Sensitivity of Future Evolution of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin Ice Sheet to Grounding Line Melt Parameterizations

Yu Wang¹, Chen Zhao¹, Rupert Gladstone², Thomas Zwinger³, Benjamin K. Galton-Fenzi^{4, 1, 5}, and Poul Christoffersen^{5, 1}

¹Australian Antarctic Program Partnership, Institute for Marine and Antarctic Studies, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia
 ²Arctic Centre, University of Lapland, Rovaniemi, Finland
 ³CSC-IT Center for Science, Espoo, Finland
 ⁴Australian Antarctic Division, Kingston, Australia
 ⁵Australian Centre for Excellence in Antarctic Science, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia

Correspondence: Yu Wang (yu.wang0@utas.edu.au)

Abstract. Projections of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass loss and therefore global sea level rise are hugely uncertain, partly due to how mass loss of the ice sheet occurs at the grounding line. The Wilkes Subglacial Basin (WSB), a vast region of the East Antarctic ice sheet, is thought to be particularly vulnerable to deglaciation under future climate warming scenarios. However, future projections of ice loss, driven by grounding line migration, are known to be sensitive to the parameterisation of ocean-

- 5 induced basal melt of the floating ice shelves, and specifically, adjacent to the grounding line termed Grounding Line Melt Parameterizations (GLMPs). This study investigates future ice sheet dynamics in the WSB with respect to four GLMPs under both the upper and lower bounds of climate warming scenarios from the present to 2500, with different model resolutions, ice shelf melt parameterizations (ISMPs) and choices of sliding relationships. The variation in these GLMPs determines the distribution and the amount of melt applied in the finite element assembly procedure on partially grounded elements (i.e.,
- 10 elements containing the grounding line). Our findings indicate that the GLMPs significantly affect both the trigger-timings of tipping points and the overall magnitude of ice mass loss. We conclude that applying full melting to the partially grounded elements, which causes melting on the grounded side of the grounding line, should be avoided under all circumstances due to its poor numerical convergence and substantial overestimation of ice mass loss. We recommend preferring options that depend on the specific model context, either 1) not applying any melt immediately adjacent to the grounding line or 2) employing a
- 15 sub-element parameterisation.

1 Introduction

Melting beneath ice shelves and iceberg calving are recognised as equally important contributors to the current mass loss of the Antarctic Ice Sheet (Greene et al., 2022), accounting for a total contribution of approximately 5.2 mm to global sea level rise since 2003 (Smith et al., 2020). Basal melting plays a crucial role in the contemporary amplification of ice discharge in

20 Antarctica (Noble et al., 2020; Adusumilli et al., 2020). Variations in basal melt rates exert significant influence on ice shelf thickness, with thinning leading to a diminished ice shelf buttressing effect. The reduction in buttressing subsequently results in

the acceleration of ice streams that supply the ice shelf. Such acceleration contributes to dynamic thinning of the ice upstream of the grounding line, inducing grounding line retreat. The associated loss of basal resistance may, in turn, provoke a positive feedback if the subglacial topography deepens towards the interior of the continent. This unstable behaviour is known as the Marine Ice Sheet Instability (MISI) (Schoof, 2007; Favier et al., 2014; Robel et al., 2019).

- The Wilkes Subglacial Basin (WSB; Fig. 1), located west of the Trans-Antarctic Mountains in East Antarctica, spans approximately 400,000 km², with depths extending as far as 2000 m below sea level in a deep marine-based setting. Ice flow predominantly occurs along two deep troughs extending subglacially towards the Cook and Ninnis Ice Shelves, which currently discharge 40.6 Gt a^{-1} and 23.0 Gt a^{-1} of ice into the ocean, respectively (Rignot et al., 2019, Fig. 1). The WSB is notable for
- 30 its extensive ice reserves and vulnerability to Marine Ice Sheet Instability (Crotti et al., 2022; Mengel and Levermann, 2014). A tipping point behaviour (onset and continuation of MISI) has been shown to occur in simulations (Sutter et al., 2020; Mengel and Levermann, 2014), yet there is a paucity of observations and modelling efforts to inform this potentially unstable behaviour (Golledge et al., 2017). As such, the WSB may be particularly sensitive to melting beneath the ice shelf and the grounding line dynamics, thereby rendering the disparities among our sensitivity experiments more pronounced. These factors motivated us
 25 to salest the WSP as the focus of our study.
- 35 to select the WSB as the focus of our study.

25

Recent studies indicate that the migration of the grounding line is extremely sensitive to how basal melt occurs adjacent to the grounding line (Parizek et al., 2013; Arthern and Williams, 2017; Reese et al., 2018; Goldberg et al., 2019). However, due to constrained observations, our understanding of the actual melt rates at the grounding line and their underlying mechanisms remains in its infancy (Robel et al., 2022). Traditional plume and ocean models generally predict that the basal melt rates

- 40 tend to approach zero near the grounding line (e.g. Galton-Fenzi, 2009; Lazeroms et al., 2018; Cornford et al., 2020; Burgard et al., 2022), with the peak melt occurring about 10 to 15 km away from it (Slater et al., 2017, 2020). In a detailed study, Burgard et al. (2022) applied the ocean model, NEMO, to simulate Antarctic ice shelf melt rates, finding more than half of the ice shelves show melt rates approximating zero at the grounding line, with an average rate of 0.45 m a^{-1} across all of them. Nevertheless, other studies challenge this traditional understanding represented by the plume model. Robel et al. (2022)
- 45 discussed the possibility of high melting at, and even glaciologically upstream of, the grounding line caused by the intrusion of layered warm salty water. In their theoretical model experiments, seawater intrudes as far as several kilometers upstream of the grounding line, potentially doubling ice mass loss (Robel et al., 2022). Ciracì et al. (2023) validated the seawater intrusion theory by analysing satellite radar interferometry, revealing up to 80 m a⁻¹ melt rates in the tidally influenced grounding zone of Petermann Glacier in Greenland. From another perspective, the Antarctic basal melt rates computed by Adusumilli
- 50 et al. (2020), based on remote sensing observations and ice flux divergence calculation, do not show a pattern of melt rates approaching zero at the grounding line. In this study, both zero melt and high melt near the grounding line are examined through different ice shelf melt parameterizations (ISMPs).

Modelling studies suggest that ice sheet models may be more sensitive to melt rates near the grounding line than to cavityintegrated melt rates beneath ice shelves (e.g. Gagliardini et al., 2010; Reese et al., 2018; Morlighem et al., 2021). As such,

55 accurately simulating melt patterns, particularly near the grounding line, might be at least as important as simulating a realistic integrated melt (Burgard et al., 2022). Accurate representation of basal melt at the grounding line is crucial for ice flow models



Figure 1. Bed topography of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin (WSB) and the designated catchment used as the model domain. The three primary outlet glaciers of the WSB, (Cook, Ninnis and David glaciers) are marked. The orange contour delineates the model domain in this study.

to reduce uncertainties in forecasting ice sheet dynamics and future mass loss (Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018). However, due to the discretization of the general fixed-grid ice sheet model, there inevitably exist grid cells or elements at the grounding line where ice is partially grounded and partially floating. How to represent basal melting within these cells remains a challenging and unresolved issue, which is further explored here.

60

In the past decade, various parameterisation schemes for handling sub-grid scale features at the grounding line in basal friction and melt have been explored (e.g. Gladstone et al., 2010; Leguy et al., 2014; Seroussi et al., 2014; Feldmann et al., 2014; Arthern and Williams, 2017; Leguy et al., 2021). The initial motivation to explore grounding line parameterization was to optimise the treatment of basal friction at the grounding line, given its high impact on grounding line dynamics (Seroussi

65 et al., 2014). Sub-element parameterizations for the representation of basal friction generally over partially grounded elements provide improved convergence of model behaviour with finer mesh resolution (Leguy et al., 2014; Seroussi et al., 2014; Feldmann et al., 2014), and they are widely used in subsequent research on ice sheet modelling (e.g. Seroussi et al., 2019, 2020; Nowicki et al., 2020). Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) pioneered a comprehensive study on representation of basal melt under partially floating cells, based on the MISMIP model configuration (Asay-Davis et al., 2016). They recommend models should

- 70 avoid applying melt rates over entire partially floating cells, as this gives worse convergence with resolution and overestimates grounding line retreat at typically used resolutions (Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018). Following this, a majority of subsequent ice sheet modelling efforts adopt melt parameterizations assuming zero melt at the grounding line (Seroussi et al., 2019, 2020). In ice sheet model intercomparisons, initMIP-Antarctica (Seroussi et al., 2019), it was found that marine ice sheet models using sub-element melt (SEM) parameterizations are consistently more sensitive to ocean forcing than those without melt applied
- 75 to these elements (increasing the Antarctic contribution to sea level rise by 50 %–100 %; Seroussi et al., 2019). However, recent studies (Leguy et al., 2021; Berends et al., 2023) suggests that, in their finite-difference based model experiments, models applying melt at the grounding line on the partially floating cells overall outperform those not applying melt in terms of convergence with resolution.

This study seeks to delve deeper into various parameterization solutions for basal melt at the grounding line applied to the domain of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin through a series of sensitivity experiments. We detail the methods in Sect. 2, including model configurations, inversions for ice viscosity and basal friction, as well as the experimental design of transient simulations. The results of a series of sensitivity experiments are presented in Sect. 3, with a subsequent discussion in Sect. 4. Conclusions are provided in Sect. 5.

2 Methods

- We use Elmer/Ice (Gagliardini et al., 2013) to conduct a series of ice sheet simulations for the WSB. Elmer/Ice is an open-source finite-element, ice sheet/shelf model, capable of solving the full-Stokes equations but also allows for various simplifications, such as the Shallow Shelf Approximation we use here (SSA; MacAyeal, 1989). We conduct a series of sensitivity experiments of the WSB with SSA to investigate the sensitivity of grounding line movement and ice mass loss to different Grounding Line Melt Parameterizations (GLMPs). The workflow is illustrated in Fig. 2. The sensitivity expreiments encompass a range
- 90 of model choices, including two basal friction laws, two climate forcing scenarios, four characteristic mesh resolutions, two ice shelf melt parameterizations (ISMPs) and, as the focus of the study, four GLMPs for the partially floating elements. Each simulation is designated by the naming convention *FL_SSP_RES_ISMP_GLMP*, with the specific components detailed in Table 1. The model components involved will be introduced in detail in the following subsections, which include the model setup and inversions (Sect. 2.1), and transient simulations (Sect. 2.2), covering both historical and future runs sequentially.



Figure 2. Overview of the experiments workflow in this study. Marked in parentheses is the resolution of the model grid. The results obtained from the inversion, including basal friction parameter β and viscosity enhancement factor E_{η} are interpolated onto four grids respectively to initialise the subsequent historical runs.

Table 1. Summary of simulation naming convention.

Name part	Meaning	Possible values
FL	Basal friction law	Weertman or Coulomb
SSP	Emission scenario of thermal forcing	SSP126 or SSP585
RES	Characteristic mesh resolution	250 m, 500 m, 1 km, 2 km
ISMP	Ice shelf melt parameterization	NoWCS, WCS75
GLMP	Grounding line melt parameterization	NMP, FMP, SEM1, SEM3

95 2.1 Model setup and inversions

The two-dimensional (2-D) mesh used for the WSB domain is constructed using Gmsh (Geuzaine and Remacle, 2009). It features a quasi-uniform, unstructured triangular grid at a 1 km resolution. The inland domain boundary defining the glacier basin of the WSB model is sourced from MEaSUREs Antarctic Boundaries, Version 2 (Mouginot et al., 2017; Rignot et al., 2013). The coastline boundary is derived from MEaSUREs BedMachine Antarctica, Version 3 (Morlighem, 2022; Morlighem

- 100 et al., 2020). The locations of calving front and inland boundary are held fixed throughout the simulations. A minimum ice thickness of 15 m is maintained to preserve a thin ice shelf as it retreats. We then conduct mesh refinement using Mmg (Dapogny et al., 2014) to optimise computational efficiency without compromising accuracy. We estimate the location of the grounding line in the year 2300, based on the projected grounding line movement under the most severe ice loss scenario from the Antarctic model in the ISMIP6–2300 project (Seroussi, 2022). For the area downstream of this line, the grid is refined
- 105 to characteristic resolutions of 250 m, 500 m, 1 km, and 2 km, respectively (Fig.3), in preparation for subsequent sensitivity experiments. Conversely, for its upstream inland region, the mesh resolution is progressively transitioned to coarser scales. The four grids maintain a very similar mesh resolution in the far inland area, characterised by elements of approximately 17 km horizontal extent. This refinement strategy is designed to prevent the grounding line from retreating into areas of coarser resolution during centennial-scale transient runs. Besides, the local refinement metric draws upon both ice surface velocity
- 110 observations (Mouginot et al., 2019a, b) and ice thickness (Morlighem, 2022; Morlighem et al., 2020), allocating slightly finer resolution in regions with pronounced gradients in velocity and thickness. The statistics of the four grids are shown in Table 1.

Mesh resolution	Nodes	Triangular elements
2 km	54 771	94 894
1 km	172 389	316 170
500 m	612 204	1 142 726
250 m	2 317 821	4 270 368

Table 2. Summary of the four grids.

In this study, we solve the 2-D vertically integrated SSA equations (MacAyeal, 1989) for the stress balance. We consider two friction laws for the basal shear stress, τ_b , the linear Weertman law (Weertman, 1957) and regularised Coulomb law (Joughin et al., 2019):

115
$$oldsymbol{ au}_b=-C_{
m W}oldsymbol{u}_{
m b}$$

au

$$_{b} = -\lambda C_{\rm C}. \left(\frac{\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}\| + u_{0}}\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \frac{\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}\|}$$
(2)

(1)



Figure 3. Refined grid for the Wilkes Subglacial Basin with 1 km characteristic mesh resolution (a); grid details at the Ninnis Ice Shelf, marked by a red box in (a), with 2 km (b), 1 km (c), 500 m (d), 250 m (e) characteristic mesh resolution.

where $C_{\rm W}$ and $C_{\rm C}$ are friction coefficients and $u_{\rm b}$ is the basal velocity field. This form of regularised Coulomb law, Eq. (2), follows Joughin et al. (2019), which subsumed the potentially nonlinear dependence of effective pressure, N, into the friction coefficient, $C_{\rm C}$. λ is used as a scaling factor:

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } h_{\text{af}} \ge h_{\text{T}} \\ \frac{h_{\text{af}}}{h_{\text{T}}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

120

125

with h_{af} the height of ice above flotation and h_T a threshold height. Joughin et al. (2019) demonstrate that the Coulomb friction field has relatively low sensitivity to the choice of parameter u_0 , and suggest that their parameter setting can be well transferred for use with general glaciers. We set $u_0 = 300 \text{m a}^{-1}$, $h_T = 75 \text{m}$ for all experiments that use the regularised Coulomb law, following the settings by Hill et al. (2023). *m* is a positive exponent corresponding to the creep exponent in Glen's law (Glen,

(3)

1958). Here, we use m = 3 following Joughin et al. (2019) and Hill et al. (2023). We assume a non-linear isotropic rheology following Glen's flow law (Glen, 1958). For the viscosity, η , we use

$$\eta = E_{\eta}^2 \eta_0 \tag{4}$$

Here, η_0 represents the reference field for η . It is calculated from a 2-D temperature field, which is obtained by vertically averaging a three-dimensional (3-D) field. The 3-D field is derived from a multi-millennial spin up of the whole Antarctica, utilizing the ice sheet model, SICOPOLIS (Greve et al., 2020; Seroussi et al., 2020). Furthermore, the values for activation

- energies and prefactors, essential for computing the temperature-dependent rate factor A in accordance with Glen's flow law, are adopted from Cuffey and Paterson (2010). The term E_{η} in the equation stands for the viscosity enhancement factor, the determination of which will be achieved through inversion processes.
- In this study, we invert the basal shear stress and ice viscosity using the refined 1 km resolution mesh (Fig. 2a), with ice velocity observations (Mouginot et al., 2019a, b) as the optimisation target. We employ the linear Weertman law to compute the basal shear stress in the inversion process. More specifically, we utilise the adjoint inverse method with Tikhonov regularisation, as described in Gillet-Chaulet et al. (2012); Brondex et al. (2019), to invert friction parameter β and viscosity enhancement factor E_{η} simultaneously. β is given by $C_{W} = 10^{\beta}$. The inversion criterion is twofold: to minimise the velocity misfit, and to avoid over-fitting of the inversion solution to non-physical noise in the velocity observation. We introduce three regularisation
- terms in the total cost function:

150

$$J_{\text{tot}} = J_0 + \lambda_\beta J_{\text{reg}\beta} + \lambda_{\text{E}_{\eta 1}} J_{\text{reg}\text{E}_{\eta 1}} + \lambda_{\text{E}_{\eta 2}} J_{\text{reg}\text{E}_{\eta 2}} \tag{5}$$

The misfit between the magnitudes of simulated (u) and observed (u_{obs}) surface velocity is encapsulated in the first cost term J_0 , which is a discrete sum evaluated directly at every grid node:

145
$$J_0 = \sum_{1}^{N_{\text{obs}}} \frac{1}{2} || \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{u}_{\text{obs}} ||^2$$
 (6)

where N_{obs} is the total number of grid nodes with observations. The terms $J_{reg\beta}$ and $J_{regE_{\eta_1}}$ are implemented to penalise the first spatial derivatives of β and E_{η} , respectively. Meanwhile, $J_{regE_{\eta_2}}$ penalises the deviations from the prior (i.e. Glen's flow law; $E_{\eta} = 1$). The coefficients λ_{β} , $\lambda_{E_{\eta_1}}$ and $\lambda_{E_{\eta_2}}$ are positive regularisation weighting parameters. We determine the optimal combination of these three parameters by conducting an "L-surface" analysis, resulting in $\lambda_{\beta} = 20000$, $\lambda_{E_{\eta_1}} = 10000$ and $\lambda_{E_{\eta_2}} = 0.02$. This "L-surface" analysis represents an innovative aspect of this study and is elaborated upon in Appendix A.

The spatial distributions of the two parameters are shown in Fig. 4a, b, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4c, the velocity difference between inversion result and observations (Mouginot et al., 2019a) was assessed in terms of relative difference. The results indicated that the simulated velocities from the inversions were in good agreement with the observed velocities,

- 155 especially in the fast-flow areas where velocities exceed 200m a^{-1} (Fig. 4c). In these fast-flow regions, relative differences are predominantly below 5%. In Fig. 4c, the blue area indicates a high relative velocity discrepancy and corresponds to regions with very slow flow (mostly below 5m a^{-1}). Therefore, it does not present a concern. Such findings underscore that the inversion results can effectively serve as a reliable starting point for subsequent transient experiments. We interpolate the simulated basal friction coefficient β and viscosity enhancement factor E_{η} from 1 km resolution grid onto the 250 m, 500 m, and 2 km
- 160 resolution grids, respectively. These interpolations serve as the starting points for the subsequent historical runs on the four distinct grids (Fig. 3).



Figure 4. The optimised basal resistance parameter β (a), viscosity enhancement factor E_{η} (b) and relative surface horizontal velocity discrepancy (c) for the WSB. The relative surface velocity discrepancy is the magnitude of the surface horizontal velocity difference between observations (Mouginot et al., 2019a) and simulations as a fraction of the observations. The three contours (yellow, orange, and red) represent the observed surface velocities of 200, 700, and 1000 m a⁻¹, respectively. The white contour in (c) represents the observed surface velocity of 5 m a⁻¹. The black line represents the grounding line from BedMachine Antarctica V3 (Morlighem, 2022).

2.2 Transient simulations

We explore the sensitivity of ice dynamics to the four different GLMPs by conducting a series of transient simulations. After the inversions, we initiate historical runs to smoothly transition the model past an initial adjustment phase in the forward transient

165 simulations (Fig. 2). The historical runs span 20 years, from 1995 to 2015. Then we conduct future runs from 2015 to 2500 (Fig. 2). Each future run is directly paired with a corresponding historical run, maintaining a consistent model configuration throughout.

As the primary focus of this study, we test four GLMPs for partially floating elements, as shown in Fig. 5. We essentially adopt the parameterization schemes outlined by Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) in an idealised domain. The "full-melt pa-

- 170 rameterization" (FMP) applies melt across all partially floating elements, irrespective of the grounding line's exact position. Conversely, for the "no-melt parameterization" (NMP), there is no melt applied to any part of these elements. The remaining two schemes employ sub-element parameterizations. In "sub-element melt 1" (SEM1), melt is applied to the entire area of partially floating elements, but its magnitude is reduced based on the fraction area of the floating ice in the element. This ensures that the total melt over the element is proportionate to the floating ice area. In the "sub-element melt 3" (SEM3), an
- 175 increased number of 20 integration points are used during the finite element assembly procedure within any partially floating element. We determine the float/ground status for each point and calculate the basal melt rate for the floating points based on its specific coordinates. It is named SEM3 to differentiate from SEM2 in Seroussi and Morlighem (2018). In essence, our SEM3 aligns with the principles of the sub-element parameterization 3 (SEP3) from Seroussi et al. (2014), which indicate that with a sufficient number of integration points, the functionality of SEP3 closely mirrors that of the sub-element parameterization 2
- 180 (SEP2). Thus, we anticipated that SEM3 in this study will perform similarly to SEM2 as described by Seroussi and Morlighem (2018). For basal friction on the partially floating elements, we consistently adopt SEP3 with 20 integration points for all the transient experiments, following the methods discussed by Seroussi et al. (2014).

We impose surface mass balance (SMB) and basal mass balance (BMB) data sourced from the ISMIP6–2300 project (Seroussi, 2022), based on CMIP6 climated model data, as the forcing. More specifically, the SMB consists of an average value for the reference period, SMB_{ref}, and yearly SMB anomalies, aSMB:

$$SMB(x, y, t) = SMB_{ref}(x, y) + aSMB(x, y, t)$$
(7)

In this equation, SMB_{ref} represents the temporal average spanning 1995 to 2300 and is derived from MAR simulation products (Agosta et al., 2019). aSMB is calculated based on thermal forcing from climate models, detailed below. Following the ISMIP6-2300 standard melting parameterization (Seroussi, 2022), the BMB is calculated using a quadratic function of thermal forcing

190 as described by Favier et al. (2019), complemented by a thermal forcing correction suggested by Jourdain et al. (2020). Building upon this, we produce a revised version whereby the basal melt rate smoothly transitions to zero as it approaches the grounding line:

$$m_s(x,y) = m(x,y) \tanh\left(\frac{H_c}{H_{c0}}\right)$$
(8)



Figure 5. Grounding line discretization. Grounding line's exact location (a), no-melt parameterization (NMP, b), full-melt parameterization (FMP, c), sub-element melt parameterization 1 (SEM1, d), and sub-element melt parameterization 3 (SEM3, e). This figure is adapted from Seroussi and Morlighem (2018)

where H_c is the water-column thickness beneath the ice shelf, and H_{c0} is a threshold thickness. An empirical value of H_{c0} = 195 75m is adopted here, with the justification for this choice detailed in Asay-Davis et al. (2016). This water-column thicknessbased scaling is inspired by prior research (e.g. Asay-Davis et al., 2016; Gladstone et al., 2017) and serves as a comparison to Experiment 1 in Seroussi and Morlighem (2018). In the naming convention (Table. 1), this modified ISMP is designated WCS75 (Water Column Scaling with a threshold thickness of 75 m), while the original version is labeled NoWCS (No Water Column Scaling). The comparison of the two ISMPs used is shown in Fig. 6. It is important to distinguish between the roles 200 of ISMPs and GLMPs. ISMPs essentially represents two distinct physical assumptions regarding the melt rate around the grounding line, whereas GLMPs represent different parameterized implementations of the model.

We utilise the thermal forcing provided by the ISMIP6–2300 project (Seroussi, 2022) to determine the BMB and aSMB applied during the transient simulations. Two emission scenarios are adopted in the two CMIP6 models for generating the thermal forcing: one sourced from the CESM2 climate model under SSP5-8.5, and the other from the UKESM1 model under SSP1-2.6.

The original forcing data from ISMIP6-2300 project spans the period from 1995 to 2300. Beyond 2300, we extrapolate the

205

forcing to the year 2500 by randomly sampling values from the 2280 to 2300.

Two basal sliding laws are employed in the sensitivity experiments, the linear Weertman law and the regularised Coulomb law, Eq. (1, 2). The basal friction parameter, C_W , for the linear Weertman law is derived directly from inversions. To derive



Figure 6. The basal mass balance distributions under the Cook Ice Shelf at 1 km characteristic mesh resolution. (a) shows the standard quadratic local parameterization from ISMIP6-2300. (b) depicts the modified version using the Water Column Scaling scheme with a threshold thickness of 75 m.

the basal friction parameter, $C_{\rm C}$, for regularised Coulomb law, we transform the inverted basal friction parameter β into $C_{\rm C}$ by substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (2):

$$C_{\rm C} = \frac{10^{\beta}}{\lambda} \left(\frac{\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}\| + u_0}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}\|} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \|\boldsymbol{u}_{\rm b}\|$$
(9)

This ensures that the basal shear stress remains consistent throughout the conversion process.

3 Results

This section presents the results of the future simulations from 2015 to 2500, featuring a comprehensive comparative analysis
based upon the time series of two quantitative metrics: total ice mass and total grounding line flux of the model. The analysis focuses on the high-emission scenario experiments, because we can evaluate the effect of GLMPs best when the grounding line migrates. We also include results from simulations under a low-emission scenario in order to compare. Figures 7 and 8 represent the evolution of total ice mass and the total grounding line flux, respectively, under a high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) with the application of linear Weertman sliding law. Figures 9 and 10 showcase these variables under the same emission scenario but using a regularised Coulomb sliding law. Figure 11 illustrates the evolution of ice thickness and grounding line

retreat in the future run. Although we have not demonstrated grounding line hysteresis or irreversibility as discussed by Schoof (2007), our projections of rapid grounding line retreat across the retrograde section of the bedrock, compared to the retreat rates across the upsloping bed, strongly indicate that MISI can occur in this region.



Figure 7. Total grounding line flux simulated from 2015 to 2500 under high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) using a linear Weertman sliding law. The figures are separated by NMP(a, e), FMP(b,f), SEM1 (c,g) and SEM3 (d,h), and two ISMPs: NoWCS (a-d) and WCS75 (f-h). Each plot represents ice flux for the four mesh resolutions: 2 km (blue), 1 km(red), 500 m(yellow) and 250 m (purple).

- In the linear Weertman experiments, a majority of the model configurations exhibit a relatively stable grounding line flux
 over the initial 200-year span (Fig. 7). During this period, the grounding line undergoes a retreat across the comparatively shallow and flat bed topography, as shown in Fig 11, with persistent ice-shelf thinning mainly caused by the basal melt. This phase is characterised by a stable total ice mass, as shown in Fig. 8. The starting point of the grounding line flux accelerated increase (Fig. 7) signals the tipping point of the MISI, marked by an accelerated retreat of the grounding line into retrograde deep troughs (Fig. 11; after the year 2200), subsequently manifesting as a rapid ice mass loss in Fig. 8. The peak of grounding
 line flux corresponds to a major rapid retreat of the grounding line within the troughs upstream of Cook glacier (Fig. 11). The tipping point of the MISI, indicative of a critical transition in ice sheet dynamics, is generally attained around the year 2300 in experiments with water column scaling scheme (Fig. 8 e-f). While for the experiments without the water column scaling (Fig. 8 a-d), the timing of tipping point is significantly advanced. *NoWCS_NMP* reaches the tipping point around 2250 (Fig. 7a); *NoWCS_FMP* around 2150 (Fig. 7b); and both *NoWCS_SEM1* and *NoWCS_SEM3* attain around 2200 (Fig. 7c, d), yielding very similar predictions. Notably, *Weertman SSP585 2km NoWCS FMP* predicts the highest ice mass loss, at 1.04 × 10⁵ Gt.
- doubling that of *Weertman_SSP585_250m_NoWCS_FMP*. This highlights the substantial dependency of the FMP scheme on grid resolution.



Figure 8. Total ice mass simulated from 2015 to 2500 under high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) using a linear Weertman sliding law. The figures are separated by NMP(a, e), FMP(b,f), SEM1 (c,g) and SEM3 (d,h), and two ISMPs: NoWCS (a-d) and WCS75 (f-h). Each plot represents the ice mass change for the four mesh resolutions: 2 km (blue), 1 km(red), 500 m(yellow) and 250 m (purple).

In the regularised Coulomb experiments, the system is relatively stable for the initial 100 years with NoWCS (Fig. 7a-d) and for around 150 years with WCS75 (Fig. 7e-h), after which the MISI is triggered. A distinguishing feature of the Coulomb experiments is the earlier triggering of the tipping point, compared to the Weertman experiments, and the manifestation of two distinct peaks in grounding line flux. The two peaks are dominated by the two major rapid retreat of grounding line in troughs upstream of Cook (Fig. 11) and Ninnis glacier respectively. The two peaks are experienced in all experiments without water column scaling scheme (Fig. 7 a-d), while the experiments with water column scaling only experienced the first peak in the last 100 years (Fig. 7 e-f), due to its slower response. The overall magnitude of grounding line flux and ice mass loss of regularised Coulomb experiments are three times greater than those of the linear Weertman experiments. Figure 12 provides a visual representation of the grounding line position at the year 2500, comparing the four GLMPs under a specific model configuration, *Coulomb_SSP585_1km_WCS75*. The distance between the NMP and FMP grounding lines ranges from 20 to 70 km, while the grounding line locations are consistent between SEM1 and SEM3.

Tables 3 and 4 provide detailed data on total ice mass change from 2015 to 2500 under the linear Weertman and regularised Coulomb laws, respectively. Among the four GLMPs, NMP consistently yields the lowest predictions of ice mass loss; FMP predicts the highest; SEM1 and SEM3 are intermediate in between. Notably, the Weertman and Coulomb experiments reveal



Figure 9. Total grounding line flux simulated from 2015 to 2500 under high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) using regularised Coulomb sliding law. The figures are separated by NMP(a, e), FMP(b,f), SEM1 (c,g) and SEM3 (d,h), and two ISMPs: NoWCS (a-d) and WCS75 (f-h). Each plot represents ice flux for the four mesh resolutions: 2 km (blue), 1 km(red), 500 m(yellow) and 250 m (purple)

different yet internally consistent patterns of total grounding line flux. The resolution dependence on the different parameterisations for partially grounded elements is comparable for both linear and the regularised Coulomb sliding laws, with the exception that coarse resolution underestimates mass loss only in the case of WCS75 NMP Coulomb sliding. The choice of GLMPs exerts a significant impact on both the timing of the tipping point triggered and the cumulative magnitude of ice mass loss at coarse resolution, while the incorporation of a water column scaling scheme can significantly diminish the discrepancies caused by different GLMPs and mesh resolutions.

Regarding the low emission experiments, we have opted to only present the results at 1 km grid resolution and using only the regularised Coulomb sliding law (Fig. 13), as it did not exhibit notable distinctiveness as compared with the results of high emission experiments. Without the water column scaling procedure, the system exhibits a continuous, albeit slight loss of ice during the entire future simulation (Fig. 13 a), and there is a substantial discrepancy in the total ice mass change (Fig. 13 a) and total grounding line flux (Fig. 13 b) across different GLMPs. With water column scaling, the system experiences a discernible ice mass loss in the first 50 years; however, it subsequently stabilises (Fig. 13 c). The discrepancy is substantially reduced when the water column scaling is applied (Fig. 13 c, d), indicating a mitigation of the impact of melt scheme selections. In general,

255



Figure 10. Total ice mass simulated from 2015 to 2500 under high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) using regularised Coulomb sliding law. The figures are separated by NMP(a, e), FMP(b,f), SEM1 (c,g) and SEM3 (d,h), and two ISMPs: NoWCS (a-d) and WCS75 (f-h). Each plot represents the ice mass change for the four mesh resolutions: 2 km (blue), 1 km(red), 500 m(yellow) and 250 m (purple).

265 under a low emission scenario, the predicted ice mass loss is less sensitive to the choice of GLMPs and mesh resolution in comparison to high emission scenarios.



Figure 11. The evolution of ice thickness along a characteristic flowline on the Cook glacier, as projected in the future run *Coulomb_SSP585_500m_WCS75_SEM3* for illustration. The rainbow coloured outlines represent the time series progression of ice thickness in the future run. The inset shows the location of the flowline in red. For better visual presentation, ice at the front with thickness less than 20 m are not shown.



Figure 12. The simulated grounding line at the year 2500 with NMP (red), FMP (purple), SEM1 (yellow), SEM3 (blue) are presented on the bed topography map. They all are under high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5), without the water column scaling scheme, using regularised Coulomb law at 1 km grid resolution. The grounding line of SEM1 and SEM3 are largely overlap. The grounding line of all four GLMPs overlaps around ice rises, covered by blue grounding lines. The position of the Cook and Ninnis glacier are marked.



Figure 13. The evolution of total ice mass (a, c) and total grounding line flux (b, d) from 2015 to 2500 with four GLMPs. The results represent the experiments using regularised Coulomb sliding law under the low emission scenario (SSP1-2.6) at 1 km mesh resolution without (a, b) and with (c, d) water column scaling procedure.

4 Discussion

In Figure 14, we show the convergence of simulated ice mass loss with mesh resolution for different ISMPs and sliding laws. Specific data are presented in Table A1 and Table A2 in Appendix B. Our model, which simulates the real-world domain of the WSB, demonstrates a consistent convergence pattern with the idealised glacier model study by Seroussi and Morlighem

270

(2018), showcasing a commendable level of agreement between the two ice sheet models, Elmer/Ice and ISSM. Among the four GLMPs, NMP tends to converge more rapidly with resolution in most cases, which is consistent with the findings of Seroussi and Morlighem (2018); Yu et al. (2018). Our model results reveal a trend across all scenarios where ice mass loss diminishes as mesh resolution increases, except for the NMP scheme with the Coulomb law and water column scaling

- 275 (Fig. 14d; Coulomb_SSP585_WCS75_NMP). In this scenario, the simulated ice mass loss actually increases with finer mesh resolutions. This result aligns with the simulation results from previous studies (Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018; Leguy et al., 2021; Berends et al., 2023). A plausible explanation lies in the methodology of NMP, which, by definition, underestimates melt in partially grounded elements. As resolution becomes finer and elements become smaller, the area of no melting decreases, resulting in an increase in the area of melting close to the grounding line. However, this does not explain why NMP still
- 280 overestimates mass loss in other cases, as resolution dependence exists not only due to choice of GLMP, but also due to the sub-element parameterisation of basal drag near the grounding line. The current study does not investigate impacts of basal drag on convergence with resolution, which has been more extensively studied, but the effects are present and not easily separated from the effects of melt parameterisation. The cumulative impact of parameterisations on both basal drag and grounding line melt is likely what determines convergence. Caution must be exercised regarding the potential for NMP to systematically under-represent melt at the grounding line and thus underestimate ice mass loss at coarse grid resolutions.

Conversely, FMP, by definition, overestimates melt in partially grounded elements, and our simulations using FMP always overestimate ice mass loss. In the experiments without water column scaling, the total ice mass loss simulated at a 2km resolution is approximately double that simulated at a 250 m resolution (Fig. 14a, c). We notice that the ice sheet modelling community has largely moved away from the FMP scheme. We align with this perspective and concur with prior recommendations (Leguy et al., 2021; Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018) that the FMP scheme should be avoided under all circumstances.

Whilst FMP and NMP by definition always overestimate and underestimate melt in partially grounded elements, SEM1 and SEM3 are expected to fall in between and therefore give a more accurate estimation of melt in partially grounded elements. However, this does not translate into better convergence with resolution, with most simulations from both the current study and the work of Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) showing significant overestimation of mass loss and grounding line retreat when

- 295 using SEM1 or SEM3 at coarse resolutions. This issue likely stems from fundamentally under-resolving the problem (i.e., the model's spatial resolution is insufficient to accurately capture and represent the dynamics at the grounding line). Although SEM1 and SEM3 provide a more viable average melt rate over partially grounded elements, the fact that they can cause thinning directly at "grounded" nodes (Fig. 5) leads to ice detachment that would not occur with a fully resolved model (i.e., one with infinitely small elements, which is unachievable in practice). Consequently, this results in an overestimation of mass
- 300 loss and grounding line retreat. A more thorough handling of the partially grounded elements might be to implement runtime



Figure 14. Convergence of total ice mass loss from 2015 to 2500 as a function of mesh resolution with the four GLMPs, NMP (blue), FMP(green), SEM1(orange), and SEM2(red). The results represent the experiments under the high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) for the Weertman (a, b) and Coulomb (c, d) sliding law with (b, d) and without (a, c) water column scaling procedure. The coordinate axes are displayed on a dual logarithmic scale.

adaptivity with a specific focus on the grounding line itself, either by splitting partially grounded elements or by implementing a moving mesh that tracks grounding line movement (Goldberg et al., 2009), but these approaches are beyond the scope of the current study.

- The results of SEM1 and SEM3 are consistently falling in between FMP and NMP results (Fig. 12-14). The two sub-305 element GLMPs give almost identical results without water column scaling, which is similar to findings of the basal friction parameterizations at the grounding line (Seroussi et al., 2014). Yet, with water column scaling, SEM1 and SEM3 diverge slightly, with SEM1 showing better convergence with resolution than SEM3. The SEM1 scheme shows the best convergence in the scenario with the Coulomb law and water column scaling. This appears contrary to the recommendation by Seroussi and Morlighem (2018) against the use of SEM due to its overestimation of retreat of the grounding line. While NMP usually shows 310 better convergence, SEM1 appears to outperform in specific scenarios, offering superior convergence.
 - In the vicinity of the grounding line, high melt rates essentially worsen the convergence with resolution and exacerbate the result discrepancies observed across all four GLMPs. This phenomenon is reflected in different aspects of the experimental results. Firstly, the water column scaling procedure significantly improves the convergence, and reduces the disparities among the GLMPs (Fig. 8, 10). This is because when water column scaling is applied, the melt rates are significantly reduced near
- 315 grounding line, thereby minimising the divergences represented by different GLMPs. Secondly, under a high emission scenario, the predicted ice mass loss is more sensitive to the choice of GLMPs and mesh resolution in comparison to low emission scenarios. In other words, the difference in simulated ice mass loss caused by the various GLMPs are significantly amplified under high emission scenario, as has been demonstrated by Arthern and Williams (2017) using a model of Pine Island and Thwaites glacier.
- 320 Numerical simulation methods and grid type significantly influence the performance of GLMPs. Consistent with previous model studies (e.g. Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018; Yu et al., 2018), our research employs the finite-element method with an unstructured triangular grid, and concludes that NMP always demonstrates superior convergence with resolution compared to FMP and usually compared to SEM. Notably, a model study (Arthern and Williams, 2017) employing a finite-volume method and a wavelet-based adaptive grid demonstrated significant impact of sub-grid GLMPs on ice mass loss predictions,
- 325 echoing our findings. However, respective studies by Leguy et al. (2021) and Berends et al. (2023), utilising finite differences and a regular square grid, report contrary findings. Due to the distinct mechanism of the model implementation, the GLMPs they used differ from the four explored in our study. In addition to the NMP scheme (identical to ours), they incorporate a Partial Melt Parameterization (PMP; comparable to our SEM1) and a Flotation Condition Melt Parameterization (FCMP). Both FCMP and PMP outperform NMP in terms of convergence with resolution (Leguy et al., 2021; Berends et al., 2023).
- 330 Their agreement implies that for models using finite differences and regular grids, applying melt parameterizations to partially floating cells could be more advantageous. Thus, it is crucial to reevaluate the performance of various GLMPs within specific model contexts.

Modelling studies emphasise the necessity of including significant melting processes within the grounding zone to replicate the observed retreat patterns (Goldberg et al., 2019; Lilien et al., 2019). Further, satellite observations indicate pronounced melt rates at the grounding lines in both West Antarctica (Shean et al., 2019) and Greenland (Ciracì et al., 2023). Drawing from the observations, Ciracì et al. (2023) recommend that ice sheet models adopt GLMPs that include melting at and upstream of the grounding line. We acknowledge the scientific rationale behind this suggestion; however, it may not directly translate to the parameterization strategies for the partially floating elements in ice sheet models. It is crucial to distinguish between the role of ISMPs and the specific function of GLMPs. We suggest that the ISMPs should reflect our current best understanding

340

of ice-ocean interactions near the grounding line. Meanwhile, the design of GLMPs ought to prioritise model self-consistency and minimal resolution dependency.

The melting mechanism and the precise rates at the grounding line are still not well understood (Goldberg et al., 2019). Our NoWCS and WCS75 schemes encapsulate the divergent perspectives currently debated: one posits that the maximum melt rate occurs right at the grounding line, where the ice draft is deepest (e.g. Ciracì et al., 2023; Shean et al., 2019), whereas ocean modelling studies suggest that the melt rate peaks downstream of the grounding line and diminish to zero towards the ground-

345

ing line (e.g. Burgard et al., 2022; Slater et al., 2020). A recent theoretical study suggest the possibility of melting extending upstream of the grounding line if warm salty seawater intrudes under the ice sheet (e.g. Robel et al., 2022). However, observations at Thwaites Glacier show melting at the grounding line there is suppressed by low current speeds and strong density stratification in the ice–ocean boundary layer (Davis et al., 2023). Moreover, melting processes and ice-ocean interactions at

stratification in the ice-ocean boundary layer (Davis et al., 2023). Moreover, melting processes and ice-ocean interactions at
the grounding line likely differ among ice shelves due to variations in bathymetry, water mass characteristics, and circulation beneath ice shelf cavities. To elucidate the melting mechanisms at play, there is a critical need for more extensive observations of melt rates in the vicinity of grounding lines.

The extensive exploration of model settings in this study underscores the significant uncertainties inherent in ice sheet modelling predictions. Utilising the Coulomb sliding law, which is broadly considered superior, our analysis under the high 355 emission scenario of SSP5-8.5 suggests that the tipping point (onset of the MISI; marked by a rapid increase in grounding line flux, as shown in Fig. 9) is anticipated to occur in WSB between 2200 and 2300. After the tipping point, the grounding line retreats 110 km across the unstable retrograde bedrock in 100 years (as illustrated in Fig. 11). The grounding line flux consequently increases by a factor of 2.5, from 200 to 500 Gt a^{-1} (Fig. 9). In this context, our simulations project an ice mass loss within the WSB in this scenario to range from 0.26 to 0.42×10^5 Gt by the year 2300. This corresponds to a mass above flotation of 0.21 to 0.33×10^5 Gt, equivalent to 0.06 to 0.09 m of global sea level rise. By 2500, the projected ice mass loss 360 extends from 1.05 to 1.57×10^5 Gt, corresponding to a mass above flotation of 0.84 to 1.25×10^5 Gt, equivalent to a global sea level rise of 0.23 to 0.34 m, assuming the extension of the final two decades' forcing from 2300. At a mesh resolution of 1 km, which is commonly employed in ice sheet modellings, our model shows a change from NMP to SEM would induce a 15% to 20% increase in projected ice mass loss. Moreover, at a 1 km resolution, SEM could overestimate mass loss by up to 365 40% compared to our finest mesh resolution of 250 m, whereas NMP might overestimate it by up to 25% relative to the 250

m mesh, with specific overestimations dependent on the model configurations (Fig. 14). These results provide a foundation for further detailed quantitative predictions and the examination of ice sheet dynamics in future stages of our ongoing research.

In our comparative analysis, both SEM and NMP schemes outperform FMP. As discussed earlier, SEM and NMP exhibit distinct advantages, each conducive to certain modelling contexts. The suitability of GLMPs is contingent upon the specific model and circumstances in question. The alignment between the results from idealised model simulations (Sergussi and

370 model and circumstances in question. The alignment between the results from idealised model simulations (Seroussi and

Morlighem, 2018) and our comprehensive real-domain model experiments support the validity of a two-phased experiment process: one could firstly evaluate the performance of various GLMPs based on a cost-effective, idealised small ice flow model (e.g. MISMIP+; Cornford et al., 2020) and then inform subsequent applications to more complex real-world domains. For the future explorations, mesh adaptation and re-segmentation at the sub-element scale during runtime would be a promising direction for more accurately representing basal friction and melting at the grounding line.

375

5 Conclusions

In this study, we explored the sensitivity of future projections of the Wilkes Subglacial Basin (WSB) ice sheet to Grounding Line Melt Parameterizations (GLMPs) for the partially floating elements separating the grounded ice and the floating shelf. The study is conducted through a series of model simulations for the WSB spanning from 2015 to 2500. These simulations test the performance of four GLMPs under various model configurations, incorporating two basal friction laws, two thermal 380 forcing scenarios, four mesh resolutions, and two Ice Shelf Melt Parameterizations (ISMPs). Drawing from our best model results, the tipping point, onset of the MISI, is projected to occur between 2200 and 2300 in the WSB under the high emission scenario of SSP5-8.5, while the ice sheet system is expected to remain a quasi-steady state under the low emission scenario of SSP1-2.6. Under SSP5-8.5, our simulations suggest that the loss of ice from the WSB could contribute between 0.06 to 0.09 m to global sea level rise by 2300, while following the onset of MISI, this contribution could increase to between 0.23 to 0.34

385

m by 2500.

Our findings indicate that the GLMPs significantly affect both the timing of the tipping point triggered and the overall magnitude of ice mass loss. At a resolution considered high and commonly employed in ice sheet models (i.e., 1 km), numerical errors due to inadequate convergence can lead to an overestimation of mass loss by up to 40% when compared to our finest

- 390 mesh resolution of 250 m. This magnitude of overestimation is comparable to the impact of variations in basal friction parameterizations at the grounding line. In the vicinity of the grounding line, high melt rates notably impair convergence with resolution and amplify the result discrepancies among the four GLMPs. This underscores the critical importance of not only knowing what melt rates are from an observational perspective, but also choosing the appropriate melt parameterization in such scenarios.
- 395 Overall, both SEM and NMP schemes outperform FMP in terms of mesh resolution convergence, with each exhibiting varying degrees of superiority over the other. The NMP scheme, in most scenarios, yields superior convergence of results, but may systematically underestimate grounding line retreat and ice mass loss. Conversely, the SEM exhibited better convergence in the scenario with the Coulomb sliding law and water column scaling procedure. The SEM technically can more accurately represent the amount of melting in partially grounded elements and may capture some grounding zone-like transitional behaviours,
- 400

but it risks overestimating ice mass loss. As in prior studies (Seroussi and Morlighem, 2018; Leguy et al., 2021), we advise against the FMP under all circumstances, due to its poor convergence and substantial overestimation of ice mass loss.

Our research suggests that there is currently no universally optimal melt scheme that suits all circumstances; the choice between NMP and SEM should be re-evaluated in their specific model contexts. Looking ahead, mesh adaptation and resegmentation at the sub-element scale during runtime emerge as promising avenues for more accurately representing basal

405 friction and melting at the grounding line. Idealised models, such as MISMIP+ (Cornford et al., 2020), provide valuable insights for selecting GLMPs in more complex real-world domains. These improvements are critical to enhancing the accuracy of future predictions of ice mass loss and global sea level rise.

Code and data availability. All model simulations are implemented using Elmer/Ice Version: 9.0 (ReV: bf10af7; doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7892181) with the code available at https://github.com/ElmerCSC/elmerfem.git (Gagliardini et al., 2013). Mesh and implementation scripts for the model are available at github link. Detailed output data for the model are available upon request to YW.

Appendix A: "L-surface" analysis

In our cost function (Eq.5), we introduce three undetermined regularisation parameters. Consequently, the conventional L-curve analysis is insufficient for our purposes, leading us to propose a more comprehensive "L-surface" analysis.

Throughout our analytical experiments, we adopt an empirical value of 0.02 for $\lambda_{E_{\eta}2}$, as sensitivity experiments indicate that 415 the inversion results are relatively insensitive to variations $\lambda_{E_{\eta}2}$ (as corroborated through personal communication with Fabien Gillet-Chaulet). To optimise the remaining regularisation parameters λ_{β} and $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1}$, we undertake a systematic exploration of their feasible value combinations. As an initial step in our L-surface analysis, we conduct preliminary experiments to identify appropriate alternative values for these parameters. Specifically, we select 9 test values for λ_{β} and 10 for $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1}$. Pairwise combinations of these test values yield 90 distinct parameter sets for subsequent inversion experiments. The results of these 420 experiments are presented in a 3-D visualisation, as depicted in Figure A1.

To identify the optimal combination of λ_{β} and $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1}$, we employ a metric defined as the relative distance, D_{rel} , from each point to the origin in the 3-D coordinate system:

$$D_{rel} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{J_0}{\max(J_0)}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{J_{reg\beta}}{\max(J_{reg\beta})}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{J_{regE_{\eta 1}}}{\max(J_{regE_{\eta 1}})}\right)^2} \tag{A1}$$

The point corresponding to the smallest D_{rel} value is deemed to represent the most favourable combination of λ_{β} and $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1}$, 425 marked as red star in Fig. A1. Through the "L-surface" analysis, we determine the optimal parameter set to be $\lambda_{\beta} = 20000$, $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1} = 10000$ and $\lambda_{E_{\eta}2} = 0.02$.



Figure A1. "L-surface": black points represented the results from 90 parameter sets. Points connected by the red line correspond to the same λ_{β} , and points connected by the blue lines correspond the same $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1}$. The nine alternative values for λ_{β} are 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 50000, 100000. The ten alternative values for $\lambda_{E_{\eta}1}$ are 10, 100, 1000, 2000, 5000, 10000, 20000, 100000, 100000.

(a)	Melt parameterization (NoWCS)			
(a)	1			

Table A1. Total ice mass loss (Gt) under high emission scenario(SSP5-8.5) from 2015 to 2500 with linear Weertman friction law forNoWCS (a) and WCS75 (b)

Resolution	NMP	FMP	SEM1	SEM3	
2 km	57799	103715	73319	72807	
1 km	50636	78809	60042	59737	
500 m	45245	62448	51152	50857	
250 m	41479	51510	44329	43204	
(b)	Melt parameterization (WCS75)				
(U)	Men	barametern		(3/3)	
(b) Resolution	NMP	FMP	SEM1	SEM3	
Resolution 2 km	NMP 30194	FMP 37396	SEM1 34794	SEM3 36052	
Resolution 2 km 1 km	NMP 30194 29637	FMP 37396 33530	SEM1 34794 32338	SEM3 36052 32858	
Resolution 2 km 1 km 500 m	NMP 30194 29637 29497	FMP 37396 33530 31396	SEM1 34794 32338 30949	SEM3 36052 32858 31130	

Table A2. Total ice mass loss (Gt) under high emission scenario (SSP5-8.5) from 2015 to 2500 with regularised Coulomb friction law for NoWCS (a) and WCS75 (b)

(a)	Melt parameterization (NoWCS)				
Resolution	NMP	FMP	SEM1	SEM3	
2 km	175276	299921	238787	235084	
1 km	171745	234377	206355	204773	
500 m	159045	197891	179357	178103	
250 m	145699	168594	156673	156217	
	Melt parameterization (WCS75)				
(b)	Melt	parameteri	ization (W	CS75)	
(b) Resolution	Melt NMP	parameter FMP	ization (Wo	CS75) SEM3	
(b) Resolution 2 km	Melt NMP 90755	parameter FMP 126957	ization (Westing SEM1 115825	CS75) SEM3 -120388	
(b) Resolution 2 km 1 km	Melt NMP 90755 98431	parameter FMP 126957 114097	ization (Westing SEM1 115825 110440	CS75) SEM3 -120388 -112088	
(b) Resolution 2 km 1 km 500 m	Melt NMP 90755 98431 103380	parameter FMP 126957 114097 110595	ization (We SEM1 115825 110440 109121	CS75) SEM3 -120388 -112088 -109743	

Author contributions. YW, CZ and RG designed the experiments together. YW, CZ, RG, and TZ implemented the model simulations. YW processed, analysed and visualised the simulation results. YW drafted the paper. All authors contributed to the refinement of the experiments,

430 the interpretation of the results and the final paper.

Competing interests. The co-author, Ben Galton-Fenzi, is a member of the editorial board of The Cryosphere

Ministry of Education and Culture and CSC - IT Center for Science (Decision diary number OKM/10/524/2022).

Acknowledgements. Yu Wang, Chen Zhao and Ben Galton-Fenzi received grant funding from the Australian Government as part of the Antarctic Science Collaboration Initiative program (ASCI000002). Chen Zhao is the recipient of an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Researcher Award (project number DE240100267) funded by the Australian Government. This research/project was undertaken with the assistance of resources and services from the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI), which is supported by the Australian Government. Rupert Gladstone and Thomas Zwinger were supported by Academy of Finland grant nos. 322430 and 355572, and wish to acknowledge CSC – IT Centre for Science, Finland, for computational resources. Rupert Gladstone was also supported by the Finnish

435

References

470

- 440 Adusumilli, S., Fricker, H. A., Medley, B., Padman, L., and Siegfried, M. R.: Interannual variations in meltwater input to the Southern Ocean from Antarctic ice shelves, Nature geoscience, 13, 616–620, 2020.
 - Agosta, C., Amory, C., Kittel, C., Orsi, A., Favier, V., Gallée, H., van den Broeke, M. R., Lenaerts, J. T. M., van Wessem, J. M., van de Berg, W. J., and Fettweis, X.: Estimation of the Antarctic surface mass balance using the regional climate model MAR (1979–2015) and identification of dominant processes, The Cryosphere, 13, 281–296, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-281-2019, 2019.
- 445 Arthern, R. J. and Williams, C. R.: The sensitivity of West Antarctica to the submarine melting feedback, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 2352–2359, https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL072514, 2017.
 - Asay-Davis, X. S., Cornford, S. L., Durand, G., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Gladstone, R. M., Gudmundsson, G. H., Hattermann, T., Holland, D. M., Holland, D., Holland, P. R., Martin, D. F., Mathiot, P., Pattyn, F., and Seroussi, H.: Experimental design for three interrelated marine ice sheet and ocean model intercomparison projects: MISMIP v. 3 (MISMIP+), ISOMIP v. 2 (ISOMIP+) and MISOMIP v. 1 (MISOMIP1),
- 450 Geoscientific Model Development, 9, 2471–2497, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-2471-2016, 2016.
 - Berends, C. J., Stap, L. B., and van de Wal, R. S. W.: Strong impact of sub-shelf melt parameterisation on ice-sheet retreat in idealised and realistic Antarctic topography, Journal of Glaciology, 69, 1434–1448, https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2023.33, 2023.
 - Brondex, J., Gillet-Chaulet, F., and Gagliardini, O.: Sensitivity of centennial mass loss projections of

the Amundsen basin to the friction law, The Cryosphere, 13, 177-195, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-177-2019, 2019.

- 455 Burgard, C., Jourdain, N. C., Reese, R., Jenkins, A., and Mathiot, P.: An assessment of basal melt parameterisations for Antarctic ice shelves, The Cryosphere, 16, 4931–4975, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-4931-2022, 2022.
 - Ciracì, E., Rignot, E., Scheuchl, B., Tolpekin, V., Wollersheim, M., An, L., Milillo, P., Bueso-Bello, J.-L., Rizzoli, P., and Dini, L.: Melt rates in the kilometer-size grounding zone of Petermann Glacier, Greenland, before and during a retreat, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120, e2220924 120, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2220924120, 2023.
- 460 Cornford, S. L., Seroussi, H., Asay-Davis, X. S., Gudmundsson, G. H., Arthern, R., Borstad, C., Christmann, J., Dias dos Santos, T., Feldmann, J., Goldberg, D., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Kleiner, T., Leguy, G., Lipscomb, W. H., Merino, N., Durand, G., Morlighem, M., Pollard, D., Rückamp, M., Williams, C. R., and Yu, H.: Results of the third Marine Ice Sheet Model Intercomparison Project (MISMIP+), The Cryosphere, 14, 2283–2301, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2283-2020, 2020.
 - Crotti, I., Quiquet, A., Landais, A., Stenni, B., Wilson, D. J., Severi, M., Mulvaney, R., Wilhelms, F., Barbante, C., and Frezzotti, M.: Wilkes
- 465 subglacial basin ice sheet response to Southern Ocean warming during late Pleistocene interglacials, nature communications, 13, 5328, 2022.

Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: The physics of glaciers, Academic Press, 2010.

Dapogny, C., Dobrzynski, C., and Frey, P.: Three-dimensional adaptive domain remeshing, implicit domain meshing, and applications to free and moving boundary problems, Journal of Computational Physics, 262, 358–378, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2014.01.005, 2014.

- Davis, P. E., Nicholls, K. W., Holland, D. M., Schmidt, B. E., Washam, P., Riverman, K. L., Arthern, R. J., Vaňková, I., Eayrs, C., Smith, J. A., et al.: Suppressed basal melting in the eastern Thwaites Glacier grounding zone, Nature, 614, 479–485, 2023.
- Favier, L., Durand, G., Cornford, S. L., Gudmundsson, G. H., Gagliardini, O., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Zwinger, T., Payne, A., and Le Brocq,A. M.: Retreat of Pine Island Glacier controlled by marine ice-sheet instability, Nature Climate Change, 4, 117–121, 2014.

- 475 Favier, L., Jourdain, N. C., Jenkins, A., Merino, N., Durand, G., Gagliardini, O., Gillet-Chaulet, F., and Mathiot, P.: Assessment of sub-shelf melting parameterisations using the ocean-ice-sheet coupled model NEMO(v3.6)-Elmer/Ice(v8.3), Geoscientific Model Development, 12, 2255–2283, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-2255-2019, 2019.
 - Feldmann, J., Albrecht, T., Khroulev, C., Pattyn, F., and Levermann, A.: Resolution-dependent performance of grounding line motion in a shallow model compared with a full-Stokes model according to the MISMIP3d intercomparison, Journal of Glaciology, 60, 353–360, https://doi.org/10.3189/2014JoG13J093, 2014.
 - Gagliardini, O., Durand, G., Zwinger, T., Hindmarsh, R. C. A., and Le Meur, E.: Coupling of ice-shelf melting and buttressing is a key process in ice-sheets dynamics, Geophysical Research Letters, 37, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GL043334, 2010.

480

485

- Gagliardini, O., Zwinger, T., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Durand, G., Favier, L., de Fleurian, B., Greve, R., Malinen, M., Martín, C., Råback, P., Ruokolainen, J., Sacchettini, M., Schäfer, M., Seddik, H., and Thies, J.: Capabilities and performance of Elmer/Ice, a new-generation ice sheet model, Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 1299–1318, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-6-1299-2013, 2013.
- Galton-Fenzi, B. K.: Modelling ice-shelf/ocean interaction, Thesis, University of Tasmania, https://doi.org/10.25959/23233199.v1, 2009.
 Geuzaine, C. and Remacle, J.-F.: Gmsh: A 3-D finite element mesh generator with built-in pre- and post-processing facilities, International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering, 79, 1309–1331, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.2579, 2009.
- Gillet-Chaulet, F., Gagliardini, O., Seddik, H., Nodet, M., Durand, G., Ritz, C., Zwinger, T., Greve, R., and Vaughan, D. G.: Greenland ice
 sheet contribution to sea-level rise from a new-generation ice-sheet model, The Cryosphere, 6, 1561–1576, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-6-1561-2012, 2012.
 - Gladstone, R. M., Lee, V., Vieli, A., and Payne, A. J.: Grounding line migration in an adaptive mesh ice sheet model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 115, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JF001615, 2010.
- Gladstone, R. M., Warner, R. C., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Gagliardini, O., Zwinger, T., and Greve, R.: Marine ice sheet model performance
 depends on basal sliding physics and sub-shelf melting, The Cryosphere, 11, 319–329, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-11-319-2017, 2017.
 - Glen, J.: The flow law of ice: A discussion of the assumptions made in glacier theory, their experimental foundations and consequences, IASH Publ, 47, e183, 1958.
 - Goldberg, D., Holland, D. M., and Schoof, C.: Grounding line movement and ice shelf buttressing in marine ice sheets, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 114, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JF001227, 2009.
- 500 Goldberg, D. N., Gourmelen, N., Kimura, S., Millan, R., and Snow, K.: How Accurately Should We Model Ice Shelf Melt Rates?, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 189–199, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL080383, 2019.
 - Golledge, N. R., Levy, R. H., McKay, R. M., and Naish, T. R.: East Antarctic ice sheet most vulnerable to Weddell Sea warming, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 2343–2351, https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL072422, 2017.
- Greene, C. A., Gardner, A. S., Schlegel, N.-J., and Fraser, A. D.: Antarctic calving loss rivals ice-shelf thinning, Nature, 609, 948–953, 2022.
- 505 Greve, R., Calov, R., Obase, T., Saito, F., Tsutaki, S., and Abe-Ouchi, A.: ISMIP6 future projections for the Antarctic ice sheet with the model SICOPOLIS, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4035932, Funding acknowledgements: Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI grant Nos. JP16H02224, JP17H06104 and JP17H06323. PalMod project (PalMod 1.1 and 1.3 with grants 01LP1502C and 01LP1504D) of the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF)., 2020.
 - Hill, E. A., Urruty, B., Reese, R., Garbe, J., Gagliardini, O., Durand, G., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Gudmundsson, G. H., Winkelmann, R., Chekki,
- 510 M., Chandler, D., and Langebroek, P. M.: The stability of present-day Antarctic grounding lines Part 1: No indication of marine ice sheet instability in the current geometry, The Cryosphere, 17, 3739–3759, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-17-3739-2023, 2023.

Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., and Schoof, C. G.: Regularized Coulomb Friction Laws for Ice Sheet Sliding: Application to Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 4764–4771, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL082526, 2019.

Jourdain, N. C., Asay-Davis, X., Hattermann, T., Straneo, F., Seroussi, H., Little, C. M., and Nowicki, S.: A protocol for calculating basal melt 515 rates in the ISMIP6

Antarctic ice sheet projections, The Cryosphere, 14, 3111–3134, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3111-2020, 2020.

Lazeroms, W. M. J., Jenkins, A., Gudmundsson, G. H., and van de Wal, R. S. W.: Modelling present-day basal melt rates for Antarctic ice shelves using a parametrization of buoyant meltwater plumes, The Cryosphere, 12, 49–70, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-49-2018, 2018.

- Leguy, G. R., Asay-Davis, X. S., and Lipscomb, W. H.: Parameterization of basal friction near grounding lines in a one-dimensional ice sheet
 model, The Cryosphere, 8, 1239–1259, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-1239-2014, 2014.
 - Leguy, G. R., Lipscomb, W. H., and Asay-Davis, X. S.: Marine ice sheet experiments with the Community Ice Sheet Model, The Cryosphere, 15, 3229–3253, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-3229-2021, 2021.
 - Lilien, D. A., Joughin, I., Smith, B., and Gourmelen, N.: Melt at grounding line controls observed and future retreat of Smith, Pope, and Kohler glaciers, The Cryosphere, 13, 2817–2834, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2817-2019, 2019.
- 525 MacAyeal, D. R.: Large-scale ice flow over a viscous basal sediment: Theory and application to ice stream B, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 94, 4071–4087, 1989.

Mengel, M. and Levermann, A.: Ice plug prevents irreversible discharge from East Antarctica, Nature Climate Change, 4, 451–455, 2014. Morlighem, M.: MEaSUREs BedMachine Antarctica, Version 3, https://doi.org/10.5067/FPSU0V1MWUB6, 2022.

- Morlighem, M., Rignot, E., Binder, T., Blankenship, D., Drews, R., Eagles, G., Eisen, O., Ferraccioli, F., Forsberg, R., Fretwell, P., et al.:
 Deep glacial troughs and stabilizing ridges unveiled beneath the margins of the Antarctic ice sheet, Nature Geoscience, 13, 132–137, 2020.
- Morlighem, M., Goldberg, D., Dias dos Santos, T., Lee, J., and Sagebaum, M.: Mapping the Sensitivity of the Amundsen Sea Embayment to Changes in External Forcings Using Automatic Differentiation, Geophysical Research Letters, 48, e2021GL095440, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL095440, e2021GL095440 2021GL095440, 2021.

Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., and Rignot, E.: MEaSUREs Antarctic Boundaries for IPY 2007-2009 from Satellite Radar, Version 2, https://doi.org/10.5067/AXE4121732AD, 2017.

- Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., and Scheuchl, B.: MEaSUREs Phase-Based Antarctica Ice Velocity Map, Version 1, https://doi.org/10.5067/PZ3NJ5RXRH10, 2019a.
 - Mouginot, J., Rignot, E., and Scheuchl, B.: Continent-Wide, Interferometric SAR Phase, Mapping of Antarctic Ice Velocity, Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 9710–9718, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL083826, 2019b.
- 540 Noble, T. L., Rohling, E. J., Aitken, A. R. A., Bostock, H. C., Chase, Z., Gomez, N., Jong, L. M., King, M. A., Mackintosh, A. N., McCormack, F. S., McKay, R. M., Menviel, L., Phipps, S. J., Weber, M. E., Fogwill, C. J., Gayen, B., Golledge, N. R., Gwyther, D. E., Hogg, A. M., Martos, Y. M., Pena-Molino, B., Roberts, J., van de Flierdt, T., and Williams, T.: The Sensitivity of the Antarctic Ice Sheet to a Changing Climate: Past, Present, and Future, Reviews of Geophysics, 58, e2019RG000663, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019RG000663, e2019RG000663 2019RG000663, 2020.
- 545 Nowicki, S., Goelzer, H., Seroussi, H., Payne, A. J., Lipscomb, W. H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Agosta, C., Alexander, P., Asay-Davis, X. S., Barthel, A., Bracegirdle, T. J., Cullather, R., Felikson, D., Fettweis, X., Gregory, J. M., Hattermann, T., Jourdain, N. C., Kuipers Munneke, P., Larour, E., Little, C. M., Morlighem, M., Nias, I., Shepherd, A., Simon, E., Slater, D., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Trusel, L. D., van den Broeke, M. R., and van de Wal, R.: Experimental protocol for sea level projections from ISMIP6 stand-alone ice sheet models, The Cryosphere, 14, 2331–2368, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-2331-2020, 2020.

- 550 Parizek, B. R., Christianson, K., Anandakrishnan, S., Alley, R. B., Walker, R. T., Edwards, R. A., Wolfe, D. S., Bertini, G. T., Rinehart, S. K., Bindschadler, R. A., and Nowicki, S. M. J.: Dynamic (in)stability of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 118, 638–655, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrf.20044, 2013.
 - Reese, R., Gudmundsson, G. H., Levermann, A., and Winkelmann, R.: The far reach of ice-shelf thinning in Antarctica, Nature Climate Change, 8, 53–57, 2018.
- 555 Rignot, E., Jacobs, S., Mouginot, J., and Scheuchl, B.: Ice-Shelf Melting Around Antarctica, Science, 341, 266–270, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1235798, 2013.
 - Rignot, E., Mouginot, J., Scheuchl, B., Van Den Broeke, M., Van Wessem, M. J., and Morlighem, M.: Four decades of Antarctic Ice Sheet mass balance from 1979–2017, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 1095–1103, 2019.
- Robel, A. A., Seroussi, H., and Roe, G. H.: Marine ice sheet instability amplifies and skews uncertainty in projections of future sea-level rise,
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 116, 14 887–14 892, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1904822116, 2019.
 - Robel, A. A., Wilson, E., and Seroussi, H.: Layered seawater intrusion and melt under grounded ice, The Cryosphere, 16, 451–469, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-451-2022, 2022.
 - Schoof, C.: Ice sheet grounding line dynamics: Steady states, stability, and hysteresis, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 112, 2007.
- 565 Seroussi, H. and Morlighem, M.: Representation of basal melting at the grounding line in ice flow models, The Cryosphere, 12, 3085–3096, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3085-2018, 2018.
 - Seroussi, H., Morlighem, M., Larour, E., Rignot, E., and Khazendar, A.: Hydrostatic grounding line parameterization in ice sheet models, The Cryosphere, 8, 2075–2087, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-2075-2014, 2014.
- Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S., Simon, E., Abe-Ouchi, A., Albrecht, T., Brondex, J., Cornford, S., Dumas, C., Gillet-Chaulet, F., Goelzer, H.,
- Golledge, N. R., Gregory, J. M., Greve, R., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Huybrechts, P., Kleiner, T., Larour, E., Leguy, G., Lipscomb, W. H., Lowry, D., Mengel, M., Morlighem, M., Pattyn, F., Payne, A. J., Pollard, D., Price, S. F., Quiquet, A., Reerink, T. J., Reese, R., Rodehacke, C. B., Schlegel, N.-J., Shepherd, A., Sun, S., Sutter, J., Van Breedam, J., van de Wal, R. S. W., Winkelmann, R., and Zhang, T.: initMIP-Antarctica: an ice sheet model initialization experiment of ISMIP6, The Cryosphere, 13, 1441–1471, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1441-2019, 2019.
- 575 Seroussi, H., Nowicki, S., Payne, A. J., Goelzer, H., Lipscomb, W. H., Abe-Ouchi, A., Agosta, C., Albrecht, T., Asay-Davis, X., Barthel, A., Calov, R., Cullather, R., Dumas, C., Galton-Fenzi, B. K., Gladstone, R., Golledge, N. R., Gregory, J. M., Greve, R., Hattermann, T., Hoffman, M. J., Humbert, A., Huybrechts, P., Jourdain, N. C., Kleiner, T., Larour, E., Leguy, G. R., Lowry, D. P., Little, C. M., Morlighem, M., Pattyn, F., Pelle, T., Price, S. F., Quiquet, A., Reese, R., Schlegel, N.-J., Shepherd, A., Simon, E., Smith, R. S., Straneo, F., Sun, S., Trusel, L. D., Van Breedam, J., van de Wal, R. S. W., Winkelmann, R., Zhao, C., Zhang, T., and Zwinger, T.: ISMIP6 Antarctica: a multi-
- 580 model ensemble of the Antarctic ice sheet evolution over the 21st century, The Cryosphere, 14, 3033–3070, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-3033-2020, 2020.
 - Seroussi, H. L.: ISMIP6 Antarctica 2300 Projections, in: AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, vol. 2022, pp. C42D-1053, 2022.
 - Shean, D. E., Joughin, I. R., Dutrieux, P., Smith, B. E., and Berthier, E.: Ice shelf basal melt rates from a high-resolution digital elevation model (DEM) record for Pine Island Glacier, Antarctica, The Cryosphere, 13, 2633–2656, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-2633-2019, 2019.
- 585 Slater, D. A., Nienow, P., Goldberg, D., Cowton, T., and Sole, A.: A model for tidewater glacier undercutting by submarine melting, Geophysical Research Letters, 44, 2360–2368, 2017.

Slater, D. A., Felikson, D., Straneo, F., Goelzer, H., Little, C. M., Morlighem, M., Fettweis, X., and Nowicki, S.: Twenty-first century ocean forcing of the Greenland ice sheet for modelling of sea level contribution, The Cryosphere, 14, 985–1008, 2020.

Smith, B., Fricker, H. A., Gardner, A. S., Medley, B., Nilsson, J., Paolo, F. S., Holschuh, N., Adusumilli, S., Brunt, K., Csatho, B., Harbeck,

- 590 K., Markus, T., Neumann, T., Siegfried, M. R., and Zwally, H. J.: Pervasive ice sheet mass loss reflects competing ocean and atmosphere processes, Science, 368, 1239–1242, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz5845, 2020.
 - Sutter, J., Eisen, O., Werner, M., Grosfeld, K., Kleiner, T., and Fischer, H.: Limited retreat of the Wilkes Basin ice sheet during the Last Interglacial, Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2020GL088 131, 2020.

Weertman, J.: On the Sliding of Glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 3, 33–38, https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000024709, 1957.

595 Yu, H., Rignot, E., Seroussi, H., and Morlighem, M.: Retreat of Thwaites Glacier, West Antarctica, over the next 100 years using various ice flow models, ice shelf melt scenarios and basal friction laws, The Cryosphere, 12, 3861–3876, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-12-3861-2018, 2018.