

Seasonal Variation of Total Column Formaldehyde, Nitrogen Dioxide, and Ozone Over Various Pandora Spectrometer Sites with a Comparison of OMI and Diurnally Varying DSCOVR-EPIC Satellite Data

Jay Herman^{1,2} and Jianping Mao^{2,3}

¹GESTAR II University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, Maryland USA

1000 Hilltop Cir, Baltimore, MD 21250

²NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA

Correspondence: Jay Herman (herman@umbc.edu)

³College of Computer, Mathematical and Natural Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20740, USA

Abstract

Observations of trace gases, O₃, HCHO, and NO₂, and their seasonal dependence can be observed using satellite and ground-based data from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) satellite and Pandora ground-based instruments. Both operate with spectrometers that have similar characteristics in wavelength range and spectral resolution that enable them to retrieve total column amounts of formaldehyde (TCHCHO), and nitrogen dioxide (TCNO₂), and total column ozone (TCO). The polar orbiting OMI observes at 13:30 ± 0:25 local time plus an occasional second side-scan point 90 minutes later at mid-latitudes. The ground-based Pandora spectrometer system observes the direct sun all day with a temporal resolution of 2 minutes. At most sites, Pandora data show a strong seasonal dependence for TCO and TCHCHO and less seasonal dependence for TCNO₂. Use of a low pass filter Lowess(3-months) can reveal the seasonal dependence of TCNO₂ for both OMI and Pandora at mid-latitude sites usually correlated with seasonal heating using natural gas or oil. Compared to Pandora, OMI underestimates the amount of NO₂ air-pollution that occurs during most days, since the OMI TCNO₂ retrieval is around 13:30± 0:25 local time, which tends to occur near the frequent minimum of the daily TCNO₂ time series. Even when Pandora data are restricted to between 13:00 and 14:00 hours local time OMI retrieves less TCNO₂ than Pandora over urban sites because of OMI's large field of view. The seasonal behavior of TCHCHO is mostly caused by the release of HCHO precursors from plant growth and emissions from lakes that peak in the summer as observed by Pandora and OMI. Long-term averages show that OMI TCHCHO usually has the same seasonal dependence but differs in magnitude from the amount measured by Pandora and is frequently larger. Comparisons of OMI total column NO₂ and HCHO with Pandora daily time series show both agreement and disagreement at various sites and days with Pandora frequently larger. For ozone, daily time dependent comparisons of OMI TCO with those retrieved by Pandora show good agreement in most cases. Additional diurnal comparisons are shown of Pandora TCO with hourly retrievals during a day from EPIC (Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera) spacecraft instrument orbiting the Earth-Sun Lagrange point L₁.

1.0 Introduction

Formaldehyde, HCHO, is ubiquitous in the atmosphere and as with other VOCs (Volatile Organic Compounds) are derived from natural and anthropogenic sources, such as plants, animals, biomass burning, fossil fuel combustion, and industrial processes (Zhang et al., 2019; Morfopoulos et al., 2021). Formaldehyde is mainly produced from the oxidation of VOCs such as isoprene, methane, and anthropogenic emissions (Wittrock, 2006). Formaldehyde can also be directly emitted from some sources, such as vehicle exhaust, tobacco smoke, building materials, and wood burning affecting pollution levels both indoors and outdoors. The majority of gaseous and atmospheric formaldehyde derives from microbial and plant decomposition (Peng et al., 2022). HCHO concentrations in the first few kilometers of the atmosphere vary depending on the location, time of day, season, and meteorological conditions. Some of the factors that influence total atmospheric column amounts of HCHO are:

- **Solar radiation:** Formaldehyde is photolyzed by solar ultraviolet radiation (Nussbaumer et al., 2021) and broken down into smaller molecules and radicals. The photolysis rate of formaldehyde depends on the solar zenith angle, the cloud cover, and the atmospheric composition. Generally, formaldehyde photolysis is faster in the summer and during midday.
- **Temperature:** The thermal decomposition rate of formaldehyde increases with temperature, which means it is faster in warmer regions and seasons.
- **Humidity:** Formaldehyde reacts with water vapor in the atmosphere, forming formic acid and hydroxyl radicals. The reaction rate of formaldehyde with water vapor depends on the relative

humidity, which varies with the temperature and the precipitation. Generally, formaldehyde reaction with water vapor is faster in humid regions and seasons.

The largest sources of NO_2 are obtained from fossil fuel burning from various types of automobiles truck emissions and power generation followed by industrial processes and oil and gas production (Van der A, 2008; Stavrou et al. 2020). Additional sources are soils with natural vegetation, oceans, agriculture with the use of nitrogen rich fertilizers, forest fires, and lightning. In populated areas requiring winter heating, anthropogenic sources of lower tropospheric NO_2 are larger than natural sources. Nitrogen oxides play a major role in atmospheric chemistry and the production and destruction of ozone in both the troposphere and stratosphere. In the boundary layer high concentrations of both HCHO (Kim et al., 2011) and NO_2 (Faustini et al., 2014) are health hazards for humans.

TCHCHO, TCNO₂ and TCO in the atmosphere are typically measured by satellite and ground-based instruments.

- Satellite: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) is a satellite sensor launched in July 2004 that measures HCHO, NO_2 , O_3 , and other atmospheric constituents from space (Levelt et al. 2018). Detailed descriptions of the OMI instrument are given in Levelt et al. (2006) and Dobber et al. (2006). Briefly, OMI is a side scanning spectrometer instrument (270 to 500 nm in steps of 0.5 nm) with a nadir spatial resolution of $13 \times 24 \text{ km}^2$. OMI data can be used to monitor their global distribution and long-term trends, and to investigate the role of NO_2 and HCHO in atmospheric chemistry and air quality (Lamsal et al., 2014; 2015; Boeke et al., 2011). For ozone, DSCOVR (Deep Space Climate Observatory), located at the Earth-Sun gravitational balance Lagrange point L_1 , contains a filter-based instrument EPIC (Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera) capable of obtaining TCO once per hour (90 minutes in Northern hemisphere winter) simultaneously for the entire sunlit globe as the Earth rotates (Herman et al., 2018) with nadir resolution of $18 \times 18 \text{ km}^2$.
- Ground-based Spectrometer: The Pandora spectrometer system forms a worldwide network of over 150 currently working direct-sun observing instruments that match atmospheric observations with known laboratory spectra of HCHO, NO_2 , and O_3 to obtain the total vertical column above the Pandora instrument every 2 minutes from multiple co-added spectra. Pandora uses a single-grating spectrometer and a charge-coupled device (CCD) 2048×64 -pixel detector to record the direct-sun spectra in the ultraviolet and visible wavelength range, 280 – 525 nm with an oversampled 0.6 nm spectral resolution. The retrieval algorithm is based on a spectral fitting technique to retrieve the slant column densities of O_3 , HCHO, NO_2 and other gases, and then convert them to vertical column densities using geometric air mass factors appropriate for direct-sun observations. Pandora spectrometers have been deployed in various field campaigns and locations to monitor the spatial and temporal variability of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ to validate and improve the satellite observations of TCHCHO (Herman et al., 2009, Tzortziou et al., 2015, Spinei et al., 2018).

Previous validation studies of TCNO₂ and TCHCHO have been made with emphasis on the amount of bias between ground-based and satellite retrievals of total column NO_2 and HCHO (Pinardi et al., 2020; de Smedt et al., 2021) and references therein. Validation studies using Pandora measurements have shown that OMI TCNO₂ retrievals tend to underestimate the degree of NO_2 pollution, especially in urban areas where the coarse OMI spatial resolution tends to reduce the spatially averaged amount (Celarier et al., 2008; Lamsal et al., 2014; Judd et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). In addition to the different field of view, the agreement between OMI and Pandora depends strongly on determining the OMI effective air mass

factor for a wide variety of observing and solar zenith angles (Lorente et al., 2017), whereas Pandora uses a simple geometric direct sun airmass factor (Herman et al., 2009, Eq 3). Studies of TCHCHO involving Pandora prior to 2020 are probably not valid because of a problem with internal generation of HCHO in the Pandora instrument (Spinei et al., 2021). More recent studies (Wang et al. 2022) obtain a seasonal dependence of surface concentrations similar to the TCHCHO in this study. The largest sources of error in TCHCHO retrievals are the determinations of the air mass factor for satellite observations and the fact that ozone and formaldehyde have overlapping absorption spectra so that a small error in ozone retrieval can affect the formaldehyde results. A comparison of direct-sun Pandora TCHCHO retrievals with Geostationary Environment Monitoring Spectrometer GEMS shows a similar seasonal dependence (Fu et al., 2025).

Table 1 List of 30 Pandora locations used in this study in order of appearance

	Pandora Number	Pandora location name	Lat (deg)	Long (deg)	Alt(m)
1	Pan 180 Fig.1,2	Bronx, New York USA	40.868	-73.878	31
2	Pan 64 Fig.3	New Haven, Connecticut USA	41.301	-72.903	4
3	Pan 190 Fig.4	Bangkok, Indonesia	13.785	100.540	6
4	Pan 182 Fig.5	Tel Aviv, Israel	32.113	34.806	8
5	Pan 159 Fig. 6	Wakkerstroom, South Africa	-27.349	30.144	18
6	Pan 20 Fig.7	Busan, Korea	50.798	4.358	107
7	Pan 145 Fig.10	Toronto-Scarborough, Canada	43.784	-79.187	14
8	Pan 134 Fig. 12	Bristol, Pa, USA	40.107	-74.882	10
9	Pan 204 Fig. 12	Boulder, Co USA	40.038	-105.242	161
10	Pan 106 Fig.12,A2	Innsbruck, Austria	47.264	11.385	616
11	Pan 117 Fig.12	Rome Italy	41.907	12.5158	75
12	Pan 193 Fig.12	Tsukuba, Japan	36.066	140.124	51
13	Pan 140 Fig.13,A2	Washington, DC USA	38.922	-77.012	6
14	Pan 166 Fig.7,A2	Philadelphia, Pa USA	39.992	-75.081	6
15	Pan 238 Fig.14	Granada	37.164	-3.605	7
16	Pan 240 Fig. 14	Thessaloniki, Greece	40.6336	22.9561	60
17	Pan 66 Fig.15	Huntsville Alabama USA	34.725	-86.646	22
18	Pan 156 Fig.15	Hampton, Virginia USA	37.020	-76.337	19
19	Pan 39 Figs.12,15	Dearborn, Michigan USA	42.307	-83.149	18
20	Pan 101 Fig.A1	Izania, Spain	28.309	-16.499	24
21	Pan 119 Fig.A1,A2	Athens, Greece	37.998	23.775	130
22	Pan 124 Fig.A1	Comodoro Rivadavia	-45.7833	-67.45	46
23	Pan 131 Fig. A1	Palau	7.3420	134.4722	23
24	Pan 135 Fig.A1,A2	CCNY Manhattan NY USA	40.815	-73.951	34
25	Pan 142 Fig.A1	Mexico City, Mexico	19.326	-99.176	2280
26	Pan 146 Fig.A1	Yokosuka, Japan	35.321	139.651	5
27	Pan 147 Fig.A1	Detroit, Mi USA	42.303	-83.107	178
28	Pan 150 Fig.A1,A2	Ulsan, Korea	35.575	129.190	38
29	Pan 154 Fig.A1	Salt Lake City Ut, USA	40.766	-75,081	1455
30	Pan 162 Fig.A1	Brussels, Belgium	50.798	4.358	107

This study will examine the offsets and seasonal cycles of total column NO₂, HCHO, and O₃ seen by the Pandora instruments by examining multi-year (2021 – 2024) time series for seasonal and daily behavior at various sites and will compare with observations made from the OMI satellite overpass measurements (based on OMI gridded 0.25° x 0.25° data) for the Pandora sites. Pandora ozone measurements will be additionally compared to hourly data obtained from EPIC. All of the Pandora data

used in this study are after the upgrade of the instruments to eliminate internal sources of HCHO (Spinei, et al., 2021). Part of this study (TCNO₂ and TCO) is an extension of Herman et al. (2019) using Pandora data (2012 – 2017) before the internal upgrade. A difference is that Pandora TCO is now compared with hourly TCO retrieved by DSCOVR-EPIC. Table 1 shows a list of 30 Pandora sites used in this study.

2.0 Examples of Seasonal and Daily Variation of HCHO and NO₂

Worldwide Pandora total column data can be downloaded from the Austrian Pandonia project website <https://data.pandonia-global-network.org/>. Of interest for this study are the Level-2 (L2) time series ASCII files for direct-sun observations. For example, the Bronx New York City files for Pandora instrument 180 for TCNO₂ data are in Pandora180s1_BronxNY_L2_rnvs3p1-8.txt, TCHCHO in Pandora180s1_BronxNY_L2_rfus5p1-8.txt, and TCO data in Pandora180s1_BronxNY_L2_rout2p1-8.txt with the 9 bold characters identifying the file contents. This naming convention applies to all Pandora sites.

The Pandora data are arranged in irregular columns that are identified in the metadata header for each file. In the current version, column 1 contains the GMT date and time for each measurement and column 39 contains measured column density in moles m⁻² (multiply by $6.02214076 \times 10^{23} / 2.6867 \times 10^{20} = 2241.4638$ to convert to DU where 1 DU = 2.6867×10^{20} molecules m⁻²). Pandora data also contain measurements of water vapor, and SO₂ total column amounts in different files.

The original OMI data has a resolution of 13 x 24 km² at the center of the OMI side-to-side scan. The overpass OMI data is based on the latest gridded version with 0.25° x 0.25° pixel resolution (midlatitudes approximately 30 x 30 km²). The closest OMI pixel to each Pandora site within 50 km is used for time-matched comparisons. Long time series use all available Pandora data between 07:00 and 17:00 filtered for data quality (values with large RMS errors and with negative values are removed). Diurnal comparisons with OMI on specified days use Pandora minute-by-minute data that are nearly continuous suggesting that Pandora is observing the direct sun under clear-sky conditions. Clouds cause some scatter in consecutive data points.

Figure 1 shows the seasonal and daily variation of total column HCHO (TCHCHO) and NO₂ (TCNO₂) in Bronx, New York. The daily data for 1 week in July and September shows the range of values for both weekdays and weekends. When all the Bronx TCHCHO data are plotted as an aggregate for 3 years, there is a strong seasonal pattern with a maximum in July and a minimum near the end of December. The summer seasonal dependence of TCHCO is consistent with the surface HCHO values observed by the ground-based Air-Quality System AQS (Wang et al., 2022). For TCNO₂, there is a weaker seasonal pattern as shown in the Lowess(0.033) fit to the data (Cleveland, 1979; Cleveland and Devlin, 1988) with moderate maxima in January-February, since the sources of NO₂ are largely from the nearly constant flow of cars and trucks. The parameter 0.033 is the fraction of the time-series data included in the local least squares estimate, or about 1 month for Pan 180.

Figure 2 shows the daily average of Pandora data obtained from diurnal variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ from 09:00 to 15:00 local standard time (GMT – 5). The primary emission sources of atmospheric HCHO include direct emissions of HCHO precursors from vegetation and lakes, primarily through the release of biogenic volatile organic compounds such as isoprene and terpenes from vegetation, the soil, biomass burning, and decaying plant and animal matter. This is consistent with the Bronx location that is adjacent to a large, vegetated park with a small lake near Fordham University. The same TCHCHO seasonal dependence and magnitude occurs when the Pandora sampling is restricted to 13:00 to 14:00 local standard time similar to the OMI overpass time.

There are 3 Pandora sites in New York City and one in nearby Bayonne, New Jersey. The NYC sites are in the Bronx-Fordham University, Manhattan-City College NY (CCNY), Queens-Queens College. All four successfully measured NO₂ in the period 2021 – 2023. A strong seasonal cycle in TCNO₂ is not seen (Figs. 1 and 2) in the traffic driven production of NO₂ in the Bronx, New York. The mean values of total column NO₂ (TCNO₂) for each of the 3 New York sites are 0.5 DU while the TCNO₂ for the port city of Bayonne, NJ is substantially higher at 0.7 DU. None of the four sites show a large seasonal daily average TCNO₂ pattern. For TCHCHO, all four sites show an annual seasonal cycle with three of the sites having a 3-year average of 0.3 DU except for the Queens site at 0.45 DU. The Queens site may be anomalous because of many missing points affecting the average.

Similar behavior is seen at other sites such as the one from New Haven Connecticut located in a vegetated area adjacent to two rivers (Fig.3). TCHCHO has a clear summer peak in June – July and a weak winter TCNO₂ peak in December to January coinciding with the maximum heating season.

The seasonal variation of TCHCHO could not be studied prior to the internal upgrade of Pandora after 2019 that was needed because of the release of HCHO from polyoxymethylene (POM-H Delrin) out-gassing as a function of daytime temperature within the Pandora sun-pointing optical head (Spinei et al., 2021)

An equatorial Pandora site (Fig. 4) with a sufficiently long data record is located in Bangkok, Indonesia near a small park and lake. Bangkok has a tropical monsoon climate with three main seasons: hot season from March to June, rainy season from July to October, and cool season between November and February. TCHCHO has a seasonal cycle peaking in March – April when the sun is nearly overhead and a minimum during the rainy season. TCNO₂ has a clear seasonal cycle peaking in December – January and a minimum during the rainy season. Bangkok has a tropical climate with April as the hottest month with temperatures averaging at 30.5 °C (87°F) and the coldest is December at 26 °C (79°F).

An unusual counter example to the typical TCHCHO seasonal cycle is for the Pandora site located in Tel Aviv Israel. Tel Aviv has significant amounts of HCHO but does not show seasonal variation in TCHCHO because of a coastal location in a warm climate even at midlatitudes located at 32.113°N, 34.085°E that has essentially two seasons, a cool, rainy winter: October – April and a dry, hot summer: May – September. The result is there is a limited seasonal increase in vegetational activity and almost no seasonal variation in HCHO (Fig. 5). However, TCNO₂ shows a clear seasonal increase in the December - January months frequently reaching over 0.5DU. The TCNO₂ seasonality is similar to that of the near-surface concentrations reported by Boersma et al., (2009). The Pandora instrument 182 is located at Tel Aviv University about 1 km from a major highway. Tel Aviv has frequent episodes of smog associated

with heavy automobile and truck traffic (Newmark, 2001). Heating and cooling in Tel Aviv are mainly electrical with the maximum power generation occurring in the summer, suggesting that the winter TCNO₂ peak is not caused just by electrical power generation from natural gas that emits NO₂.

Finally, a Pandora example from the Southern Hemisphere SH from Wakkerstroom, South Africa located in a rural area near the ocean a few degrees outside of the equatorial zone at -27.359°S and 30.144°E.

As expected, the peak value of TCHCHO occurs near the SH summer in November – December, while TCNO₂ has no significant seasonal dependence.

2.1 Comparisons Between Pandora and OMI Retrievals of NO₂ and HCHO

In this section three types of comparisons of Pandora with OMI satellite data are considered. First (Fig. 7 upper panels), is the TCNO₂ time series consisting of the data record of Pandora and OMI from 2020 – 2023. The second (Fig. 7 lower panels) is a low-pass Lowess(3-months) filter of midday TCNO₂ showing the seasonal variation. The third (Fig. 8), looks at a few selected days in May, July, and December and compares typical Pandora clear-sky values with the mid-afternoon OMI overpass at times near 13:30 hours equator crossing time. Pandora and OMI data are matched at the same GMT and then converted to local solar time, GMT + Longitude/15. The OMI overpass HCHO, NO₂ and O₃ data, 2004 – 2025, are found at <https://zenodo.org/uploads/15468213> in 7Zip ASCII format.

Figure 7 (upper 2 panels) illustrates that OMI only captures the mid-day fraction of the daily values of total column NO₂ and fails to detect the extent of the daily pollution at both the Bronx New York City and Busan Korea sites. This is because OMI and other polar orbiting satellites only collect data once per day (occasionally twice per day) at any given location at mid-afternoon, frequently when TCNO₂ is below its daily maximum (Lamsal et al., 2015; Herman et al., 2019). The lower 4 panels of Fig. 7 reveal the seasonal dependence of TCNO₂ at two mid-latitude Northern Hemisphere sites found by using a 3-month low-pass filter Lowess(3 Months) showing that there is an annual TCNO₂ cycle peaking in the winter that corresponds to the natural gas and oil heating use. The Pandora (13:00 to 14:00) values are larger than those from OMI especially at Busan suggesting that the OMI gridded overpass field of view 0.25° x 0.25° includes areas of lower NO₂ values over the nearby ocean. In the case of the Bronx, the differences are smaller but also include areas over rivers. Philadelphia Pennsylvania is landlocked but smaller than an OMI gridded footprint so that the OMI field of view contains somewhat less polluted suburbs making the OMI TCNO₂ closer to the Pandora values. The Boulder Colorado Pandora is in a small landlocked city where the OMI field of view extends over sparsely populated regions leading to OMI TCNO₂ lower than Pandora values.

Figures 8 and 9 show the diurnal daytime variation for 3 selected days for Pandora retrieved total column NO₂ and HCHO compared with OMI at the overpass time for both the Bronx in New York City Busan, Korea and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. These are typical examples of the highly variable hourly variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ as observed by Pandora on clear-sky days at most sites.

The hourly variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ on any given day can take on unique shapes depending on the presence of surface winds, changes in temperature, and the amount of sunlight. The variability of TCNO₂ is also driven by the strength of the sources (automobile exhaust, power generation, industry, etc.) as well as the meteorological conditions. On some days, there is good agreement (within 10%) but in general the OMI overpass values do not agree with Pandora retrieved values for both TCHCHO and

TCNO₂. In the sample shown in Figures 8 and 9, the cases of agreement are about 70% of the time for TCNO₂ and 30% for TCHCHO. Also, the OMI TCNO₂ frequently is less than the daily maximum of TCNO₂.

Figure 9 illustrates the comparison of TCHCHO retrievals from Pandora and OMI. The spectral fitting algorithm for detecting HCHO absorption is in the same short wavelength UV spectral region as used for ozone retrieval, 300 – 360 nm (Gratien et al. 2007). This means that the retrieval sensitivity for “seeing” all the way to the surface is reduced because of ozone absorption and Rayleigh scattering. Also, small errors in ozone retrieval can affect the detection of HCHO. This problem is not present for the spectral fitting of NO₂, since that usually occurs in the visible range 410 – 450 nm where there is only interference from a weak and narrow water vapor line.

Pandora TCHCHO daily average data (Fig. 10) for University of Toronto in Toronto-Scarborough (Lat = 43.784°N, Lon = -79.187°W) shows clear peaks in the summer from the vegetation in a surrounding park area whereas TCNO₂ shows only small seasonal variation with small peaks also occurring in the summer for values less than 0.4 DU. Higher values do not show any seasonal variation. The University of Toronto is located near a major highway, which is a strong source of NO₂ from automobiles and trucks. Unlike many sites, OMI TCHCHO data over Toronto East (centered on 43.74°N, -79.27°E is about 8 km from the Pandora site) also shows sporadic summer peak values that are higher than the Pandora 13:00-14:00 averages and all of the Pandora data (Fig. 11).

Using the daily average Pandora data over Toronto-Scarborough (Fig. 10 upper right) shows no visible hint of an TCNO₂ annual cycle that peaks in winter while the OMI TCNO₂ amounts at 13:40 show a clear peak in December – January corresponding to the peak winter heating for the city (Figs. 10 lower right). Instead of the daily average data, using the average TCNO₂ from 13:00 to 14:00 to correspond to the OMI overpass time and then applying a Lowess(3 month) low-pass filter (Fig. 11) shows less TCNO₂ and a weaker annual cycle that corresponds to the annual cycle observed by OMI. The OMI FOV includes the city of Toronto.

The lower panel in Fig. 11 reproduces the inset values showing the OMI has a stronger TCNO₂ annual cycle because it includes the city area of Toronto. Pandora 145 picks up a small amount of the seasonal signal from Toronto.

As shown in Fig. 12, the TCHCHO low-pass filtered time series (2021 – 2024), Lowess(3-months), measured by OMI and Pandora frequently do not agree. An example is the comparison over Bronx, NY (Lat = 40.868° Lon = -73.878°) where the Pandora 180 is located in a park with a small lake, while OMI gridded data is averaged over a large area 33 x 33 km² in New York City with little vegetation. In 5 of the 6 sites shown in Fig.12, the OMI retrieval shows more TCHCHO than observed by Pandora. Tsukuba, Japan is an exception. Six additional sites are shown in the Appendix Fig. A2.

The disagreement over Boulder Colorado may be caused by OMI’s large field of view that includes lower altitude grasslands. Similarly, the Innsbruck Pandora is located in a valley at the University of Innsbruck surrounded by mountain areas where TCHCHO varies over the OMI FOV. Except for a few cases (e.g., Bronx, NY and Innsbruck, Austria) OMI and Pandora see the same TCHCHO annual cycle.

2.2 Total Ozone Column

The retrieval of total column ozone amounts TCO (Figs. 13) serves as a check on the calibration of both OMI and Pandora that is also needed for spectrally overlapping TCHCHO retrievals. Comparisons of Pandora TCO with TCO measured by OMI show good agreement suggesting both instruments are well calibrated in the UV range also needed for retrieving TCHCHO. The good TCO agreement is partly because most of the O_3 is in the stratosphere near 25 km and the fact that ozone is slowly changing spatially over the OMI field of regard for the overpass data. Figure 13 shows an example obtained over Washington DC from the roof of the NASA Headquarters building and from the roof of a building at Pusan University, Korea. The other sites in Table 1 show similar good monthly average agreement.

A test of Pandora UV data is a comparison between EPIC, OMI and Pandora TCO at the specific OMI and EPIC overpass times (Fig. 14 and 15). that shows good agreement within 1 to 3 %. OMI TCO overpass data for all Pandora sites and more are available from <https://zenodo.org/uploads/15468213> in 7Zip ASCII format. There is also good agreement between daily OMI TCO with that obtained from Pandora (Fig. 14) at most sites. The values obtained at Granada differ by about 8 DU or 2.9 %.

The diurnal variation of TCO seen by Pandora can be compared (Fig. 15) with that observed by the Earth Polychromatic Imaging Camera (EPIC) on the DSCOVR (Deep Space Climate Observatory) satellite orbiting about the Earth-Sun gravitational balance Lagrange-1 point (Herman et al., 2018). EPIC obtains simultaneous data from sunrise to sunset once per hour (once per 90 minutes during Northern Hemisphere winter) as the Earth rotates in EPIC's FOV (field of view). Examples of EPIC's view of the whole illuminated Earth are available from <https://epic.gsfc.nasa.gov/>. The spatial resolution for TCO is $18 \times 18 \text{ km}^2$ at the center of the image (the color images have $10 \times 10 \text{ km}^2$ resolution). Retrievals earlier than 07:00 and after 17:00 are not reliable for EPIC or Pandora because of high solar zenith angle effects (spherical geometry effects for $SZA > 75^\circ$) not included in the retrieval algorithms. In the case of EPIC, this is compounded by high View Zenith Angles VZA outside of 07:00 to 17:00 local sun time.

For the cases shown, the TCO data are properly retrieved between 07:00 and 17:00 local solar time. The 10:20 and 11:30 EPIC value for Hampton, VA of 286.5 and 285DU differs from Pandora by -3 %. Other differences are smaller. Occasionally, OMI differs from Pandora values as is the case, -4.6 %, for 21 August 2023 over Washington, DC.

3.0 Summary

Typical examples of the seasonal variability of HCHO, NO_2 , and O_3 in terms of their measured total column TCHCHO, TCNO₂, and TCO have been presented from both ground-based Pandora Spectrometer instruments and the OMI satellite spectrometer instrument overpass retrievals for selected Pandora sites. For most sites, OMI observes the strong seasonal variation of TCHCHO that is also clearly seen in the Pandora data and in surface measurements (Wang et al., 2022). OMI TCHCHO retrievals are usually larger than those retrieved by Pandora but not always (Fig. A2). The amount of seasonal variation for TCHCHO varies depending on the site. For most midlatitude sites, the seasonal variation is significant with peak values occurring during the summer.

A comparison between the multi-year time series of Pandora and OMI TCNO₂ in urban areas shows that OMI is underestimating the degree of atmospheric NO₂ pollution. The results for TCNO₂ and TCO agree with Pandora data, 2012 – 2017, from a previous study before the Pandora upgrade (Herman et al., 2019). When Pandora is limited to an average of data obtained between 13:00 and 14:00 hours, the agreement between Pandora and OMI TCNO₂ is better but with OMI TCNO₂ frequently less than observed by Pandora. Comparisons of Pandora daily diurnal time series of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ with OMI overpass values show agreement about 30% and 50 % of the time, respectively with OMI frequently retrieving more TCHCHO than Pandora.

OMI TCNO₂ at one shown site, Toronto-Scarborough, shows seasonal variability that the Pandora does not appear to see. However, limiting the data to the OMI overpass time between 13:00 and 14:00 and applying a Lowess(3-months) low-pass filter reveals a weak annual cycle compared to OMI. This could be because OMI detects the NO₂ source from winter heating in the city, while the Pandora site (University of Toronto campus) is fairly remote from Toronto city buildings and is mostly affected by road traffic as the source of NO₂. The same low-pass filter technique applied to other sites (e.g., Bronx, NY, Busan, Korea, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, and Boulder, Colorado) also show an annual cycle corresponding to winter heating based on combustion.

Total column ozone agrees well in both seasonal variation and in comparison with Pandora at the OMI overpass time. Given the nature of the ozone retrieval algorithm, the good agreement with TCO suggests that the UV calibrations for the Pandoras and OMI are correct. At most well-calibrated Pandora sites, there is good agreement between Pandora TCO with the hourly TCO obtained from the DSCOVR-EPIC instrument observing the Earth from an orbit about the Earth-Sun gravitational balance Lagrange-1 point.

Appendix

Figure A1 shows the seasonal dependence of TCHCHO with the majority of sites showing a maximum TCHCHO in mid-summer.

Figure A2 shows additional cases where OMI and Pandora see the same seasonal dependence but differ on the amount of TCHCHO retrieved.

4.0 References

- Boeke NL, Marshall JD, Alvarez S, Chance KV, Fried A, Kurosu TP, Rappenglück B, Richter D, Walega J, Weibring P, Millet DB. Formaldehyde columns from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Urban versus background levels and evaluation using aircraft data and a global model, *J. Geophys. Res.* 2011 Mar 16;116(D5):10.1029/2010jd014870, doi: 10.1029/2010jd014870, 2011.
- Boersma, Klaas & Jacob, D. & Trainic, Miri & Rudich, Yinon & De Smedt, Isabelle & R, Dirksen & Eskes, Henk, Validation of urban NO₂ concentrations and their diurnal and seasonal variations observed from space (SCIAMACHY and OMI sensors) using in situ measurements in Israeli cities. *Atmos Chem Phys*, 9, 10.5194/acp-9-3867-2009, 2009.
- Celarie, E. A., E. J. Brinksma, J. F. Gleason, J. P. Veefkind, A. Cede, J. R. Herman, D. Ionov, F. Goutail, J.-P. Pommereau, J.-C. Lambert, M. van Roozendael, G. Pinardi, F. Wittrock, A. Schönhardt, A. Richter, O. W. Ibrahim, T. Wagner, B. Bojkov, G. Mount, E. Spinei, C. M. Chen, T. J. Pongetti, S. P. Sander, E. J. Bucsela, M. O. Wenig, D. P. J. Swart, H. Volten, M. Kroon, P. F. Levelt, Validation of Ozone Monitoring Instrument nitrogen dioxide columns, *Validation of Ozone Monitoring Instrument nitrogen dioxide columns*, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113,D15S15, doi:10.1029/2007JD008908, 2008.
- Cleveland, W. S.: Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 74, 829–836, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2286407> , 1979.
- Cleveland, W. S. and Devlin, S. J.: Locally Weighted Regression: An Approach to Regression Analysis by Local Fitting, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 83, 596–610, <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1988.10478639> , 1988.
- De Smedt, I., Pinardi, G., Vigouroux, C., Compernelle, S., Bais, A., Benavent, N., Boersma, F., Chan, K.-L., Donner, S., Eichmann, K.-U., Hedelt, P., Hendrick, F., Irie, H., Kumar, V., Lambert, J.-C., Langerock, B., Lerot, C., Liu, C., Loyola, D., Pithers, A., Richter, A., Rivera Cárdenas, C., Romahn, F., Ryan, R. G., Sinha, V., Theys, N., Vlietinck, J., Wagner, T., Wang, T., Yu, H., and Van Roozendael, M.: Comparative assessment of TROPOMI and OMI formaldehyde observations and validation against MAX-DOAS network column measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 12561–12593, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-12561-2021> , 2021.
- Faustini, Annunziata and Rapp, Regula and Forastiere, Francesco, Nitrogen dioxide and mortality: review and meta-analysis of long-term studies, *European Respiratory Journal*, 44, 744-753, <https://doi.org/10.1183/09031936.00114713> , 2014.

Fu, W., Zhu, L., Kwon, A., Park, R. J., Lee, G. T., Smedt, I. D., Liu, S., Li, X., Chen, Y., Pu, D., Li, J., Zuo, X., Zhang, P., Li, Y., Yan, Z., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., Wu, X., Shen, H., . . . Yang, X., Evaluating GEMS HCHO Retrievals With TROPOMI Product, Pandora Observations, and GEOS-Chem Simulations. *Earth and Space Science*, 12(1), e2024EA003894. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2024EA003894> , 2025.

Gratien, A., B. Picquet-Varrault , J. Orphal , E. Perraudin , J.-F. Doussin and J.-M. Flaud , Laboratory intercomparison of the formaldehyde absorption cross sections in the infrared (1660–1820 cm⁻¹ and ultraviolet (300–360 nm) spectral regions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112** , <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007201>, D05305 1-10, 2007.

Herman, J., A. Cede, E. Spinei, G. Mount, M. Tzortziou, and N. Abuhassan, NO₂ column amounts from ground-based Pandora and MFDOAS spectrometers using the direct-sun DOAS technique: Intercomparisons and application to OMI validation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D13307, doi:[10.1029/2009JD011848](https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD011848), 2009.

Herman, J., Huang, L., McPeters, R., Ziemke, J., Cede, A., and Blank, K.: Synoptic ozone, cloud reflectivity, and erythemal irradiance from sunrise to sunset for the whole earth as viewed by the DSCOVR spacecraft from the earth sun Lagrange 1 orbit, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 177-194, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-177-2018>, 2018.

Herman, J., Abuhassan, N., Kim, J., Kim, J., Dubey, M., Raponi, M., and Tzortziou, M.: Underestimation of column NO₂ amounts from the OMI satellite compared to diurnally varying ground-based retrievals from multiple PANDORA spectrometer instruments, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 5593–5612, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-5593-2019>, 2019.

Judd, L. M., Al-Saadi, J. A., Janz, S. J., Kowalewski, M. G., Pierce, R. B., Szykman, J. J., Valin, L. C., Swap, R., Cede, A., Mueller, M., Tiefengraber, M., Abuhassan, N., and Williams, D.: Evaluating the impact of spatial resolution on tropospheric NO₂ column comparisons within urban areas using high-resolution airborne data, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 12, 6091–6111, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-6091-2019> , 2019.

Kim, K. H., Jahan, S. A., & Lee, J. T., Exposure to Formaldehyde and Its Potential Human Health Hazards. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 29(4), 277–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10590501.2011.629972>, 2011.

Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E. J., Gleason, J. F., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Irie, H., Cede, A., Herman, J., Weinheimer, A., Szykman, J. J., and Knepp, T. N.: Evaluation of OMI operational standard NO₂ column retrievals using in situ and surface-based NO₂ observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 11587–11609, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-11587-2014> , 2014.

Lamsal, L., Duncan, Bryan, Yoshida, Yasuko, Krotkov, Nickolay, Pickering, Kenneth, Streets, David, Lu, Zifeng, U.S. NO₂ trends (2005–2013): EPA Air Quality System (AQS) data versus improved observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). *Atmospheric Environment*. 110. 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.055, 2015.

- Levelt, P. F., Joiner, J., Tamminen, J., Veefkind, J. P., Bhartia, P. K., Stein Zweers, D. C., Duncan, B. N., Streets, D. G., Eskes, H., van der A, R., McLinden, C., Fioletov, V., Carn, S., de Laat, J., DeLand, M., Marchenko, S., McPeters, R., Ziemke, J., Fu, D., Liu, X., Pickering, K., Apituley, A., González Abad, G., Arola, A., Boersma, F., Chan Miller, C., Chance, K., de Graaf, M., Hakkarainen, J., Hassinen, S., Ialongo, I., Kleipool, Q., Krotkov, N., Li, C., Lamsal, L., Newman, P., Nowlan, C., Suleiman, R., Tilstra, L. G., Torres, O., Wang, H., and Wargan, K.: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument: overview of 14 years in space, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 18, 5699–5745, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5699-2018>, 2018.
- Morfopoulos C, Müller J-F, Stavrakou T, et al. Vegetation responses to climate extremes recorded by remotely sensed atmospheric formaldehyde. *Glob Change Biol.*, 28, 1809–1822. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15880>, 2021.
- Lorente, A., Folkert Boersma, K., Yu, H., Dörner, S., Hilboll, A., Richter, A., Liu, M., Lamsal, L. N., Barkley, M., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendaal, M., Wang, Y., Wagner, T., Beirle, S., Lin, J.-T., Krotkov, N., Stammes, P., Wang, P., Eskes, H. J., and Krol, M.: Structural uncertainty in air mass factor calculation for NO₂ and HCHO satellite retrievals, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 10, 759–782, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-759-2017>, 2017.
- Newmark, G. (2001). Emissions Inventory Analysis of Mobile Source Air Pollution In Tel Aviv, Israel, *Transportation Research Record*, Vol. 1750, p. 40-48, <https://doi.org/10.3141/1750-0>, 2001.
- Nussbaumer, C. M., Crowley, J. N., Schuladen, J., Williams, J., Hafermann, S., Reiffs, A., Axinte, R., Harder, H., Ernest, C., Novelli, A., Sala, K., Martinez, M., Mallik, C., Tomsche, L., Plass-Dülmer, C., Bohn, B., Lelieveld, J., and Fischer, H.: Measurement report: Photochemical production and loss rates of formaldehyde and ozone across Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 21, 18413–18432, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-18413-2021>, 2021.
- Peng, W.-X., X.-C. Yue, H.-L. Chen, N.L. Ma, Z. Quan, Q. Yu, C. Sonne, A review of plants formaldehyde metabolism: Implications for hazardous emissions and phytoremediation, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 436 Article 129304, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2022.129304>, 2022.
- Pinardi, G., Van Roozendaal, M., Hendrick, F., Theys, N., Abuhassan, N., Bais, A., Boersma, F., Cede, A., Chong, J., Donner, S., Drosoglou, T., Dzhola, A., Eskes, H., Frieß, U., Granville, J., Herman, J. R., Holla, R., Hovila, J., Irie, H., Kanaya, Y., Karagkiozidis, D., Kouremeti, N., Lambert, J.-C., Ma, J., Peters, E., Piters, A., Postylyakov, O., Richter, A., Remmers, J., Takashima, H., Tiefengraber, M., Valks, P., Vlemmix, T., Wagner, T., and Wittrock, F.: Validation of tropospheric NO₂ column measurements of GOME-2A and OMI using MAX-DOAS and direct sun network observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 13, 6141–6174, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-6141-2020>, 2020.
- Spinei, E., Whitehill, A., Fried, A., Tiefengraber, M., Knepp, T. N., Herndon, S., Herman, J. R., Müller, M., Abuhassan, N., Cede, A., Richter, D., Walega, J., Crawford, J., Szykman, J., Valin, L., Williams, D. J., Long, R., Swap, R. J., Lee, Y., Nowak, N., and Poche, B.: The first evaluation of formaldehyde column observations by improved Pandora spectrometers during the KORUS-AQ field study, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 11, 4943–4961, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-11-4943-2018>, 2018.
- Spinei, E., Tiefengraber, M., Müller, M., Gebetsberger, M., Cede, A., Valin, L., Szykman, J., Whitehill, A., Kotsakis, A., Santos, F., Abuhassan, N., Zhao, X., Fioletov, V., Lee, S. C., and Swap, R.: Effect of polyoxymethylene (POM-H Delrin) off-gassing within the Pandora head sensor on direct-sun and multi-axis formaldehyde column measurements in 2016–2019, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 14, 647–663, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-14-647-2021>, 2021.

- 498 Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Bauwens, M., Boersma, K. F. & van Geffen, J. Satellite evidence for changes in
 499 the NO₂ weekly cycle over large cities. *Sci. Rep.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-66891-0> (2020).
- 500 Tzortziou, M., Herman, J.R., Cede, A. *et al.* Spatial and temporal variability of ozone and nitrogen dioxide
 501 over a major urban estuarine ecosystem. *J Atmos Chem* **72**, 287–309, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-013-9255-8)
 502 [013-9255-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-013-9255-8), 2015.
- 503 Van der A, R. J., H. J. Eskes, K. F. Boersma, T. P. C. van Noije, M. Van Roozendaal, I. De Smedt, D. H. M. U.
 504 Peters, and E. W. Meijer, Trends, seasonal variability and dominant NO_x source derived from a ten year
 505 record of NO₂ measured from space, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D04302, doi:10.1029/2007JD009021, 2008.
- 506 Wang, P.; Holloway, T.; Bindl, M.; Harkey, M.; De Smedt, I. Ambient Formaldehyde over the United
 507 States from Ground-Based (AQS) and Satellite (OMI) Observations. *Remote Sens.* 14, 2191,
 508 <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14092191>, 2022.
- 509 Wittrock, F., Richter, A., Oetjen, H., Burrows, Wittrock, F., Richter, A., Oetjen, H., Burrows, J.P., Kanakidou,
 510 Myriokefalitakis, S., Volkamer, R., Beirle, S., Platt, U., and Wagner, T.: Simultaneous global observations of
 511 glyoxal and formaldehyde from space, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L16804,
 512 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL026310>, 2006.
- 513 Zhao, X., Griffin, D., Fioletov, V., McLinden, C., Davies, J., Ogyu, A., Lee, S. C., Lupu, A., Moran, M. D.,
 514 Cede, A., Tiefengraber, M., and Müller, M.: Retrieval of total column and surface NO₂ from Pandora
 515 zenith-sky measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 19, 10619–10642, [https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10619-2019)
 516 [10619-2019](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-10619-2019), 2019.
- 517 Zhang, Y., Li, R., Min, Q., Bo, H., Fu, Y., Wang, Y., & Gao, Z. (2019). The controlling factors of atmospheric
 518 formaldehyde (HCHO) in Amazon as seen from satellite. *Earth and Space Science*, 6, 959–971,
 519 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000627>, 2019.

520

521 **Author contribution:**

522 JH is responsible for writing the paper and creating the figures. JM obtained the EPIC overpass data
523 for the Pandora sites and discussed aspects of the paper.

524 **Data Availability**

525 Worldwide Pandora data for 63 sites is available from the Austrian Pandonia project website

526 <https://data.pandonia-global-network.org/>

527 The OMI overpass HCHO, NO₂ and O₃ data, 2004 – 2025, are found at

528 <https://zenodo.org/uploads/15468213> in 7Zip ASCII format.

529

530 **Competing interests:**

531 The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

532

533 Funding: This study is funded by the DSCOVER-EPIC project through the University Of Maryland

534 Baltimore County

535

536 **Acknowledgements:**

537 The authors want to acknowledge the contribution of each of the Pandora Principal Investigators

538 included in the figure captions and for the OMI team and Dr. Lok Lamsal for making OMI overpass

539 data available. Acknowledgement is also due to the Pandonia team lead by Dr. Alexander Cede for

540 processing all of the Pandora data and devising the retrieval algorithms and to Dr. Nader Abuhassan

541 for building and calibrating all of the Pandora spectrometer systems. The Pandonia Global Network

542 PGN is a bilateral project supported with funding from NASA and ESA.

543

544 Figure Captions

545 Fig. 1 Seasonal and daily behavior of HCHO and NO₂ from Pan 180 located in the Bronx, NYC at 40.868°N,
546 -73.878°W. The blue lines are a Lowess(0.033) fit to the data (light grey), which is approximately a 1-
547 month local least-squares average. The Local principal investigator for Pan 180 is Dr. Luke Valin.

548 Fig. 2 The daily average seasonal variation of HCHO and NO₂ over Fordham University in Bronx, New
549 York City from Pandora 180 at 40.868° latitude, -73.878° longitude, and 0.003 km altitude. Each point is
550 a daily average of the data in Fig.1. Local principal investigator: Dr. Luke Valin

551 Fig. 3 The seasonal variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ over New Haven Connecticut from Pandora 64 at
552 41.301°N latitude and -72.903°W longitude. Each point is a daily average. Local principal investigator:
553 Dr. Nader Abuhassan.

554 Fig. 4 The seasonal variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ over equatorial Bangkok Indonesia at 13.785°N and
555 100.540°E. The local principal investigator is Surassawadee Phoompanit.

556 Fig. 5 Seasonal variation in daily average TCHCHO and TCNO₂ in Tel Aviv Israel from Pandora 182 located
557 at 32.113°N 34.085°E at a height of 8 meters. The local principal investigator for Pan 182 is Dr. Michal
558 Rozenhaimer.

559 Fig. 6 Seasonal variation in daily average HCHO and NO₂ in Wakkerstroom South Africa from Pandora
560 159 located at -27.359°S and 30.144°E. Local principal investigator: B. Scholes

561 Fig. 7 Upper 2 Panels: Comparison of OMI (approximately 13:30) and Pandora (07:00 – 17:00) total
562 column NO₂ time series in Bronx NY (40.868°N, -73.878°W) and Busan Korea (35.235°N, 129.083°E).
563 Lower 4 Panels: Pandora data for Bronx, Busan, Philadelphia (39.992°N, -75.081°W) and Boulder
564 (40.0375°N, -105.242°W) are averaged between 13:00 – 14:00 hours. Both OMI (blue) and Pandora
565 (black) then have a Lowess(3-month) low-pass filter applied. Local principal investigator for Pan20 is Jae
566 Hwan Kim, for Pan 180 and Pan 166 is Dr. Luke Valin, and for Pan 204 Dr. Nader Abuhassan.

567 Fig. 8 A comparison between Pandora and OMI (Orange circle) total column NO₂ for 3 locations (Bronx,
568 New York, Busan Korea, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The Local principal investigator for Pan 180 and Pan
569 166 is Dr. Lukas Valin and for Pan 20 is Dr. Jae Hwan Kim.

570 Fig. 9 A comparison between Pandora and OMI (purple circle) total column HCHO. The Local principal
571 investigator for Pan 180 is Dr. Luke Valin and for Pan 20 is Dr. Jae Hwan Kim.

572 Fig. 10 A comparison of Pandora TCHCHO and TCNO₂ daily average total column amounts for Toronto-
573 Scarborough University of Toronto and OMI data for Toronto East (43.740°N, -79.270°W at
574 approximately 13:20±0:20 Local Sun Time, GMT + Longitude/15). The local principal investigator for Pan
575 145 is Dr. Vitali Fioletov.

576 Fig. 11 TCNO₂ annual cycle for Toronto Scarborough from Pan 145 average between 13:00 and 14:00
577 and OMI. The smooth curves are Lowess(6 Months).

Fig. 12 A comparison between low-pass filtered, Lowess(3 months), OMI and Pandora at six sites with

varying degrees of agreement with $TCHCHO(Pan) < TCHCHO(OMI)$. The Local Principal Investigators are P106 Dr. Stefano Casadio, Dr. Kei Shiomi P193, Dr. Alexander Cede P204, Dr. Lukas Valin P39; P134, and Dr. Martin Tiefengraber P106. Latitudes and longitudes are in each upper left corner.

Fig. 13 A comparison of OMI Total Column Ozone values with those obtained from Pandora 140 over the Washington DC site at $38.922^{\circ}N$ and $-77.012^{\circ}W$ and with those obtained from Pandora 20 over the Busan, Korea site at $32.325^{\circ}N$ and $129.083^{\circ}E$. The smooth curves (right panel) are Lowess(6-month) fits to data in the left panel. The local principal investigator for Pan 140 is Dr. Jim Szykman and for Pan20 is Jae Hwan Kim.

Fig. 14 A comparison of Pandora and OMI retrievals of total column O_3 at the time of the OMI satellite overpass. Local Principal Investigators: Pan 240 Alexander Cede, Pan 238 Inmaculada Foyo Moreno, Pan 166 Lukas Valin, and Pan 190 Surassawadee Phoompan.

Fig. 15 A comparison of Pandora (Open Circles), EPIC (magenta stars), and OMI (orange circles) retrievals of total column O_3 at the times of the satellite overpasses. Local Principal Investigators: Pan 145 Vitali Fioletov, Pan 66 Lukas Valin, Pan 39 Lukas Valin, Pan 156 Alexander Cede, Pan 66 Nader Abuhassan, Pan180 Lukas Valin, and Pan 140 Jim Szykman.

Fig. A1 The seasonal cycle of TCHCHO in DU from 20 randomly selected Pandora TCHCHO time series. The numbers in the upper left corner are the latitude and longitude in degrees and the Pandora instrument number in the right corner.

Figure A2 shows additional cases where OMI and Pandora see the same seasonal dependence but differ on the amount of TCHCHO retrieved.

597 **Tables**

Table 1 List of 30 Pandora locations used in this study in order of appearance

	Pandora Number	Pandora location name	Lat (deg)	Long (deg)	Alt(m)
1	Pan 180 Fig.1,2	Bronx, New York USA	40.868	-73.878	31
2	Pan 64 Fig.3	New Haven, Connecticut USA	41.301	-72.903	4
3	Pan 190 Fig.4	Bangkok, Indonesia	13.785	100.540	6
4	Pan 182 Fig.5	Tel Aviv, Israel	32.113	34.806	8
5	Pan 159 Fig. 6	Wakkerstroom, South Africa	-27.349	30.144	18
6	Pan 20 Fig.7	Busan, Korea	50.798	4.358	107
7	Pan 145 Fig.10	Toronto-Scarborough, Canada	43.784	-79.187	14
8	Pan 134 Fig. 12	Bristol, Pa, USA	40.107	-74.882	10
9	Pan 204 Fig. 12	Boulder, Co USA	40.038	-105.242	161
10	Pan 106 Fig.12,A2	Innsbruck, Austria	47.264	11.385	616
11	Pan 117 Fig.12	Rome Italy	41.907	12.5158	75
12	Pan 193 Fig.12	Tsukuba, Japan	36.066	140.124	51
13	Pan 140 Fig.13,A2	Washington, DC USA	38.922	-77.012	6
14	Pan 166 Fig.7,A2	Philadelphia, Pa USA	39.992	-75.081	6
15	Pan 238 Fig.14	Granada	37.164	-3.605	7
16	Pan 240 Fig. 14	Thessaloniki, Greece	40.6336	22.9561	60
17	Pan 66 Fig.15	Huntsville Alabama USA	34.725	-86.646	22
18	Pan 156 Fig.15	Hampton, Virginia USA	37.020	-76.337	19
19	Pan 39 Figs.12,15	Dearborn, Michigan USA	42.307	-83.149	18
20	Pan 101 Fig.A1	Izania, Spain	28.309	-16.499	24
21	Pan 119 Fig.A1,A2	Athens, Greece	37.998	23.775	130
22	Pan 124 Fig.A1	Comodoro Rivadavia	-45.7833	-67.45	46
23	Pan 131 Fig. A1	Palau	7.3420	134.4722	23
24	Pan 135 Fig.A1,A2	CCNY Manhattan NY USA	40.815	-73.951	34
25	Pan 142 Fig.A1	Mexico City, Mexico	19.326	-99.176	2280
26	Pan 146 Fig.A1	Yokosuka, Japan	35.321	139.651	5
27	Pan 147 Fig.A1	Detroit, Mi USA	42.303	-83.107	178
28	Pan 150 Fig.A1,A2	Ulsan, Korea	35.575	129.190	38
29	Pan 154 Fig.A1	Salt Lake City Ut, USA	40.766	-75,081	1455
30	Pan 162 Fig.A1	Brussels, Belgium	50.798	4.358	107

598

599

Figures




Fig. 01 Seasonal and daily behavior of HCHO and NO₂ from Pan 180 located in the Bronx, NYC at 40.868°N, -73.878°W. The blue lines are a Lowess(0.033) fit to the data (light grey), which is approximately a 1-month local least-squares average. The Local principal investigator for Pan 180 is Dr. Luke Valin.

Figure 01




Fig. 02 The daily average seasonal variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ in DU over Fordham University in Bronx, New York City from Pandora 180 at 40.868° latitude, -73.878° longitude, and 0.003 km altitude. Each point is a daily average of the data in Fig.1. Local principal investigator: Dr. Luke Valin.

Figure 02




Fig. 03 The seasonal variation of TCHCHO and TCNO2 over New Haven Connecticut from Pandora 64 at 41.301°N latitude and -72.903°W longitude. Each point is a daily average. Local principal investigator: Dr. Nader Abuhassan

Figure 03




Fig. 04 The seasonal variation of TCHCHO and TCNO₂ over equatorial Bangkok Indonesia at 13.785°N and 100.540°E. The local principal investigator is Surassawadee Phoompanit.

610

611 **Figure 04**

612




Fig. 05 Seasonal variation in daily average TCHCHO and TCNO₂ in Tel Aviv Israel from Pandora 182 located at 32.113°N, 34.085°E at a height of 8 meters. The local principal investigator for Pan 182 is Dr. Michal Rozenhaimer.

613

614 **Figure 05**

615




Fig. 06 Seasonal variation in daily average HCHO and NO₂ in Wakkerstroom South Africa from Pandora 159 located at -27.359°S and 30.144°E at a height of 18 m. Local principal investigator: B. Scholes

616

617 **Figure 06**

618




Fig. 07 Upper 2 Panels: Comparison of OMI (approximately 13:30) and Pandora (07:00 – 17:00) total column NO₂ time series in Bronx NY (40.868°N, -73.878°W) and Busan Korea (35.235°N, 129.083°E). Lower 4 Panels: Pandora data for Bronx, Busan, Philadelphia (39.992°N, -75.081°W) and Boulder (40.0375°N, -105.242°W) are averaged between 13:00 – 14:00 hours. Both OMI (blue) and Pandora (black) then have a Lowess(3-month) low-pass filter applied. Local principal investigator for Pan20 is Jae Hwan Kim, for Pan 180 and Pan 166 is Dr. Luke Valin, and for Pan 204 Dr. Nader Abuhassan.




Fig. 08 A comparison between Pandora and OMI (Orange circle) total column NO_2 for 3 locations (Bronx, New York, Busan Korea, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania). The Local principal investigator for Pan 180 and Pan 166 is Dr. Lukas Valin and for Pan 20 is Dr. Jae Hwan Kim.

Figure 08




Fig. 09 A comparison between Pandora and OMI (orange circle) total column HCHO. The Local principal investigator for Pan 180 and Pan 166 is Dr. Luke Valin and for Pan 20 is Dr. Jae Hwan Kim.

623 **Figure 09**

624




Fig. 10 A comparison of Pandora TCHCHO and TCNO₂ daily average total column amounts for Toronto-Scarborough University of Toronto and OMI data for Toronto East (43.740°N, -79.270°W at approximately 13:20±0:20 Local Sun Time, GMT + Longitude/15). The local principal investigator for Pan 145 is Dr. Vitali Fioletov.

625 Figure 10

626




Fig. 11 TCNO₂ annual cycle for Toronto Scarborough from Pan 145 average between 13:00 and 14:00 and OMI. The smooth curves are Lowess(6 Months).

Figure 11




Fig. 12 A comparison between low-pass filtered, Lowess(3 months), OMI and Pandora at six sites with varying degrees of agreement with $TCHCHO(PAN) < TCHCHO(OMI)$. The Local Principal Investigators are P106 Dr. Stefano Casadio, Dr. Kei Shiomi P193, Dr. Alexander Cede P204, Dr. Lukas Valin P39; P134, and Dr. Martin Tiefengraber P106. Latitudes and longitudes are in each upper left corner.




Fig. 13 A comparison of OMI Total Column Ozone values with those obtained from Pandora 140 over the Washington DC site at 38.922°N and -77.012°W and with those obtained from Pandora 20 over the Busan, Korea site at 32.325°N and 129.083°E. The smooth curves (right panel) are Lowess(6-month) fits to data in the left panel. The local principal investigator for Pan 140 is Dr. Jim Szykman and for Pan20 is Jae Hwan Kim.




Fig. 14 A comparison of Pandora and OMI retrievals of total column O_3 at the time of the OMI satellite overpass. Local Principal Investigators: Pan 240 Alexander Cede, Pan 238 Inmaculada Foyo Moreno, Pan 166 Lukas Valin, and Pan 190 Surassawadee Phoompan.

Figure 14




Fig. 15 A comparison of Pandora (Open Circles), EPIC (magenta stars), and OMI (orange circles) retrievals of total column O_3 at the times of the satellite overpasses. Latitude, longitude, and altitude (km) are in the upper left corner. Local Principal Investigators: Pan 145 Vitali Fioletov, Pan 66 Lukas Valin, Pan 39 Lukas Valin, Pan 156 Alexander Cede, Pan 66 Nader Abuhassan, Pan180 Lukas Valin, and Pan 140 Jim Szykman




Fig. A1 The seasonal cycle of TCHCHO in DU from 20 randomly selected Pandora TCHCHO time series. The numbers in the upper left corner are the latitude and longitude in degrees and the Pandora instrument number in the right corner.

634 **Figure A1**

635




Figure A2 Six cases from Fig. A1 that have significant seasonal variation in TCHCHO. The numbers in the upper left corner are the latitude and longitude in degrees and the Pandora instrument number in the right corner. Principal Investigators are: P106 Dr. Martin Tiefengraber, P140 Dr. Jim Szykman, P166 Dr. Lucas Valin, P119 Dr. Stelios Kazadsi, P135, Dr. Maria Tzortziou, and P150 Dr. Chang Keun Song.

