# Measurement report: Sources, sinks and lifetime of NO<sub>X</sub> in a sub urban temperate forest at night

Simone T. Andersen<sup>1</sup>, Max R. McGillen<sup>2</sup>, Chaoyang Xue<sup>2</sup>, Tobias Seubert<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Dewald<sup>1</sup>,

3

4

Gunther N. T. E. Türk<sup>1</sup>, Jan Schuladen<sup>1</sup>, Cyrielle Denjean<sup>3</sup>, Jean-Claude Etienne<sup>3</sup>, Olivier
Garrouste<sup>3</sup>, Marina Jamar<sup>4</sup>, Sergio Harb<sup>5</sup>, Manuela Cirtog<sup>5</sup>, Vincent Michoud<sup>6</sup>, Mathieu
Cazaunau<sup>5</sup>, Antonin Bergé<sup>5</sup>, Christopher Cantrell<sup>5</sup>, Sebastien Dusanter<sup>4</sup>, Bénédicte PicquetVarrault<sup>5</sup>, Alexandre Kukui<sup>7</sup>, Abdelwahid Mellouki<sup>2,8</sup>, Lucy J. Carpenter<sup>9</sup>, Jos Lelieveld<sup>1</sup>, John N.
Crowley<sup>1</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Atmospheric Chemistry Department, Max-Planck-Institute for Chemistry, 55128-Mainz,
   Germany
- 12 <sup>2</sup>Institut de Combustion, Aérothermique, Réactivité Environnement (ICARE), CNRS, 1C Avenue
- 13 de la Recherche Scientifique, CEDEX 2, 45071 Orléans, France
- <sup>3</sup>CNRM, Universite de Toulouse, Meteo-France, CNRS, Toulouse, France
- <sup>4</sup>IMT Nord Europe, Institut Mines-Télécom, Université de Lille, Center for Energy and
   Environment, 59000 Lille, France
- <sup>5</sup>Univ Paris Est Creteil and Université de Paris Cité, CNRS, LISA, F-94010 Créteil, France
- 18 <sup>6</sup>Université Paris Cité and Univ Paris Est Creteil, CNRS, LISA, F-75013 Paris, France
- <sup>19</sup> <sup>7</sup>Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie de l'Environnement et de l'Espace (LPC2E), CNRS Orléans,
- 20 France
- <sup>8</sup>University Mohammed VI Polytechnic (UM6P), Lot 660, Hay Moulay Rachid Ben Guerir, 43150,
   Morocco
- <sup>9</sup>Wolfson Atmospheric Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of York,
   York, UK
- 25 Correspondence to: Simone T. Andersen (simone.andersen@mpic.de) and John N. Crowley
   26 (john.crowley@mpic.de)
- 27

#### 28 1 Abstract

29 Through observations of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>Y</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> in the Rambouillet forest near Paris, France, (as 30 part of the ACROSS campaign, 2022) we have gained insight into nighttime processes controlling 31 NO<sub>X</sub> in an anthropogenically impacted forest environment. O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios displayed a strong 32 diel profile at the site, which was driven by a variable but generally rapid deposition to soil and 33 foliar surfaces. The O<sub>3</sub> diel profile was strongly influenced by relative humidity, which impacted 34 the surface resistance to uptake, and temperature inversion, which influenced the rate of 35 entrainment of O<sub>3</sub> from above the canopy. Only when the O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio was sufficiently low 36 (and thus the NO lifetime sufficiently long), were sustained NO peaks observed above the 37 instrumental detection limit, enabling derivation of average NO emission rates from the soil of ~1.4 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>. Observations of the lack of increase in NO<sub>2</sub> at night, despite a significant production 38 39 rate from the reaction of NO with  $O_3$ , enabled an effective lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> of ~0.5-3 h to be 40 derived. As the loss of NO<sub>2</sub> was not compensated by the formation of gas- or particle-phase 41 reactive nitrogen species it was presumably driven by deposition to soil and foliar surfaces, or any 42 products formed were themselves short-lived with respect to deposition. By comparison, the 43 daytime lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> with respect to loss by reaction with OH is about 1 day. We conclude that 44 the nighttime deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> is a major sink of boundary layer NO<sub>X</sub> in this temperate forest 45 environment.

46

#### 47 2 Introduction

10

- -

1 ( 0 )

110

~

48 Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>X</sub> = NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) are pollutant trace gases, which play a key role in the 49 atmosphere by producing or destroying tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$ , which can cause respiratory 50 illness (Ciencewicki and Jaspers, 2007) and damage to plants (Emberson et al., 2018). Photolysis 51 of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) (R1) is the primary source of tropospheric ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), and the nitric 52 oxide (NO) product is oxidized back to NO<sub>2</sub> either by  $O_3$  (R2) or by organic peroxy radicals (RO<sub>2</sub>, 53 under formation of alkoxy radicals (RO)) or hydroperoxyl radicals (HO<sub>2</sub>) (R3, R4) (Lightfoot et 54 al., 1992). The latter results in formation of the hydroxyl radical (OH) radical, and R3 and R4 thus 55 represent routes to recycle the most important atmospheric radical initiator of oxidation (Hens et al., 2014). It is, therefore, essential to understand the sources and sinks of NO<sub>X</sub> in the atmosphere. 56

57	$NO_2 + hv (+O_2) \rightarrow NO + O_3$	(RI)
58	$NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$	(R2)

$$59 \quad NO + RO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + RO \tag{R3}$$

$$60 \qquad \text{NO} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \tag{R4}$$

The dominant global sources of NO<sub>X</sub> are anthropogenic in the form of combustion of fossil fuels and to a lesser degree biomass burning and agricultural soils. The natural sources, which include lightning (Schumann and Huntrieser, 2007), wildfires (Val Martin et al., 2008), and unperturbed soil emissions from microbial activities (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997), are important in regions remote from anthropogenic sources. NO<sub>2</sub> and NO both react with peroxy radicals in the atmosphere

(D 1)

66	to produce organic nitrates (R5-R6), including peroxy nitrates (RO <sub>2</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> ) ar	nd alkyl nitrates
67	(RONO <sub>2</sub> ), which are important precursors for the formation of secondary organic	e aerosols (SOA)
68	(Hallquist et al., 2009; Kanakidou et al., 2005; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2016). NO2	2 also reacts with
69	OH radicals, O <sub>3</sub> and nitrate radicals (NO <sub>3</sub> ) to form nitric acid (HNO <sub>3</sub> ) (R7), NO <sub>3</sub> r	adicals (R8), and
70	dinitrogen pentoxide (N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ) (R9), respectively. N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> is in thermal equilibrium w	ith NO <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>3</sub>
71	and can interact with aqueous aerosol or moist surfaces to form HNO <sub>3</sub> (R10) (K	ane et al., 2001)
72	or nitryl chloride (ClNO <sub>2</sub> ) (Phillips et al., 2013; Phillips et al., 2012). Organic ni	trates, SOA, and
73	HNO3 are all removed from the boundary layer through dry and wet depositio	n which thereby
74	removes NO <sub>X</sub> from the atmosphere.	
75	$NO_2 + RO_2 + M \rightarrow RO_2NO_2 + M$	(R5)

76	$NO + RO_2 + M \rightarrow RONO_2 + M$	(R6)

77  $NO_2 + OH + M \rightarrow HNO_3 + M$  (R7) 78  $NO_2 + O_3 \rightarrow NO_3 + O_2$  (R8)

79 
$$NO_2 + NO_3 + M \rightleftharpoons N_2O_5 + M$$
 (R9)

80 
$$N_2O_5 + H_2O(aq) \rightarrow 2 HNO_3(aq)$$
 (R10)

81 In the planetary boundary layer,  $NO_2$  is also lost through dry deposition to surfaces such as soil 82 and leaves. Deposition takes places both at nighttime and daytime, but is expected to be more efficient during daytime due to increased mixing through turbulence. When NO<sub>2</sub> deposits onto 83 84 humid surfaces, it can lead to the production of nitrous acid (HONO), which can be released to the 85 atmosphere (Meusel et al., 2016; Elshorbany et al., 2012). NO2 uptake on leaves takes place through stomatal and non-stomatal processes, which have been reported to depend on multiple 86 87 factors such as stomata aperture and relative humidity. Stomatal uptake primarily occurs at 88 daytime when the stomata are open, leading to increased  $NO_2$  loss compared to nighttime, when 89 the stomata are not fully open (Delaria et al., 2020; Delaria et al., 2018; Chaparro-Suarez et al., 90 2011). Non-stomatal uptake occurs through the cuticles, though the importance of cuticular uptake 91 has been reported to be small compared to the stomatal uptake (Delaria and Cohen, 2020; Delaria 92 et al., 2020). NO<sub>2</sub> uptake to leaves is reported to be enhanced in the presence of water films, which 93 may exist when the relative humidity is >70% (Thoene et al., 1996; Weber and Rennenberg, 1996; 94 Burkhardt and Eiden, 1994). There is, however, no consensus on this process, as other studies have 95 not observed this effect (Gessler et al., 2000). Most recent work shows that the interactions with 96 foliar surfaces is uni-directional, i.e. emissions are negligible (Delaria et al., 2020).

At nighttime,  $NO_2$  photolysis ceases and as a consequence, in the absence of combustion sources, the main sources of NO are emissions from soils (Jaeglé et al., 2005). Since NO is oxidised efficiently by O<sub>3</sub> at night, its concentration will be highest at the surface and will decrease with altitude. The vertical profile of O<sub>3</sub> is the opposite owing to its physical loss due to deposition near

the surface and through chemical reaction with NO and/or alkenes combined with entrainment

102 from the nocturnal residual layer. As  $NO_2$  is produced from the reaction between NO and  $O_3$ , its

103 vertical gradient is expected to be weaker than those of NO and  $O_3$  (Geyer and Stutz, 2004; Stutz 104 et al., 2004).

105 In this study we use measurements from the ACROSS (Atmospheric ChemistRy Of the Suburban

106 foreSt) campaign to investigate the nighttime sources and sinks of NO<sub>X</sub> in a temperate forest. O<sub>3</sub>

107 measurements are used to explain the observed NO features and measurements of  $NO_2$  and total

108 gas-phase nitrogen species  $(NO_Y)$  and particulate nitrate are used to investigate the lifetime and

- 109 fate of  $NO_X$  in the forest environment.
- 110

## 111 **3 The ACROSS Campaign**

The ACROSS campaign (13<sup>th</sup> of June 2022 to 25<sup>th</sup> of July 2022) was conducted in multiple 112 locations in and around Paris, France (Cantrell and Michoud, 2022). Here we present 113 114 measurements from the Rambouillet forest supersite located approximately 50 km southwest of 115 Paris (48.687, 1.704). The forest consists of approximately 70% oak, 20% pine, and small 116 contributions from beech and chestnut. The top of the forest canopy around the supersite was 117 around 20-25 m. Several instrumented containers were placed in a clearing (~697 m<sup>2</sup>) together 118 with a 41 m measurement tower. Most of the instruments used in this study were located in two 119 different containers (MPIC and Orléans). The sampling inlets of the two containers were 120 approximately 17 m apart and the tower was approximately 9 m from the MPIC container and 16 121 m from the Orléans container. The soil measurements were carried out at the bottom of the tower, 122 approximately 13 m from the MPIC container and approximately 17 m from the Orleans container.

123 All the instruments used in this study are described briefly below.

124

## 125 **3.1 Measurements**

## 126 **3.1.1 Ground**

127 NO<sub>2</sub> was measured using two different cavity ringdown spectroscopy (CRDS) instruments with 128 co-located inlets sampling from a high-volume-flow stainless steel tube  $(10 \text{ m}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}; 15 \text{ cm})$ 

diameter, 0.2 s residence time) taking air from a height of 5.4 m above ground. One of the

- 130 instruments (5CH-CRDS) consists of 3 cavities operated at 408 nm to measure  $NO_2$  and, via their
- 132 648 K). Two additional cavities, operated at 662 nm, measured NO<sub>3</sub> and (via thermal dissociation
- to NO<sub>3</sub>, 373 K) N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (Sobanski et al., 2016). During this campaign, the NO<sub>2</sub> cavity had a limit of 124
- 134 detection (LOD) of 9.7 pptv for 1 min averaging ( $3\sigma$ ). The second instrument (k-NO3) primarily 135 measures the NO<sub>3</sub> reactivity, but also has a cavity operated at 405 nm for the measurement of NO<sub>2</sub>
- 136 (Liebmann et al., 2018).
- 137 Another CRDS instrument was used to measure NO<sub>X</sub>, NO<sub>Y</sub>, and particulate nitrate (pNO<sub>3</sub>) from
- 138 co-located inlets near the high-volume-flow stainless steel tube. NO $_X$  was measured by adding  $O_3$
- to the ambient sample, thereby oxidizing NO to NO<sub>2</sub>, which was measured with CRDS at 405 nm
- 140 (Friedrich et al., 2020). A judicious choice of  $O_3$  and reaction time ensured that minimal (>1%) of
- 141 NO<sub>2</sub> was oxidized to NO<sub>3</sub>. At times with low (or zero) NO, NO<sub>X</sub> concentrations were in close

- 142 agreement with both NO<sub>2</sub> measurements. NO<sub>Y</sub> was measured by passing ambient air through a
- 143 quartz inlet at ~ 900 K which quantitatively converts reactive nitrogen trace-gases to NO or NO<sub>2</sub>.
- Exceptions are  $N_2O$ , HCN and  $NH_3$ , which are not detected. In this location,  $NO_Y$  is expected to
- 145 consist mainly of  $NO_X + NO_3 + N_2O_5 + HNO_3 + PNs + ANs + HONO + CINO_2 + particulate$
- 146 nitrates (pNO<sub>3</sub>).
- 147 Particulate nitrates (both organic and inorganic) were separately measured (as NO<sub>Y</sub>) after denuding
- 148 gas-phase reactive nitrogen species (Friedrich et al., 2020). To achieve this, problems involving
- 149 the ineffective trapping of gas-phase NO<sub>X</sub> by the denuder was eliminated, as will be described in
- 150 a forthcoming technical paper.
- 151 O<sub>3</sub> was measured from the high-volume-flow stainless-steel tube with a commercial instrument
- 152 (2B Technologies model 205) using UV absorption at 254 nm. The LOD is 2 ppbv for 10 s 153 averaging time.
- 154 A spectral radiometer (metcon Gmbh) was installed near the co-located inlets on top of the MPIC
- 155 container to measure actinic fluxes, which were used to calculate photolysis frequencies as
- 156 described elsewhere (Meusel et al., 2016).
- 157 NO was measured from the Orléans container using a commercial chemiluminescence instrument
- 158 (Ecophysics CLD 780 TR, henceforth CLD) with an LOD of 10 pptv for 1 min averaging time.
- 159 The sampling height for NO measurements was about 0.6 and 3.2 m above the container top and
- 160 the ground surface, respectively. The NO measurements required correction due to a change in the
- 161 CLD sensitivity during the campaign caused by an interruption in the instrument's oxygen supply.
- 162 The corrections and the corrective procedure are described in the SI.
- 163 HONO was measured by a commercial long-path absorption photometer (LOPAP-03, QUMA 164 GmbH, Germany) with a sampling height of 2.0 m above the ground level. Details about the 165 LOPAP instrument can be found elsewhere (Heland et al., 2001; Kleffmann et al., 2006). During 166 the campaign, the LOPAP was calibrated by diluted nitrite when changing any supporting 167 solutions. Zero calibration by measuring synthetic air was conducted 2-3 times per day. The 168 detection limit is < 5 pptv.
- 169 The sum of peroxy radicals,  $XO_2=HO_2+RO_2$ , was measured by their conversion to  $H_2SO_4$  in
- 170 presence of NO and SO<sub>2</sub> and detection of the generated  $H_2SO_4$  using  $NO_3^-$  CIMS (Kukui et al.,
- 171 2008). The calibration coefficient is determined using  $N_2O$  actinometry and  $OH/RO_2$  generation
- 172 in a turbulent flow reactor by photolysis of  $N_2O$  or  $H_2O$  at 184.9 nm. The calibration of  $HO_2$ ,
- 173  $CH_3O_2$  and other  $RO_2$  is performed by adding into the calibration reactor CO,  $CH_4$  (or other  $RO_2$
- 174 precursors) converting OH to RO<sub>2</sub>. The overall estimated calibration accuracy  $(2\sigma)$  for XO<sub>2</sub> is
- about 30%, although the uncertainty of the  $XO_2$  measurements is typically higher due to uncertainty in ambient air  $XO_2$  composition. The lower limit of detection for  $XO_2$  radicals at S/N=3
- 170 uncertainty in an other time is  $2 \times 10^6$  m shows a minute of detection for AO<sub>2</sub> radicals at S/N<sup>-1</sup>
- and a 4 minute integration time is  $2 \times 10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>.
- 178 Time series of the most relevant measurements can be found in Figure S1-2. Due to missing total
- 179 NO<sub>X</sub> and NO<sub>Y</sub> measurements prior to June  $25^{\text{th}}$  and NO after July  $18^{\text{th}}$ , the data analysis is focused 180 on the time period in between these dates
- 180 on the time period in between these dates.

## 182 **3.1.2 Tower**

183 Measurements at 41 m were conducted with instruments located on the tower as well as through a 184 manifold with an inlet at the top of the tower. The manifold was built from glass tubing (4.9 cm 185 inner diameter, Borodrain) with a residence time in the manifold of 2.1 s. NO<sub>2</sub> was measured using 186 a cavity attenuated phase shift (CAPS) instrument on the tower with an LOD of 40 pptv, which was zeroed every 1-2 hours. NO and O<sub>3</sub> were both measured from the manifold using a 187 188 chemiluminescence instrument with a LOD of 30 pptv and a HORIBA (APOA370) with an LOD 189 of 2.5 ppbv, respectively. The NO measurements were corrected for losses due to the reaction of 190 NO with O<sub>3</sub> in the manifold and the sampling line (total 5.5 s), with corrections ranging from 1-191 28%. Time series of all three measurements are plotted in Figure S3.

192

# 193 **3.1.3 Meteorology and Soil**

Ambient temperature was measured at four different heights on the tower; 5 m, 13 m, 21 m, and 41 m using temperature sensors from Atexis (PT1000) and Thermoest (PT100). Relative humidity was measured at 5 m using a Vaisala humidity sensor (HMP45A). Soil temperature and moisture were measured at 5 cm, 10 cm, and 30 cm below the surface using probes from Thermoest (PT100)

and Delta T (Thetaprobe ML2X), respectively. Wind speed and direction were measured at 41 m

- using a wind monitor from Young Company. Time series of all the meteorological and soil
- 200 measurements are shown in Figure S4-5.

201

# 202 **3.2 HYSPLIT**

203 To identify different air masses, 48-hour back trajectories were simulated every hour at a 204 terminating height of 40 m using the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory 205 model (HYSPLIT, version 4, 2019) (Draxler and Rolph, 2011). The back-trajectories were modelled using meteorological data from the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) at a 206 207 resolution of 1 degree. This led to the separation of the data into two periods, 25<sup>th</sup> of June to 2<sup>nd</sup> of July and 3<sup>rd</sup> of July to 18<sup>th</sup> of July, which are plotted in Figure 1. The first phase is dominated by 208 clean air from over the Atlantic Ocean (henceforth called "Atlantic"). Back trajectories indicated 209 210 that the vast majority of air masses were transported within the boundary layer prior to reaching 211 the site and thus may have reasonably fresh "marine influence". The second phase is dominated 212 by air that has passed over urban locations including Paris, Brussels and the Ruhr area within the 213 last 48 hours (henceforth called "Continental").

214

# 215 4 Results and Discussion

216 Two 24-hour periods of temperature (at 4 different heights), NO, O<sub>3</sub>, relative humidity (RH), NO<sub>2</sub>,

- and  $NO_2$  photolysis rate constant (JNO<sub>2</sub>) are plotted in Figure 2. The left panels show 24 hours
- 218 with Atlantic air and the right panels 24 hours with continental air. Immediately apparent in these
- 219 datasets (and in Fig S1) is the large diel cycle in  $O_3$  mixing ratios, with net daytime production

- resulting in mid-afternoon mixing ratios between  $\sim$ 30 and 90 ppbv. In contrast, very low O<sub>3</sub> mixing
- 221 ratios (often approaching zero) were observed at nighttime.

222 In the lowermost panels (JNO<sub>2</sub> measurements), the nighttime is shown in dark grey and the two 223 light grey areas show the time before sunset (about 5 hours) and after sunrise (about 4.5 hours) 224 when very little direct sunlight reaches the ground of the site due to shading by the trees. This 225 leaves about 6.5 hours centred around midday when direct sunlight reaches the ground. The 226 shading results in radiative cooling of the ground in the late afternoon and associated temperature 227 inversions begin to form prior to sunset as can be observed in the right panels of Figure 2 and in 228 more detail in Figure S6. The temperature inversions begin approximately at the same time as the 229 ground temperature at 5 cm below the surface starts to decrease (see Figure S6). These conditions 230 of insolation were relatively consistent throughout the campaign.

- 231 Clear temperature inversions were observed for both nights shown in Figure 2, the beginning and 232 end of which are indicated by dashed lines. Vertical mixing can be reduced significantly during a 233 temperature inversion, which is apparent from the O<sub>3</sub> and RH measurements in the right-hand 234 panel. In both examples,  $O_3$  decreases at the ground level (5.4 m) at the beginning of the 235 temperature inversion and increases as the inversion breaks down in the morning. This behaviour 236 is understood in terms of  $O_3$  loss to soil surfaces and through stomatal and non-stomatal uptake on 237 leaves (Zhou et al., 2017; Rannik et al., 2012; Altimir et al., 2006; Ganzeveld and Lelieveld, 1995) 238 as well as through chemical reactions with e.g. NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and unsaturated (biogenic) organics 239 (Kurpius and Goldstein, 2003). Reduced vertical mixing means that during the inversion, O<sub>3</sub> is 240 only slowly replenished by downward mixing of air masses above the canopy where higher  $O_3$ 241 levels are observed. In contrast, the RH behaves in the opposite sense as the air above the inversion 242 is drier than close to the ground, where evapotranspiration contributes to enhanced water vapour 243 concentrations.
- 244 If the only source of NO was the photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO mixing ratios would be expected to follow the NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate during the day and tend to zero at night as NO is oxidized on a time scale 245 246 of minutes (for  $O_3 > 10$  ppb) to  $NO_2$  by  $O_3$ . This was not always the case during ACROSS. A 247 pronounced NO peak (up to ~2 ppbv) was observed at ground level between 00:00 and 06:00 UTC 248 (02:00 and 08:00 local time) during the phase dominated by Atlantic air, shown in Figure 2, which 249 is absent in the phase dominated by continental air. The peak occurs prior to sunrise and is only 250 observed by the ground-level measurements suggesting a non-photolytic source of NO close to the 251 ground, which is discussed further below. Very low (0-5 ppbv) O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios coincide with the 252 sustained nighttime NO peak observed, which is never reached in the example from the continental 253 phase, although in both cases clear temperature inversions were seen. Additional examples of 254 sustained NO peaks (i.e. lasting several hours at level between 1 and 2 ppbv) at night during the 255 first phase are shown in Figure S7. Examples of additional nights with temperature inversions 256 during phase 2, where NO mixing ratios remained close to zero, are shown in Figure S8.
- 257

### 258 4.1 Nighttime Ozone Loss

For each night between June 17<sup>th</sup> and July 22<sup>nd</sup> the net O<sub>3</sub> loss rate constant,  $k_{\rm L}$ (O<sub>3</sub>) was derived 259 by fitting exponential expressions to the data for periods of 4.5 to 8 hours.  $k_{\rm L}({\rm O}_3)$  was highly 260 variable, with values between  $1.8 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup> and  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, depending on the strength of the 261 temperature inversion and the relative humidity (see discussion below). These values of  $k_{\rm L}(O_3)$ 262 263 correspond to lifetimes of 1-15 hours for O<sub>3</sub> at nighttime. Chemical losses of O<sub>3</sub> occur through 264 reactions with NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, and unsaturated BVOCs (Zhou et al., 2017). Rate coefficients of reactions of O<sub>3</sub> with NO ( $1.9 \times 10^{-14}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K), NO<sub>2</sub> ( $3.5 \times 10^{-17}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 265 K), limonene (a reactive terpene,  $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K),  $\beta$ -caryophyllene 266 (sesquiterpene,  $1.2 \times 10^{-14}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K) are low such that mixing ratios in excess 267 of 1 ppbv for NO and  $\beta$ -caryophyllene would be required to explain the O<sub>3</sub> loss rate constant 268 269 (IUPAC, 2024). Required mixing ratios of terpenes or NO<sub>2</sub> would be even larger (60-300 ppbv). 270 As such high mixing ratios of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> were not observed continuously and such levels of 271 BVOC are unlikely, we assume that chemical losses of  $O_3$  are insignificant compared to deposition 272 as previously observed (Zhou et al., 2017). Ignoring entrainment from other heights, we can then 273 equate  $k_{\rm L}({\rm O}_3)$  to  $(2V_d/h)$ , where  $V_d$  is the deposition velocity and h is the boundary layer height; 274 the factor 2 is used to account for a positive vertical gradient (Shepson et al., 1992). Using a boundary layer height of 20 m (arbitrarily set equal to the top of the canopy) gives net deposition 275 velocities varying between 0.018 and 0.3 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. These values for  $V_d$  are in broad agreement with 276 other studies in temperate forests, where deposition velocities for O<sub>3</sub> at nighttime have been 277 reported to be around 0.07-0.3 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (Padro, 1996, 1993; Finkelstein et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2016). 278

279 In Figure 3 the  $O_3$  production rate (JNO<sub>2</sub> × [NO<sub>2</sub>]), RH, temperature at 4 different heights and  $O_3$ 280 mixing ratio have been plotted for two nights with high average RH to illustrate the impact of 281 temperature inversions on the net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants. The production rate of  $O_3$  is used to 282 identify periods in which production is negligible. In the left panel a night without a temperature 283 inversion is plotted, where the average RH for the period used to fit the exponential decay is  $93 \pm$ 3 %. These conditions resulted in a net O<sub>3</sub> loss-rate constant of  $6.0 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. In contrast, the night 284 285 depicted in the right panel has the same average RH (92  $\pm$  3 %) and a very clear temperature inversion, which gives a net O<sub>3</sub> loss-rate constant of  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>. This gives a factor of 5 between 286 287 these two net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants depending on whether a temperature inversion is observed or 288 not. This can be understood in terms of the  $O_3$  being replenished from above when there is no (or 289 a weak) inversion, which is not the case when there is an inversion. Bearing this in mind, the use 290 of  $k_{\rm L}(O_3)$  (a net O<sub>3</sub> loss constant) must result in a lower limit to  $V_d$  unless strong temperature 291 inversions (preventing O<sub>3</sub> entrainment from above) are present. The O<sub>3</sub> loss rate will also be 292 enhanced under conditions of strong inversion if trace-gases that are reacive towards O<sub>3</sub> are 293 released into a very shallow boundary layer. However, as indicated above, chemical losses are not 294 expected to compete with physical losses.

295 To investigate the impact of RH on the net O<sub>3</sub> loss-rate constants, two nights with temperature

inversions are plotted in Figure S9; one with high RH (92  $\pm$  3 %) and one with a lower RH (63  $\pm$ 

297 6 %). Here we see a large decrease in  $k_{\rm L}$ (O<sub>3</sub>) from  $3.0 \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> to  $4.5 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, when going from

- high to lower RH. The individually determined O<sub>3</sub> loss-rate constants are plotted as a function of
- 299 RH in Figure 4 and coloured depending on whether a temperature inversion is observed or not

300 during the time period which was used for the exponential decay fit. A clear increase in O<sub>3</sub> loss-

- 301 rate constants can be observed when RH increases above 70-80% when a temperature inversion
- 302 was observed. A small increase at RH higher than 70-80% was also observed when temperature
- inversions were absent. The observed dependence of  $k_{\rm L}({\rm O}_3)$  on relative humidity is consistent with
- 304 previous studies in forested regions, which have reported an increase in  $O_3$  loss above 60-70% RH 305 (Altimir et al., 2006; Rannik et al., 2012; Zhou et al., 2017). Altimir et al. (2006) suggested an
- 306 enhancement factor which is humidity dependent above 70% RH; 1 at 70% RH, 2 at 85% RH and
- 307 a sharp increase to over 5 when moving towards 100% RH. In a boreal forest these observations
- 308 have been explained by the formation of a "wet skin" on leaves which enhances surface O<sub>3</sub> losses
- 309 by modifying (reducing) the surface-resistance to uptake (Zhou et al., 2017). This is in broad
- 310 agreement with our observations during nights with a temperature inversion (see Figure 4), and
- 311 the discrepancies between the studies could be explained e.g. by different tree types, the height of
- the boundary layer, strength of the inversion and temperature.
- The faster net rate of  $O_3$  loss on nights with high relative humidity and well-defined temperature inversions explain the differences observed in the  $O_3$  mixing ratios at night during the Atlantic and
- 315 continental phases. The average nighttime (20:00-04:00 UTC) RH for the Atlantic phase was 87.4
- $\pm 7.6 (1\sigma)$  % compared to 68.4  $\pm 12.7 (1\sigma)$  % for the continental phase, indicating that on nights
- 317 with temperature inversions higher loss-rate constants would be expected for the Atlantic phase.
- 318 The high RH combined with the significantly lower average peak O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio in the Atlantic
- 319 phase ( $34.5 \pm 6.0 (1\sigma)$  ppbv between 14:00-15:00 UTC) compared to the continental phase (52.7
- $\pm$  13.6 (1 $\sigma$ ) ppbv between 14:00-15:00 UTC) explains why on nights with temperature inversions
- 321 during the Atlantic phase the  $O_3$  was essentially completely depleted as shown in Figure 2 and S7.
- 322

# 323 4.2 Nitrogen Oxide Soil Emissions

- Figure 2 (left panel) and S7 show nighttime periods in which NO was observed when  $O_3$  was depleted during the Atlantic phase. The several hours duration of the period when NO was above the LOD excludes very local combustion as the source, leaving soil emissions resulting from microbial activity (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997) as the most likely source of NO. At 293 K and 2 ppbv of  $O_3$ , the lifetime of NO towards reaction with  $O_3$  is around 20 minutes. It is therefore reasonable to assume that NO is close to steady-state when there is 2 ppbv or more of  $O_3$  available. The NO emission rate ( $E_{NO}$ ) can therefore be equated to the loss rate of NO as described in equation
- (1) assuming all peroxy radicals  $(XO_2)$  react with the same rate coefficient as HO<sub>2</sub>:

332 
$$E_{NO} = k_{NO+O3}[NO][O_3] + k_{NO+HO2}[XO_2][NO]$$

- 333 where  $k_{NO+O3}$  and  $k_{NO+HO2}$  are the temperature-dependent rate constants for the reaction between
- NO and O<sub>3</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, (IUPAC, 2024) and [NO], [O<sub>3</sub>] and [XO<sub>2</sub>] are the measured
- concentrations of NO, O<sub>3</sub> and XO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. In Figure 5, NO and  $E_{NO}$  (when O<sub>3</sub> > 2 ppbv) at
- nighttime (JNO<sub>2</sub> <  $10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) are separated by air masses and plotted against O<sub>3</sub>, where the outliers
- are defined as being outside  $1.5 \times$  interquartile range (IQR). While the nighttime NO mixing ratio
- increased rapidly when  $O_3$  tended towards 0 ppbv during the Atlantic phase,  $O_3$  was never depleted
- to less than 5 ppbv during the Continental phase and therefore no sustained periods of enhanced

(1)

NO were observed at nighttime. In contrast, no significant trend is found when plotting  $E_{NO}$  against O<sub>3</sub> for either of the phases, which shows that the calculated soil emission of NO is not dependent on O<sub>3</sub>. This indicates that while the soil is an important but variable source of NO, sustained nighttime NO peaks are only observed above the instrument LOD when O<sub>3</sub> is almost totally depleted so that the lifetime of NO is long enough to allow its concentration to build-up sufficiently.

346 Water content and temperature have previously been shown to impact the emission rate of NO 347 from soil (Pilegaard, 2013; Rosenkranz et al., 2006). Rosenkranz et al. (2006) found a positive 348 correlation between soil moisture and NO emission up to 40% water-filled pore space (WFPS) and an optimum between 12.5 and 15 °C soil temperature in a sessile oak forest in Hungary. In Figure 349 350 6, NO and  $E_{NO}$  are plotted against the soil temperature and moisture at 5 cm below the surface. 351 The measured NO mixing ratios peak towards the highest soil moisture and lowest soil temperature 352 measured during this campaign, however, as with O<sub>3</sub>, there is no significant trend in the NO 353 emission rates with soil moisture. At the low (11.5-12.5 °C) and high (19.5-20.5 °C) nighttime soil 354 temperatures very few measurements were made (around 2 hours combined) compared to the rest 355 of the temperature intervals. Across the remaining temperature intervals, no significant trend was observed in the estimated NO emission. 356

357 The average NO emission rate derived for the two phases is identical with values of 358  $1.45 \pm 1.61$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> (1 $\sigma$ , median = 1.27 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>) and  $1.42 \pm 5.68$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> (1 $\sigma$ , median = 0.71 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>) for the Atlantic and Continental phases, respectively, when using data where  $O_3 > 2$ 359 ppby. The Continental phase show much higher variability resulting from more spikes in the data 360 361 during that period. When O<sub>3</sub> is completely depleted during the Atlantic phase, the increase in NO per hour results in NO emission rates of 0.3-1.8 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>, which is in reasonable agreement with 362 363 the averages across each of the two phases when there is still O<sub>3</sub> present. By assuming a mixed 364 nocturnal boundary layer (NBL) with a height of 20 m (top of the canopy), the average emission rates can be converted to NO emission fluxes of  $16.6 \pm 18.5 (1\sigma)$  and  $16.2 \pm 65.0 (1\sigma) \mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$ 365 366 <sup>1</sup>, respectively. These values are within the range of previous measurements in different European 367 forests with similar tree types to those found in the Rambouillet forest (see Table 1). The 368 measurements by Pilegaard et al. (2006) and Rosenkranz et al. (2006) were all performed using 369 the chamber technique, whereas Schindlbacher et al. (2004) measured the emission from soil 370 samples collected in the field and exposed to different temperatures and humidity in the laboratory. 371 The chamber-derived emission rates are all either lower or, within combined uncertainties, equal 372 to the values determined in this study, while emission rates from the soil samples were higher than 373 (or, within combined uncertainties, equal to) the values derived in the present study. Davidson and 374 Kingerlee (1997) modelled the global NO emission inventory from soil depending on the biome 375 (e.g. temperate forest, agriculture, and savanna), and split the temperate forest category into regions affected by nitrogen deposition or not. For temperate forests not affected by nitrogen 376 377 deposition, those authors estimated a flux of 0.0-0.2 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (0.0-2.3  $\mu$ g N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), which is 378 in good agreement with the lower measurements by Pilegaard et al. (2006). In contrast, the temperate forests impacted by nitrogen deposition had estimated fluxes of 1.1-5.0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> 379 380 (12.6-57.1 µg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), which is in good agreement with our measurements at Rambouillet where 381 nitrogen deposition is enhanced by pollution arriving from Paris and other surrounding urbanized

/ industrialized areas. While noting that our fluxes are broadly consistent with previous
 measurements, we recognise that the calculations are based on the assumptions of a well-mixed
 boundary layer of fixed height arbitrarily set at 20 m and should not be over-interpreted.

385

## 386 4.3 Nitrogen Dioxide Losses

387 At nighttime, in the absence of its photolysis,  $NO_2$  may be expected to increase in concentration 388 (via R2) when a constant NO source exists (e.g. from soil, as observed here) and when O<sub>3</sub> is 389 present. For both the Atlantic and the Continental phases an average diel profile between 20.00 390 and 04.00 UTC of NO<sub>2</sub> (black) is plotted in Figure 7. No obvious increase in NO<sub>2</sub> can be observed 391 in the Atlantic phase and an average increase of around 1 ppbv can be observed in the Continental 392 phase. The expected NO<sub>2</sub> resulting from the NO +  $O_3$  reaction if there were no loss mechanisms 393 of NO<sub>2</sub> is plotted in red. This is determined using the NO<sub>2</sub> measured at 20.00 UTC and 394 incrementing this value by the NO<sub>2</sub> that would have been produced through NO oxidation by O<sub>3</sub> 395 and peroxy radicals in each time step. In both phases, the simple assumption of nighttime NO<sub>2</sub> 396 production through NO +  $O_3$  and NO + XO<sub>2</sub> and no NO<sub>2</sub> loss results in significant generation of 397 NO<sub>2</sub> with an overestimation of 10-12 ppbv of NO<sub>2</sub> at the end of the night compared to the measured NO<sub>2</sub>. A loss mechanism of around 1.4 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> of NO<sub>2</sub> is therefore necessary to explain the 398 399 observed (lack of increase in) NO<sub>2</sub>.

400

### 401 4.3.1 Chemical Losses

402 While during the daytime  $NO_2$  is removed in a largely irreversible process through reaction with 403 OH radicals to form  $HNO_3$ , this is unlikely to represent a significant sink at nighttime. In the 404 absence of photochemical formation pathways, OH is generated at night in the ozonolysis of 405 olefins and in the reaction of  $HO_2$  with  $NO_3$  and NO.

406	$O_3 + > = < \rightarrow \rightarrow OH$	(R11)
407	$NO_3 + HO_2 \rightarrow OH + NO_2 + O_2$	(R12)

$$408 \qquad \text{NO} + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \tag{R4}$$

409 In the forested environment in summer, the emissions of biogenic volatile organic compounds 410 (BVOC) (e.g. olefinic terpenoids) will favour R11 and simultaneously disfavour R12 as NO<sub>3</sub> will 411 be reduced in concentration through its reactions with BVOCs. During the ACROSS campaign 412 ground  $NO_3$  levels were generally below instrument detection limits of 2 pptv and we can 413 reasonably ignore R12. Measurements of OH in forested environments are sparse, though they 414 indicate that nocturnal OH levels are low, with concentrations generally lower than  $1 \times 10^5$ 415 molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>. Combining the rate coefficient for reaction of OH with NO<sub>2</sub> of  $\sim 1 \times 10^{-11}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (IUPAC, 2024) at ambient pressure and  $\approx 300$  K with an upper limit (confirmed by 416 measurements) to the OH concentration of  $1 \times 10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> results in a NO<sub>2</sub> loss constant of 417  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, or (at the average nighttime NO<sub>2</sub> = 1650 pptv) a loss rate of ~60 ppt h<sup>-1</sup>, clearly 418 419 insufficient to explain the observations.

420  $NO_2$  is also lost via its reaction with  $O_3$  to form the  $NO_3$  radical (R8). In an upcoming paper, we 421 will show that the majority of NO<sub>3</sub> formed in the forest will react with BVOCs rather than with 422 NO (to re-form NO<sub>2</sub>) and, to a good approximation, R8 represents an irreversible loss of NO<sub>2</sub> as the alkyl nitrates will not release nitrogen in the form of NO2 at nighttime. However, the rate 423 coefficient for this process  $(3.5 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ at } 298 \text{ K}, (IUPAC, 2024))$  is very small 424 425 and with average nighttime  $O_3$  levels reduced by deposition (see above) to 23 ppby, the lifetime 426 of NO<sub>2</sub> with respect to this reaction is 14 hours and the loss-rate (at the average nighttime  $NO_2 =$ 427 1650 pptv) is ~120 pptv  $h^{-1}$ , again too slow to contribute significantly to the apparent loss rate of 428 NO<sub>2</sub>.

429 The chemical loss of NO<sub>2</sub> via reaction with OH or via formation of NO<sub>3</sub> and its further reactions 430 with BVOC to form alkyl nitrates is expected to result in the conversion of NO<sub>X</sub> to NO<sub>Y</sub>. As 431 described in section 3.1.1, during the ACROSS campaign we operated a NO<sub>Y</sub> instrument to 432 measure NO<sub>Y</sub> both in the gas- and particle-phases. Figure 8 displays the average diel profiles of 433 NO<sub>Z</sub> (NO<sub>Y</sub>-NO<sub>X</sub>) and pNO<sub>3</sub> during the Atlantic and Continental phases. For both NO<sub>Z</sub> and pNO<sub>3</sub> 434 the diel profiles show either a decrease or stable mixing ratio across the period in which losses of 435 10-12 ppbv of NO<sub>2</sub> are required to explain the observations. Clearly, the loss of NO<sub>2</sub> at nighttime 436 is not balanced by the formation of other forms of reactive nitrogen that were long lived enough 437 to be detected. Trace gases such as HNO<sub>3</sub> or alkyl nitrates may be lost via deposition to surfaces, 438 especially at high relative humidity and lifetimes for biogenic alkyl nitrates of a few hours have 439 been reported (Liebmann et al., 2019; Farmer and Cohen, 2008; Browne et al., 2013; Romer 440 Present et al., 2019). However, as shown above, the limiting step in the formation of organic nitrates is the slow reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with O<sub>3</sub>, which will not convert sufficient NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub> to 441 442 explain our observations. Formation of organic nitrates that do not require the intermediacy of NO<sub>3</sub> 443 (i.e. peroxy nitrates formed from  $RO_2 + NO_2$ ) would also have been detected by the  $NO_Y$ 444 instrument and can thus also be ruled out as major reservoirs of NO<sub>X</sub>.

445 NO<sub>2</sub> deposited to humid surfaces can be converted to HONO and released to the atmosphere (Elshorbany et al., 2012; Meusel et al., 2016). A time series of HONO can be found in Figure S2 446 447 which reveals increases in HONO at nighttime. However, the HONO mixing ratios can account 448 for only a small fraction of the NO<sub>2</sub> loss described above. This may reflect the fact that, if formed 449 at a moist surface, (soluble) HONO is unlikely to desorb quantitatively into the gas-phase. The 450 low HONO mixing ratios measured during the Atlantic phase compared to the Continental phase, could potentially be explained by the difference in soil humidity, however, the factors influencing 451 452 the formation and release of HONO are complex. The HONO observations will be analysed in 453 detail in a seperate publication from the ACROSS campaign.

454 In the absence of other known gas-phase mechanisms for the removal of  $NO_2$  at night and the fact 455 that very little other reactive nitrogen trace-gases or nitrate particles are formed during the night, 456 we conclude that physical removal of  $NO_2$  (i.e. deposition) is responsible for its lack of build-up 457 at night during ACROSS and that any transformation of  $NO_2$  at the surface does not lead to

458 quantitative release into the gas-phase

#### 460 4.3.2 Physical Losses

461 NO<sub>2</sub> is known to be lost through dry deposition to surfaces such as soil and leaves, the latter 462 depending on whether the stomata are open (daytime) or not fully open (nighttime) (Delaria et al., 463 2020; Delaria et al., 2018; Chaparro-Suarez et al., 2011). As for O<sub>3</sub>, dry deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> to 464 surfaces can be described by an exponential decay with a first-order decay rate constant,  $k_{\rm L}({\rm NO}_2)$ =  $(V_d/h)$ , where  $V_d$  is the deposition velocity and h is the boundary layer height. This expression 465 applies when gradients within the boundary layer are weak, as expected for NO<sub>2</sub> (see above) even 466 though vertical mixing is very slow at night. The net production (or loss) of NO<sub>2</sub> is given by Eq. 467 468 (2) where the first term on the right-hand side is the NO<sub>2</sub> production rate from the reaction of NO 469 with O<sub>3</sub> or XO<sub>2</sub> (which is identical to the NO soil emission rate) and the second term is the loss 470 rate assuming only depositional losses (see above) and ignoring entrainment of NO<sub>2</sub> from other 471 heights. This will give an upper limit of the NO<sub>2</sub> deposition rate as a small fraction (<10%) of NO<sub>2</sub> 472 is lost through chemical reactions with O<sub>3</sub> and OH (see above).

473 
$$\frac{d[NO_2]}{dt} = E_{NO} - k_L(NO_2)[NO_2]_0$$
(2)

 $[NO_2]_0$  is the NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio at 20.00 UTC. The NO<sub>2</sub> concentration at any subsequent time can

475 then be calculated as described in Eq. (3) with variation of  $k_{\rm L}(\rm NO_2)$  in order to match the observed

476 NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio.

477 
$$[NO_2]_t = \int_0^t \frac{d[NO_2]}{dt} + [NO_2]_0$$
 (3)

478 In Figure 7 the grey lines symbolize the calculated NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios at nighttime using values of  $k_{\rm I}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>) between 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> and 4.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. As expected, no single value of  $k_{\rm I}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>) can explain 479 all the measurements as the height of the BL will not be invariant during the whole night. However, 480 481 for the Continental and Atlantic phases the observed NO<sub>2</sub> can be explained with  $k_L(NO_2) = (2.0 \pm$ 1.0 × 10<sup>-4</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and  $k_L(NO_2) = (2.75 \pm 1.25) \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, which results in lifetimes of ~ 482 483 1-3 h and ~ 40-110 min for NO<sub>2</sub> at nighttime. As deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> in this environment represents 484 a permanent loss of NO<sub>X</sub> from the gas phase, this lifetime can be compared to e.g. the lifetime of NO<sub>X</sub> with respect to its conversion to HNO<sub>3</sub> via reaction of NO<sub>2</sub> with OH which is ~ 1 day 485 486 (assuming average  $[OH] = 1 \times 10^6$  molecule cm<sup>-3</sup>). The low aerosol surface area during ACROSS 487 combined with the low uptake coefficient for NO<sub>2</sub> renders losses due to heterogeneous processes 488 insignificant (IUPAC, 2024). Clearly, only nighttime depositional losses of NO<sub>2</sub> in a forested 489 environment contribute substantially to its lifetime at night and to the NO<sub>X</sub> budget.

490 If we continue to assume the nocturnal boundary layer at the forest site is at the top of the canopy 491 (20 m), then the NO<sub>2</sub> loss-rate constants we determined can be converted to a deposition velocity of  $0.4 \pm 0.2$  cm s<sup>-1</sup> and  $0.55 \pm 0.25$  cm s<sup>-1</sup> for the Continental and Atlantic phase, respectively. 492 493 These are comparable to previous measurements of NO<sub>2</sub> deposition velocities of 0.15 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (Dewald et al., 2022), 0.1-0.57 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (Rondón et al., 1993), 0.098 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (Breuninger et al., 2013), 494  $0.2-0.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (Horii et al., 2004),  $0.02-0.64 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (Puxbaum and Gregori, 1998), for a mountain 495 observatory surrounded by coniferous trees, boreal coniferous forests, a temperate coniferous 496 497 forest, a temperate mixed deciduous forest, and a temperate oak forest, respectively, where a 498 combination of soil and foliage deposition is measured. Horii et al. (2004) saw an increase in

deposition velocity with increasing NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio; from 0.2 cm s<sup>-1</sup> at 1 ppbv to 0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup> at 30 499 500 ppbv. Puxbaum and Gregori (1998) reported monthly averages of 0.02-0.64 cm s<sup>-1</sup>, however, their nighttime deposition velocities averaged below 0.05 cm s<sup>-1</sup>. The deposition velocities determined 501 502 here are a factor of 5-40 higher than what has been measured for nighttime foliage deposition 503 velocities to the leaves of different trees native to California (Delaria et al., 2020; Delaria et al., 504 2018), but in good agreement with measurements for daytime. It is, however, important to note 505 that the deposition velocities estimated here are upper limits as the estimation of the NO emission 506 rate is an upper limit and chemical loss of NO<sub>2</sub> is not taken into account. Using an average nighttime NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of 1650 and 1450 pptv for the Continental and Atlantic phase, 507 respectively, results in NO<sub>2</sub> deposition rates of  $13.6 \pm 6.8 \ \mu g \ N \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$  and  $18.7 \pm 8.5 \ \mu g \ N \ m^{-2} \ h^{-1}$ 508 <sup>1</sup>, which are in reasonable agreement with that measured for soil  $NO_2$  deposition in a sessile oak 509 forest of 9.67  $\pm$  1.92 µg N m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> during the summer (Rosenkranz et al., 2006). The estimated NO 510 soil emission rate and NO<sub>2</sub> deposition rate are, within the uncertainties, identical, which means the 511 512 Rambouillet forest is not a significant direct source or sink of NO<sub>X</sub>.

513

## 514 **5 Summary:**

515 Measurements of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub> during the ACROSS campaign (June-July 2022) in the 516 Rambouillet forest southwest of Paris, France, have been used to gain insight into nighttime 517 processes controlling NO<sub>X</sub> in an anthropogenically impacted forest environment. Based on 518 HYSPLIT back trajectories, two phases of the campaign were identified; one dominated by air 519 originating over the Atlantic Ocean ("Atlantic"), which on average had high relative humidity and 520 low O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios, and one dominated by continental air masses from different urban/industrialized regions ("Continental"), which on average had a lower relative humidity than 521 522 the Atlantic phase and higher O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios. Strong diel profiles were observed in the O<sub>3</sub> 523 measurements across the campaign with daytime peak mixing ratios varying from ~30 to 90 ppbv 524 and nighttime tending towards 0-10 ppbv. The daily variation was driven by a variable but 525 generally rapid O<sub>3</sub> deposition to soil and foliar surfaces, with a strong influence of relative 526 humidity (influencing the surface resistance to uptake) and inversion (influencing the rate of 527 entrainment of  $O_3$  from above the canopy).

528 During the Atlantic phase, periods of sustained NO above the instrumental detection limit was 529 observed at nighttime when  $O_3$  was sufficiently low (i.e. the NO lifetime sufficiently long). This 530 enabled the derivation of an average NO emission rate from the soil ( $E_{NO}$ ) of ~1.4 ppbv h<sup>-1</sup>, which 531 was confirmed by the approximately linear increase in NO observed in the absence of  $O_3$  in the 532 Atlantic phase. The estimated  $E_{NO}$  is in broad agreement with previous measurements in other 533 European temperate forests with tree types as found in the Rambouillet forest.

An increase in NO<sub>2</sub> at night would be expected when having a constant NO emission rate of  $\sim 1.4$  ppbv h<sup>-1</sup> in the presence of O<sub>3</sub> as observed in this study, however, this was not the case. The lack of increase in NO<sub>2</sub> was used to estimate first-order decay constants of  $(2.0 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup>

- and  $(2.75 \pm 1.25) \times 10^{-4}$  s<sup>-1</sup> resulting in an effective lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> of ~0.5-3 h. The loss of NO<sub>2</sub>
- 538 at nighttime is presumably driven by deposition to soil and foliar surfaces since the lifetime of
- 539 NO<sub>2</sub> towards its reactions with OH and O<sub>3</sub> at night is >28 and 14 h, respectively. By comparison,

- 540 the daytime lifetime of  $NO_2$  with respect to loss by reaction with OH is about 1 day. We conclude
- 541 that the nighttime deposition of  $NO_2$  is a major sink of boundary layer  $NO_X$  in this forested
- 542 environment.
- 543

## 544 6 Data Availability:

- 545 All data can be found on <u>https://across.aeris-data.fr/catalogue/</u>.
- 546

## 547 **7** Author contribution:

548 All authors contributed with measurements. Data analysis was conducted by STA with 549 contributions from JNC and PD. CC and VM organized the field campaign with contributions from 550 the individual group leads. STA and JNC developed the manuscript with contributions from all 551 authors.

552

## 553 8 Competing Interests:

- At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Atmospheric Chemistry andPhysics
- 556

## 557 9 Acknowledgements:

558 STA is thankful to the Alexander von Humboldt foundation for funding her stay at MPIC.

- PD gratefully acknowledges the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (project "MONOTONS",project number: 522970430).
- The ACROSS project has received funding from the French National Research Agency (ANR) under the investment program integrated into France 2030, with the reference ANR-17-MPGA-0002, and it was supported by the French National program LEFE (Les Enveloppes Fluides et l'Environnement) of the CNRS/INSU (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers). Data from the ACROSS campaign are hosted by the French national center for Atmospheric data and services AERIS.
- 567 IMT Nord Europe acknowledges financial support from the CaPPA project, which is funded by 568 the French National Research Agency (ANR) through the PIA (Programme d'Investissement 569 d'Avenir) under contract ANR-11-LABX-0005-01, the Regional Council "Hauts-de-France" and 570 the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF).
- 571

## 572 10 References:

Altimir, N., et al.: Foliage surface ozone deposition: a role for surface moisture?, Biogeosciences,
3, 209-228, 10.5194/bg-3-209-2006, 2006.

- 575 Breuninger, C., et al.: Field investigations of nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) exchange 576 between plants and the atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 773-790, 10.5194/acp-13-773-577 2013, 2013.
- 578 Browne, E. C., et al.: Observations of total RONO<sub>2</sub> over the boreal forest: NO*x* sinks and HNO<sub>3</sub> 579 sources, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 4543-4562, 10.5194/acp-13-4543-2013, 580 2013.
- Burkhardt, J. and Eiden, R.: Thin water films on coniferous needles: A new device for the study of water vapour condensation and gaseous deposition to plant surfaces and particle samples, Atmospheric Environment, 28, 2001-2011, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(94)90469-3</u>, 1994.
- Cantrell, C. and Michoud, V.: An Experiment to Study Atmospheric Oxidation Chemistry and
  Physics of Mixed Anthropogenic–Biogenic Air Masses in the Greater Paris Area, Bulletin of
  the American Meteorological Society, 103, 599-603, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-</u>
  0115.1, 2022.
- 589 Chaparro-Suarez, I. G., et al.: Nitrogen dioxide (NO2) uptake by vegetation controlled by
   590 atmospheric concentrations and plant stomatal aperture, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 5742 591 5750, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.07.021, 2011.
- 592 Ciencewicki, J. and Jaspers, I.: Air Pollution and Respiratory Viral Infection, Inhalation
   593 Toxicology, 19, 1135-1146, 10.1080/08958370701665434, 2007.
- Davidson, E. A. and Kingerlee, W.: A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils,
  Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 48, 37-50, 10.1023/A:1009738715891, 1997.
- 596 Delaria, E. R. and Cohen, R. C.: A model-based analysis of foliar NOx deposition, Atmos. Chem.
  597 Phys., 20, 2123-2141, 10.5194/acp-20-2123-2020, 2020.
- Delaria, E. R., et al.: Laboratory measurements of stomatal NO2 deposition to native California
  trees and the role of forests in the NOx cycle, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 14023-14041,
  10.5194/acp-20-14023-2020, 2020.
- Delaria, E. R., et al.: Measurements of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> exchange between the atmosphere and
   Quercus agrifolia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 14161-14173, 10.5194/acp-18-14161-2018, 2018.
- Dewald, P., et al.: Fate of the nitrate radical at the summit of a semi-rural mountain site in Germany
  assessed with direct reactivity measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 7051-7069,
  10.5194/acp-22-7051-2022, 2022.
- Draxler, R. R. and Rolph, G. D.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated
  Trajectory) Model access via NOAA ARL READY Website
  (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php). NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring,
  MD., 2011.
- Elshorbany, Y. F., et al.: Impact of HONO on global atmospheric chemistry calculated with an
  empirical parameterization in the EMAC model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9977-10000,
  10.5194/acp-12-9977-2012, 2012.
- Emberson, L. D., et al.: Ozone effects on crops and consideration in crop models, European Journal
  of Agronomy, 100, 19-34, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2018.06.002</u>, 2018.
- 615 Farmer, D. K. and Cohen, R. C.: Observations of HNO<sub>3</sub>, ΣAN, ΣPN and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes: evidence for 616 rapid HO<sub>x</sub> chemistry within a pine forest canopy, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3899-3917, 617 10.5194/acp-8-3899-2008, 2008.
- 618 Finkelstein, P. L., et al.: Ozone and sulfur dioxide dry deposition to forests: Observations and
- 619 model evaluation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105, 15365-15377, 620 https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900185, 2000.

- Friedrich, N., et al.: Measurement of NOx and NOy with a thermal dissociation cavity ring-down
  spectrometer (TD-CRDS): instrument characterisation and first deployment, Atmos. Meas.
  Tech., 13, 5739-5761, 10.5194/amt-13-5739-2020, 2020.
- Ganzeveld, L. and Lelieveld, J.: Dry deposition parameterization in a chemistry general circulation
   model and its influence on the distribution of reactive trace gases, Journal of Geophysical
   Research: Atmospheres, 100, 20999-21012, https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02266, 1995.
- 627 Gessler, A., et al.: NH3 and NO2 fluxes between beech trees and the atmosphere correlation with 628 climatic and physiological parameters, New Phytologist, 147, 539-560,
- 628 climatic and physiological parameters, New Phytologist, 147, 539-560, 629 <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2000.00712.x</u>, 2000.
- Geyer, A. and Stutz, J.: Vertical profiles of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO*x* in the nocturnal boundary
  layer: 2. Model studies on the altitude dependence of composition and chemistry (vol 109, art
  no D16399, 2004), Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 109,
  10.1029/2004JD005217 2004.
- Hallquist, M., et al.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current
  and emerging issues, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 5155-5236, 10.5194/acp-9-51552009, 2009.
- Heland, J., et al.: A new instrument to measure gaseous nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmosphere,
  Environmental Science & Technology, 35, 3207-3212, DOI 10.1021/es000303t, 2001.
- Hens, K., et al.: Observation and modelling of HOx radicals in a boreal forest, Atmospheric
  Chemistry and Physics, 14, 8723-8747, 10.5194/acp-14-8723-2014, 2014.
- Horii, C. V., et al.: Fluxes of nitrogen oxides over a temperate deciduous forest, Journal of
  Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004326</u>, 2004.
- IUPAC Task Group on Atmospheric Chemical Kinetic Data Evaluation, (Ammann, M., Cox, R.A.,
  Crowley, J.N., Herrmann, H., Jenkin, M.E., McNeill, V.F., Mellouki, A., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J.
  and Wallington, T. J.). Last access April. 2024: <u>https://iupac.aeris-data.fr/</u>, last
- Jaeglé, L., et al.: Global partitioning of NOx sources using satellite observations: Relative roles of
  fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning and soil emissions, Faraday Discussions, 130, 407-423,
  10.1039/B502128F, 2005.
- Kanakidou, M., et al.: Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review, Atmospheric
   Chemistry and Physics, 5, 1053-1123, 10.5194/acp-5-1053-2005, 2005.
- Kane, S. M., et al.: Heterogeneous uptake of gaseous N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> by (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO4, NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>
   aerosols, Journal of Physical Chemistry a, 105, 6465-6470, 2001.
- Kiendler-Scharr, A., et al.: Ubiquity of organic nitrates from nighttime chemistry in the European
  submicron aerosol, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 7735-7744, 10.1002/2016gl069239,
  2016.
- Kleffmann, J., et al.: Intercomparison of the DOAS and LOPAP techniques for the detection of
  nitrous acid (HONO), Atmospheric Environment, 40, 3640-3652,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.027</u>, 2006.
- Kukui, A., et al.: Chemical ionisation mass spectrometer for measurements of OH and Peroxy
  radical concentrations in moderately polluted atmospheres, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry,
  61, 133-154, 10.1007/s10874-009-9130-9, 2008.
- Kurpius, M. R. and Goldstein, A. H.: Gas-phase chemistry dominates O<sub>3</sub> loss to a forest, implying
   a source of aerosols and hydroxyl radicals to the atmosphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 30,
- 664 10.1029/2002gl016785, 2003.

- 665 Liebmann, J., et al.: Alkyl nitrates in the boreal forest: formation via the NO3-, OH- and O3-666 induced oxidation of biogenic volatile organic compounds and ambient lifetimes, Atmos. Chem.
- Phys., 19, 10391-10403, 10.5194/acp-19-10391-2019, 2019. 667
- 668 Liebmann, J. M., et al.: Direct measurements of NO3 reactivity in and above the boundary layer 669 of a mountaintop site: identification of reactive trace gases and comparison with OH reactivity, 670 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 12045-12059, 10.5194/acp-18-12045-2018, 2018.
- 671 Lightfoot, P. D., et al.: Organic peroxy radicals - kinetics, spectroscopy and tropospheric 672 chemistry, Atmospheric Environment, Part A: General Topics, 26, 1805-1961, 1992.
- 673 Meusel, H., et al.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid at a coastal remote site in Cyprus indicating 674 a common ground source of atmospheric HONO and NO, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 14475-14493, 10.5194/acp-16-14475-2016, 2016. 675
- 676 Padro, J.: Seasonal contrasts in modelled and observed dry deposition velocities of O3, SO2 and 677 NO2 over three surfaces, Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics, 27, 807-814, 678 https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(93)90002-G, 1993.
- 679 Padro, J.: Summary of ozone dry deposition velocity measurements and model estimates over 680 vineyard, cotton, grass and deciduous forest in summer, Atmospheric Environment, 30, 2363-681 2369, https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(95)00352-5, 1996.
- Phillips, G. J., et al.: The detection of nocturnal N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> as HNO<sub>3</sub> by alkali- and aqueous-denuder 682 683 techniques, Atmospheric measurement techniques, 6, 231-237, 10.5194/amt-6-231-2013, 2013.
- Phillips, G. J., et al.: Significant concentrations of nitryl chloride observed in rural continental
- 684 Europe associated with the influence of sea salt chloride and anthropogenic emissions, 685 686 Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L10811, doi:10.1029/2012GL051912, 2012.
- 687 Pilegaard, K.: Processes regulating nitric oxide emissions from soils, Philosophical Transactions 688 of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 368, ARTN 20130126
- 689 10.1098/rstb.2013.0126, 2013.
- 690 Pilegaard, K., et al.: Factors controlling regional differences in forest soil emission of nitrogen oxides (NO and N<sub>2</sub>O), Biogeosciences, 3, 651-661, 10.5194/bg-3-651-2006, 691 692 2006.
- 693 Puxbaum, H. and Gregori, M.: Seasonal and annual deposition rates of sulphur, nitrogen and 694 chloride species to an oak forest in north-eastern austria (wolkersdorf, 240 m a.s.l.), Atmos. 695 Env., 32, 3557-3568, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00073-9, 1998.
- Rannik, Ü., et al.: Ozone deposition into a boreal forest over a decade of observations: evaluating 696 697 deposition partitioning and driving variables, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12165-12182, 698 10.5194/acp-12-12165-2012, 2012.
- 699 Romer Present, P. S., et al.: The changing role of organic nitrates in the removal and transport of NOx, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2019, 1-18, 10.5194/acp-2019-471, 2019. 700
- 701 Rondón, A., et al.: Dry deposition of nitrogen dioxide and ozone to coniferous forests, Journal of 702 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 98, 5159-5172, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD02335, 703 1993.
- 704 Rosenkranz, P., et al.: Soil N and C trace gas fluxes and microbial soil N turnover in a sessile oak 705 (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.) forest in Hungary, Plant and Soil, 286, 301-322, 706 10.1007/s11104-006-9045-z, 2006.
- 707 Schindlbacher, A., et al.: Effects of soil moisture and temperature on NO, NO2, and N2O 708 emissions from European forest soils, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109, 709 https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004590, 2004.

- Schumann, U. and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 7, 3823-3907, 10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007, 2007.
- Shepson, P. B., et al.: Determination of the relative ozone and PAN deposition velocities at night,
  Geophysical Research Letters, 19, 1121-1124, 10.1029/92gl01118, 1992.
- 714 Sobanski, N., et al.: A five-channel cavity ring-down spectrometer for the detection of NO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>3</sub>,
- N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, total peroxy nitrates and total alkyl nitrates, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 9,
   5103-5118, 10.5194/amt-9-5103-2016, 2016.
- Stutz, J., et al.: Vertical profiles of NO<sub>3</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and NO<sub>X</sub> in the nocturnal boundary layer: 1.
  Observations during the Texas Air Quality Study 2000 Journal of Geophysical ResearchAtmospheres, 109, art. D12306, 10.1029/2003JD004209, 2004.
- Thoene, B., et al.: Absorption of atmospheric NO2 by spruce (Picea abies) trees, New Phytologist,
  134, 257-266, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1996.tb04630.x</u>, 1996.
- Val Martin, M., et al.: Large-scale impacts of anthropogenic pollution and boreal wildfires on the
  nitrogen oxides over the central North Atlantic region, Journal of Geophysical Research:
  Atmospheres, 113, <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009689</u>, 2008.
- Weber, P. and Rennenberg, H.: Dependency of nitrogen dioxide (NO2) fluxes to wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) leaves from NO2 concentration, light intensity, temperature and relative humidity determined from controlled dynamic chamber experiments, Atmospheric Environment, 30, 3001-3009, <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(96)00008-8">https://doi.org/10.1016/1352-2310(96)0008-8</a>, 1996.
- Wu, Z., et al.: Dry deposition of O3 and SO2 estimated from gradient measurements above a
  temperate mixed forest, Environmental Pollution, 210, 202-210,
  <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.11.052</u>, 2016.
- Zhou, P., et al.: Simulating ozone dry deposition at a boreal forest with a multi-layer canopy
  deposition model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1361-1379, 10.5194/acp-17-1361-2017, 2017.

## 735 **11 Figures:**



736

737 Figure 1: 48-hour back trajectories from the Rambouillet forest supersite using the Hybrid Single-

738 Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT, version 4, 2019).



Figure 2: Measurements of temperature, NO, O<sub>3</sub>, RH, NO<sub>2</sub>, and JNO<sub>2</sub> for two different nights during the campaign; one during the Atlantic phase (left panels) and one during the continental phase (right panels). The different colours symbolize four different heights; red = 3-6 m, grey = 13 m, orange = 21 m, and black = 41 m, and the blue shows the RH at 5 m. The grey shaded areas in the JNO<sub>2</sub> plots shows the time the MPIC container was in shade during the afternoon and morning (light grey) and nighttime (dark grey). The vertical black dashed lines indicate the beginning and end of the observed temperature inversions in the top panels.



Figure 3: The production of  $O_3$  (JNO<sub>2</sub> × [NO<sub>2</sub>]), temperature, RH, and  $O_3$  plotted for two nights with high average RH; one without a temperature inversion (left panels) and one with a temperature inversion (right panels). The different colours symbolize four different heights; red = 5-5.4 m, grey = 13 m, orange = 21 m, and black = 41 m, and the blue shows the RH at 5 m. The net nighttime  $O_3$  loss is fitted with an exponential decay curve (solid black line) in the bottom plots. The grey shaded areas represent the nighttime.



756

Figure 4: Net  $O_3$  loss-rate constants at 5.4 m plotted against the average relative humidity measured during the time used to fit the exponential decay of  $O_3$ . The error bars represent  $\pm 1\sigma$  on the average

during the time used to fit the exponential decay of  $O_3$ . The error bars represent  $\pm 1\sigma$  on RH. The dashed line symbolizes the observations made by Altimir et al. (2006).



Figure 5: NO (top) and NO emission (bottom) plotted against  $O_3$  in a box-and-whiskers plot, where the outliers are defined as being outside  $1.5 \times IQR$ . The colours represent the two different air masses.



Figure 6: NO (top panels) and NO emission (bottom panels) plotted against soil moisture (left panels) and temperature (right panels) at 5 cm below the surface in a box-and-whiskers plot, where the outliers are defined as being outside  $1.5 \times IQR$ . The colours represent the two different air masses.





Figure 7: Average nighttime profiles of NO<sub>2</sub> at 5.4 m for each of the two phases (black) plotted
together with the expected NO<sub>2</sub> with (grey) and without (red) NO<sub>2</sub> loss.



Figure 8: Average diel profiles of NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, total gas-phase NO<sub>z</sub>, and particulate nitrate (pNO<sub>3</sub>) at
3-6 m above ground for the Atlantic (left panels) and Continental (right panels) phases. The grey
shaded areas symbolize nighttime.

## **12 Tables:**

Matra Mountains,		
	2.1	(Pilegaard et al., 2006)
Hungary	$6.0 \pm 3.3$ (summer)	(Rosenkranz et al., 2006)
	$8.4 \pm 2.4$ (autumn)	(Rosenkranz et al., 2006)
San Rossore, Italy	5.4	(Pilegaard et al., 2006)
Schottenwald,	$25.5\pm7.5$	(Schindlbacher et al., 2004)
Austria	4.2	(Pilegaard et al., 2006)
Klausen-Leopolsdorf,	$10.2 \pm 3.4$	(Schindlbacher et al., 2004)
Austria	0.7	(Pilegaard et al., 2006)
Achenkirch, Austria	$2.8 \pm 1.4$	(Schindlbacher et al., 2004)
	0.9	(Pilegaard et al., 2006)
Ticino Park, Italy	$18.5 \pm 5.8$	(Schindlbacher et al., 2004)
	Below LOD	(Pilegaard et al., 2006)
	Hungary San Rossore, Italy Schottenwald, Austria Klausen-Leopolsdorf, Austria Achenkirch, Austria Ticino Park, Italy	Hungary $6.0 \pm 3.3$ (summer) $8.4 \pm 2.4$ (autumn)San Rossore, Italy $5.4$ Schottenwald, $25.5 \pm 7.5$ Austria $4.2$ Klausen-Leopolsdorf, $10.2 \pm 3.4$ Austria $0.7$ Achenkirch, Austria $2.8 \pm 1.4$ 0.9Ticino Park, ItalyTicino Park, Italy $18.5 \pm 5.8$ Below LOD

Table 1: Measured NO soil emission in European forests with the same tree types as in theRambouillet forest.