# New age constraints reveal moraine stabilization thousands of years after deposition during the last deglaciation of western New York, USA

5 Karlee K. Prince<sup>1</sup>, Jason P. Briner<sup>1</sup>, Caleb K. Walcott<sup>1</sup>, Brooke M. Chase<sup>1</sup>, Andrew L.

6 Kozlowski<sup>2</sup>, Tammy M. Rittenour<sup>3</sup>, Erica P. Yang<sup>1,4</sup>

7

8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Geology, University at Buffalo, 126 Cooke Hall, Buffalo, NY 14260, USA

9 <sup>2</sup>New York State Geological Survey, New York State Museum, 222 Madison Ave, Albany, NY 12230, USA

10 <sup>3</sup>Department of Geoscience, Utah State University, 4505 Old Main Hill, Logan, UT 84322, USA

11 <sup>4</sup>Oak Ridge Institute of Science and Education, 1299 Bethel Valley Road, Oak Ridge, TN, 37830 USA

12

13 Correspondence to: Karlee K. Prince (karleepr@buffalo.edu)

<sup>4</sup> 

14 Abstract. The timing of the last deglaciation of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in western New York is poorly constrained. 15 The lack of direct chronology in the region has led to a provocative hypothesis that the Laurentide Ice Sheet 16 re-advanced to near its Last Glacial Maximum terminal position in western New York at ~13 ka, which challenges 17 long-standing datasets. To address this hypothesis, we obtained new chronology from the Kent (terminal) and Lake 18 Escarpment (first major recessional) moraines using radiocarbon ages in sediment cores from moraine kettles 19 supplemented with two optically stimulated luminescence ages from topset beds in an ice-contact delta. The two 20 optically stimulated luminescence ages date the Kent (terminal) position to  $19.8 \pm 2.6$  and  $20.6 \pm 2.9$  ka. Within the 21 sediment cores from both moraines, the lowest reliable radiocarbon ages range from 15,000-15,400 to 22 13,600-14,000 cal yr BP. Below these dated levels is Within the sediment cores, there is sedimentologic evidence of 23 an unstable landscape during basin formation; radiocarbon ages from the lowest sediments in our cores are not in 24 stratigraphic order and date from 19,350-19,600 to 14,050-14,850 cal - BP. We interpret these ages as loosely 25 minimum-limiting constraints on ice sheet retreat. Our oldest radiocarbon age of 19,350-19,600 cal-yr BP – from a 26 rip-up clast – suggests ice-free conditions at that time. Above the lowest sediments there is organic-rich silt and 27 radiocarbon ages in stratigraphic order . We interpret the lowest ages in these organic-rich sediments as 28 minimum-limiting constraints on kettle basin formation. The lowest radiocarbon ages from organic-rich sediments 29 from sites on both Kent and Lake Escarpment moraines range from 15,000-15,400 to 13,600-14,000 cal BP. We 30 interpret that the 5 kyr lag between the optically stimulated luminescence ages and kettle basin formation the lowest 31 reliable radiocarbon ages ais the result of persistent buried ice in ice-cored moraines until  $\sim$ 15 to 14 ka. The cold 32 conditions associated with Heinrich Stadial 1 may have enabled the survival of ice-cored moraines until after 15 ka, 33 and in turn, climate amelioration during the Bølling Period (14.7 – 14.1 ka) may have initiated landscape 34 stabilization. This model potentially reconciles the sedimentological and chronological evidence underpinning the-35 provocative re-advance hypothesis, which instead could be the result of moraine instability and sediment 36 mobilization during the Bølling-Allerød periods (14.7 - 13 ka). Age control for future work should focus on features 37 that are not dependent on local climate.

## 38

# **39 1 Introduction**

Much glacial research over the last century has focused on the style and timing of Laurentide Ice Sheet (LIS) recession from the Great Lakes region of North America following the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, 26-19 ka; Dalton et al., 2020; Dyke, 2004; Fairchild, 1909). Well constrained ice sheet chronologies are necessary to determine**constrain** the timing of meltwater re-routing events from ice-dammed lakes that occupied the Great Lakes determine**constrain** the timing of meltwater re-routing events from ice-dammed lakes that occupied the Great Lakes ka; Dalton et al., 2018; Rayburn et al., 2007), as these events are hypothesized to have had significant climatic impacts (Broecker et al., 1989; Donnelly et al., 2005). Models that attempt to understand past climate change (Osman et al., 2021), ice responsibility (Briner et al., 2020), and atmospheric organization (Löfverström et al., 2014; Tulenko et al., 2020) all require paleo ice sheet configurations. Therefore, well-defined ice sheet retreat chronologies are critical for understanding dynamics and forcings within the late glacial climate system.

50 Despite the critical need for precise chronologies of ice margin retreat of the LIS in the Great Lakes region, 51 ice margin reconstructions in western New York lack detailed age control. Here, there are no local ages on the 52 terminal moraine and few from the recessional moraines (Muller and Calkin, 1993), leaving the deglacial 53 chronology to be largely based on correlations with dated moraines and proglacial shorelines to the west in Ohio and to the east in New York (Fullerton, 1980; Ridge, 2003). These correlations suggest that the western New York Kent 54 55 (terminal) and Lake Escarpment (recessional) moraines date to ~20 and 17 ka, respectively (Fig. 1). However, 56 Young et al. (2020) recently interpreted new and existing radiocarbon ages from western New York to support a= significant re-advance of the LIS-at ~13 ka that overtopped the Lake Escarpment Moraine and nearly reached the 57 58 Kent Moraine (Fig. 1). The evidence includes the re-interpretation of several unrelated sites throughout western New 59 York, but largely hinges on new trenched sediment sections near the Kent Moraine revealing logs in clayley 60 diamicton, which Young et al. (2020) suggest requires glacial overriding of a forest ~13.3 to 13.0 ka. In contrast to 61 Young et al.'s (2020) reconstruction, most literature places the LIS margin north of Lake Ontario at this time (Dalton 62 et al., 2020; Muller and Calkin, 1993; Terasmae, 1980; and references therein), with the drainage of Glacial Lake 63 Iroquois occurring at ~13 ka (Fig. 1; Cronin et al., 2012; Lewis and Anderson, 2019; Rayburn et al., 2005). To 64 reconcile the disagreement in timing between the hypothesized re-advance and existing chronologies, Young et al. 65 (2020) invoke a largely floating ice mass that left minimal traces of its existence in most areas. If a re-advance of the 66 scale hypothesized by Young et al. (2020) occurred (henceforth referred to as the 'Allerød re-advance hypothesis'), 67 there we would be a need to revisit many regional deglaciation chronologies.

To further constrain moraine ages in western New York and to test the Allerød re-advance hypothesis, we obtained 23 new macrofossil-based radiocarbon ages from five sediment cores collected on the Kent Moraine, and l8 new macrofossil-based radiocarbon ages from two sediment cores on the Lake Escarpment Moraine. The Lake Escarpment Moraine is within the extent of the proposed re-advance, so if basal ages from sites on this moraine pre-date ~13 ka, and the subsequent stratigraphy shows no evidence of a re-advance, then the evidence would refute the Allerød re-advance hypothesis. Conversely, basal radiocarbon ages that post-date ~13 ka, and/or evidence that the sediment stratigraphy is interrupted at ~13 ka, would support an Allerød re-advance. Additionally, we obtained two optically stimulated luminescence (OSL) ages from kame delta sediments associated with deposition of the Kent Moraine to provide a more complete understanding of deglaciation. Our results provide new chronological constraints in the western New York data gap, and do not support the ~13 ka re-advance proposed by Young et al. (2020). Rather, our data support a model of initial moraine deposition followed by thousands of years before kettle basin formation and final moraine stabilization.





81 Figure 1. Map depictions of the deglaciation of the eastern Great Lakes after the Last Glacial Maximum. Black line is the 82 Kent Moraine, modified from Dalton et al. (2020), the 'Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources 83 Late Wisconsin Glacial Border' (<u>https://www.pasda.psu.edu</u>), and the 'Quaternary Geology 500K - Glacial Boundary of 84 Ohio' (<u>https://gis.ohiodnr.gov</u>). Dark gray line is the 17 ka ice margin from Dalton et al. (2020) which depicts the Lake 85 Escarpment Moraine. Light gray line is the 15 ka ice margin from Dalton et al. (2020) which depicts the Marilla Moraine. 86 Glacial Lake Maumee and Whittlesey are included for general reference, and drawnerived with shoreline elevations 87 (Fisher et al., 2015). White line is the 13 ka ice margin from Dalton et al. (2020) and we estimated Glacial Lake Iroquois 88 using Bird and Kozlowski (2016). Red dashed line depicts a hypothesized ice sheet configuration to explain the hypothesis 89 presented in Young et al. (2020). Note that the LIS would dam a pro-glacial lake in the Lake Erie basin and overrun 90 several moraine belts, including the Lake Escarpment Moraine. Radiocarbon, cosmogenic nuclide, and OSL ages 91 discussed in the text are shown with approximate locations. Arrows indicate study sites are off the map extent. Panel 92 LGM: Glover et al. (2011), Corbett et al. (2017), Stanford et al. (2020), Balco et al. (2009), and Balco et al. (2002). Panel 17 93 ka: Fisher et al. (2015), Fritz et al. (1987), Kozlowski et al. (2018), and Ridge (2003). Panel 15 ka: Calkin and McAndrews 94 (1980). Panels 13 ka: Lewis and Anderson (2019), Rayburn et al. (2007), Richard and Occhietti (2005), and Young et al. 95 (2020). "DEM from U.S. Geological Survey's Center for Earth Resources Observations and Science (EROS).

96

# 97 2 Geologic Setting

The Kent Moraine in western New York is correlated to the Kent Moraine in northwest Ohio, the Olean
Moraine in Pennsylvania, the Harbor Hill Moraine in New Jersey, and the Martha's Vineyard Moraine in
Massachusetts (Fig. 1; Balco et al., 2002; Fullerton, 1980; Muller and Calkin, 1993; Stanford et al., 2020). Retreat
from the LGM moraine in these adjacent regions is dated to 19.8 ± 0.4 ka in Ohio (Glover et al., 2011), 25.2 ± 2.1 ka
(Corbett et al., 2017) and 23,200-23,750 cal yr BP in New Jersey (Stanford et al., 2020), and 25.5 ± 0.4 ka in
Massachusetts (Balco et al., 2009; Balco et al., 2002). Therefore, we infer that the Kent Moraine in western New
York was likely deposited sometime between 25 and 20 ka.

The first major moraine belts deposited after the maximum LGM position were the Ashtabula Moraine in Ohio and northwest Pennsylvania, the Lake Escarpment Moraine in western New York, and Valley Heads moraines in central New York (Fig. 1; Fullerton, 1980; Muller and Calkin, 1993). During this ice position, Glacial Lake Maumee occupied the Lake Erie basin around, and is dated to 17,000 - 16,000 cal ka BP basedy on radiocarbon dating at the paleo-outlet and OSL dating of strandlines (Calkin and Feenstra, 1985; Eschman and Karrow, 1985; Fisher et al., 2015). Ridge (2003) tied the outer and inner Valley Heads moraines to the New England Varve Lin Chronology, placing these moraines at 17,200 and 16,200 cal yr BP, respectively. Kozlowski et al. (2018) report basal ages of 14,300-14,900 and 14,200-14,850 cal yr BP from basins within the outer Valley Heads limit. These ages are younger than previous estimates, leading Kozlowski et al. (2018) to suggest the moraine may have been fit re-occupied. Fritz et al. (1987) Calkin and McAndrews (1980) report minimum-limiting radiocarbon ages of 13,750-15,250 cal yr BP from wood within lake deposits stratigraphically above outwash sands from Nichols Brook in western New York (Fig. 2). Muller and Calkin (1993) extrapolated their ages to estimate ~17,600 cal yr BP for the 117 emplacement of the outwash.

Following the deposition of the Lake Escarpment Moraine, Glacial Lakes Whittlesey and Warren occupied the Lake Erie basin between 16 and 14 ka (Fig. 1; Fullerton, 1980; Muller and Calkin, 1993). The lowering of Glacial Lake Whittlesey to Glacial Lake Warren is dated to 14,150-15,550 cal **yr** BP at Winter Gulf in western New York (Fig. 2; Calkin and McAndrews, 1980), and Warren strandlines in northwest Ohio have been dated to  $14.2 \pm$ 122 1.3 ka (Higley et al., 2014) and  $14.1 \pm 1.0$  ka in (Campbell et al., 2011). These proglacial lake chronologies provide unambiguous minimum age constraints of >15 ka for the deposition of the Lake Escarpment Moraine. The LIS continued its northward retreat and formed Glacial Lake Iroquois from 14.7 to 13.0 ka in the Lake 125 Ontario basin (Fig. 1; Muller and Calkin, 1993; Muller and Prest, 1985; Teller, 2003). The switch of the Glacial 126 Lake Iroquois spillway from the Mohawk River valley to the lower outlet at Covey Hill is constrained between 127 13,200 and 13,000 cal yr BP by numerous radiocarbon constraints from the pre- and post-flood histories of Lake 128 Vermont and Lake Iroquois (Lewis and Anderson, 2019; Rayburn et al., 2007; Richard and Occhietti, 2005). 129 Similarly, the formation of the Champlain Sea occurred between 13,100 and 12,700 cal yr BP, which post-dates the 130 final draining of Glacial Lake Iroquois and requires an ice margin north of the Lake Ontario outlet (Cronin et al., 131 2012; Rayburn et al., 2011). Collectively, this ice recession chronology is at odds with the Allerød re-advance 132 hypothesis, with its significant LIS advance across the Lake Ontario basin and to near the terminal moraine in 133 western New York ~13 ka (Fig. 1; Young et al., 2020).



134

135 Figure 2. Study sites in relation to previously published work. Black and gray lines are the same as in Fig. 1. Squares 1 136 and 2 depict hypothesized sites overrun by the Allerød re-advance at 13 ka (Young et al., 2020). Circles A-D are our sites 137 on the Kent Moraine. Circles E and F are our sites on the Lake Escarpment Moraine. Squares 3-6 are Winter Gulf and 138 Nichols Brook (Calkin and McAndrews, 1980), and Houghton and Protection Bog (Miller, 1973). The two black boxes 139 show the extent of the maps in Fig. 3. DEM from U.S. Geological Survey's Center for Earth Resources Observations and 140 Science (EROS).

#### 142 3 Methods

#### 143 3.1 Sediment cores

Our primary approach for constraining the timing of deglaciation and testing the Allerød re-advance hypothesis was obtaining basal sediment ages from kettles within the Kent and Lake Escarpment Moraines. Newly have available light detection and ranging (LiDAR)-based bare-Earth 1-m digital elevation models (DEMs) enabled us to have identify natural kettle basins (Fig. 3). Typically, moraines in western New York have both single ridges where the ice have been abutted higher topography, and hummocky moraine belts that contain numerous kettle basins. Kame deltas have exist in places where the ice sheet dammed adjacent river valleys. The hummocky nature of most moraines indicates that the moraines were ice-rich when deposited (Fig. 3).

We collected sediment cores from kettles that presently range from bogs to wetlands. We cored five sites on the Kent Moraine referred to as the Vincent-1 (core name: 20VIN1), Vincent-3 (20VIN3), Vincent-4 (20VIN4), Songster (21SONG1), and Allenberg (15ABB7) sites (Table 1, Fig. 3), and two sites on the Lake Escarpment Moraine referred to as the Little Protection (21LPB1) and Dragonfly (13DFK1) sites (Table 1, Fig. 3). All sites are swithin hummocky moraine.

We determined basin depocenters using thin steel rods to measure the depth of the organic sediment infill. Iso In the depocenter, Wwe used Livingstone- and Russian Peat-style corers to collect organic-rich sediment infill, and a manual percussion GeoProbe system to collect the underlying stiff, minerogenic sediments. From some sites, our sediment cores extended from the present surface to mineral-rich sediments below the organic-sediment infill; from downward until we penetrated coarse deposits (Table 1). We returned and cored the Vincent-1 and -4 sites multiple times to collect the entire sequence.

We split, imaged, and generated downcore data on all sediment cores at the University at Buffalo. We measured magnetic susceptibility in contiguous 1 cm intervals using a Bartington MS2E High Resolution Surface Scanning Sensor scanner connected to a Bartington MS2 Magnetic Susceptibility Meter to assess the minerogenic content. We calculated loss-on-ignition (LOI) percent by burning ~1 cm<sup>3</sup> of sediment in a Thermolyne Muffle Furnace at successively higher temperatures for water (105°C), organic carbon (550°C), and carbonate (950°C) content to help characterize the sediment units and depositional setting (Heiri et al., 2001; Last and Smol, 2001). To calculate composite core length, we spliced together overlapping sediment sections using visual lithologic changes and magnetic susceptibility measurements. We volumetrically sampled portions of the Little Protection sediment cores to determine sediment bulk density; these data are used to check for overcompaction during an Allerød re-advance. The data are only from Little Protection because Dragonfly data creation took place previous to Young at 1. (2020) and we did not measure bulk density.

We use radiocarbon dating of macrofossils for age control (Table 2). The sediments are organic-rich in the tropper portions of the cores and are organic-poor in the lower sections. Where available, we picked full plant tropper portions of the cores and are organic-poor in the center of the sediment core and demonstrably in-situ. In tropper portions is below to be the sediment with deionized water to isolate and combine the largest

178 macrofossil fragments for dating. We attempted to identify macrofossils, but some macrofossil fragments were small

179 and unidentifiable (Table 2). We rinsed samples with deionized water, freeze-dried them, and sent samples to the

180 National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (NOSAMS) or the Keck Lab at the University of

181 California Irvine (KCCAMS) for radiocarbon analysis. We submitted untreated macrofossils, therefore tThe

182 facilities conducted acid-base-acid (ABA) pretreatments, converted samples to graphite, and ran them on the AMS

183 (Elder et al., 2019; Olsson, 1986; Pearson et al., 1997; Shah Walter et al., 2015; Vogel et al., 1984).

In Table 2, wWe report the entire  $2\sigma$  age range and round ages according to Stuiver and Polach (1977)=

185 (Table 2)." We calibrated all the radiocarbon results using Calib8.1 with the IntCal20 dataset (Reimer et al., 2020;

186 Stuiver and Reimer, 1993). All radiocarbon ages in the text were recalibrated with IntCal20.  $\delta^{13}$ C measurements

187 were measured on a split of the CO<sub>2</sub> gas generated from each sample on an isotope-ratio mass spectrometer.

**188** Uncertainties in the  $\delta^{13}$ C from both labs are <0.1‰. We report  $\delta^{13}$ C values as ‰ VPDB.

#### 189

Site Name	Core	Latitude	Longitude	Elevation	Site Length	Core Top	Core Bottom	Property Ownership
	Name	נטטן	(00)	(m ası)	(m)	(m bg)	(m bg)	
Vincent 1	20VIN1	42.109	-79.000	596	145.0	0.0	6.6	Vincent Family
Vincent 3	20VIN3	42.110	-78.999	593	39.0	1.5	2.9	Vincent Family
Vincent 4	20VIN4	42.109	-78.999	594	81.0	3.1	5.4	Vincent Family
Songster	21SONG1	42.040	-79.079	581	172.0	4.1	4.8	Songster Family
Allenberg	15ABB7	42.252	-78.883	524	321.0	8.0	14.6	Buffalo Audubon Society
Little Protection	21LPB1	42.621	-78.463	440	228.0	0.0	8.1	Erie County Parks Dept.
Dragonfly	13DFK1	42.679	-78.386	450	117.0	0.0	7.3	Buffalo Audubon Society
Corbett Hill	÷	42.114	-78.946	530	-	-	-	JMI Corbett Hill Gravel

Table 1: Site location, core lengths, and ownership.

**DD: Decimal Degrees** 

asl: Above sea level

190 bg: Below ground



192 Figure 3. Site maps of the sediment core locations. 1-m bare-Earth DEM hillshade from <u>https://data.gis.ny.gov/</u> with the 193 Kent (black) and Lake Escarpment (gray) moraines. Open yellow circles depict study site location and yellow lines 194 associate each site location with a site map. Figure 4 contains the site map for the open yellow circle with no associated site 195 map. The filled circles indicate the type of coring device used in each site and the coring location. The filled yellow circles 196 depict where we used a Livingstone. The filled red circles depict where we used a Russian Peat Corer. The filled 197 semi-circles indicate where we used a Livingston or Russian Peat Corer in the soft sediment infill and then used the 198 GeoProbe in the stiff minerogenic sediment.

199

# 200 3.2 Optically stimulated luminescence dating

We collected sediment samples for OSL dating from topset beds within an ice-contact delta deposit 202 associated with the Kent Moraine to determine when the LIS was present at this location (Fig. 3 & 4). Our sample 203 location was Corbett Hill Gravel Quarry, an active aggregate quarry that exposes large sedimentary sequences 204 indicative of a proglacial delta. The sediments consisted of cobble-rich foreset beds overlain by  $\sim$ 3 m of 205 near-horizontal topset beds. We collected sand samples for OSL dating from the topset sequence  $\sim$ 2.1 m below the 206 delta surface. We created a fresh exposure of the topset beds with an excavator, exposing alternating layers of 207 gravels and coarse sands, with lenses of medium/fine-sand and silt. We collected two samples for OSL dating in 208 fine-sand lenses in 5.1 x 25.4 cm (2 x 10 inch) aluminum tubes after clearing back outer sediments (Fig. 4). Samples 209 for water content and dose rate determination were collected from surrounding sediments.

We processed the samples at the Utah State University Luminescence Laboratory for small aliquot OSL 210 211 dating of fine-grained quartz sand (Table 3, Table S♣1). First, we purified samples to 150-250 µm quartz sand using 212 wet sieving, and chemical treatment with 10% hydrochloric acid to remove carbonates, 5% peroxide to remove 213 organics, 2.72 g/cm<sup>3</sup> sodium polytungstate to remove heavy minerals and 48% hydrofluoric acid to remove feldspars 214 and etch the quartz grains. We analyzed small aliquots of quartz (0.4 to 1 mm diameter of sand mounted on disk, 215 ~10-20 grains) on Risø DA-20 readers, using the single-aliquot regenerative-dose (SAR) protocol (Murray and 216 Wintle, 2000). We analyzed 42 aliquots for sample 21SICK-01 and 37 for sample 21SICK-02, of which we used 21 217 and 23 aliquots for age calculations, respectively (Fig. 4A1 & A2S7). Aliquots were rejected from age calculation if **218** they showed signal depletion with infrared stimulation indicating feldspar contamination (0-12 aliquots), poor 219 recycling of a repeat point (greater than 80% difference between repeat points, 7-8 aliquots), high recuperation of a 220 zero-dose point (>10% of the Natural signal, 0-6 aliquots), extrapolation of the equivalent dose beyond the 221 dose-response curve (0-2 aliquots) and poor dose-response curve fit (0-3 of aliquots). We applied a minimum age 222 model (MAM) to the samples to calculate our equivalent does ( $D_F$ ; Grays; Gy, Fig. 4A1 & S7A2), as used by similar 223 studies on LIS glaciofluvial terraces elsewhere in the northern United States (Rittenour et al., 2015). MAM's are 224 useful in these glaciofluvial environments because of the increased potential for incomplete bleaching from 225 subglacial or turbid water sediment transport.

We determined the dose rate for OSL age calculation based on U, Th, K, and Rb concentrations from the surrounding sediments using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry and atomic emission spectrometry. Using the conversion factors of Guérin et al. (2011), we converted elemental concentrations to dose rate. The contribution of cosmic radiation was based on sample depth, elevation and latitude following Prescott and Hutton (1994). We also determined water content by measuring the mass of the samples before and after desiccation. With these three factors, we were able to calculate environmental dose rates (Gy/kyr). Our reported OSL ages are simply

232 the  $D_E$  (determined with the MAM) divided by the dose rate with 1 $\sigma$  standard error (Table 3). We report ages with 233 1 $\sigma$  uncertainty (Table 3).



235 Figure 4. Panel A) is a schematic of the kame delta creation. The LIS dammed a lake and deposited the delta outboard of 236 the Kent Moraine. B) is a 1-m DEM hillshade showing the kame delta outboard of the Kent Moraine (within the open 237 yellow circle in Fig. 3). Red dashed line depicts the extent of the Kent Moraine. Red arrows depict the sediment source for 238 the delta. Blue line and shading depicts the delta deposit. Yellow star on the side of the active quarry shows our sampling 239 site. C) shows a stratigraphic column of the topset beds. We use the FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic 240 Map Symbolization (U.S. Geological Survey). Yellow stars show our sampling location. D) is a field photo of the 241 stratigraphic section showing the location of our two samples. Equivalent dose (D<sub>E</sub>) distributions for the luminescence 242 samples collected from the kame delta associated with an ice-margin position near the Kent moraine. MAM = minimum 243 age model of Galbraith and Roberts (2012) fit to the D<sub>E</sub> data (gray shaded region). OD = overdispersion, a metric of D<sub>E</sub> 244 scatter beyond instrumental error, where OD > 30% is interpreted to be due to partial bleaching due to incomplete solar 245 resetting of the luminescence signals in the quartz grains.

# 246 247 **4** Results

#### 248 4.1 Stratigraphy and Radiocarbon Results

# 249 Vincent-1 (Kent Moraine)

The bottom 2.5 m is a gray massive pebbly diamicton with a silty matrix that we call Unit 1. We only 251 recovered Unit 1 at this study site and collected it with the Geoprobe system (Fig. 5). There is a sharp contact with 252 layered gray sand and silt that grades to alternating massive brown and gray silt with sparse macrofossils. We call 253 this Unit 2. There is a sharp contact with massive dark brown organic-rich silt that we call Lower Unit 3. In the 254 initial sediments of Lower Unit 3, there are three layers of gray silt and an inclusion of gray clay that are identical to 255 the sediment of Unit 2. There is a sharp contact with peat which continues to the top of the core that we call Upper 256 Unit 3. Broadly, this sediment progression is found in the other six sediment cores from both moraines so we use the 257 Unit 2 and 3 terminology for them as well. Figures 5a and 5b depict the downcore data. For the other six sediment 258 cores, magnetic susceptibility values are higher in Unit 2 than Unit 3, water and organic carbon content values are 259 lowest in Unit 2, rise in Lower Unit 3, and are highest in Upper Unit 3, and calcium carbonate remains below 8% in 260 all sediment cores so it is not plotted in Fig. 5.

Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1 show the ten radiocarbon ages from 20VIN1. The seven ages in Unit Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 1 show the ten radiocarbon ages from 20VIN1. The seven ages in Unit radio 2 are from combined macrofossils and have little stratigraphic order. The three ages in Unit 3, from single macrofossils, are in stratigraphic order. 20VIN1 has an age of 15,050-15,550 cal BP from the bottom of Unit 2, yet is stratigraphically below older ages from Unit 2 of 15,650-15,900, 15,800-16,150, and 16,050-16,300 cal BP. There is an inclusion of macrofossils at the Unit 2/3 contact that was dated twice and yields two radiocarbon ages from combined macrofossils of 19,350-19,600 and 14,050-14,850 cal BP; combined macrofossils from the surrounding sediment produce an age of 14,300-15,050 cal BP. *Picea* seeds from the top of Lower Unit 3 are 13,650-14,050 cal BP. There are two ages in the Upper Unit 3; a twig that dates to 13,150-13,300 and wood that dates to 8,390-8,520 cal BP.

# 270 Vincent-3 (Kent Moraine)

In 20VIN3, Unit 2 begins as gray silt, transitions to a light brown silt, and is topped by gray clay. The contact with Unit 3 is sharp. Unit 3 is massive organic-rich silt. There is a layer of gray silt in the base of Unit 3. There are two radiocarbon ages from 20VIN3 (Fig. 5; Fig. S2). The one age in Unit 2 is from combined macrofossils that date to 14,350 – 15,150 cal BP. The one age at the base of Unit 3 is from combined macrofossils and dates to 15,350 – 15,650 cal BP.

#### 276 Vincent-4 (Kent Moraine)

In 20VIN4, Unit 2 contains alternating layers of pebbly diamicton (with some clasts up to 5 cm long) and
silty clay. The contacts between the layers are sharp and one is undulating. Unit 3 is a massive organic-rich silt.
There are four radiocarbon ages from Unit 2 (Fig. 5; Fig. S3). The lowest age is from a piece of wood that dates to
14,250 – 15,000 cal BP. Then the next three ages are from combined macrofossils and date to 14,150 – 14,850,
14,300 – 14,900, and 14,300 – 14,900 cal BP.

#### 282 Songster (Kent Moraine)

In 21SONG1, Unit 2 is silty clay with pebbles. The contact with Unit 3 is sharp. Unit 3 begins with organic-rich silt with some sand and pebbles. Large macrofossils are common. This grades into organic-rich silt. Some radiocarbon age from a piece of wood in the bottom of Unit 3 dates to 14,350 – 15,050 cal BP (Fig. 5).

# 286 Allenberg (Kent Moraine)

In 15ABB7, we did not collect Unit 2. Lower Unit 3 is an organic-rich silt and Upper Unit 3 is peat. There are four ages from Unit 3 (Fig. 5; Fig. S4). These samples were not identified at the time of dating. The lowest age is 13,800 – 14,050 cal BP. The next 3 ages are in stratigraphic order and range from 12,700 – 12,850 to 795 – 920 cal BP.

#### 291 Little Protection (Lake Escarpment Moraine)

In 21LPB1, Unit 2 begins with 2 cm of gray silty gravel before a sharp contact with massive, oxidized sand 293 and gravel. Above this is a sharp transition to alternating layers of gray silt, silty gravel, and sand; these layers have 294 sharp and sometimes undulating contacts. That is overlain by massive gray clay. The contact with Unit 3 is gradual 295 over 3 cm. Lower Unit 3 is an organic-rich silt and Upper Unit 3 is peat. There is one radiocarbon age from Unit 2 296 on a fish bone that dates to 16,650 - 17,350 cal BP. There are eight radiocarbon ages from Unit 3 (Fig. 5; Fig. S6). 297 The lowest age is from a piece of wood that dates to 13,600 - 14,000 cal BP. The next seven are in stratigraphic 298 order and range from 13,350 - 13,600 to 5,580 - 5,650 cal BP. To address the Allerød re-advance hypothesis and 299 seek evidence of whether the coring sites were overridden, we measured dry bulk density at 1-cm-resolution through 300 the time interval of hypothesized re-advance. The bulk density decreases from 1.55 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to 0.42 g/cm<sup>3</sup> in the 301 transition from Unit 2 to 3. (Fig. 5) The density decreases due to the transition from minerogenic silt to organic-rich 302 silt and remains below 0.42 g/cm<sup>3</sup> into Unit 3.

# 303 Dragonfly (Lake Escarpment Moraine)

In 13DFK1, Unit 2 is gray silt. The contact with Unit 3 is sharp. Lower Unit 3 is an organic-rich silt and Upper Unit 3 is peat. There are nine radiocarbon ages from Unit 3 (Fig. 5; Fig. S5). The lowest age is from grass and dates to 15,000 – 15,400 cal BP. The next eight radiocarbon ages are in stratigraphic order and range from 13,800 – 14,000 to 4,420 – 4,800 cal BP. The sediment cores contain three stratigraphic units: a basal unit (Unit 1) of diamicton, an intermediate unit 309 (Unit 2) dominated by silt and sand, and an upper unit (Unit 3) of organic-rich silt and peat (Fig. 5). We only 310 recovered Unit 1 in 20VIN1 using the Geoprobe system (Fig. 5). Unit 1 is a gray massive pebbly diamicton with a

311 silty matrix. The contact with Unit 2 is sharp.¶

We collected varying thicknesses of Unit 2 (Fig. 5). Unit 2 is mineral-rich layers with complex stratigraphy and sparse macrofossil fragments. In 20VIN1, Unit 2 is layered gray sand and silt that grades to alternating massive brown and gray silt (Fig. 5). In 20VIN3, Unit 2 begins as gray silt, transitions to a light brown silt, and is topped by gray elay. In 20VIN4, Unit 2 contains alternating layers of pebbly diamieton (with some clasts up to 5 cm long) and silt yelay. The contacts between the layers are sharp and one is undulating. In 21LPB1, Unit 2 begins with 2 cm of gray silty gravel before a sharp contact with massive, oxidized sand and gravel. Above this is a sharp transition to alternating layers of gray silt, silty gravel, and sand; these layers have sharp and sometimes undulating contacts. That is overlain by massive gray elay. In 13DFK1, Unit 2 is gray silt. The contact between Unit 2 and 3 is sharp in 20 all cores. ¶

323 within the initial sediments of Unit 3, there are three layers of gray silt and an inclusion of gray clay that are

324 identical to the sediment of Unit 2. Similarly, 20VIN3 has a layer of gray silt within the initial organic-rich silt. The

325 organic-rich silt and peat have high organic carbon content and large macrofossils are common.¶

326 To address the Allerød re-advance hypothesis and seek evidence of whether the kettle sediments were

327 overridden, we measured dry bulk density at 1-cm-resolution through the time interval of hypothesized re-advance-

328 in our Little Protection site core (21LPB1). The bulk density decreases from 1.55 g/cm<sup>3</sup> to 0.42 g/cm<sup>3</sup> in the

329 transition from Unit 2 to 3. (Fig. 5) The density decreases due to the transition from minerogenic silt to organic-rich

330 silt and remains below 0.42 g/cm<sup>3</sup> into Unit 3.







335 Figure 5. Panel A) has the sediment core stratigraphy from the Kent Moraine sites, and B) has the sediment 336 core stratigraphy from the Lake Escarpment Moraine sites. We show sediment texture next to the core 337 images using the FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization (U.S. Geological 338 Survey). We plot magnetic susceptibility (CGS; black line), water content (weight %; blue line), and organic 339 content (weight %, green line) by composite depth (cm). The colored line next to the stratigraphic column 340 depicts if we used the Russian Peat Corer (red), Livingstone Corer (yellow), or GeoProbe (blue). Stars 341 indicate single macrofossils and circles indicate when we combined macrofossils. The yellow filling indicates 342 the sample is terrestrial and blue indicates the sample has aquatic macrofossils within it. <del>Yellow stars indicate</del> 343 **R**radiocarbon ages presented as the full (2σ range inuncertainty cal yr BP). We used gray text and italics for 344 radiocarbon ages we suspect have hardwater contamination. C) is a close-up image of the inferred gray clay 345 and macrofossil-rich rip-up clasts in the transition from Unit 2 to 3 in 20VIN1 (shown in red box). The black 346 box has post-sieve macrofossils from the rip-up clast in the red box.

347

# 348 4.2 Sediment core chronology

349 The stratigraphically lowest ages, or basal ages, from the Kent Moraine range from 15,050-15,550 to

350 13,800-14,050 cal yr BP (Table 2; Fig. 5). For 20VIN3, 20VIN4, and 21SONG1, the basal ages cluster around

351 14,700 cal yr BP. The basal ages from the Lake Escarpment Moraine are 15,000-15,400 and 16,650-17,350 cal yr

352 BP. The basal ages are not the oldest ages, however. 20VIN1 has a basal age of 15,050-15,550 cal yr BP, yet is-

- 353 stratigraphically below other ages from Unit 2 of 15,650-15,900, 15,800-16,150, and 16,050-16,300 cal yr BP.
- 354 Furthermore, 110 cm higher than the basal age, there is an inclusion of macrofossils within Unit 2 that was dated
- 355 twice and yields two radiocarbon ages of 19,350-19,600 and 14,050-14,850 cal yr BP; combined macrofossils from
- 356 the surrounding sediment produce an age of 14,300-15,050 cal yr BP. In 20VIN3, the basal age is 14,350-15,150 cal
- 357 yr BP, yet combined macrofossils higher in the core, at the Unit 2/3 boundary, produce an age of 15,350-15,650 cal-
- 358 <del>yr BP.</del>
- 359

Lab Code	Depth (cm)	Material Dated	Mass (mg)	δ <sup>13</sup> C‰	Fraction Modern	Fraction Modern Error	<sup>14</sup> С (ВР)	<sup>14</sup> C error (BP)	2 σ age range	(cal BP)
incent-1 (20VIN1)										
OS-164770	27.3	Wood	5.8	-26.5	0.3854	0.0015	7,660	30	8,390 8,520	88%
OS-164771	67.3	Twig	5.8	-28.7	0.2441	0.0015	11,350	50	13,150 13,300	97%
OS-164772	109.5-111.0	Picea seeds	75.7	-23.0	0.2263	0.0016	11,950	55	13,650 13,700	6%
									13,750 14,050	91%
OS-164773	145.0	Unidentifiable	2.3	-24.3	0.2105	0.0016	12,500	60	14,300 14,750	26%
									14,750 15,050	44%
UCIAMS-239749	145.2	Moss, unidentifiable	2.4	-28.3	0.1342	0.0007	16,135	45	<sup>a</sup> 19,350 19,600	100%
OS-164808	145.2	Moss, unidentifiable	2.4	-26.8	0.2169	0.0019	12,300	70	14,050 14,550	84%
									14,700 14,850	16%
UCIAMS-239748	181.0-182.5	Drepanocladus,	6.8	-19.4	0.1949	0.0006	13,135	30	<sup>a</sup> 15,650 15,900	100%
		Paludella squarrosa,								
		Potomegeton, unidentifiable								
UCIAMS-239746	185.5-188.5	Moss, Potomegeton,	2.1	-14.6	0.1875	0.0006	13,450	25	<sup>a</sup> 16,050 16,300	100%
		unidentifiable								
UCIAMS-239745	239.0-241.5	Unidentifiable	2.5	NA	0.1910	0.0009	13,300	40	<sup>a</sup> 15,800 16,150	100%
OS-162874	255.0	Moss, unidentifiable	2.5	-26.6	0.2029	0.0020	12,800	80	15,050 15,550	100%
ncent-3 (20VIN3)										
UCIAMS-239753	34.5-35.5	Chara, unidentifiable	25.8	-15.3	0.1988	0.0006	12,980	25	<sup>a</sup> 15,350 15,650	100%
OS-162873	146.5-152.0	Unidentifiable	2.1	-26.6	0.2100	0.0020	12,550	75	14,350 14,750	37%
ncent-4 (20VIN4)									14,800 15,150	63%
UCIAMS-239752	17.0-18.0	Beetle wing,	5.1	-24.9	0.2126	0.0007	12,435	30	14,300 14,900	100%
		<i>Cladocera, Chara,</i> unidentifiable								
UCIAMS-239751	87.0-88.0	Unidentifiable	39.2	NA	0.2127	0.0010	12,435	40	14,300 14,900	100%
UCIAMS-239750	97.5-98.8	Unidentifiable	6.3	AN	0.2150	0.0011	12,350	45	14,150 14,550 14,700 14,850	76% 24%

OS-162875	174.0-175.0	Twig	2.0	-28.0	0.2120	0.0019	12,450	75	14,250	15,000	100%
OS-160884	39.3	Bark (likely <i>Picea</i> )	6.4	NA	0.2107	0.0015	12,500	55	14,350	14,750	55%
									14,750	15,050	45%
lenberg (15ABB/)				0.00		01000	110	00		120	TOOL
0S-123347	971.0	Not identified	NA	-26.0	0.8877	0.0019	955	20	795	875	%61
									895	920	19%
0S-123426	1178.0	Not identified	NA	-27.1	0.4580	0.0018	6,270	30	7,160	7,270	%96
OS-123427	1295.0	Not identified	NA	-26.8	0.2607	0.0020	10,800	60	12,700	12,850	100%
0S-123348	1456.0	Not identified	NA	-24.6	0.2227	0.0012	12,050	40	13,800	14,050	100%
tle Protection (21	LPB1)										
OS-163424	53.7	Wood	4.6	-24.6	0.5464	0.0014	4,860	20	5,580	5,600	89%
									5,640	5,650	6%
OS-163425	141.0	Wood	15.6	-28.3	0.4549	0.0017	6,330	30	7,170	7,220	44%
									7,240	7,320	56%
OS-163426	198.5	Wood	39.7	-28.0	0.4376	0.0013	6,640	25	7,470	7,570	6%
OS-163427	320.5	Potomegeton	5.4	-17.6	0.3295	0.0012	8,920	30	<sup>a</sup> 9,910	10,100	66%
									10,100	10,200	34%
OS-163428	423.0	Seed pod	5.8	-28.0	0.3034	0.0015	9,580	40	10,750	11,100	%66
OS-163517	472.2	Picea cone	5.5	-25.7	0.2512	0.0016	11,100	50	12,900	13,100	100%
OS-163500	481.0	Wood	32.9	-27.0	0.2346	0.0017	11,650	60	13,350	13,600	100%
OS-163501	493.0	Wood	3.0	-27.3	0.2277	0.0015	11,900	55	13,600	13,850	89%
									13,950	14,000	11%
0S-163429 agonfly (13DFK1)	511.0	Fish bone	11.0	-26.5	0.1749	0.0023	14,000	110	<sup>a</sup> 16,650	17,350	100%
OS-106743	25.2	Twig	NA	-22.8	0.6025	0.0031	4.070	40	4.420	4.650	82%
		5							4,760	4,800	13%
OS-106745	194.9	Moss stems	NA	-26.6	0.3381	0.0016	8,710	40	9,550	9,780	95%
OS-106746	362.4	Moss stems	NA	-25.0	0.3157	0.0017	9,260	45	10,300	10,550	100%
OS-133658	431.5	Leaf	NA	-25.7	0.2729	0.0015	10,450	45	12,100	12,400	55%
									12,400	12,500	19%
									12,550	12,600	26%
OS-106747	453.5	Twig	NA	-25.9	0.2704	0.0017	10,500	50	12,200	12,250	5%
							0	ontinued			

05-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       4%         05-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       88%         05-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       88%         05-106863       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,100       12%         05-106863       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,100       12%         05-106863       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.7       0.22220       0.0011       11,350       35       13,100       100%         05-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -35.4       0.2048       0.0014       12,750       15,400       100%	05-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       12,350       12,350       4%         05-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       8%         05-106863       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       50       13,300       12%         05-133660       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.7       0.2220       0.0011       11,350       13,300       12%         05-133660       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.7       0.2220       0.0011       11,350       13,300       12%         05-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -35.4       0.20148       12,750       55       13,300       100%         *Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effect       NA       -32,750       56       13,700       15,400       100%         NA 6 <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.       NA 6 <sup>12</sup> C; Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.       NA 6 <sup>12</sup> C; Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.											
05-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       88%         05-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       50       13,100       13,250       88%         05-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       100%         05-133660       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.7       0.22220       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       100%         05-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -35.4       0.2048       0.0014       12,750       55       15,000       15,400       100%											12,250 12,300	%9
OS-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       88%         OS-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       50       13,100       13,300       12%         OS-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       100%         OS-133660       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.7       0.22220       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       14,100       100%         OS-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -35.4       0.2048       0.0014       12,750       55       15,000       15,400       100%	O5-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,200       84%         O5-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       12%         O5-106863       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       12%         O5-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -25.7       0.2220       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       100%         O5-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -35.4       0.2048       0.0014       12,750       55       13,300       100%         NA: Not Available.       NA δ <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.       NA stor Arrencoded       12,750       55       15,000       15,400       100%										12,300 12,350	4%
OS-133659         482.5         Wood         NA         -25.6         0.2447         0.0016         11,300         50         13,100         13,250         88%           OS-106863         524.6         Twig         NA         -25.3         0.2428         0.0011         11,350         35         13,300         13,300         12%           OS-106863         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.3         0.2428         0.0011         11,350         35         13,300         100%           OS-133660         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.7         0.22220         0.0015         12,100         55         13,800         14,100         100%           OS-107085         567.6         Grass         NA         -35.4         0.2048         0.0014         12,750         55         15,000         15,400         100%	OS-133659       482.5       Wood       NA       -25.6       0.2447       0.0016       11,300       50       13,100       13,250       88%         OS-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,300       12%         OS-106863       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,150       100%         OS-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -25.7       0.2220       0.0015       12,100       55       13,300       100%         OS-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -35.4       0.2048       0.0014       12,750       55       13,300       100%         *Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effect       NA: Not Available.       NA δ <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.       NA stronchool       12,750       55       15,000       15,400       100%										12,450 12,700	84%
OS-106863         524.6         Twig         NA         -25.3         0.2428         0.0011         11,350         35         13,150         13,300         12%           OS-133660         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.7         0.2220         0.0015         11,350         35         13,300         14,100         100%           OS-133660         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.7         0.2220         0.0015         12,100         55         13,800         14,100         100%           OS-107085         567.6         Grass         NA         -35.4         0.2048         0.0014         12,750         55         15,000         15,400         100%	O5-106863       524.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,150       13,300       10%         O5-133660       541.6       Twig       NA       -25.3       0.2428       0.0011       11,350       35       13,150       13,300       100%         O5-107085       567.6       Grass       NA       -25.7       0.2220       0.0015       12,100       55       14,100       100%         * Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effect       NA       -35.4       0.2048       0.0014       12,750       55       15,000       15,400       100%         *NA: Not Available.       NA       61 <sup>3</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.       A.	OS-133659	482.5	Wood	NA	-25.6	0.2447	0.0016	11,300	50	13,100 13,250	88%
OS-106863         524.6         Twig         NA         -25.3         0.2428         0.0011         11,350         35         13,150         13,300         100%           OS-133660         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.7         0.2220         0.0015         12,100         55         13,800         14,100         100%           OS-107085         567.6         Grass         NA         -35.4         0.2048         0.0014         12,750         55         15,000         15,400         100%	O5-106863         524.6         Twig         NA         -25.3         0.2428         0.0011         11,350         35         13,150         13,300         100%           O5-133660         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.7         0.2220         0.0015         12,100         55         13,800         14,100         100%           O5-107085         567.6         Grass         NA         -35.4         0.2048         0.0014         12,750         55         15,000         15,400         100% <sup>a</sup> Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effect         NA: Not Available.         NA $\delta^{13}$ C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.         NA $Mascer NA recorded$ NA $mascer NA recorded$ NA $mascer NA recorded$ NA $mascer NA recorded$										13,250 13,300	12%
OS-133660 541.6 Twig NA -25.7 0.2220 0.0015 12,100 55 13,800 14,100 100% OS-107085 567.6 Grass NA -35.4 0.2048 0.0014 12,750 55 15,000 15,400 100%	OS-133660         541.6         Twig         NA         -25.7         0.2220         0.0015         12,100         55         13,800         14,100         100%           0S-107085         567.6         Grass         NA         -35.4         0.2048         0.0014         12,750         55         15,000         15,400         100% <sup>a</sup> Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effect         NA: Not Available.         NA         8. <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.         NA δ <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.	OS-106863	524.6	Twig	NA	-25.3	0.2428	0.0011	11,350	35	13,150 13,300	100%
OS-107085 567.6 Grass NA -35.4 0.2048 0.0014 12,750 55 15,000 15,400 100%	OS-107085567.6GrassNA-35.40.20480.001412,7505515,00015,400100% <sup>a</sup> Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effectNA: Not Available.NA $\delta^{13}$ C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.NA mass. NA recorded	OS-133660	541.6	Twig	NA	-25.7	0.2220	0.0015	12,100	55	13,800 14,100	100%
	<sup>a</sup> Samples not used in the discussion due to possible hardwater effect NA: Not Available. NA δ <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.	OS-107085	567.6	Grass	NA	-35.4	0.2048	0.0014	12,750	55	15,000 15,400	100%
	NA $\delta^{13}$ C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested. Not masses have recorded	NA: Not Available.										
NA: Not Available.	NA Mass. Not recorded	NA δ <sup>13</sup> C: Sample	e was either to	o small or the measu	rement was n	ot request	ed.					
NA: Not Available. NA δ <sup>13</sup> C: Sample was either too small or the measurement was not requested.		NA Mass. Not re	scorded									

# 366 4.23 Optically stimulated luminescence dating

Our small-aliquot D<sub>e</sub> results from both 21SICK-01 and -02 show evidence of partial bleaching, as expected in a glaciofluvial environment (Table 3; AFig. 1 & 2; Rittenour et al., 2015). D<sub>e</sub> results from the two samples are considerably scattered, positively skewed, and have overdispersion values between ~30 and ~60%, all indicative of incomplete bleaching and justify the use of the MAM (e.g., Olley et al. (1999)). Our two OSL MAM ages are 19.8 ± 2.6 and 20.6 ± 2.9 ka. The two samples are from within 10 cm of each other and yield statistically indistinguishable are ages.

Table 3	Ontically	Stimulated	Luminescence	Age	Information
Table J.	optically	Junualeu	Lummescence	Age	mormation

Sample num.	USU num.	Depth (m)	Num. of Analyses <sup>1</sup>	Dose Rate (Gy/kyr)	Equivalent Dose <sup>2</sup> ± 2σ (Gy)	OSL Age ± 1σ (ka)
21-SICK-1	USU-3622	2.05	21 (42)	2.70 ± 0.11	53.55 ± 11.51	19.82 ± 2.60
21-SICK-2	USU-3623	2.15	23 (37)	2.23 ± 0.09	46.09 ± 10.07	20.63 ± 2.91

<sup>1</sup> Age analysis using the single-aliquot regenerative-dose procedure of Murray and Wintle (2000) on 0.4-1-mm small-aliquots (SA) of quartz sand (150-250 µm). Number of aliquots used in age calculation and number of aliquots in parentheses.

<sup>2</sup> Equivalent dose (DE) calculated using the Minimum Age Model (MAM) of Galbraith and Roberts (2012).

# 373

#### 374

## 375 5 Discussion

### 376 5.1 Stratigraphy

We interpret Unit 1 as the primary till that comprises the Kent Moraine. At the Vincent-1 (20VIN1) site we reached below the wetland surface (2.5 m), but only recovered 1.2 m due to compaction with the Break GeoProbe system. We assume we reached below the post-glacial infill and into the primary glacial deposit since this we unit spans 2.5 m and we found no changes in stratigraphy (Fig. 5).

Given the hummocky nature of the moraines (Fig. 3), and the complex stratigraphy within Unit 2 (Fig. 5), and the similarity between Unit 2 from all sediment cores, we interpret this unit to record the transition from an ice-cored moraine to the modern kettled topography for both moraines. The most striking feature of Unit 2 2 sediment cores are the numerous transitions between fine- and coarse-grained deposition. We interpret Unit 2 silt and clay as being settled out of suspension in lacustrine conditions, indicating that all seven basins likely held small kettle lakes of shifting dimension during this period. We propose that the alternating clay and diamicton sediments captured in 20VIN4, on the Kent Moraine, are slumps of primary till into the kettle lake with otherwise clay-rich sedimentation; these slumps potentiallyprobably occurred as buried glacial ice melted and destabilized the basin's sedimentation; these slumps potentiallyprobably occurred as buried glacial ice melted and destabilized the basin's and processes the changing depositional environments on the moraine as the kettle formed. Higher energy deposits of sand and gravel at the base of the unit were likely deposited atop the ice-cored moraine in fluvial or shallow water

392 settings before being redeposited, in stratigraphic position, by the melting of buried ice beneath them. These 393 sediments then floored the new kettle lake and deposition of lacustrine silt began.

The transition in sediment type between Units 2 and 3 likely reflects a shift to a more vegetation growing in solution in sediment type between Units 2 and 3 likely reflects a shift to a more vegetation growing in layers of minerogenic sediment in the bottom of Unit 3 in 20VIN1 & 20VIN3 show that the landscape continued to receive sediment from primary glacial deposits after the transition to more organic-rich deposition. We infer the minerogenic sediments in the transition zone that the (inclusions of gray clay and brown silty macrofossils in 20VIN1) are rip-up clasts by their clast-like appearance and stark contrast to the surrounding sediment (Fig 5; Panel C). They were potentially frozen during the time of deposition. This further suggests the presence of reworked material near the Unit 2/3 transition. The subsequent transition from lacustrine organic-rich silt to peat (Lower and Upper Unit 3, respectively) records the shift from lake to bog/wetland due to the filling of the basin, shallowing of the lake, and encroachment of the shoreline.

404

## 405 5.2 Chronology

The OSL ages support our estimated age of 25 - 20 ka for the Kent Moraine from prior literature and 407 affirms our confidence in the age assignments using correlations of dated features elsewhere. The OSL samples are 408 from 2 m below the surface of the ~70 m thick kame delta. The sample location within the topset beds of a 409 short-lived ice-contact delta suggests that our OSL samples constrain the time just before the ice sheet retreated and 410 ceased building the delta  $19.8 \pm 2.6 - 20.6 \pm 2.9$  ka. The OSL ages support the estimated age of 25 - 20 ka for the 411 Kent Moraine from prior literature and affirms our confidence in the age assignments using correlations of dated 412 features elsewhere (Balco et al., 2009; Balco et al., 2002; Corbett et al., 2017; Glover et al., 2011; Stanford et al., 413 2020).¶

The basal ages, taken at face value, indicate the deposition of the Kent Moraine occurred prior toshortlytoshortly before -15 ka; this does not agree with our OSL age or the regional correlations. Furthermore, a Kent Moraine age of -15 ka contradicts the -17 ka age for the Lake Escarpment Moraine, which lies up ice flow from the Kent-Moraine. The above information, combined with our evidence for an unstable landscape depicted from our sediment core stratigraphy and numerous age reversals, suggests that our radiocarbon ages from Unit 2 consist of organicmaterial that was reworked into these kettles during kettle formation.

We have identified spores and seeds of aquatic plants *Chara* and *Potamogeton* (O. Bennike, personal communication) among the macrofossils from samples dating to 15,800 - 16,150, 16,050-16,300 and 15,650-15,900 cal yr-BP from 20VIN1 and the sample dating to 15,350-16,650 cal yr-BP from 20VIN3. These macrofossil samples also have enriched  $\delta^{13}$ C values, suggesting they<del>at these samples</del> contained aquatic material (except 15,800 - 16,150, which was too small for a  $\delta^{13}$ C measurement; Deuser and Degens, 1967; Oana and Deevey, 1960; Wang and Vooller, 2006). Our sites lie within calcareous tills that overlie sedimentary bedrock (LaFleur, 1979; MacClintock and Apfel, 1944), which can add aged carbon to the lake water. Aquatic plants derive their carbon from lake water, so radiocarbon ages from aquatic plants could produce radiocarbon ages that overestimate the age of the material 428 (the 'hardwater effect'; Deevey et al., 1954; Keeley and Sandquist, 1992). The lowest sample in 21LPB1 is from a 429 fish bone (16,650 - 17,350 cal BP); a fish could be susceptible to the same hardwater effect as aquatic vegetation, 430 and thus we do not use it in our evaluation. We move forward using samples assumed to be terrestrial from a lack of 431 identifiable aquatic macrofossils and supported by  $\delta^{13}$ C values.

We move forward using samples assumed to be terrestrial from a lack of identifiable aquatic macrofossils visual identification and supported by  $\delta^{13}$ C values. Four ages from 20VIN1 Unit 2 remain: 19,350–19,600, 15,050–15,550, 14,300–15,050, and 14,050–14,850 cal yr BP. We limit the 20VIN3 chronology to one trustworthy age of 14,350–15,150 cal yr BP from Unit 2. TWe derived the age of 16,650–17,350 cal yr BP in 21LPB1 from a fish bone; a fish could be susceptible to the same hardwater effect as aquatic vegetation, and thus we do not use it in our evaluation. Instead, we use the next lowest age of 13,600–14,000 cal yr BP as the basal age, along with 15,000–15,400 cal yr BP from 13DFK1.

The Unit 2 ages are trustworthy as minimum-limiting constraints on moraine abandonment, but we find the evidence for slumps and rip-up clasts in Unit 2, plus the stratigraphic discordance in radiocarbon ages, reason to due to be more trustworthy still exhibit age reversals. These ages support our interpretation from the visual stratigraphy that reworked sediments contain organic matter that does not accurately date to the sediment's deposition. The Our oldest minimum-limiting constraint from Unit 2 is from the macrofossil-rich rip-up clast in 20VIN1 on the Kent Moraine, which holds evidence for two important interpretations: 1) the landscape was ice-free and at least sparsely vegetated as early as 19,350-19,600 cal yr-BP, (consistent with our OSL ages suggesting ice suggesting ice the sediment by 19.8  $\pm$  2.6 - 20.6  $\pm$  2.9 ka), and 2) the landscape stored this long-dead vegetation for thousands of the years before it was re-deposited. This age also bolsters our confidence that the MAM is working well in our study area.

We use the lowest ages in Unit 3 as minimum-limits on the timing of kettle formation and moraine 451 stabilization. The lowest ages from Unit 3 from the Kent Moraine range from 13,650 - 14,050 (20VIN1) to 14,350 -452 15,050 (21SONG1) cal BP. The lowest ages from Unit 3 from the Lake Escarpment Moraine are 13,600 - 14,000 453 (21LPB1) to 15,000 - 15,400 (13DFK1) cal BP. The range of ages shows the kettles formed through the interval of 454 13,600 to 15,400 cal BP, reflecting the time the moraines stabilized. This shows both moraines stabilized at the same 455 time, even though they are likely several thousands years different in age. Using our OSL ages and 456 minimum-limiting radiocarbon age from Unit 2 to estimate the deposition of the Kent Moraine before 19,350 -457 19,600 cal yr BP, there appears to be a 5 kyr lag time between moraine deposition and stabilization.

Since we do not trust that radiocarbon ages from Unit 2 accurately date the time of sediment deposition, 459 and the moraine ages are incompatible with regional correlations, we do Since we do not interpret our lowest, basal 460 ages to record the timing of ice recession and abandonment of the moraines. Instead, we interpret these younger than 461 expected ages to record kettle basin formation and moraine stabilization for both the Kent and Lake Escarpment 462 moraines between 15,000-15,4000 and 13,600-14,000 cal yr BP. This interpretation also reconciles the similar basal 463 ages between both moraines that are likely several thousand years different in age.

### 465 5.3 A model for kettle basin formation

We propose the following post-glacial history in western New York (Fig. 6). The deposition of the Kent Moraine occurred at least  $19.8 \pm 2.6 - 20.6 \pm 2.9$  ka and the landform remained ice-cored for the ensuing 5 - 6 kyr. He deposition of the Lake Escarpment Moraine took place around 17 ka and likewise remained ice-cored for the next 2 - 3 kyr. The hummocky nature of the moraines indicate that they were ice-cored, and we suggest that persistent buried glacial ice prohibited stabilization until well after deposition. Our interpretation is that after ~15 ka the uneven ice began to melt, and morainal topography – including kettle basins – began to evolve more rapidly (Fig. 6 the uneven ice-rich topography. These initial sediments contained both re-worked and contemporary organic matter from the catchment and were deposited in our study sites as Unit 2. According to this interpretation, our radiocarbon ages from Unit 2 could reflect plant death anytime between moraine deposition and kettle basin stabilization. The 13,750 - 15,250 cal BP wood age from basal lake sediments in Nichols Brook is likely another example of delayed to 14,78 stabilization -15 to 14 ka.

479 Ice-cored mMoraines can remain ice-cored for thousands of years after deposition due to sediment cover 480 that insulates and preserves the buried ice (Florin and Wright, 1969). If the region is cold enough to support 481 permafrost it may extend the duration that the moraine remains ice-cored (Clayton et al., 2001; Henriksen et al., 482 2003; Schomacker, 2008). Given that the kettles appear to have formed within ~1 kyr of each other, and their 483 formation coincided with the warm Bølling/Allerød period, this suggests the climate during Heinrich Stadial 1 may 484 have been cold enough to help preserve the ice.



487 Figure 6. Conceptual model of kettle basin formation of the Kent Moraine in western New York building on Florin and 488 Wright (1969). The same model applies to the Lake Escarpment moraine, except the timeline begins ~17 ka. First, the LIS 489 deposited the ice-cored Kent Moraine. It remained ice-cored, perhaps influenced by permafrost, while tundra vegetation 490 grew atop the moraine and stored carbon in the soil. Next, during climate amelioration in the Bølling-Allerød periods, the 491 ice in the moraine melted. This led to the formation of basins that filled with both contemporaneous and reworked 492 sediments. This is also likely the time when trees and other organic material could be slumped and formed deposits that 493 placed primary tills adjacent to younger material. Finally, organic-rich sediment deposition dominates after ~13.8 ka.

494

#### 495 5.4 Implications for the climate in western New York

The climate of western New York between 20 and 15 ka is poorly known, but records from Ontario, Ohio, 497 and New England suggest the climate events of the North Atlantic influenced the northeastern U.S. These terrestrial 498 climate reconstructions depict a cold Heinrich Stadial 1 (~18 to ~14.7 ka), a shift to warmer temperatures during the 499 Bølling-Allerød, and a cool Younger Dryas (Gill et al., 2012; Gonzales and Grimm, 2009; Grigg et al., 2021; 500 Shuman et al., 2002; Watson et al., 2018; Yu, 2007; Yu and Eicher, 1998). A stable Heinrich Stadial 1 and shift to 501 warmer temperatures during the Bølling-Allerød is shown by Watson et al. (2018), who used biomarkers
502 (branched-GDGTs) to report that mean annual temperature in central Ohio varied between -2.0 and -0.5 °C from
503 17.0 to 14.5 ka before warming 5°C between 14.5 and 13.0 ka.

The rate of LIS retreat offers additional insight into the climate in the northeast US. Barth et al. (2019) used 505 cosmogenic nuclide dating of glacially-transported boulders to estimate LIS thinning in the Adirondack Mountains 506 and showed increased thinning between  $15.4 \pm 1.0$  and  $13.9 \pm 0.9$  ka, generally coincident with the Bølling. The 507 New England Varve Chronology shows a relatively steady net retreat rate of the LIS through the Hudson Valley 508 between 18 and 14.7 ka; during the Bølling the net retreat rate tripled, implying that New England experienced 509 elevated warmth at that time (Ridge et al., 2012).

Ice-wedge casts can be used to identify areas that experienced past permafrost and constrain past temperature because their formation requires mean annual temperatures between -6 to -8°C (French, 2007; French and Miller, 2014). Ice-wedge casts are preserved in southern Ontario that were deposited 18-15 ka based on regional correlations (Dalton et al., 2020; Gao, 2005; Morgan et al., 1982). This suggests that the mean annual air temperature was low enough near our study site during Heinrich Stadial 1 to support permafrost. While this field temperature depression is larger than reported by Watson et al. (2018), it's likely there was a strong temperature field gradient between Ohio and western New York during deglaciation, with the latter remaining within 100 km of the field river of the cold climate that persisted in western New York. There are no reports of relict permafrost features within the LGM limit in western New York, but their presence south of the LGM extent suggest the likelihood of permafrost within the limit as well (French and Millar, 2014).

Finally, there are seven local pollen records from Miller (1973), Calkin and McAndrews (1980), and Doody 522 (2018) that describe the initial deglacial vegetation in western New York. Only the Allenberg Bog (Miller, 1973) and 523 Dragonfly Kettle (Doody, 2018) pollen records captured a 'tundra' zone at the base, although the presence of both 524 arctic and temperate vegetation complicates their interpretation. The tundra zone is overlain by an interval with high 525 spruce and pine pollen; this is the lowest unit found in the other five records (Miller, 1973; Calkin and McAndrews, 526 1980). This is likely reflecting the new forest biome associated with warmer temperatures. Given our results, we 527 believe theis 'tundra' pollen-tundra zone captured both the tundra vegetation that was growing on the moraine prior 528 to basin formation and the more temperate vegetation as spruce and pine moved in during the Bølling. 529 Unfortunately, the pollen records may be unreliable before 14 ka due to the same reworking problems as our

530 radiocarbon dating, but this remains site specific. The tundra zone is overlain by an interval with high spruce and

531 pine pollen; this is the lowest unit found in the other five records (Miller, 1973; Calkin and McAndrews, 1980). This-

532 is likely reflecting the new forest biome associated with warmer temperatures.

Altogether, there is evidence that the lag time between ice sheet retreat and kettle basin stabilization may be statistic to sustained permafrost in western New York due to cold North Atlantic conditions during Heinrich Stadial 1 (Fig. 7). The warming at the Bølling onset at ~14.7 ka may have increased regional temperatures, causing the melting of buried ice, initiating a phase of rapid landscape evolution and the formation of kettle basins, and statistic eventually stabilizing the morainal topography. Numerous studies discuss the role of permafrost in the lag time between moraine ages and basal macrofossils along the south-central LIS margin, including Indiana and Illinois
(Curry et al., 2018; Fisher et al., 2020), Michigan (Yansa et al., 2020), and Wisconsin (Clayton et al., 2008).
Our findings support the observations and conclusions from numerous studies that radiocarbon dates can be
extreme minimum age constraints on deglaciation (Curry et al., 2018; Fisher et al., 2020; Florin and Wright, 1969;
Halsted et al., 2023; Yansa et al., 2020). In New England, minimum-limiting radiocarbon ages may be the reason for
the discrepancy between the timing of moraine deposition as recorded by <sup>10</sup>Be exposure dating (e.g., Balco et al.,
2002; Corbett et al., 2017) and radiocarbon ages of basal macrofossils in lakes and bogs (e.g., Peteet et al., 2012).
The younger than expected radiocarbon ages from the Valley Heads Moraine from Kozlowski et al. (2018) may be
afflicted by similar processes. Permafrost during Heinrich Stadial 1 may have minimized landscape evolution in
New England and central New York as well and could help explain the offset.



549 Figure 7. Comparison of radiocarbon ages from the Kent and Lake Escarpment moraine and Young et al. (2020) in the 550 context of North Atlantic deglacial climate changes. Black line is the GISP2 δ<sup>18</sup>O record (Grootes and Stuiver, 1999). Dark 551 blue and light blue fading is the estimated deposition of the Kent Moraine and Lake Escarpment Moraine, respectively.

552 Dark blue and light blue triangles are the lowest reliable radiocarbon ages from the Kent and Lake Escarpment Moraine 553 sediment cores, respectively. Gray triangles are radiocarbon ages that we suspect have hardwater contamination. Pink 554 diamonds are OSL ages and  $2\sigma$  errors from the kame delta outboard the Kent Moraine. Green triangles are ages from 555 Young et al. (2020) interpreted by them to be maximum-limiting constraints on the 13 ka re-advance. Errors for all 556 radiocarbon dates are not plotted because their width is smaller than the symbols.

557

#### 558 5.5 Allerød re-advance hypothesis

The stratigraphically lowest radiocarbon ages from Unit 3 in the Lake Escarpment Moraine kettle basins, which are 15,000-15,400 and 13,600-14,000 cal **yr**-BP, pre-date the ~13.1 ka re-advance suggested by Young et al. (2020) (Fig. 5 & 7). Chronologically constrained organic-rich sedimentation, with no stratigraphic evidence of evidence of over-compaction in our bulk density measurements in 21LPB1 during this interval of time (Fig. 5). Thus, we do not find evidence that a ~13.1 ka LIS advance created or overran the Lake Escarpment Moraine as hypothesized by Young et al. (2020). Rather, we suggest that the landscape was unstable during its transition from a permafrost-dominated landscape to one with evolving and then stabilizing morainal topography. This landscape rinstability with reworking of glacial sediments may have led to the stratigraphy interpreted by Young et al. (2020) as primary tills in contact with logstrees dating to 13 ka (Fig. 7). Both the Dragonfly and Little Protection sites have intervals with increased wood deposition between 14 and 13 ka and future work could investigate the source of these woody intervals to further investigate the results from Young et al. (2020).

571

# 572 6 Conclusion

We present 41 new macrofossil-based radiocarbon ages from kettle basin infills in western New York. We find that the lowest-reliable radiocarbon ages from Unit 3-between (15,000-15,400 and 13,600-14,000 cal yr-BP) are 575 2—56 kyr younger than our OSL age constraints on moraine deposition of  $19.8 \pm 2.6 - 20.6 \pm 2.9$  ka and the oldest fradiocarbon age from Unit 2 of 19,350-19,600 cal BP from the Kent Moraine. These lowest Unit 3 ages are 2 kyr younger than our estimated age of Lake Escarpment Moraine deposition from moraine correlations. We interpret this offset to be due to a cold climate in western New York during Heinrich Stadial 1 supporting persistent buried ice which inhibited kettle basin formation until regional warming that took place during the Bølling. Our results do not so support a re-advance of the LIS over the Lake Escarpment Moraine  $\sim$ 13 ka (c.f. Young et al., 2020). The lag time between ice sheet retreat and moraine stabilization in western New York may present an alternate explanation for inconsistencies between basal ages in sediment cores and other dating methods in central New York (Kozlowski et al., 2018) and eastern New York (Peteet et al., 2012).

Future work could target features that are stable during ice retreat even where permafrost is present, such as outcrops of pro-glacial and ice-walled lake plane deposits (e.g., Curry et al., 2018), or perhaps moraines that are not hummocky in nature. This limitation may not be as necessary in environments where climate more quickly ameliorated, such as appears to have been the case in southern Ohio (Glover et al., 2011). Additionally, it may be important to consider the coring equipment. The GeoProbe coring device enabled us to collect stiff mineral-rich

589 sediments lower than otherwise possible with the Livingstone and Russian Peat coring devices. This meant that our590 coring did not stop at first contact with stiff minerogenic sediment that could mistakenly be interpreted as primary591 glacial in origin.

#### 592

# 593 Appendix A.

Table A1: Do	ose Rate Inf	ormation						
USU num.	Lat/Long	In-situ H₂O (%)	D <sub>R</sub> Subsample <sup>1</sup>	K (%) <sup>2</sup>	Rb (ppm) <sup>2</sup>	Th (ppm) <sup>2</sup>	U (ppm) <sup>2</sup>	Cosmic (Gy/kyr)
USU-3622	42.11394/ -78.94899	7.5	F: 70% M: 20% C: 10%	1.64±0.04 2±0.0 1 3	77.6±3.1 58.7±2.3 76.1±3.0	7.8±0.7 8.6±0.8 11.1±1.0	2.6±0.2 2.2±0.2 2.1±0.1	0.18±0.02
USU-3623	42.11394/ -78.94899	20.0	F: 85% M: 15%	2±0. 1.35±0.03	74.4±3.0 72.7±2.9	8.3±0.7 8.4±0.8	2.0±0.1 2.4±0.2	0.18±0.02

<sup>1</sup> Dose rate (D<sub>R</sub>) subsamples based on grain size: fine-F (<1.7 mm), medium-M (1.7-16 mm), coarse-C (>16 mm), and weighted proportions (%) of subsamples used with chemistry in gamma dose rate calculation. Beta dose rate uses chemistry from fine fraction (<1.7 mm) only.

<sup>2</sup> Radioelemental concentrations determined using ICP-MS and ICP-AES techniques; dose rate is derived from concentrations by conversion factors from Guérin et al. (2011).

594



Figure A1. Equivalent dose ( $D_E$ ) distributions for the luminescence samples collected from the kame delta associated with an ice-margin position near the Kent moraine. MAM – minimum age model of Galbraith and Roberts (2012) fit to the  $D_E$ 598 data (gray shaded region). OD – overdispersion, a metric of  $D_E$  scatter beyond instrumental error, where OD > 30% is 599 interpreted to be due to partial bleaching due to incomplete solar resetting of the luminescence signals in the quartz-600 grains.



602 FFigure A2. Example luminescence signal decay (left) and dose-response curves from 5 aliquots from each of the 603 luminescence samples.

605 Data availability: Table 2 provides the data to calculate the radiocarbon ages from this study. Table 3 and Table A1606 provide the data to calculate OSL ages from this study.

607

608 Author contributions: JPB and KKP conceptualized the study. ALK and KKP provided funding for fieldwork and609 lab analyses. KKP, JPB, CKW, BMC, and EPY collected sediment cores. KKP, BMC, EPY and JPB conducted

610 downcore analyses and radiocarbon sampling. CKW collected OSL samples and TMR conducted lab analyses and

611 calculated the ages. KKP compiled and recalculated the radiocarbon ages. KKP, JPB, ALK, CKW, and BMC

612 interpreted the results. KKP wrote the first draft of the manuscript and all authors contributed to editing. KKP and

613 TMR developed the figures and tables.

614

615 Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

616

617 Acknowledgements: We thank the Vincent, Songster, Gebhard, and Bohall families for the access to their property,
618 as well as their enthusiasm and friendship. We thank Joseph Tulenko, Brandon Graham, Elizabeth Thomas, Kurt
619 Lindberg, Owen Cowling, Fiona Ellsworth, Joshua Charlton, Liza Wilson, Jason Parsons, Will Phillips, and George
620 Thomas for their help in the field (it takes a village!). We thank the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass
621 Spectrometry and W. M. Keck Carbon Cycle Accelerator Mass Spectrometer laboratories for radiocarbon analyses.
622 We thank the Luminescence Lab at Utah State University for OSL analyses.

623

624 Funding sources: This research was supported by the United State Geological Survey Great Lakes Geological
625 Mapping Coalition grant #G20AC00418, the NSF/GSA Graduate Student Geoscience grant # 13056-21, which was
626 funded by NSF Award # 1949901, and the Mark Diamond Research Fund of the Graduate Student Association of
627 the State University of New York at Buffalo.

628

# **629** References

630 Balco, G., Stone, J. O. H., Porter, S. C., and Caffee, M. W.: Cosmogenic-nuclide ages for New England coastal
631 moraines, Martha's Vineyard and Cape Cod, Massachusetts, USA, Quaternary Science Reviews, 21, 2127–2135,
632 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(02)00085-9, 2002.

633 Balco, G., Briner, J., Finkel, R. C., Rayburn, J. A., Ridge, C., and Schaefer, J. M.: Regional beryllium-10 production
634 rate calibration for late-glacial northeastern North America, Quaternary Geochronology, 4, 93-107,
635 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2008.09.001</u>, 2009.

636 Barth, A. M., Marcott, S. A., Licciardi, J. M., and Shakun, J. D.: Deglacial Thinning of the Laurentide Ice Sheet in
637 the Adirondack Mountains, New York, USA, Revealed by 36Cl Exposure Dating, Paleoceanography and
638 Paleoclimatology, 34, 946-953, https://doi.org/10.1029/2018PA003477, 2019.

639 Bird, B. and Kozlowski, A.: Late Quaternary Reconstruction of Lake Iroquois in the Ontario Basin of New York.
640 New York State Museum Map & Chart 80, <u>https://www.nysm.nysed.gov/sites/default/files/mc80\_iroquois.pdf</u>,
641 2016.

642 Briner, J. P., Cuzzone, J. K., Badgeley, J. A., Young, N. E., Steig, E. J., Morlighem, M., Schlegel, N. J., Hakim, G.643 J., Schaefer, J. M., Johnson, J. V., Lesnek, A. J., Thomas, E. K., Allan, E., Bennike, O., Cluett, A. A., Csatho, B., de

644 Vernal, A., Downs, J., Larour, E., and Nowicki, S.: Rate of mass loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet will exceed
645 Holocene values this century, Nature, 586, 70-74, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2742-6</u>, 2020.

646 Broecker, W. S., Kennett, J. P., Flower, B. P., Teller, J. T., Trumbore, S., Bonani, G., and Wolfli, W.: Routing of
647 meltwater from the Laurentide Ice Sheet during the Younger Dryas cold episode, Nature, 341, 318-321,
648 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/341318a0</u>, 1989.

649 Calkin, P. E. and Feenstra, B. H.: Evolution of the Erie-Basin Great Lakes, in: Quaternary Evolution of the Great650 Lakes, edited by: Karrow, P. F., and Calkin, P. E., Geological Society of Canada,

651 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(87)90011-1</u>, 1985.

652 Calkin, P. E. and McAndrews, J. H.: Geology and paleontology of two late Wisconsin sites in western New York653 State, Geological Society of America Bulletin, 91, 295-306,

654 https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1980)91<295:GAPOTL>2.0.CO;2, 1980.

655 Campbell, M. C., Fisher, T. G., and Goble, R. J.: Terrestrial sensitivity to abrupt cooling recorded by aeolian activity
656 in northwest Ohio, USA, Quaternary Research, 75, 411-416, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2011.01.009</u>, 2011.

657 Clayton, L. E., Attig, J. W., and Mickelson, D. M.: Effects of late Pleistocene permafrost on the landscape of
658 Wisconsin, USA, Boreas, 30, 173-188, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1502-3885.2001.tb01221.x</u>, 2001.

659 Corbett, L. B., Bierman, P. R., Stone, B. D., Caffee, M. W., and Larsen, P. L.: Cosmogenic nuclide age estimate for
660 Laurentide Ice Sheet recession from the terminal moraine, New Jersey, USA, and constraints on latest Pleistocene
661 ice sheet history, Quaternary Research, 87, 482-498, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/gua.2017.11</u>, 2017.

662 Coulombe, S., Fortier, D., Lacelle, D., Kanevskiy, M., and Shur, Y.: Origin, burial and preservation of late
663 Pleistocene-age glacier ice in Arctic permafrost (Bylot Island, NU, Canada), The Cryosphere, 13, 97-111,
664 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-97-2019</u>, 2019.

665 Cronin, T. M., Rayburn, J. A., Guilbault, J. P., Thunell, R., and Franzi, D. A.: Stable isotope evidence for glacial lake
666 drainage through the St. Lawrence Estuary, eastern Canada, ~13.1-12.9 ka, Quaternary International, 260, 55-65,
667 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2011.08.041</u>, 2012.

668 Curry, B. B., Lowell, T. V., Wang, H., and Anderson, A. C.: Revised time-distance diagram for the Lake Michigan
669 Lobe, Michigan Subepisode, Wisconsin Episode, Illinois, USA, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/2018.2530(04</u>), 2018.

670 Dalton, A. S., Margold, M., Stokes, C., Tarasov, L., Dyke, A., Adams, R., Allard, S., Arends, H., Atkinson, N.,
671 Attig, J., Barnett, P., Barnett, R., Batterson, M., Bernatchez, P., Borns, H., Breckenridge, A., Briner, J., Brouard, E.,
672 Campbell, J., and Wright, H.: An updated radiocarbon-based ice margin chronology for the last deglaciation of the

- 673 North American Ice Sheet Complex, Quaternary Science Reviews, 234, 106223,
- 674 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106223, 2020.
- 675 Deevey, E. S., Gross, M. S., Hutchinson, G. E., and Kraybill, H. L.: The Natural 14C Contents of Materials from
- 676 Hard-Water Lakes, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 40, 285-288,
- 677 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.40.5.285, 1954.
- 678 Deuser, W. G. and Degens, E. T.: Carbon Isotope Fractionation in the System
- 679 CO2(gas)—CO2(aqueous)—HCO3-(aqueous), Nature, 215, 1033-1035, https://doi.org/10.1038/2151033a0, 1967.
- 680 Donnelly, J. P., Driscoll, N. W., Uchupi, E., Keigwin, L. D., Schwab, W. C., Thieler, E. R., and Swift, S. A.:
- 681 Catastrophic meltwater discharge down the Hudson Valley: A potential trigger for the Intra-Allerød cold period,
  682 Geology, 33, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G21043.1</u>, 2005.
- 683 Doody, E.: A latest pleistocene palynologic record from western New York, Geology, University at Buffalo, 2018.
- 684 Dyke, A. S.: An outline of North American deglaciation with emphasis on central and northern Canada, in:
- 685 Developments in Quaternary Sciences, edited by: Ehlers, J., and Gibbard, P. L., Elsevier, 373-424,
  686 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-0866(04)80209-4</u>, 2004.
- 687 Elder, K. L., Roberts, M. L., Walther, T., and Xu, L.: Single step Production of graphite from organic Samples for
  688 Radiocarbon Measurements, Radiocarbon, 61, 1843-1854, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2019.136</u>, 2019.
- 689 Eschman, D. F. and Karrow, P. F.: Huron Basin Glacial Lakes: A Review, in: Quaternary Evolution of the Great
- 690 Lakes, edited by: Karrow, P. F., and Calkin, P. E., Geological Society of Canada,
- 691 https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(87)90011-1, 1985.

692 Fairchild, H. L. R.: Glacial Waters in Central New York, University of the State of New York1909.

- 693 Fisher, T. G., Blockland, J. D., Anderson, A., Krantz, D. E., Stierman, D. J., and Goble, R.: Evidence of Sequence
  694 and Age of Ancestral Lake Erie Lake-Levels, Northwest Ohio, The Ohio Journal of Science 115,
  695 <u>https://doi.org/10.18061/ojs.v115i2.4614</u>, 2015.
- 696 Fisher, T. G., Dziekan, M. R., McDonald, J., Lepper, K., Loope, H., McCarthy, F. M. G., and Curry, B. B.: Minimum
- 697 limiting deglacial ages for the out-of-phase Saginaw Lobe of the Laurentide Ice Sheet using optically stimulated
- 698 luminescence (OSL) and radiocarbon methods, Quaternary Research, 97, 71-87,
- 699 https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2020.12, 2020.
- 700 Florin, M.-B. and Wright, H. E., Jr.: Diatom Evidence for the Persistence of Stagnant Glacial Ice in Minnesota, GSA
  701 Bulletin, 80, 695-704, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/0016-7606(1969)80[695:DEFTPO]2.0.CO;2</u>, 1969.

702 French, H. M.: Surface Features of Permafrost, in: The Periglacial Environment, 116-152,
703 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118684931.ch6</u>, 2007.

704 French, H. M. and Millar, S. W. S.: Permafrost at the time of the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) in North America,
705 Boreas, 43, 667-677, <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/bor.12036</u>, 2014.

706 Fritz, P., Morgan, A. V., Eicher, U., and McAndrews, J. H.: Stable isotope, fossil coleoptera and pollen stratigraphy
707 in late quaternary sediments from Ontario and New York state, Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology,
708 58, 183-202, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(87)90059-9</u>, 1987.

709 Fullerton, D. S.: Preliminary correlation of post-Erie interstadial events :(16,000-10,000 radiocarbon years before
710 present), central and eastern Great Lakes region, and Hudson, Champlain, and St. Lawrence Lowlands, United
711 States and Canada, <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/pp1089</u>, 1980.

712 Galbraith, R. F. and Roberts, R. G.: Statistical aspects of equivalent dose and error calculation and display in OSL

713 dating: An overview and some recommendations, Quaternary Geochronology, 11, 1-27,

714 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2012.04.020</u>, 2012.

715 Gao, C.: Ice-wedge casts in Late Wisconsinan glaciofluvial deposits, southern Ontario, Canada, Canadian Journal of
716 Earth Sciences, 42, 2117-2126, <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/e05-072</u>, 2005.

717 Gao, C.: Relict Thermal-contraction-crack Polygons and Past Permafrost South of the Late Wisconsinan Glacial
718 Limit in the Mid-Atlantic Coastal Plain, USA, Permafrost and Periglacial Processes, 25, 144-149,
719 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ppp.1803</u>, 2014.

720 Gill, J. L., Williams, J. W., Jackson, S. T., Donnelly, J. P., and Schellinger, G. C.: Climatic and megaherbivory
721 controls on late-glacial vegetation dynamics: a new, high-resolution, multi-proxy record from Silver Lake, Ohio,
722 Quaternary Science Reviews, 34, 66-80, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.12.008</u>, 2012.

723 Glover, K. C., Lowell, T. V., Wiles, G. C., Pair, D., Applegate, P., and Hajdas, I.: Deglaciation, basin formation and
724 post-glacial climate change from a regional network of sediment core sites in Ohio and eastern Indiana, Quaternary
725 Research, 76, 401-410, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2011.06.004</u>, 2011.

726 Gonzales, L. M. and Grimm, E. C.: Synchronization of late-glacial vegetation changes at Crystal Lake, Illinois, USA
727 with the North Atlantic Event Stratigraphy, Quaternary Research, 72, 234-245,
728 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vgres.2009.05.001</u>, 2009.

729 Grigg, L. D., Engle, K. J., Smith, A. J., Shuman, B. N., and Mandl, M. B.: A multi-proxy reconstruction of climate
730 during the late-Pleistocene to early Holocene transition in the northeastern, USA, Quaternary Research, 102,
731 188-204, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2020.127</u>, 2021.

732 Grootes, P. M. and Stuiver, M.: GISP2 Oxygen Isotope Data, PANGAEA [dataset],733 <u>https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.56094</u>, 1999.

734 Halsted, C. T., Bierman, P. R., Shakun, J. D., Davis, P. T., Corbett, L. B., Drebber, J. S., and Ridge, J. C.: A critical
735 re-analysis of constraints on the timing and rate of Laurentide Ice Sheet recession in the northeastern United States,
736 Journal of Quaternary Science, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jqs.3563</u>, 2023.

737 Heath, S. L., Loope, H. M., Curry, B. B., and Lowell, T. V.: Pattern of southern Laurentide Ice Sheet margin position738 changes during Heinrich Stadials 2 and 1, Quaternary Science Reviews, 201, 362-379,

739 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.10.019, 2018.

740 Heiri, O., Lotter, A. F., and Lemcke, G.: Loss on ignition as a method for estimating organic and carbonate content
741 in sediments: reproducibility and comparability of results, Journal of Paleolimnology, 25, 101-110,
742 <u>https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1008119611481</u>, 2001.

743 Henriksen, M., Mangerud, J., Matiouchkov, A., Paus, A., and Svendsen, J. I.: Lake stratigraphy implies an 80 000 yr
744 delayed melting of buried dead ice in northern Russia, Journal of Quaternary Science, 18, 663-679,
745 <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/igs.788</u>, 2003.

746 Higley, M. C., Fisher, T. G., Jol, H. M., Lepper, K., and Martin-Hayden, J. M.: Stratigraphic and chronologic
747 analysis of the Warren Beach, northwest Ohio, USA, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 51, 737-749,
748 <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cies-2014-0047</u>, 2014.

749 Keeley, J. E. and Sandquist, D. R.: Carbon: freshwater plants, Plant Cell & Environment, 15,
750 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1992.tb01653.x</u>, 1992.

751 Kozlowski, A. L., Bird, B. C., Lowell, T. V., Smith, C. A., Feranec, R. S., and Graham, B. L.: Minimum age of the
752 Mapleton, Tully, and Labrador Hollow moraines indicates correlation with the Port Huron Phase in central New
753 York State, in: Quaternary Glaciation of the Great Lakes Region: Process, Landforms, Sediments, and Chronology,
754 <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/2018.2530(10)</u>, 2018.

755 LaFleur, R. G.: Glacial geology and stratigraphy of Western New York Nuclear Service Center and vicinity,
756 Cattaraugus and Erie Counties, New York, Report 79-989, <u>https://doi.org/10.3133/ofr79989</u>, 1979.

757 Last, W. and Smol, J.: Tracking environmental change using lake sediments. 2. Physical and geochemical methods,
 758 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47670-3</u>, 2001.

759 Lewis, C. F. M. and Anderson, T. W.: A younger glacial Lake Iroquois in the Lake Ontario basin, Ontario and New
760 York: re-examination of pollen stratigraphy and radiocarbon dating, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 57,
761 453-463, <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2019-0076</u>, 2019.

762 Leydet, D. J., Carlson, A. E., Teller, J. T., Breckenridge, A., Barth, A. M., Ullman, D. J., Sinclair, G., Milne, G. A.,
763 Cuzzone, J. K., and Caffee, M. W.: Opening of glacial Lake Agassiz's eastern outlets by the start of the Younger
764 Dryas cold period, Geology, 46, 155-158, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G39501.1</u>, 2018.

765 Löfverström, M., Caballero, R., Nilsson, J., and Kleman, J.: Evolution of the large-scale atmospheric circulation in
766 response to changing ice sheets over the last glacial cycle, Climate of the Past, 10, 1453-1471,
767 <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-1453-2014</u>, 2014.

768 Lusch, D. P., Stanley, K. E., Schaetzl, R. J., Kendall, A. D., Van Dam, R. L., Nielsen, A., Blumer, B. E., Hobbs, T.
769 C., Archer, J. K., Holmstadt, J. L. F., and May, C. L.: Characterization and Mapping of Patterned Ground in the
770 Saginaw Lowlands, Michigan: Possible Evidence for Late-Wisconsin Permafrost, Annals of the Association of
771 American Geographers, 99, 445-466, <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00045600902931629</u>, 2009.

772 MacClintock, P. and Apfel, E. T.: Correlation of the drifts of the Salamanca re-entrant, New York, Bulletin of the 773 Geological Society of America, 55, 1143-1164, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB-55-1143</u>, 1944.

774 Miller, N. G.: Late-glacial and postglacial vegetation change in southwestern New York State, University of the775 State of New York, State Education Dept, Albany, <u>https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/bibliography/135533</u>, 1973.

776 Morgan, A. V.: Distribution and probable age of relict permafrost features in south-western Ontario, 4th Canadian 777 Permafrost Conference, Ottawa, Ontario, 91-100,

778 Muller, E. H. and Calkin, P. E.: Timing of Pleistocene glacial events in New York State, Canadian Journal of Earth 779 Sciences, 30, 1829-1845, <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/e93-161</u>, 1993.

780 Muller, E. H. and Prest, V. K.: Glacial Lakes in the Ontario Basin, in: Quaternary Evolution of the Great Lakes
781 edited by: Karrow, P. F., and Calkin, P., E.,, Geological Society of Canada,
782 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(87)90011-1</u>, 1985.

783 Murray, A. S. and Wintle, A. G.: Luminescence dating of quartz using an improved single-aliquot regenerative-dose
784 protocol, Radiation Measurements, 32, 57-73, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1350-4487(99)00253-X</u>, 2000.

785 Oana, S. and Deevey, E. S.: Carbon 13 in lake waters and its possible bearing on paleolimnology, American Journal786 of Science, 258-A, 253-272, 1960.

787 Olley, J. M., Caitcheon, G. G., and Roberts, R. G.: The origin of dose distributions in fluvial sediments, and the
788 prospect of dating single grains from fluvial deposits using optically stimulated luminescence, Radiation
789 Measurements, 30, 207-217, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S1350-4487(99)00040-2</u>, 1999.

790 Olsson, I.: Radiometric Methods, in: Handbook of Holocene paleoecology and paleohydrology, edited by: Berglund,
791 B., John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 273-312, <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/gea.3340040208</u>, 1986.

792 Osman, M. B., Tierney, J. E., Zhu, J., Tardif, R., Hakim, G. J., King, J., and Poulsen, C. J.: Globally resolved surface
793 temperatures since the Last Glacial Maximum, Nature, 599, 239-244, <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03984-4</u>,
794 2021.

795 Pearson, A., McNichol, A. P., Schneider, R. J., Von Reden, K. F., and Zheng, Y.: Microscale AMS 14C Measurement 796 at NOSAMS, Radiocarbon, 40, 61-75, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200017902</u>, 1997.

797 Peteet, D. M., Beh, M., Orr, C., Kurdyla, D., Nichols, J., and Guilderson, T.: Delayed deglaciation or extreme Arctic
798 conditions 21-16 cal. kyr at southeastern Laurentide Ice Sheet margin?, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, n/a-n/a,
799 <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2012GL051884</u>, 2012.

800 Porreca, C., Briner, J. P., and Kozlowski, A.: Laurentide ice sheet meltwater routing along the Iro-Mohawk River,
801 eastern New York, USA, Geomorphology, 303, 155-161, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.12.001</u>, 2018.

802 Ramsey, K.: Geologic map of New Castle county, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Geologic Map Series, 13,803 2005.

804 Ramsey, K.: Geologic map of Kent County, Delaware: Delaware Geological Survey Geologic Map Series, 2007.

805 Rayburn, J. A., Franzi, D. A., and Knuepfer, P. L. K.: Evidence from the Lake Champlain Valley for a later onset of
806 the Champlain Sea and implications for late glacial meltwater routing to the North Atlantic, Palaeogeography,
807 Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 246, 62-74, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.10.027</u>, 2007.

Rayburn, J. A., K. Knuepfer, P. L., and Franzi, D. A.: A series of large, Late Wisconsinan meltwater floods through
the Champlain and Hudson Valleys, New York State, USA, Quaternary Science Reviews, 24, 2410-2419,
<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/i.quascirev.2005.02.010</u>, 2005.

811 Rayburn, J. A., Cronin, T. M., Franzi, D. A., Knuepfer, P. L. K., and Willard, D. A.: Timing and duration of North
812 American glacial lake discharges and the Younger Dryas climate reversal, Quaternary Research, 75, 541-551,
813 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2011.02.004</u>, 2011.

Reimer, P. J., Austin, W. E. N., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Blackwell, P. G., Bronk Ramsey, C., Butzin, M., Cheng, H.,
Edwards, R. L., Friedrich, M., Grootes, P. M., Guilderson, T. P., Hajdas, I., Heaton, T. J., Hogg, A. G., Hughen, K.
A., Kromer, B., Manning, S. W., Muscheler, R., Palmer, J. G., Pearson, C., van der Plicht, J., Reimer, R. W.,
Richards, D. A., Scott, E. M., Southon, J. R., Turney, C. S. M., Wacker, L., Adolphi, F., Büntgen, U., Capano, M.,
Fahrni, S. M., Fogtmann-Schulz, A., Friedrich, R., Köhler, P., Kudsk, S., Miyake, F., Olsen, J., Reinig, F., Sakamoto,
M., Sookdeo, A., and Talamo, S.: The IntCal20 Northern Hemisphere Radiocarbon Age Calibration Curve (0–55 cal
kBP), Radiocarbon, 62, 725-757, <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/RDC.2020.41</u>, 2020.

821 Richard, P. J. H. and Occhietti, S.: 14C chronology for ice retreat and inception of Champlain Sea in the St.
822 Lawrence Lowlands, Canada, Quaternary Research, 63, 353-358, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2005.02.003</u>, 2005.

Ridge, J. C.: The last deglaciation of the northeastern United States: a combined varve, paleomagnetic, and
calibrated 14C chronology, in: Geoarchaeology of landscapes in the glaciated northeast, edited by: Hart, J. P., and
Cremeens, D. L., New York State Museum Bulletin, 15-45, 2003.

Ridge, J. C., Balco, G., Bayless, R. L., Beck, C. C., Carter, L. B., Dean, J. L., Voytek, E. B., and Wei, J. H.: The new
North American Varve Chronology: A precise record of southeastern Laurentide Ice Sheet deglaciation and climate,
18.2-12.5 kyr BP, and correlations with Greenland ice core records, American Journal of Science, 312, 685-722,
<u>https://doi.org/10.2475/07.2012.01</u>, 2012.

830 Rittenour, T. M., Cotter, J. F. P., and Arends, H. E.: Application of single-grain OSL dating to ice-proximal deposits,
831 glacial Lake Benson, west-central Minnesota, USA, Quaternary Geochronology, 30, 306-313,
832 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2015.02.025</u>, 2015.

833 Schomacker, A.: What controls dead-ice melting under different climate conditions? A discussion, Earth-Science
834 Reviews, 90, 103-113, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2008.08.003</u>, 2008.

835 Shah Walter, S. R., Gagnon, A. R., Roberts, M. L., McNichol, A. P., Gaylord, M. C. L., and Klein, E.: Ultra-Small
836 Graphitization Reactors for Ultra-Microscale 14C Analysis at the National Ocean Sciences Accelerator Mass
837 Spectrometry (NOSAMS) Facility, Radiocarbon, 57, 109-122, <u>https://doi.org/10.2458/azu\_rc.57.18118</u>, 2015.

838 Shuman, B., Webb Iii, T., Bartlein, P., and Williams, J. W.: The anatomy of a climatic oscillation: vegetation change
839 in eastern North America during the Younger Dryas chronozone, Quaternary Science Reviews, 21, 1777-1791,
840 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(02)00030-6</u>, 2002.

841 Stanford, S. D., Stone, B. D., Ridge, J. C., Witte, R. W., Pardi, R. R., and Reimer, G. E.: Chronology of Laurentide
842 glaciation in New Jersey and the New York City area, United States, Quaternary Research, 1-26,
843 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/qua.2020.71</u>, 2020.

844 Stuiver, M. and Polach, H. A.: Discussion Reporting of 14C Data, Radiocarbon, 19, 355-363,
845 <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200003672</u>, 1977.

846 Stuiver, M. and Reimer, P. J.: Extended 14C Data Base and Revised CALIB 3.0 14C Age Calibration Program,
847 Radiocarbon, 35, 215-230, https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033822200013904, 1993.

848 Teller, J. T.: Controls, history, outbursts, and impact of large late-Quaternary proglacial lakes in North America, in:
849 The Quaternary Period in the United States, Developments in Quaternary Sciences, 45-61,

850 https://doi.org/10.1016/S1571-0866(03)01003-0, 2003.

851 Terasmae, J.: Some problems of late Wisconsin history and geochronology in southeastern Ontario, Canadian
852 Journal of Earth Sciences, 17, 361-381, <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/e80-035</u>, 1980.

853 Tulenko, J. P., Lofverstrom, M., and Briner, J. P.: Ice sheet influence on atmospheric circulation explains the patterns
854 of Pleistocene alpine glacier records in North America, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 534, 116115,
855 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2020.116115</u>, 2020.

856 US Geological Survey: FGDC Digital Cartographic Standard for Geologic Map Symbolization (PostScript
857 Implementation), <u>http://pubs.usgs.gov/tm/2006/11A02/</u>, 2006.

858 Vogel, J. S., Southon, J. R., Nelson, D. E., and Brown, T. A.: Performance of catalytically condensed carbon for use
859 in accelerator mass spectrometry, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam
860 Interactions with Materials and Atoms, 5, 289-293, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0168-583X(84)90529-9</u>, 1984.

Wang, Y. and Wooller, M. J.: The stable isotopic (C and N) composition of modern plants and lichens from northernIceland: with ecological and paleoenvironmental implications, Jökull, 56, 27-38, 10.33799/jokull2006.56.027, 2006.

Watson, B. I., Williams, J. W., Russell, J. M., Jackson, S. T., Shane, L., and Lowell, T. V.: Temperature variations in
the southern Great Lakes during the last deglaciation: Comparison between pollen and GDGT proxies, Quaternary
Science Reviews, 182, 78-92, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2017.12.011</u>, 2018.

866 Wright, H. E. and Stefanova, I.: Plant trash in the basal sediments of glacial lakes, Acta Palaeobotanica, 44, 141-146,867 2004.

868 Yansa, C. H., II, F. A. E., Schaetzl, R. J., Kettle, J. M., and Arbogast, A. F.: Interpreting basal sediments and plant
869 fossils in kettle lakes: insights from Silver Lake, Michigan, USA, Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences, 57, 292-305,
870 <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2018-0338</u>, 2020.

871 Young, R. A., Gordon, L. M., Owen, L. A., Huot, S., and Zerfas, T. D.: Evidence for a late glacial advance near the
872 beginning of the Younger Dryas in western New York State: An event postdating the record for local Laurentide ice
873 sheet recession, Geosphere, <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/GES02257.1</u>, 2020.

874 Yu, Z.: Rapid response of forested vegetation to multiple climatic oscillations during the last deglaciation in the
875 northeastern United States, Quaternary Research, 67, 297-303, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yqres.2006.08.006</u>, 2007.

876 Yu, Z. and Eicher, U.: Abrupt Climate Oscillations During the Last Deglaciation in Central North America, Science,877 282, 2235-2238, doi:10.1126/science.282.5397.2235, 1998.