

Based on the second round of review, the manuscript has been considered suitable for publication subject to the following few technical correction:

line 25: Here it could be mentioned that only ice pellets >5mm are considered hail

Answer: We included the phrase "Hail is defined as ice pellets that exceed 5 mm in diameter."

line 26: in the end of the sentence you could mention that <2cm can already damage crops (since this is significant to your region)

Answer: We included the phrase "However, even hailstones smaller than 2 cm can cause significant damage to crops." at the end of the paragraph.

line 220: "have been discarded"

Answer: Corrected.

Fig. 14: the caption doesn't mention the red circle in the plots

Answer: We removed the circles, since they are not relevant in this study.

lines 396-399: Somewhere here it should be mentioned that the trend (-0.5) is based on observations at ONE location and hence might not be robust

Answer: We rewrite this phrase "The analysis showed a decrease in the frequency of hailstorms over time (-0.5/decade)," to "The analysis showed a decrease in the frequency of hailstorms over time, at a rate of -0.5 per decade, and this finding is based on observations from a single location."

lines 484 and 486: upper case "-1"

Answer: Corrected.