogen compounds were more abundant during the weekdays than on weekends and correlated with measured CO concentrations, implying they were mostly emitted from pollutant sources. Both ammonia and amines showed a distinct diurnal cycle, with higher concentrations in the warmer afternoon, indicating dominant gas-to-particle conversion processes taking place with the changing ambient temperatures. Studies have shown that dimethylamine is critical for urban new particle formation (NPF), but currently, there are no amine emission inventories in global climate models (as opposed to ammonia). Our observations show that amines in general positively correlated with ammonia, indicating that it is reasonable for global models to use scaled-down ammonia concentrations (e.g., 0.1 %) as a proxy of urban dimethylamine concentrations to simulate urban NPF processes.

1. Introduction

Atmospheric ammonia and amines are ubiquitous in the atmosphere, and they have been found in the gas phase, aerosol, clouds, and fog droplets [Ge et al., 2011a; b]. Ammonia and amines are emitted from various natural and anthropogenic sources, such as agricultural activity, animal husbandry, vegetation, soil, waste processing, automobile traffic, power plants, and biomass burning [Ge et al., 2011a]. Ammonia and amines often share the same emission sources. In general, ambient concentrations of ammonia are at the parts per billion in volume (ppbv) range, and amines are approximately two to three orders of magnitude lower than ammonia concentrations. Ambient concentrations of ammonia and amines vary rapidly due to emission, gas-to-particle conversion, and wet deposition processes [You et al., 2014; Yu and Lee, 2012]

Laboratory studies have shown that ammonia and amines play key roles in new particle formation (NPF) as they can stabilize sulfuric acid clusters [Almeida et al., 2013; Glasoe et al., 2015; Jen et al., 2016; Lehtipalo et al., 2018; M Xiao et al., 2021; Yu et al., 2012]. In particular, dimethylamine can have a profound effect on atmospheric processes even at the pptv level [Almeida et al., 2013; Glasoe et al., 2015]. Field observations show that ammonia and amines are associated with NPF events in Chinese megacities [R. Cai et al., 2021; Runlong Cai et al., 2023; Yan et al., 2021; Yao et al., 2016], urban areas in the United States [Jen et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2010], European cities [J. Brean et al., 2020], a high altitude site [Bianchi et al., 2016], and the Arctic and Antarctic [Beck et al., 2021; James Brean et al., 2021; Jokinen et al.; Köllner et al., 2017]. However, global models cannot simulate urban NPF processes currently because of the lack of amine emission inventories in models.
Ammonia and amines also contribute to secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation by condensation of oxidation products formed by reactions with ozone, OH, or NO3 radicals and produce light-absorbing particles [Mark E. Erupe et al., 2010; Malloy et al., 2009; C. J. Nielsen, 2016; Claus J. Nielsen et al., 2012; Qiu and Zhang, 2013; Silva et al., 2008]. As a result, reducing ammonia emissions has been identified as a cost-effective way to mitigate ambient fine particle concentrations [Gu et al., 2021].

Fast-response measurements of ammonia and amines at atmospheric concentrations are very challenging [Lee, 2022], although such measurements are necessary because these reduced nitrogen compounds have relatively short atmospheric lifetimes [Claus J. Nielsen et al., 2012]. Previously, [Schwab et al., 2007] made an intercomparison of six different ammonia detection methods in the laboratory and found a large variance in the measured concentrations and vastly different response times (over several hours) within different instruments. Difficulties in the detection of base compounds also arise because these “sticky” compounds can rapidly adsorb and desorb on/from the surfaces of sampling inlets to cause background signals that vary depending on ambient concentrations, air humidity, and other atmospheric conditions. Thus frequent, in situ measurements of instrument background signals using proper zero gases are required, especially for field observations with rapidly changing ambient concentrations of base compounds.

Chemical ionization mass spectrometers (CIMS) using ion reagents such as protonated ethanol, acetone, and water ions can detect ammonia and amines in the atmosphere with fast response [Benson et al., 2010; Hanson et al., 2011; Jen et al., 2016; Nowak et al., 2006; Yu and Lee, 2012]. As summarized in Table 1, CIMS technique has been used for the detection of ambient ammonia and amines at a polluted site in Ohio [You et al., 2014; Yu and Lee, 2012], a rural Alabama forest [You et al., 2014], and polluted urban sites in China [G Wang et al., 2016; M Wang et al., 2020a; Zheng et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2022]. As shown in Table 1, there are even fewer studies that simultaneously measured ammonia and amines. The CIMS using ethanol reagent can measure amines at or below single-digit pptv concentrations with a time response of 1 minute and measure simultaneously amines and ammonia [Benson et al., 2010; M. E. Erupe et al., 2011; You et al., 2014; Yu and Lee, 2012]. The CIMS using protonated water ions (i.e., proton-transfer chemical ionization mass spectrometer, PTR-CIMS) can measure mono- and di-amines [Hanson et al., 2011; Jen et al., 2016]. Using a high-resolution time-of-flight (HR-TOF) detector coupled to CIMS (HR-TOF CIMS) (with ethanol reagent), [Yao et al., 2016] measured various amines and amides in Shanghai. However, isomers of amines were still not resolved in the detection; for example, the measured C2-amines still contained dimethylamine and ethylamine. Thus, a major disadvantage of a mass spectrometer (regardless of mass resolution) is the inability to resolve/identify isomers.

To resolve isomers, tandem MS/MS analysis or an additional independent separation method (such as chromatography) coupled to the mass spectrometer is necessary. In situ measurements of ammonia have been made in various atmospheric environments also with optical techniques such as open-path absorption [Miller et al., 2014], closed-path absorption [Ellis et al., 2010; Griffith and Galle, 2000; Leen et al., 2013; McManus et al., 2010; Pollack et al., 2019], cavity ring-down spectroscopy [Martin et al., 2016], and photoacoustic spectroscopy.
[Pushkarsky et al., 2002]. These fast-response optical techniques were used for flux and aircraft measurements of ammonia.

We measured ammonia and C1-C6 amines with an ethanol CIMS in October 2022 at the urban center in Houston, Texas. Houston is the fourth most populated urban center in the U.S. and contains a diverse range of pollutant emissions from urban activity, traffic, ship channels, oil production, marine air masses, and agricultural activity. The primary goal of these measurements is to quantify ammonia and C1-C6 amines in an urban setting and identify the atmospheric conditions that affect their abundance. The study is amongst very few observations of ammonia and amines at highly polluted urban sites in the U.S. We also compare observations in Houston with previous measurements taken with the same instrument in Kent, Ohio (less polluted) [You et al., 2014] and establish a quantitative relationship between ammonia and dimethylamine in a different range of polluted conditions. This relationship will allow global models to simulate urban NPF processes using the existing ammonia emission inventories.

2. Methods

The field observation took place in Houston continuously from the 8th to the 27th of October in 2022. Measurements were made at a stationary platform located on the campus of the University of Houston (29.72° N, 95.34° W) ~2.5 km from central downtown Houston. Maps of the measurement site (Figs. 1 and S1). The measurement platform was located ~5 m from an active parking lot, ~200 m from a low-traffic road, ~300 m from a high-traffic thoroughfare, and ~500 m from an interstate highway. The immediate vicinity of the site was the University of Houston campus, containing classroom buildings, dormitories, facilities services, and dining halls. Nearby to the southeast of the site were several restaurants as well as an industrial park containing sites of chemical supply companies, construction, machining services, and automobile shops. The site was surrounded by residential areas to the south, northeast, and west. The city center and highest population densities were to the northeast of the measurement site.

The ethanol CIMS instrument used has been described in detail previously [Benson et al., 2010; Mark E. Erupe et al., 2010; M. E. Erupe et al., 2011; Lee Tiszenkel and Lee, 2023; L. Tiszenkel et al., 2019; You et al., 2014; Yu and Lee, 2012 ]. The CIMS draws 10 standard liter per minute (slpm) of sample air into a low-pressure ion-molecule region (about 2,000 Pa) where the flow mixes with a pure nitrogen flow with a 2 slpm through a stainless-steel vessel of 200-proof ethanol, followed by a $^{210}$P$_{0}$ radiation source. Ammonia and amines were detected with the following ion-molecule reactions based on [M. E. Erupe et al., 2011], [Yu and Lee, 2012 ], and [Nowak et al., 2006]:

$$\text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH})_{n}H^{+} + \text{NH}_{3} \rightarrow (\text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH})_{n-1}\text{NH}_{4}^{+} + \text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH}$$

$$\text{(C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH})_{n}H^{+} + B \rightarrow BH^{+} + n\text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH}$$

Here, “B” refers to amines, and “n” is the number of reagent ions measured by the CIMS (n=1-3). The $(\text{C}_{2}\text{H}_{5}\text{OH})_{2}H^{+}$ (m/z = 93) peak was the highest among the three reagent ions (m/z = 47, 93, and 140). As shown in Fig. S2, the production ions of amines were protonated ions: C1-amine (m/z
Ammonia product ions were $\text{NH}_4^+$ ($m/z = 18$, higher peak) and ($\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH})\text{NH}_4^+$ ($m/z = 64$, lower peak); these two ions were strongly correlated to each other during the ammonia calibration and ambient measurements, indicating they represent ammonia signals.

**Fig. 1.** Location of the measurement platform, indicated by a red pin in the center of the map. Nearby commercial, industrial, and residential areas are labeled by yellow, red, and blue shaded sections, respectively. The nearby University of Houston power plant is circled in orange to the southwest of the measurement platform. The map of the greater Houston urban area, as well as the satellite view of the nearby vicinity of the measurement site, are shown in Fig. S1. Map credit: © Google.

To obtain a background signal, the CIMS is operated with 10 minutes of sampling followed by 10 minutes of background measurements. Figure S2 shows the main reagent and base compound product ions during the switching between ambient and background measurements. Background measurements were taken by switching a 3-way valve to supply the inlet with a flow of zero air through a silicon phosphate medium (Pan Tech, Texas) to scrub ammonia and amines. The reagent signal was taken as the sum of three ethanol reagent ions. Reagent ion signals were
typically around 400 kHz with less than 10 % difference between ambient and background measurement modes. Ammonia and amine concentrations were calculated by the difference between the ambient and background signals normalized to 1,000,000 Hz of reagent ion signal multiplied by a calibration factor. Calibration of the instrument was carried out with diluted ammonia in nitrogen and permeation tubes of methylamine, dimethylamine, trimethylamine, diethylamine, and diisopropylamine (Kin-tek, USA). Due to the difficulty of obtaining a calibration standard, C5 amines were assumed to have the same sensitivity as C6 amines. The calibration factors for each compound and detection limits were found to be similar to the results from the calibration of the instrument by [You et al., 2014] (Table S1), over a period of nearly 10 years, demonstrating an excellent reproducibility in the instrument performance. The time response of the CIMS instrument to ammonia and amines is defined as where the signal stabilizes at its “double e-folded” concentration of $1/e^2$ during the calibration. Average response times for ammonia and amines were smaller than 1 minute. For each 10-minute cycle of background and measurement, the first two minutes of each background/measurement cycle were excluded from the data analysis to allow the instrument to reach a steady concentration.

Meteorological data was measured concurrently on the platform by a Vaisala HMP-45c for temperature and relative humidity, and a RM Young 05305 wind speed and direction sensor. Additionally, CO and NOx (NO+NO2) were measured with Thermo 48c and Thermo 42c-TL, respectively. These measurements were provided by the University of Houston.

3. Results and Discussion

The time series of ammonia and amines during the ambient measurement period is shown in Fig. 2. The average ammonia concentration during the measurement campaign was 4 ppbv with several short-term spikes above 10 ppbv and one occasion when the concentration exceeded 20 ppbv. Concentrations of C1 amine averaged 4 pptv with several spikes up to 15 pptv. Average C2 amine concentrations were 6 pptv with frequent but brief periods of concentrations more than 10 pptv. Average C3 amine concentrations were 31 pptv with brief increases in concentration above 100 pptv. C4 amine was the most abundant amine observed during the measurement period with an average concentration of 79 pptv with spikes in concentration into the hundreds of pptv. Average C5 and C6 amine concentrations were 33 and 12 pptv, respectively. These concentrations in Houston were generally consistent with concentrations measured in other urban sites (Table 1). Ammonia concentrations of similar magnitude to the high spikes in concentration observed in this study have been reported in Shanghai [S Xiao et al., 2015] as well as an urban site in Romania [Petrus et al., 2022], with high ammonia concentrations corresponding to high temperatures and high traffic activity. Long-term measurements taken in Nanjing with a cavity ring-down spectrometer also showed an average ammonia concentration of 12 ppbv [Liu et al., 2024]. Measurements of amines in Atlanta, Georgia showed <1 to 3 pptv concentrations of C1 and C2 amines, and C3 and C6 amines up to 15-25 pptv [Hanson et al., 2011]. Yao et al. [Yao et al., 2016]
measured amines at the level of pptv or sub-pptv, e.g., C2 amines of 3.9 ± 1.2 pptv, in urban Shanghai during the summer.

Figure 2. Time series of ammonia and C1-C6 amines observed at the urban center in Houston, Texas, in October 2022.

Figure 3 shows the averaged diurnal concentrations of ammonia and amines during the observation period. Ammonia and amines had a diurnal cycle with peak concentrations in the afternoon with higher ambient temperatures. Generally, ammonia and amines correlated with one another throughout the measurement campaign, while C1-C3 amines showed the highest correlation with ammonia. Peak concentrations of all compounds corresponded with the high temperature of the day at around 3 pm local time. This was especially pronounced for ammonia, C1 and C3 amines. The relationships between ammonia and amines and temperature are shown in Fig. 4. Ammonia had the strongest correlation with temperature, and the relationship fit an exponential parameterization, as the following:

\[
[NH_3] = 2.85 + 9.66 \times 10^{15} e^{10619/T}
\]

Ammonia and amines showed linear relationships with temperature, with C3 and C4 amines displaying the strongest relationships. C3 amines increased by 2.3 pptv per °C \((r^2 = 0.86)\) and C4 by 2.9 pptv per °C \((r^2 = 0.65)\). C5 and C6 amines were also moderately correlated with temperature, increasing by 1.2 pptv per °C and 0.5 pptv per °C, respectively \((r^2 = 0.60\) for both C5 and C6). On the other
hand, the correlation of C1 and C2 amines with temperature were weaker: C1 only increased by 0.1 pptv per °C with almost no correlation ($r^2 = 0.22$), and C2 increased by 0.8 pptv per °C ($r^2 = 0.50$). Despite this variation, elevated temperatures generally result in heightened emissions of ammonia and amines. The clear temperature dependence of ammonia and amines indicates dominant gas-to-particle conversion processes, as previously found in a rural forest in Alabama by You et al., 2014, which also showed an anticorrelation of these base compounds between gas and aerosol phases. The temperature dependence could also be due to higher emissions at higher temperatures. The temperature dependence of ammonia and amines has been observed at other locations such as Kent, Ohio [You et al., 2014], Atlanta [Hanson et al., 2011], Delaware [Freshour et al., 2014], the Southern Great Plains [Freshour et al., 2014], and a rural central Germany [Kürten et al., 2016].

**Fig. 3.** Averaged diurnal cycles of (a) ammonia, (b-g) C1-C6 amines, (h) temperature, and RH in Houston, Texas, during the observation period (19 days continuously). Shaded areas indicate 1 standard deviation from the mean values of observation data.
Fig. 4. Temperature dependence of (a) ammonia and (b-g) C1-C6 amines measured in Houston. Vertical bars indicate 1 standard deviation from the mean values of observation data.

Anthropogenic pollutants such as CO and NOx and CO can serve as tracers for industrial and traffic activities. Ammonia and amines in general showed a positive correlation with CO (Fig. 5). As ammonia, amines, and CO can be traced to traffic or industrial emissions, the positive relationship between these compounds implies that these base compounds were emitted from pollutant sources. Unlike with CO, there was a negative correlation with NOx (Fig. S3). This lack of a strong correlation between NOx and ammonia was previously observed in Nanjing where a strong reduction in NOx concentration during COVID-19 lockdown periods was not accompanied by an equivalent reduction in ammonia concentrations [Liu et al., 2024]. This may indicate some unique emission sources for ammonia and amines that do not co-emit NOx.
Fig. 5. Correlation between ammonia (a) and C1-C6 amines (b-g) with the collocated CO concentrations during the measurement campaign. Vertical bars indicate 1 standard deviation from the mean values of observation data.

Wind speed and direction can help to identify local sources of ammonia and amines near the measurement site. Figures 6 and S4 show the correlation of ammonia and amines with wind speeds and direction throughout the observation period. Consistent between all base compounds is the high concentration coming from the southeast. This is the direction of the interstate highway, industrial areas, and train yards (Figs. 1 and S1). Ammonia and most amines also have a pronounced source from the northwest – this is the direction of downtown Houston, where population density is highest. Except for C2 and C4 amines, the observed ammonia and amines in Houston were higher during periods of low wind speeds. The abundant C2 and C4 at high wind speeds may suggest that C2 and C4 amines were transported from more distant sources.

Figure S5 shows the average diurnal cycle of ammonia and amines on weekdays as opposed to weekends. Except for C2 and C4 amines, there was a clear decrease in concentrations during weekends during the afternoon peak. Weekends saw much less traffic and activity on the University of Houston campus. During this observation period, ambient temperatures were higher during the weekends, which would increase emissions. Therefore, the differences in weekdays vs. weekends indicate that amines and ammonia were indeed emitted from traffic and industrial activities. Lower average amine concentrations on weekends were also observed during mobile measurements in Yangtze River Delta cities [Chang et al., 2022].
Fig. 6. Wind rose plots of (a) ammonia and (b-g) C1-C6 amines observed in urban Houston. The color scale indicates concentration, and radial intensity shows wind speed.

4. Atmospheric Implications

Field observations show that sulfuric acid and amines are responsible for aerosol nucleation [J. Brean et al., 2020; R. Cai et al., 2021; Runlong Cai et al., 2023; Jen et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2021; Yao et al., 2016], however, currently, global models do not have amine emission inventories. Figure 7 shows the correlation of ammonia with C1-C6 amines measured during this campaign. This figure also includes that data obtained with the same instrument in Kent, Ohio, [You et al., 2014]. It is clear from this figure that concentrations of ammonia, C1, C2, C3, C5, and C6 amines were positively correlated with one another throughout the study: $r^2$ values for the correlation between ammonia and amines were 0.61 for C1, 0.42 for C2, 0.47 for C3, 0.26 for C4, 0.28 for C5, and 0.14 for C6.
for C5 and 0.88 for C6. These relationships imply that these compounds are mostly co-emitted from similar sources and undergo similar atmospheric transport. C4 amines showed no correlation with ammonia and lower-mass amines – the $r^2$ value for C4 vs. NH$_3$ was 0.048. This indicates a unique source for C4 amines, consistent with both elevated C4 concentrations at high wind speeds and higher weekend C4 concentrations as discussed previously. Concentrations of C1-C3 amines concentrations were approximately equivalent to $1.1 \times 10^{-3}$ [NH$_3$], $1.4 \times 10^{-3}$ [NH$_3$], and $8.4 \times 10^{-3}$ [NH$_3$], respectively. C5 and C6 amine concentrations were $1.9 \times 10^{-2}$ [NH$_3$] and $3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ [NH$_3$], respectively (Table S2). From these results, we propose that global modelers use 0.1 % of the ammonia concentration as a proxy of dimethylamine to simulate urban NPF processes.

![Fig. 7. Correlations of C1-C6 amines with ammonia throughout the observation period in Houston (diamonds) and Kent, OH (squares) as reported by [You et al., 2014]. Vertical bars indicate one standard deviation from the mean values of observation data.](https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2024-1230)
5. Conclusions

Our observations in urban Houston show that ammonia and amines generally followed a clear diurnal cycle, peaking in the early afternoon when the ambient temperature was highest during the day. We found a correlation of ammonia and amines with ambient temperature. The pronounced diurnal cycles and temperature dependence of these compounds may be due to active partitioning between the gas and particle phases, which is sensitively dependent on temperature. This could be due to increased emissions of ammonia and amines from biogenic and anthropogenic sources. It is likely a combination of these effects that causes elevated ammonia and amine concentrations when temperatures are high.

High concentrations of ammonia and amines were correlated with local air masses from densely populated areas and areas of high traffic, industry, and other human activity. This suggests that most ammonia and amines measured in Houston originated from pollutant sources, consistent with the correlation observed with CO concentrations. There was also a clear increase in ammonia and amines on days with more human activity as shown by the results of concentrations on weekends vs weekdays. We observed a consistent relationship between ammonia and amines during our measurement campaign as well as with observations in less densely populated Kent, Ohio, suggesting that it is reasonable to parameterize amine emission inventories based on existing ammonia inventories to simulate urban NPF processes.

The CIMS used in this campaign is currently one of the few instruments in the world that is capable of simultaneous measurements of ammonia and amines at atmospherically relevant detection limits and timescales. Studies have shown that the co-presence of ammonia and amines can enhance sulfuric acid nucleation rates compared to ammonia alone [Glasoe et al., 2015; Myllys et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2012]. From this perspective, simultaneous measurements of ammonia and amines will be required for the correct prediction of NPF processes in the atmosphere. Measurements of ammonia and amines with comprehensive calibration as shown in the present study are very even rarer, but such measurements are needed for mitigating urban air quality problems and the health effects of ultrafine particles.
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Table 1. Ammonia and amine measurements with CIMS at various locations reported in the literature. DL, detection limit of each instrument.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>NH$_3$ (ppbv)</th>
<th>C1 Amine (pptv)</th>
<th>C2 Amine (pptv)</th>
<th>C3 Amine (pptv)</th>
<th>C4 Amine (pptv)</th>
<th>C5 Amine (pptv)</th>
<th>C6 Amine (pptv)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural Alabama Forest [You et al., 2014]*</td>
<td>Up to 1-2</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>1 - 10</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent, Ohio [You et al., 2014]*</td>
<td>Up to 6</td>
<td>1 – 4</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
<td>5 - 10</td>
<td>10 - 50</td>
<td>10 - 100</td>
<td>&lt; DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kent, Ohio [Yu and Lee, 2012]*</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8 ± 3</td>
<td>16 ± 7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlanta, Georgia [Hanson et al., 2011]†</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4 – 15</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lewes, Delaware [Freshour et al., 2014]†</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamont, Oklahoma [Freshour et al., 2014]†</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minneapolis, Minnesota [Freshour et al., 2014]†</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanghai [Yao et al., 2016]‡</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.2</td>
<td>6.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.0</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanjing [Zheng et al., 2015]‡</td>
<td>1.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>7.2 ± 7.4 (C1 + C2 + C3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wangdu</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14.6 ± 14.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Y Wang et al., 2020b]§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing</td>
<td>[Zhu et al., 2022]‡</td>
<td>2.8 ± 2.0</td>
<td>5.2 ± 4.3 (C1 + C2 + C3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Houston, TX</td>
<td>(This study)*</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 2</td>
<td>31 ± 9</td>
<td>79 ± 30</td>
<td>33 ± 12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CIMS with ethanol reagent
† Proton-transfer chemical ionization mass spectrometer (PTR-CIMS)
‡ High-resolution time of flight chemical ionization mass spectrometer (HR-TOF CIMS) with ethanol reagent
§ Vocus proton transfer time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-TOF MS)
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