A novel, balloon-borne UV/visible spectrometer for direct sun measurements of stratospheric bromine

Karolin Voss¹, Philip Holzbeck^{1,2}, Klaus Pfeilsticker¹, Ralph Kleinschek¹, Gerald Wetzel³, Blanca Fuentes Andrade^{1,4}, Michael Höpfner³, Jörn Ungermann⁵, Björn-Martin Sinnhuber³, and André Butz^{1,6,7}

¹Institute of Environmental Physics, Heidelberg University, Germany
 ²now at Atmospheric Chemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany
 ³Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Karlsruhe, Germany
 ⁴now at Institute of Environmental Physics, University of Bremen, Germany
 ⁵Institute of Energy and Climate Research, Forschungszentrum Jülich (FzJ), Jülich, Germany
 ⁶Heidelberg Center for the Environment, Heidelberg University, Germany
 ⁷Interdisciplinary Center for Scientific Computing, Heidelberg University, Germany

Correspondence: Karolin Voss (karolin.voss@uni-heidelberg.de)

Abstract. We report on a novel, medium weight (~ 25 kg) optical spectrometer coupled to an automated sun tracker (~ 12 kg) for direct sun observations from azimuth controlled balloon platforms weighing approximately 12 kg. It is designed to measure a suite of UV/vis absorbing gases (, , , , and) relevant in the context of stratospheric ozone depletion using the DOAS method, i.e., O_3 , NO_2 , BrO, OClO, HONO, and IO. Here, we describe the design and major features of the instrument. Further, the

- 5 instrument's performance during two stratospheric deployments from Esrange/Kiruna (Sweden) on 21 August 2021 and from Timmins (Ontario, Canada) on 23 August 2022 are is discussed along with first results concerning inferred mixing ratios of BrO above balloon float altitude. Using a photochemical correction for the partitioning of stratospheric bromine ([BrO]/[Br_y]) obtained by chemical transport simulations, the inferred total stratospheric bromine load [Br_y] amounts to (17.5 ± 2.2) ppt (pure statistical error amounts with a purely statistical error amounting to 1.5 ppt) in (5.5 ± 1.0) yrs old air, resulting in a
- 10 stratospheric entry early 2017 ± 1 yr, the . The latter being inferred from simultaneous measurements of N₂O by the GLORIA mid-IR instrument resulting in a stratospheric entry of the investigated air mass in early 2017 ± 1 yr.

Copyright statement. TEXT

1 Introduction

Although much less abundant than chlorine, bromine contributes about 1/3 to the columnar loss in stratospheric ozone since it

has an ozone depletion potential as large as 60 to 75 (Ko et al. (2003), Sinnhuber et al. (2009), Engel et al. (2018), Koenig et al. (2020), Klobas et al. (2020), Laube et al. (2022), and others). Sources of stratospheric bromine (in In 2021/2022), sources of stratospheric bromine include man-made brominated organic species (of ~ 1.5 ppt from CH₃Br and ~ 7.1 ppt from the

halons), naturally emitted CH_3Br (of ~ 5.5 ppt) and so called brominated very short-lived substances (VSLS) and their organic decay products (with ~ 5 ppt). The total of these sources reached a maximum of about 22.1 ppt around the turn of the

- 20 century but declined ever since to (19.2 ± 1.2) ppt in 2017/2018, mostly due to the emission reduction regulations agreed on in the Montreal Protocol (Rotermund et al., 2021; Laube et al., 2022). Under a changing climate, future stratospheric bromine abundances could increase again, mostly due to stronger marine emissions and the more efficient delivery of brominated VSLS to the stratosphere, but the extent of these changes remains speculative (Falk et al., 2017).
- However, it is not fully clear what the notion 'delivery to the stratosphere' really means for the stratospheric budget of bromine, since bromine enters the stratosphere via (a) the tropical tropopause layer, (b) transport within the lower branch of the Brewer Dobson circulation, and (c) extra-tropical stratosphere-troposphere exchange. At the same time, bromine may undergo uncertain transformation processes of the gaseous species into particulate form (and vice versa) and hence may become subject to heterogeneous removal (Sinnhuber and Folkins (2006), Werner et al. (2017), Rotermund et al. (2021), and others). Therefore, bromine amounts in the lowermost stratosphere may (slightly) differ from its amounts in the middle stratosphere.
- 30 Comparing the bromine transported through the tropical tropopause layer (e.g. Werner et al. (2017), Wales et al. (2018)) and the bromine found in the tropical middle stratosphere or in the descending branch of the Brewer Dobson circulation (e.g. Dorf et al. (2008), Rotermund et al. (2021)) hints at differences of 1–2 ppt. In consequence This small difference in total bromine may point to some inorganic bromine being scavenged during its transport from the lower into the middle stratosphere and may accordingly reduce the bromine-mediated loss in ozone (Sinnhuber and Folkins, 2006). In consequence, to further
- 35 quantify all these processes, it appears desirable to precisely measure and specify all forms of bromine in all sub-domains of the stratosphere.

Traditionally, stratospheric bromine abundances have often been inferred from measured bromine monoxide (BrO) or bromine nitrate ($BrONO_2$) concentrations (employing a suitable correction for the bromine partitioning) by using in-situ and remote sensing instrumentation, which were deployed on crewed and uncrewed aircraft, high flying balloons, and satellites

- 40 (e.g. Brune et al. (1989), Toohey et al. (1990), Harder et al. (1998, 2000), Pundt et al. (2002), Sioris et al. (2006), Theys et al. (2009), Höpfner et al. (2009, 2021), Rozanov et al. (2011), Liao et al. (2012), Stachnik et al. (2013), Volkamer et al. (2015), Werner et al. (2017), Wetzel et al. (2017), Rotermund et al. (2021), and others). Among the various employed techniques (e.g. Resonance Fluorescence, Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometry, Middle Infrared and Microwave Spectroscopy, and Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy), the Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) for direct sunlight (solar
- 45 occultation) and atmospheric skylight measurements has most widely been used for BrO observations in the lower and middle stratosphere, due to its excellent selectivity, sensitivity, and repeatability (Harder et al. (1998, 2000), Pundt et al. (2002), Weidner et al. (2005)). In particular, the repeatability of these DOAS observations helped establish the trend in total stratospheric bromine in the past three decades, which is necessary for the verification of the regulations of the Montreal protocol (Dorf et al. (2006b), WMO (2022)).
- 50 From 1996 until 2012, we regularly deployed a DOAS instrument for solar occultation measurements of halogen oxides (the halogen oxides BrO, chlorine dioxide (OClO), and iodine oxide (IO) - in the stratosphere on the azimuth-stabilised LPMA/DOAS (Laboratoire de Physique Mol éculaire et Applications) balloon gondola (Camy-Peyret et al. (1993, 1995, 1999),



Figure 1. Flight profile (left) of the second deployment from Timmins, Canada, (23 Aug 2022) showing balloon altitude, air pressure (upper panel), and SZA over time in UTC (lower panel). The shadings indicate the distinct phases of the flight: balloon ascent (blue), balloon float with SZA $< 90^{\circ}$ (orange), solar occultation (red) and night (grey). The balloon's trajectory (right) is marked by the same flight phase colours. The blue triangle marks the launching site and the black triangle marks the landing site of the balloon. Due to a leakage of the helium balloon it descended slowly after reaching the maximum altitude (36 km) around 20:00 UTC. Map from Open Street Map.

Ferlemann et al. (1998, 2000)). However, due to a change in ballooning technology and operations by CNES (Centre National d'Étude Spatiale) in the mid 2010s, the previously used LPMA/DOAS balloon gondola and DOAS solar occultation instrument

- 55 were decommissioned. Hence, the solar tracker previously provided by LPMA was no longer available. Here, we present a newly developed, compact DOAS spectrometer system coupled to an active, stand-alone solar tracker for balloon-borne solar occultation measurements of mid-stratospheric BrO and possibly, to be addressed by future studies, other UV/visible absorbing gases such as IO and OCIO. We report on the instrument setup, the demonstration deployments and the performance of the new system for BrO concentration measurements and on the derived total inorganic bromine ([Br_v]).
- 60 The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 reports on the two deployments to date, Sect. 3 describes the design and technical features of the spectrometer system and the sun-tracker as well as its performance, and Sect. 4 discusses the different methods used to infer total bromine from measured BrO. Section 5 reports on the stratospheric abundances inferred from the second deployment and discusses the obtained results and Sect. 6 concludes the study.

2 Deployments

65 To date, the new instrument has been deployed twice onboard azimuth-stabilised stratospheric balloon gondolas. Here, we will mainly report on the second deployment, which took place from Timmins, Ontario, Canada (48.57° N, 81.37° W) on 23 August 2022 in the early afternoon (launch at 18:08 UTC, 14:08 local time). The flight profile and trajectory of this balloon flight are shown in Fig. 1. We collected good quality measurements under afternoon and sunset conditions (solar zenith angles (SZA) between 55° and 96°) from a balloon ceiling altitude between 32 km and 36.5 km. Measurements during the ascent of

- 70 the balloon into the stratosphere were not possible since the azimuth rotation of the gondola could not be stabilised due to high wind shear. Other remote sensing payloads on this flight were GLORIA (Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere by Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) and Forschungszentrum Jülich (FzJ), Riese et al. (2014); Friedl-Vallon et al. (2014); Höpfner et al. (2022)) and FIRMOS (Far-Infrared Radiation Mobile Observation System by National Institute of Optics (CNR-INO), Belotti et al. (2023); Palchetti et al. (2021)).
- One year before, on 21 August 2021, the first deployment of our instrument took place from Esrange near Kiruna, Sweden (67.89° N, 21.08° E) in the afternoon (launch at 15:08 UTC, 17:08 local time). This flight (total flight time around 18 h) allowed us to record spectra during sunset (SZA between 85° and 95°) and subsequent sunrise (SZA between 94.5° and 61°) from balloon altitudes above 32 km. Measurements during the ascent of the balloon into the stratosphere were impossible due to both technical problems with our instrument and difficulties with the azimuth-stabilisation of the gondola. GLORIA and
- ALI (Aerosol Limb Imager by the Institute of Space and Atmospheric Studies at the University of Saskatchewan, Elash et al. (2016)) were other scientific payloads on the same flight. While we report on the performance of the solar tracker for the first flight, spectroscopic artefacts (etalon structures) hinder us from carrying out and reporting on the spectral analysis. We were able to reduce these artefacts for the second flight from Timmins to the extent that we can correct from them (see Sect. 4.1). Thus, our spectroscopic results mainly derive from the second flight.

85 3 Instrumentation

- The new balloon-borne DOAS instrument is designed to measure UV/visible absorbing trace gases such as O_3 , NO_2 , BrO, possibly IO, OCIO, and HONO under stratospheric pressure and temperature conditions. The instrumentis Compared to the previous LPMA/ DOAS instrument, the new instrument is of lower weight with a total mass of medium weight (total mass < 40 kg)-, is more compact and has a low power consumption (lower power consumption of < 100 W), making it a suitable
- 90 secondary payload on stratospheric balloon gondolas. The balloon-borne DOAS instrument , depicted in Fig. ??, consists of two major parts: an active solar tracking unit (Fig. 2) capturing direct sunlight (described in Sect. 3.1) and the spectrometer unit (Fig. 3) containing two grating spectrometers (described in Sect. 3.2), one sensitive to the UV and the other one to the visible (vis) spectral range. Two telescopes within the solar tracker feed the direct sunlight into the spectrometers via two glass fibres of 3 m length each. Each unit is equipped with an embedded computer (Fitlet2-CE3959-P36) and an Arduino-based
- 95 housekeeping unit logging temperature data. All electronics threatened to overheat producing a significant amount of heat are thermally connected to a radiation shield (hereinafter called radiator) attached to the respective unit and facing the cold sky to provide radiative cooling during stratospheric balloon flights. In addition, baffles shield the radiators from direct sun radiation. The embedded computers can be operated remotely from the ground via telecommand/telemetry connections provided by the host gondola. Each unit is connected to a GPS receiver to synchronise its clock. Thus, except for the connection via the glass
- 100 fibres, the spectrometer and solar tracker units are two stand-alone units that can be placed in different parts of the host gondola, e.g. the solar tracker can be installed on the outside fuselage of the gondola to enable direct sun viewing while the spectrometer unit can be placed somewhere inside the structure, with the side-constraint that the radiators need to face the cold background



Figure 2. Schematic of the light path through the solar tracker (a) and photograph with labelling of the important components of the solar tracker unit (b). Note that the open side of the solar tracker is closed by an aluminum plate during the flight.

sky. Photographs with labelling of the important components of the spectrometer (a) and solar tracker unit (b). Note that the vacuum valve and tubing are unmounted during the actual balloon flight since vacuum pumping is only performed at ground prior to the flight. Also, the open side of the solar tracker is closed by an aluminum plate during the flight.

3.1 Solar tracker unit

3.1 Solar tracker

105

The concept of the stand-alone solar tracker is based on the Camtracker setup developed by Gisi et al. (2011) and used routinely for ground-based direct sun observations on slowly moving platforms by our group and many others (e.g. Frey et al. (2019)).

110 ,Klappenbach et al. (2015), Butz et al. (2017)). The concept of this ground based solar tracker was adjusted for deployment on balloon gondolas. Compared to the former LPMA solar tracker (Camy-Peyret et al. 1995), the presented stand-alone solar tracker is much lighter, more compact and consumes less power. A schematic drawing of the light path through our solar tracker and a picture of the unit is shown in Fig. 2. Two plane ellipse-shaped mirrors are assembled in an alt-azimuth mount on two motorised stages (STANDA 8MR151-1 and STANDA 8MR190-2-28) whose lubricant has been replaced by a Teflon-based

115 lubricant (Fluroxon GV2S, specified from -60 °C to 100 °C) to avoid clogging under stratospheric conditions. Additionally, each stage motor is kept warm by a self regulating heater (DBK HP05-104, each ≤ 15 W). The plane mirrors reflect the parallel beam of sunlight into the tracker's body, where it is deflected by a 45° folding mirror and focused by a lens (2.54 cm (1 inch) diameter, focal length f = 150 mm) onto a sand-blasted aluminum screen positioned at a distance of one focal length from the lens. The image of the sun on the screen is approximately 1.4 mm in diameter, which equals 64 pixels in the picture of

the so-called fine-tracking camera inside the tracker's body. The tracker unit measures $0.4 \text{ m} \times 0.4 \text{ m} \times 0.5 \text{ m}$, weights

120

around 12 kg, and consumes around 60 W at peak computational and heating power. The tracker is depicted in Fig. ??(b).

The tracking operates in two steps: first, a coarse tracking fish-eye lens camera with a field of view of 185° (IDS UI-3280CP Rev.2 with Fujinon FE185C057HA-1 f-theta objective lens) mounted at a zenith angle of 45° finds the rough position of the sun in the sky. The tracker rotates the altitude and azimuth mirrors accordingly, such that the sun's image is visible by the

- 125 fine-tracking camera that observes a target area of roughly 21 mm diameter on the sand-blasted aluminum screen. Then, the faster fine-tracking camera (IDS UI-3140CP Rev.2) takes over and slightly adjusts the mirror positions to centre the sun's disc on a predefined centre position using a PID control loop with a frequency of 50 Hz. The target precision of the tracking is $\approx \frac{1}{10}$ approximately $\frac{1}{10}$ of the sun's diameter (0.05° full angle). In principle, sun tracking is possible down to a solar zenith angle (SZA) of 96° and in an azimuth segment of 360°. During the two deployments reported here, the tracker was mounted on the
- 130 top of the balloon gondola structure on the side facing the sun to ensure an unobscured view of the sun throughout the flight. When mounted on the balloon gondola for these two deployments, the available azimuth viewing range reduced to roughly 270° as the balloon and the gondola obscured the view of the sun in some directions.

Two telescopes, one optimised for the UV (2.54 cm (1 inch) diameter, 20 mm side length right-angle prism) and the other for the visible (1.27 cm (0.5 inch) diameter, 10 mm side length right-angle prism) spectral range, respectively, are placed into

- 135 the parallel light beam between the azimuth mirror and the folding mirror, to detract sunlight for the spectral analysis by the spectrometer unit. The telescope design follows the design reported in Butz et al. (2017). By internal total reflection, the right-angle prism guides the light into the telescope tube with a coloured glass filter (UV: Hoya U340; vis: Hoya B460) for the respective desired wavelength ranges. Inside the telescope, a lens with a focal length of f = 40 mm (UV: Thorlabs LB4030-UV; vis: Thorlabs LB1378) focuses the light onto an aperture with a diameter of 800 µm to limit the field of view to 1.15° ,
- 140 i.e.-_λ roughly corresponding to twice the diameter of the solar disk. Behind the aperture, the expanding light beam illuminates a diffuser plate at 45° angle and the light scattered off the diffuser plate is collected by a mono 400 µm glass fibre (numerical aperture of 0.22, length 3 m) which guides the light into the spectrometer.

3.2 Spectrometer unit

The spectrometer unit is constructed similarly to previous concept and design of the balloon-borne DOAS instruments, e.g. as documented by Ferlemann et al. (1998, 2000) and Weidner et al. (2005) . For our setup, two commercial grating spectrometers (Ocean Insight spectrometer was inherited from the limb spectrometers used by Weidner et al. (2005) and Kritten et al. (2010) , however in the present application the spectrometers were changed from Ocean Insight QE 2000 and QE65000 spectrometers to slightly larger, higher performance Ocean Insight QE-Pro), spectrometers. Additionally, electronics including the onboard computer and power lines were updated. Compared to the LPMA/DOAS direct-sun spectrometer, the new spectrometer is

150 lighter and more compact and can be placed flexibly on the gondola.



Figure 3. Photograph with labelling of the important components of the spectrometer. Note that the vacuum valve and tubing are unmounted during the balloon flight since vacuum pumping is only performed at ground prior to the flight.



Figure 4. Spectral response function of the UV and vis spectrometers monitored through the mercury emission peak at 334 nm (blue) and the krypton peak at 432 nm (orange), respectively. The full-width at half maximum (FWHM) of the spectral response functions gives the resolution of the respective spectrometer. For both spectrometers the sampling is about 5 pixels per FWHM. The shown spectral response functions were recorded a few hours before the balloon launch from Timmins.

Two spectrometers, one for the UV (305 nm to 387 nm) and one for the vis (398 nm to 502 nm) spectral range, are used to record the solar absorption spectra. The UV spectrometer has a spectral resolution of 0.38 nm while the vis spectrometer has a spectral resolution of 0.55 nm. The spectral resolution of both spectrometers corresponds to ~ 5 spectral pixels. The spectral response functions of both spectrometers are measured using spectral emission lamps and are shown in Fig. 4.

155

The spectrometer unit is depicted in Fig. $\frac{??(a)}{3}$. The glass fibres coming from the solar tracker unit feed the sunlight into the spectrometers via a custom-made vacuum feedthrough to the spectrometer entrance slits of 100 µm width each. A vacuum chamber houses both spectrometers to avoid wavelength shifts through pressure changes and any condensation on the actively thermo-electrically cooled CCD detectors (operated at -10 °C). The temperature of the spectrometers is stabilised by a mixture of ice and water (volume of around 8 l) within a vessel surrounding the vacuum chamber. A small water pump continuously

mixes the ice-water bath to prevent temperature gradients from forming. About 3 cm of open-cell foam insulate the vessel from 160 the outside. The spectrometer unit measures $0.45 \text{ m} \times 0.4 \text{ m} \times 0.4 \text{ m}$, weights around 25 kg (including the water), and consumes 30 W.

The spectral acquisition software was developed in our lab. During stratospheric balloon flights, it can be operated via a remote connection to the embedded computer. Single spectra are stored locally on the embedded computer. Integration times

165 between 10 ms to 60000 ms are adjusted manually during sunset and sunrise when illumination conditions change quickly.

3.3 Instrument performance

Overall, the instrument performed well during both deployments and a continuous set of spectra was acquired during the sunset for both flights.

The thermal budget of the instrument's electronic components was well balanced throughout both deployments except for 170 the ascent of the flight from Kiruna. During this first deployment, the onboard computer integrated into the spectrometer unit shut down during balloon ascent due to low temperatures ($\sim 215 \text{ K}$) near the tropopause. However, radiative heating in the middle stratosphere brought the computer online again and high computational usage during night resulted in continuous measurements during the entire measurement period at balloon float altitude. In general, the computers were more threatened to get susceptible to becoming too cold than too warm. Therefore, two heaters were built into the onboard computers for the second deployment at Timmins, supplying up to 3 W of heat when the computers' temperatures were below 0 °C. Additionally, 175

the computational power was increased on purpose by dummy scripts during the critical phases to generate heat.

The performance of the spectrometers is mainly dependent on their thermal stability, which is governed by the stability of the ice water bath. Stable temperatures were measured with a PT1000 sensor within the ice-water bath throughout both flights with deviations lower than ± 0.25 °C. Nevertheless, a wavy pattern of the signal recorded by individual detector pixels

is observed over time in the spectra acquired during both deployments, even during periods where all relevant parameters 180 (integration time, relative azimuth angle of the sun to solar tracker, number of scans, etc.) were kept constant (as can be seen in Fig. 6). This artefact is most likely caused by some condensate on the detector, resulting in etalon structures. The optical density of these intensity changes is on the order of 10^{-3} , similar to the absorption structures residual spectral structures. Unfortunately, these residual spectral structures were likely the consequence of a thermal incident due to an operating mistake

- 185 prior to the first deployment from Kiruna in 2021 in the laboratory. Volatile chemical components within the evacuated spectrometer housing outgassed due to warm water-bath temperatures above 50 °C and condensed onto the cooled detector surfaces resulting in etalon-like spectral structures observed for both spectrometers. For cleaning, we reversed the process, heating the detectors while cooling the lid of the vacuum chamber and evacuating the spectrometer container. By this measure, most of the condensate could be removed from the detector surfaces such that the residual structures were largely reduced.
- 190 However, it is plausible that a thin layer of condensate remained on the detector surfaces causing residual structures on the order of $\tau_{\text{residual}} < 10^{-3}$.

A promising correction method is described in Sect. 4.2. Unfortunately, this method can only be applied to the spectra recorded during the second deployment from Timmins because the relative azimuth angle of the <u>sun</u> tracker to the sun was kept constant during the entire sunset, resulting in a smooth intensity time series. However, this relative azimuth angle was changed several times during the first flight from Kiruna, resulting in small changes in the recorded intensity, making a correction via

195 several times during the first flight from Kiruna, resulting in small changes in the recorded intensity, making a correction via polynomial fits unfeasible. Hence, all results presented in the following sections are based on the spectral retrieval of the UV

data from the Timmins deployment only.



Figure 5. Tracking precision during sunset $(53^\circ \le SZA \le 95^\circ)$ of the flight from Timmins in 2022. The blue circle shows the target precision of 0.05° , whereas the black circle shows a deviation by 0.005° from the center pixel. All data points of the tracking during this timespan lie within the target range and 83.1% even deviate less than 0.005° .

200



205 procedure. Previously, the auxiliary balloons were detached shortly after launch. However, in the present configuration, the auxiliary balloon is attached to the flight train and no longer released, leading to a larger area of attack for the shear winds, which induces azimuthal torque to the flight train and the gondola. In consequence of the present setup of the balloon flight train, a reliable azimuth stabilisation is not possible when strong shear winds are prevailing, i.e. during balloon ascent below altitudes of at least 10 km to 15 km. This prevents us from collecting continuous ascent measurements due to the unpredictable

210 rotations of the balloon gondola.

The tracking precision during the measurement time of the sunset from balloon float altitude (between $53^{\circ} \le SZA \le 95^{\circ}$) for the flight from Timmins is depicted by the 2D histogram shown in Fig. 5. The deviation from the target position on the screen viewed by the fine-tracking camera is given in degrees of the azimuth and elevation angle. All data points lie within the target range of 0.05° , and 83.1 % of the data points show deviations of less than 0.005° . A similar precision with a few more outliers was achieved during the first deployment from Kiruna.

4 Trace gas analysis

215

The recorded solar absorption spectra are first preprocessed to correct for instrument related effects which include an etalon a residual structure that needs particular attention (Sect. 4.1). Then, we use the DOAS method (Platt et al., 2008) to infer, for each spectrum, the differential slant column densities (dSCDs) of the fitted trace gases. Here, we focus on the retrieval of BrO dSCDs from the UV spectral range (Sect. 4.2) since the primary goal of the mission is to monitor bromine abundances in the mid-stratosphere. The instrument setup also supports the detection of IO, OCIO, HONO, O₃ and NO₂ retrievals which are, however, not detailed here. To convert dSCDs into a mean BrO volume mixing ratio (VMR) above balloon altitude ([BrO]), we employ Langley's method entailing the simulation of the light path from the sun to the balloon-borne instrument (Sect. 4.3). Finally, we estimate [Br_y] by simulating the bromine partitioning in the mid-stratosphere using a photochemical box model (Sect. 4.4).

4.1 Preprocessing

Prior to the balloon deployments, the nonlinearity of the spectrometers' detectors was characterised in lab measurements. The detector's response is most linear for pixel saturations between 30% and 60%, hence the with deviations from linearity within this range being smaller than 0.002%. Thus, the correction in the processing step increases the signal of pixels with

230 <u>saturations between 30 % and 60 % by less than 0.002 % (at maximum). The</u> integration time during the deployments was manually adjusted such that the maximum saturation is within this range. The detector's dark current and offset voltage as well as mercury and krypton emission lamp, and halogen lamp spectra were recorded a few hours before and a few hours to a day after each balloon deployment depending on the recovery time of the gondola.

As a first step of data preprocessing, the single spectra recorded during the flight are corrected for the detector's nonlinearity and 200 spectra are coadded to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Further, the spectrometer's spectral response function used for convolving absorption cross sections is calculated from the emission lamp spectra. Here, the spectral emission line closest

10

to the retrieval window is used for the convolution. Further, a wavelength pre-calibration for the DOAS evaluation is calculated from the spectra of the spectral emission lamps. The software used for the DOAS retrieval, ODOAS (Danckaert et al., 2012). corrects all spectra for the offset and dark current and performs a more advanced wavelength calibration using the solar Fraunhofer lines.

240

First DOAS retrievals showed the presence of disturbing residual structures in the retrieved spectra, resulting in a highly varying retrieval quality, i.e. the root-mean-square (RMS) of the spectral residuals of the DOAS fits showed an oscillating pattern over time. Further investigation indicated oscillations in the signal recorded by each detector pixel over time, but with different phases for individual pixels as depicted in Fig. 6. These oscillating signals are most likely caused by condensate on

245 the detector resulting in spectral etalon residual structures. Given the oscillating pattern of the RMS, the effects of the etalon these residual structures reproduce with time, i.e. same spectral structures are repeating themselves in each full oscillation. Therefore, we use a few oscillations to characterize the artefacts and to correct for them within the DOAS retrieval.

The overall correction strategy is to select a period where the SCDs of the target gases are safely below the detection limit and which is long enough to cover a few cycles of the oscillating pattern. From spectra recorded during that period, 250 we extract the artefact signal in terms of an etalon a residual optical density and characterize its spectral pattern through a principal component analysis (PCA). The inferred principal components are then used to correct all spectra including those used for scientific analysis within. To this end, the DOAS retrieval -includes a set of the inferred spectral residual structures as pseudo cross sections. The scaling of each pseudo cross section together with the gas absorption structures is determined via least-squares minimization. As the period for calibrating the principal components, we take the one corresponding to the

255 SZA range between 55° and 75° when the balloon was at float altitude, the sun was high in the sky and anticipating that the DOAS retrievals use the spectrum recorded at $SZA = 74.1^{\circ}$ as their reference spectrum. Thus, the target gases show negligible spectral signals for the chosen period. Yet, the period covers five cycles of the oscillations.

In order to infer the etalon optical density τ_{residual} residual optical density τ_{residual} from the spectra recorded during the calibration period, we first calculate the measured optical density $\tau_{\rm meas}$ with respect to the reference spectrum (at SZA =

74.1°). The atmospheric contribution τ_{atmo} τ_{atmo} to τ_{meas} is mainly due to Rayleigh scattering which we approximate by a 260 low order polynomial function P of either the slant airmass SCD_{air} (with respect to the reference spectrum) or wavelength (see the two approaches below). The etalon optical density τ_{etalon} residual optical density τ_{residual} can thus be calculated from the measured optical density $\tau_{\rm meas}$ via

$$\tau_{\text{etalon}\,\text{residual}}(\lambda,\,\text{SCD}_{\text{air}}) = \tau_{\text{meas}}(\lambda,\,\text{SCD}_{\text{air}}) - \tau_{\text{atmo}}(\lambda,\,\text{SCD}_{\text{air}}) = \tau_{\text{meas}}(\lambda,\,\text{SCD}_{\text{air}}) - P(\lambda,\,\text{SCD}_{\text{air}}). \tag{1}$$

265 Then, there are two approaches on how to implement Eq. ?? 1. The first approach (further called AIRMASS) finds the atmospheric optical density τ_{atmo} by fitting the polynomial coefficients of $P(SCD_{air})$ (between third and fifth order) to the measured optical density $\tau_{\rm meas}$ for each detector pixel as a function of slant airmass SCD_{air}. The etalon optical density τ_{tetalon} residual optical density τ_{residual} resulting from this procedure is shown in Fig. 6. The second approach (further called SPEC) finds the atmospheric optical density τ_{atmo} by fitting a third order polynomial P(λ) to the measured optical density



Figure 6. Optical density fluctuations due to etaloning on the CCD detector τ_{residual} residual spectral structures τ_{residual} shown for detector pixels 200 to 900 as a function of slant airmass SCD_{air}. The spectral pattern was obtained using the approach AIR-MASS with a 4^{th} degree polynomial as described in the text. The chosen reference spectrum at $SZA = 74.1^{\circ}$ has a SCD_{air} of $\frac{3.9c23 \text{ molec cm}^{-2}}{3.9 \cdot 10^{23} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}}$. The mean r^2 value for the polynomial fits over all pixels is 0.986.

Table 1. Absorption cross sections used for the BrO DOAS fit.

Gas	Temperature	SCD for Solar I_0	Citation
O_3	$203~\mathrm{K}$ and $246~\mathrm{K}$	$\frac{5c19 \operatorname{molec} \operatorname{cm}^{-2}}{5 \cdot 10^{19} \operatorname{molec} \operatorname{cm}^{-2}}$	Voigt et al. (2001)
NO_2	$246~{ m K}$	$\frac{5e16 \text{ molec cm}^{-2}}{5 \cdot 10^{16} \text{ molec cm}^{-2}}$	Voigt et al. (2002)
O_4	$253~{ m K}$	-	Thalman and Volkamer (2013)
BrO	223 K or 298 K	-	Wahner et al. (1988)

 τ_{meas} for each spectrum as a function of wavelength λ . Using the SPEC approach, the results for τ_{residual} are similar to 270 the oscillations shown in Fig. 6 obtained using the AIRMASS approach.

No matter what approach is used, a PCA is then performed on $\tau_{\text{retalon}}(\lambda, \text{SCD}_{\text{air}}) \tau_{\text{residual}}(\lambda, \text{SCD}_{\text{air}})$ to retrieve the dominating spectral features, i.e. the principle components (PCs) as a function of wavelength λ over the calibration period (with with the time parameterized through SCD_{air}). The PCs are sorted by the variance they explain along the SCD_{air} dimension,

and the first 5 to 7 PCs are finally included in the DOAS analysis by including them in the DOAS fits as pseudo-absorbersas 275 pseudo-absorption cross sections. As various settings (approach AIRMASS and SPEC, order of polynomials, number of PCs) used for the etalon residual correction yield similar results, a sensitivity study is conducted to estimate the uncertainty propagated by the respective choices into the final results.



Figure 7. DOAS fit results for the spectrum recorded at $SZA = 89.9^{\circ}$. The spectral retrieval uses two O_3 absorption cross sections at different temperatures, a NO_2 absorption cross section, the BrO absorption cross section at 298 K, an O_4 absorption cross section and the first six PCs representing the etalon-residual structures calculated via the AIRMASS approach described in the text. In addition to the fit components shown here, the DOAS retrieval adopts a first order offset polynomial and a 2nd order polynomial to account for broadband extinction processes.

4.2 Spectral retrieval of BrO

We infer differential slant column densities of BrO with respect to a reference spectrum by applying the established DOAS technique (Platt, 1994; Platt et al., 2008) using the QDOAS software (Danckaert et al., 2012). Spectrally interfering species are O_3 , NO_2 , and O_4 . The reference is chosen from the collection of spectra recorded at balloon float altitude when the slant column absorption is minimal (here SZA = 74.1°).

Following the recommendations of Aliwell et al. (2002) and previous balloon-borne BrO studies (Harder et al., 2000;
Dorf et al., 2006b; Kreycy et al., 2013; Rotermund et al., 2021), the retrieval window extends over the range 346 nm to 360 nm covering two BrO absorption bands. All absorption cross sections used for the DOAS fit are listed in Table 1. An additive offset polynomial (order 1) is included to account for instrumental stray light and a 2nd degree polynomial is included to account for any broadband extinction processes. To make the results of this study comparable with other balloon-borne stratospheric BrO measurements (Harder et al., 2000; Dorf et al., 2006b; Kreycy et al., 2013), the DOAS retrieval settings are consistent with these studies and the BrO absorption cross section by Wahner et al. (1988) is used for the retrieval with a fixed wavelength shift of 0.28 nm (Wilmouth et al., 1999). All absorption cross sections are convolved to the instrument's spectral resolution using the measured spectral response function shown in Fig. 4. As the absorption cross section of O₃ is temperature dependent,

absorption cross sections for two different temperatures are included in the fit, with the absorption cross section at 203 K being orthogonalised to the one at 246 K. The absorption cross sections of the strong absorbers O_3 and NO_2 are also corrected for

295 the solar I_0 effect using the SCDs listed in Table 1 (Aliwell et al., 2002; Platt et al., 2008). Additionally, five to seven principle components are included in the DOAS fit as pseudo spectral cross sections (pseudo-absorbers) to represent the etalon residual structures determined during the preprocessing in the DOAS retrievalas pseudo-absorbers. Figure 7 illustrates the spectral fitting quality.

Since the absorption cross section of BrO is approximately linearly dependent on the gas temperature, the BrO dSCD of ach spectrum is calculated from an interpolation between the dSCDs retrieved with the two BrO absorption cross sections recorded at T = 223 K (cold) and T = 298K (warm) (Wahner et al., 1988) to the effective absorption temperature.

The effective absorption temperature of BrO as function of SZA and observation altitude $T_{\text{eff}}(SZA)$ $T_{\text{eff}}(SZA)$ can be inferred from the photochemical simulations (Sect. 4.4) and the simulated light path yielding the air mass factor (AMF) and the vertical density VD-air density ρ_i of layer *i* (Sect. 4.3). It is calculated via

$$305 \quad T_{\text{eff}}(\text{SZA}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \text{AMF}_{i}(\text{SZA}) \cdot T_{i} \cdot [\text{BrO}]_{i} \cdot \text{VD}_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \text{AMF}_{i}(\text{SZA}) \cdot [\text{BrO}]_{i} \cdot \text{VD}_{i}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \text{AMF}_{i}(\text{SZA}) \cdot T_{i} \cdot [\text{BrO}]_{i} \cdot \rho_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{L} \text{AMF}_{i}(\text{SZA}) \cdot [\text{BrO}]_{i} \cdot \rho_{i}}$$
(2)

with the temperature T_i and BrO VMR [BrO] in each layer *i*.

4.3 Langley's method

Under the assumption of a constant [BrO] within the altitude range from the balloon to a few kilometers above as suggested by photochemical modelling, the BrO dSCDs ($dSCD_{BrO}$) should scale linearly with the slant column density of air (SCD_{air})

$$dSCD_{BrO} = [BrO] \cdot SCD_{air} - SCD_{BrO,0}$$

where $SCD_{BrO,0}$ is the slant column of BrO in the reference spectrum. SCD_{air} is calculated by our ray-tracing program DAMF which has been validated in the studies of Harder et al. (2000); Bösch et al. (2001); Dorf et al. (2006b); Butz et al. (2009); Weidner et al. (2005). DAMF calculates the box air mass factor AMF_i for each layer *i*, i.e. the factor by which the

(3)

air mass along the slant light path is larger compared to the vertical column density of air in the atmospheric layer of height $\Delta h_i \geq 100$ m. The AMF calculation is based on a spherical atmosphere and takes into account refraction due the changing pressure in the atmosphere. The used atmospheric pressure and temperature profile is based on ERA5 data (Hersbach et al., 2020, 2023) and above the highest ERA5 altitude of 1 hPa (corresponding to about 48.5 km)-the US standard atmosphere (scaled to the ERA5 profile)-is used. The resulting SCD_{air} is then obtained for each spectrum from

320
$$\operatorname{SCD}_{\operatorname{air}} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \operatorname{AMF}_{i} \cdot \underline{\operatorname{VD}}_{\sim} \rho_{i} \cdot \Delta h_{i}.$$
 (4)

using the air vertical column density VD_i of layer *i*.

Using Langley's method according to Eq. 3, i.e. performing a linear regression to the BrO dSCDs vs. SCD_{air} , provides the [BrO] above balloon float altitude and $SCD_{BrO,0}$.

In former studies a representative partitioning ratio was used to scale the Langley-derived [BrO] to the $\frac{\text{VMR-total (inorganic)}}{\text{Bromine, [Bry]}}$. However, this assumption is only approximately valid. Therefore, in the present study, Eq. 4 is modified to

$$SCD_{air, weighted} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} AMF_{i} \cdot \left(\frac{[BrO]}{[Br_{y}]}\right)_{i} \cdot \underbrace{VD\rho_{i}}_{\sim} \cdot \Delta h_{i}.$$
(5)

to account for the $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br_y]}$ ratio varying with height and with the local SZA. The respective $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br_y]}$ ratio as a function of altitude and SZA is obtained from photochemical modelling (Sect. 4.4). Reconsidering Langley's method with the partitioning-weighted SCD_{air, weighted} on the abscissa, $[Br_y]$ can be inferred directly from the slope of a linear regression to the BrO dSCDs.

330 4.4 Photochemical modelling

At daytime, BrO is the predominat predominant bromine species in the middle stratosphere. Due to the well-mixed conditions in the middle stratosphere, the major bromine species rapidly approach steady state under sunlight. The main reactions governing the bromine partitioning in the middle stratosphere are the inter-conversion reactions between the three most reactive bromine species BrO, BrONO₂, and Br via reactions with odd oxygen species

$$335 \quad Br + O_3 \rightarrow BrO + O_2 \tag{6}$$

and

$$BrO + O \to Br + O_2, \tag{7}$$



Figure 8. The $\frac{[BrO]}{[Bry]}$ partitioning at 89.9° SZA at 30 km to 45 km altitude (left panel). In addition to the model base run (black), we conducted several simulations under perturbed model parameters (colored) as indicated by the legend and as described in the main text. The right panel shows the relative difference of the perturbed simulations to the base run. The total uncertainty (black dotted) is calculated by Gaussian summation of the individual differences to the base run. The light grey altitude range marks the balloon gondola's altitude during the observations used for the regression in the Langley plot (33.7 km to 34.7 km).

the photolysis of bromine oxide,

$$BrO + h\nu(\lambda \le 515 \text{ nm}) \to Br + O, \tag{8}$$

340 and the formation of the predominat nighttime species bromine nitrate

$$BrO + NO_2 + M \to BrONO_2 + M.$$
⁽⁹⁾

Here, M represents a third but inert collision partner needed for momentum conservation.

We use a 1D photochemical stacked box (column) model to calculate the time evolution of stratospheric inorganic bromine species. The chemical mechanism is based on the off-line chemical transport model TOMCAT (Chipperfield, 1999, 2006) similar to the setup in Höpfner et al. (2021) and Sinnhuber et al. (2005) with all bi-molecular and ter-molecular rate constants being updated to JPL2022 (Burkholder et al., 2019). The model simulates the chemical evolution of stratospheric species over the course of five days, with the flight day being the last day. The model calculates actinic fluxes in a pseudo-spherical geometry with the direct solar beam calculated taking into account the sphericity of the atmosphere and multiple scattering calculated in plane-parallel approximation, using a scheme based on Lary and Pyle (1991), which in turn is based on Meier et al. (1982)

and Nicolet et al. (1982). The actinic flux calculations of the model have been validated by Bösch et al. (2001) for similar

balloon borne observations. VMR profiles are calculated at the balloon-launch site's latitude and longitude at 47 vertical levels between 10 km to 132 km. Initial temperature and pressure profiles are taken from ERA5 data. The O₃ profile is initialised by a microwave limb sounder (MLS) ozone profile recorded in close temporal and spatial vicinity to the balloon flight (Schwartz et al., 2020). Other gas profiles are initialised by EMAC simulations presented in Höpfner et al. (2021).

The simulations are used to infer the [BrO] to total bromine [Br_y] partitioning as a function of altitude and SZA (shown in black in Fig. 8 for SZA = 89.9°). For 80° ≤ SZA ≤ 90° this partitioning is between 0.8 and 0.9 for altitudes between 33 km and 41 km. The uncertainty of the partitioning profile is estimated by varying model parameters important for stratospheric bromine chemistry. These parameters include the rate constants k of reactions (6), (7), and (9) each varied by ±1σ at 250K according to Burkholder et al. (2019). Additionally, the photolysis frequency of BrO J_{BrO}, (reaction 8) is varied by ±8 % according to the uncertainty of the absorption cross section (Wilmouth et al., 1999) and the O₃ VMR is varied by ±10 % while the initial NO₂ VMR (together with the NO VMR) is varied by ±20 %. The resulting profiles of the [BrO] [Br_y] partitioning are shown as dashed lines in Fig. 8. The combined uncertainty of all these runs gives the estimated modelling error of [BrO] [Br_y]. In the relevant altitude range (of 33.7 km to 40 km), this error amounts to 5 % which agrees with a similar study in Dorf et al.

365 5 Stratospheric bromine abundance

Given the analytical tools outlined in Sect. 4, we quantify the stratospheric bromine abundance in terms of the mid-stratospheric [BrO] (Sect. 5.1), the compatible VMR of total gaseous inorganic bromine $[Br_y]$ (Sect. 5.2), and the implications for the bromine trend in the stratosphere (Sect. 5.3).

5.1 BrO abundance in the mid-stratosphere

(2006b). The largest contribution comes from reaction (9).

- 370 The BrO dSCDs are retrieved from the measured absorption spectra as described in Sect. 4.2 including an interpolation to the effective absorption temperature according to Eq. 2. Thereby, the retrieval is run repeatedly with a variable set of preprocessing parameters (see Table A1) to estimate the uncertainty introduced by the <u>etalon residual</u> structures and their correction. For illustration purposes, we report on a particular setting (approach AIRMASS, 4th degree polynomial, 6 PCs) as being representative for the quality of our [BrO] estimate and we consider the spread of sensitivity runs within the error analysis.
- Figure 9 shows the estimated BrO dSCDs for the measurement period with SZA ranging between 80° and 89.9°. This period is outside the range used for calibrating the etalon residual correction, and the balloon is at float altitude (33.7 km to 34.7 km), the sun is approaching the horizon, implying a long light path through the overhead atmosphere, and the $\frac{[BrO]}{[Bry]}$ partitioning does not change vastly. The latter allows for detecting the minor abundant BrO, which is clearly visible in the increase of the detected BrO dSCDs with SZA. Figure 9 also illustrates the effect of interpolating the BrO dSCDs from the retrieval nodes at
- 380 223 K and 298 K to the effective absorption temperature. The error bars on the dSCDs represent the one sigma uncertainty of the DOAS retrieval.



Figure 9. Panel (a) shows the BrO effective absorption temperature as a function of the SZA. Panel (b) shows the retrieved dSCDs of BrO for the two BrO absorption cross section temperatures 223 K (blue) and 298 K (orange) reported by Wahner et al. (1988) and the dSCDs of BrO interpolated to the effective absorption temperature (black).



Figure 10. Langley plot for BrO. The dSCD BrO error bars indicate the 1σ DOAS retrieval uncertainty. The linear regression (red solid line) yields a slope of [BrO] = (14.1 ± 1.0) ppt and a y-axis intercept of $SCD_{BrO,0} = (1.8 \pm 3.0)10^{12}$ molec cm⁻². The faint dashed red line indicates the extrapolation of the linear regression outside the fit range of $86^{\circ} \leq SZA \leq 89.9^{\circ}$.

The error weighted Langley linear regression (Fig. 10) based on the BrO dSCDs (interpolated to the effective temperature) vields $[BrO] = (14.1 \pm 1.0)$ ppt, which is representative for the mean BrO VMR above balloon altitude where the [BrO] uncertainty represents the statistical uncertainty of the linear regression. For the Langley regression, the SZA range between 86.0°

and 89.9° (corresponding to $\frac{1.6e24 \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3e24 \text{ molec cm}^{-2} 1.6 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq \text{SCD}_{air} \leq 5.3 \cdot 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \leq 10^{24} \text{ molec cm}^{-2} \approx 10^{24} \text{ molec}^{-2} \approx 10^{24} \text{ mol$ 385 is used since for SZA< 86.0° the BrO dSCDs are below the detection limit commonly taken as twice the dSCD retrieval uncertainty.

To estimate possible errors introduced by the etalon-residual correction during preprocessing, we perform a sensitivity study

390

- with different choices of preprocessing parameters as listed in Table A1. The BrO dSCDs and the Langlev regressions are calculated for each parameter configuration (see Fig. A1 and B1). For the ensemble of sensitivity runs, the inferred midstratospheric [BrO] ranges between (12.7 ± 1.0) ppt and (16.4 ± 1.0) ppt while the quality of the spectral retrieval is comparable among all runs. The inferred [BrO] is similar across different numbers of PCs included in the DOAS fit, however, [BrO] is significantly different for different polynomial degrees. None of the preprocessing settings seems to produce significantly different results concerning the RMS of the DOAS residuals or dSCD dependencies on SZA. Thus, we integrate all runs into 395 our final result by calculating the mean and the standard deviation among the ensemble. The mean mid-stratospheric [BrO] over the ensemble (Fig. B1) is 14.4 ppt with a standard deviation of 1.3 ppt on top of a mean Langely regression error of 1.0 ppt. Note that the statistical treatment of the preprocessing error estimated by the standard deviation over the ensemble is debatable since it is actually of systematic nature. In absence of better insight, we nevertheless use Gaussian error propagation to combine the preprocessing and Langley-fit error contributions, which yields a total error of 1.6 ppt (11 %). Additionally, the systematic error of the BrO absorption cross section (about 8%) needs to be added when comparing with studies using
- 400

different BrO absorption cross sections.

Br_{v} in the mid-stratosphere 5.2

 $[Br_v]$ is inferred from the retrieved BrO dSCDs using the air mass factor matrix and the model-based $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br-1]}$ ratio as described in Sect. 4.3. Figure 11 shows the respective Langley regression for the same illustrative sensitivity run as in Sect. 5.1. The linear regression of BrO dSCDs against slant airmass yields $[Br_y] = 17.0 \text{ ppt}$ with a fit error of 1.2 ppt. 405

The Langley regressions of all sensitivity runs are depicted in Fig. B2. Among the ensemble, $[Br_v]$ ranges between 15.4 ppt and 19.9 ppt. The mean $[Br_v]$ among all sensitivity runs is 17.5 ppt with a standard deviation of 1.6 ppt (9 %). The mean Langley-fit uncertainty among all runs is 1.2 ppt (7%). Additionally, we need to consider the uncertainty of the $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br..]}$ ratio inferred from photochemical simulations which amounts to 5% (as described in Sect. 4.4). Again resorting to Gaussian error

410

propagation for combining all three error sources, the total uncertainty of the inferred $[Br_v]$ is 2.2 ppt (12%). Further, we need to add the systematic uncertainty of the BrO absorption cross section of 8 % when our [Br_v] is compared with results based on a different absorption cross sections or a totally different method.



Figure 11. Langley plot for Br_y . A linear regression (red solid line) is fitted to the BrO dSCDs of BrO against the SCD_{air} weighted by $\frac{[BrO]}{[Bry]}$ ratio as described in the text. The slope of the fit yields $[Br_y]$ of (17.0 ± 1.2) ppt. Again, the BrO dSCDs of spectra with SZA < 86° are not included in the fit (red dashed line) since they are lower than the detection limit of our instrument (twice the DOAS fit error).

5.3 Inferred Br_v in context of bromine trend

- Our measurements result in [Br_y] = (17.5 ± 2.2) ppt with a combined uncertainty of statistical (1.5 ppt) and systematic
 (1.6 ppt) uncertainty plus an additional 8% contribution from the absorption cross section needed when compared to results using a different absorption cross section. To compare this result with the published trend in total stratospheric bromine (Laube et al., 2022), we need to determine the year of stratospheric entry of the observed airmasses. To this end, we estimate the age of air from N₂O VMRs either measured in close temporal and spatial vicinity by the MLS instrument (Waters et al., 2006) or from the co-deployed GLORIA instrument (see Fig. C1). Using the N₂O to age of air relationship of Engel et al.
 (2002), and updating the relationship with present N₂O VMRs at stratospheric entry level (Lan et al., 2022), we infer a mean
- age of the probed air masses of (5.5 ± 1.0) yrs. This results in a stratospheric entry date in early 2017 ± 1 yr.

Given this date and the size of our errors, our $[Br_y]$ estimate fits reasonably well into the trend assessments provided in Figure 1-14(a) of the recent scientific assessment of ozone depletion (Laube et al., 2022). Moreover, Rotermund et al. (2021) inferred a total stratospheric bromine mixing ratio $[Br^{tot}]$ from total inorganic bromine Br_y and organic bromine species of

425 $[Br^{tot}] = (19.2 \pm 1.2)$ ppt for air younger than about 1 yr in the lower stratosphere measured from aboard the HALO aircraft during the WISE campaign in fall 2017. Since there should be no sizeable organic bromine abundance present in the mid-

stratosphere, our inferred $[Br_y]$ can be compared directly to their $[Br^{tot}]$. The estimate by Rotermund et al. (2021) is greater by 1.7 ppt than ours but agrees within our comparatively large error bar.

430

However, in the future, it should be feasible for us to infer $[Br_y]$ with higher accuracy than presented here, which would add more information to the trend in stratospheric bromine when continued over a longer period. The predominant contribution to the uncertainty of our Br_y estimate are the error arising from the <u>etalon residual</u> correction, the error of the BrO absorption cross section, and the noise error of the retrieved BrO dSCDs.

We plan to replace the CCD detectors that were contaminated in the past, which should eliminate the detected etalon residual structures in the spectra and thus, the respective error contribution. Furthermore, the noise in the dSCDs can be reduced by 435 enhancing the light throughput. Our instrument setup could accommodate a factor 2 larger light input into the spectrometers by choosing different glass fibres, which should reduce the noise by a factor of $\sqrt{2}$ for the same temporal coadding. Both measures could reduce the error of the inferred $[Br_v]$ of our measurements. Our assessments would also benefit from a better constrained BrO absorption cross section also with respect to its temperature dependence, which is used to retrieve BrO using the DOAS method as well as in the photochemical simulation of the $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br_v]}$ ratio. Further, better constrained reaction rate constants for the dominant daytime bromine reactions (reactions (6), (7), and (9)) at stratospheric temperatures would 440 decrease the uncertainty on the estimated $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br_v]}$ ratio. More information on the photochemistry of stratospheric bromine could be obtained from simultaneous balloon-borne measurements of BrO (e.g. our balloon-borne DOAS instrument) and BrONO₂ (MIPAS or GLORIA instrument, Wetzel et al. (2017); Höpfner et al. (2021)). In fact, for both deployments reported here, we intended joint measurements of BrO and BrONO₂, but the data coverage (e.g. lacking ascent data, see e.g. Dorf et al. (2008)) 445 and quality of our measurements during the previous deployments are still not as good as desired for such a study.

If operational issues currently preventing us from continuous observations during balloon ascent can be solved, we should be capable of extending our analyses from merely using balloon float data to inferring vertical trace gas profiles of BrO, O_3 , NO_2 , and possibly HONO from the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere into the mid-stratosphere similarly to previous balloon-borne deployments (Dorf et al., 2006a; Butz et al., 2006; Kritten et al., 2010).

Further, the instrument potentially offers the opportunity to measure mid-stratospheric IO abundances using the spectra recorded by the vis spectrometer. Solar occultation measurements from balloon-float altitudes of 35 km at SZA $\approx 95^{\circ}$ provide very long light paths through the stratosphere and thus the possibility to detect even very low IO abundances (Bösch et al., 2003; Butz et al., 2009).

6 Conclusion

We have developed a new balloon-borne solar occultation DOAS instrument designed for measurements of UV/vis absorbing gases mainly relevant to ozone chemistry in the stratosphere such as O_3 , NO_2 , BrO, and possibly IO, OClO, and HONO. The instrument is of medium weight (< 40 kg) and has a low power consumption (< 100 W), making it suitable as a secondary instrument on azimuth-controlled balloon gondolas. Its modular design combines a stand-alone solar tracker with two temperature and pressure stabilised optical spectrometers coupled through glass fibre bundles. The solar tracker is capable of 460 compensating for the rotational motion of the gondola up to a rate of $\pm 2 \circ s^{-1}$ via alt-azimuth mounted mirrors controlled by a PID control loop.

Two deployments of the instrument onboard the azimuth-stabilised gondola HEMERA offered by CNES (Centre National d'Etudes Spatial) were conducted in 2021 and 2022 from Kiruna, Sweden, and Timmins, Canada, respectively. The precision of the solar tracking system was excellent with virtually all measurements complying with the targeted precision of 0.05°

- 465 corresponding to $\frac{1}{10}$ of sun's diameter with respect to the center of the solar disk ($\frac{1}{10}$ of sun's diameter) during balloon float in the middle stratosphere. However, due to operational constraints, the gondola rotational motions during balloon ascent were too fast to allow for solar tracking. The optical spectrometers performed reasonably well apart from an oscillating spectral pattern that we attribute to etaloning on the contaminated CCD detectors. Nevertheless, for spectra acquired during the deployment in 2022, these etalon_residual structures could be corrected for by a principle component analysis. After this correction, slant
- 470 column densities of O_3 , NO_2 and BrO could be retrieved. Using Langley's method, the BrO dSCDs are converted into a midstratospheric (above 33.7 km) [BrO] <u>VMRs-VMR</u> which amounted to (14.4±1.6) ppt with an additional systematic error due to the BrO absorption cross section of 8 %.

In order to estimate the total gaseous inorganic bromine load Br_y, we simulate the mid-stratospheric $\frac{[BrO]}{[Br_y]}$ ratio as function of altitude and SZA using a photochemical model based on TOMCAT. We find $[Br_y] = (17.5 \pm 2.2)$ ppt plus 8 % contribution 475 from the absorption cross section. The $[Br_y]$ uncertainty reflects the combined statistical (1.5 ppt) and systematic (1.6 ppt) uncertainty. Based on the age of air relationship driven by N₂O profiles measured by the GLORIA instrument onboard the same balloon gondola, the stratospheric entry date of the probed air masses is early 2017 ± 1 yr. Our inferred $[Br_y]$ fits reasonably well into the decadal stratospheric trend given by Laube et al. (2022) with a [Bry] lower by 1.7 ppt than the total stratospheric bromine inferred by Rotermund et al. (2021) with a similar stratospheric entry date. Both results agree with each



Further improvements in our instrument will be directed toward reducing the errors by improving the light throughput and eliminating the <u>etaloning from the CCD detectorsspectral residual structures</u>. Future deployments of the instrument and the respective data analysis will focus on exploiting the capabilities in terms of measuring the full suite of accessible chemical species such as IO to better constrain the stratospheric iodine load. Given its suitability as a secondary payload, we will aim

485 at co-deploying the instrument with other stratospheric chemistry missions to contextualize the photochemical regime through various observational constraints.

Data availability. The data recorded by the balloon-borne DOAS instrument presented in Fig. 10 and 11 and the mean N_2O profile recorded by GLORIA presented in Fig. C1 are available under https://doi.org/10.25326/XYCR-8C53 (last access: 15 May 2024, no registration required, Voss (2024)). The MLS data can be obtained via the Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center archive, https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov (last access N_2O data: 20 March 2023, registration required, https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/ML3DBN2O_004/

summary, (Lambert et al., 2020); last access O3 data: 15 Febuary 2023, registration required, https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/ML2O3_

490

005/summary, (Schwartz et al., 2020)). The ERA5 data can be obtained from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (last access: 11 January 2023, registration required, https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.bd0915c6, (Hersbach et al., 2023)).

Appendix A: DOAS retrievals with different sets of preprocessing parameters

Table A1. Parameter configurations used for estimating the sensitivity of the BrO DOAS retrievals to the etalon residual correction in the preprocessing step.

polynomial degree	Approach AIRMASS	Approach SPEC
3	5 PCs, 6 PCs, 7 PCs	6 PCs, 7 PCs
4	5 PCs, 6 PCs, 7 PCs	-
5	5 PCs, 6 PCs, 7 PCs	-

- 495 The choice of the best parameters to use in the preprocessing to derive the pseudo-absorbers to be included in the DOAS retrieval is not straightforward. Thus, a sensitivity study is performed to investigate the impact of different sets of pseudoabsorbers. The following parameters are varied in this sensitivity study (Table A1): (a) approach AIRMASS or SPEC, (b) polynomial degree fitted in the preprocessing procedure to derive the residual optical densities, and (c) number of included PCs in DOAS retrievals. In total 11 different DOAS retrievals are carried out for each of the two BrO absorption cross section 500 temperatures.
 - The RMS and the dSCDs of O_3 , NO_2 , and BrO retrieved via the DOAS method for all 11 configurations are displayed in Fig. A1. Different colours and markers indicate the different sensitivity runs. In comparison to the retrieval with the cold BrO absorption cross section, the retrieval with the warm BrO absorption cross section yields similar RMS, O_3 , and NO_2 dSCDs but slightly higher BrO dSCDs (shown in Fig. 9(b)). Figure A1 shows, that the retrieved dSCDs of ozone (Fig. A1(b)) and NO_2 (Fig. A1(c)) are not sensitive to only marginally affected by the different sets of pseudo-absorbers included in the
- 505 sensitivity runs, because the optical densities of these gases along the line of sight is larger than the spectral etalon-residual structures. However, the RMS of the spectral residuals (Fig. A1(a)) and the BrO dSCDs (Fig. A1(d)) vary slightly for the different sensitivity runs. Nevertheless, the differences in the retrieved BrO dSCDs between the sensitivity runs are lower than the dSCD errors (indicated by error bars). Furthermore, the variance in the RMS over all spectra retrieved with one set of
- pseudo-absorbers is larger than the differences in the RMS between the different sensitivity runs of one spectrum. Additionally, 510 the differences in the BrO dSCDs and RMS between two spectra are similar in magnitude and sign for all sensitivity runs, i.e. the lowest RMS of the residual is found for the same spectrum independent of the sensitivity run. Markers of the same colour mostly lie very close to each other while markers of different colours show larger discrepancies in the retrieved dSCDs. Thus, the effect of the chosen approach (AIRMASS or SPEC) and the polynomial order shows a higher impact on the retrieved
- 515 dSCDs than the number of principle components included in the DOAS fits.

The BrO dSCDs as a function of the SZA shows slightly different slopes for the different sensitivity runs, i.e. the runs marked in red (AIRMASS with polynomial order 4) result in the lowest dSCDs at SZA between 89° and 90° whereas the runs marked in purple (AIRMASS with polynomial degree 5) result in the lowest dSCDS for nearly all spectra recorded at SZAs between 80° and 89° . In general, the dSCDs of the sensitivity runs marked in blue (approach SPEC) show the largest retrieved BrO dSCDs for all SZAs.

520



Figure A1. O3 (b), NO_2 (c), and BrO (d) dSCDs and the RMS (a) of the DOAS retrievals as a function of SZA for the 11 parameter configurations of the <u>etalon residual</u> correction (colors and markers as indicated by the legend).

None of the included sensitivity studies seems to perform significantly better or worse with respect to the RMS of the residual and the BrO dSCDs as a function of the SZA. Therefore, in the evaluation the results of all sensitivity runs are used and the uncertainty remaining after correcting the spectral artefacts of the etalon residual structures is then estimated from the mean and standard deviation of the ensemble of results as described in the main manuscript.



Figure B1. BrO Langley fits for the 11 parameter configurations of the <u>etalon residual</u> correction. The mean [BrO] is 14.4 ppt. The standard deviation is 1.3 ppt and the mean fit error is 1.0 ppt.



Figure B2. Br_y Langley fits for the 11 parameter configurations of the <u>etalon-residual</u> correction. The mean $[Br_y]$ is 17.5 ppt. The standard deviation is 1.6 ppt and the mean fit error is 1.2 ppt.

Appendix C: Mean Age of Air

530

Engel et al. (2002) inferred an empirical relationship between the mean age of air of a stratospheric air mass and its N₂O volume mixing ratio shown in blue in the lower panel of Fig. C1. Their relationship is based on tropospheric N₂O mixing ratios around 2002, thus it needs to updated to present day N₂O mixing ratios by multiplying it with the fractional increase of tropospheric N₂O since 2000. This update is shown in orange in the lower panel of Fig. C1. The N₂O profile of air masses probed during the balloon flight from Timmins can be inferred from the co-deployed GLORIA instrument. A mean N₂O profile over the entire flight retrieved from GLORIA spectra (blue) and a N₂O profile measured by MLS on August 23, 2022 over 48° N and 82.5° W (orange) (Lambert et al., 2020) are shown in the upper panel of Fig. C1. At balloon altitude we find a [N₂O] of (40 ± 20) ppb resulting in a mean age of air of (5.5 ± 1.0) yrs since stratospheric entry.



Figure C1. N_2O profiles measured by GLORIA and MLS (upper panel). The lower panel shows the mean age of air (AoA) for a given N_2O VMR. A measured $[N_2O]$ of (40 ± 20) ppb results in an age of air of around (5.5 ± 1.0) yrs since stratospheric entry.

- 535 *Author contributions.* KV, PH, and RK assembled and tested the instrument in the laboratory. KV, PH, and KP assembled the instrument on the HEMERA 1 and 2 balloon payloads and participated in the balloon campaigns and KV did the data evaluation. BF wrote the software used to operate the spectrometers during the deployments. GW, MH, and JU provided the onboard N₂O data from the GLORIA instrument from which the age of air is inferred; moreover GW, MH, and BMS provided the TOMCAT model setup. KV, AB, and KP wrote the manuscript, with contributions from all co-authors. KP and AB conceived and supervised the study.
- 540 Competing interests. Some authors are members of the editorial board of AMT. The authors have no other competing interests to declare.

Disclaimer. Publisher's note: Copernicus Publications remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungs Gemeinschaft, Germany (DFG) under grant numbers BU2599/9-1 and PF384/23-1 and through the European Union (EU) project HEMERA (grant number 730970). Additional support from the Centre d'Études Spatiale (CNES), France is highly acknowledged. We are grateful to the CNES balloon team for the campaign organisation, and

d'Études Spatiale (CNES), France is highly acknowledged. We are grateful to the CNES balloon team for the campaign organisation, and for successfully performing the balloon flight from Esrange/Kiruna (Sweden) on August 21, 2021 and from Timmins (Ontario, Canada) on August 23, 2022.

References

Aliwell, S. R., Van Roozendael, M., Johnston, P. V., Richter, A., Wagner, T., Arlander, D. W., Burrows, J. P., Fish, D. J.,

- 550 Jones, R. L., Tørnkvist, K. K., Lambert, J.-C., Pfeilsticker, K., and Pundt, I.: Analysis for BrO in zenith-sky spectra: An intercomparison exercise for analysis improvement, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107, ACH 10–1–ACH 10–20, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000329, 2002.
- Belotti, C., Barbara, F., Barucci, M., Bianchini, G., D'Amato, F., Del Bianco, S., Di Natale, G., Gai, M., Montori, A., Pratesi, F., et al.: The Far-Infrared Radiation Mobile Observation System (FIRMOS) for spectral characterization of the atmospheric emission, Atmospheric
 Measurement Techniques, 16, 2511–2529, 2023.
- Bösch, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Fitzenberger, R., Harder, H., Schiller, C., Schneider, M., Trautmann, T., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Comparison of measured and modeled startospheric UV/visible actinic fluxes at large solar zenith angles, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1179–1182, 2001.
 - Bösch, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Fitzenberger, R., Harder, H., U., P., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Upper limits of stratospheric IO
- 560 and OIO inferred from center-to-limb-darkening-corrected balloon-borne solar occultation visible spectra: Implications for total gaseous iodine and stratospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4455, 2003.
 - Brune, W. H., Anderson, J. G., and Chan, K. R.: In situ observations of BrO over Antarctica: ER-2 aircraft results from 54°S to 72°S latitude,
 J. Geophys. Res., 94, 16,649 16,663, 1989.
 - Burkholder, J. B., Sander, S. P., Abbatt, J., Barker, J. R., Cappa, C., Crounse, J. D., Dibble, T. S., Huie, R. E., Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J.,
- 565 Orkin, V. L., Percival, C. J., Wilmouth, D. M., and Wine, P. H.: "Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 19, JPL Publication 19-5, http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov, 2019.
 - Butz, A., Bösch, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Dorf, M., Dufour, G., Grunow, K., P., J., Kühl, S., Payan, S., Pepin, I., Pukīte, J., Rozanov, A., von Savigny, C., Sioris, C., Wagner, T., Weidner, F., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Inter-comparison of Stratospheric O₃ and NO₂ abundances retrieved from balloon-borne direct sun observations and Envisat/SCIAMACHY limb measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1992, 1914, 2006.
- 570 1293–1314, 2006.
 - Butz, A., Bösch, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Dorf, M., Kreycy, S., Kritten, L., Prados-Roman, C., Schwärzle, J., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Constraints on inorganic gaseous iodine in the tropical upper troposphere and stratosphere inferred from balloon-borne solar occultation observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7229 – 7242, 2009.
 - Butz, A., Dinger, A. S., Bobrowski, N., Kostinek, J., Fieber, L., Fischerkeller, C., Giuffrida, G. B., Hase, F., Klappenbach, F., Kuhn, J.,
- 575 Lübcke, P., Tirpitz, L., and Tu, Q.: Remote sensing of volcanic CO₂, HF, HCl, SO₂, and BrO in the downwind plume of Mt. Etna, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 10, 1–14, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-10-1-2017, 2017.
 - Camy-Peyret, C., Flaud, J.-M., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C., and Goldman, A.: Stratospheric N₂O₅, CH₄ and N₂O profiles from IR solar occultation spectra, J. Atmos. Chem., 16, 31–40, 1993.
 - Camy-Peyret, C., Jeseck, P., Hawat, T., Durry, G., Berubeé, G., Rochette, L., and Huguenin, D.: The LPMA balloon borne FTIR spectrometer
- 580 for remote sensing of the atmospheric constituents, in: 12th ESA Symposium on Rocket and Balloon Programmes and Related Research, 1995.
 - Camy-Peyret, C., Jeseck, P., Té, Y., Hawat, T., Pfeilsticker, K., Harder, H., Fitzenberger, R., and Bösch, H.: Recent results obtained with the LPMA and DOAS balloon-borne instruments during the ILAS, SABINE and THESEO campaigns, in: 14th ESA Symposium on Rocket and Balloon Programmes and Related Research, 1999.

- 585 Chipperfield, M. P.: Multiannual simulations with a three-dimensional chemical transport model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 1781–1805, https://doi.org/10.1029/98JD02597, 1999.
 - Chipperfield, M. P.: New Version of the TOMCAT/SLIMCAT Off-Line Chemical Transport Model: Intercomparison of Stratospheric Tracer Experiments, Q. J. R. Meteorolog. Soc., 132, 1179 1203, https://doi.org/10.1256/qj.05.51, 2006.

Danckaert, T., Fayt, C., Van Roozendael, M., De Smedt, I., Letocart, V., Merlaud, A., and Pinardi, G.: QDOAS Software user manual, 2012.

- 590 Dorf, M., Bösch, H., Butz, A., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Engel, A., Goutail, F., Grunow, K., Hendrick, F., Hrechanyy, S., Naujokat, B., Pommereau, J.-P., Van Roozendael, M., Sioris, C., Stroh, F., Weidner, F., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Balloon-borne stratospheric BrO measurements: comparison with Envisat/SCIAMACHY BrO limb profiles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2483–2501, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-2483-2006, 2006a.
 - Dorf, M., Butler, J., Butz, A., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M., Kritten, L., Montzka, S., Simmes, B., Weidner, F., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Long-
- term observations of stratospheric bromine reveal slow down in growth, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027714, 2006b.
 - Dorf, M., Butz, A., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Kritten, L., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Bromine in the tropical troposphere and stratosphere as derived from balloon-borne BrO observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 7265–7271, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-7265-2008, 2008.

Elash, B., Bourassa, A., Loewen, P., Lloyd, N., and Degenstein, D.: The Aerosol Limb Imager: acousto-optic imaging of limb-scattered sunlight for stratospheric aerosol profiling, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9, 1261–1277, 2016.

- Engel, A., Strunk, M., Müller, M., Haase, H.-P., Poss, C., Levin, I., and Schmidt, U.: Temporal development of total chlorine in the highlatitude stratosphere based on reference distributions of mean age derived from CO₂ and SF₆, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 107, ACH 1–1–ACH 1–11, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000584, 2002.
- Engel, A., Rigby, M., (Lead Authors), Burkholder, J. B., Fernandez, R. P., Froidevaux, L., Hall, B. D., Hossaini, R., Saito, T., Vollmer,
- 605 M. K., and Yao, B.: Update on Ozone-Depleting Substances (ODS) and Other Gases of Interest to the Montreal Protocol, Chapter 1 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2018, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project–Report No. 58, World Meteorological Organization, Geneve, Switzerland, 2018.
 - Falk, S., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Krysztofiak, G., Jöckel, P., Graf, P., and Lennartz, S. T.: Brominated VSLS and their influence on ozone under a changing climate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 11313–11329, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-11313-2017, 2017.
- 610 Ferlemann, F., Camy-Peyret, C., Fitzenberger, R., Harder, H., Hawat, T., Osterkamp, H., Schneider, M., Perner, D., Platt, U., Vradelis, P., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Stratospheric BrO profiles measured at different latitudes and seasons: Instrument description, spectral analysis and profile retrieval, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 3847–3850, 1998.

Ferlemann, F., Bauer, N., Fitzenberger, R., Harder, H., Osterkamp, H., Perner, D., Platt, U., Scheider, M., Vradelis, P., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Differential optical absorption spectroscopy instrument for stratospheric balloon-borne trace gas studies, Appl. Opt., 39, 2377–2386, 2000.

- 615 Frey, M., Sha, M. K., Hase, F., Kiel, M., Blumenstock, T., Harig, R., Surawicz, G., Deutscher, N. M., Shiomi, K., Franklin, J. E., et al.: Building the COllaborative Carbon Column Observing Network (COCCON): long-term stability and ensemble performance of the EM27/SUN Fourier transform spectrometer, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 12, 1513–1530, 2019.
 - Friedl-Vallon, F., Gulde, T., Hase, F., Kleinert, A., Kulessa, T., Maucher, G., Neubert, T., Olschewski, F., Piesch, C., Preusse, P., et al.: Instrument concept of the imaging Fourier transform spectrometer GLORIA, Atmospheric measurement techniques, 7, 3565–3577, 2014.
- 620 Gisi, M., Hase, F., Dohe, S., and Blumenstock, T.: Camtracker: a new camera controlled high precision solar tracker system for FTIRspectrometers, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 47–54, 2011.

- Harder, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Ferlemann, F., Fitzenberger, R., Hawat, T., Osterkamp, H., Schneider, M., Perner, D., Platt, U., Vradelis, P., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Stratospheric BrO profiles measured at different latitudes and seasons: atmospheric observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 3843–3846, https://doi.org/10.1029/1998GL900026, 1998.
- 625 Harder, H., Bösch, H., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Fitzenberger, R., Payan, S., Perner, D., Platt, U., Sinnhuber, B.-M., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Comparison of measured and modeled stratospheric BrO: Implications for the total amount of stratospheric bromine, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3695–3698, https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL011215, 2000.
 - Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla, S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De Chiara, G., Dahlgren,
- 630 P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J., Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm, E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.: The ERA5 global reanalysis, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146, 1999–2049, https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803, 2020.
 - Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Biavati, G., Horányi, A., Muñoz Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Rozum, I., Schepers,
- 635 D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Dee, D., and Thépaut, J.-N.: ERA5 hourly data on pressure levels from 1940 to present. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS), https://doi.org/10.24381/cds.bd0915c6, accessed on 11 January 2023, 2023.
 - Höpfner, M., Orphal, J., von Clarmann, T., Stiller, G., and Fischer, H.: Stratospheric BrONO₂ observed by MIPAS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1735–1746, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-1735-2009, 2009.
 - Höpfner, M., Kirner, O., Wetzel, G., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Haenel, F., Johansson, S., Orphal, J., Ruhnke, R., Stiller, G., and von Clarmann,
- 640 T.: The Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding global climatology of BrONO₂ 2002–2012: a test for stratospheric bromine chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 18433–18464, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-18433-2021, 2021.
 - Höpfner, M., Wetzel, G., Friedl-Vallon, F., Gulde, T., Kleinert, A., Kretschmer, E., Laube, J. C., Maucher, G., Neubert, T., Nordmeyer, H., et al.: First flight of the mid-infrared limb-imaging interferometer GLORIA on a stratospheric balloon, in: EGU General Assembly Conference Abstracts, pp. EGU22–5557, 2022.
- 645 Klappenbach, F., Bertleff, M., Kostinek, J., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., Agusti-Panareda, A., Razinger, M., and Butz, A.: Accurate mobile remote sensing of XCO₂ and XCH₄ latitudinal transects from aboard a research vessel, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 8, 5023– 5038, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-5023-2015, 2015.
 - Klobas, J. E., Weisenstein, D. K., Salawitch, R. J., and Wilmouth, D. M.: Reformulating the bromine alpha factor and equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC): evolution of ozone destruction rates of bromine and chlorine in future climate scenarios, Atmospheric
- Chemistry and Physics, 20, 9459–9471, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9459-2020, 2020.
 Ko, M. K. W., Poulet, G., (Lead Authors), Blake, D. R., Boucher, O., Burkholder, J. H., Chin, M., Cox, R. A., George, C., Graf, H.-F., Holton, J. R., Jacob, D J. Law, K. S., Lawrence, M. G., Midgley, P. M., Seakins, P. W., Shallcross, D. E., Strahan, S. E., Wuebbles, D. J., and Yokouchi, Y.: Very short-lived halogen and sulfur substances, *Chapter 2 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2002*, GAW Report,
- WMO, Geneva, No. 47, 2003.
 Koenig, T. K., Baidar, S., Campuzano-Jost, P., Cuevas, C. A., Dix, B., Fernandez, R. P., Guo, H., Hall, S. R., Kinnison, D., Nault, B. A., Ullmann, K., Jimenez, J. L., Saiz-Lopez, A., and Volkamer, R.: Quantitative detection of iodine in the stratosphere, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 117, 1860–1866, https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1916828117, 2020.

- Kreycy, S., Camy-Peyret, C., Chipperfield, M. P., Dorf, M., Feng, W., Hossaini, R., Kritten, L., Werner, B., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Atmospheric test of the J(BrONO₂)/k_{BrO+NO2} ratio: implications for total stratospheric Br_y and bromine-mediated ozone loss, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6263–6274, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-6263-2013, 2013.
- Kritten, L., Butz, A., Dorf, M., Deutschmann, T., Kühl, S., Prados-Roman, C., Puķīte, J., Rozanov, A., Schofield, R., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Balloon-borne limb measurements of the diurnal variation of UV/vis absorbing radicals – a case study on *NO*₂ and *O*₃, Atmos. Meas. Techn., 3, 933 – 946, 2010.
- Lambert, A., Livesey, N., Read, W., and Fuller, R.: MLS/Aura Level 3 Daily Binned Nitrous Oxide (N2O) Mixing Ratio on
- 665 Assorted Grids V004. Greenbelt, MD, USA, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), https://doi.org/10.5067/Aura/MLS/DATA/3116, accessed on 20 March 2023, 2020.
 - Lan, X., Thoning, K., and Dlugokencky, E.: Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and SF6 determined from NOAA Global Monitoring Laboratory measurements, Version 2023-10, https://doi.org/10.15138/P8XG-AA10, 2022.
- Langley, S. P.: On a possible variation of the solar radiation and its probable effect on terrestrial temperatures, Astrophysical Journal, vol. 19,
 p. 305, 19, 305, 1904.
 - Lary, D. J. and Pyle, J. A.: Diffuse radiation, twilight and photochemistry, J. Atmos. Chem., pp. 373–392, 1991.
 - Laube, J. C., Tegtmeier, S., (Lead Authors), Fernandez, R. P., Harrison, J., Hu, L., Krummel, P., Mahieu, E., Park, S., and Western, L.: Update on Ozone-Depleting Substances (ODSs) and Other Gases of Interest to the Montreal Protocol, Chapter 1 in Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022, GAW Report, WMO, Geneva, No. 278, 509 pp., 2022.
- 675 Liao, J., Huey, L. G., Scheuer, E., Dibb, J. E., Stickel, R. E., Tanner, D. J., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Choi, S., Wang, Y., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T., Chance, K., Kurosu, T., Suleiman, R., Weinheimer, A. J., Shetter, R. E., Fried, A., Brune, W., Anderson, B., Zhang, X., Chen, G., Crawford, J., Hecobian, A., and Ingall, E. D.: Characterization of soluble bromide measurements and a case study of BrO observations during ARCTAS, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 1327–1338, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-1327-2012, 2012.
 - Meier, R., Anderson, D., and Nicolet, M.: Radiation field in the troposphere and stratosphere from 240 nm 1000 nm, General Analysis,
- 680 Planet. Space Sci., 30, 923–933, 1982.

660

- Nicolet, M., Meier, R., and Anderson, D. E.: Radiation field in the troposphere and stratosphere from 240 nm 1000 nm, numerical analysis, Planet. Space Sci., 30, 935–941, 1982.
- Palchetti, L., Barucci, M., Belotti, C., Bianchini, G., Cluzet, B., D'Amato, F., Del Bianco, S., Di Natale, G., Gai, M., Khordakova, D., Montori, A., Oetjen, H., Rettinger, M., Rolf, C., Schuettemeyer, D., Sussmann, R., Viciani, S., Vogelmann, H., and Wienhold, F. G.:
- 685 Observations of the downwelling far-infrared atmospheric emission at the Zugspitze observatory, Earth System Science Data, 13, 4303– 4312, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-13-4303-2021, 2021.
 - Platt, U.: Differential optical absorption spectroscopy (DOAS), in: Air Monitoring by Spectroscopic Techniques, edited by Sigrist, W., M., vol. 127, pp. 27–84, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1994.

Platt, U., Stutz, J., Platt, U., and Stutz, J.: Differential absorption spectroscopy, Springer, 2008.

- 690 Pundt, I., Pommereau, J.-P., Chipperfield, M., Roozendael, M. V., and Goutail, F.: Climatology of the stratospheric BrO vertical distribution by balloon-borne UV-visible spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res., 107, doi: 10.1029/2002JD002 230, 2002.
 - Riese, M., Oelhaf, H., Preusse, P., Blank, J., Ern, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Fischer, H., Guggenmoser, T., Höpfner, M., Hoor, P., et al.: Gimballed limb observer for radiance imaging of the atmosphere (GLORIA) scientific objectives, Atmospheric measurement techniques, 7, 1915– 1928, 2014.

- 695 Rotermund, M. K., Bense, V., Chipperfield, M. P., Engel, A., Grooß, J.-U., Hoor, P., Hüneke, T., Keber, T., Kluge, F., Schreiner, B., Schuck, T., Vogel, B., Zahn, A., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Organic and inorganic bromine measurements around the extratropical tropopause and lowermost stratosphere: insights into the transport pathways and total bromine, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 15375–15407, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-15375-2021, 2021.
 - Rozanov, A., Kühl, S., Doicu, A., McLinden, C., Pukīte, J., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Deutschmann, T., Dorf, M., Goutail, F., Grunow,
- 700 K., Hendrick, F., von Hobe, M., Hrechanyy, S., Lichtenberg, G., Pfeilsticker, K., Pommereau, J. P., Van Roozendael, M., Stroh, F., and Wagner, T.: BrO vertical distributions from SCIAMACHY limb measurements: comparison of algorithms and retrieval results, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1319–1359, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1319-2011, 2011.
 - Schwartz, M., Froidevaux, L., Livesey, N., and Read, W.: MLS/Aura Level 2 Ozone (O3) Mixing Ratio V005. Greenbelt, MD, USA, Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC), https://doi.org/10.5067/Aura/MLS/DATA2516, accessed on 15
- February 2023, 2020.
 - Sinnhuber, B.-M. and Folkins, I.: Estimating the contribution of bromoform to stratospheric bromine and its relation to dehydration in the tropical troppause layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4755–4761, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-4755-2006, 2006.
 - Sinnhuber, B.-M., Rozanov, A., Sheode, N., Afe, O. T., Richter, A., Sinnhuber, M., Wittrock, F., Burrows, J. P., Stiller, G. P., von Clarmann, T., and Linden, A.: Global observations of stratospheric bromine monoxide from SCIAMACHY, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32,
- 710 https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023839, 2005.
 - Sinnhuber, B.-M., Sheode, N., Chipperfield, M. P., and Feng, W.: The contribution of anthropogenic bromine emissions to past stratospheric ozone trends: a modelling study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2863–2871, 2009.
 - Sioris, C. E., Kovalenko, L. J., McLinden, C. A., Salawitch, R. J., Van Roozendael, M., Goutail, F., Dorf, M., Pfeilsticker, K., Chance, K., von Savigny, C., Liu, X., Kurosu, T. P., Pommereau, J.-P., Bösch, H., and Frerick, J.: Latitudinal and vertical distribution of bromine monoxide
- in the lower stratosphere from Scanning Imaging Absorption Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography limb scattering measurements,
 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006479, 2006.
 - Stachnik, R. A., Millán, L., Jarnot, R., Monroe, R., McLinden, C., Kühl, S., Pukīte, J., Shiotani, M., Suzuki, M., Kasai, Y., Goutail, F., Pommereau, J. P., Dorf, M., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Stratospheric BrO abundance measured by a balloon-borne submillimeterwave radiometer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3307–3319, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-3307-2013, 2013.
- 720 Thalman, R. and Volkamer, R.: Temperature dependent absorption cross-sections of O₂–O₂ collision pairs between 340 and 630 nm and at atmospherically relevant pressure, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 15, 15 371–15 381, https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP50968K, 2013.
 - Theys, N., Van Roozendael, M., Errera, Q., Hendrick, F., Daerden, F., Chabrillat, S., Dorf, M., Pfeilsticker, K., Rozanov, A., Lotz, W., Burrows, J. P., Lambert, J.-C., Goutail, F., Roscoe, H. K., and De Mazière, M.: A global stratospheric bromine monoxide climatology based on the BASCOE chemical transport model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 831–848, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-831-2009, 2009.
- 725 Toohey, D. W., Anderson, J. G., Brune, W. H., and Chan, K. R.: In situ measurements of BrO in the Arctic stratosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 17, 1990.
 - Voigt, S., Orphal, J., Bogumil, K., and Burrows, J. P.: The temperature dependence (203-293 K) of the absorption cross section of O₃ in the 230-850 nm region measured by Fourier-transform spectroscopy, J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, 143, 1–9, 2001.
- Voigt, S., Orphal, J., and Burrows, J. P.: The Temperature- and Pressure-Dependence of the Absorption Cross-section of NO₂ in the 250-800
 nm Region measured by Fourier-Transform Spectroscopy), J. Photochem. Photobiol A, 149, 1–7, 2002.
 - Volkamer, R., Baidar, S., Campos, T. L., Coburn, S., DiGangi, J. P., Dix, B., Eloranta, E. W., Koenig, T. K., Morley, B., Ortega, I., Pierce, B. R., Reeves, M., Sinreich, R., Wang, S., Zondlo, M. A., and Romashkin, P. A.: Aircraft measurements of BrO, IO, glyoxal, NO₂, H₂O,

 O_2-O_2 and aerosol extinction profiles in the tropics: comparison with aircraft-/ship-based in situ and lidar measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 2121–2148, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-8-2121-2015, 2015.

- 735 Voss, K.: balloon-borne solar occultation DOAS instrument: balloon-borne experiments, atmospheric compounds measurements, flight of 23 August 2022 (Version 1.0) [Data set]. AERIS., https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25326/XYCR-8C53, 2024.
 - Wahner, A., Ravishankara, A., Sander, S., and Friedl, R.: Absorption cross section of BrO between 312 and 385 nm at 298 and 223 K, Chem. Phys. Lett., 152, 507–512, 1988.
 - Wales, P. A., Salawitch, R. J., Nicely, J. M., Anderson, D. C., Canty, T. P., Baidar, S., Dix, B., Koenig, T. K., Volkamer, R., Chen, D., Huey,
- L. G., Tanner, D. J., Cuevas, C. A., Fernandez, R. P., Kinnison, D. E., Lamarque, J.-F., Saiz-Lopez, A., Atlas, E. L., Hall, S. R., Navarro, M. A., Pan, L. L., Schauffler, S. M., Stell, M., Tilmes, S., Ullmann, K., Weinheimer, A. J., Akiyoshi, H., Chipperfield, M. P., Deushi, M., Dhomse, S. S., Feng, W., Graf, P., Hossaini, R., Jöckel, P., Mancini, E., Michou, M., Morgenstern, O., Oman, L. D., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Revell, L. E., Rozanov, E., Saint-Martin, D., Schofield, R., Stenke, A., Stone, K. A., Visioni, D., Yamashita, Y., and Zeng, G.: Stratospheric Injection of Brominated Very Short-Lived Substances: Aircraft Observations in the Western Pacific and Representation in Global Models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 123, 5690–5719, https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JD027978, 2018.
- Waters, J., Froidevaux, L., Harwood, R., Jarnot, R., Pickett, H., Read, W., Siegel, P., Cofield, R., Filipiak, M., Flower, D., Holden, J., Lau, G., Livesey, N., Manney, G., Pumphrey, H., Santee, M., Wu, D., Cuddy, D., Lay, R., Loo, M., Perun, V., Schwartz, M., Stek, P., Thurstans, R., Boyles, M., Chandra, K., Chavez, M., Chen, G.-S., Chudasama, B., Dodge, R., Fuller, R., Girard, M., Jiang, J., Jiang, Y., Knosp, B., LaBelle, R., Lam, J., Lee, K., Miller, D., Oswald, J., Patel, N., Pukala, D., Quintero, O., Scaff, D., Van Snyder, W., Tope, M., Wagner, P.,
- 750 and Walch, M.: The Earth observing system microwave limb sounder (EOS MLS) on the aura Satellite, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 44, 1075–1092, https://doi.org/10.1109/TGRS.2006.873771, 2006.
 - Weidner, F., Bösch, H., Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Butz, A., Camy-Peyret, C., Dorf, M., Gerilowski, K., Gurlit, W., Platt, U., von Friedeburg, C., Wagner, T., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Balloon-borne limb profiling of UV/vis skylight radiances, O₃, NO₂, and BrO: technical set-up and validation of the method, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1409–1422, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-1409-2005, 2005.
- 755 Werner, B., Stutz, J., Spolaor, M., Scalone, L., Raecke, R., Festa, J., Colosimo, S. F., Cheung, R., Tsai, C., Hossaini, R., Chipperfield, M. P., Taverna, G. S., Feng, W., Elkins, J. W., Fahey, D. W., Gao, R.-S., Hintsa, E. J., Thornberry, T. D., Moore, F. L., Navarro, M. A., Atlas, E., Daube, B. C., Pittman, J., Wofsy, S., and Pfeilsticker, K.: Probing the subtropical lowermost stratosphere and the tropical upper troposphere and tropopause layer for inorganic bromine, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1161–1186, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1161-2017, 2017.
- Wetzel, G., Oelhaf, H., Höpfner, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Ebersoldt, A., Gulde, T., Kazarski, S., Kirner, O., Kleinert, A., Maucher, G., Nordmeyer, H., Orphal, J., Ruhnke, R., and Sinnhuber, B.-M.: Diurnal variations of BrONO₂ observed by MIPAS-B at midlatitudes and in the
 - Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14631–14643, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14631-2017, 2017.
 - Wilmouth, D. M., Janisco, T. F., Donahue, N. M., and Anderson, J. G.: Fourier Transform Ultraviolet Spectroscopy of the $A^2\Pi_{3/2} \leftarrow X^2\Pi_{3/2}$ Transition of BrO, J. Phys. Chem. A, 103, 8935–8945, https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9916510, 1999.
- WMO: (World Meteorological Organisation), *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022*, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring
 Project–Report No. 278, Geneve, Switzerland, p. 509, 2022.