# Development of an integrated analytical platform for clay mineral separation, characterization and K-Ar dating

<sup>3</sup> Marie Gerardin<sup>1</sup>, Gaetan Milesi<sup>1</sup>, Julien Mercadier<sup>1</sup>, Michel Cathelineau<sup>1</sup>, Danièle Bartier<sup>1</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Université de Lorraine, CNRS, GeoRessources, 54520 Nancy, France

5 Correspondence to: Marie Gerardin (marie.gerardin@univ-lorraine.fr)

Abstract. Isotopic dating is a valuable method to constrain the timing of lithospheric processes: geodynamic episodes, ore 6 7 deposition and geothermal regimes. The K-Ar dating technique has the main advantage of being applied to ubiquitous K-8 bearing minerals that crystallize in various temperatures, from magmatic to low temperatures. Clays are of significant interest 9 among all K-bearing minerals, as they crystallize during various hydro-thermo-dynamic processes. Nonetheless, the dating of illites by the K-Ar method is not straightforward. K-Ar dates on illite usually rely on a mixed isotopic signal referring to 10 11 various illitic populations that might have experienced isotopic resetting or re-crystallization processes. Therefore, reliable K-12 Ar dates on illite depend on (1) the grain size separation of large amounts of clay fractions, (2) the study of the morphology, 13 mineralogy and crystallography, (3) the determination of precise K-Ar dates on each clay size fraction and (4) the meaningful 14 interpretation of ages using either end-member ages or the Illite-Age-Analysis (IAA) method. This paper describes the 15 instrumentation and methods recently developed at the GeoRessources laboratory of the University of Lorraine to obtain valuable ages on illite mixtures. 16

# 17 1 Introduction

The K-Ar dating method is based on the principle of the natural radioactive decay of the parent element <sup>40</sup>K to the daughter 18 19 element <sup>40</sup>Ar. Potassium is an abundant element in the lithosphere. Its half-life of 1.248 ± 0.004 Ga (Grau Malonda and Grau 20 Carles, 2002; Steiger and Jäger, 1977) is optimal for dating events over a wide range of geological times, from the early 21 Precambrian to the Holocene (Dalrymple and Lanphere, 1969; Renne, 2000). Its abundance allows for detectable radiogenic 22 argon accumulated after only several thousands of years. Argon is a noble gas, thus weakly bound to the mineral structure, but 23 is retained in minerals because of its large atomic size (McDougall and Harrison, 1988, 1999). After sample melting, argon is 24 measured by mass spectrometry (greatly developed after Nier's pioneering work in the 50's (Aldrich and Nier, 1948; Nier, 25 1940, 1950)). The application to many rock-forming minerals, the large range of geological times explored, and the reliability of the quantitative determination of K and <sup>40</sup>Ar make the K-Ar a popular dating technique developed worldwide. The early 26 27 development of the K-Ar dating technique is extensively detailed by Schaeffer and Zähringer (1966) and by Dalrymple and 28 Lanphere (1969). More recently, Guillou et al. (2021) provided an extensive review of the protocol and method along with its 29 <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>39</sup>Ar derived version (fully described by McDougall and Harrison (1988)). Although the fundamentals of the method are 30 not reiterated here, some key considerations are highlighted for a clear understanding of this work.

The method relies on several assumptions. It is considered that (1) the decay of the parent nuclide,  ${}^{40}$ K, is not affected by 31 32 temperature or pressure changes, (2) the  ${}^{40}$ K/K ratio (0.01167%) is constant over geological times, (3) the total amount of radiogenic  ${}^{40}$ Ar measured in the mineral is produced by the decay of  ${}^{40}$ K, (4) the isotopic ratios of atmospheric argon remained 33 34 unchanged over geological times (Renne et al., 2009) and (5) the mineral or rock evolved as a closed system that did not loss 35 or gain potassium or radiogenic argon (other than by radioactive decay). The latter might be false if the system has a complex 36 geological and thermal history, but the resulting age can still provide valuable information on the thermal history, especially 37 by using the Ar-Ar step-heating technique (McDougall and Harrison, 1988) (more details about the comparison of K-Ar and 38 Ar-Ar ages on illite can be found in Clauer et al. (2012)). Given these assumptions, the age calculated indicates the length of 39 time the daughter element has remained trapped in the mineral. This age relates to the crystallization event in the case of fast 40 cooling (e.g., unaltered volcanic rocks), the closure time for slow cooling rocks (e.g., plutonic, metamorphic) or 41 recrystallization during more recent geological hydrothermal or thermal episodes.

42 The main advantage of the K-Ar method is its application on K-rich minerals like phyllosilicates or feldspars, which crystallize 43 in a wide range of temperatures from low (100-300°C) to magmatic temperatures. Clay-type phyllosilicates are of particular 44 interest considering their ubiquity at the scale of the Earth system (Fig. 1). Since their chemistry depends on physical conditions 45 (pressure and temperature) and on the type of host rocks, clay minerals are helpful markers of low-temperature geological 46 processes such as basin diagenesis (Meunier et al., 2004; Perry, 1974), low-temperature metamorphism (Akker et al., 2021; 47 Reuter and Dallmeyer, 1989), brittle fault deformation (Kralik et al., 1987; Monié et al., 2023) or hydrothermalism (Brockamp 48 and Clauer, 2013; Zwingmann et al., 1998). The study of clay minerals, including their geochronology, is a powerful tool to 49 constrain physical and chemical processes occurring at the micro- and nanoscale, improving our understanding of the evolution 50 of the Earth system (Fig. 1).



- 52 Fig. 1 – Potential of the K-Ar clay dating for the understanding of geological processes at different scale modified after (Velde and
- 53 Meunier, 2008)

51

54 One of the main concern about clay minerals dating is the interpretation of their ages, since the data result often from a mixture 55 of different clay populations (broadly mentioned in Clauer's work, (Clauer, 2020a, b) for the latest), which could be affected 56 by partial isotopic and chemical resetting or by a recurrent crystallization history. As clearly explained in Clauer (2020a) and 57 Hueck et al. (2022) latest reviews, K-Ar dating should only be performed on illite fractions properly separated by grain size. 58 Mineralogical, morphological, crystallographic and geochemical information are also required prior to dating to interpret the 59 ages. Those conditions substantiate the need to develop an integrated method coupling efficient clay separations and 60 characterization with K-Ar dating. 61 This paper presents the platform developed at the GeoRessources laboratory (University of Lorraine) to date clay minerals

62 using the K-Ar method. It includes (1) a detailed description of the argon extraction line and its technical characteristics along 63 with the methodology to quantify radiogenic argon and (2) the specificity of the separation protocol and the characterization 64 steps necessary to obtain valuable ages on illites. Finally, the method to extrapolate ages from illite mixed populations is 65 presented and discussed in the light of literature data.

# 66 2 Description of the Argon extraction line and Methodology

# 67 2.1 Age calculation

The K-Ar age calculation is based on two separately determined analytical values, the content of potassium (<sup>40</sup>K, radioactive 68 parent) and of radiogenic argon (<sup>40</sup>Ar\*, radiogenic daughter). Both analyses are destructive, therefore, two separate aliquots 69 70 from the same sample are used. The homogeneity of these aliquots is granted by (1) preparing the two aliquots on the same 71 day (same temperature and humidity conditions) and (2) using a large mass of aliquots to neglect the mineral heterogeneities. 72 Classically, 100 mg is required for  $%K_2O$  determination by absorption spectroscopy, regardless of the age or potassium 73 content. For argon measurements, the mass minimum depends on the argon content (i.e., potassium concentration and age). A 74 minimum of 1 to 3 mg is required for the clay fractions ( $<2\mu$ m) and 20 mg for materials with larger particle sizes (see 0 for 75 details about mass accepted in the extraction line).

76 The equation to calculate the age is derived from the fundamental law of radioactive decay and is expressed as follows:

$$t [Ma] = \frac{1}{\lambda} ln \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_{\epsilon} + \lambda_{\epsilon}'} \frac{n_s^{40^*Ar}(t)[at/g]}{n_s^{40K}(t)[at/g]} \right) \times 10^{-6}$$
(1)

where *t* is the age expressed in million annus (Ma) (Nomade, 2017),  $n_S^{40^*Ar}(t)$  is the number of atoms of  ${}^{40}$ Ar\* of argon per gram of sample at a time *t*,  $n_S^{40K}(t)$  is the number of atoms of the radioactive  ${}^{40}$ K per gram of sample at a time *t* and  $\lambda$  is the total decay constant of  ${}^{40}$ K equal to  $\lambda_{\epsilon} + \lambda'_{\epsilon} + \lambda_{\beta}$  (see Table 1 listing the values and descriptions of constants used for the age calculation). If not specified, all errors reported in this paper are expressed as one standard deviation.

Decay	Decay factor	Value	Reference	
$^{40}$ K to $^{40}$ Ca by $\beta$ -	$\lambda_{eta}$	$4.96 \times 10^{-10}$	(Staigar and Lägar 1077)	
$^{40}\mathrm{K}$ to $^{40}\mathrm{Ar}$ by electron capture	$\lambda_\epsilon+\lambda'_\epsilon$	$5.81 \times 10^{-11}$	(Steiger and Jager, 1977)	
Isotopic abundances	Description	Value	Reference	
%( <sup>40</sup> K/K)	Isotope 40 of potassium	0.01167%	(Garner et al., 1975)	
$\%(^{40}Ar/Ar)$	Isotope 40 of argon	99.60%	(Lee et al., 2006)	

# 81 Table 1 – Decay constants of <sup>40</sup>K and isotopic abundances of K and Ar.

# 82 2.2 Measurement of the K<sub>2</sub>O content

The potassium content is determined by optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) at the SARM (Service d'Analyse des Roches et des Minéraux) of the CRPG laboratory at Nancy, France. The reliability of their measurements is based on repeatable experiments on standard materials. The uncertainty on  $K_2O$  expressed in weight % in the 1-10% quantity range (typical of micas) is about 1.5% (2 $\sigma$ ) for 100mg samples.

# 87 **2.3 Description of the extraction line for argon quantification**

Argon release is performed on an extraction-purification noble gas line. Preparation line specifications depend on each laboratory (Boulesteix et al., 2020; Cattani et al., 2019; Charbit et al., 1998a; Gillot and Cornette, 1986; Morgan et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2017; Rouchon et al., 2008) but commonly comprise an induction furnace connected to a gas purification zone connected to a mass spectrometer. A schematic diagram of the ultra-high vacuum line developed at GeoRessources is shown in Fig. 2.



# 93 94

Fig. 2 - Schematic representation of the argon extraction line from the induction furnace to the mass spectrometer

Aliquots of samples are packed in a bending consisting of a 99.95% pure copper foil. They are placed under vacuum in individual pits of the carousel above the furnace. The samples are vacuum pumped using a turbo-molecular pump during 24h.

97 This procedure was found to be equivalent to 24h backing at 105°C to remove adsorbed water from the sample (see 0).

Each of the ten pits connects to the furnace aperture by manual rotation of the carousel, dropping the sample by gravity intothe Ta crucible. The carousel is isolated from the furnace by an UHV gate valve during heating.

100 The heating-melting setup is identical to the one originally settled in LSCE (Guillou et al., 2021) and in GEOPS (Gillot and

101 Cornette, 1986) (Paris, France) for K-Ar dating. The samples are heated using a high-frequency furnace, the induction coil

102 ringing a quartz tube containing a Ta crucible mounted on a Mo stool (to avoid direct contact with the quartz tube).

103 Gases other than argon that are released during sample melting could be water vapor, hydrogen, oxygen, nitrogen, 104 hydrocarbons, carbon dioxide and rare gases such as helium or krypton. The purification line next to the furnace is designed to trap all these gases in order to introduce only purified argon into the mass-spectrometer. The purification process is a two 105 106 stage one, firstly extracted gases are exposed to a Ti sublimation pump and secondly to a GP50 SorbAC coupled to a St707 107 cartridge from SAES Getter operating at room temperature (see performance in Guillou et al., 2021). A charcoal trap cooled 108 at -196°C (liquid nitrogen temperature) is used to transfer the gas from the furnace through the purification line. This cold trap 109 is also useful for gases separation since H and He are not physio-sorbed on the charcoal surface. The efficiency of the purification is checked for each sample (see section 2.5). 110

After 45 minutes of gas clean-up, Ar isotopes 36, 38 and 40 are simultaneously analyzed using an ARGUS VI multi-collector 111 112 mass spectrometer, that is a magnetic mass sector with a Nier-type source designed for operation in a static mode (Mark et al., 113 2009). The ARGUS VI spectrometer has 5 Faraday detectors and one CDD detector. Argon 40 is measured on the H2 Faraday cup fitted with a 10<sup>11</sup> ohm resistor. Argon isotopes 36 and 38 are measured on the AX and the L2 cups respectively, both 114 amplified with  $10^{12}$  ohm resistors. All collectors are cross-calibrated by scanning the  $^{40}$ Ar signal onto each cup. To compare 115 one analysis to another, the analyzed volume has to be fixed and comprises the analyzing chamber of the mass spectrometer 116 and the adjacent volume containing a Zr-Al getter and a charcoal trap. For argon analysis, the trap current is set at 170 µA with 117 118 an electron potential of 60 eV. The acceleration potential is 4.5 kV.

# 119 2.4 Signal corrections

- 120 Figure 3 shows the signal measured on the H2 cup ( $^{40}$ Ar) of a dose of atmospheric argon entering the mass-spectrometer at t<sub>0</sub>.
- 121 The gas equilibrium state is achieved 8 seconds after  $t_0$ . An exponential regression is performed on the signal integrated over
- 122 a period of 120 seconds to determine the signal at  $t_0$ .



123

124 Fig. 3 – Intensity measured on the H2 cup (<sup>40</sup>Ar) of 1DE (atmospheric argon) entering the mass-spectrometer

- 125 The sensitivity of the spectrometer depends on the ionization capacities of the source (Loveless and Russell 1969; Werner
- 126 1974; Holst et al., 1999; Rüedenauer 1972), on the gas pressure inside the analyzing chamber (Burnard and Farley, 2000) and 127
- on the detector type (Turrin et al., 2010). The intensity I(xAr) has then to be corrected for the gas pressure effect.
- The pressure dependence is assessed via the analysis of increasing number of calibrated air doses named "Dose-Equivalent" 128
- 129 (DE) (Charbit et al., 1998). One DE is determined as the total amount of atmospheric argon contained in one aliquot expanded
- from an air container into the mass-spectrometer (see 0 for details about the air container). The intensity of the isotope 40 and 130
- 131 the ratio 40/36 measured by the spectrometer from 1 to 5 accumulated DE (replicated) are shown in Fig. 4. Note that the
- 132 depletion of the container for 5 doses is negligible considering isotopic measurement errors.





135 Fig. 4 – Signal of <sup>40</sup>Ar (black dots) and <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>36</sup>Ar (red square) as a function of the number of accumulated DE sampled from the air 136 container. The grav line is the linear fit applied to the experimental data. The maximum number of DE has been chosen 137 considering the saturation value of the cups (400 pA for 40Ar cup and 40 pA for 38Ar and 36Ar) and the experimental handling 138 capacities.

- 139 According to Fig. 4, the pressure dependence in the mass spectrometer is linear. The relation between the number of DE and
- 140 the <sup>40</sup>Ar signal is given by the following equation:

$$I({}^{40}Ar)(pA) = 51.66 \times DE - 0.64$$

$$(R^2 = 0.99991)$$
(2)

141 Note that this equation is valid when  $I({}^{40}Ar) > 0.01$  pA corresponding to the lowest signal measurable in the mass spectrometer 142 (see the blank measurements at section 2.6 Blank measurements). The mass discrimination due to the ionization capacities of

- 143 the electronic source does not significantly depend on the argon pressure. Considering uncertainties, the ratio 40/36 of
- 144 atmospheric argon measured by the mass spectrometer (see Fig. 4) is considered to be constant at  $302 \pm 1$ .

#### 2.5 Analysis procedure 145

- 146 The method applied here is based on the unspiked technique described by Cassignol and Gillot (1982). The argon measurement
- procedure is based on two independent analyses. The first is the measurement of the total <sup>40</sup>Ar released from the sample (Fig. 147
- 5 (a)). The ratio  $R_S$  of the <sup>40</sup>Ar to <sup>36</sup>Ar and the  $DE_S$  ( $I_S^{40}$  corrected from the pressure effect) are calculated. The second analysis 148
- 149 is the measurement of a calibrated aliquot of atmospheric argon for the quantitative determination of the number of <sup>40</sup>Ar\*

- 150 atoms released from the sample (Fig. 5 (b)). During this step, the atmospheric <sup>40</sup>Ar/<sup>36</sup>Ar ratio is measured by the mass
- 151 spectrometer. This ratio is used directly to calculate the proportion of radiogenic argon  $n_S^{40^*}$  [%]. Also, the  $DE_p$  ( $I_p^{40}$  corrected
- 152 from the pressure effect) is calculated.



# Fig. 5 – The two-step protocol for measuring radiogenic argon from rock samples (schematic representation derived from Gillot et al., 2006). *R* is the ratio of the m/z 40 to 36, $n_5^{40^*}$ [%] is the proportion of radiogenic argon in the sample and *DE* is the number of "Dose-Equivalent" deduced from the pressure calibration.

156 The number of <sup>40</sup>Ar\* atoms released from sample per gram is then:

$$n_{S}^{40^{\circ}Ar}(t)[at/g] = n_{S}^{40^{\circ}}[\%] \times \frac{DE_{S}}{DE_{P}} \times n_{DE} \times \frac{1}{m}$$
(3)

157 Where *m* is the mass (g) and  $n_{DE}$  is the number of atoms of <sup>40</sup>Ar contained in one DE, which is calculated from the analysis

158 of standard minerals. Here, this calculation relies on the analysis of 7 splits of HD-B1 (Biotite from the Bergell granodiorite

159 (Italy) (Fuhrmann et al., 1987; Schwarz and Trieloff 2007), split 26/7, grain size 200-500µm). We have recalculated the amount

160 of <sup>40</sup>Ar\* atoms per gram of *HD-B1* using the value of %K<sub>2</sub>O determined by SARM following our protocol (K<sub>2</sub>O = 9.52  $\pm$ 

161 0.01%, deviation of 0.6% from the published value, see Table 3) and the published age of  $t = 24.21 \pm 0.32$  Ma.  $n_{DE}$  was

- 162 determined with an uncertainty of 0.5% achieved from the analysis of 7 HD-B1 samples. This standard is periodically analyzed
- 163 (1 over 10 unknown samples) to evaluate the deviation of  $n_{DE}$  relative to the time. Also, the pressure calibration is reiterated
- 164 twice a year because of the depletion of the air container after the successive sampling of air aliquots.

165 The final check performed to validate an analysis is the verification of the efficiency of the gas cleanup. A mass scan over

166 oxygen pics is performed for each sample using the ARGUS VI mass spectrometer. Validation is made if the oxygen peak
 167 m/e=16 is equal to or lower than that obtained when analyzing an aliquot of air.

# 168 2.6 Blank measurements

169 Blank measurements are periodically performed (1 over 10 samples) to verify that their argon composition is equivalent to the 170 atmospheric one (i.e., the absence of radiogenic argon or any hydrocarbons or HCl remaining in the system). The protocol for blank measurement is exactly the same as for sample analyses. The results of the latest blank measurement are listed in Table 171 2. <sup>40</sup>Ar blank values are about 37 times less than those of 1 DE. The <sup>40</sup>Ar value of the unknowns are usually 10 times higher 172 173 than the procedural blank. Table 2 also presents the electronic blank of the ARGUS VI mass spectrometer (signals on the H2, AX and L2 faraday detectors during pumping in the analyzing chamber). Because the composition of this blank is atmospheric, 174 175 there is no need to deduce it from the signal of the sample. This induces that our measurement of the proportion of radiogenic argon  $(n_{c}^{40^{*}}[\%])$  is reduced. Our measurements so far lead to an underestimation from 1 to 10% of the proportion of the 176 radiogenic argon initially contained in the sample. The underestimation of this value depends on the <sup>40</sup>Ar intensity ratio 177 178 between the blank and the sample.

# 179 Table 2 – Intensity of line blanks compared to one DE

	I <sup>40</sup> (fA)	I <sup>38</sup> (fA)	I <sup>36</sup> (fA)	40/36	40/38
Electronic Blank	7.3±0.4	-0.2±0.2	-1.0±0.2	-	-
Furnace Blank*	1 358.8±3.1	0.8±0.2	4.7±0.3	291±17	1 793±585
1 DE*	50 682±5	31.9±0.2	168.7±0.3	301.3±0.6	1 597±13

180 \* signals corrected from the electronic blank

# 181 2.7 Reference materials

182 To our knowledge, no clay material is used as a reference material for K-Ar dating. Three phyllosilicates (muscovite and

- 183 glauconite) of different ages were chosen instead to validate the protocol for measuring potassium and argon:
- *GL-O*, the glauconite of Odin from the Cauville cliff (France), reference material (Boulesteix et al., 2020; Odin, 185
   1982);
- *BMus2*, a muscovite from the Bärhalde granite in the Black Forrest (Germany) (Rittmann, 1984), used as an in-house
   standard by (Schwarz and Trieloff, 2007);
- *PANXVII-3*: large muscovite selvage of quartz vein from Panasqueira (Portugal), in-house reference material dated
   by K-Ar (Snee et al., 1988) and more recently by Ar/Ar (Carocci et al., 2020).

190 Table 3 compares the ages obtained at GeoRessources to those from the literature of the three micas. The deviation from the

191 literature of ages is less than 0.6%, which is lower than the uncertainty on the individual ages. These results validate the

192 protocol used to measure potassium and radiogenic argon at GeoRessources.

# 193Table 3 – Comparison of potassium content and ages of reference materials from the literature to those obtained at the SARM194(CRPG) and GeoRessources

	%K2O	%K2O	Age (Ma)	Age (Ma)
	Lit.	SARM	Lit.	GeoR
HD-B1 (Fuhrmann et al., 1987; Schwarz and Trieloff,	$9.58\pm0.02$	$9.52\pm0.14$	$24.21\pm0.32$	-
2007)				
B/Mus2 (Rittmann, 1984; Schwarz and Trieloff, 2007)	10.20	$10.13\pm0.15$	328.5 ± 1.1	324.5 ± 3.2
GL-O (Odin, 1982)	$6.56\pm0.10$	$6.56\pm0.10$	95.0 ± 1.0	$95.3\pm1.0$
PANXVII-3 (Carocci et al., 2020; Snee et al., 1988)	[9.91:10.67] ± 0.15	$10.19\pm0.15$	$296.3\pm0.6$	295.3±1.5

# 195 3 Characterizing and Dating Illite

196 Clay fractions often contain a mixture of various illite polytypes (Bailey, 1966), from possibly different origins (detrital and 197 authigenic) and generations (Clauer, 2013). Common illite polytypes are 1Md, 1M and 2M<sub>1</sub> (Reynolds and Thomson, 1993). 198 In sedimentary units, the 2M<sub>1</sub> illite polytype is considered as a detrital component due to its inert behavior in anchizonal to 199 epizonal conditions (Bailey, 1966; Środoń and Eberl, 1984). It generally forms thicker platy crystallites shaped with irregular 200 edges because they are subjected to some dissolution and erosional processes since crystallization (Clauer, 2013). The 1Md 201 and 1M illite polytypes are considered as authigenic products formed under diagenetic to anchi-metamorphic conditions 202 (Grathoff and Moore, 1996). They generally are platy to fibrous or lath-shaped (Peltz et al., 2022).

The separation of illite polytypes of different origin and generations is commonly attempted by separating illites by their particle size. XRD analyses and SEM observations are then performed on each size fraction with the main objective of relating mineralogical, crystallographic and morphological characteristics of the various illite populations to their crystallization ages.

## 206 **3.1 Separation**

A large amount of clay material is needed to perform XRD and K-Ar dating (see section 2.1). The following procedure is adapted from conventional separation techniques, enabling the production of large amounts of datable clays.

209 The sample material is first gently crushed using a mortar or different grinding machines depending on the stiffness of the 210 sample. The so-crushed sample is added to deionized water for disaggregation in an ultrasonic bath for approximately 30 min. 211 First, the coarse fractions (typically  $<2\mu$ m, 2-5  $\mu$ m and 5-10  $\mu$ m) are separated using gravity sedimentation based on the 212 Stokes' law. For this, the disaggregated sample is poured into 2L cylinders placed in a thermo-statically controlled water tank. 213 The GeoRessources laboratory owns two in-house designed water tanks that can carry six 2L cylinders each. Both are fitted 214 with a tube system that connects the cylinders to a water pump, so to minimize the vibrations during sampling. To increase the 215 efficiency of the separations and the yield of the suspensions (especially  $<2 \mu m$ ), sampling of the same size fraction is 216 performed several times on (1) the remaining solution and (2) the sampled solution. The  $<2 \mu m$  fraction is used to separate 217 smaller fractions down to at least <0.1 µm, using the centrifuge Beckman-Coultier Avanti J-26S XP fitted with the JCF-Z

- 218 continuous flow rotor coupled to a calibrated peristaltic pump. This system allows for separating fractions directly during
- 219 centrifugation. The time required for the procedure depends only on the volume of the solution to be separated. For example,
- 220 the separation of 4L of solution generally lasts around 20 minutes, that is much faster than the several days needed with a
- 221 classical separation technique (Poppe et al., 2001).
- Fractions of  $1-2 \mu m$ ,  $0.5-1 \mu m$  and  $< 0.5 \mu m$  were separated using the following parameters derived from (Viola et al., 2018):
- 223 (3000 RPM; 350mL/min) for the  $< 1 \mu m$ , (1270 RPM; 250mL/min) for the  $< 0.5 \mu m$ , (6000 RPM; 230mL/min) for the < 0.2
- $224 \mu m$  and (10 000 RPM; 160mL/min) for the < 0.1  $\mu m$ . Supernatants were then collected by centrifugation using the same
- 225 centrifuge fitted with the JA-10 rotor with the following parameters: (6000 RPM; 10 min) for 1-2 μm, (7000 RPM; 10 min)
- 226 for 0.5-1  $\mu$ m and (9000 RPM; 20 min) for all fractions below 0.5  $\mu$ m. The remaining excess of water is removed by air drying
- 227 for a few days.
- 228 The efficiency of this separation protocol was monitored using a laser particle sizer and SEM observations. An example of the
- particle size proportion estimated in each separated fraction is shown in 0. As expected, a clear reduction of particle size is observed in the finer fraction.
- 231 Coupling the large capacities of the Stokes' benches to the centrifuge fitted with the continuous flow rotor increases our ability
- to collect large amounts of fractions, especially for the finest particles. For example, 200mg of the  $< 0.5 \mu m$  fraction was isolated from a 55g sample of fault gouge. Thus, this device and its associated separation protocol provide sufficient material
- 234 for carrying out mineralogical characterization by XRD and K-Ar geochronology.

## 235 3.2 Structural and mineralogical characterization

SEM observations are performed on a TESCAN VEGA III equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) at the SCMEM (Service Commun de Microscopies Electroniques et de Microanalyses) at GeoRessources. First, thin sections, chips or polished sections of the whole rock are observed to obtain some structural and textural information. Secondly, the separated fractions are observed to (1) verify the size of the particles and (2) identify the morphology in each fraction. In the example given in 0, particles of the coarse fractions are platy and hairy in the finer fractions. One can assume at this stage that the sample contains two different polytypes of illite, possibly formed during successive geological events.

242 The different clays minerals are characterized by XRD using a Bruker D2 phaser equipped with a copper tube (35 kV, 40 mA). 243 First, oriented mounts of the <2µm fractions are prepared following the methods of Moore and Reynolds (1997) and scanned over a range of 2 to  $40^{\circ}2\theta$  with a step size of  $0.02^{\circ}2\theta$  and a 1 second count time per step. The identification of clay minerals 244 245 is performed by comparing the diffractograms obtained under air-dry (AD), ethylene-glycol solvation (EG) and heating at 246 490°C (H). The comparison between (1) the AD and EG XRD diffractograms allows for the identification of the illite/smectite 247 mixed-layer and (2) the AD and H for kaolinite identification (Holtzapffel, 1986). The values of the illite crystallinity are 248 expressed by the Kübler index (KI) (Kübler, 1966). Randomly oriented powder are also mounted using a Si-low background 249 sample holder with a 0.5 mm sample cavity. The sample holder is filled by the side as recommended by Grathoff and Moore 250 (1996). The orientation randomness is checked by the ratio of the (002)/(020) illite peaks, which should be low for non-oriented

11

samples. This preparation is scanned over a range of 16 to  $38^{\circ} 2\theta$  with a step size of 0.01 °2 $\theta$  and a 3-second count time per step.

253 The peaks corresponding to the polytypes of illite (i.e. 2M1, 1M and 1Md) are identified using the approach proposed by 254 Grathoff and Moore (1996). The proportion of the 2M1 and 1M polytypes are determined by obtaining the ratio of the area (or the height) of each polytype peaks to the area of the peak at 2.58Å, which is common to all illite polytypes. If the sum of 2M1 255 256 and 1M is smaller than 100%, the difference could be attributed either to the presence of the 1Md polytype, or to a slight 257 preferential orientation. The obtained percentages of polytypes are cross-checked by comparing the experimental XRD diagram with the XRD patterns modeled by the Wildfire<sup>®</sup> software. If kaolinite is present in the clay fraction, the randomly 258 259 oriented powder mount is heated to 550°C to prevent kaolinite peak from interfering with the hkl peak at 2.56 Å, and is 260 rescanned.

# 261 **3.3 Dating interpretation**

# 262 3.3.1 Method

Each separated fraction is dated by the K-Ar method using the procedure described in the 2.5 section. As each fraction contains a mixture of illite polytypes, individual ages have little geological meaning. As mentioned in Hueck et al., (2022), two strategies can be applied to decipher geochronological information from the set of K-Ar ages.

The first is the limit age interpretation, generally applied when polytype quantification is not available. The date obtained on the finest fraction that most likely contains authigenic material, represents the maximum age of the illite authigenesis. Conversely, the date obtained on the coarsest fraction, most likely containing inherited material, represents the minimum age of the oldest illitization (detrital illite in the case of sedimentary units).

270 The second approach is the Illite-Age-Analysis (IAA) developed by Pevear (1992), in which end-member ages are determined 271 by extrapolating the set of individual dates. Assuming that the sample contains a mixture of two illite populations, the end-272 member dates reflect the ages of the oldest and youngest geological events. The proportion of the two populations of illite must be determined so to evaluate the dates at 0% and 100% of one population (100% and 0% of the other). The extrapolation 273 274 of end-member dates is generally performed using an error-weighted linear regression based on the least-square method applied 275 to the set of individual dates (Van der Pluijm et al., 2001). The 90% confidence intervals are also calculated to define the error 276 on the extrapolated ages. To consider the non-linearity of the age equation, some authors prefer to fit the data expressed as  $e^{\lambda t}$ 277 - 1 (Van der Pluijm et al., 2001; Ylagan et al., 2000; Haines and Van der Pluijm, 2023; Song and Sim, 2021), since it is a linear

278 function with the ratio of radiogenic argon to potassium.

# 279 3.3.2 Application

To validate the IAA method applied at GeoRessources, two sets of dates published in the literature were used: (1) the set of dates of synthetic mixtures of two pure illite fractions, 2M1 (Wards – 428.0  $\pm$  9.0 Ma) and 1M (RM-30 – 24.8  $\pm$  0.6 Ma)

- 282 prepared by Ylagan et al. (2002) and (2) the set of dates of metapelites from the Rhenish massif from the recent study of Hueck
- et al. (2022). The ages obtained by fitting either the set of nominal dates or the exponential terms are presented in Fig. 6 along
- 284 with literature data (measured and extrapolated ages). R-square is also shown in the figure as well as the number of dates
- available for the fit.







289 Considering the uncertainties, one can reasonably state that the extrapolated ages calculated in this work are similar to those 290 from the literature. Most notably, this present work successfully outputs the ages of the two pure illite fractions of Ylagan et 291 al. (2002). Also, fitting the data using the exponential term does not significantly change the ages, as expected according to 292 Ylagan et al. (2000).

In this work, fits are weighted by instrumental error ( $w_i=1/\sigma^2$ ,  $\sigma$  being the individual date error). Consequently, the uncertainties will be reduced when fitting precise dates (sample B-3-95). Larger uncertainties than the published ones can be explained either by a limited set of dates, by high individual uncertainties on the dates (see samples B-6-95 and B-9-95, Hueck et al., 2022) or by the difference in the level of the confidence interval, 90% in this work and that of Ylagan and 68% for Hueck's study. Thus, to obtain valuable extrapolated ages, we recommend the following considerations:

- The fit should be weighted by the instrumental error;
- The level of confidence should be 90%;
- The data set should contain at least 4 dates (i.e. 4 separated fractions) and ideally, they will be distributed between 0
   to 100% of one polytype.

Finally, it is essential to remember that the IAA method assumes that only two different polytypes are present in the illitic mixture. Also, the 2M1 illite must be either inherited or authigenic, and the 1M/1Md illite must be authigenetic (Hueck et al.,

2022). For the successful application of the IAA, those assumptions must be validated by complementary chemical, morphological and crystallographic data. More than two populations of illite might be detected either by the complementary analyses, or by the difficulty to obtain a proper linear regression on the set of dates obtained on the separated fractions (if each population relates to distinct geological events considering the precision of K-Ar dating).

# 308 4 Conclusion

Deciphering the origin of illites in a mixture of clays relies on (1) a proper separation of the clay material (at least 4 granulometric fractions) containing various proportions of illite material (ideally distributed between 0 to 100%), (2) a precise characterization of the mineralogy and the morphology by SEM, EDS and XRD of each size fraction, (3) the determination of a precise age of each size fraction by the K-Ar method and (4) the interpretation of the ages obtained by the IAA method enabling the identification of two geological events. Each of these four critical points was addressed in this paper in order to validate the method and protocols developed on the novel platforms of GeoRessources, which include the clay separation laboratory for large quantities and the K-Ar method.

316 This integrated method offers a powerful tool to provide constraints of various physical and chemical processes occurring at

317 the micro- and nanoscale, improving our understanding of the evolution of the Earth system.

# 318 Appendix

# 319 A Mass ranges accepted in the extraction line depending on expected ages

320 Sample masses are measured on a Mettler XSU105DU with a resolution of 0.00001g in the 0-41 g range, which is calibrated

321 every year by a certified company. The absolute error on the mass is set at 0.02mg.

322 The following plots illustrate the sample mass range accepted in the extraction line depending on age. Simulations are

323 performed considering (1) the range of pressure accepted in the mass spectrometer and (2) the K<sub>2</sub>O proportion of 10% (mica).

324 For example, the mass of a large particle-sized sample with an expected age of about 500 Ma should range between 20 mg and

325 50 mg. For a sample of an expected age of about 1 Ma, the mass minimum should be 50 mg.



Fig. A1 – Ranges of mass accepted in the extraction line at GeoRessources depending on the expected age of the sample. Simulations
 are performed for 50 (green) and 95% (red) of radiogenic argon. The dense pattern represents the area of interest.

This wide range of masses and ages accepted in the extraction line is possible using three protocols implying additional gas expansion steps (when the argon pressure is high). The black dotted lines separate the areas of application of each protocol.

# 331 B Comparison of clay weight loss during annealing and vacuum pumping

326

This experiment was carried out on aliquots of  $< 2 \mu m$  clay particles. Aliquots (a to d) have been weighed before and after annealing at 105°C under air or vacuum pumping. Storage under vacuum or in the furnace lasts from 1 to 25 days. The loss of mass of the samples is presented in the Table 4. Independently of the mass of the aliquots, the furnace (A or B) and the storage duration, the weight losses after 105°C annealing and after vacuum pumping are close: around 0.76% under annealing and 0.92% under vacuum.

Sample Name	Mass	Treatment	Days	weight-loss (%)
	> 1g	Annealing at 105°C Furnace A	1	0.76
			2	0.75
WC448[~2]2			3	0.73
WC440[~2]a			7	0.65
			8	0.70
			13	0.82
WC448[~2]b	0.341 g	Annealing at 105°C	1	0.76
WC446[<2]D		Furnace B	T	
WC448[~2]c	22.95 mg		1	0.92
WC440[\2]C		Turke melecular numping	25	0.78
WC448[~2]4	51.01 mg	rubo-molecular pumping	1	0.92
vvC446[<2]U			25	0.96

337 Table B1 – Comparison of clay weight loss during annealing and vacuum pumping

A similar experiment was performed on GL-O (Odin's standard glauconite) (Odin, 1982). The weight loss after a few days of vacuum pumping lies between 2.51 and 3.14% (8 samples studied), in agreement with Zimmermann and Odin (1979) who 340 found a weight loss of about 3% by dehydration of the glauconite. The weight loss measured after vacuum storage of the

341 samples is then due to the pumping of adsorbed gas and dehydration of samples.

342 Besides uncertainties, the slightly higher weight-loss values found on the clay aliquots stored under vacuum could be explained

343 by the dehydration of clays that might be more efficient under vacuum than in a furnace at 105°C. Also, LOI (Loss Of Ignition)

344 experiments performed at atmospheric pressure report the oxidation of  $Fe^{2+}$  that causes a slight weight increase, competing

345 with weight loss by dehydration (Vandenberghe et al., 2010).

# 346 C Filling the calibrated air container

The calibration sector consists of a dried air container connected to an expansion valve. The amount of argon in the expansion valve after *i* individual dose taken from the container  $(n_i)$  is given by:

$$\begin{cases} n_i = n_0 \times \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{v}{V_b}}\right)^l \\ n_0 = n_B \times \left(\frac{v}{V_b}\right) \end{cases}$$
(4)

Where  $n_0$  is the amount of argon in the first dose, v is the volume of the expansion valve (approximated),  $V_b$  is the volume of the container and  $n_B$  is the initial amount of argon in the container (after filling).

Before filling the air container, the amount of argon expected in the expansion valve has been calculated so as to obtain a DE signal comparable to that of the samples. According to eq.(4), the amount of argon required in the expansion valve determines the amount of air to introduce in the container. Thus, the calibrated container has been specifically designed for the ARGUS VI mass spectrometer measurements tuned with specific source parameters.

355 The desired pressure of air in the container is calculated as follows:

$$P_b(air) \approx \frac{R \times T}{\%(Ar) \times \%(^{40}Ar) \times N_A} \times \left(\frac{1}{v} + \frac{1}{V_b}\right) \times \frac{I_{aliquot}(^{40}Ar)}{S}$$
(5)

356 Where  $I_{aliquot}({}^{40}Ar)$  (fA) is the intensity measured by the mass spectrometer of  ${}^{40}Ar$  from the air aliquot, S (pA/at) is the 357 sensitivity of the mass spectrometer, %(Ar) is the proportion of argon in air and %( ${}^{40}Ar$ ) is the proportion of the isotope 40 of 358 argon.

To fill the container with this desired air pressure, a protocol of successive expansions and pumping was established using a set of two volumes  $V_o$  and  $V_x$ . Following this protocol, the air pressure in the container is:

$$P_{b} = P_{0} \times \frac{1}{\left(\frac{V_{0}}{V_{x}} + 1\right)^{2}} \times \frac{1}{\left(\frac{V_{b}}{V_{x}} + 1\right)} \times \frac{1}{\left(\frac{V_{0} + V_{x}}{V_{b}} + 1\right)^{2}}$$
(6)

$$P_b = 9.84 \pm 0.04 \ Pa$$

Note that this pressure is too low to be measured by the manometers available at the laboratory. The two volumes  $V_0$  and  $V_x$ were designed with the objective of minimizing the number of expansion and so, minimizing the error on the container pressure. Volumetric measurements yield values of 523.68±0.35 cc for  $V_0$  and 47.20±0.03 cc for  $V_x$ . The volume of the container was determined by pressure measurement of nitrogen expanded from  $V_0+V_x$  to  $V_b$  (see Fig. C1 Fig. (a) for a scheme of the experimental setup). Successive measurements allow for a precise determination of  $V_b$  of 1947.1±3.8 cc. The scheme of the experimental setup for filling the air container is presented in C1(b). Note that the replacement of the valve  $V_0$  by the SAES getter St172 does not significantly change the volume of the container (the volume difference is in the uncertainty).



369

368



- 371
- 372
- 373
- 515

# 374 D Laser diffraction analyses and SEM observations of the separated fractions

Granulometric analyses are performed at Chrono-Environnement (Besançon, France) on the LS230 Beckman Coulter laser particle size analyzer. An example of the particle size proportion estimated in each separated fraction is shown in Fig. D1. In the tested samples, only large clay particles were present, from 10  $\mu$ m down to < 0.5  $\mu$ m (an insignificant amount of clay were present in the < 0.2  $\mu$ m fraction). The proportion of fine particles increases in the finer fractions to 75% of particles below 0.5

 $\mu$ m in the so-called  $< 0.5 \mu$ m fraction. However, in the so-called 2-5  $\mu$ m fraction, 90% is above 2  $\mu$ m with 50% above 5  $\mu$ m.





381 Fig. D1 – Particle size distribution in each separated fraction calculated by the Mie's theory on laser diffraction data.

The results of the laser diffraction are based on calculations using the Mie's theory hypothesis (Eremin, 2005), which considers spherical particles, isotropic and homogeneously distributed. Since clay minerals are platy to hairy shaped, the calculation of the particle size is naturally biased. Granulometric analysis based on laser diffraction is then not used for clay size measurements but rather for controlling the separation step.

386 SEM observations were performed on the whole rock of a fault gouge sample and on separated 5-10  $\mu$ m, 2-5  $\mu$ m and < 2  $\mu$ m 387 fractions. The separated fractions were observed after dropping the fractions diluted with ethanol on an SEM pad. Two 388 morphologies can be identified on the whole rock: platy and hairy illites. The platy illite is dominant in the 5-10  $\mu$ m and the 389 hairy is dominant in the finer fraction. The 2-5  $\mu$ m seems to contain the two morphologies, including platy illite with a smaller 390 size than in the 5-10  $\mu$ m fraction.



Fig. D2 – SEM observations of a fault gouge sample, whole rock and separated fractions 5-10μm, 2-5μm and 2μm. All fractions were
 observed at the same magnitude except the whole rock.

# 393 Competing interests

394 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# 395 Author contribution

Marie Gerardin: Project administration, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation,
 Visualization, Writing – original draft preparation; Gaétan Milesi: Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft
 preparation; Julien Mercadier: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision; Michel Cathelineau: Funding acquisition,

399 Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision; Danièle Bartier: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original

400 draft preparation.

# 401 Acknowledgment

402 The argon extraction setup was mainly funded by the LabEx RESSOURCES21 by the University of Lorraine and from Carnot 403 and ERAMIN "MOSTMEG" funding programs. We want to acknowledge the important work of P. Robert (University of 404 Lorraine) on his initial contribution on the development of the argon extraction line. We thank W. Schwarz (University of 405 Heidelberg) for the discussions and the HD-B1 and B/Mus2 reference materials. We thank G. Viola (University of Bologna) 406 and R. Van der Lelij (NGU, Norway) for discussing clay mineral separation, notably using the centrifuge. We thank Marguerite 407 Perrey (Chrono-environnement, Besançon) for the laser diffraction analyses and the discussion about the size calculations. We 408 thank N. Clauer (University of Strasbourg) for discussion about clay minerals dating by the K-Ar method and for reviewing 409 this work. Many thanks to H. Guillou (LSCE, CEA Saclay) for the donation of his induction furnace and small Ti ceramic 410 furnaces, and for all the discussion about K-Ar dating. Finally, a special thank is addressed to J-C. Lefèvre (GEOPS, Université 411 Paris-Saclay) for the numerous discussions and meetings, and his significant technical help on the development of the argon 412 extraction line.

# 413 References

Akker, I. V., Berger, A., Zwingmann, H., Todd, A., Schrank, C. E., Jones, M. W. M., Kewish, C. M., Schmid, T. C., and
Herwegh, M.: Structural and chemical resetting processes in white mica and their effect on K-Ar data during low temperature
metamorphism, Tectonophysics, 800, 228708, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2020.228708, 2021.

417 Aldrich, L. T. and Nier, A. O.: Argon 40 in Potassium Minerals, Phys. Rev., 74, 876–877, 418 https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.74.876, 1948.

419 The Mineral Clays Clay Bailev. S. W.: Status of Clay Structures, Miner., 14. 1-23.420 https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.1966.0140101, 1966.

Boulesteix, T., Solé, J., Pi, T., and Cathelineau, M.: Reappraisal of the GL-O Reference Material for K-Ar Dating: New Insight
 from Microanalysis, Single-Grain and Milligram Ar Measurements, Geostand. Geoanalytical Res., n/a,
 https://doi.org/10.1111/ggr.12306, 2020.

424 Brockamp, O. and Clauer, N.: Hydrothermal and unexpected diagenetic alteration in Permian shales of the Lodève epigenetic 425 U-deposit of southern France, traced by K–Ar illite and K-feldspar dating, Chem. Geol., 357, 18–28, 426 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.08.009, 2013.

Burnard, P. G. and Farley, K. A.: Calibration of pressure-dependent sensitivity and discrimination in Nier-type noble gas ion
 sources: TECHNICAL BRIEF, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 1, n/a-n/a, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GC000038, 2000.

- 429 Carocci, E., Marignac, C., Cathelineau, M., Truche, L., Poujol, M., Boiron, M.-C., and Pinto, F.: Incipient Wolframite 430 Deposition at Panasqueira (Portugal): W Rutile and Tourmaline Compositions as Proxies for the Early Fluid Composition,
- 431 Econ. Geol., https://doi.org/10.5382/econgeo.4783, 2020.
- Cassignol, C. and Gillot, P.-Y.: Range and Effectiveness fo Unspiked Potassium-Argon Dating: Experimental Groundwork
   and Applications, John Wiley N. Y., 1982.
- Cattani, F., Gillot, P.-Y., Quidelleur, X., Hildenbrand, A., Lefèvre, J.-C., Boukari, C., and Courtade, F.: In-situ K-Ar dating
  on Mars based on UV-Laser ablation coupled with a LIBS-QMS system: Development, calibration and application of the
  KArMars instrument, Chem. Geol., 506, 1–16, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2018.12.010, 2019.
- 437 Charbit, S., Guillou, H., and Turpin, L.: Cross calibration of K–Ar standard minerals using an unspiked Ar measurement 438 technique, Chem. Geol., 150, 147–159, 1998.
- Clauer, N.: The K-Ar and 40Ar/39Ar methods revisited for dating fine-grained K-bearing clay minerals, Chem. Geol., 354,
  163–185, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2013.05.030, 2013.
- Clauer, N.: How Can Technical Aspects Help Improving K-Ar Isotopic Data of Illite-Rich Clay Materials into Meaningful
  Ages? The Case of the Dominique Peter Uranium Deposit (Saskatchewan, Canada), Geosciences, 10, 285,
  https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences10080285, 2020a.
- Clauer, N.: The post-Variscan tectonic-thermal activity in the southeastern metalliferous province of the French Massif Central
   revisited with K-Ar ages of illite, Ore Geol. Rev., 117, 103300, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oregeorev.2019.103300, 2020b.
- 446 Clauer, N., Zwingmann, H., Liewig, N., and Wendling, R.: Comparative 40Ar/39Ar and K–Ar dating of illite-type clay 447 minerals: A tentative explanation for age identities and differences, Earth-Sci. Rev., 115, 76–96, 448 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2012.07.003, 2012.
- Dalrymple, G. B. and Lanphere, M. A.: Potassium-argon dating: principles, techniques and applications to geochronology,
   Freeman, San Francisco., 1969.
- Eremin, Y. A.: SCATTERING | Scattering Theory, in: Encyclopedia of Modern Optics, edited by: Guenther, R. D., Elsevier,
  Oxford, 326–330, https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-369395-0/00682-5, 2005.
- Fuhrmann, U., Lippolt, H. J., and Hess, J. C.: Examination of some proposed K-Ar standards: 40Ar/39Ar analyses and conventional K-Ar data, Chem. Geol. Isot. Geosci. Sect., 66, 41–51, https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-9622(87)90027-3, 1987.
- Garner, E. L., Murphy, T. J., Gramlich, J. W., Paulsen, P. J., and Barnes, I. L.: Absolute isotopic abundance ratios and the atomic weight of a reference sample of potassium, J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. Sect. Phys. Chem., 79A, 713, https://doi.org/10.6028/jres.079A.028, 1975.
- Gillot and Cornette: The Cassignol technique for potassium—Argon dating, precision and accuracy: Examples from the Late
  Pleistocene to Recent volcanics from southern Italy, Chem. Geol. Isot. Geosci. Sect., 59, 205–222, 1986.
- Gillot, P.-Y., Hildenbrand, A., Lefevre, J.-C., and Albore-Livadie C.: The K/Ar dating method : principle, analytical techniques, and application to Holocene volcanic eruptions in Southern Italy, Acta Vulcanol., 18, 55–66, 2006.
- Grathoff, G. H. and Moore, D. M.: Illite Polytype Quantification using WILDFIRE© Calculated X-Ray Diffraction Patterns,
  Clays Clay Miner., 44, 835–842, https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.1996.0440615, 1996.

- 464 Grau Malonda, A. and Grau Carles, A.: Half-life determination of 40K by LSC, Appl. Radiat. Isot., 56, 153–156, 465 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0969-8043(01)00181-6, 2002.
- Guillou, H., Nomade, S., and Scao, V.: The 40K/40Ar and 40Ar/39Ar Methods, in: Paleoclimatology, edited by: Ramstein,
  G., Landais, A., Bouttes, N., Sepulchre, P., and Govin, A., Springer International Publishing, Cham, 73–87,
  https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24982-3\_5, 2021.

Haines, S. H. and van der Pluijm, B. A.: Fault Gouge Dating in the Spanish Pyrenees: Fault Ages, Thrust Propagation
Sequence, Wall-Rock Provenance, and Thermal Constraints, Tectonics, 42, e2022TC007251,
https://doi.org/10.1029/2022TC007251, 2023.

- Holst, B., Buckland, J. R., and Allison, W.: Spatial mapping in the electron-impact ion-source of a residual gas analyser,
  Vacuum, 53, 207–210, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-207X(98)00388-1, 1999.
- 474 Holtzapffel, T.: Minéraux argileux lattes : les smectites du domaine atlantique, Université d'Angers, 1986.
- 475 Hueck, M., Wemmer, K., Ksienzyk, A. K., Kuehn, R., and Vogel, N.: Potential, premises, and pitfalls of interpreting illite 476 -А study from the German Variscides, Earth-Sci. Rev., 232, 104133. argon dates case 477 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.104133, 2022.
- 478 Kralik, M., Klima, K., and Riedmüller, G.: Dating fault gouges, Nature, 327, 315–317, https://doi.org/10.1038/327315a0,
  479 1987.
- Kübler, B.: La cristallinite de l Illite et les zones tout a fait superieures du metamorphisme., Étages Tecton. Colloq. Neuchâtel
  1966 Baconniere Neuchâtel, 105–121, 1966.
- Lee, J.-Y., Marti, K., Severinghaus, J. P., Kawamura, K., Yoo, H.-S., Lee, J. B., and Kim, J. S.: A redetermination of the
  isotopic abundances of atmospheric Ar, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 70, 4507–4512,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2006.06.1563, 2006.
- Loveless, A. J. and Russell, R. D.: A strong-focussing lens for mass spectrometer ion sources, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.,
  3, 257–266, https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7381(69)85009-6, 1969.
- Mark, D. F., Barfod, D., Stuart, F. M., and Imlach, J.: The ARGUS multicollector noble gas mass spectrometer: Performance
   for 40Ar/39Ar geochronology, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 10, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC002643, 2009.
- 489 McDougall, I. and Harrison, T. M.: Geochronology and Thermochronology by the 40Ar/39Ar Method, Oxford University 490 Press., 1988.
- McDougall, I. and Harrison, T. M.: Geochronology and Thermochronology by the 40Ar/39Ar Method, Second Edition.,
   Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 282 pp., 1999.
- Meunier, A., Velde, B., and Zalba, P.: Illite K-Ar dating and crystal growth processes in diagenetic environments: a critical
   review, Terra Nova, 16, 296–304, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3121.2004.00563.x, 2004.
- Monié, P., Münch, P., Milesi, G., Bonno, M., and Iemmolo, A.: 40 Ar/ 39 Ar geochronology of crustal deformation, Comptes
   Rendus Géoscience, 356, 1–29, https://doi.org/10.5802/crgeos.209, 2023.
- Moore, D. M. and Reynolds, R. C.: X-Ray Diffraction and the Identification and Analysis of Clay Minerals, Oxf. Univ. Press,
   https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016756898501501, 1997.

- Morgan, L. E., Postma, O., Kuiper, K. F., Mark, D. F., van der Plas, W., Davidson, S., Perkin, M., Villa, I. M., and Wijbrans,
  J. R.: A metrological approach to measuring 40Ar\* concentrations in K-Ar and 40Ar/39Ar mineral standards: MEASURING
  40Ar\* CONCENTRATIONS, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 12, n/a-n/a, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GC003719, 2011.
- 502 Nier, A.: A Mass Spectrometer for Routine Isotope Abundance Measurements, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 11, 212–216, 503 https://doi.org/doi:10.1063/1.1751688, 1940.
- Nier, A.: A Redetermination of the Relative Abundances of the Isotopes of Carbon, Nitrogen, Oxygen, Argon, and Potassium,
  Phys. Rev., 77, 789–793, https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.77.789, 1950.
- Nomade, S.: Recommandation sur l'utilisation des unités de temps en sciences de la terre, Quaternaire, 28, 137–139,
  https://doi.org/10.4000/quaternaire.7972, 2017.
- 508 Odin, G. S.: Interlaboratory Standards for Dating Purposes, in: Numerical dating in stratigraphy, 123–148, 1982.
- 509 Peltz, M., Jacob, A., Grathoff, G. H., Enzmann, F., Kersten, M., and Warr, L. N.: A FIB-SEM Study of Illite Morphology in
- 510 Aeolian Rotliegend Sandstones: Implications for Understanding the Petrophysical Properties of Reservoir Rocks, Clays Clay
- 511 Miner., 70, 84–105, https://doi.org/10.1007/s42860-022-00174-9, 2022.
- 512 Perry, E. A.: Diagenesis and the K-Ar Dating of Shales and Clay Minerals, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 85, 827, 513 https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1974)85<827:DATKDO>2.0.CO;2, 1974.
- 514 Pevear, D. R.: Illite age analysis, a new tool for basin thermal history analysis, International symposium on water-rock 515 interaction, 1251–1254, 1992.
- Phillips, D., Matchan, E. L., Honda, M., and Kuiper, K. F.: Astronomical calibration of 40Ar/39Ar reference minerals using
  high-precision, multi-collector (ARGUSVI) mass spectrometry, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 196, 351–369,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.09.027, 2017.
- van der Pluijm, B. A., Hall, C. M., Vrolijk, P. J., Pevear, D. R., and Covey, M. C.: The dating of shallow faults in the Earth's
  crust, Nature, 412, 172–175, https://doi.org/10.1038/35084053, 2001.
- Poppe, L. J., Paskevich, V. F., Hathaway, J. C., and Blackwood, D. S.: A Laboratory Manual for X-Ray Powder Diffraction.
  Procedures Separation of the silt and clay fractions by centrifugation, USGS, 2001.
- Renne, P. R.: K-Ar and 40Ar/39Ar Dating, in: Quaternary Geochronology, American Geophysical Union (AGU), 77–100,
   https://doi.org/10.1029/RF004p0077, 2000.
- Renne, P. R., Cassata, W. S., and Morgan, L. E.: The isotopic composition of atmospheric argon and 40Ar/39Ar geochronology: Time for a change?, Quat. Geochronol., 4, 288–298, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2009.02.015, 2009.
- Reuter, A. and Dallmeyer, R. D.: K-Ar and <sup>40</sup> Ar/ <sup>39</sup> Ar dating of cleavage formed during very low-grade metamorphism: a
   review, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ., 43, 161–171, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1989.043.01.10, 1989.
- Reynolds, R. C. and Thomson, C. H.: Illite from the Potsdam Sandstone of New York: A Probable Noncentrosymmetric Mica
  Structure, Clays Clay Miner., 41, 66–72, https://doi.org/10.1346/CCMN.1993.0410107, 1993.
- Rittmann, K. L.: Argon in Hornblende, Biotit und Muskovit bei der geologischen Abkühlung 40Ar/39Ar Untersuchungen,
   Université de Heidelberg, 1984.

- Rouchon, V., Lefèvre, J.-C., Quidelleur, X., Guérin, G., and Gillot, P.-Y.: Nonspiked 40Ar and 36Ar quantification using a
  quadrupole mass spectrometer: A potential for K–Ar geochronology, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 270, 52–61,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijms.2007.11.009, 2008.
- 536 Rüedenauer, F. G.: Gas Scattering as a Limit to Partial-Pressure Sensitivity, J. Vac. Sci. Technol., 9, 215–215, 537 https://doi.org/10.1116/1.1316557, 1972.
- 538 Schaeffer, O. A. and Zähringer, J.: Potassium argon dating, Springer-Verlag, 278 pp., 1966.
- 539 Schwarz, W. H. and Trieloff, M.: Intercalibration of 40Ar–39Ar age standards NL-25, HB3gr hornblende, GA1550, SB-3, 540 HD-B1 biotite and BMus/2 muscovite, Chem. Geol., 242, 218–231, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2007.03.016, 2007.
- Snee, L. W., Sutter, J. F., and Kelly, W. C.: Thermochronology of economic mineral deposits; dating the stages of
  mineralization at Panasqueira, Portugal, by high-precision 40 / 39 Ar age spectrum techniques on muscovite, Econ. Geol., 83,
  335–354, https://doi.org/10.2113/gsecongeo.83.2.335, 1988.
- 544 Song, Y. and Sim, H.: Illite-Age-Analysis (IAA) for the Dating of Shallow Faults: Prerequisites and Procedures for 545 Improvement, Minerals, 11, 1162, https://doi.org/10.3390/min11111162, 2021.
- 546 Środoń, J. and Eberl, D. D.: Illite, in: 12. ILLITE, vol. 13, Micas. Rev. Mineral, 495–544, 547 https://doi.org/10.1515/9781501508820-016, 1984.
- 548 Steiger, R. and Jäger, E.: Subcommission on geochronology: convention on the use of decay constants in geo-and 549 cosmochronology, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 36, 359–362, 1977.
- Turrin, B. D., Swisher, C. C., and Deino, A. L.: Mass discrimination monitoring and intercalibration of dual collectors in noble
   gas mass spectrometer systems, Geochem. Geophys. Geosystems, 11, https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GC003013, 2010.
- Vandenberghe, R. E., de Resende, V. G., da Costa, G. M., and De Grave, E.: Study of loss-on-ignition anomalies found in ashes from combustion of iron-rich coal, Fuel, 89, 2405–2410, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2010.01.022, 2010.
- Velde, B. and Meunier, A.: The Origin of Clay Minerals in Soils and Weathered Rocks, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin,
  Heidelberg, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-75634-7, 2008.
- Viola, G., Torgersen, E., Mazzarini, F., Musumeci, G., Lelij, R., Schönenberger, J., and Garofalo, P. S.: New Constraints on
   the Evolution of the Inner Northern Apennines by K-Ar Dating of Late Miocene-Early Pliocene Compression on the Island of
   Elba, Italy, Tectonics, 37, 3229–3243, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018TC005182, 2018.
- Werner, H. W.: A study on mass discrimination in a magnetic sector mass spectrometer, Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys., 14,
  189–203, https://doi.org/10.1016/0020-7381(74)80007-0, 1974.
- Ylagan, R. F., Pevear, D. R., and Vrolijk, P. J.: Discussion of "Extracting K-Ar ages from shales: a theoretical test," Clay
   Miner., 35, 599–604, https://doi.org/10.1180/000985500546918, 2000.
- Ylagan, R. F., Kim, C. S., Pevear, D. R., and Vrolijk, P. J.: Illite polytype quantification for accurate K-Ar age determination,
  Am. Mineral., 87, 1536–1545, https://doi.org/10.2138/am-2002-11-1203, 2002.
- Zimmermann, J.-L. and Odin, G. S.: Cinétique de la libération de l'argon de l'eau et des composés carbonés dans le matériel
  de référence glauconite GL-O, Bull. Minéralogie, 102, 48–55, https://doi.org/10.3406/bulmi.1979.7250, 1979.

- 567 Zwingmann, H., Clauer, N., and Gaupp, R.: Timing of fluid flow in a sandstone reservoir of the north German Rotliegend
- 568 (Permian) by K-Ar dating of related hydrothermal illite, Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ., 144, 91–106, 1998.

569