Future methane fluxes of peatlands are controlled by management practices and fluctuations in hydrological conditions due to climatic variability

Vilna Tyystjärvi¹, Tiina Markkanen¹, Leif Backman¹, Maarit Raivonen², Antti Leppänen², Xuefei Li², Paavo Ojanen^{3,4}, Kari Minkkinen³, Roosa Hautala³, Mikko Peltoniemi⁴, Jani Anttila⁴, Raija Laiho⁴, Annalea Lohila¹, Raisa Mäkipää⁴, and Tuula Aalto¹

¹Climate System Research, Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland
 ²Institute for Atmospheric and Earth System Research, Faculty of Science, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
 ³Department of Forest Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
 ⁴Natural Resources Institute Finland, Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence: Vilna Tyystjärvi (vilna.tyystjarvi@uni-bonn.de)

Abstract. Peatland management practices, such as drainage and restoration, have a strong effect on boreal peatland methane (CH_4) fluxes. Furthermore, CH_4 fluxes are strongly controlled by local environmental conditions, such as soil hydrology, tem-

- 5 perature and vegetation, which are all experiencing considerable changes due to climate change. Both management practices and climate change are expected to influence peatland CH_4 fluxes during this century but the magnitude and net impact of these changes is still insufficiently understood. In this study, we simulated the impacts of two forest management practices, rotational forestry and continuous cover forestry, as well as peatland restoration on hypothetical forestry-drained peatlands across Finland using the land surface model JSBACH coupled with the soil carbon model YASSO and peatland methane model
- 10 HIMMELI. We further simulated the impacts of climatic warming using two RCP (Representative Concentration Pathway) emission scenarios, RCP 2.6 and RCP 4.5. We investigated the response of CH₄ fluxes, soil water-table level (WTL), soil temperatures, and soil carbon dynamics to changes in management practices and climate. Our results show that management practices have a strong impact on peatland WTLs and CH₄ emissions continuing for several decades, with emissions increasing after restoration and clearcutting. Towards the end of the century, WTLs increase slightly likely due to increasing precipitation.
- 15 CH_4 fluxes have opposing trends in restored and drained peatlands. In restored peatlands, CH_4 emissions decrease towards the end of the century following decomposition of harvest residue in the top peat layers despite of increasing WTL, while in drained peatland forests sinks get weaker and occasional emissions become more common, likely due to rising WTL and soil temperatures. The strength of these trends vary across the country, with CH_4 emissions from restored peatlands decreasing more strongly in southern Finland and forest soil CH_4 sinks weakening most in northern Finland.

20 1 Introduction

25

Boreal peatlands are considerable sinks of carbon, storing approximately 270-370 Pg of carbon (Turunen et al., 2002). However, they are also a large source of methane (CH₄) (Turetsky et al., 2014; Abdalla et al., 2016), the second most important greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide (IPCC, 2013). In total, CH₄ emissions from northern peatlands form approximately 20 % of global wetland emissions and are a notable source of uncertainty in the global methane budget (Saunois et al., 2016). The magnitude of CH₄ fluxes varies strongly depending on local factors, particularly soil water-table level (WTL) and soil temperature, controlling CH₄ emissions (Christensen et al., 2003; Turetsky et al., 2014). While pristine peatlands are strong sources of CH₄, drained and managed peatlands can turn to small sinks of CH₄ when the lowered WTL facilitates oxic conditions and CH₄ oxidation in a thicker surface peat layer. However, drained peatlands also simultaneously often turn to sources of CO₂

due to increased oxic soil respiration (Ojanen et al., 2010; Korkiakoski et al., 2019). On the other hand, restoration of drained
peatlands to wetlands can reverse these changes, turning peatlands to sources of CH₄ and sinks of CO₂ (Wilson et al., 2016). In order to understand these trade-offs and the role of CH₄ fluxes in the peatland carbon balance and the climate impacts of peatland management, it is important to study peatland CH₄ fluxes in managed peatlands for several decades after harvest and restoration managements.

CH₄ is produced in peatlands by microbes when soil carbon compounds decompose in anoxic conditions, typically in the

- 35 water-logged peat layer (e.g. Lai, 2009). From the anoxic layer, CH_4 is transported to the atmosphere directly via plants and through the above soil layers through diffusion and ebullition. Microbes also oxidise CH_4 , largely in the oxic soil layer above WTL (Xu et al., 2016). WTL is thus an important factor controlling the CH_4 flux from peatlands as it controls the thicknesses of both anoxic and oxic layers where CH_4 is produced and oxidised, respectively. However, previous studies have found that in wetlands where WTL stays constantly high, other factors become more important in controlling the variation of CH_4 flux
- 40 (Olefeldt et al., 2013; Turetsky et al., 2014). Soil temperature has been found to be a significant controller of peatland CH₄ flux, impacting soil microbial activity (Bubier et al., 1995; Zhao et al., 2016). Additionally, vegetation properties such as plant productivity and species composition control both methane production and transport (Dorodnikov et al., 2011; Turetsky et al., 2014).

While pristine peatlands are a source of CH₄, they are typically a net sink of carbon as soil organic matter gradually accumulates in the deep anoxic peat layers where decomposition is very slow (Turunen et al., 2002; Nilsson et al., 2008). However, globally approximately 15 Mha of boreal peatlands have been drained for forestry (Paavilainen and Päivänen, 1995; Päivänen and Hånell, 2012) which has a considerable impact on the ecosystem carbon balance (Ojanen et al., 2013; Korkiakoski et al., 2019; Mäkipää et al., 2023). Nearly one third of these peatlands (4.7 Mha) are located in Finland. The most common forest management option in peatland forests is rotational forestry which involves clearcutting the forest and requires ditches to con-

trol WTL in order to maintain sufficiently low WTL for forest production after forest harvesting (Paavilainen and Päivänen, 1995; Nieminen et al., 2018). While lowering WTL decreases CH_4 emissions and may turn the soil to small CH_4 sinks (Ojanen et al., 2010), it simultaneously increases the soil CO_2 emissions by enhancing soil organic matter decomposition (Ojanen et al., 2013; Korkiakoski et al., 2019). This can turn the forest to a source of atmospheric CO_2 or decrease its sink (Ojanen et al., 2010, 2013; Hommeltenberg et al., 2014). This effect is particularly strong in nutrient-rich peatlands which receive their water

- 55 from both precipitation and ground-water and which support faster forest growth but also faster decomposition of soil carbon (Meyer et al., 2013; Ojanen and Minkkinen, 2019). In nutrient-poor peatlands, which receive water solely from precipitation and thus have limited nutrient availability, this effect tends to be smaller although in forestry-drained peatlands, fertilization also plays a role in nutrient availability and its impacts (Ojanen et al., 2013; Minkkinen et al., 2018). Furthermore, clearcutting and ditch maintenance impose a heavy nutrient and carbon load on local water bodies, decreasing water quality considerably
- 60 (Nieminen, 2004). Therefore, management options that do not involve regular clearcuts or ditch maintenance have been suggested in order to mitigate the climatic and environmental impacts of rotational forestry in boreal peatlands. In this study, in addition to rotational forestry, we consider continuous cover forestry and restoration of wetlands as alternative management solutions as these have been put forward as methods to mitigate the climatic and environmental impacts of peatland forestry (Nieminen et al., 2018; Günther et al., 2020).
- 65 Continuous cover forestry is an alternative forest management option to rotational forestry (Nieminen et al., 2018). The precise harvesting methods vary but in effect only part of the forest stand is removed at one time, leading to a heterogeneous forest structure. Continuous cover forestry decreases the need to maintain ditches as the continuous forest cover upholds a reasonably low WTL through evapotranspiration (Pothier et al., 2003; Leppä et al., 2020). It can also improve peatland carbon balance as increased CH_4 and CO2 emissions following clearcut harvests can be avoided (Korkiakoski et al., 2020, 2023).
- To fully restore the ecohydrological conditions and functions of wetlands, restoration of drained peatlands by rewetting has been done (Menberu et al., 2016; Günther et al., 2020). In drained peatland forests, this typically means at least reducing drainage by blocking ditches, as well as removing or reducing tree cover, which should lead to a significant rise in the WTL and a gradual return of wetland vegetation (Tarvainen et al., 2013; Maanavilja et al., 2015; Menberu et al., 2016).
- Besides changes in the management of drained peatlands, the rapidly changing climate will also influence future CH₄ fluxes.
 In Finland, mean annual temperatures are rising twice at the rate of global averages (Mikkonen et al., 2015). Precipitation, but also evapotranspiration, are expected to increase, causing changes in hydrological conditions as well (Ruosteenoja and Jylhä, 2021). Previous studies have shown varying responses of peatland CH₄ fluxes to warming, largely depending on the simultaneous changes in local WTL (Turetsky et al., 2008; Laine et al., 2019; Peltoniemi et al., 2016). However, the combined effects of peatland management practices and climate change on CH₄ fluxes are insufficiently understood.
- ⁸⁰ Understanding the combined current and future effects of climate and land-use change in any ecosystem is challenging due to the various processes and feedback loops between different variables. To answer this challenge, several complex processbased models have been developed over the years. These types of models aim to describe the relevant biogeochemical and physical processes of a system, thus simulating how the system functions and how it responds to changes in the surrounding conditions. While these models can be useful in e.g. testing hypotheses and understanding future conditions, they can also
- 85 contain considerable sources of uncertainty due their complexity. Furthermore, considering the level of detail in how the simulation is created is important. Larger-scale processes and variations in climatical regions can be studied with regionally averaged data while more local processes require specific site-level information. Careful consideration of the reliability of the results and how closely the simulated results describe real-life conditions is necessary to interpret model studies correctly.

Despite their drawbacks, these models are necessary tools to understand the impacts of human actions on ecosystems, such as

90 drained peatlands (Lehtonen et al., 2023).

In this study, we have simulated what would happen to CH_4 fluxes in a forestry-drained, nutrient-rich peatland during the 21st century if in 2020 a management decision was made to 1) continue rotational forestry, 2) shift to continuous cover forestry or 3) restore the area to a wetland. The simulations were run throughout Finland at a regional level under two climate scenarios, RCP 2.6 and RCP 4.5. We used the land surface model JSBACH that is driven by daily climate model data and

simulates hydrology, vegetation dynamics and carbon balance as well as the response of the ecosystem to climatic changes, extreme events and management options. We coupled JSBACH with the YASSO soil carbon model and HIMMELI methane model to simulate relevant processes in peatland carbon cycling. In this study, we investigated the simulated CH_4 flux as well as soil WTL, temperature and soil carbon pools to understand 1) the combined effects of peatland management practices and climate change on future CH_4 fluxes and 2) how CH_4 fluxes vary across Finland.

100 2 Model description and methodology

2.1 Land surface model JSBACH

105

JSBACH (Reick et al., 2013) is the land surface model of the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology Earth System Model (MPI-ESM) (Giorgetta et al., 2013) that simulates terrestrial energy, hydrology and carbon fluxes. Sub-grid scale heterogeneity is described through different vegetation types, in JSBACH called plant functional types (PFT) which are represented in the model through separate tiles within each grid cell. These are linked with a set of properties, such as phenology type or albedo,

- that relate the PFTs to the processes accounted for in JSBACH (Reick et al., 2013). A detailed description of the whole model can be found in Reick et al. (2021). In this work we made site simulations with only one PFT per site, assigning extratropical evergreen PFT, which corresponds reasonably well with Finnish peatland forests, for forests and peatland vegetation for restored and pristine peatland runs. We used a version of JSBACH3 that has been connected with Yasso soil carbon model
- 110 ((JSBACH-peat; Goll et al., 2015) and which we applied to peatlands with WTL dynamics following Kleinen et al. (2020). Additionally, we coupled JSBACH-peat with HIMMELI methane model to simulate peatland methane dynamics (Raivonen et al., 2017). Then, to simulate forest management on peatlands, we coupled JSBACH-peat with another model set-up of JSBACH which can account for forest growth within the forest PFTs in a similar way to Nabel et al. (2020) and is hereafter referred to as JSBACH-FOM. The peat layer is transferred between model setups. Below we discuss the model parts that have
- 115 been modified and are most relevant for this study (Figure 1 a).

2.1.1 Soil water-table level control

The vertical soil moisture dynamics (vertical diffusion, gravitational drainage and water inputs and losses at different layers) in JSBACH are described through a one-dimensional Richards equation (Reick et al., 2021)

$$\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(D \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial K}{\partial z} + S \tag{1}$$

a) model structure



Figure 1. Schematics of a) how the models JSBACH, YASSO and HIMMELI are linked to each other and b) the experiment timeline in each model run. The acronyms in the figure are: T_a = air temperature, P_a air pressure, Pr = precipitation, RH = relative humidity, SW and LW = short-wave and long-wave radiation, WS = wind speed, NPP = Net Primary Production, LAI = Leaf Area Index, WTL = water-table level, C pools = carbon pools.

120 where D(z,t) is the soil water diffusivity, K(z,t) soil hydraulic conductivity and S(z) water inputs and losses from precipitation, evapotranspiration, surface runoff and drainage. Li et al. (2024) implemented soil-moisture dependent WTL in the peatland-YASSO in a drained peatland to partition the peat soil into anaerobic and aerobic fractions. We further coupled the peatland forest evapotranspiration with WTL and allowed WTL movement deeper down in the total peat column, which is important in drained peatlands. WTL was estimated from peat column water volume that is controlled by liquid precipitation,

- 125 snow melt, evapotranspiration (ET) and run-off. The formulation of WTL used for pristine wetland was made following the approach in Wania et al. (2009) and the potential evapotranspiration was used to estimate ET. In our implementation for drained peatlands the actual simulated forest ET was used to drive the water balance. This change was made to account for the impact of forest growth on the ET. The range of WTL was increased to 0.95 m to allow WTL to fluctuate in a deeper layer than the 0.3 m of the pristine wetland set-up. Moreover, the minimum fractional water content was increased to 0.65 from its default
- 130 value of 0.25 implying that in our formulation it does not represent the original physical definition of water holding capacity of spaghnum peat, but has to rather be considered a tuning parameter for adjusting the WTL variability to its observed level and range of variability.

2.1.2 Yasso soil carbon model

To simulate soil organic carbon and its decomposition, we used the Yasso soil carbon model that has been coupled with JS-135 BACH (Goll et al., 2015). Yasso divides soil carbon into slowly and rapidly decomposing pools consisting of carbon originating from either woody or non-woody plant structural parts. The four rapidly decomposing pools (i.e. AWEN; acid-soluble, watersoluble, ethanol-soluble and non-soluble) take into account the chemical composition of the litter input which in turn depends on the PFTs. Each pool consists of an above-ground and a below-ground part and in addition, there is the slowly decaying pool, called catotelm pool. The soil carbon in each pool is determined by eq. 2 (Viskari et al., 2022)

140
$$\frac{\partial x(t)}{\partial t} = M(\theta, c)x(t)^T + b(t)$$
(2)

where operator M is the product of decomposition and mass fluxes between compartments, and b(t) is the litter input to the soil. θ represents the parameters driving decomposition and c the factors controlling decomposition. The model parameters have been determined based on litter decomposition measurements worldwide in order to obtain realistic heterotrophic respiration rates for a range of conditions. In JSBACH-FOM, the model parameters follow the calibration done in forest soils by Tuomi et al. (2009; Table A1). In JSBACH-peat, parameters follow the parametrisation used by Li et al. (2024; Table A2). A more

145

detailed description of the model and parameter optimization can be found in Tuomi et al. (2009).

In the peatland implementation, the WTL further divides soil into oxic and anoxic layers. The decomposition of the anoxic fraction is slowed down from the oxic reference decomposition (Tables A1 and A2). The slowly decaying pool is the bottom layer and the fast-decaying layer on top of that consists of below-ground and above-ground AWEN pools, in this order. The

150 thickness of the anoxic and oxic layers is determined by the carbon contents of the pools and their bulk densities. The oxic and anoxic fractions of each carbon pool are determined by WTL depth in soil.

2.1.3 JSBACH-FOM

To simulate forest growth and harvest, we used JSBACH-FOM. It accounts for the age of the forest PFT and has a different control of maximum forest leaf area index (LAI) compared to JSBACH-peat where maximum LAI is only dependent on the

- 155 plant functional type. In JSBACH-FOM, it is dependent on available leaf biomass which is used to simulate forest regrowth (see Nabel et al., 2020; Wey et al., 2022). Change in total vegetation carbon is used as a basis for calculation of the growing forest. The number of trees per area, or stem number, is calculated from the total vegetation carbon assuming that the forests are in a self-thinning state. The biomass per individual tree can then be used together with allometric relationships to derive the maximum LAI of the forest. The implementation of the forest harvesting and forest growth based on a maximum LAI is
- 160 described in detail by Nabel et al. (2020). The setup of the model parameters were adjusted to be suitable for our simulations and are explained in detail in appendix B. The harvest is done for each tile when forest age reaches the preset rotation time. Then, the forest is clearcut at the beginning of a year, and the age and size start from zero. The forest stand carbon pools are redistributed due to harvesting. The harvested carbon taking 77% of the above ground woody pool, is removed from the calculations. A half of the green carbon, both above and below ground vegetation parts, go into the Yasso AWEN litter
- 165 pools according to predefined fractions. The other half is located directly to the catotelm pool. Similarly, half of the below ground woody carbon, accounting for 30% of the growing stand total woody carbon, is distributed into the below ground litter pools, while the remaining half goes to the catotelm pool. In addition, the remaining 23% of the above ground woody carbon, accounting for the above ground growing stand, is distributed into below-ground litter pools (50%) and catotelm pool (50%). The redistribution of the cut forest stand carbon to the soil carbon pools in the context of selection harvests is done in a similar way.

2.1.4 HIMMELI methane model

To simulate CH_4 fluxes in peat soils, we used the HelsinkI Model of MEthane buiLd-up and emIssion for peatlands (HIMMELI) which has been developed to simulate the build-up, transport and oxidation of CH_4 in peat soils (Raivonen et al., 2017). The concentration of CH_4 at soil depth z is described through eq. 3

175
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}C_{CH_4}(t,z) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}F_{CH_4} - Q_{plt,CH_4} - Q_{ebu,CH_4} + R_{CH_4} - R_O$$
(3)

where F_{CH_4} is the diffusive flux of CH₄ in peat, Q_{plt,CH_4} is the transport rate via plant roots and W_{ebu,CH_4} via ebullition, R_{CH_4} is the production rate of CH₄ and R_0 is the oxidation rate. HIMMELI is driven by soil temperature, WTL, LAI of aerenchymatous plants and the rate of anaerobic soil respiration. HIMMELI simulates several microbial processes and transport pathways of CH₄, CO₂ and O₂, mainly CH₄ production and oxidation, aerobic respiration, ebullition, gas diffusion within the

180

FOM, gas transport within plant aerenchyma was not included as there are very little vascular plants with aerenchyma at drained peatlands (Laiho et al., 2003; Päivänen and Hånell, 2012). The methane model parameters used with JSBACH-FOM and pristine land simulations are given in Table A3.

peat layer and transport in the plant aerenchyma. Unlike in the HIMMELI version used in JSBACH-PEAT, in the JSBACH-

We used a HIMMELI version that was modified by Li et al. (2024) to better suit for simulating also CH4 uptake, which is relevant at drained peatland. The modified version differs from the original in how the concentrations of compounds in soil layers are treated in the case of lowering WT.

2.2 Experiment design

The two setups of JSBACH used in this study differ in terms of biomass dynamics and soil carbon model parameterisation. In JSBACH-FOM, the PFT was extra-tropical evergreen and in JSBACH-peat it was wetland vegetation which describes vegetation typical to natural peatlands. Peatland parameters from Hagemann and Stacke (2015) were used to describe the soil properties, e.g. soil porosity, saturated hydraulic conductivity, field capacity and wilting points and saturated moisture potential. Maximum root depth was set to 1.6 m because the model top soil layers tend to occasionally become relatively dry and thus unrealistically limiting photosynthesis.

The simulations were run forcing JSBACH with the regional EURO-CORDEX daily resolution climate data from the center point of each mainland administrative districts in Finland (Fig. 2) (Jacob et al., 2014). The regional CORDEX models are forced by coarse resolution global CMIP5 climate models. The Euro-CORDEX models are validated and bias-corrected by Finnish observations (Fig. 2) (Räisänen and Räty, 2013; Räty et al., 2014). Three climate models (CanESM2, MIROC5 and CNRM-CM5) based on two emission scenarios, RCPs 2.6 and 4.5, were used in order to better understand climate-related uncertainty in the results. For RCP 2.6, we only used models MIROC5 and CNRM-CM5 as not all required drivers were available in CanESM2.

The initial state of JSBACH can either be adopted from observations or produced in a spin-up run, where selected state variables are usually taken to an equilibrium state under given climate. For certain very slowly evolving state variables, such as carbon storages of pristine peatlands, a spin-up to equilibrium would require unrealistically long time and spin-up runs have to be interrupted prior to equilibrium. Thus, to account for soil carbon accumulation, we used a spin up of 10 000 years, the

- 205 time period since the last ice age, running only the soil carbon processes of the model system using climate data from the years1900-1930 together with NPP produced with respective climate and CO2 concentration of the year 1900. The resulting carbon stock from the spin up run was approximately 110-120 kg/m² (Table A4) and the peat depth 3.4-3.9 m which is within the range expected from previous studies that have estimated total carbon stocks of peatlands in Finland (Turunen et al., 2002; Juutinen et al., 2013).
- To account for the impact of the transient change of the climate and the CO2 concentration on the system state, we continued a full pristine wetland run from 1900 to 1950 with increasing CO2 concentration (Figure 1 b). In 1950, the model version was changed to JSBACH-FOM, conifer seedlings were planted, and the model was run until 2020 when the forest was 70 years old, with 640 trees per ha. JSBACH-FOM does not explicitly simulate ditches but model drainage and runoff do remove water from the soil. In 2020, each simulation was split into three management options which were continued until the end of the century.
- 215 The first option was rotational forestry in which the forest was harvested every 70 years, i.e. in 2020 and 2090. The harvest in this option was performed as a clearcut, where all the living stand was removed and the harvest residue was located to the YASSO pools.



Figure 2. Map of the Finnish mainland regions and the locations of the chamber measurements sites.

In the second option, continuous cover forestry, we did a selection harvesting by removing 50 % of the woody biomass every 15 years (Juutinen et al., 2021). The harvest was simulated by using JSBACH-FOM without applying the clearcut -growth cycles controlled through FOM. Instead, the state of the stand carbon storages and the consequent changes in the soil carbon storages were modified in simulation restarts timed to take place in constant time intervals. In each restart a pre-determined fraction of the stand biomass was removed with identical re-location fractions of the biomass to the wood products and soil carbon pools as in the baseline clearcut case. Effectively, the manipulation returns the stands to an earlier growth phase at the stand growth curve.

The third option was restoration to an undrained peatland in which there was a clearcut in 2020 and the simulation was continued using JSBACH-peat, with wetland vegetation cover, until 2100.

2.3 Flux evaluation data

To validate the simulated methane fluxes, we used manual soil chamber measurements of CH_4 fluxes from 5 forestry-drained, 27 restored and 6 pristine peatland sites in Finland, established for various research projects (Fig. 2). Measurement points had

230 intact ground vegetation and tree roots; thus, the measurements include all components of CH_4 flux between forest floor and the atmosphere.

Fluxes were measured using portable greenhouse gas measurement devices (LI-7810, LI-COR; M-GGA-918, ABB; Gasmet DX4015, Gasmet), except for the oldest measurements for which gas samples were taken into syringes and analyzed in the laboratory of the Natural Resources Institute Finland with a gas chromatograph equipped with an FI detector for methane. For

235

all measurements, a similar opaque round metal chamber (height 30 cm, diameter 31.5 cm) equipped with a fan for air mixing, was utilized. Measurements were carried out on a biweekly to monthly interval during the snow-free season (May–October) in 2021. A single measurement lasted either 2–5 minutes (portable devices) or 25 minutes (manual sampling for laboratory analysis).

240

In addition to the CH_4 flux, WTL and soil temperature at 5 cm depth during the flux measurements were measured. WTL was measured from a well that was a perforated plastic tube installed into the soil when establishing the study sites. It was measured either manually or utilizing the Odyssey Capacitance Water Level Loggers (Dataflow Systems Ltd). Soil temperature was measured either utilizing manual temperature probes or with iButton DS1921G loggers (Maxim Integrated).

The five forestry-drained sites were located in southern to central Finland (4 sites) and northern Finland (1 site). Each site had a control treatment without cuttings and a partial harvest treatment (thinning, overstorey harvesting or strip cutting). Three

of the sites had in addition a clearcut treatment. The flux measurements ranged from the 1st to the 9th year after cuttings during the years 2016–2021, depending on the site. The experiment setup and thus also the number of measurement points varied from site to site; yet there were always several points per treatment covering the typical soil moisture variations from the vicinity of the nearest ditch to the mid-strip. All the forestry-drained sites had been drained for several decades before the measurements. They were originally drained for practical forestry purposes with a typical ditch spacing of 40 meters and a ditch depth of ca.

1 meter. The sites represented a wide range of site types from oligotrophic to eutrophic peatlands.

250

The restored and pristine sites located in southern and central Finland. The restored sites were rewetted, either by damming or by filling up the ditches between 1993 and 2020. The sites had previously been drained for forestry: thus, they had a similar ditch spacing and ditch depth as the drained sites in this study. During restoration, ditch banks were cleared from trees, but no other tree stand management was done. CH_4 flux measurements were done during 2021 from several points per site. At the restored sites, measurement points were located on strips and formerly filled or dammed ditches. The sites again represented a

255

wide range of site types from oligotrophic to eutrophic.

As the aim of this paper was not to calibrate the model to these sites specifically but to understand the average drained peatland fluxes across Finland, the measurements were mainly used to check that the simulated values fall within expected ranges and that the responses to major environmental variables controlling CH_4 fluxes were similar.

260 2.4 Data analysis

In addition to the simulated CH_4 fluxes, we investigated management impacts on peatland WTL, carbon pools in the upper (fastly-decaying) peat layers, LAI and soil temperature in order to understand the controls of CH_4 .

To estimate trends in CH₄ fluxes and the environmental variables controlling them between 2020–2100, we used Mann-Kendall trend test which is used to determine whether there is a monotonic upward or downward trend in a time series (Mann, 1945; Kendall, 1948). The test was calculated for the continuous cover forestry and restoration options and for each climate model and region separately. The trends were not calculated for rotational forestry, as the clearcutting in 2090 interrupts any linear trends in the timeseries. The magnitude of the trend was estimated using Sen's slope estimator which is used to quantify significant linear trends in a timeseries Sen (1968). Both were calculated using the "trend" package, version 1.1.5. in R (Pohlert, 2023).

270 3 Results

275

3.1 Model validation

In both forestry-drained and restored peatlands, the range of simulated CH_4 fluxes was mostly within the measured values and the responses to soil temperature and watertable level changes were similar in measured and simulated fluxes (Figure 3). In restored peatlands, both models and measurements showed emissions to increase with increasing soil temperatures (Figure 3 a and Table A5). In forested peatlands, measurements and model results showed a weak sink of CH_4 when WTL was low (below -15 cm) and that the sink weakened with higher WTL (Figure 3 b and Table A6). The measurements showed occasional emissions regardless of WTL while the model results showed emissions only in the clearcut option when WTL was high. The CH_4 sinks were strongest in the mature forest (control sites) in both simulations and measurements. Average simulated WTL after restoration matched well with measured WTL, although the was more variation in the measured WTL, particularly right

280 after the restoration.

3.2 Environmental controls

Clearcutting and restoration had the largest impacts on WTL and fastly-decaying carbon pools but had no impact on summer soil temperatures (Figure 4). Summer WTL rose on average by 30 cm right after the clearcut and by 20 cm after restoration, while there was only a slight rise in WTL after continuous cover forestry (Figure 4). After the clearcut, WTL remained higher than in the continuous cover forestry option for 20–30 years and then decreased before the next clearcut in 2090. The higher WTL after a clearcut compared to restoration was due to differences in the LAI — in JSBACH-PEAT, LAI recovered rapidly after the restoration while after the clearcut, forest LAI took considerably longer to grow, keeping transpiration rates lower during the first years. Fastly-decaying pools increased following all management practices due to harvest residue but started do decrease due to the decomposition of the harvest residue in the rotational forestry and restoration options.

- Towards the end of the century under RCP 4.5, there was a slight positive trend in WTL in the continuous cover forestry option, particularly in northern and central Finland although the trend was not significant in all models and the estimated Sen's slopes varied considerably between models (Table A8). In the restored option, Uusimaa and other southern regions had a slight positive trend in WTL as well but western coastal regions had a slight negative trend that was also not significant in all models (Table A7). Fast-decaying pools had a clear negative (positive) trend throughout Finland in the restoration (continuous cover forestry) option but the trend was stronger (weaker) in southern and central Finland. Summer soil temperatures increased throughout the country with little regional variation in both management options.
 - 11



Figure 3. Comparisons between modelled and measured daily CH_4 fluxes and water table level. Panel a) shows CH_4 fluxes in soil temperature classes in restored peatlands and panel b) shows CH_4 fluxes in watertable level classes in forestry-drained peatlands. Panel c) shows WTL before and after restoration.



Figure 4. The impact of forest management on summer WTL, soil temperature (-22 cm below ground) and fast-decaying carbon pools under RCP scenario 4.5. Each boxplot shows variation created by yearly variation and differences in the three climate models. Two regions, Southwest Finland and Lapland, are presented to show regional variability across Finland. The first column (2010–2019) shows the situation in a mature forest before the beginning of the different management scenarios. The next two columns (2020-2024 and 2025-2029) show the situation during the first decade of the different management scenarios and the last three columns (2040-2049, 2060-2069, 2080-2089 and 2090-2099) show the development of the variables during the later decades.

3.3 The impact of management practices and climate on CH₄ fluxes

3.3.1 Restoration

300





Figure 5. Average annual CH4 flux in (a) restored peatlands and (b) under forest management scenarios (rotational and continuous cover forestry) during the 21st century under RCP scenario 4.5 in Southwestern Finland and Lapland. Each boxplot shows variation in the CH_4 flux created by yearly variation and differences in the three climate models. The first column (2010-2019) shows the situation in a mature forest before the beginning of the different management scenarios. The next two columns (2020-2024 and 2025-2029) show the situation during the first decade of the different management scenarios and the last three columns (2040-2049, 2060-2069, 2080-2089 and 2090-2099) show the development of CH4 flux during the later decades.

large as in Lapland during the first decade after restoration. After the first decade, emissions in southern Finland decreased to the same level as emissions in Lapland.

305

During the 21st century, there was a strong negative trend in CH_4 emissions, leading to smaller emissions by 2100 (Figure 6 and Table A7). The slope of the trend was largest in eastern Finland and the smallest in Lapland, causing Lapland to have higher emissions in later decades compared to other regions (Figure 5).



Figure 6. Regional variation in the CH₄ flux over Finland under RCP 4.5. Panel a) shows the mean annual flux averaged over three climate models in the three management options. Panel b) shows the average Sen's slope describing a linear trend in a timeseries in restored peatlands and in the continuous cover forestry management option. The dashed lines indicate how many of the three climate models estimated a statistically significant (p < 0.05) trend. No trend was calculated for rotational forestry as the clearcutting in 2090 would disrupt any linearity in the trend.

3.3.2 Continuous cover forestry

In continuous cover forestry, the start of harvesting in 2020 had very little impact on the CH₄ sink which remained approximately at -1- -2 kg (C) ha⁻¹a⁻¹ (Figure 5). The sink was stronger in southern Finland. In later decades, there was a slight
positive trend in the CH₄ in the northern and eastern parts of Finland under RCP 4.5, indicating a weakening CH₄ sink (Figure 6). However, there was also considerable variability between the climate models (Table A8).

3.3.3 Rotational forestry

Rotational forestry had a stronger impact on the CH_4 fluxes compared to continuous cover forestry, with the average sink weakening to 1 kgha⁻¹a⁻¹ in both southwestern Finland and Lapland under RCP scenario 4.5 (Figure 5). In the first decades after clearcutting, there was little regional variation in the CH_4 sink but after 2030, the sink decreased in southern Finland

while there was little change in Lapland throughout the century (Figure 6 a).

After the clearcut in 2020, the soil was on average a CH_4 sink despite occasional emissions during summer (Fig. 7). However, after the clearcut in 2090, the sporadic emissions increased, leading the soil to turn to a source of methane for several years under RCP 4.5 in most parts of the country(Figure 5). Number of days with emissions during summer increased the most in southern and central parts of the country where over third of the days during summer months had emissions, compared to less then 10 after the 2020 hermest. In Landard the increase in emission days use employed and an exercise the soil stored a CL

than 10 after the 2020 harvest. In Lapland, the increase in emission days was smallest and on average, the soil stayed a CH_4 sink. Soil temperatures were on average 1-2 degrees warmer in 2090 than in 2020 while WTL was only slightly higher, by 1-2 cm. Anoxic conditions in the fast-decaying upper carbon pools increased considerably after the 2090 clearcut, likely due to the slightly higher WTL and increases in the fastly-decaying pool.

325 3.4 The impact of climate scenarios

In the forestry-drained peatlands, there were stronger regional differences under RCP 2.6 compared to RCP 4.5 with a stronger CH_4 sink in both management options in southern Finland compared to northern Finland (Figure A1). Similarly, regional differences in WTL were stronger under RCP 2.6 (Figure A2). In southern Finland, average WTL stayed below -30 cm throughout the century while average WTL in northern Finland was approximately -20 cm. Thus, in both management options, the cumulative sinks calculated over 2020-2100 were stronger under RCP 2.6 in all counties except Lapland where the sink was

330

315

320

mulative sinks calculated over 2020-2100 were stronger under RCP 2.6 in all counties except Lapland where the sink was weaker (Table 1). Under both management options, the cumulative sink was approximately 30-40 kg (C) ha⁻¹ stronger in the continuous cover forestry option compared to rotational forestry.

In the restoration option, CH_4 emissions during the first decades after restoration were lower under RCP 2.6, particularly in Lapland where emissions were approximately half compared to RCP 4.5 (Figure A1). Linear trends were stronger in southern and western Finland under RCP 2.6 but weaker in eastern and northern Finland (Table A7). Thus, the cumulative emissions

335

were slightly higher in southern and western Finland under RCP 2.6 and lower in eastern and northern Finland (Table 1).

4 Discussion

4.1 Management impacts on CH₄ fluxes

According to our simulations, CH_4 fluxes on drained boreal peatland forests vary depending on the management practices. 340 Considering harvesting practices, clearcutting resulted in a considerable rise in WTL and a consequent weakening of the CH_4 sink with also occasional emissions. In previous empirical studies, the forest CH_4 sink has decreased following a clearcutting and some sites have turned to sources of CH_4 during the first years following a clearcutting (Wu et al., 2011; Korkiakoski et al.,



Figure 7. Differences in CH₄ emissions and their environmental controls following the clearcuts in 2020 and 2090 under RCP 4.5. Panel a) shows the number of days during a year with any CH_4 emissions, panel (b) shows mean temperatures during June, July and August, panel c) shows the number of days when any part of the fast-decaying soil carbon pools had anoxic conditions and panel d) shows mean summer WTL.

2019). However, some studies have also found no considerable changes in CH₄ fluxes following a clearcutting (Saari et al., 2009). Our results indicate that the CH_4 sink may stay weakened following the clearcut for over a decade which is in line with 345 observations made by Wu et al. (2011) and highlight the considerable long-term effects of harvesting practices on peatlands. In comparison, selection harvesting had only minor effects on WTL and CH₄ sink. While this is in line with previous studies (Sundqvist et al., 2014; Korkiakoski et al., 2020), it should be noted that ditches and logging trails may still be sources of CH₄, weakening the CH₄ uptake also after selection harvests (Korkiakoski et al., 2020). In both harvesting options, variation in the CH₄ flux between southern and northern Finland was small and seemed to follow variations in WTL, indicating that fluctuations in hydrological conditions due to climatic variability may control forest CH_4 fluxes (Ojanen et al., 2010).

350

Following restoration, the simulated peatlands turned to considerable sources of CH₄. This increase in CH₄ emissions has been associated with higher WTL as well as recovery of peatland vegetation capable of CH₄ transport directly to the atmosphere

Table 1. C	umulative CH ₄	fluxes between	2020 and 2100	averaged ove	r the climate models.
------------	---------------------------	----------------	---------------	--------------	-----------------------

	Rotational	l forestry	Continuous	s cover forestry	Restoration to wetland			
location	RCP 4.5	RCP 2.6	RCP 4.5	RCP 2.6	RCP 4.5	RCP 2.6		
Uusimaa	-118	-172	-161	-208	1232	1383		
Southwest Finland	-140	-171	-183	-207	1117	1247		
Satakunta	-117	-158	-157	-190	1187	1197		
Kanta-Häme	-110	-167	-148	-201	1191	1444		
Pirkanmaa	-117	-161	-155	-192	1262	1267		
Päijät-Häme	-119	-166	-157	-196	1218	1338		
Kymenlaakso	-122	-170	-161	-203	1067	1405		
South Karelia	-127	-177	-167	-205	1085	936		
South Savo	-125	-159	-159	-193	1218	1136		
North Savo	-124	-131	-157	-159	1369	1656		
North Karelia	-97	-140	-135	-173	1823	1262		
Central Finland	-101	-146	-135	-176	1561	1625		
South Ostrobothnia	-111	-149	-146	-179	1222	1709		
Ostrobothnia	-141	-145	-175	-175	865	1684		
Central Ostrobothnia	-119	-146	-150	-177	1227	1522		
North Ostrobothnia	-113	-117	-143	-143	1331	1780		
Kainuu	-98	-111	-129	-137	1696	1520		
Lapland	-114	-76	-140	-89	1316	1105		

from the anoxic soil layers (Putkinen et al., 2018; Urbanová and Bárta, 2020). Contrary to the forested peatlands, there were considerable differences between southern and northern Finland, particularly during the first decade after restoration when 355 CH₄ emissions in southern Finland were nearly twice as large as in northern Finland. This difference was likely due to soil temperatures which were nearly 5 °C higher in southern Finland and which control particularly CH₄ production (van Hulzen et al., 1999; Turetsky et al., 2014).

4.2 Long-term trends and the impact of climate

360

In restored peatlands, the simulated CH₄ emissions decreased under both climate scenarios towards the end of the century. This trend was likely due to the decreasing fast-decaying carbon pool in which a large majority of the CH₄ emissions are produced in the model. This pool was high immediately after restoration due to harvest residue and started then decreasing with the decomposition of the residue. This decrease in both the fast-decaying carbon pools and CH₄ emissions was particularly strong in southern Finland where decomposition of organic material was higher due to higher temperatures. Furthermore, under RCP 2.6, decomposition of the fast-decaying pools was slower due to lower temperatures throughout the century, causing the net emissions to be slightly higher in southern and western parts of the country despite lower temperatures typically decreasing 365

 CH_4 emissions (Lai, 2009). Previous research suggests that particularly nutrient-rich peatlands may have strong CH_4 emissions immediately after restoration, followed by a decrease over time (Wilson et al., 2016). However, the decay of fast-decaying pools is possibly overestimated in the simulations which may lead to an underestimation of CH_4 emissions in the latter part of the century. Still, long-term monitoring studies following restoration of drained peatland forests are still scarce, the majority of the

- 370 studies having been done in cutover peat extraction areas (e.g. Wilson et al. 2016). Peatland plant species also differ in their CH_4 transport rate and efficiency as well as their contribution to CH_4 formation through substrate production (Dorodnikov et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2023). Consequently, gradual changes in vegetation composition following restoration may have significant impacts on the ecosystem CH_4 flux although this was not considered in our simulations. Nonetheless, the impact of warming on CH_4 fluxes has been conflicting in previous studies and is strongly dependent on peatland hydrology and its development
- 375 (Turetsky et al., 2008; Peltoniemi et al., 2016). Our results thus highlight the need for long-term research on restored peatland forests in order to better understand the impacts of restoration and warming.

In the continuous cover forestry option, variations in the CH_4 sinks seemed to follow trends in WTL, with a weakening sink when WTL increased and a strengthening sink in areas where WTL decreased. This was particularly noticeable under the RCP 2.6 scenario where regional differences in WTL, and consequently in CH_4 fluxes, were stronger. However, it is important to

- 380 notice that the trends in WTL were quite uncertain and depended largely on the climate model, which can be due to uncertainties in future precipitation patterns (Ruosteenoja and Jylhä, 2021). Previous study by Gong et al. (2012) found WTL to decrease towards the end of the century in drained peatlands but also that changes in drained peatlands were mostly smaller than in pristine peatlands. Understanding the changes in precipitation and their further impact on peatland hydrology is essential to accurately project future GHG fluxes and their climatic impacts.
- In the rotational forestry option, the CH₄ sink started to strengthen a decade after the clearcut in most parts of Finland aside from Lapland, which is likely due to a lowering of the WTL following forest regrowth and increased evapotranspiration. In 2090, the increased emissions following the second clearcutting were likely due to temperature increases together with increased anoxic conditions in the upper peat soil. The increased anoxic conditions resulted from a slight increase in WTL and fastly-decaying carbon pools, resulting in a thicker anoxic layer compared to an oxic layer. However, it should also be noted that the variation in CH₄ fluxes following the clearcutting was considerably larger than in the previous decades. Under RCP
 - 2.6, rotational forestry peatlands stayed as sinks despite similar increases in WTL, possibly due to lower soil temperatures.

4.3 Methodological limitations

395

In the continuous cover forestry option, the harvest removes 50 % of the total stand biomass of the forest rather than removing specific trees. In practice this means that the harvesting decreases the total green and woody biomass of the forest but the forest growth resembles that of a mature forest rather than a mixture of young and old forest which then leads to a rapid recovery of the forest after harvesting. This means that the impacts of selection harvesting on WTL and consequently CH_4 fluxes may have been underestimated. In the rotational forestry option, the recovery of vegetation is slow after the harvest as the simulation of pioneer species and natural tree/shrub regeneration are not included in JSBACH. This leads to a prolonged period of very

The recovery of vegetation after forest management practices has been kept simplified here and may affect some of the results.

400 high WTL but might also underestimate the CH₄ transport through peatland species such as *Eriophorum vaginatum* which might be considerable following a clearcutting (Hamberg et al., 2019). Furthermore, the simulation did not include thinnings that are commonly done in Finland also in rotational forestry. These would likely have mediated the drop in WTL between the clearcuts and increased harvest residue in the soil, possibly slowing down the strengthening of the CH₄ sink particularly in southern and central Finland in between clearcuts. In restored peatlands, the recovery of pristine vegetation may take a longer time after restoration compared to the very rapid recovery simulated by JSBACH.

We ran the simulations for each Finnish county to study the effect of climatic variation while keeping the required computational power at a reasonable level. While most geographic and climatic variation were adequately represented by this approach, northernmost Finland was largely represented by one single county, which means that some of the variation in northern boreal regions is likely missing. However, there are very few forestry-drained peatlands in the northernmost regions in Finland, particularly in northernmost Lapland, and official reporting such as the national forest inventory is often published in county level (Natural Resources Institute Finland, 2023).

410

415

The functioning of peatland ecosystems and their methane fluxes can vary considerably depending on local environmental and climatic conditions such as microtopography, nutrients, hydrological conditions and past use (e.g. Lai, 2009). Thus, country-wide simulations of hypothetical (i.e. not connected to real-life sites) nutrient-rich peatlands with deep peat layers are unlikely to represent realistic conditions on any single site. Rather, this approach allows us to estimate and compare possible

impacts of peatland management and climate and their feedbacks throughout a wide geographic range.

Finally, it is important to consider the limitations of complex process-based models and the reliability of their results. Due to the complex processes and limited calibration data available, variations in both input data as well as model structure can cause considerable deviations in the results. One important part in limiting the uncertainty is calibration of the relevant model

425 CH₄ fluxes on drained peatlands are necessary to further improve our understanding of their current and future changes.

5 Conclusions

We simulated the impact of management practices and climate on CH_4 fluxes from forestry-drained boreal peatlands using the land surface model JSBACH. Our simulations showed that restoration turned peatlands to sources of CH_4 but the magnitude of emissions varied regionally with larger emissions in southern Finland than in northern Finland. Furthermore, emissions

430 decreased towards the end of the century as harvest residue diminished from the upper peat layers. In forested peatlands, clearcutting had a stronger weakening effect on the forest CH_4 sink compared to selection harvesting and the effect was

stronger towards the end of the century under RCP 4.5. Water-table level was found to have a strong control on the CH_4 fluxes, particularly on forested peatlands.

Code and data availability. The JSBACH model can be obtained from the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, where it is available for the
 scientific community under the MPI-M Sofware License Agreement (https://mpimet.mpg.de/en/research/modeling, last access: 19 December
 2023). Data used in this study is available by request from the authors.

Appendix A

Table A1. Yasso parameters for JSBACH-FOM. Decomposition parameters are given for above-ground (ag) and below-ground (bg) AWEN (acid-hydrolyzable, water-soluble, ethanol-soluble and neither hydrolyzable or soluble) carbon pools. The parameters are Reference decomposition rate, anoxic decomposition modifier, peat decomposition modifier, anoxic peat decomposition factor, and anoxic and oxic decomposition rate. The proportion of soil carbon from N to A pool and the relationship between AWEN to H (humus) and N to H is also given.

	Ref.	Anoxic	Peat	Anox.	Dec.	Dec.
Layer / Factor	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	AllOX.	Rate	Rate
	Rate	Mod.	Mod	Peat	Anox	Oxic
ag A	0.72	0.35	1	0.35	0.252	0.72
ag W	5.9	0.35	1	0.35	2.065	5.9
ag E	0.28	0.35	1	0.35	0.098	0.28
ag N	0.031	0.35	1	0.35	0.01085	0.031
bg A	0.72	0.25	1	0.25	0.18	0.72
bg W	5.9	0.25	1	0.25	1.475	5.9
bg E	0.28	0.25	1	0.25	0.07	0.28
bg N	0.031	0.25	1	0.25	0.00775	0.031
Н	0.0064	0.04	0.5	0.02	0.000128	0.0032
N to A	0.83					
N to W	0.01					
N to E	0.02					
AWEN to H/	0.0045					
N to H	0.0045					

Table A2. Yasso parameters for peatland version of JSBACH. Decomposition parameters are given for above-ground (ag) and below-ground (bg) AWEN (acid hydrolyzable, water soluble, ethanol soluble and neither hydrolyzable or soluble) carbon pools. The proportion of soil carbon from N to A pool and the relationship between AWEN to H (humus) and N to H is also given.

	Ref.	Anoxic	Peat.	Anovio	Dec.	Dec.
Layer / Factor	Dec.	Dec.	Dec.	Deat	Rate	Rate
	Rate	Mod	Mod	reat	Anoxic	Oxic
ag A	0.72	0.35	1	0.35	0.252	0.72
ag W	5.9	0.35	1	0.35	2.065	5.9
ag E	0.28	0.35	1	0.35	0.098	0.28
ag N	0.031	0.35	1	0.35	0.01085	0.031
bg A	0.72	0.35	1	0.35	0.252	0.72
bg W	5.9	0.35	1	0.35	2.065	5.9
bg E	0.28	0.35	1	0.35	0.098	0.28
bg N	0.031	0.35	1	0.35	0.01085	0.031
Н	0.0016	0.35	0.125	0.04375	0.00007	0.0002
N to A	0.83					
N to W	0.01					
N to E	0.02					
AWEN to H/	0.0045					
N to H	0.0045					

Table A3. HIMMELI model parameters in JSBACH-FOM and JSBACH-PEAT.

	unit	JSBACH-FOM	JSBACH-peat		
Half-life of		30*1800	30*1800		
supersaturated CH ₄		50,1800	30*1800		
Supersaturation		1.04	1.0		
requirement for ebullition		1.04	1.0		
Potential rate of	$mol m^{-3} e^{-1}$	32.6	1.9.5		
aerobic respiration at 10 $^\circ\mathrm{C}$	mor m s	56-0	10-5		
Potential oxidation	$mol m^{-3} e^{-1}$	1595	1.9.5		
rate at 10 $^{\circ}$ C	mor m s	1.50-5	10-5		
Michaelis constant	$mol m^{-3}$	0.015	0.03		
for CH ₄ in oxidation	morm	0.015	0.03		
Fraction of anaerobic		0.03	0.17		
respiration becoming CH ₄	_	0.03	0.17		
Specific leaf area of	$m^2 ka^{-1}$	150000 0	15.0		
gas-transporting plants	ш кд	15000.0	15.0		

Location	Total soil carbon (kg (C) m^{-2})	Standard deviation
Uusimaa	119	1.23
Southwest Finland	120	0.93
Satakunta	120	1.00
Kanta-Häme	118	2.81
Pirkanmaa	120	2.07
Päijät-Häme	120	1.70
Kymenlaakso	120	1.81
South Karelia	121	1.42
South Savo	121	1.68
North Savo	122	1.55
North Karelia	121	1.88
Central Finland	120	3.53
South Ostrobothnia	120	2.28
Ostrobothnia	123	0.82
Central Ostrobothnia	121	2.15
North Ostrobothnia	119	2.12
Kainuu	117	3.73
Lapland	111	4.72

Table A4. Simulated total soil carbon pool per m^2 on a peatland in each region at the end of the spin up in 1950.

Table A5. Simulated and measured CH4 fluxes in restored peatlands depending on soil temperature.

soil temperature (°C)	CH_4						
	median		standard dev.				
	simulated	measured	simulated	measured			
<10	0.32	0.02	0.19	0.33			
10 – 15	0.69	0.01	0.21	0.51			
15-20	1.04	0.32	0.33	0.89			

Table A6. Simulated and measured CH₄ in forestry-drained peatlands depending on water table depth (WTD).

	WTD	CH ₄							
		median		standard de	v.				
		simulated	measured	simulated	measured				
clearcut	-6030	-0.020	-0.007	0.008	0.025				
	-3015	-0.017	-0.008	0.010	0.024				
	-150	-0.013	0.003	0.006	0.007				
	0 - 10	-0.004		0.006					
Selection harvest	-6030	-0.047	-0.018	0.011	0.021				
	-3015	-0.025	-0.003	0.009	0.010				
	-150	-0.012	0.001	0.006	0.003				
	0 - 10	-0.007		0.006					
Mature forest	-6030	-0.047	-0.020	0.011	0.024				
	-3015	-0.024	-0.015	0.009	0.022				
	-150	-0.011		0.005					
	0 - 10	-0.006		0.003					

Table A7. Linear trends and their uncertainty related to climate models of CH_4 fluxes, soil respiration, summer WTL and summer soil temperature in the Finnish counties in restored peatlands. The values show Sen's slope parameter averaged over the climate models and their variability. Bolded values indicate significant trends (p <0.05) as estimated by the Mann-Kendall trend test. ¹ indicates only one model showed a significant trend, ² indicates that two models showed a significant trend.

	CH4 (*	10^{-2}	kg ha	$(a^{-1} a^{-1})$			Fast de	Fast decaying pools (kg $ha^{-1} a^{-1}$)					WTD ($(*10^{-3} \text{ m } a^{-1})$				Summer ST(*10 ⁻¹ °C a^{-1})							
location	RCP 4.	5		RCP 2.0	5		RCP 4	.5		RCP 2	2.6		RCP 4.5	5		RCP 2.6	5		RCP 4	4.5		RCP 2.	.6	
1	-41.8	±	2.7	-44.2	±	1.84	-555	±	24	-487	\pm	70	0.13 ¹	±	0.34	0.04	±	0.05	0.20	±	0.02	0.03^1	\pm	0.07
2	-39.8	\pm	3.8	-40.3	\pm	3.59	-614	\pm	36	-492	\pm	59	0.08 ¹	±	0.34	0.17	±	0.10	0.20	±	0.03	0.02	±	0.06
4	-42.1	\pm	3.8	-38.3	\pm	3.56	-564	\pm	24	-476	\pm	52	0.14	\pm	0.30	0.25 ¹	\pm	0.14	0.20	\pm	0.03	0.01	\pm	0.06
5	-39.2	\pm	1.7	-44.6	\pm	7.34	-508	\pm	23	-459	\pm	84	0.12 ¹	±	0.28	0.15	±	0.01	0.21	±	0.02	0.01	±	0.06
6	-44.4	\pm	3.5	-32.7	\pm	2.87	-549	\pm	22	-371	\pm	45	0.09	\pm	0.27	0.23	\pm	0.05	0.21	\pm	0.03	0.02	\pm	0.04
7	-43.9	\pm	5.5	-38.0	\pm	3.50	-559	\pm	3	-402	\pm	75	0.17	±	0.13	0.14	±	0.05	0.21	±	0.03	0.03	±	0.04
8	-37.8	\pm	7.6	-44.2	\pm	2.65	-553	\pm	4	-474	\pm	71	0.19	\pm	0.11	0.08	\pm	0.01	0.20	\pm	0.03	0.03^{1}	\pm	0.06
9	-39.2	\pm	8.7	-23.3	\pm	4.80	-558	\pm	14	-322	\pm	41	0.10	\pm	0.22	0.05	\pm	0.18	0.20	±	0.04	0.04^1	\pm	0.05
10	-43.8	\pm	3.4	-32.5	±	3.05	-539	±	4	-400	\pm	56	0.09	\pm	0.19	0.16	\pm	0.05	0.19	±	0.04	0.03	\pm	0.04
11	-49.0	\pm	1.3	-31.9	\pm	6.73	-547	\pm	9	-302	\pm	56	0.11	\pm	0.28	-0.05	\pm	0.13	0.20	±	0.03	0.04^1	\pm	0.05
12	-49.2	\pm	2.7	-29.8	±	5.57	-450	±	29	-321	\pm	51	0.06	\pm	0.35	0.07	\pm	0.15	0.20	±	0.03	0.04^{1}	\pm	0.05
13	-46.5	\pm	2.1	-41.4	\pm	4.50	-474	\pm	23	-387	\pm	74	0.10	\pm	0.23	-0.05	\pm	0.11	0.22	±	0.04	0.04	\pm	0.02
14	-42.6	\pm	3.3	-48.2	\pm	6.26	-533	\pm	9	-434	\pm	62	0.02 ¹	\pm	0.34	-0.01	\pm	0.01	0.20	±	0.03	0.04	\pm	0.03
15	-31.7	\pm	3.8	-44.1	\pm	7.56	-587	\pm	21	-420	\pm	42	-0.05 ¹	±	0.29	0.01	±	0.9	0.20	±	0.03	0.03	±	0.00
16	-43.3	\pm	2.5	-40.0	\pm	8.78	-531	\pm	9	-391	\pm	69	-0.13 ¹	±	0.33	0.04	±	0.20	0.20	±	0.03	0.02	±	0.05
17	-41.0	\pm	4.3	-26.7	\pm	7.10	-466	\pm	19	-242	\pm	41	-0.03 ¹	\pm	0.37	0.02	\pm	0.16	0.20	±	0.03	0.03	\pm	0.04
18	-41.1	\pm	4.3	-24.3	\pm	5.68	-400	\pm	24	-234	\pm	40	0.07	±	0.22	0.01	±	0.08	0.20	±	0.03	0.03	\pm	0.04
19	-28.8	\pm	4.5	-10.6	±	3.49	-342	±	11	-112	\pm	13	0.02	±	0.27	-0.14 ¹	±	0.15	0.23	±	0.04	0.04	\pm	0.02

Table A8. Linear trends and their uncertainty related to climate models of CH_4 fluxes, soil respiration, summer WTL and summer soil temperature in the Finnish counties in continuous cover forestry peatlands. The values show Sen's slope parameter averaged over the climate models and their variability. Bolded values indicate significant trends (p <0.05) as estimated by the Mann-Kendall trend test. ¹ indicates only one model showed a significant trend, ² indicates that two models showed a significant trend.

	CH4 (*	10^{-2}) kg ha	$^{-1} a^{-1}$			Fast o	lecay	ing po	ols kg	bls kg ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ WTD (*10 ⁻³ m a ⁻¹)					¹)			Summer ST($(10^{-1} \circ C a^{-1})$					
location	RCP 4.	5		RCP 2	.6		RCP	4.5		RCP	2.6		RCP 4.	.5		RCP 2.	6		RCP 4	1.5		RCP 2	.6	
1	0.09	±	0.32	0.01	±	0.28	74	±	10	94	±	7	0.57 ¹	±	0.56	0.18	±	0.24	0.21	±	0.02	0.03^1	±	0.07
2	0.05	±	0.32	0.17	±	0.16	28 ²	±	3	100	±	1	0.33	±	0.63	0.41	±	0.21	0.21	±	0.03	$\boldsymbol{0.02}^1$	±	0.07
4	0.10	±	0.26	0.17	±	0.08	85	±	11	104	±	11	0.51	±	0.44	0.60	±	0.18	0.20	±	0.02	0.01	±	0.06
5	0.09	±	0.29	0.16	±	0.27	110	±	20	105	±	5	0.57 ¹	±	0.44	0.46	\pm	0.16	0.21	±	0.02	0.02	\pm	0.07
6	0.05	±	0.24	0.18	±	0.25	85	±	14	114	±	18	0.53	±	0.32	0.70	±	0.47	0.21	±	0.02	0.03	±	0.04
7	0.09	±	0.15	0.04	±	0.33	77	±	13	110	±	9	0.56	±	0.33	0.43	\pm	0.18	0.21	±	0.03	0.03	\pm	0.05
8	0.16	±	0.16	0.05	±	0.27	83	±	14	102	±	5	0.68	±	0.26	0.20	±	0.10	0.21	±	0.03	0.03^1	±	0.06
9	0.20	±	0.17	0.00	±	0.44	87	±	9	120	±	1	0.65	±	0.30	0.72 ¹	±	1.01	0.20	±	0.04	0.05^1	±	0.06
10	0.18	±	0.23	0.06	±	0.18	107	±	14	127	±	3	0.61	±	0.32	0.42	±	0.02	0.19	±	0.04	0.02	±	0.05
11	0.15	±	0.29	-0.12	±	0.11	104	±	10	159	±	10	0.61 ¹	±	0.49	-0.14	±	0.45	0.20	±	0.04	0.04^1	±	0.05
12	0.31^1	±	0.35	-0.06	±	0.15	175	±	27	161	±	10	0.63 ¹	±	0.60	0.04	±	0.37	0.20	±	0.03	0.04	±	0.05
13	0.18^1	±	0.26	-0.12	±	0.12	152	±	23	134	±	3	0.44 ¹	±	0.39	-0.10	±	0.17	0.22	±	0.04	0.04	±	0.04
14	0.06^1	±	0.38	-0.09	±	0.03	135	±	17	122	±	0	0.32 ¹	±	0.69	0.02	±	0.06	0.20	±	0.02	0.03	±	0.04
15	-0.07	±	0.31	-0.07	±	0.11	106	±	10	128	±	6	0.10	±	0.48	0.01	±	0.21	0.19	±	0.02	0.01	±	0.04
16	0.02^1	±	0.40	-0.05	±	0.19	139	±	16	132	±	4	0.18 ¹	±	0.80	-0.01	±	0.36	0.19	±	0.02	0.02	±	0.05
17	0.33^1	±	0.34	-0.05	±	0.07	177	±	26	181	±	6	0.80 ¹	±	0.81	-0.12	±	0.22	0.19	±	0.03	0.03	±	0.04
18	0.34^2	±	0.40	-0.06	±	0.03	196	±	33	190	±	7	0.79 ¹	±	0.60	0.03	\pm	0.15	0.19	±	0.03	0.03	±	0.04
19	0.30^1	±	0.14	-0.11	±	0.08	215	±	20	261	±	5	0.89 ¹	±	0.40	-0.31	±	0.25	0.23	±	0.04	0.04	±	0.02



Figure A1. Average annual CH4 flux in (a) restored peatlands and (b) under forest management scenarios (rotational and continuous cover forestry) during the 21st century under RCP scenario 2.6 in Southwestern Finland and Lapland. Each boxplot shows variation in the CH_4 flux created by yearly variation and differences in the three climate models. The first column (2010-2019) shows the situation in a mature forest before the beginning of the different management scenarios. The next two columns (2020-2024 and 2025-2029) show the situation during the first decade of the different management scenarios and the last three columns (2040-2049, 2060-2069, 2080-2089 and 2090-2099) show the development of CH4 flux during the later decades.



Figure A2. The impact of forest management on summer WTL, soil temperature (-22 cm below ground) and fast-decaying carbon pools under RCP scenario 4.5. Each boxplot shows variation created by yearly variation and differences in the three climate models. The first column (2010-2019) shows the situation in a mature forest before the beginning of the different management scenarios. The next two columns (2020-2024 and 2025-2029) show the situation during the first decade of the different management scenarios and the last three columns (2040-2049, 2060-2069, 2080-2089 and 2090-2099) show the development of the variables during the later decades.

B1 Model description

440 A version of JSBACH4 has recently been developed that can account for a distribution of forest age classes within the forest PFTs (Nabel et al., 2020). The model we used, JSBACH-FOM, also includes the age for forest PFTs, but it was implemented into a JSBACH3 version. In addition to the ability to account for the age of forest PFTs, the model differs from earlier JSBACH3 versions in the way the maximum LAI is treated. The maximum LAI that can be reached during the growing season has previously been PFT dependent, but constant. In the JSBACH-FOM the maximum LAI is dependent on the available leaf biomass, needed for simulating forest regrowth and age. 445

In our work the phenology was calculated using the Logistic Growth Phenology (LoGro-P) model (Böttcher et al., 2016). The LoGro-P model provides the seasonal development of LAI for each PFT based on the temperature and soil moisture. The LAI is further limited by a maximum LAI, which is either prescribed for each PFT, or as in our case dependent on the available leaf carbon for the forest PFTs. Other PFT dependent parameters are the phenology type (e.g. evergreen and grass) and specific leaf area (SLA).

The calculation of the growing forest starts from the total vegetation carbon. The number of trees per area, or stem number, is calculated from the total vegetation carbon assuming that the forests are in self-thinning state. The biomass per individual can then be used together with allometric relationships to derive the maximum LAI of the forest. The implementation of the forest growth is based on maximum LAI. The setup of the model parameters for our simulations is explained in detail in section

- B2. The forest ageing is done at the beginning of each year. The harvest is done for each tile when the rotation time is reached. 455 Once the criteria is reached, the forest is clear-cut at the beginning of the year, and the age and size of the trees restarts from zero. The carbon pools are redistributed due to harvesting. The harvested carbon taking 77% of the above ground woody pool, is removed from the calculations. Furthermore, all green and reserve carbon, both above and below ground, go into the Yasso AWEN litter pools according to predefined fractions. The below ground woody carbon is distributed into the below ground
- litter pools. In addition, a fraction of the above ground woody carbon, i.e. the slash fraction, is distributed into the above ground 460 litter pools. The slash fraction equals 23% of the above ground woody carbon pool and accounts for the damage and small woody residues left in the forest during harvest.

B2 Model setup

465

450

The standard setup of land surface parameters for extratropical coniferous forest was used, with some modifications, to represent Scots pine. The specific leaf area (SLA) was set to $61.62 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ according to (Goude et al., 2019). Competition for resources between trees in a stand results in self-thinning. The pine forest in the model is assumed to be in a self-thinning state. The parameters needed for describing the forest growth were derived from published expressions for self-thinning and allometric relationships for Scots pine. Self-thinning of even-aged stands can be modelled using a relationship between the quadratic mean diameter and the number of trees per area (equation B1) according to (Reineke, 1933).

$$470 \quad ln(N) = p - q \cdot ln(D_g) \tag{B1}$$

where N is the stem number (ha^{-1}) and D_g is the mean diameter at breast height (dbh) in cm weighted with the basal area, i.e. the quadratic mean diameter. Each tile represents only one age-class of trees, and the model has only one diameter per age-class, but the quadratic mean equals the arithmetic mean when the variance is zero. The intercept (p) and slope (q) of the log-log relationship were obtained from (Hynynen, 1993), 12.669 and -1.844, respectively. (Hynynen, 1993) used data for

475 Scots pine from 19 unthinned, even-aged and monospecific plots in Finland to derive the parameters p and q. Only plots where no extensive natural disturbance had occurred during the study period were included. The data was collected between 1924 and 1989, on average six measurements over 38 years. In the JSBACH-FOM the self-thinning expression (equation B2) relates the stem number and the biomass per unit area.

$$ln(BM_{veg}) = \alpha_{nr \ ind} + \beta_{nr \ ind} \cdot ln(N) \tag{B2}$$

480 where BM_{veg} is the vegetation carbon at maximum green pool (kg m⁻²). In order to derive the slope (β_{nr_ind}) and intercept (α_{nr_ind}) allometric relationships between the dbh and the biomass of various above (AB) and below ground (BG) components are needed.

$$BM_{ind} = AG + BG \tag{B3}$$

The biomass per area is obtained from the biomass of a single tree, BM_{ind} (kgm⁻²), and the stem number.

485
$$BM_{veg} = BM_{ind} \cdot N/10000$$
 (B4)

We considered allometric relationships for pine based on data from Finnish sites compiled by (Zianis et al., 2005). A summary is given in table B1. First the total biomass is obtained as a function of dbh by summing the AG and BG biomass according to equation B3. When both the stem number and the biomass is expressed as a function of dbh the coefficients α_{nr_ind} and β_{nr_ind} in equation B2 can be obtained by plotting $ln(BM_{veg})$ against ln(N). We used four allometric relationships for the AB, and and two for the BG biomass, which gave eight different relationships between biomass per area (BM_{veg}) and stem number (N). These are plotted in figure B1, grey lines. In addition we used allometric relationships by (Marklund, 1988) based on data from Sweden, to derive one more relationship, cyan line in figure B1.

The relationships are plotted only for the valid range of the original allometric relationships (given in table B1). There is an upper limit for the stem number in the plot, i.e. ln(N) = 9.5, based on a cut-off value in JSBACH-FOM, which is used

- 495 to prevent an excess number of very small trees. From figure B1 it can be seen that the biomass based on (Marklund, 1988) fits within the range of the ones derived from data from Finnish plots. We selected the parameter values based on (Marklund, 1988) for the simulations due to the fact that the equations are based a large sample size, hundreds of felled trees from a large geographical area. In addition, the valid range of dbh (0-45 cm) is larger than for the other allometric relationships. (Marklund, 1988) also the provides relationships for both above and below ground biomass. In the final simulations we used a modified
- 500 relationship, dashed blue line in figure B1.

Table B1. References for biomass equations that were considered in this publication (Biomass types: AG=above ground; ST=stem;CR=crown; BG=below ground; FL=foliage). Above ground biomass is composed of stem and crown biomass.

Biomass	Equation	Remark	Reference
AG	$AG = 18.779 - 4.328 \cdot D + 0.506 \cdot D^2$		(Briggs and Cunia, 1982)
AG	$AG = 7.041 - 1.279 \cdot D + 0.201 \cdot D^2$		(Briggs and Cunia, 1982)
AG	$ln(AG) = -3.2807 + 2.6931 \cdot ln(D)$	Dominant trees over an age gradient	(Mäkelä and Vanninen, 1998)
AG	$ln(AG) - 2.3042 + 2.2608 \cdot ln(D)$	Trees of different sizes in one age group	(Mäkelä and Vanninen, 1998)
AG	AG = ST + CR	Sum of stem and crown biomass	(Marklund, 1988)
	$ST = -2.3388 + 11.3264 \cdot [D/(D+13)]$	0 < D/cm < 45	
	$CR = -2.8604 + 9.1015 \cdot [D/(D+10)]$	0 < D/cm < 45	
BG	$log(BG) = -1.967 + 2.458 \cdot log(D)$	$7 < D/cm < 21.6$; $D_{root} > 1cm$	(Mälkönen, 1974)
BG	$log(BG) = -1.89 + 2.74 \cdot log(D)$	4 < D/cm < 24	(Drexhage and Gruber, 1999)
BG	$ln(BG) = -3.3913 + 11.1106 \cdot [D/(D+12)]$	0 < D/cm < 45	(Marklund, 1988)
FL	$FL=0.023\cdot D+0.015\cdot D^2$	Needles, twigs, and branches $D < 1 cm$	(Briggs and Cunia, 1982)
FL	$FL = -0.105 + 0.365 \cdot D + 0.01 \cdot D^2$	Needles, twigs, and branches $D < 1 cm$	(Briggs and Cunia, 1982)
FL	$ln(FL) = -7.47 + 1.6975 \cdot ln(D)$		(Hakkila, 1991)
FL	$ln(FL) = -0.7714 + 0.9513 \cdot ln(D)$	Dominant trees over an age gradient	(Mäkelä and Vanninen, 1998)
FL	$ln(FL) = -5.613 + 2.5804 \cdot ln(D)$	Trees of different sizes in one age group	(Mäkelä and Vanninen, 1998)
FL	$ln(FL) = -3.7983 + 7.7681 \cdot [D/(D+7)]$	0 < D/cm < 45	(Marklund, 1988)



Figure B1. Biomass per area as a function of stem number. The lines have been cut-off based on the valid range of dbh, in addition the model has a maximum stem number defined as $ln(N)_{max} = 9.5$. (Marklund, 1988) is shown with a cyan line and modified Marklund with a dashed blue line with parameters $\alpha_{nr_ind} = 15$ and $\beta_{nr_ind} = -0.39$.

The JSBACH-FOM also requires the relationship of leaf carbon (C_{leaf}) and the biomass of a tree (BM_{ind}) .

$$ln(C_{leaf}) = \alpha_{leaf} + \beta_{leaf} \cdot ln(BM_{ind})$$
(B5)

Again we used the allometric relationships for AG and BG ground biomass in table B1 to derive the total biomass of a tree, which gave eight descriptions for the tree biomass (BM_{ind}) . In addition we had five expressions describing the foliage biomass 505 as a function of dbh, based on data from Finnish sites (table B1). The foliage biomass was divided by two to obtain C_{leaf} . These expressions were used to derive 40 different relationships between leaf carbon (C_{leaf}) and tree biomass (BM_{ind}) according to equation B5. These are plotted in figure B2 with grey lines. The relationships are again plotted only for the valid range of the original allometric relationships (given in table B1). We also used allometric relationships by (Marklund, 1988) based on data from Sweden, to derive one set of α_{leaf} and β_{leaf} , cyan line in figure B2. We decided to use these in the JSBACH-FOM due to the wide range of dbh where the relationships are valid. According to figure B2 the relationship from the (Marklund, 1988) data

- fits within the range of the ones derived from data from Finnish plots. Based on test simulations we modified the (Marklund, 1988) coefficients for the final simulations, dashed blue line in figure B2. In the model the maximum LAI is calculated from leaf carbon per tree using the SLA. The increase of the maximum LAI is stopped when then the increase in maximum LAI per year is less than 1 %.
- The parameters derived from the (Marklund, 1988) biomass equations for pine, described in section B2, were adjusted manually in order to get a better agreement between simulated and observed GPP. The relationships are plotted in figure B1 and B2.



Figure B2. Relationships describing the leaf carbon as a function of total biomass. (Marklund, 1988) is shown with a cyan line and modified Marklund with a dashed blue line with parameters $\alpha_{leaf} = -2.0$ and $\beta_{leaf} = 0.71$.

	Hyytiälä	(40 years old f	Forest)
Parameter	model	observation	reference
Stem number (ha^{-1})	5000	1400	(Kolari et al., 2009)
LAI $(m^2 m^{-2})$	2.5-3.2	^{<i>a</i>)} 2.3	(Palmroth and Hari, 2001)
Tree biomass (kg m^{-2})	12	7.2(10.4)	(Ilvesniemi et al., 2009)
Foliage biomass (kg m^{-2})	0.64	0.45	(Kolari et al., 2009)
Litter flux (g _C m ⁻² a ⁻¹)	390	232-294	(Ilvesniemi et al., 2009)
GPP $(g_C \ m^{-2} \ a^{-1})$	950	1051	(Kolari et al., 2009)
$NEE^{b)} (g_C m^{-2} a^{-1})$	-200	-209	(Kolari et al., 2009)
$R_e (g_C m^{-2} a^{-1})$	-750	-837	(Kolari et al., 2009)

Table B2. Summary of comparison of model results with observations for Hyytiälä and Sodankylä. Hyytiälä CO_2 fluxes are average of2002–2007. All-sided LAI divided by 2.57 to obtain one-sided LAI

Author contributions. VT, TM and TA designed the study; TM, LB, MR, AL and XL set up the model; VT and TN performed the simulations; PO, KM, RH, MP, JA and RL provided the field-measured data; KM, AL, RM and TA secured the funding for the work; VT prepared the manuscript with contributions from all co-authors.

520

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements. We thank Anna-Liisa Granqvist, Jyrki Jauhiainen, Liisa Jokelainen, Päivi Mäkiranta, Meeri Pearson and Timo Penttilä for assisting in the collection of field data. We thank Kim Naudts and Julia Nabel for their work on JSBACH-FOM. This research was funded by the EU-H2020 VERIFY (776810), FIRI - ICOS Finland (345531), ICOS-ERIC (281250), Academy of Finland Center of 525 Excellence (272041), Academy of Finland Grant no. 337552 (Flagship), 325169 (METNET) and 350184/341753 (RESPEAT), Strategic Research Council of Finland (SOMPA project, decision no 336570, 336573 and 312932), Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry Finland Grant no. 4400T-2105 (TURNEE), EU-Horizon Eye-Clima (101081395), EU-Alfawetlands (101056844), EU-Wethorizons (101056848), EU LIFE21-CCM-LV-LIFE PeatCarbon - 101074396, LIFE18 CCM/LV/001158 LIFE OrgBalt and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy - EXC 2070 - 390732324. In the Natural Resources Institute

530 Finland (Luke), the study has been done with affiliation to the UNITE Flagship funded by the Finnish Research Council (decision no 337655).

References

550

- Abdalla, M., Hastings, A., Truu, J., Espenberg, M., Mander, Ü., and Smith, P.: Emissions of methane from northern peatlands: a review of management impacts and implications for future management options, Ecology and Evolution, 6, 7080–7102, https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2469, 2016.
- 535 Böttcher, K., Markkanen, T., Thum, T., Aalto, T., Aurela, M., Reick, C. H., Kolari, P., Arslan, A. N., and Pulliainen, J.: Evaluating Biosphere Model Estimates of the Start of the Vegetation Active Season in Boreal Forests by Satellite Observations, Remote Sensing, 8, 580, https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8070580, 2016.
 - Briggs, E. and Cunia, T.: Effect of cluster sampling in biomass tables construction: linear regression models, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 12, 255–263, 1982.
- 540 Bubier, J. L., Moore, T. R., Bellisario, L., Comer, N. T., and Crill, P. M.: Ecological controls on methane emissions from a Northern Peatland Complex in the zone of discontinuous permafrost, Manitoba, Canada, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 9, 455–470, https://doi.org/10.1029/95GB02379, 1995.
 - Christensen, T. R., Ekberg, A., Ström, L., Mastepanov, M., Panikov, N., Öquist, M., Svensson, B. H., Nykänen, H., Martikainen, P. J., and Oskarsson, H.: Factors controlling large scale variations in methane emissions from wetlands, Geophysical Research Letters, 30,
- 545 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GL016848, 2003.
 - Dorodnikov, M., Knorr, K.-H., Kuzyakov, Y., and Wilmking, M.: Plant-mediated CH₄ transport and contribution of photosynthates to methanogenesis at a boreal mire: a ¹⁴C pulse-labeling study, Biogeosciences, 8, 2365–2375, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-2365-2011, 2011.
 - Drexhage, M. and Gruber, F.: Above- and Below-stump Relationships for Picea Abies: Estimating Root System Biomass from Breast-height Diameters, Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research, 14, 328–333, https://doi.org/10.1080/02827589950152647, 1999.
- Ge, M., Korrensalo, A., Laiho, R., Lohila, A., Makiranta, P., Pihlatie, M., Tuittila, E.-S., Kohl, L., Putkinen, A., and Koskinen, M.: Plant phenology and species-specific traits control plant CH4 emissions in a northern boreal fen, New Phytologist, 238, 1019–1032, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.18798, 2023.
- Giorgetta, M. A., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C. H., Legutke, S., Bader, J., Böttinger, M., Brovkin, V., Crueger, T., Esch, M., Fieg, K., Glushak,
 K., Gayler, V., Haak, H., Hollweg, H.-D., Ilyina, T., Kinne, S., Kornblueh, L., Matei, D., Mauritsen, T., Mikolajewicz, U., Mueller, W.,
- Notz, D., Pithan, F., Raddatz, T., Rast, S., Redler, R., Roeckner, E., Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Segschneider, J., Six, K. D., Stockhause, M., Timmreck, C., Wegner, J., Widmann, H., Wieners, K.-H., Claussen, M., Marotzke, J., and Stevens, B.: Climate and carbon cycle changes from 1850 to 2100 in MPI-ESM simulations for the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project phase 5, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 5, 572–597, https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20038, 2013.
- 560 Goll, D. S., Brovkin, V., Liski, J., Raddatz, T., Thum, T., and Todd-Brown, K. E. O.: Strong dependence of CO2 emissions from anthropogenic land cover change on initial land cover and soil carbon parametrization, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 1511–1523, https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004988, 2015.
- Gong, J., Wang, K., Kellomäki, S., Zhang, C., Martikainen, P. J., and Shurpali, N.: Modeling water table changes in boreal peatlands of Finland under changing climate conditions, Ecological Modelling, 244, 65–78, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.06.031, 2012.
 - Goude, M., Nilsson, U., and Holmström, E.: Comparing direct and indirect leaf area measurements for Scots pine and Norway spruce plantations in Sweden, European Journal of Forest Research, 138, 1033–1047, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-019-01221-2, 2019.

- Günther, A., Barthelmes, A., Huth, V., Joosten, H., Jurasinski, G., Koebsch, F., and Couwenberg, J.: Prompt rewetting of drained peatlands reduces climate warming despite methane emissions, Nature communications, 11, 1644, 2020.
- 570 Hagemann, S. and Stacke, T.: Impact of the soil hydrology scheme on simulated soil moisture memory, Climate Dynamics, 44, 1731–1750, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2221-6, 2015.
 - Hakkila, P.: Hakkuupoistuman latvusmassa (Crown mass of trees at the harvesting phase), vol. 773 of Folia Forestalia, Metsäntutkimuslaitos, ISBN 951-40-1160-0, http://urn.fi/URN:ISBN:951-40-1160-0, 1991.
 - Hamberg, L., Hotanen, J.-P., Nousiainen, H., Nieminen, T. M., and Ukonmaanaho, L.: Recovery of understorey vegeta-
- 575 tion after stem-only and whole-tree harvesting in drained peatland forests. Forest Ecology and Management, 442, 124–134, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.04.002, 2019.
 - Hommeltenberg, J., Schmid, H. P., Drösler, M., and Werle, P.: Can a bog drained for forestry be a stronger carbon sink than a natural bog forest?, Biogeosciences, 11, 3477-3493, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-3477-2014, 2014.
 - Hynynen, J.: Self-thinning models for even-aged stands of Pinus sylvestris, Picea abies and Betula pendula, Scandinavian Journal of Forest
- Research, 8, 326–336, https://doi.org/10.1080/02827589309382781, 1993. Ilvesniemi, H., Levula, J., Ojansuu, R., Kolari, P., Kulmala, L., Pumpanen, J., Launiainen, S., Vesala, T., and Nikinmaa, E.: Long-term measurements of the carbon balance of a boreal Scots pine dominated forest ecosystem, Boreal Environment Research, 14, 731-753, 2009.

IPCC: Anthropogenic and Natural Radiative Forcing, in: Climate change 2013: the physical science basis. Contribution of working group I

- 585 to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2013.
 - Jacob, D., Petersen, J., Eggert, B., Alias, A., Christensen, O. B., Bouwer, L. M., Braun, A., Colette, A., Déqué, M., Georgievski, G., et al.: EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate change projections for European impact research, Regional environmental change, 14, 563-578, 2014.
- 590 Juutinen, A., Shanin, V., Ahtikoski, A., Rämö, J., Mäkipää, R., Laiho, R., Sarkkola, S., Laurén, A., Penttilä, T., Hökkä, H., and Saarinen, M.: Profitability of continuous-cover forestry in Norway spruce dominated peatland forest and the role of water table, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 51, 859-870, https://doi.org/10.1139/cjfr-2020-0305, 2021.
 - Juutinen, S., Väliranta, M., Kuutti, V., Laine, A., Virtanen, T., Seppä, H., Weckström, J., and Tuittila, E.-S.: Short-term and long-term carbon dynamics in a northern peatland-stream-lake continuum: A catchment approach, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118,
- 595 171-183, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrg.20028, 2013.

580

Kendall, M. G.: Rank correlation methods., Griffin, 1948.

- Kleinen, T., Mikolajewicz, U., and Brovkin, V.: Terrestrial methane emissions from the Last Glacial Maximum to the preindustrial period, Climate of the Past, 16, 575–595, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-16-575-2020, 2020.
- Kolari, P., Kulmala, L., Pumpanen, J., Launiainen, S., Ilvesniemi, H., Hari, P., and Nikinmaa, E.: CO2 exchange and component CO2 fluxes 600 of a boreal Scots pine forest, Boreal Environment Research, 14, 761-783, 2009.
 - Korkiakoski, M., Tuovinen, J.-P., Penttilä, T., Sarkkola, S., Ojanen, P., Minkkinen, K., Rainne, J., Laurila, T., and Lohila, A.: Greenhouse gas and energy fluxes in a boreal peatland forest after clear-cutting, Biogeosciences, 16, 3703–3723, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-3703-2019, 2019.

Korkiakoski, M., Ojanen, P., Penttilä, T., Minkkinen, K., Sarkkola, S., Rainne, J., Laurila, T., and Lohila, A.: Impact of par-

- 605 tial harvest on CH4 and N2O balances of a drained boreal peatland forest, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 295, 108168, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108168, 2020.
 - Korkiakoski, M., Ojanen, P., Tuovinen, J.-P., Minkkinen, K., Nevalainen, O., Penttilä, T., Aurela, M., Laurila, T., and Lohila, A.: Partial cutting of a boreal nutrient-rich peatland forest causes radically less short-term on-site CO2 emissions than clear-cutting, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 332, 109 361, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2023.109361, 2023.
- 610 Lai, D.: Methane Dynamics in Northern Peatlands: A Review, Pedosphere, 19, 409–421, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1002-0160(09)00003-4, 2009.
 - Laiho, R., Vasander, H., Penttilä, T., and Laine, J.: Dynamics of plant-mediated organic matter and nutrient cycling following water-level drawdown in boreal peatlands, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2002GB002015, 2003.

Laine, A., Mehtätalo, L., Tolvanen, A., Frolking, S., and Tuittila, E.-S.: Impacts of drainage, restoration and warming on boreal wetland
 greenhouse gas fluxes, Science of The Total Environment, 647, 169–181, https://doi.org/j.scitotenv.2018.07.390, 2019.

Lehtonen, A., Eyvindson, K., Härkönen, K., Leppä, K., Salmivaara, A., Peltoniemi, M., Salminen, O., Sarkkola, S., Launiainen, S., Ojanen, P., et al.: Potential of continuous cover forestry on drained peatlands to increase the carbon sink in Finland, Scientific Reports, 13, 15510, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-42315-7, 2023.

Leppä, K., Korkiakoski, M., Nieminen, M., Laiho, R., Hotanen, J.-P., Kieloaho, A.-J., Korpela, L., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Minkkinen,

- 620 K., et al.: Vegetation controls of water and energy balance of a drained peatland forest: Responses to alternative harvesting practices, Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 295, 108 198, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2020.108198, 2020.
 - Li, X., Markkanen, T., Korkiakoski, M., Lohila, A., Leppänen, A., Aalto, T., Peltoniemi, M., Mäkipää, R., Kleinen, T., and Raivonen, M.: Modelling alternative harvest effects on soil CO2 and CH4 fluxes from peatland forests, Science of The Total Environment, p. 175257, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.175257, 2024.
- 625 Maanavilja, L., Kangas, L., Mehtätalo, L., and Tuittila, E.-S.: Rewetting of drained boreal spruce swamp forests results in rapid recovery of Sphagnum production, Journal of Applied Ecology, 52, 1355–1363, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12474, 2015. Mann, H. B.: Nonparametric Tests Against Trend, Econometrica, 13, 245–259, 1945.

- 630 Menberu, M. W., Tahvanainen, T., Marttila, H., Irannezhad, M., Ronkanen, A.-K., Penttinen, J., and Kløve, B.: Water-table-dependent hydrological changes following peatland forestry drainage and restoration: Analysis of restoration success, Water Resources Research, 52, 3742–3760, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015WR018578, 2016.
 - Meyer, A., Tarvainen, L., Nousratpour, A., Björk, R. G., Ernfors, M., Grelle, A., Kasimir Klemedtsson, Å., Lindroth, A., Räntfors, M., Rütting, T., Wallin, G., Weslien, P., and Klemedtsson, L.: A fertile peatland forest does not constitute a major greenhouse gas sink, Biogeosciences, 10, 7739–7758, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-7739-2013, 2013.
 - Mikkonen, S., Laine, M., Mäkelä, H., Gregow, H., Tuomenvirta, H., Lahtinen, M., and Laaksonen, A.: Trends in the average temperature in Finland, 1847–2013, Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 29, 1521–1529, 2015.

635

- Minkkinen, K., Ojanen, P., Penttilä, T., Aurela, M., Laurila, T., Tuovinen, J.-P., and Lohila, A.: Persistent carbon sink at a boreal drained bog forest, Biogeosciences, 15, 3603–3624, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-3603-2018, 2018.
- 640 Mäkelä, A. and Vanninen, P.: Impacts of size and competition on tree form and distribution of aboveground biomass in Scots pine, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 28, 216–227, 1998.

Marklund, L.: Biomassafunktioner för tall, gran och björk i Sverige: biomass functions for pine, spruce and birch in Sweden, Institutionen för Skogstaxering, Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Institutionen för skogstaxering, ISBN 9789157635242, 1988.

- Mäkelä, J., Knauer, J., Aurela, M., Black, A., Heimann, M., Kobayashi, H., Lohila, A., Mammarella, I., Margolis, H., Markkanen, T., Susiluoto, J., Thum, T., Viskari, T., Zaehle, S., and Aalto, T.: Parameter calibration and stomatal conductance formulation comparison for boreal forests with adaptive population importance sampler in the land surface model JSBACH, Geoscientific Model Development, 12,
- 645 4075–4098, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4075-2019, 2019.

on drained peatlands in southern Finland, Silva Fennica, 38, 2004.

- Mäkipää, R., Abramoff, R., Adamczyk, B., Baldy, V., Biryol, C., Bosela, M., Casals, P., Curiel Yuste, J., Dondini, M., Filipek, S., Garcia-Pausas, J., Gros, R., Gömöryová, E., Hashimoto, S., Hassegawa, M., Immonen, P., Laiho, R., Li, H., Li, Q., Luyssaert, S., Menival, C., Mori, T., Naudts, K., Santonja, M., Smolander, A., Toriyama, J., Tupek, B., Ubeda, X., Johannes Verkerk, P., and Lehtonen, A.: How does management affect soil C sequestration and greenhouse gas fluxes in boreal and temperate forests? A review, Forest Ecology and Management, 529, 120 637, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2022.120637, 2023.
 - Mälkönen, E.: Annual primary production and nutrient cycle in some Scots pine stands, Communicationes Instituti Forestalis Fenniae, 84, 1–87, 1974.
 - Nabel, J. E. M. S., Naudts, K., and Pongratz, J.: Accounting for forest age in the tile-based dynamic global vegetation model JS-BACH4 (4.20p7; git feature/forests) – a land surface model for the ICON-ESM, Geoscientific Model Development, 13, 185–200, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-185-2020, 2020.
 - Natural Resources Institute Finland: Drainage status of forestry land, https://statdb.luke.fi/PxWeb/pxweb/en/LUKE/LUKE_04%20Metsa_ _06%20Metsavarat/1.04_Ojitustilanne_metsatalousmaalla.px/?rxid=001bc7da-70f4-47c4-a6c2-c9100d8b50db, accessed: 2023-09-20, 2023.

660

655

- 665 Nilsson, M., Sagerfors, J., Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Eriksson, T., Grelle, A., Klemedtson, L., Weslien, P., and Lindroth, A.: Contemporary carbon accumulation in a boreal oligotrophic minerogenic mire – a significant sink after accounting for all C-fluxes, Global Change Biology, 14, 2317–2332, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01654.x, 2008.
 - Ojanen, P. and Minkkinen, K.: The dependence of net soil CO2 emissions on water table depth in boreal peatlands drained for forestry, Mires and Peat, https://doi.org/10.19189/MaP.2019.OMB.StA.1751, 2019.
- 670 Ojanen, P., Minkkinen, K., Alm, J., and Penttilä, T.: Soil-atmosphere CO2, CH4 and N2O fluxes in boreal forestry-drained peatlands, Forest Ecology and Management, 260, 411–421, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.04.036, 2010.
 - Ojanen, P., Minkkinen, K., and Penttilä, T.: The current greenhouse gas impact of forestry-drained boreal peatlands, Forest Ecology and Management, 289, 201–208, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.008, 2013.
- Olefeldt, D., Turetsky, M. R., Crill, P. M., and McGuire, A. D.: Environmental and physical controls on northern terrestrial methane emissions
 across permafrost zones, Global Change Biology, 19, 589–603, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12071, 2013.
 - Paavilainen, E. and Päivänen, J.: Peatland forestry: ecology and principles, vol. 111, Springer Science & Business Media, 1995.
 - Palmroth, S. and Hari, P.: Evaluation of the importance of acclimation of needle structure, photosynthesis, and respiration to available photosynthetically active radiation in a Scots pine canopy, Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 31, 1235–1243, 2001.

Nieminen, M.: Export of dissolved organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus following clear-cutting of three Norway spruce forests growing

Nieminen, M., Hökkä, H., Laiho, R., Juutinen, A., Ahtikoski, A., Pearson, M., Kojola, S., Sarkkola, S., Launiainen, S., Valkonen, S., Penttilä, T., Lohila, A., Saarinen, M., Haahti, K., Mäkipää, R., Miettinen, J., and Ollikainen, M.: Could continuous cover forestry be an economically and environmentally feasible management option on drained boreal peatlands?, Forest Ecology and Management, 424, 78–84, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2018.04.046, 2018.

Peltoniemi, K., Laiho, R., Juottonen, H., Bodrossy, L., Kell, D. K., Minkkinen, K., Mäkiranta, P., Mehtätalo, L., Penttilä, T., Siljanen, H. M.,

- Tuittila, E.-S., Tuomivirta, T., and Fritze, H.: Responses of methanogenic and methanotrophic communities to warming in varying moisture regimes of two boreal fens, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 97, 144–156, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.03.007, 2016.
 - Pohlert, T.: trend: Non-Parametric Trend Tests and Change-Point Detection, https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=trend, r package version 1.1.5, 2023.
- 685 Pothier, D., Prévost, M., and Auger, I.: Using the shelterwood method to mitigate water table rise after forest harvesting, Forest Ecology and Management, 179, 573–583, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(02)00530-3, 2003.
 - Putkinen, A., Tuittila, E.-S., Siljanen, H. M., Bodrossy, L., and Fritze, H.: Recovery of methane turnover and the associated microbial communities in restored cutover peatlands is strongly linked with increasing Sphagnum abundance, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 116, 110–119, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2017.10.005, 2018.
- 690 Päivänen, J. and Hånell, B.: Peatland ecology and forestry–a sound approach, Helsingin yliopiston metsätieteiden laitos, 2012. Räisänen, J. and Räty, O.: Projections of daily mean temperature variability in the future: cross-validation tests with ENSEMBLES regional climate simulations, Climate dynamics, 41, 1553–1568, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1515-9, 2013.
 - Raivonen, M., Smolander, S., Backman, L., Susiluoto, J., Aalto, T., Markkanen, T., Mäkelä, J., Rinne, J., Peltola, O., Aurela, M., et al.: HIMMELI v1. 0: HelsinkI Model of MEthane buiLd-up and emIssion for peatlands, Geoscientific Model Development, 10, 4665–4691,

695 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-4665-2017, 2017.

- Räty, O., Räisänen, J., and Ylhäisi, J. S.: Evaluation of delta change and bias correction methods for future daily precipitation: intermodel cross-validation using ENSEMBLES simulations, Climate dynamics, 42, 2287–2303, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-014-2130-8, 2014.
- Reick, C. H., Raddatz, T., Brovkin, V., and Gayler, V.: Representation of natural and anthropogenic land cover change in MPI-ESM, Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 5, 459–482, https://doi.org/10.1002/jame.20022, 2013.
- 700 Reick, C. H., Gayler, V., Goll, D., Hagemann, S., Heidkamp, M., Nabel, J. E., Raddatz, T., Roeckner, E., Schnur, R., and Wilkenskjeld, S.: JSBACH 3 - The land component of the MPI Earth System Model: documentation of version 3.2, Berichte zur Erdsystemforschung, 240, https://doi.org/10.17617/2.3279802, 2021.

Reineke, L. H.: Perfecting a stand-density index for even-aged forests, Journal of Agricultural Research, 46(7), 627–638, 1933.

- Ruosteenoja, K. and Jylhä, K.: Projected climate change in Finland during the 21st century calculated from CMIP6 model simulations, Geophysica, 56, 39–69, 2021.
 - Saari, P., Saarnio, S., Kukkonen, J. V., Akkanen, J., Heinonen, J., Saari, V., and Alm, J.: DOC and N 2 O dynamics in upland and peatland forest soils after clear-cutting and soil preparation, Biogeochemistry, 94, 217–231, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9320-1, 2009.
 - Saunois, M., Bousquet, P., Poulter, B., Peregon, A., Ciais, P., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., Etiope, G., Bastviken, D., Houweling, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Tubiello, F. N., Castaldi, S., Jackson, R. B., Alexe, M., Arora, V. K., Beerling, D. J., Bergamaschi, P., Blake, D. R.,
- 710 Brailsford, G., Brovkin, V., Bruhwiler, L., Crevoisier, C., Crill, P., Covey, K., Curry, C., Frankenberg, C., Gedney, N., Höglund-Isaksson, L., Ishizawa, M., Ito, A., Joos, F., Kim, H.-S., Kleinen, T., Krummel, P., Lamarque, J.-F., Langenfelds, R., Locatelli, R., Machida, T., Maksyutov, S., McDonald, K. C., Marshall, J., Melton, J. R., Morino, I., Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Parmentier, F.-J. W., Patra, P. K., Peng, C., Peng, S., Peters, G. P., Pison, I., Prigent, C., Prinn, R., Ramonet, M., Riley, W. J., Saito, M., Santini, M., Schroeder, R., Simpson, I. J., Spahni, R., Steele, P., Takizawa, A., Thornton, B. F., Tian, H., Tohjima, Y., Viovy, N., Voulgarakis, A., van Weele, M., van der Werf, G. R.,
- 715 Weiss, R., Wiedinmyer, C., Wilton, D. J., Wiltshire, A., Worthy, D., Wunch, D., Xu, X., Yoshida, Y., Zhang, B., Zhang, Z., and Zhu, Q.: The global methane budget 2000–2012, Earth System Science Data, 8, 697–751, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-8-697-2016, 2016.

Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau, Journal of the American statistical association, 63, 1379–1389, 1968.

Sundqvist, E., Vestin, P., Crill, P., Persson, T., and Lindroth, A.: Short-term effects of thinning, clear-cutting and stump harvesting on methane

720 exchange in a boreal forest, Biogeosciences, 11, 6095–6105, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-11-6095-2014, 2014.

725

- Tarvainen, O., Laine, A. M., Peltonen, M., and Tolvanen, A.: Mineralization and Decomposition Rates in Restored Pine Fens, Restoration Ecology, 21, 592–599, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1526-100X.2012.00930.x, 2013.
 - Tuomi, M., Thum, T., Järvinen, H., Fronzek, S., Berg, B., Harmon, M., Trofymow, J., Sevanto, S., and Liski, J.: Leaf litter decomposition—Estimates of global variability based on Yasso07 model, Ecological Modelling, 220, 3362–3371, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.05.016, 2009.
- Turetsky, M. R., Treat, C. C., Waldrop, M. P., Waddington, J. M., Harden, J. W., and McGuire, A. D.: Short-term response of methane fluxes and methanogen activity to water table and soil warming manipulations in an Alaskan peatland, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 113, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JG000496, 2008.

Turetsky, M. R., Kotowska, A., Bubier, J., Dise, N. B., Crill, P., Hornibrook, E. R. C., Minkkinen, K., Moore, T. R., Myers-Smith, I. H.,

- 730 Nykänen, H., Olefeldt, D., Rinne, J., Saarnio, S., Shurpali, N., Tuittila, E.-S., Waddington, J. M., White, J. R., Wickland, K. P., and Wilmking, M.: A synthesis of methane emissions from 71 northern, temperate, and subtropical wetlands, Global Change Biology, 20, 2183–2197, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12580, 2014.
 - Turunen, J., Tomppo, E., Tolonen, K., and Reinikainen, A.: Estimating carbon accumulation rates of undrained mires in Finland application to boreal and subarctic regions, The Holocene, 12, 69–80, https://doi.org/10.1191/0959683602hl522rp, 2002.
- 735 Urbanová, Z. and Bárta, J.: Recovery of methanogenic community and its activity in long-term drained peatlands after rewetting, Ecological Engineering, 150, 105 852, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2020.105852, 2020.
 - van Hulzen, J., Segers, R., van Bodegom, P., and Leffelaar, P.: Temperature effects on soil methane production: an explanation for observed variability, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 31, 1919–1929, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00109-1, 1999.

Viskari, T., Pusa, J., Fer, I., Repo, A., Vira, J., and Liski, J.: Calibrating the soil organic carbon model Yasso20 with multiple datasets,
Geoscientific Model Development, 15, 1735–1752, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-15-1735-2022, 2022.

Wania, R., Ross, I., and Prentice, I. C.: Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic global vegetation model: 1. Evaluation and sensitivity of physical land surface processes, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 23, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GB003412, 2009.

Wey, H.-W., Pongratz, J., Nabel, J. E. M. S., and Naudts, K.: Effects of Increased Drought in Amazon Forests Under Climate Change:

- 745 Separating the Roles of Canopy Responses and Soil Moisture, Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 127, e2021JG006525, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JG006525, 2022.
 - Wilson, D., Blain, D., Couwenberg, J., Evans, C. D., Murdiyarso, D., Page, S., Renou-Wilson, F., Rieley, J., Sirin, A., Strack, M., et al.: Greenhouse gas emission factors associated with rewetting of organic soils, UWSpace, 2016.

Wu, X., Brüggemann, N., Gasche, R., Papen, H., Willibald, G., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Long-term effects of clear-cutting and se-

- 750 lective cutting on soil methane fluxes in a temperate spruce forest in southern Germany, Environmental Pollution, 159, 2467–2475, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.06.025, nitrogen Deposition, Critical Loads and Biodiversity, 2011.
 - Xu, X., Yuan, F., Hanson, P. J., Wullschleger, S. D., Thornton, P. E., Riley, W. J., Song, X., Graham, D. E., Song, C., and Tian,
 H.: Reviews and syntheses: Four decades of modeling methane cycling in terrestrial ecosystems, Biogeosciences, 13, 3735–3755, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-3735-2016, 2016.

- 755 Zhao, J., Peichl, M., and Nilsson, M. B.: Enhanced winter soil frost reduces methane emission during the subsequent growing season in a boreal peatland, Global Change Biology, 22, 750–762, https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13119, 2016.
 - Zianis, D., Muukkonen, P., Mäkipää, R., and Mencuccini, M.: Biomass and Stem Volume Equations for Tree Species in Europe, Silva Fennica (Helsinki, Finland : 1967).: Monographs, Finnish Society of Forest Science, Finnish Forest Research Institute, ISBN 9789514019845, 2005.