Chemical characterization of organic vapors from wood, straw, cow dung, and coal burning

- 3 Tiantian Wang¹, Jun Zhang¹, Houssni Lamkaddam¹, Kun Li^{1, a}, Ka Yuen Cheung¹, Lisa
- 4 Kattner¹, Erlend Gammelsæter^{1, b}, Michael Bauer¹, Zachary C.J. Decker^{1, c, d}, Deepika Bhattu²,
- 5 Rujin Huang³, Rob L. Modini¹, Jay G. Slowik¹, Imad El Haddad¹, Andre S. H. Prevot^{1, *}, David
- 6 M. Bell^{1, *}
- 7 1 Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, 5232, Switzerland
- 8 2 Department of Civil and Infrastructure Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur, 342037, India
- 9 3 Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xian 710061, China
- 10 a now at: Environmental Research Institute, Shandong University, Qingdao, 266237, China
- 11 b now at: Department of Chemistry, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, 7491, Norway
- 12 c now at: NOAA Chemical Sciences Laboratory (CSL), Boulder, CO 80305, USA
- d now at: Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder,
 CO 80309, USA
- 15 Correspondence to: Andre S. H. Prevot (andre.prevot@psi.ch) and David M. Bell (david.bell@psi.ch)

16 Abstract

Solid fuel (SF) combustions, including coal and biomass, are important sources of pollutants in the 17 18 particle and gas phase and therefore have significant implications for air quality, climate, and human 19 health. In this study, we systematically examined gas-phase emissions using the Vocus proton-transfer-20 reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer, from a variety of solid fuels, including beech logs, spruce/pine logs, spruce/pine branches and needles, straw, cow dung, and coal. The average emission 21 22 factors (EFs) for organic vapors ranged from 4.8 to 74.2 g kg⁻¹, depending on the combustion phases 23 and solid fuel types. Despite slight differences in modified combustion efficiency (MCE) for some 24 experiments, increasing EFs for organic vapors were observed with lower MCE. The relative 25 contribution of different classes showed large similarities between the combustion phases in beech logs stove burning, relative to the large change in EFs observed. The C_xH_yO_z family is the most abundant 26 27 group of the organic vapor emitted from all SF combustion. However, among these SF combustions, a 28 greater contribution of nitrogen-containing species and C_xH_y families (related to polycyclic aromatic 29 hydrocarbons) is observed in the organic vapors from cow dung burning and coal burning, respectively. 30 Intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs) constituted a significant fraction of emissions in 31 solid fuel combustion, ranging from 12.6% to 39.3%. This was particularly notable in the combustion 32 of spruce/pine branches and needles (39.3%) and coal (31.1%). Using the Mann-Whitney U test on the 33 studied fuels, we identified specific potential new markers for these fuels based on the Vocus 34 measurements. The product from pyrolysis of coniferyl-type lignin and the extract of cedar pine needle were identified as markers in the spruce/pine branches and needles open burning (e.g., $C_{10}H_{14}O_2$, 35 36 $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$, $C_{10}H_{10}O_2$). The product ($C_9H_{12}O$) from the pyrolysis of beech lignin was identified as the potential new marker for beech log stove burning. Many series of nitrogen-containing homologues (e.g., 37

- 38 $C_{10}H_{11-21}NO$, $C_{12}H_{11-21}N$, $C_{11}H_{11-23}NO$ and $C_{15}H_{15-31}N$) and nitrogen-containing species (e.g.,
- 39 acetonitrile, acrylonitrile, propanenitrile, methylpentanenitrile) were specifically identified in cow dung
- 40 burning emissions. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) with 9-12 carbons were identified with
- significantly higher abundance from coal burning compared to emissions from other studied fuels. The
 composition of these organic vapors reflects the burned solid fuel types and can help constrain emissions
- 43 of solid fuel burning in regional models.
- 44 Keywords: Vocus, solid fuel, primary emission, potential markers, combustion phase

45 **1 Introduction**

- Solid fuels (SFs), including coal and biomass, are a primary source of domestic heating worldwide (Tao
 et al., 2018; Oberschelp et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2022). In developing regions, such as India, more than
 80% of rural households use biomass as cooking fuel (Balakrishnan et al., 2011). Firewood is mainly
- 49 used for rural households, followed by crop residues and cow dung 'cakes', which are made of a mixture
- 50 of dried cow dung and crop residues (Loebel Roson et al., 2021; Chandramouli and General, 2011). In
- 51 Europe, fireplaces and woodstoves are used for domestic heating in winter, which have considerable
- 52 impacts on air quality, resulting in intense 'smog' events (Kalogridis et al., 2018; Fourtziou et al., 2017;
- 53 Bailey et al., 2019; Font et al., 2022). China is the largest producer and consumer of coal in the world.
- 54 In China and some Eastern European countries like Poland, coal is widely used for domestic purposes,
- such as heating and cooking of households, due to its cost-effectiveness and easy accessibility (Guo et
- 56 al., 2021; Stala-Szlugaj, 2018). The combustion of these solid fuels has been recognized as the main
- 57 source of anthropogenic emission of atmospheric pollutants that elicit adverse effects on air quality and
- human health (Wu et al., 2022; Zhang and Smith, 2007).
- Wildfires or bushfires have become more frequent in many regions due to heatwaves and drought (Weber and Yadav, 2020; Williams et al., 2012). SF combustion, including wildfires, is a major source of organic vapors to the atmosphere, emitting hundreds to thousands of different organic gas-phase species (Hatch et al., 2019; Koss et al., 2018; Permar et al., 2021). Once emitted, evaporated vapors or freshly emitted burning organic vapors will oxidize to produce oxygenated organic vapors with a broad volatility range. These organic vapors with sufficiently low volatility will nucleate or condense onto pro existing agreeols to form secondary organic agreeols (SOA) (Kumer et al., 2023)
- pre-existing aerosols to form secondary organic aerosols (SOA) (Kumar et al., 2023).
- 66 The identification of potential markers for each emission source will be highly valuable in evaluating SOA formation potential and ambient source contributions. Liu et al. (2008) identified potential volatile 67 68 organic markers for different emission sources (e.g., biomass burning (BB), mobile sources and 69 painting). Nevertheless, these commonly used potential markers are well-established, yet due to their 70 presence in more than one type of biomass fuel, distinguishing between different biomass-burning 71 sources presents challenges. Since 2009, there have been many advancements in the gas-phase 72 measurements of SF, which include lab studies (Bruns et al., 2017; Bruns et al., 2016; Bhattu et al., 73 2019) and large field campaigns (e.g., WE-CAN Aircraft Measurements, FIREX-AQ campaign) 74 (Permar et al., 2021; Jin et al., 2023; Majluf et al., 2022). However, efforts toward understanding SOA 75 formation in burning plumes have been hindered by limited identification and quantification of organic vapors emitted by fires, especially intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs) (Akagi et al., 76 77 2011). Laboratory and field campaigns suggest that intermediate volatility organic compounds are

important precursors of SOA. Grieshop et al. (2009) demonstrated that traditional SOA precursors
account for less than 20% of the observed SOA formed from residential wood combustion emissions,
while IVOCs can contribute approximately 70% of the formed SOA (Li et al., 2024), which highlights
the urgent need for more research on IVOCs from BB emissions. Adding an IVOC emission inventory

- 82 to an air quality model can significantly narrow the gap between the estimated and measured SOA
- concentrations(Li et al., 2024; Hodzic et al., 2010; Zhao et al., 2016; Robinson et al., 2007).

84 Offline sampling methods such as canisters and adsorption-thermal desorption (ATD) cartridges, along with gas chromatography (GC) analysis, have limitations related to their low time resolution, 85 86 susceptibility to sampling artifacts, and a limited range of measurable compounds (Hatch et al., 2018; 87 Hatch et al., 2017). In addition to offline techniques, proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry (PTR-88 MS) has been widely used for the online measurement of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the 89 atmosphere (Yuan et al., 2017). However, IVOCs still suffer from high losses in the sampling lines and 90 PTR-MS drift tubes. Furthermore, most studies have focused on either primary or aged emissions, with 91 very few examining the real-time influence of combustion conditions on the composition of emitted 92 organic vapors (Bruns et al., 2016; Akherati et al., 2020; Tkacik et al., 2017). The recently developed 93 Vocus PTR-TOF (hereafter Vocus) has greatly enhanced sensitivity due to a newly designed chemical 94 ionization source (Krechmer et al., 2018), and it can detect a broader spectrum of VOCs, IVOCs, and 95 their oxygenated products (up to six to eight oxygen atoms for monoterpene oxidation products) (Li et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Riva et al., 2019). With a novel design and chemical ionization source, the 96 97 Vocus allows for real-time characterization of gas-phase emissions during various burning phases (e.g., 98 flaming and non-flaming phases) and identifies the potential markers for a wide range of fuels.

99 The present study compares real-time emissions from different combustion fuels. We begin by 100 demonstrating the evolution of gas-phase emissions during burning cycles highlight the changes in the composition of the emissions. Then, we systematically characterize the organic vapors composition 101 102 using Vocus from a variety of burning fuels from both residential stoves (beech logs, spruce/pine logs, and coal) and open combustion (spruce/pine branches and needles, straw, cow dung). We evaluate the 103 104 potential markers and EFs for different fuels and explore the dependence of individual organic vapor 105 emission intensity, variability, and chemical composition on solid fuel types and combustion phases. 106 We also discuss potential markers for the burning fuels examined in this study. The potential markers 107 are identified as statistical outliers determined with a Mann-Whitney test, consistent with previous 108 measurements (Zhang et al., 2023). The differences in EFs and profiles between different combustibles 109 can be considerable, and these results illustrate the importance of considering these emission sources 110 individually. Measurements capable of identifying and quantifying rarely measured and presently unidentified emissions of organic vapors, particularly chemically complex SVOCs and IVOCs, are vital 111 112 for advancing the current understanding of the impact of solid fuel combustion on air quality and climate.

113 **2 Materials and methods**

114 **2.1 Fuel and burning types**

The experiments were conducted at the Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI) in Villigen, Switzerland. The burning facility is part of the PSI Atmospheric Chemistry Simulation chambers (PACS). Real-time characterization of the primary gas and particle phase emissions was carried out during 28 test burns.

Six solid fuels were studied (coal briquettes and biomass fuels: beech logs, spruce/pine logs, fresh spruce/pine branches and needles, dry straw, cow dung) with three to six replicate burns. Material in the beech, spruce, and pine fuels (e.g., logs and needles) was sourced from a local forestry company in Würenlingen, Switzerland. Cow dung cakes (a mixture of cow dung and straw) were collected from Goyla Dairy in Delhi, India. Coal briquettes were purchased from Gansu, China (Ni et al., 2021; Klein et al., 2018).

124 With those six different fuels, we categorized six burning types for this experiment. 1) beech logs stove, 2) spruce/pine logs stove, 3) spruce/pine branches and needles open, 4) dry straw open, 5) cow dung 125 126 open and 6) coal stove. We selected these six solid fuels and conducted emissions tests to simulate 127 certain types of burning found in the atmosphere. Among the list above, 1) beech logs stove and 2) 128 spruce/pine logs stove are representative of residential wood burning, which are burned separately in a 129 stove, consistent with the materials used in two previous articles (Bertrand et al., 2017; Bhattu et al., 130 2019). To represent forest fires or wildfire and agricultural field combustion, 3) a mixture of fresh spruce/pine branches and needles and 4) straw were combusted in an open stainless-steel cylinder (65 131 132 cm in diameter and 35 cm in height). Traditional cooking and heating practices in regions like India are represented by 5) cow dung cakes open burning by using half-open stoves (Loebel Roson et al., 2021). 133 134 Finally, traditional cooking and heating practices in rural regions of developing countries are 135 represented by 6) coal stove burning in a portable cast iron stove purchased from the local market (Liu et al., 2017). Of course, these conditions do not fully accurately represent the conditions found in actual 136 137 fires, which consistent of a variety of burning species (e.g., trees, underbrush, peat soils, etc....), but 138 represent laboratory burning conditions.

139 **2.2 Experimental setup and instrumentation**

140 The experimental design is shown in Figure S1. In summary, it is made up of a burner and a set of 141 diluters with heated lines. The zero air was provided by a zero air generator (737-250 series, AADCO 142 Instruments, Inc., USA) for cleaning and dilution (Heringa et al., 2011; Bruns et al., 2015). The zero air 143 generator takes ambient air and scrubs particulates and volatile organic compounds from the air leaving a mixture that is largely made up of N₂, O₂, and Ar at ambient concentrations. Other trace gases are 144 145 scrubbed to lower than atmospheric concentrations including CO_2 (< 80 ppb) and CH_4 (< 40 ppb). 146 Before each burn, a continuous stream of zero air was passed through the gas lines overnight to avoid 147 cross-contamination between burns and to ensure a low background of VOCs. Once a burn is initiated 148 from the various combustibles, emissions are sampled from the chimney through a heated line (473 K). 149 The emissions (both gas and particle phases) are then diluted by two Dekati diluters (DI-1000, Dekati 150 Ltd.) which dilutes the emissions by a factor of ~ 100 (473 K, DI-1000, Dekati Ltd.). Note that beech 151 logs combustion cycles consist of a first cycle referred to as the 'first load' and subsequent cycles, 152 referred to as 'reloads'. The first load consisted of a cold start, flaming, smoldering, and burn-out phase, and the reloads were comprised of a warm start, flaming, smoldering, and burn-out phase. Organic 153 154 vapor emissions of solid fuel combustion are released within 10-30 min after loading according to the 155 properties of the fuels. We define the time until full ignition duration for burning encompasses 80% of 156 the entire process, starting from loading the fuels to burnout.

157 Numerous instruments were connected after the second dekati diluter for the characterization of both 158 the particulate and gaseous phases. A Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS, CPC 3022, TSI, and 159 custom-built DMA) provided particle number size distribution information and calibrated by using 160 polystyrene latex (PSL) particle size standards (Wiedensohler et al., 2018; Sarangi et al., 2017). The non-refractory particle composition was monitored by a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass 161 spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc.). AMS data were processed using SQUIRREL 162 (SeQUential Igor data RetRiEvaL v. 1.63; D. Sueper, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA) and 163 164 PIKA (Peak Integration and Key Analysis v. 1.23) to obtain mass spectra of identified ions in the m/zrange of 12 to 120. OC (organic carbon) is derived from the ratio of organic mass (OM) to OC (OM/OC) 165 determined with high-resolution AMS analysis (Canagaratna et al., 2015). In the AMS mass spectra, 166 the fraction of m/z 60 (f60) represents the ratio of levoglucosan-like species (Schneider et al., 2006; 167 168 Alfarra et al., 2007). AMS was calibrated for ionization efficiency (IE) by a mass-based method using 169 NH₄NO₃ particles(Tong et al., 2021). Black carbon (BC) was measured with an Aethalometer (Magee Scientific Aethalometer model AE33) (Drinovec et al., 2015) with a time resolution of 1 minute. The 170 171 maintenance and calibration are given in the AE33 user manual - version 1.57. A LI-7000 CO₂ analyzer 172 (LI-COR) and APMA-370 CO analyzer (Horiba) provided continuous measurements of carbon dioxide 173 (CO₂) and carbon monoxide (CO), respectively. The concentrations of total hydrocarbons (THC) and 174 methane (CH₄) were monitored using a flame ionization detector monitor (THC monitor Horiba APHA-175 370).

We deployed a Vocus to measure organic vapors with a wider range of volatilities. A detailed 176 description of the Vocus is provided elsewhere (Huang et al., 2021; Krechmer et al., 2018). For this 177 178 study, the Vocus was operated with H_3O^+ as the reagent ion. The sample air was drawn in through a 1 179 m long polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tube (6 mm o.d.) using a total sample flow of 4.3 L/min, which 180 helped reduce the losses in the inlet wall and the sampling delay. Of the total sample flow, only 100-150 cm³/min went to Vocus, and the rest was exhausted. The Vocus was calibrated before and after 181 182 measurements every day using a multi-component standard cylinder (Tofwerk AG). Standard gases were diluted by the injection of zero air, producing mixing ratios of VOCs of around 20 ppby. The 183 184 calibration components were methanol, acetaldehyde, acetonitrile, acetone, acrylonitrile, isoprene, methyl ethyl ketone, benzene, toluene, m-xylene, α -pinene and 1.2.4-trimethylbenzene. The 185 background measurements were performed using dry zero air every day. Data were recorded with a 186 time resolution of 1 s. The raw data were processed using Tofware v3.2.3 software (TOFWERK, 187 188 Aerodyne, Inc.). The standard non-targeted analysis workflow developed by Tofwerk was adopted for 189 mass calibration and peak fitting. The mass transmission function and the ratios between the measured 190 and calculated sensitivities for a series of ions were used to quantify the data and convert the ion counts 191 to ppby. To calculate the mixing ratio for compounds do not present in the calibration mixture, the slope 192 of the linear fit was multiplied by the proton transfer rate constants (k_{ptr}) which have been provided in

193 Supplement Table.

194 2.3 Data analysis

Modified combustion efficiency (MCE, Equation 1) is an estimate of the relative amount of flamingand smoldering and is equal to

$$MCE = \frac{\Delta CO_2}{\Delta CO + \Delta CO_2}$$
 Equation (1)

- 197 Where ΔCO , ΔCO_2 are the mixing ratios of CO or CO₂ in excess of background (measured before the 198 combustion), respectively (Christian et al., 2003). Generally, a higher MCE (> 0.9) suggests dominated 199 flaming combustion, whereas a lower MCE (< 0.9) is mostly associated with smoldering combustion 200 (Zhao et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022).
- 201 The emission factors (EFs, g kg⁻¹) of species *i* was calculated, following a carbon-mass balance 202 approach (Andreae, 2019; Boubel et al., 1969; Nelson, 1982):

$$EF_{i} = \frac{m_{i}}{\Delta mCO + \Delta mCO_{2} + \Delta mCH_{4} + \Delta mNMOGs + \Delta mOC + \Delta mBC} \times \cdot W_{C}$$
 Equation (2)

Here m_i refers to the mass concentration of species *i*. ΔmCO_2 , ΔmCO_2 , ΔmCH_4 , $\Delta mNMOGs$, ΔmOC , 203 204 and ΔmBC are the background-corrected carbon mass concentrations of carbon-containing species in 205 the flue gas. W_C is the carbon mass fraction of the burning fuel. The W_C in the fuel a constant average 206 value of 0.46 for wood (Bertrand et al., 2017), 0.45 for straw (Li et al., 2007), 0.45 for cow dung (Font-207 Palma, 2019), and 0.49 for coal (Zhang et al., 2000) was assumed. Changes of W_C over the burning 208 cycle are expected to be small compared to the variability of pollutant emissions. The volatility (i.e. the 209 saturation mass concentration, C^*) for individual organic compounds was calculated based on the 210 number of oxygen, carbon, and nitrogen atoms in the compound using the approach by Li et al. (2016):

1
$$\log_{10_{C}}^{*} = (n_{C}^{0} - n_{C}^{i})b_{C} - n_{O}^{i}b_{O} - 2\frac{n_{C}^{i}n_{O}^{i}}{n_{C}^{i} + n_{O}^{i}}b_{CO} - n_{N}^{i}b_{N}$$
 Equation (3)

where n_C^0 is the reference carbon number; n_C^i , n_O^i and n_N^i denote the numbers of carbon, oxygen, and 212 nitrogen, respectively, in the compound. b_C , b_O and b_N are the contributions of each atom to $log_{10_C}^*$, 213 respectively; and b_{CO} is the carbon-oxygen nonideality. The parameters used in this analysis are 214 215 presented in Table S1. Most notably, the empirical approach used by Li et al. (2016) was derived with 216 only a limited number of organonitrates, which could potentially introduce bias in estimating vapor pressure (Isaacman-Vanwertz and Aumont, 2021). To mitigate this bias, we modified the nitrogen 217 218 coefficient for CHON formulas that can be forced to equal twice the negative of the oxygen atom (b_N) 219 $= -2b_{O}$).

220 **2.4 Identification of potential markers**

21

221 In this study, the relative contribution of the mixing ratio for over 1,500 species from six different fuels 222 was quantified across all 28 test burns using the Vocus. To identify the potential markers of emissions 223 from different fuels, we implemented the Mann-Whitney U test (Mann and Whitney, 1947; Wilcoxon, 224 1945) in MATLAB®. Mann-Whitney is a non-parametric test, which has been applied in the selection 225 of aerosol markers (Zhang et al., 2023), proteomic markers (White et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2012; 226 Teunissen et al., 2011; Chmaj-Wierzchowska et al., 2015; Nomura et al., 2004), and other biomarkers 227 (including measurements with a PTR-MS) (Jasperse et al., 2007; Nagai et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2019; 228 Tritten et al., 2013). It is a nonparametric test and is used for between-group comparisons when the 229 dependent variable is ordinal or continuous and not assumed to follow a normal distribution with small 230 sample sizes. This test takes two data samples as parameters, uses the ranks as a measure of central 231 tendency, and then returns the test results with a *p*-value to indicate the statistical significance. When 232 the *p*-value is lower than the significance level of 0.1 (a commonly used *p*-value to study statistical 233 significance in atmospheric research), the median of the tested sample is significantly high or low in

- the two-tailed test. The molecules from a specific class of fuel that satisfy the pairwise comparison test between one fuel, referred to as fuel *j*, and other types of fuel, were determined to be significantly high-
- or low-fraction ions in fuel *j*. These ions have the potential to serve as potential markers for fuel *j*. We
- have calculated in addition the fold change (*FC*) of ion *i* in fuel *j* was calculated using Equation 4,

$$FC_{i,j} = \frac{f_{i,j}}{f_{i,other}}$$
 Equation (4)

Where $f_{i,j}$ represents the fraction of ion *i* in the mass spectra profiles of fuel *j*, and $f_{i,other}$ represents the average fraction of ion *i* in the mass spectra from the other fuels.

240 To identify potential markers the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the emissions observed for one type of fuel, (e.g., spruce/pine logs) with the gaseous emissions observed for other fuels. The 241 242 data used for the comparison was the average composition measured throughout a full burning cycle, excluding the initial ignition period. However, due to the similarity in solid fuel types between burning 243 244 spruce/pine logs, as well as spruce/pine branches and needles, they were categorized as separate solid 245 fuel types for this test and not compared with each other but were only compared with the other four types of fuels. This could result in the loss of many same markers since these two types of fuel actually 246 247 come from the same type of tree. Therefore, when identifying markers for spruce/pine logs using the Mann-Whitney U test, spruce/pine branches and needles were not included in the comparison group. 248 249 Similarly, due to the composition of cow dung 'cakes,' which are a mixture of dried cow dung and crop 250 residues, the approach used in the Mann-Whitney U test is consistent with the above method.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 The characteristics of EF and MCE from different solid fuel types

253 The average EFs of CO, CO_2 , organic vapors and particular matter (PM) in g/kg as well as the MCE 254 values calculated for the 6 types of fuels, are shown in Table 1. Detailed EFs and MCE values for each 255 experiment can be found in Table S2. The average MCE value depends on the solid fuel type and the combustion phase (flaming and smoldering) that is occurring. The lowest MCE values, 0.90, were 256 257 observed during the smoldering phase of the stove-burning of beech logs, while the highest values (0.99) 258 were recorded during the flaming phase of the spruce/pine branches and needles open burning. In all 259 experiments, the highest EFs for a single gas-phase species correspond to CO_2 (1136.2-1711.7 g/kg). Coal burning has the highest average CO EFs ($40.6 \pm 12.6 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) and CO₂ EFs ($1680.2 \pm 32.7 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$). 260

261 Total organic vapor EFs reported in Table 1 refer to species quantified using the Vocus. The average 262 EFs of organic vapors (in the range of 4.8 to 74.2 g kg⁻¹) and the standard deviation are calculated based on the average EFs for the repeatable experiments, which depend on the combustion phases and solid 263 264 fuel types. Generally, lower MCE values correspond to higher organic vapor EFs within a given class 265 of burning fuel (Figure S2a). For instance, smoldering beech logs resulted in significantly higher average organic vapor EFs (74.2 \pm 42.9 g kg⁻¹) compared to burning spruce/pine logs. Spruce/pine stove 266 267 and open burning, dominated by the flaming phase (average MCE > 0.95), exhibited average organic vapor EFs of 44.9 \pm 17.5 g kg⁻¹ and 39.8 \pm 11.4 g kg⁻¹, respectively. This value is higher than pervious 268 269 study (37.3 g kg^{-1}) even though the difference is in the uncertainty levels, which can be attributed to 270 the more extensive analysis of organic vapor in our study (Hatch et al., 2017). Despite the slight

- difference in MCE for some experiments, the increasing EFs for organic vapors with at least six carbon
- atoms per molecule (\geq C6) as proxy SOA precursors were observed with lower MCE (Figure S2b)
- 273 (Bruns et al., 2016). Moreover, the EFs of these SOA precursors are much higher than the primary
- biomass-burning organic aerosol (BBOA), which suggests a higher potential for SOA formation.
- Notably, the EFs of organic vapors from cow dung and coal was relatively low, at 4.8 ± 0.98 g kg⁻¹ and
- 276 11.5 ± 2.6 g kg⁻¹, respectively. Our EFs align well with previously reported volatile organic compound
- 277 EFs from bituminous coal combustion under similar conditions (range of 1.5 to 14.1 g kg⁻¹) reported by
- 278 Klein et al. (2018).

279 **3.2** Comparison between flaming and smoldering of wood burning

280 Figure 1a shows a typical burning cycle during beech log wood experiments with distinct emission 281 characteristics between flaming and smoldering phases. In the top panel, the MCE, CO, and CO₂ 282 concentrations, along with our experimental records, are used to indicate the flaming and smoldering stages. The flaming phase shows considerable BC emission, while the smoldering phase is dominated 283 by organic aerosol emissions without visible flame. The absorption Ångström exponent (AAE) during 284 285 the smoldering phase is approximately twice that of the flaming phase, possibly due to the presence of 286 "brown carbon" in organic aerosols. f60 represents the prevalence of primary combustion products such 287 as levoglucosan and is used as an indicator for fresh BB emissions (Schneider et al., 2006; Alfarra et 288 al., 2007). During the starting/flaming phase, when the temperature is higher, f60 increases. Whereas 289 for lower temperatures in the smoldering phase, f60 decreases (Weimer et al., 2008). The mixing ratio 290 of most of the compounds correlates negatively with the MCE as expected with a significant increase 291 in the smoldering phase (Figure 1a and Figure S3). However, some compounds like benzene have 292 different enhancement rates from flaming to smoldering, which is similar to previous studies (Warneke 293 et al., 2011).

294 Figure 1b illustrates the measured EFs for flaming and smoldering wood fire stages. On average, EFs 295 for organic vapors in the flaming stage are approximately four times lower $(31.4 \pm 7.1 \text{ g kg}^{-1})$ than those in the smoldering stage fires $(121.9 \pm 24 \text{ g kg}^{-1})$. Despite significant variability in the strength of organic 296 297 vapors emissions (EFs), the average carbon and oxygen distribution of organic vapors remained largely 298 consistent across the combustion phases (Figure S4). Hardwood (beech) is a fibrous substance primarily 299 composed of three chemical elements: carbon, hydrogen, and oxygen and these basic elements are 300 incorporated into several organic compounds, i.e. cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin, and extractives formed into a cellular structure (Asif, 2009). The flaming stage is associated with more complete 301 302 oxidation with a relatively higher contribution of oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs, e.g., furan, oxygenated aromatics, O-containing, Figure S5). Conversely, during the smoldering stage, more CO and organic 303 304 vapors are emitted relative to the flaming stage (Figure 1a). OVOCs, such as carbonyl, furan, oxygenated aromatics, and O-containing species, form the major fraction (> 88%) of emissions in both 305 flaming and smoldering fires. They are followed by the sum of C_xH_y , and SRA (5-10%). As shown in 306 307 Figure S6, the volatility distribution of the emissions between the flaming phase and smoldering phase 308 changes slightly with a decrease in the IVOCs from 25.8% (flaming) to 20.2% (smoldering). Though, 309 in absolute terms all emissions are enhanced during the smoldering phase, including IVOCs, due to the 310 increased EFs during the smoldering phase. As Figure 1b shows on a relative scale that there is a higher 311 contribution of single ring aromatics and $C_x H_v$ in the smoldering phase than flaming phase. Within these

measurements in our residential stove, we observe relatively small differences in the composition relative to the large increase in EFs when moving from flaming to smoldering conditions.

314 **3.3 The characteristics of organic vapor from different solid fuel types**

315 **3.3.1 Chemical composition of organic vapor from combustion**

To assess the feasibility of distinguishing differences between combustion solid fuel types based on the 316 317 measured species, we evaluated the similarity of the mass spectra obtained from each experiment using 318 the correlation coefficient (r), as shown in Figure 2, organic vapors from the same burning fuel are 319 strongly correlated (0.82-0.99), indicating the general repeatability of the experiments. Furthermore, 320 we observed a weak intra-fuel correlation between coal and other biomass sources (0.44-0.78), 321 suggesting significant differences in chemical composition. By contrast, the separation between 322 different solid fuel type is not stark and all possess a correlation between 0.6-0.98. Overall, the 323 correlation coefficient highlights similarities between all biomass-based emissions, which will now be 324 discussed in detail.

Figure 2 also shows the average mixing ratio contribution of full ignition duration from m/z 40 to 300 for each experiment, and is categorized into C_xH_y , $C_xH_yO_z$, C_xH_yN and $C_xH_yO_zN$ families based on their elemental composition. In all organic vapors, the $C_xH_yO_z$ family is the most abundant group, making the largest contribution to beech logs (88.6%), spruce/pines logs (82.1%) and straw (81.7%). These percentages are higher than those for coal (63.1%) and cow dung (68.9%). Coal burning results in considerably higher contributions in the C_xH_y families (33.7%) than beech logs (9.3%), consistent with the bulk chemical composition of the fuels.

332 Figure 3 separates emitted compounds based on their carbon (x-axis) numbers. The dominant signals in organic vapors for all fuels are attributed to C3-6 compounds, while more species with higher carbon 333 334 numbers (#C > 10) are observed in spruce/pine branches and needles open burning. The bin containing 335 Hydrogen to carbon ratios (H/C, calculated as the ratio of hydrogen atoms to carbon atoms in a 336 molecules) between 1.2 and 1.5 has the largest contribution in all fuels except the straw, ranging from 27% to 31.2%. Oxygen to carbon ratios (O/C, calculated as the ratio of oxygen atoms to carbon atoms 337 in a molecule) less than 0.15 contribute significantly to coal burning (42%), which corresponds to the 338 339 high proportion of $C_x H_y$ families (Figure 2). Wood and straw burning emitted more oxygenated organic 340 vapors than coal and cow dung burning with more contribution of higher O/C species (O/C > 0.5). The results show similarities to the comparison between burning wood and cow dung in the particle phase 341 342 (Zhang et al., 2023). Specifically, cow dung exhibits a lower fraction of high O/C (0.22) compared to 343 other fuels studied.

344 We categorized organic vapors by functional groups into 10 classes based on the classifications used in 345 Bhattu et al. (2019). These classes include: alcohols, carbonyls (including acid), hydrocarbons, furans, 346 N-containing compounds, O-containing < 6 (where the number of carbon atoms is less than 6), O-347 containing ≥ 6 (where the number of carbon atoms is equal or greater than 6), oxygenated aromatics, 348 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), single-ring aromatics (SRA). Figure S7 and Figure S8 show 349 a comparison of the organic vapor composition observed from different solid fuel types. The measured 350 emissions exhibit significantly different compositions, reflecting the variability of organic components 351 produced from different solid fuel types. The emissions of all solid fuels are overwhelmingly dominated

352 by carbonyls in the range of 23.1% (coal) to 45.1% (straw). For all emissions, furans represent the 353 second largest group, which account for more than 14% of the emissions. Comparatively, aromatic compounds are less significant in BB: 5.9% - 12% for oxygenated aromatics, 0.5% - 2.1% for PAHs, 354 355 and 2.1% - 5.8% for SRA. In contrast, aromatic emissions are relatively larger in coal burning emissions 356 (13.6%, 8.1%, and 13.8%, respectively). Also, we note a specific difference in the oxygenated aromatic 357 compounds and those with C > 6 for open wood burning conditions, compared to the stove. This difference may be driven by the difference in the water content of the wood, which is significantly 358 359 higher for open wood burning (30-40%) compared to stove burning (10-12%). The increase in these 360 oxygenated components comes at the expense of species containing carbonyl and furan functionalities.

Generally, the total fraction of nitrogen-containing species (C_xH_yN and C_xH_yO_zN) is significantly higher 361 362 in the organic vapors emitted from open burning of cow dung (18.8%) compared to the other fuels (2.1%)to 7.3%). This trend is consistent with both our results from aerosol composition measurement and 363 364 previous literature (Stewart et al., 2021b; Zhang et al., 2023; Loebel Roson et al., 2021). Generally, nitrogen containing compounds in cow dung consist mainly of one nitrogen atom and have a wide range 365 of carbon numbers between 2 and 7 (Figure 3). Stewart et al. (2021a) also reported that cow dung was 366 367 the largest emitter of nitrogen-containing organic vapors than other fuelwood and crops in India, releasing large amounts of acetonitrile and nitriles. These nitrogen-containing organic vapors are likely 368 369 formed from the volatilization and decomposition of nitrogen-containing compounds within the cow dung cake, such as free amino acids, pyrroline, pyridine, and chlorophyll (Ren and Zhao, 2015; Burling 370 371 et al., 2010).

372 3.2.2 Volatility of organic compounds

The parameterization described in Sect. 2.4 uses the modified approach of Li et al. (2016) to estimate the volatility of each of the measured compounds by the VOCUS in $\log_{10}(C^*)$ [µg m⁻³]. The gaseous organic compounds were grouped into a 14-bin volatility basis set (VBS) (Donahue et al., 2006) (Figure 4). Following the suggestions in recent papers (Wang et al., 2024; Li et al., 2023; Donahue et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2021; Schervish and Donahue, 2020), the volatility was aggregated into four main classes with units of µg m⁻³: VOCs as $\log_{10}(C^*) > 6.5$, IVOCs as $\log_{10}(C^*)$ between 6.5 to 2.5, semi-VOCs (SVOCs) as $\log_{10}(C^*)$ between 2.5 to - 0.5 and low-VOCs (LVOCs) as $\log_{10}(C^*) < -0.5$).

380 Comparison and compilation of organic vapors sorted by volatility and functional group classification 381 are shown in Figure 4, and the distribution of average EFs as a function of binned saturation vapor 382 concentration is shown. The VOC class was found to be the most abundant, ranging from 58.7% to 87% (Figure S9). For all burning types, carbonyls, furans, and SRA families are overwhelmingly dominant 383 384 in VOCs, accounting for more than 60% of the VOC emissions. The high fraction of oxygenated VOCs 385 like carbonyls in BB emissions is in stark contrast to VOCs emitted from coal combustion, which is 386 dominated by aromatic hydrocarbon emissions, particularly PAHs. This difference may be attributed to 387 the condensed structure of coal, and lack of oxygen within the fuel itself. PAHs are a group of organic 388 matter compounds containing multiple aromatic rings that mainly result from incomplete combustion 389 (Mastral and Callen, 2000).

IVOCs also constituted a considerable fraction in solid-fuel combustions (from 12.6% to 39.3%),
particularly in spruce/pine branches and needles (39.3%), cow dung (24.3%) and coal (31.1%) (Figure

392 S9). Significant differences in the bulk volatility of organic compounds were observed among different

393 types of wood burning. In general, spruce/pine branches and needles open burning released a higher 394 proportion of IVOCs (39.3%) into the gas phase compared to stove logs burning (12.6% and 23.9%). 395 This difference may be attributed to a lower percentage of terpenes in woody tissues compared to 396 needle/leaf tissues (Greenberg et al., 2006). In addition, open burning wood has both a significantly larger water content and oxygen content than stove burning, which enhances the formation of partially 397 398 oxidized organic compounds. Within the open burning experiments, the oxygenated molecules (both 399 aromatics and $C \ge 6$) are enhanced relative to the other experiments and result in the largest EF of 400 IVOCs. In addition to the burning conditions, the fuel properties are also an important factor affecting 401 the IVOC component. Notably, cow dung comprised a higher fraction of N-containing species within 402 their IVOC emissions compared to other fuels. The emissions of IVOCs characterized and quantified 403 in this study are important for the estimating and modeling of aged emissions and their propensity to be 404 able to form secondary organic aerosol.

3.4 Chemical characteristics of dominant compounds from all biomass fuels and identification of potential markers for specific solid fuels

407 **3.4.1** Chemical characteristics of dominant compounds from all biomass fuels

408 To conduct a comprehensive analysis aimed at identifying potential markers among emissions, the 409 Mann-Whitney U test (refer to Sect. 2.5) was performed on the relative contribution of primary organic 410 vapors derived from various fuels as measured by the Vocus. The results of the pairwise Mann-Whitney 411 test are presented in Figure S10, illustrating the average $-log_{10}$ p-value as a function of the log_2 fold 412 change (FC). Species that yield p-values lower than 0.1 in the two-tailed test for all pairwise 413 comparisons are deemed significantly more abundant or scarce in a particular solid fuel type compared 414 to all other fuels. These species are indicated as colored circles in Figure 5. In cases where species do 415 not meet this criterion once or multiple times, they are represented as gray circles, even if their average 416 *p*-value falls below 0.1. A higher $-\log_{10}$ (*p*-value) signifies a reduced likelihood that the fractional medians of two species are equivalent. Simultaneously, a greater FC (as per Equation 4) indicates an 417 418 increased presence of the species' fractional contribution in the tested fuel in comparison to the average 419 contribution across all other fuels. This suggests a higher degree of exclusivity for this species in the 420 given context. The potential markers, p-values, fold changes, and threshold results are listed in the 421 Supplement Table.

422 As shown in Figure 2, biomass fuels (such as logs, branches, needles, straw, and cow dung) were 423 analyzed separately from coal due to their distinct characteristics. To address this distinction, we 424 characterized the dominant compounds across various biomass fuels by setting a threshold (relative 425 mixing ratio contribution $\ge 0.1\%$) for compounds that are not potential markers of one specific biomass 426 fuels. This approach allowed us to identify compounds that are more readily detectable in complex 427 environments. As shown in Figure S11, the gas-phase analysis revealed several dominant compounds: 428 $C_5H_4O_2$ (furfural, 2.2-10.1%), $C_2H_4O_2$ (acetic acid, 2.1-5.8%), $C_3H_6O_2$ (methyl acetate, 1.7-4.6%), and 429 C_2H_4O (acetaldehyde, 1.3-3.9%), which were also reported prior studies on BB (Bruns et al., 2017; 430 Stockwell et al., 2015; Christian, 2004; Sarkar et al., 2016). Furthermore, the category of dominant compounds represents the primary set of compounds associated with BB, contributing from 46% to 69% 431 432 of the emissions (Figure S12). Carter et al. (2022) expand the representation of fire organic vapors in a 433 global chemical transport model, GEOS-Chem, which contributes substantially to atmospheric reactivity, both locally and globally. Our results could provide more input information for global orregional chemistry transport models.

436 **3.4.2 Identification of potential markers for specific solid fuels**

437 Mass defect plots of potential markers are visualized in Figure 5, for each burning source, respectively. 438 Many potential markers are identified for each unique type of burning (Supplement Table). As shown 439 in Figure 5, potential markers of all wood burning are mainly composed of compounds from the $C_x H_y$ 440 and $C_xH_yO_z$ -family. However, the potential markers for spruce/pine branches and needles have higher 441 molecular weights and are more oxidized, which aligns with their characteristics of the mass spectrum. 442 In contrast, compounds from open burning of straw and cow dung contribute considerably more to 443 nitrogen-containing families but less to oxygen-containing species, consistent with their bulk chemical 444 composition characteristics. Additionally, potential markers for coal consist mainly of compounds from C_xH_y-family, which also aligns with its bulk chemical composition and relatively higher H/C ratios 445 446 (Figure 3).

447 For all softwood (i.e., spruce/pine logs and spruce/pine branches and needles in this study), 448 monoterpenes ($C_{10}H_{16}$) are a potential marker along with the fragment at m/z 81.07 (C_6H_8). However, 449 monoterpenes cannot exclusively be related to BB given their abundance in the atmosphere. 450 Monoterpenes are also the biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) emitted from natural trees 451 and other vegetation (Hellén et al., 2012). However, the emission rates of terpenes vary with season, 452 with a higher rate in spring and summer and a lower rate in autumn and winter (He et al., 2000; Noe et al., 2012). In winter, monoterpenes could be a potential marker for softwood burning due to minor 453 454 natural emissions from spruce, but in summer, terpene emissions from natural trees would dominate the 455 contribution making it a non-potential marker. P-cumenol ($C_9H_{12}O$), as one of the potential markers for 456 beech logs, was discovered to be one of the prominent products of beech wood pyrolysis of lignins (Sengpiel et al., 2019; Keller et al., 2020). Homologues of C₁₀H₈₋₁₈O₂ are determined for spruce/pine 457 458 branches and needles, with $C_{10}H_{10}O_2$ being β -phenylacrylic acid, which is one of the main chemical 459 compositions of the extract of the cedar pine needle. $C_{10}H_{14}O_2$ could be 1-guaiacylpropane, which is proposed as a potential marker for coniferyl-type lignin pyrolysis products from pine (Simoneit et al., 460 461 1993; Liu et al., 2021). Homologues of $C_{11}H_{8-18}O_2$ are also seen, for example, $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$, likely 1-(3,4-462 dimethoxy-phenyl)-1-propene, which is stated as a representative compound found in lignin (Alves et 463 al., 2003; Hill Bembenic, 2011).

464 Noticeably, cow dung has a significantly different chemical composition. As a result, many potential markers are identified from the burning of cow dung compared to other fuels. These potential markers 465 466 predominantly contain nitrogen in chemical composition and overlap all potential markers for straw, owing to the mixture of dried cow dung and crop residues in "cow dung cakes." Many nitrogen-467 containing potential markers are found in straw and cow dung, such as C₄H₅N, C₅H₅N, C₅H₇N, and 468 469 C₆H₇N, which could likely be assigned to pyrrole, pyridine, methylpyrrole and methyl pyridines 470 respectively. Pyrolysis of the constituents in the crop residue is a probable pathway for these compounds 471 (Ma and Hays, 2008). Acetonitrile (C₂H₃N), acrylonitrile (C₃H₃N), propanenitrile (C₃H₅NO), and 4-472 methylpentanenitrile ($C_6H_{11}N$) were found as potential markers for cow dung with generally higher FC 473 and higher relative contribution. Additionally, several series of nitrogen-containing homologues are 474 found, such as C₁₀H₁₁₋₂₁NO, C₁₂H₁₁₋₂₁N, C₁₁H₁₁₋₂₃NO and C₁₅H₁₅₋₃₁N. These nitrogen-containing gases

- 475 have also been detected, especially in emissions from cow dung cake in India compared to fuelwood 476 and are likely formed from the volatilization and decomposition of nitrogen-containing compounds
- 477 within the cow dung cake. These compounds originate primarily from free amino acids but can also
- 478 arise from pyrroline, pyridine, and chlorophyll (Stewart et al., 2021a).

479 Coal is also a distinct solid fuel compared to other biomass fuels in this study, showing a relatively 480 lower correlation coefficient (Figure 2). Consequently, many series of C_xH_y -family homologues are 481 found. Compounds with 9-12 carbon atoms, as shown in Figure 5 for coal burning, could be PAHs-482 related, such as C_9H_8 (1-Indene), $C_{10}H_8$ (naphthalene), $C_{10}H_{10}$ (1-methylnapthalene), $C_{12}H_{10}$ 483 (acenaphthene), $C_{12}H_{12}$ (2,6-dimethylnaphthalene). The EFs of the potential markers also show an 484 increasing trend with the decrease of MCE (Figure S13), which suggests EFs of the potential markers 485 are not only dependent upon the type of fuel burnt but also on the burning condition.

486 4 Conclusions

In this study, we investigated emissions of organic vapors using Vocus during typical solid fuel
combustion, including burning of beech logs, spruce/pine logs, spruce/pine branches and needles, straw,
and cow dung and coal briquettes. Average EFs of CO, CO₂, organic vapors and PM were calculated.

- 490 This work provides a comprehensive laboratory-based analysis of the chemical composition of organic 491 vapors emitted from the different combustibles and different combustion phases. We discuss the
- 492 prominent net combustion emissions from BB and identify new potential markers using the Mann-
- 493 Whitney U test.

494 The results indicate that wood burning has higher organic vapors EFs compared to other fuels. The 495 emissions varied significantly, ranging from 4.8 to 74.2 g kg⁻¹, depending on the combustion phases 496 and solid fuel types. Despite the slight difference in modified combustion efficiency (MCE) for some 497 experiments, the increasing EFs for organic vapors were observed with lower MCE. Moreover, the EFs 498 of these SOA precursors are much higher than the primary biomass-burning organic aerosol (BBOA), 499 which suggests a higher potential for SOA formation. Distinct particulate/gas emissions at different 500 combustion phases are observed for stove burning of beech logs: initial compositions of flaming or smoldering plumes were dominated by BC or OA, respectively, with much higher organic vapor 501 502 emission in smoldering. The relative contribution of different classes showed large similarities between the combustion phases in beech logs stove burning, relative to the large change in EFs observed. 503 504 Therefore, the enhanced EFs under smoldering conditions means there is a greater potential for SOA 505 formation when compared to flaming conditions.

- 506 The $C_xH_yO_z$ -family is the most abundant group (63.1% to 88.6%) for all solid fuels, followed by C_xH_y
- 507 (9.3% to 33.7%). A larger contribution of nitrogen-containing species (C_xH_yN and C_xH_yO_zN) is found
- 508 in cow dung burning, while coal burning has a higher contribution from the C_xH_y families. Moreover,
- the VOC class was found to be the most abundant (58.7% to 87%), followed by the IVOC class (12.6%
- 510 to 39.3%). Primary semivolatile/intermediate-volatility organic compounds (S/IVOCs) have been 511 proposed as important SOA precursors from BB. Li et al. (2024) found that IVOCs from residential
- wood burning (~ 13% of total organic vapors) can contribute ~70% of the formed SOA. Overall, these
- 513 data will help update the IVOC emission inventory and improve the estimates of SOA production.
- 514 Specifically, these results demonstrate that open burning (e.g., wildfire) emissions have enhanced IVOC

- EFs, suggesting that the SOA potential from open-burning sources is larger in comparison to their woodstove counterparts.
- 517 However, each source generally emits a wide spectrum of organic vapors species, leading to 518 considerable overlap between organic vapors species from different sources. Based on the Mann-Whitney U, we selected species that were unique in certain emissions as possible potential markers for 519 520 specific solid fuels and the dominant compounds for all biomass fuels. Due to minor natural emissions 521 from spruce in summer, monoterpene ($C_{10}H_{16}$) and its fragment could be potential markers for all 522 softwoods (i.e., pine logs and spruce/pine branches and needles in this study) in winter. More products 523 of the pyrolysis of coniferyl-type lignin and the cedar pine needle extract could be found in spruce/pine 524 branches and needles open burning (e.g., $C_{10}H_{14}O_2$, $C_{11}H_{14}O_2$, $C_{10}H_{10}O_2$). The prominent product $(C_9H_{12}O)$ resulting from the pyrolysis of beech lignin is identified as the potential markers for beech 525 526 log stove burning. Many series of nitrogen-containing homologues and nitrogen-containing species (e.g., 527 acetonitrile, acrylonitrile, propanenitrile, methylpentanenitrile) are identified (e.g., C₁₀H₁₁₋₂₁NO, C₁₂H₁₁₋ 528 $_{21}$ N, C $_{11}$ H $_{11-23}$ NO and C $_{15}$ H $_{15-31}$ N), particularly from open burning of cow dung. Coal is a unique solid 529 fuel compared to biomass and more PAHs-related potential markers are identified from coal burning with 9-12 carbon. These potential markers provide important support for future global or regional 530 531 chemistry transport modeling and source apportionment. Overall, our study provides a comprehensive 532 understanding of the molecular composition and volatility of primary organic compounds, as well as
- 533 new insights into the identification of potential markers from the burning of solid fuels.

- 535
 536
 537
 538
 539
 540
 541
 542
 543
 544
- 545

Tables and figures

Table 1 Average EFs of CO, CO₂, organic vapors and PM as well as MCE for 6 types of Solid fuel type.

Solid fuel type	Carbon content	MCE	Emission factors (g kg ⁻¹ fuel)			
			СО	CO ₂	organic vapors	РМ
beech logs stove (n=6)	0.46	0.96 ± 0.03	38.9 ± 25.9	1409.4 ± 177.1	74.2 ± 42.9	2.5 ± 1.7
spruce/pine logs stove (n=5)	0.46	0.97 ± 0.01	28.5 ± 14.3	1511.7 ± 68.5	44.9 ± 17.5	1 ± 0.6
spruce/pine branches and needles open (n=3)	0.46	0.99 ± 0.001	2.8 ± 0.8	1579.2 ± 29.7	39.8 ± 11.4	0.9 ± 0.4
straw open (n=4)	0.45	0.97 ± 0.01	24.4 ± 6.6	1488.4 ± 87.2	42.6 ± 33.7	2.8 ± 0.7
cow dung open (n=5)	0.45	0.95 ± 0.03	53.9±27.2	1541.8 ± 50.2	4.8 ± 0.98	1.2 ± 0.61
coal stove (n=5)	0.49	0.96 ± 0.01	40.6 ± 12.6	1680.2 ± 32.7	11.5 ± 2.6	0.9 ± 0.3



Figure 1. (a) Temporal profiles of mixing ratios measured by Vocus and evolution of CO, CO₂, AAE, *f*60, MCE and key aerosol compositions during burning cycles of beech logs stove burning (b) Geometric mean of the primary EFs for gas-phase species of different functional groups during flaming and smoldering phase,

respectively (the flaming and smoldering was separated by the experimental record and calculated MCE). Error bars correspond to the sample geometric standard deviation of the replicates. The square represents the mixing

558 ratio between smoldering and flaming. In this study, the MCE is used to indicate the flaming stage and smoldering

and a significant decrease of MAC and CO_2 was observed from the flaming phase to the smoldering phase.



561 Figure 2. The correlation matrix of organic vapors measured with Vocus (F represents flaming phase and S represents smoldering phase and unmarked columns and rows represent mixtures of both flaming and smoldering phases). Pie charts showing the contribution of elemental families are on the diagonal.



Figure 3. The average carbon distribution is colored by the H/C (**A**) and O/C (**B**) for non-N-containing species.

566 The pie charts are the corresponding contribution of a range of H/C or O/C ratios.



568 Figure 4. Volatility and average accumulated EFs (assume the average molecular weight of each bin are same)

the distribution of primary emissions as a function of binned saturation vapor concentration. Shaded areas indicate the volatility ranges with units of $\mu g m^{-3}$: VOCs (vellow) as $\log_{10}(C^*) > 6.5$, IVOCs (blue) as $\log_{10}(C^*)$ between

570 the volatility ranges with units of μ g m⁻³: VOCs (yellow) as $\log_{10}(C^*) > 6.5$, IVOCs (blue) as $\log_{10}(C^*)$ between 571 6.5 to 2.5, semi-VOCs (SVOCs, green) as $\log_{10}(C^*)$ between 2.5 to - 0.5 and low-VOCs (LVOCs, orange) as

571 $\log_{10}(C^*) < -0.5$). The relative contribution of LVOCs and SVOCs are multiplied by a factor of 1000 and 10,

573 respectively.



575 Figure 5. Mass defect plots identifying potential markers sized by the square root of fractional contribution (%)
576 and colored by log₂ (the fold change). The dashed line represents the series of homologues.

580 Data availability

581 The data presented in the text and figures are available in the Zenodo online repository (https://doi.org/ 582 10.5281/zenodo.14204572).

583 Author contributions

584 TTW, JZ, HL, KL, RKYC, EG, LK, DMB, and RLM conducted the burning experiments. TTW 585 analyzed the data and wrote the paper. MB, ZCJD, LK, DMB, KL, RLM, IEH, HL, JGS, and ASHP 586 participated in the interpretation of data.

587 **Competing interests**

588 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

589 Acknowledgments

590 This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) SNF grant MOLORG

591 (200020_188624), an SNSF Joint Research Project (grant no. IZLCZ0_189883), the PSI career return

592 fellowship, and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research, innovation programme under the Marie

593 Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 884104 (PSI-FELLOW-III-3i) and ATMO-ACCESS. PSI's

atmospheric simulation chamber is a facility of the ACTRIS ERIC and receives funding from the Swiss

595 State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI grant).

596 **Reference**

- Akagi, S., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M., Reid, J., Karl, T., Crounse, J., and Wennberg, P.:
 Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in atmospheric models, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 11, 4039-4072, 2011.
- Akherati, A., He, Y., Coggon, M. M., Koss, A. R., Hodshire, A. L., Sekimoto, K., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J., Yee,
 L., Seinfeld, J. H., Onasch, T. B., Herndon, S. C., Knighton, W. B., Cappa, C. D., Kleeman, M. J., Lim, C.
 Y., Kroll, J. H., Pierce, J. R., and Jathar, S. H.: Oxygenated Aromatic Compounds are Important Precursors
 of Secondary Organic Aerosol in Biomass-Burning Emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 8568-8579,
 10.1021/acs.est.0c01345, 2020.
- Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S., Szidat, S., Sandradewi, J., Weimer, S., Lanz, V. A., Schreiber, D., Mohr, M., and
 Baltensperger, U.: Identification of the mass spectral signature of organic aerosols from wood burning
 emissions, Environmental science & technology, 41, 5770-5777, 2007.
- Alves, V., Capanema, E., Chen, C.-L., and Gratzl, J.: Comparative studies on oxidation of lignin model
 compounds with hydrogen peroxide using Mn (IV)-Me3TACN and Mn (IV)-Me4DTNE as catalyst, J. Mol.
 Catal. A: Chem., 206, 37-51, 2003.
- Andreae, M. O.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning-an updated assessment, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 19, 8523-8546, 2019.
- Asif, M.: Sustainability of timber, wood and bamboo in construction, in: Sustainability of construction materials,
 Elsevier, 31-54, 2009.
- Bailey, J., Gerasopoulos, E., Rojas-Rueda, D., and Benmarhnia, T.: Potential health and equity co-benefits related
 to the mitigation policies reducing air pollution from residential wood burning in Athens, Greece, Journal of
 Environmental Science and Health, Part A, 54, 1144-1151, 2019.

- Balakrishnan, K., Ramaswamy, P., Sambandam, S., Thangavel, G., Ghosh, S., Johnson, P., Mukhopadhyay, K.,
 Venugopal, V., and Thanasekaraan, V.: Air pollution from household solid fuel combustion in India: an
 overview of exposure and health related information to inform health research priorities, Global health action,
 4, 5638, 2011.
- Bertrand, A., Stefenelli, G., Bruns, E. A., Pieber, S. M., Temime-Roussel, B., Slowik, J. G., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
 Wortham, H., El Haddad, I., and Marchand, N.: Primary emissions and secondary aerosol production
 potential from woodstoves for residential heating: Influence of the stove technology and combustion
 efficiency, Atmos. Environ., 169, 65-79, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.09.005, 2017.
- Bhattu, D., Zotter, P., Zhou, J., Stefenelli, G., Klein, F., Bertrand, A., Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand, N., Slowik,
 J. G., Baltensperger, U., Prevot, A. S. H., Nussbaumer, T., El Haddad, I., and Dommen, J.: Effect of Stove
 Technology and Combustion Conditions on Gas and Particulate Emissions from Residential Biomass
 Combustion, Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 2209-2219, 10.1021/acs.est.8b05020, 2019.
- Boubel, R. W., Darley, E. F., and Schuck, E. A.: Emissions from burning grass stubble and straw, Journal of the
 Air Pollution Control Association, 19, 497-500, 1969.
- Bruns, E. A., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., Kilic, D., Klein, F., Baltensperger, U., and Prevot, A. S.: Identification
 of significant precursor gases of secondary organic aerosols from residential wood combustion, Sci Rep, 6,
 27881, 10.1038/srep27881, 2016.
- Bruns, E. A., Slowik, J. G., El Haddad, I., Kilic, D., Klein, F., Dommen, J., Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand, N.,
 Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Characterization of gas-phase organics using proton transfer reaction
 time-of-flight mass spectrometry: fresh and aged residential wood combustion emissions, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 17, 705-720, 10.5194/acp-17-705-2017, 2017.
- Bruns, E. A., El Haddad, I., Keller, A., Klein, F., Kumar, N. K., Pieber, S. M., Corbin, J. C., Slowik, J. G., Brune,
 W. H., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Inter-comparison of laboratory smog chamber and flow
 reactor systems on organic aerosol yield and composition, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 2315-2332, 10.5194/amt8-2315-2015, 2015.
- Burling, I., Yokelson, R. J., Griffith, D. W., Johnson, T. J., Veres, P., Roberts, J., Warneke, C., Urbanski, S.,
 Reardon, J., and Weise, D.: Laboratory measurements of trace gas emissions from biomass burning of fuel
 types from the southeastern and southwestern United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11115-11130, 2010.
- Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Fortner,
 E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Elemental
 ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass spectrometry: characterization, improved
 calibration, and implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 253-272, 10.5194/acp-15-253-2015, 2015.
- Carter, T. S., Heald, C. L., Kroll, J. H., Apel, E. C., Blake, D., Coggon, M., Edtbauer, A., Gkatzelis, G., Hornbrook,
 R. S., and Peischl, J.: An improved representation of fire non-methane organic gases (NMOGs) in models:
 emissions to reactivity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 12093-12111, 2022.
- Chandramouli, C. and General, R.: Census of india 2011, Provisional Population Totals. New Delhi: Government
 of India, 409-413, 2011.
- Chen, Y.-T., Chen, H.-W., Domanski, D., Smith, D. S., Liang, K.-H., Wu, C.-C., Chen, C.-L., Chung, T., Chen,
 M.-C., and Chang, Y.-S.: Multiplexed quantification of 63 proteins in human urine by multiple reaction
 monitoring-based mass spectrometry for discovery of potential bladder cancer biomarkers, Journal of
 proteomics, 75, 3529-3545, 2012.
- Chmaj-Wierzchowska, K., Kampioni, M., Wilczak, M., Sajdak, S., and Opala, T.: Novel markers in the
 diagnostics of endometriomas: Urocortin, ghrelin, and leptin or leukocytes, fibrinogen, and CA-125?,
 Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 54, 126-130, 2015.
- 662 Christian, T. J.: Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions: 2. First intercomparison
 663 of open-path FTIR, PTR-MS, and GC-MS/FID/ECD, J. Geophys. Res., 109, 10.1029/2003jd003874, 2004.

- 664 Christian, T. J., Kleiss, B., Yokelson, R. J., Holzinger, R., Crutzen, P., Hao, W. M., Saharjo, B., and Ward, D. E.:
 665 Comprehensive laboratory measurements of biomass-burning emissions: 1. Emissions from Indonesian,
 666 African, and other fuels, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, 2003.
- Donahue, N. M., Kroll, J., Pandis, S. N., and Robinson, A. L.: A two-dimensional volatility basis set–Part 2:
 Diagnostics of organic-aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 615-634, 2012.
- Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A., Stanier, C., and Pandis, S.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of
 semivolatile organics, Environmental science & technology, 40, 2635-2643, 2006.
- 671 Font-Palma, C.: Methods for the Treatment of Cattle Manure—A Review, C, 5, 10.3390/c5020027, 2019.
- Font, A., Ciupek, K., Butterfield, D., and Fuller, G.: Long-term trends in particulate matter from wood burning in
 the United Kingdom: Dependence on weather and social factors, Environ. Pollut., 314, 120105, 2022.
- Fourtziou, L., Liakakou, E., Stavroulas, I., Theodosi, C., Zarmpas, P., Psiloglou, B., Sciare, J., Maggos, T.,
 Bairachtari, K., and Bougiatioti, A.: Multi-tracer approach to characterize domestic wood burning in Athens
 (Greece) during wintertime, Atmos. Environ., 148, 89-101, 2017.
- 677 Greenberg, J., Friedli, H., Guenther, A., Hanson, D., Harley, P., and Karl, T.: Volatile organic emissions from the
 678 distillation and pyrolysis of vegetation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 81-91, 2006.
- 679 Grieshop, A., Logue, J., Donahue, N., and Robinson, A.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation of
 680 organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, Atmos. Chem.
 681 Phys., 9, 1263-1277, 2009.
- Guo, J., Wu, H., Zhao, Z., Wang, J., and Liao, H.: Review on health impacts from domestic coal burning: emphasis
 on endemic fluorosis in Guizhou Province, Southwest China, Reviews of Environmental Contamination and
 Toxicology Volume 258, 1-25, 2021.
- Hatch, L. E., Rivas-Ubach, A., Jen, C. N., Lipton, M., Goldstein, A. H., and Barsanti, K. C.: Measurements of
 I/SVOCs in biomass-burning smoke using solid-phase extraction disks and two-dimensional gas
 chromatography, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 17801-17817, 2018.
- Hatch, L. E., Yokelson, R. J., Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Simpson, I. J., Blake, D. R., Orlando, J. J., and
 Barsanti, K. C.: Multi-instrument comparison and compilation of non-methane organic gas emissions from
 biomass burning and implications for smoke-derived secondary organic aerosol precursors, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 17, 1471-1489, 10.5194/acp-17-1471-2017, 2017.
- Hatch, L. E., Jen, C. N., Kreisberg, N. M., Selimovic, V., Yokelson, R. J., Stamatis, C., York, R. A., Foster, D.,
 Stephens, S. L., and Goldstein, A. H.: Highly speciated measurements of terpenoids emitted from laboratory
 and mixed-conifer forest prescribed fires, Environmental Science & Technology, 53, 9418-9428, 2019.
- He, C., Murray, F., and Lyons, T.: Seasonal variations in monoterpene emissions from Eucalyptus species,
 Chemosphere-Global change science, 2, 65-76, 2000.
- Hellén, H., Tykkä, T., and Hakola, H.: Importance of monoterpenes and isoprene in urban air in northern Europe,
 Atmos. Environ., 59, 59-66, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.04.049, 2012.
- Heringa, M. F., DeCarlo, P. F., Chirico, R., Tritscher, T., Dommen, J., Weingartner, E., Richter, R., Wehrle, G.,
 Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Investigations of primary and secondary particulate matter of
 different wood combustion appliances with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 5945-5957, 10.5194/acp-11-5945-2011, 2011.
- Hill Bembenic, M. A.: The chemistry of subcritical water reactions of a hardwood derived lignin and lignin model
 compounds with nitrogen, hydrogen, carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide, 2011.
- Hodzic, A., Jimenez, J. L., Madronich, S., Canagaratna, M. R., DeCarlo, P. F., Kleinman, L., and Fast, J.:
 Modeling organic aerosols in a megacity: potential contribution of semi-volatile and intermediate volatility
 primary organic compounds to secondary organic aerosol formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5491-5514,
 10.5194/acp-10-5491-2010, 2010.
- Huang, W., Li, H., Sarnela, N., Heikkinen, L., Tham, Y. J., Mikkilä, J., Thomas, S. J., Donahue, N. M., Kulmala,
 M., and Bianchi, F.: Measurement report: Molecular composition and volatility of gaseous organic

- compounds in a boreal forest from volatile organic compounds to highly oxygenated organic molecules,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 8961-8977, 10.5194/acp-21-8961-2021, 2021.
- Isaacman-VanWertz, G. and Aumont, B.: Impact of organic molecular structure on the estimation of
 atmospherically relevant physicochemical parameters, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 6541-6563, 10.5194/acp21-6541-2021, 2021.
- Jasperse, B., Jakobs, C., Eikelenboom, M. J., Dijkstra, C. D., Uitdehaag, B. M., Barkhof, F., Polman, C. H., and
 Teunissen, C. E.: N-acetylaspartic acid in cerebrospinal fluid of multiple sclerosis patients determined by
 gas-chromatography-mass spectrometry, Journal of neurology, 254, 631-637, 2007.
- Jin, L., Permar, W., Selimovic, V., Ketcherside, D., Yokelson, R. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Apel, E. C., Ku, I.-T.,
 Collett Jr, J. L., and Sullivan, A. P.: Constraining emissions of volatile organic compounds from western US
 wildfires with WE-CAN and FIREX-AQ airborne observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 5969-5991, 2023.
- Kalogridis, A.-C., Vratolis, S., Liakakou, E., Gerasopoulos, E., Mihalopoulos, N., and Eleftheriadis, K.:
 Assessment of wood burning versus fossil fuel contribution to wintertime black carbon and carbon monoxide
 concentrations in Athens, Greece, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 10219-10236, 2018.
- Keller, R. G., Di Marino, D., Blindert, M., and Wessling, M.: Hydrotropic solutions enable homogeneous fenton
 treatment of lignin, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 59, 4229-4238, 2020.
- Klein, F., Pieber, S. M., Ni, H., Stefenelli, G., Bertrand, A., Kilic, D., Pospisilova, V., Temime-Roussel, B.,
 Marchand, N., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., Baltensperger, U., Cao, J., Huang, R. J., and Prevot, A. S. H.:
 Characterization of Gas-Phase Organics Using Proton Transfer Reaction Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry:
 Residential Coal Combustion, Environ. Sci. Technol., 52, 2612-2617, 10.1021/acs.est.7b03960, 2018.
- Koss, A. R., Sekimoto, K., Gilman, J. B., Selimovic, V., Coggon, M. M., Zarzana, K. J., Yuan, B., Lerner, B. M.,
 Brown, S. S., and Jimenez, J. L.: Non-methane organic gas emissions from biomass burning: identification,
 quantification, and emission factors from PTR-ToF during the FIREX 2016 laboratory experiment, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 18, 3299-3319, 2018.
- Krechmer, J., Lopez-Hilfiker, F., Koss, A., Hutterli, M., Stoermer, C., Deming, B., Kimmel, J., Warneke, C.,
 Holzinger, R., Jayne, J., Worsnop, D., Fuhrer, K., Gonin, M., and de Gouw, J.: Evaluation of a New ReagentIon Source and Focusing Ion-Molecule Reactor for Use in Proton-Transfer-Reaction Mass Spectrometry,
 Anal. Chem., 90, 12011-12018, 10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02641, 2018.
- Kumar, V., Slowik, J. G., Baltensperger, U., Prevot, A. S. H., and Bell, D. M.: Time-Resolved Molecular
 Characterization of Secondary Organic Aerosol Formed from OH and NO(3) Radical Initiated Oxidation of
 a Mixture of Aromatic Precursors, Environ. Sci. Technol., 57, 11572-11582, 10.1021/acs.est.3c00225, 2023.
- Li, H., Riva, M., Rantala, P., Heikkinen, L., Daellenbach, K., Krechmer, J. E., Flaud, P.-M., Worsnop, D., Kulmala,
 M., Villenave, E., Perraudin, E., Ehn, M., and Bianchi, F.: Terpenes and their oxidation products in the
 French Landes forest: insights from Vocus PTR-TOF measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 1941-1959,
 10.5194/acp-20-1941-2020, 2020.
- Li, K., Zhang, J., Bell, D. M., Wang, T., Lamkaddam, H., Cui, T., Qi, L., Surdu, M., Wang, D., Du, L., Haddad,
 I. E., Slowik, J. G., and Prevot, A. S. H.: Uncovering the dominant contribution of intermediate volatility
 compounds in secondary organic aerosol formation from biomass-burning emissions, National Science
 Review, 10.1093/nsr/nwae014, 2024.
- Li, X., Wang, S., Duan, L., Hao, J., Li, C., Chen, Y., and Yang, L.: Particulate and Trace Gas Emissions from
 Open Burning of Wheat Straw and Corn Stover in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 6052-6058,
 10.1021/es0705137, 2007.
- Li, Y., Pöschl, U., and Shiraiwa, M.: Molecular corridors and parameterizations of volatility in the chemical
 evolution of organic aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3327-3344, 10.5194/acp-16-3327-2016, 2016.
- Li, Z., Wang, S., Li, S., Wang, X., Huang, G., Chang, X., Huang, L., Liang, C., Zhu, Y., and Zheng, H.: Highresolution emission inventory of full-volatility organic compounds from cooking in China during 2015–2021,
 Earth System Science Data, 15, 5017-5037, 2023.

- Liu, C., Zhang, C., Mu, Y., Liu, J., and Zhang, Y.: Emission of volatile organic compounds from domestic coal
 stove with the actual alternation of flaming and smoldering combustion processes, Environ. Pollut., 221, 385391, 10.1016/j.envpol.2016.11.089, 2017.
- Liu, Y., Shao, M., Fu, L., Lu, S., Zeng, L., and Tang, D.: Source profiles of volatile organic compounds (VOCs)
 measured in China: Part I, Atmos. Environ., 42, 6247-6260, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.070, 2008.
- Liu, Y., Liao, B., Guo, W., Fu, Y., Sun, W., Fu, Y., Wang, D., and Kang, H.: Study on Separation and Purification
 of Chemical Components of Dichloromethane from Pine Needle Extract, IOP Conference Series: Earth and
 Environmental Science, 714, 032039, 2021.
- Loebel Roson, M., Duruisseau-Kuntz, R., Wang, M., Klimchuk, K., Abel, R. J., Harynuk, J. J., and Zhao, R.:
 Chemical Characterization of Emissions Arising from Solid Fuel Combustion—Contrasting Wood and Cow
 Dung Burning, ACS Earth and Space Chemistry, 5, 2925-2937, 10.1021/acsearthspacechem.1c00268, 2021.
- Ma, Y. and Hays, M. D.: Thermal extraction-two-dimensional gas chromatography-mass spectrometry with
 heart-cutting for nitrogen heterocyclics in biomass burning aerosols, J. Chromatogr. A, 1200, 228-234, 2008.
- Majluf, F. Y., Krechmer, J. E., Daube, C., Knighton, W. B., Dyroff, C., Lambe, A. T., Fortner, E. C., Yacovitch,
 T. I., Roscioli, J. R., Herndon, S. C., Worsnop, D. R., and Canagaratna, M. R.: Mobile Near-Field
 Measurements of Biomass Burning Volatile Organic Compounds: Emission Ratios and Factor Analysis,
 Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 9, 383-390, 10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00194, 2022.
- Mann, H. B. and Whitney, D. R.: On a test of whether one of two random variables is stochastically larger than
 the other, Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 18, 50-60, 10.1214/aoms/1177730491, 1947.
- Mastral, A. M. and Callen, M. S.: A review on polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) emissions from energy
 generation, Environmental Science & Technology, 34, 3051-3057, 2000.
- Nagai, K., Uranbileg, B., Chen, Z., Fujioka, A., Yamazaki, T., Matsumoto, Y., Tsukamoto, H., Ikeda, H., Yatomi,
 Y., and Chiba, H.: Identification of novel biomarkers of hepatocellular carcinoma by high-definition mass
 spectrometry: Ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry
 and desorption electrospray ionization mass spectrometry imaging, Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 34,
 e8551, 2020.
- Nelson, R. M.: An evaluation of the carbon balance technique for estimating emission factors and fuel
 consumption in forest fires, US Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest
 Experiment ...1982.
- Ni, H., Huang, R.-J., Pieber, S. M., Corbin, J. C., Stefenelli, G., Pospisilova, V., Klein, F., Gysel-Beer, M., Yang,
 L., and Baltensperger, U.: Brown carbon in primary and aged coal combustion emission, Environmental
 Science & Technology, 55, 5701-5710, 2021.
- Noe, S. M., Hüve, K., Niinemets, Ü., and Copolovici, L.: Seasonal variation in vertical volatile compounds air
 concentrations within a remote hemiboreal mixed forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3909-3926, 10.5194/acp12-3909-2012, 2012.
- Nomura, F., Tomonaga, T., Sogawa, K., Ohashi, T., Nezu, M., Sunaga, M., Kondo, N., Iyo, M., Shimada, H., and
 Ochiai, T.: Identification of novel and downregulated biomarkers for alcoholism by surface enhanced laser
 desorption/ionization-mass spectrometry, Proteomics, 4, 1187-1194, 2004.
- Oberschelp, C., Pfister, S., Raptis, C., and Hellweg, S.: Global emission hotspots of coal power generation, Nature
 Sustainability, 2, 113-121, 2019.
- Permar, W., Wang, Q., Selimovic, V., Wielgasz, C., Yokelson, R. J., Hornbrook, R. S., Hills, A. J., Apel, E. C.,
 Ku, I. T., and Zhou, Y.: Emissions of trace organic gases from Western US wildfires based on WE-CAN
 aircraft measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 126, e2020JD033838, 2021.
- Ren, Q. and Zhao, C.: Evolution of fuel-N in gas phase during biomass pyrolysis, Renewable and Sustainable
 Energy Reviews, 50, 408-418, 2015.
- Riva, M., Rantala, P., Krechmer, J. E., Peräkylä, O., Zhang, Y., Heikkinen, L., Garmash, O., Yan, C., Kulmala,
 M., Worsnop, D., and Ehn, M.: Evaluating the performance of five different chemical ionization techniques

- for detecting gaseous oxygenated organic species, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 12, 2403-2421,
 10.5194/amt-12-2403-2019, 2019.
- Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T.
 E., Pierce, J. R., and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking organic aerosols: Semivolatile emissions and photochemical aging, Sci, 315, 1259-1262, 2007.
- 810 Sarangi, B., Aggarwal, S. G., and Gupta, P. K.: Performance check of particle size standards within and after
 811 shelf-life using differential mobility analyzer, Journal of Aerosol Science, 103, 24-37, 2017.
- Sarkar, C., Sinha, V., Kumar, V., Rupakheti, M., Panday, A., Mahata, K. S., Rupakheti, D., Kathayat, B., and
 Lawrence, M. G.: Overview of VOC emissions and chemistry from PTR-TOF-MS measurements during the
 SusKat-ABC campaign: high acetaldehyde, isoprene and isocyanic acid in wintertime air of the Kathmandu
 Valley, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 3979-4003, 10.5194/acp-16-3979-2016, 2016.
- Schervish, M. and Donahue, N. M.: Peroxy radical chemistry and the volatility basis set, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20,
 1183-1199, 2020.
- Schneider, J., Weimer, S., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Helas, G., Gwaze, P., Schmid, O., Andreae, M., and
 Kirchner, U.: Mass spectrometric analysis and aerodynamic properties of various types of combustion-related
 aerosol particles, Int. J. Mass spectrom., 258, 37-49, 2006.
- Sengpiel, R., Di Marino, D., Blindert, M., and Wessling, M.: 7 Hydrotropic solutions for Fenton depolymerization
 of lignin, Extraction and Electrochemical Valorization of Lignin in Novel Electrolytes, 107, 2019.
- Simoneit, B. R., Rogge, W., Mazurek, M., Standley, L., Hildemann, L., and Cass, G.: Lignin pyrolysis products,
 lignans, and resin acids as specific tracers of plant classes in emissions from biomass combustion,
 Environmental science & technology, 27, 2533-2541, 1993.
- Stala-Szlugaj, K.: The demand for hard coal for households in Poland and the anti-smog bill, Archives of Mining
 Sciences, 63, 701-711, 2018.
- Stewart, G. J., Acton, W. J. F., Nelson, B. S., Vaughan, A. R., Hopkins, J. R., Arya, R., Mondal, A., Jangirh, R.,
 Ahlawat, S., Yadav, L., Sharma, S. K., Dunmore, R. E., Yunus, S. S. M., Hewitt, C. N., Nemitz, E., Mullinger,
 N., Gadi, R., Sahu, L. K., Tripathi, N., Rickard, A. R., Lee, J. D., Mandal, T. K., and Hamilton, J. F.:
 Emissions of non-methane volatile organic compounds from combustion of domestic fuels in Delhi, India,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2383-2406, 10.5194/acp-21-2383-2021, 2021a.
- Stewart, G. J., Nelson, B. S., Acton, W. J. F., Vaughan, A. R., Farren, N. J., Hopkins, J. R., Ward, M. W., Swift,
 S. J., Arya, R., Mondal, A., Jangirh, R., Ahlawat, S., Yadav, L., Sharma, S. K., Yunus, S. S. M., Hewitt, C.
 N., Nemitz, E., Mullinger, N., Gadi, R., Sahu, L. K., Tripathi, N., Rickard, A. R., Lee, J. D., Mandal, T. K.,
 and Hamilton, J. F.: Emissions of intermediate-volatility and semi-volatile organic compounds from
 domestic fuels used in Delhi, India, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2407-2426, 10.5194/acp-21-2407-2021, 2021b.
- Stockwell, C. E., Veres, P. R., Williams, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Characterization of biomass burning emissions
 from cooking fires, peat, crop residue, and other fuels with high-resolution proton-transfer-reaction time-offlight mass spectrometry, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 845-865, 10.5194/acp-15-845-2015, 2015.
- 841 Sun, Y., Chen, Y., Sun, C., Liu, H., Wang, Y., and Jiang, X.: Analysis of volatile organic compounds from patients
- and cell lines for the validation of lung cancer biomarkers by proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry,
 Analytical Methods, 11, 3188-3197, 2019.
- Tao, S., Ru, M., Du, W., Zhu, X., Zhong, Q., Li, B., Shen, G., Pan, X., Meng, W., and Chen, Y.: Quantifying the
 rural residential energy transition in China from 1992 to 2012 through a representative national survey,
 Nature Energy, 3, 567-573, 2018.
- 847 Teunissen, C., Koel-Simmelink, M., Pham, T., Knol, J., Khalil, M., Trentini, A., Killestein, J., Nielsen, J., Vrenken,
 848 H., and Popescu, V.: Identification of biomarkers for diagnosis and progression of MS by MALDI-TOF mass
 849 spectrometry, Multiple Sclerosis Journal, 17, 838-850, 2011.
- Tkacik, D. S., Robinson, E. S., Ahern, A., Saleh, R., Stockwell, C., Veres, P., Simpson, I. J., Meinardi, S., Blake,
 D. R., Yokelson, R. J., Presto, A. A., Sullivan, R. C., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: A dual-chamber
 method for quantifying the effects of atmospheric perturbations on secondary organic aerosol formation from

- biomass burning emissions, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122, 6043-6058,
 10.1002/2016jd025784, 2017.
- Tong, Y., Pospisilova, V., Qi, L., Duan, J., Gu, Y., Kumar, V., Rai, P., Stefenelli, G., Wang, L., Wang, Y., Zhong,
 H., Baltensperger, U., Cao, J., Huang, R.-J., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Slowik, J. G.: Quantification of solid fuel
 combustion and aqueous chemistry contributions to secondary organic aerosol during wintertime haze events
 in Beijing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 9859-9886, 10.5194/acp-21-9859-2021, 2021.
- Tritten, L., Keiser, J., Godejohann, M., Utzinger, J., Vargas, M., Beckonert, O., Holmes, E., and Saric, J.:
 Metabolic profiling framework for discovery of candidate diagnostic markers of malaria, Scientific reports,
 3, 2769, 2013.
- Wang, D. S., Lee, C. P., Krechmer, J. E., Majluf, F., Tong, Y., Canagaratna, M. R., Schmale, J., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
 Baltensperger, U., Dommen, J., El Haddad, I., Slowik, J. G., and Bell, D. M.: Constraining the response
 factors of an extractive electrospray ionization mass spectrometer for near-molecular aerosol speciation,
 Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 14, 6955-6972, 10.5194/amt-14-6955-2021, 2021.
- Wang, T., Li, K., Bell, D. M., Zhang, J., Cui, T., Surdu, M., Baltensperger, U., Slowik, J. G., Lamkaddam, H.,
 and El Haddad, I.: Large contribution of in-cloud production of secondary organic aerosol from biomass
 burning emissions, npj Climate and Atmospheric Science, 7, 149, 2024.
- Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Veres, P., Gilman, J., Kuster, W. C., Burling, I., Yokelson, R., and de Gouw, J. A.:
 VOC identification and inter-comparison from laboratory biomass burning using PTR-MS and PIT-MS, Int.
 J. Mass spectrom., 303, 6-14, 10.1016/j.ijms.2010.12.002, 2011.
- Weber, K. T. and Yadav, R.: Spatiotemporal trends in wildfires across the Western United States (1950–2019),
 Remote Sensing, 12, 2959, 2020.
- Weimer, S., Alfarra, M. R., Schreiber, D., Mohr, M., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Organic aerosol
 mass spectral signatures from wood-burning emissions: Influence of burning conditions and wood type, J.
 Geophys. Res., 113, 10.1029/2007jd009309, 2008.
- White, R., Pulford, E., Elliot, D. J., Thurgood, L. A., and Klebe, S.: Quantitative mass spectrometry to identify
 protein markers for diagnosis of malignant pleural mesothelioma, Journal of proteomics, 192, 374-382, 2019.
- Wiedensohler, A., Wiesner, A., Weinhold, K., Birmili, W., Hermann, M., Merkel, M., Müller, T., Pfeifer, S.,
 Schmidt, A., and Tuch, T.: Mobility particle size spectrometers: Calibration procedures and measurement
 uncertainties, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 52, 146-164, 2018.
- Wilcoxon, F.: Individual Comparisons by Ranking Methods, Biometrics Bulletin, 1, 80-83, 10.2307/3001968,
 1945.
- Williams, A. P., Allen, C. D., Macalady, A. K., Griffin, D., Woodhouse, C., Meko, D. M., Swetnam, T. W.,
 Rauscher, S. A., Seager, R., and Grissino-Mayer, H. D.: Temperature as a potent driver of regional forest
 drought stress and tree mortality, 2012.
- Wu, D., Zheng, H., Li, Q., Jin, L., Lyu, R., Ding, X., Huo, Y., Zhao, B., Jiang, J., and Chen, J.: Toxic potencyadjusted control of air pollution for solid fuel combustion, Nature Energy, 7, 194-202, 2022.
- Yuan, B., Koss, A. R., Warneke, C., Coggon, M., Sekimoto, K., and de Gouw, J. A.: Proton-Transfer-Reaction
 Mass Spectrometry: Applications in Atmospheric Sciences, Chem Rev, 117, 13187-13229,
 10.1021/acs.chemrev.7b00325, 2017.
- Zhang, J. and Smith, K. R.: Household air pollution from coal and biomass fuels in China: measurements, health
 impacts, and interventions, Environ. Health Perspect., 115, 848-855, 2007.
- Zhang, J., Li, K., Wang, T., Gammelsæter, E., Cheung, R. K., Surdu, M., Bogler, S., Bhattu, D., Wang, D. S., and
 Cui, T.: Bulk and molecular-level composition of primary organic aerosol from wood, straw, cow dung, and
 plastic burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 14561-14576, 2023.
- Zhang, J., Smith, K., Ma, Y., Ye, S., Jiang, F., Qi, W., Liu, P., Khalil, M., Rasmussen, R., and Thorneloe, S.:
 Greenhouse gases and other airborne pollutants from household stoves in China: a database for emission
 factors, Atmos. Environ., 34, 4537-4549, 2000.

- Zhang, X., Xu, J., Zhai, L., and Zhao, W.: Characterization of Aerosol Properties from the Burning Emissions of
 Typical Residential Fuels on the Tibetan Plateau, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 14296-14305,
 10.1021/acs.est.2c04211, 2022.
- Zhao, B., Wang, S., Donahue, N. M., Jathar, S. H., Huang, X., Wu, W., Hao, J., and Robinson, A. L.: Quantifying
 the effect of organic aerosol aging and intermediate-volatility emissions on regional-scale aerosol pollution
 in China, Scientific reports, 6, 28815, 2016.
- Zhao, N., Li, B., Ahmad, R., Ding, F., Zhou, Y., Li, G., Zayan, A. M. I., and Dong, R.: Dynamic relationships
 between real-time fuel moisture content and combustion-emission-performance characteristics of wood
 pellets in a top-lit updraft cookstove, Case Studies in Thermal Engineering, 28, 10.1016/j.csite.2021.101484,

909

2021.