## **Supplementary Material**

Ziying Yang<sup>1, 2</sup>, Jiping Liu<sup>3</sup>, Mirong Song<sup>1</sup>, Yongyun Hu<sup>4</sup>, Qinghua Yang<sup>3</sup>, Ke Fan<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China <sup>3</sup>School of Atmospheric Sciences, Sun Yat-sen University, and Southern Marine Science and Engineering Guangdong Laboratory (Zhuhai), Zhuhai 519082, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, School of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100087, China

Correspondence to: Jiping Liu (liujp63@mail.sysu.edu.cn)

Contents of this file

Figure S1 to S4



Figure S1. The monthly mean sea ice concentration of the NSIDC observations for (a) February and (e) September, and the errors predicted by ANTSIC-UNet (b1-b3, f1-f3), the linear trend model (c and g), and anomaly persistence model (d1-d3, h1-h3) at lead time of 1, 3, and 5 months for February (upper panel) and September (lower panel) for 2017.



Figure S2. Same as figure S1 but for 2022.



Figure S3. Seasonality errors of the Pan- and regional Antarctic monthly mean SIE (SIC > 15%) between NSIDC observations and ANTSIC-UNet (a-f) and anomaly persistence model (g-l) predictions at different lead times for 2017. The black lines show the seasonality SIE errors between observations and linear trend model. (units: million square kilometers)



Figure S4. Same as figure S3 but for 2022.