Recent inorganic carbon increase in a temperate estuary driven by water quality improvement and enhanced by droughts
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Abstract

Estuaries are an important contributor to the global carbon budget, facilitating carbon removal, transfer and transformation between land and coastal ocean. Estuaries are also susceptible to global climate change and anthropogenic perturbations. We find that a long-term significant increase in dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) of 6–21 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (1997–2020) in a temperate estuary in Germany (Elbe Estuary), was driven by an increase in upper estuary particulate organic carbon (POC) content of 8–14 µmol kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹. The temporal POC increase was due to an overall improvement in water quality observed in the form of high rates of primary production and a significant drop in biological oxygen demand. The magnitude of mid-estuary DIC gain was equivalent to the increased POC production in the upper estuary, suggesting that POC is efficiently remineralized and retained as DIC in the mid-estuary, with the estuary acting as an efficient natural filter for POC. In the context of the significant DIC increase, the impact of a recent extensive drought period (2014–2020) significantly lowered the annual mean river discharge (468 ± 234 m³ s⁻¹) compared to the long-term mean (690 ± 441 m³ s⁻¹, 1960–2020).

During the drought period, the late spring internal DIC load in the estuary doubled. This suggests that the drought induced a longer dry season, starting in May (earlier than normal), increased the residence time in the estuary and allowed for a longer remineralization period for POC. Annually, 77–94 % of the total DIC export was laterally transported to coastal water, reaching 89 ± 4.8 Gmol C yr⁻¹, and thus only a maximum of 23%, at 10 Gmol C yr⁻¹, was released via carbon dioxide (CO₂) evasion, between 1997 and 2020. Export of DIC to coastal waters decreased significantly during the drought (2014–2020: 38 ± 5.4 Gmol C yr⁻¹), on average by 24% compared to the non-drought period. In addition, we have identified that seasonal changes in DIC processing in an estuary require consideration in order to understand...
both the long-term and future changes in air-water CO$_2$ flux, DIC export to coastal waters, as well as the impacts of prolonged droughts on the land-ocean carbonate system.

1. Introduction

Estuaries function as bioreactors in which biotic and abiotic processes act to augment, transform or attenuate carbon products (Bukaveckas, 2022). Despite successful initiatives to reduce eutrophication in estuaries and coastal waters, e.g. in the Wadden Sea (van Beusekom et al., 2019) and Delaware Estuary (Sharp, 2010), effects of anthropogenic eutrophication persist (Harding et al., 2019). Rivers still receive large nutrient concentrations sustaining phytoplankton growth, due to agricultural land use dominating the river catchments such as along the Rhine and Elbe Rivers (Hardenbicker et al., 2016; Dähnke et al. 2022). Aquatic primary production supplies particulate organic carbon (POC) to and within estuaries, providing labile forms of carbon (Abril et al., 2002). This phytoplankton generated organic matter (OM) input into the estuary can lead to heterotrophic conditions in an estuary (Schöl et al. 2014), with OM further decomposed and converted into dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC).

Intense respiration of OM in estuaries can elevate the partial pressure of carbon dioxide (pCO$_2$) to above atmospheric levels, resulting in estuarine regions acting as a CO$_2$ sources to the atmosphere (Amann et al., 2015; Cai 2011). This reduces labile POC export to the adjacent coastal waters (Crump et al., 2017; Sanders et al., 2018). The rate of heterotrophic activity in estuaries, such as bacterial production and respiration, has been shown to correlate with phytoplankton production (Hoch and Kirchman, 1993), POC concentration (Goosen et al., 1999) and temperature (Apple et al., 2006). This highlights the need to understand how changes in primary production of OM in the upstream estuary affect downstream heterotrophic conditions and production and export of DIC.

Over the last century, global temperatures have increased by 0.95 to 1.20°C (IPCC, 2022). Future global temperature increases are projected to intensify the hydrological cycle, while climate projections show that the frequency and length of droughts (Böhnisch et al., 2021), as well as the frequency and magnitude of heavy precipitation and flood events (Christensen and Christensen, 2003; Alfieri et al., 2015), will increase across Europe. Such modifications in the hydrological balance will influence river systems, which are among the most sensitive ecosystems to climate change (Watts et al., 2015). While extreme floods tend to reduce residence time in estuaries and generate a large export of OM and nutrients from land to coastal
waters (Voynova et al., 2017), drought conditions can lengthen river and estuarine water residence time (Hitchcock and Mitrovic, 2015). This in turn can extend the retention of carbon and nutrients during droughts, permitting more extensive remineralization of organic material (Hitchcock and Mitrovic, 2015), and subsequently altering carbon and nutrient cycling. With hydrological droughts predicted to become more frequent and extensive in Europe (Forzieri et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2015), assessing how they influence carbon dynamics and estuarine biogeochemistry is essential for understanding and predicting carbon storage and export to the coastal region.

This study aims to highlight the functioning of an estuary under a multi-year drought, in the context of current regional climate change predictions. The Elbe Estuary is used as an example of a temperate estuary with a densely populated watershed, and subject to severe drought conditions between 2014 and 2020 (Barbosa et al., 2021; Moravec et al., 2021), with the period between 2014 and 2018 regarded as the worst multi-year soil moisture drought in Europe during the last 253 years (Moravec et al., 2021). To assess the impact on the estuarine ecosystem, we assess a longer period between 1997 and 2020. It has been described as the ecosystem recovery state of the estuary (Rewrie et al., in review), following major shifts in the ecosystem state after the 1980s heavy pollution. During the current recovery state, the annual mean DIC in the mid to lower Elbe Estuary has increased significantly by up to 11 µmol L\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) from 1997 to 2018 (Rewrie et al., in review), but the source of this increase remains unclear. The current study has extended this time period by two years with further available data, and aims to (1) identify the reasons for the significant DIC increase, and (2) investigate how climate change has modulated this trend since the onset of the recent drought. Data for organic and inorganic carbon content supported by water quality measurements are used to assess the long-term changes in the carbonate system in the Elbe Estuary between 1997 and 2020, and with focus on the recent drought.

2 Methods and Data

2.1 Study site

The temperate Elbe Estuary is a well-mixed, mesotidal coastal plain estuary, with a maximum turbidity zone (MTZ) extending from around Elbe-km 650 to 700 (Fig.1a, Amann et al., 2015). The estuary stretches over 142 km from the tidal border at the Geesthacht Weir to the mouth of
the estuary at Cuxhaven, Germany. It connects one of the largest rivers in Northern Europe, the Elbe, to the German Bight in the southern North Sea. The Elbe Estuary was separated into seven zones designated by the TIDE project (Geerts et al., 2012). In this study, the zones are sub-grouped into five regions: the upper estuary (z1), Hamburg Harbour (z2–z3), middle (mid, z4–z5), lower (z6), and outer (z7) estuary.

Figure 1: a) Map of the Elbe, separated into seven zones designated by the TIDE project (Geerts et al., 2012), with sub-groups: the upper estuary (z1), Hamburg Harbour (HH, z2–z3), middle (mid, z4–z5), lower (z6), and outer (z7) estuary. The black dots are the helicopter sampling stations with Geesthacht at 585.5 Elbe-km and Cuxhaven at 727 Elbe-km. The Elbe-km count
is the distance from the Elbe passing the border between the Czech Republic and Germany. Insert map: The tidal estuary (dark blue), the non-tidal Elbe River (light blue) and the Neu Dauchau gauging station (536.4 Elbe-km, red) are indicated. b) Mean annual DIC in the Elbe Estuary from 1997–2020, with error bars representing the standard deviation from the mean.

2.2 Data sources

Data for DIC, POC and key ecosystem parameters (dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, biological oxygen demand (BOD₇)) were acquired from the data portal of the Flussgebietsgemeinschaft Elbe (FGG, River Basin Community; https://www.fgg-elbe.de/elbe-datenportal.html) for 1997 to 2020. The FGG Elbe took synoptic surface water samples from 36 stations in the estuary (Fig. 1a) by helicopter at full ebb current (ARGE Elbe, 2000). Sampling was generally carried out in February, May, June, July, August and November (see Rewrie et al. (in review) for a detailed description). The samples for DIC were analysed at FGG Elbe laboratories during the analysis of total dissolved carbon, in order to determine both DIC and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Methods for organic carbon, DIC, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH and BOD₇ are listed in Table S1, and further described in Rewrie et al. (in review). The apparent oxygen utilization (AOU) was calculated (see Eq. S1) and is provided in the supplementary online materials (SOM).

2.3 River discharge

Daily freshwater discharge data (1960–2020) from Neu Darchau gauging station (536.4 Elbe-km, Fig. 1a) were also obtained from the FGG Elbe data portal (https://www.elbe-datenportal.de/). The historical mean river discharge (1960–2020) and the discharge during the drought period (2014–2020) were calculated, and the significance of the difference between the two periods (medians) was assessed using the Mann-Whitney-U-test, since both datasets presented a non-normal distribution from a Shapiro-Wilk test ($p < 0.05$). The significance of a monthly river discharge trend during the recovery state (1997–2020, Rewrie et al. (in review)) was assessed with the Pearson Correlation Coefficient.

2.4 Calculation for carbonate parameters (total alkalinity and pCO₂)


Using the CO2SYS program version 2.5 in Excel, the aquatic carbonate system parameters CO$_2$ partial pressure ($p$CO$_2$) and total alkalinity (TA) were calculated from measured DIC, temperature, salinity, pH and, when available, phosphate and silicate (Lewis and Wallace, 1998), applying the carbonic acid dissociation constants $K_1$ and $K_2$ of Cai and Wang (1998).

DIC concentrations were reported as whole, rounded numbers in mg L$^{-1}$, and for the carbonate system calculations, these were converted into µmol kg$^{-1}$. Rewrie et al. (in review) provide an extensive evaluation of the FGG Elbe DIC data, with an estimated analytical uncertainty of 99.7–102.5 µmol kg$^{-1}$ for DIC. The propagation of uncertainties for the calculated $p$CO$_2$ and TA (Orr et al., 2018) were determined using the estimated DIC analytical uncertainty, uncertainties of the involved constants provided in Orr et al. (2018) and the recommended total standard uncertainty for pH of 0.01.

The median of the uncertainty output from the CO2SYS program for $p$CO$_2$ was 209 µatm (18% uncertainty relative to the mean $p$CO$_2$) and for TA was 100 µmol kg$^{-1}$ (5% uncertainty relative to the mean TA) in the Elbe Estuary (z1–z7) between 1997 and 2020 (Table S2). Calculated TA and $p$CO$_2$ are comparable to previously published measured TA and calculated $p$CO$_2$ by Amann et al. (2015) between 2009 and 2011, with similar along-estuary patterns and magnitude. An example of the comparison for August 2010 is shown in Fig S1. Measured TA and calculated $p$CO$_2$ in June 2019 by Norbisrath et al. (2022) are also comparable to the calculated TA and $p$CO$_2$ in this study (Table S3).

### 2.5 Statistical analyses for along-estuary carbonate parameters and upper estuary POC

For every zone, the Pearson Correlation Coefficient was applied to the winter (February), autumn (November), late spring (May) and summer (June, July and August (JJA)) DIC, TA and $p$CO$_2$ to assess the trend and seasonal change from 1997 to 2020. The Pearson Correlation Coefficient was also applied to the late spring and summer POC in the upper region (z1) over time, when we assume that z1 represents the river input into the estuary. The difference in DIC concentration between the mid, lower and outer estuary (z4–z7) relative to the upper region (ΔDIC) was used to determine the along-estuary DIC gain over time (1997–2020) in late spring and summer. The Pearson Correlation Coefficient was used to assess the dependency of along-estuary DIC gain (ΔDIC) on upper estuary POC concentration (z1).
2.6 Upper estuary POC loads as a driver of DIC loading

POC loads in the upper estuary (z1) and DIC loads in the estuary in Gmol C month\(^{-1}\) were calculated (Eqs. S2–S3). The differences in DIC load between the upper region (z1) and the mid to lower regions (z4–z6) were quantified to estimate the internal DIC load (Eqs. S4–S5). A correction factor was applied to each estuarine zone (Amann et al., 2015) to account for tributary inputs along the estuary. The statistical differences between internal DIC loads during the recent drought (2014–2020) and non-drought (1997–2013) periods, and the differences between the internal DIC load in the mid-lower estuary and the POC load in the upper estuary (z1), for May to August were tested. The independent t-test was used for datasets that presented a normal distribution from a Shapiro-Wilk test (p < 0.05), and the Mann-Whitney U Test was applied to the datasets that presented a non-normal distribution. The months of August 2002, August 2010 and June–July, 2013 were excluded from the statistical analysis as anomaly flood months (Kienzler et al., 2015, Voynova et al. 2017).

2.7 Air-water CO\(_2\) exchange

The flux of CO\(_2\) between water and atmosphere in mol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) was estimated for each sampled station, in the upper to lower region (Fig. 1a), between 1997 and 2020 with the equation

\[
F = k \times \alpha \times (pCO_{2\text{water}} - pCO_{2\text{atmosphere}}),
\]

with \(k\) as the gas transfer velocity, \(\alpha\) as the solubility coefficient of CO\(_2\) (calculated from Weiss 1974: in mol kg\(^{-1}\) atm\(^{-1}\)). \(pCO_{2\text{water}}\) was calculated from the FGG Elbe DIC and pH samples, and \(pCO_{2\text{atmosphere}}\), according to Dickson et al. (2007) with

\[
pCO_{2\text{atmosphere}} = XCO_{2\text{atm}} \times (P_{ATM} - pH_2O),
\]

with the molar fraction of CO\(_2\) in dry air \(XCO_{2\text{atm}}\) obtained from Global Monitoring Laboratory (Lan et al., 2023). Daily mean ambient air pressure \((P_{ATM})\) from E-OBS meteorological data for Europe (Cores et al., 2018) from the Copernicus Climate Data Store (https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu) was selected for the Elbe Estuary region and each sampling station (Fig. 1). The saturated water partial pressure \(pH_2O\) in atm was derived according to Weiss and Price (1980) with

\[
\ln pH_2O = 24.4543 - 67.4509 \left(\frac{100}{T}\right) - 4.8489 \ln \left(\frac{T}{100}\right) - 0.000544 Sal,
\]
where $T$ denotes in-situ temperature in Kelvin and $Sal$ is the salinity of the sample. The gas transfer velocity $k$ was calculated after Wanninkhof (2014) as follows

$$k = 0.251 \times (U_{10})^2 \times \left( \frac{Sc}{600} \right)^{-0.5}, \quad (4)$$

where 0.251 is the coefficient of gas transfer, $U_{10}$ is the wind speed in m s$^{-1}$ measured in situ at 10 m height from E-OBS meteorological data for Europe (Cornes et al., 2018 from [https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu](https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu)). The Schmidt number ($Sc$) for CO$_2$ in freshwater was calculated according to Wanninkhof (2014) as a function of water temperature. The uncertainty estimate of $k$ has been estimated to 20\% (Wanninkhof, 2014). We find a good fit of air-water CO$_2$ flux estimates to those calculated in Norbisrath et al. (2022) shown in Table S4. The tentative annual air-water CO$_2$ flux, with the total area of the Elbe Estuary of 276.6 km$^2$ between Geesthacht and Cuxhaven, Germany, derived via GIS by Amann et al. (2015), were used to estimate the air-water CO$_2$ flux in Gmol C yr$^{-1}$.

### 3 Results

Two main features are notable in the mean annual DIC concentration: (1) DIC increased from the upper freshwater estuary towards the mid to lower estuary (Fig. 1b), suggesting along-estuary accumulation of DIC, with a maximum in the MTZ and lower estuary ($z_5–z_6$); (2) a pronounced DIC increase over time, reaching a maximum mean annual DIC in the lower estuary ($z_6$, 2512 ± 349 µmol kg$^{-1}$) in 2018, which was 20\% higher than in 1997 (2090 ± 364 µmol kg$^{-1}$). This is a distinctive feature of the along-estuary DIC pattern for the recovery ecosystem state (Rewrie et al. in review).

#### 3.1 Drivers of DIC dynamics along the estuary and DIC increase in the Elbe Estuary

Since 1997, the lowest DIC in the upper region in late spring and summer coincided with high pH (9.4) and large negative AOU (-288 µmol L$^{-1}$), i.e. supersaturation with respect to atmospheric equilibrium. This suggests that dominating autotrophy depletes DIC in the upper estuary, and most likely the upstream river regions. The exception was between 2018 and 2020, when pH decreased to 7.7, and AOU had predominately positive values (Fig. 2d), up to +117 µmol L$^{-1}$, indicating a possible shift to dominating heterotrophy in $z_1$, 4–6 years after the onset of the drought in 2014 (Fig. 2a).
The different estuarine regions (Fig. 1a) are clearly distinguishable in pH (Fig. 2c) and AOU (Fig. 2d). Between the Hamburg Harbour and the lower estuary in late spring to summer, pH decreased compared to the upper region, and AOU was positive, coupled with an along-estuary increase in DIC. This suggests dominating heterotrophic activity in the warmer months (see also Amann et al., 2015; Rewrie et al., in review) and accumulation of DIC in surface waters. In the outer estuary, pH increased and AOU was predominately negative, indicating dominating autotrophy in the coastal regions adjacent to the estuary. Changes in the along-estuary DIC concentrations over time (1997–2020) appear to be decoupled from the pH and AOU dynamics (Fig. 2b–d). When spring and summer DIC concentrations were lowest in 2005–2006, ranging between 914 µmol kg⁻¹ and 2040 µmol kg⁻¹, this minimum was not reflected in concurrent change in AOU or pH.

Significant POC increases occurred in late spring (May, 14 µmol C kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹) and summer (June–August, 8 µmol C kg⁻¹ yr⁻¹) in the upper estuary (Fig. 3, Table 1). A concurrent significant decrease of BOD₇ in late spring and summer (Fig. S2), suggests an improvement in water quality. This indicates a long-term intensification in production of OM in the upper estuary (z1) and in the river upstream of this region. Four years after the onset of the drought, POC dropped by 35% in summer 2018–2020 (325 ± 141 µmol kg⁻¹), and this lower POC coincided with the shift to lower pH (Fig. 2c), and predominately positive AOU (Fig. 2d).

Coincident with the 1997–2020 POC increase in the upper estuary, DIC and TA increased significantly in the mid to outer estuary by up to 21 µmol kg⁻¹ in late spring (May) and 12 µmol kg⁻¹ in summer (June–August), with a significant positive correlation between mid-estuary DIC gain and upper estuary POC content in late spring. Also, in late spring, compared to the rest of the estuary, DIC concentration peaked in the mid-estuary (z5, 1997–2019) in 73% of the recent 10 years (2009–2019). In both late spring and summer, the DIC gain in the mid-estuary (286 ± 247 to 359 ± 155 µmol kg⁻¹) was not significantly different from the upper estuary POC (347 ± 94 to 377 ± 165 µmol kg⁻¹, Table S5 & S6). Thus, the amount of upstream POC (z1) available for remineralization was sufficient to account for the production of mid-estuary DIC. The mid-estuary was characterized by a TA to DIC ratio of < 1.0 (z4, Fig. 3), with the highest pCO₂ content, exceeding > 1000 µatm in late spring and summer, indicating that the additional DIC input was in the form of pCO₂.
During summer, in 73% of all years, the along-estuary DIC was highest in the outer estuary between 1997 and 2020. This differs from the mid-estuary DIC peak in late spring (May) and suggests production in, or lateral transport of DIC into the outer estuary in summer. Mean summer AOU in the outer estuary was negative in 61% of all years (1997–2020). During these years, the mean DIC was significantly and positively correlated with mean AOU ($r = 0.58, p < 0.05$) and negatively correlated with mean pH ($r = -0.58, p < 0.05$). These correlations demonstrate the control of primary production on DIC in the outer estuary during summer. When AOU was $> 0$, there was no trend in DIC with AOU and pH. Along the estuary, the TA to DIC ratio increased to $> 1.0$ (Fig. 3), while $pCO_2$ decreased to a range of $65 \pm 58 \mu atm$ to $821 \pm 363 \mu atm$, in the outer estuary, suggesting drawdown of DIC in this region.
Figure 2. a) Daily Elbe River discharge (light blue), mean annual river discharge with error bars representing the standard deviation of the mean (dark blue) and flood events (grey marks) between 1960 and 2020. Long-term (1960–2020) mean annual Elbe River discharge of $690 \pm 295$ m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (green dashed line) and the drought period (2014–2020) mean annual Elbe River discharge of $468 \pm 234$ m$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (orange dashed line). Hovmöller diagram of (b) DIC ($\mu$mol kg$^{-1}$), (c) pH and (d) apparent oxygen utilization (AOU in $\mu$mol L$^{-1}$), with map of sampling stations (also refer to Fig. 1a) and black lines separating the upper (z1), Hamburg Harbour (z2–z3), mid (z4–z5), lower (z6), and outer (z7) regions, in the Elbe Estuary from 1997–2020 (note different time-scale to the river discharge). The Hovmöller diagrams were produced with DIVA gridding in Ocean Data View and black dots represent the sampling stations.
Figure 3. Late spring (May, M) and summer (June–August, JJA) POC in zone 1 (Z1) and DIC, along-estuary DIC gain (ΔDIC), TA, TA:DIC ratio (1 indicated by grey dashed line), and pCO₂, with atmospheric CO₂ values (grey dashed line) from Global Monitoring Laboratory (Lan et al., 2023), in the mid to outer estuary (zone (Z) 4–7, in Fig. 1b) from 1997 to 2020 (in May to 2019). Note differences in y-axis scales. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the mean.
Table 1. Pearson Correlation Coefficient \( (r) \) of late spring (May) and summer (June–August (JJA)) POC concentration in zone 1 ([C]) and DIC concentration ([C]), the along-estuary DIC gain (ΔDIC) and TA concentration in zones 4–7 in the Elbe Estuary with time (decimal year). Highlighted are two levels of significance: \( p < 0.05 \) (red) and \( p < 0.10 \) (purple). The rate of change is given for POC, DIC and TA (\( \beta \), \( \mu \text{mol kg}^{-1} \text{yr}^{-1} \)). Pearson Correlation Coefficient was also given between the along-estuary DIC gain (ΔDIC) with POC concentration in zone 1 (z1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>POC</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIC, ΔDIC or TA</td>
<td>May</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


3.2 Influence of drought on estuarine DIC

From 2014 to 2020, mean annual Elbe River discharge of 468 ± 234 m³ s⁻¹ was 32% lower than the long-term 1960–2020 mean at 690 ± 441 m³ s⁻¹ (Fig. 2a, Table S8). This indicates that an inter-annual hydrological drought took place since 2014, characterized by overall reduced streamflow (Zink et al., 2016). Between 1997 and 2020, May was the only month with a significant negative trend in mean monthly river discharge (\( r = -0.43, p < 0.05 \)), reaching lowest discharge of 264 ± 19 m³ s⁻¹ in May 2020. Such low monthly discharge is usually observed during dry summer and early autumn months, with more extreme values in 2018–2019 at < 200 m³ s⁻¹. This suggests that the drought extended the low discharge summer period into late spring, and most likely increasing the water residence time in the estuary, as seen by Bergemann et al. (1996).
Despite this significant decrease in river discharge, in May, the internal DIC load in the mid to lower estuary was significantly higher during the drought period of 2014 to 2020, at $0.9 \pm 0.22$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$, compared to the non-drought period of 1997 to 2013 at $0.5 \pm 0.27$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$ (see Fig. 4 and Table S9–S10). In summer, the internal DIC load decreased significantly, by 35–42%, during the recent drought years (2014–2020), down to $0.3 \pm 0.25$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$ (June z4 and July z5–z6, Table S9–S10), compared to the non-drought period, ranging between $0.5 \pm 0.27$ and $0.7 \pm 0.32$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$ (1997–2013). The positive AOU and lower pH in 2018–2020 (Fig. 2c–d), suggest there was a shift from net autotrophy to net heterotrophy in the river, potentially leading to DIC production and larger input in the upper estuary. This would explain the elevated DIC concentrations in July–August 2017 for example (Fig. S3). Such dominating heterotrophy in recent years (2018–2020) and DIC generation in the upper region (z1), would subsequently reduce the internal DIC load in the mid to lower estuary (Fig. 4).

Overall, from May to August, the mid to lower estuary internal DIC load (z4–z6, Table S9–S10) during the drought ($0.5 \pm 0.33$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$) was not significantly different from the non-drought period ($0.6 \pm 0.31$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$). Therefore, during the drought, the May increase in internal DIC load was countered by an observed decrease in summer, ultimately resulting in no net change in the internal DIC load compared to the non-drought period (1997–2013). Albeit, the significant decrease in annual mean river discharge during the drought does significantly impact seasonal DIC production. Therefore in estuaries such as the Elbe Estuary, it is imperative to consider seasonality in carbon budget calculations.

In late spring (May) and summer (June–August), the mean POC load ($0.3 \pm 0.16$ to $0.6 \pm 0.29$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$) in the upper estuary had the same magnitude as the internal DIC load ($0.3 \pm 0.25$ to $0.7 \pm 0.28$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$) in the mid-estuary (1997–2020, Table S12), with no significant difference (z5 in May and z4 in June–August, Table S13). In contrast, the internal DIC load ($0.5 \pm 0.26$ to $0.8 \pm 0.30$ Gmol C month$^{-1}$) in the lower estuary was significantly (1.3-1.9 times) greater than the upper estuary POC load (Table S12–S13). This means that while POC from the upstream regions can account for the DIC production in the mid-estuary, in the lower estuary, an additional source of OM likely contributes to the DIC production therein.
Figure 4. Carbon load as POC in zone 1 (Z1) and the internal DIC load in the mid–lower estuary (zone (Z) 4–6), with zero internal DIC load (dashed grey line) and the respective monthly mean river discharge (Q) for each year (light blue). Error bars represent the standard deviations of the mean.
### 3.3 Annual inorganic carbon export estimates

The annual inorganic carbon export to the atmosphere and adjacent coastal waters was estimated to tentatively evaluate the overall inorganic carbon export dynamics in the Elbe Estuary between 1997 and 2020. The annual water-to-air CO$_2$ flux ranged between 4 and 10 Gmol C yr$^{-1}$, with an average flux of $6 \pm 1.6$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$ and the highest flux recorded in 2020 (Fig. 5). The DIC export to adjacent coastal waters, based on the annual lower estuary DIC load (Eqs. S2–S3), ranged from $32 \pm 0.9$ to $89 \pm 4.8$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$.

During the drought period (2014–2020), the DIC export to coastal waters ($38 \pm 5.4$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$) was significantly lower, by on average 24–31%, compared to the non-drought period ($50 \pm 6.4$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$ (excl. flood years) and $55 \pm 14.0$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$ (incl. flood years), Table S15). In contrast, the annual water-to-air CO$_2$ flux was not significantly different during the drought ($6 \pm 1.9$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$) and non-drought period ($6 \pm 1.6$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$ (excl. flood years) and $6 \pm 1.5$ Gmol C yr$^{-1}$ (incl. flood years)).

![Fig. 5 Annual mean air-water CO$_2$ flux estimates in the Elbe Estuary and the annual mean DIC export, based on the lower estuary DIC load (Eqs. S2–S3), in Elbe Estuary. Note differences in y-axis scales. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the mean.](https://example.com/figure5.png)

4 Discussion

Since 1997, the upper estuary and upstream regions have experienced a significant increase in POC in late spring and summer. Coupled with elevated pH reaching 9.4 and negative AOU,
this is evidence that dominating autotrophy in these upstream regions has become a larger source of labile POC to the mid–lower estuary between 1997 and 2020. Kamjunke et al. (2021) also reported high POC (> 749 µmol L\(^{-1}\)) in the lower Elbe River during summer 2018, with a strong correlation between POC and chlorophyll-a (chl-a), indicating a dominant contribution of phytoplankton to POC upstream of the Elbe Estuary. We suggest that the underlying reason for this significant increase in phytoplankton production is due to the amelioration of water quality in the river and upper estuary (IKSE 2010; Langhammer 2010; IKSE 2018), indicated by a BOD\(_7\) decrease by more than half in summer (1997–2020, Fig. S2), opposite of the observed POC increase. This is evidence that the ecosystem state of the Elbe Estuary, and perhaps the Elbe River, is still changing during the recovery state, as suggested by Rewrie et al. (in review), following the major anthropogenic influences and social changes before and during the 1980s–1990s. Therefore, changes in water quality should be taken into account in regional and global estimates for carbon processing in estuaries. We also found that the along-estuary DIC pattern was driven by the DIC source concentrations in the upper estuary, likely due to a DIC drawdown by primary producers in the upstream regions of the Elbe River (e.g. in 2005–2006 Fig. 2). This highlights the influence of the upper regions as a source of carbon labile to the estuary, and the importance to evaluate the carbon dynamics from the watershed as well.

In late spring, the significant correlation between mid-estuary DIC gain and upper estuary POC (Table 1) suggests that the increase in OM in the upper estuary and upstream waters is driving the long-term DIC increase in the mid-estuary identified by Rewrie et al. (in review). Since the upper estuary POC in late spring and summer tripled since the onset of the recovery state in 1997, and POC mineralisation efficiency is a linear function of POC concentration (Abril et al., 2002), we expect a higher turnover of POC in the estuary in recent years. Subsequently this increases DIC production over time, as was observed in this estuary.

The magnitude of along-estuary DIC gain in the mid-estuary and POC input into the estuary show no significant difference in late spring and summer (Table S5). There are also no other major sources of carbon along the estuary (Abril et al., 2002), suggesting that POC was efficiently remineralized and converted to DIC by the mid-estuary. This is supported by Abril et al. (2002) who reported mineralisation of labile riverine POC simultaneously with an increase in SPM at the entrance of the MTZ (here z4). We find that POC drops to < 4% of SPM in May to August (1997–2020) in the mid estuary (z4–z5, Fig. S5), indicating widespread OM
remineralization in the estuary. This suggests that improving water quality in an ecosystem, following significant ecosystem state changes (Rewrie et al., in review), can result in an increase or decrease of the estuary’s efficiency as a natural filter.

Most likely, a large part of POC from the Elbe is mineralized in the Hamburg Harbour (z2–z3), in the oxygen minimum zone, as suggested by Amann et al. (2012), characterized by persistent low pH and positive AOU (Fig. 2c–d). A low carbon to nitrogen ratio of suspended matter, with high dissolved silicate concentration, found by Dähnke et al. (2022) corroborates this, most likely with the dissolved silica values coming from diatom frustules. As a result of the POC remineralization, pCO₂ increases exponentially from the Hamburg Harbour (e.g. Fig S1), and reaches highest pCO₂ in the mid-estuary (z4), between 2.9 and 7.1 times that of the global mean annual atmospheric CO₂ (Fig. 3). Similar pCO₂ ranges were previously calculated in the Elbe Estuary (Amann et al., 2015; Norbisrath et al., 2022), and the calculated pCO₂ values match data from August 2010 (Fig S1) and June 2019 (Table S3). We postulate that POC from the upper estuary is therefore efficiently remineralized in the Hamburg Harbour, and is converted into DIC as CO₂ in the low pH regions (z2–z3, Fig. 2) and mid-estuary (z4–z5), also shown by Amann et al. (2015). As along-estuary pH increases in the mid to the lower estuary (Fig. 2c), accompanied by an along-estuary decrease in pCO₂ (Fig. 3), a speciation shift from pCO₂ to bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) occurs, retaining CO₂ in the carbonate system buffer. Previous studies (Kempe, 1982; Brasse et al., 2002) reported that seawater enriched in carbonate (CO₃²⁻) buffered by titration the freshwater high in pCO₂ and undersaturated with respect to calcite, in the low-salinity (< 15 psu) mid to lower regions, thus preventing CO₂ flux to the atmosphere. TA generation via calcium carbonate dissolution as described by Norbisrath et al. (2022) within the Elbe Estuary can also convert the CO₂ to HCO₃⁻. This could explain the observed continual along-estuary gain of DIC. Internal remineralization of POC via respiration in the Elbe Estuary, especially by the mid-estuary, and the equivalent POC concentration and along-estuary DIC gain, indicate retention of carbon in the Elbe Estuary, rather than a significant loss to the atmosphere. The mid and lower estuary concurrent increase in TA over time (Table 1 and Fig. 3), and absence of temporal pCO₂ increase (Fig. 3), indicates the produced DIC in the form of pCO₂ was consistently converted to HCO₃⁻. These findings emphasize the importance of the estuary in the carbon processing along the land-to-ocean continuum.

Increasing alkalinity trend during the past century has been observed in river and estuarine systems (Raymond et al., 2008; Kaushal et al. 2013). However, we propose the temporal late
spring and summer DIC increase in the Elbe Estuary was not fuelled by internal production of TA nor external inputs of TA via the Elbe River. Norbisrath et al. (2022) revealed calcium carbonate dissolution as the main biogeochemical process producing TA in the Elbe Estuary, which increases TA and DIC at a 2:1 ratio (Guo et al., 2008), and would therefore result in an overall higher TA concentration compared to DIC. The higher DIC content compared to TA in the mid-estuary (Fig. 3) confirms the along-estuary increase in DIC late spring and summer was not fuelled by a change in CaCO₃ dissolution processes. The rise of TA in rivers can increase TA in coastal ecosystems, as shown by a nearly 50% increase in TA export from the Mississippi River to the Gulf of Mexico (Raymond et al., 2008), which was found to be anthropogenically driven via cropland expansion, coupled with increased precipitation in the river catchment. In the present study, the absence of temporal late spring and summer TA increase in the upper and Hamburg Harbour regions (z1–z3, not shown) suggests the increase in carbonate parameters (TA and DIC) was not driven by external river inputs into the estuary.

### 4.1 The recent drought modulates estuarine carbon cycling

The recent drought period (2014–2020) has altered estuarine carbon cycling in several ways. Late spring (May) river discharge significantly decreased. By 2020, it reached levels (264 ± 19 m³ s⁻¹) usually observed during summer and early autumn, thus extending the dry summer season into late spring. As a result, the estuarine water residence time increased compared to non-drought years by approximately 3 times (Table S16), using a function estimated by Bergemann et al. (1996), where a decrease from 700 m³ s⁻¹ to 250 m³ s⁻¹ would extend the residence time of the mid-estuary from 5 to 17 days. The significant decrease in discharge coincided with a significantly higher, up to double, internal DIC load in the mid to lower estuary (Table S9–S10). This is unexpected, and indicates that low discharge in the Elbe River in May allows for a longer remineralization period of POC into DIC occurring in the mid–lower Elbe Estuary. We deduce that this was most likely enhanced by the sufficient POC loading, specifically to the mid-region, coupled with increased water residence time in the mid–lower estuary regions.

In contrast to late spring, the summer internal DIC load in the mid and lower estuary was significantly lower, by 35–42%, during the recent drought period between 2014 to 2020 (June–July), compared to the non-drought period (Table S9–S10), where floods were excluded to allow comparisons between the non-extreme situation and the drought event. For the last 3
years of the recent drought (2018–2020), we observed a shift in the ecosystem parameters, with a decrease in pH down to 7.7 and an increase to positive AOU, indicating a shift to dominating heterotrophy (Fig. 2). Findings of Kamjunke et al. (2022) confirm the efficient decomposition of algal organic carbon in the upper Elbe Estuary (585 Elbe-km, z1) during the drought in September 2019. Schulz et al. (in prep) reported nitrate depletion, down to 0.2 µM in this region (585 Elbe-km), indicating nutrient limitation of primary production in late July and August 2018–2019. Furthermore, the FGG Elbe observed the oxygen depleted zone extended to the upper estuary and further upstream in 2018 (Gregor Ollesch pers. Comm.). This opposes the long-term trend of the upper estuary (z1) with dominating autotrophy in late spring and summer, also shown in Amann et al. (2015). An extended drought period over several years, like the one observed in the Elbe Estuary, could result in an eventual shift in carbon processing, with POC decomposition and DIC production further upstream during summer, which can contribute to a decreasing internal DIC load in the mid-lower estuary. The DIC produced as CO₂ may not be buffered by the carbonate system in the upper estuary due to the absence of seawater containing CO₃²⁻ influence. Leading to CO₂ release to the atmosphere and abate along-estuary DIC export. This suggests a prolonged impact of droughts on ecosystem functioning, and a non-linear response of the ecosystem to forcing due to climate change. The potential changes in the magnitude of CO₂ evasion in the upper estuary during the summer drought period should be further investigated.

4.2 Controls on inorganic carbon in the lower-outer estuary

We find that POC loading from the upper estuary cannot account for the internal DIC load in the lower estuary, due to a significant surplus of internal DIC load compared to POC load in the upper estuary (Table S13), by an average of 1.3–1.9 times (Table S12). In the outer estuary, negative AOU and higher pH indicated net autotrophy in late spring and summer. This coupled with elevated POC, reaching 16% of SPM (Fig. S5), highlights increased availability of labile OM. Higher TA compared to DIC in the outer estuary, coupled with lower pCO₂ to levels closer to the respective annual mean atmospheric partial pressure (Fig. 3), corroborate the idea of net autotrophy in the outer estuary, e.g. as described in Brasse et al. (2002). The significant positive correlation between DIC and AOU, and negative correlation with pH, in summer in the outer estuary indicate the prevalent DIC drawdown in the outer estuary, with such correlations also found by Reimer et al. (1999) in the German Bight. However, rapid in situ remineralization of the autochtonous organic carbon from the coastal region, rather than POC from the upper
estuary, could counteract a strong DIC depletion as observed in the upper estuary. For example, Reimer et al. (1999) reported up to 75% of phytoplankton was remineralized in the German Bight. This newly produced OM may also be transported into the lower estuary during flood tide, and undergo remineralization subsequently producing DIC, as proposed by Voynova et al. (2015) for the area between the Delaware Bay and Murderkill Estuary.

Besides internal production of DIC, Hoppema (1993) and Voynova et al. (2019) conclude that remineralization of OM within Wadden Sea sediments and subsequent DIC release into the water column considerably contribute to elevated DIC concentrations in adjacent coastal regions. The Wadden Sea coastal region, adjacent to the outer Elbe Estuary, receives around 100 g C m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) OM from the North Sea (van Beusekom et al., 1999). Voynova et al. (2019) also found largest TA generation in summer and autumn at 7.8-8°E, west of the outer estuary (8.3-8.5°E, Fig. 1a), reaching 2400 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) in summer 2017, exceeding summer DIC (2250 ± 50 µmol kg\(^{-1}\)) while similar to summer TA (2491 ± 71 µmol kg\(^{-1}\)) in the outer estuary. Therefore, the remineralization of OM in Wadden Sea tidal flats exported to the coastal region and facilitated by tidal flow could contribute to the enhanced DIC in the outer estuary, especially during the peak DIC in summer.

Freshwater and salt marshes are also adjacent to the inner and outer Elbe Estuary and are known to contribute to the estuary DIC budget (Weiss, 2013). Weiss (2013) estimated the DIC export from the tidal marshes can account for 2.8–10.2% of the mean annual DIC from the Elbe Estuary at 63.5 ± 1.4 Gmol yr\(^{-1}\) (Amann et al., 2015). The total internal DIC load of 6.4 Gmol C month\(^{-1}\) for May to August between 1997 and 2020 (Table S12) represents 7–20% of the annual DIC export to coastal waters (Fig. 5). This highlights the importance of accounting for different carbon sources to disentangle the mechanisms responsible for carbon turnover in this region and to help improve regional and global carbon budget calculations.

### 4.3 Tentative inorganic carbon export estimates

The inorganic carbon in estuaries eventually settles in sediments, is released to the atmosphere as CO\(_2\) or exported to the adjacent coastal waters (Kempe, 1982). Amann et al. (2015) deduced that the latter two were the major export pathways in the Elbe Estuary. We have estimated the annual DIC air-water fluxes and lateral flux during the recovery state (Rewrie et al. in review), using the sum of the two as the estimated total export of DIC out of the Elbe Estuary.
Of the total Elbe Estuary DIC export, between 77 and 94 %, reaching 89 ± 4.8 Gmol C yr\(^{-1}\), was laterally transported to coastal waters, and thus up to 23 % was released via CO\(_2\) evasion at 10 Gmol C yr\(^{-1}\), which matches the ratio between DIC export to the atmosphere and to the coastal area quantified by Amann et al. (2015). Also, the air-water CO\(_2\) flux range (Fig. 5) places the Elbe Estuary within flux estimates for North Sea tidal estuaries (Volta et al. 2016). Amann et al. (2015) suggested the water residence time, not only influenced the CO\(_2\) flux to the atmosphere, but also the magnitude of DIC exported to the adjacent coastal waters. Based on the long-term mean annual Elbe River discharge (Fig. 2a), the Elbe Estuary was characterized by an average residence time of around 3 weeks estimated by Bergemann et al. (1996) as shown in Table S16. Whereas, the Satilla River estuary in the US was characterized by a longer average residence time of 8 weeks, and Cai and Wang (1998) calculated that 90 % of the total DIC export was CO\(_2\) evasion to the atmosphere. In contrast, only of 4.6 % of the DIC export from the Changjiang River estuary in East China was released to the atmosphere, which features a shorter residence times of a week or less (Zhai et al. 2007). This confirms the carbon cycling in estuarine and coastal waters is highly dependent on hydrological conditions.

The lowest DIC export to coastal waters of 38 ± 5.4 Gmol occurred in the drought period (2014–2020), and was a 24% decrease compared to the non-drought period and excluding flood events. Severe drought conditions have previously resulted in smaller carbon exportation from estuaries to the ocean (Tian et al., 2015; Cavalcante et al., 2021). For instance, in the Mississippi River basin, all C fluxes (DIC, DOC and POC) decreased by 38% to lowest fluxes in the 2006 dry year relative to a 10 year average (Tian et al., 2015). Major changes in the river discharge, such as significant reduction during prolonged drought, are therefore likely to have an impact on inorganic carbon delivery to the coastal ocean.

5 Conclusion

To assess the impact on the estuarine ecosystem, we compare the processes over the period of 1997 to 2020, described as the recovery state by Rewrie et al. (in review). It followed major shifts in ecosystem state after the 1980s heavy pollution. The significant increase in DIC in the mid Elbe Estuary of 6–21 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) from 1997 until 2020, during late spring and summer, is associated with a concomitant significant increase in POC, at 8–14 µmol kg\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\), in the upper estuary. The observed POC increase in the upstream waters was related to an overall
improvement in water quality, with a significant decrease in BOD by over half since 1997. The significant positive correlation between the along-estuary DIC gain in the mid-estuary and POC in the upper estuary (1997–2020) indicates that the amount of POC from the upper estuary is sufficient to drive the long-term DIC increase in the mid-estuary. The decomposition of POC by the mid-estuary region, prior to export to adjacent coastal waters also shows that the estuary is an efficient filter for upper estuary POC inputs.

A notable environmental driver in modulating carbon cycling in the estuary is a multi-year drought between 2014 and 2020, with significantly lower mean Elbe River discharge at 468 ± 234 m³ s⁻¹. We find that the drought extended the dry season into late spring, lengthening the water residence time by approximately 3 times. The increased residence time allowed for a longer remineralization period for POC in May, resulting in more than doubling the internal DIC load in the mid-lower estuary, compared to the non-drought period (1997–2013). Coupled with the high POC loading from the upper estuary, this resulted in the highest internal DIC load in the mid region.

In the lower to outer estuary, we find that different mechanisms likely support the maximum DIC concentrations observed here. The internal DIC load in the lower estuary was on average 1.3–1.9 times higher than the POC load from the upper estuary. We therefore postulate that allochtonous from the coastal regions or autochthonous (i.e. phytoplankton) labile OM was transported into the lower estuary and remineralized there, supporting higher DIC concentrations. Additionally, the export of OM from the surrounding Wadden Sea sediments followed by remineralization within the coastal waters (Voynova et al., 2019) and import of DIC from adjacent tidal marshes (Weiss, 2013) are mechanisms that could explain high outer estuary DIC concentrations. To accurately quantify DIC production, and determine the magnitude and direction of DIC loads in the lower and outer estuary, a study should concentrate on nearshore waters, whereby both flood and ebb tide must be considered.

On an annual basis, the Elbe Estuary acts as a source for CO₂, with up to 10 Gmol C yr⁻¹ released to the atmosphere and a maximum of 89 ± 4.8 Gmol C yr⁻¹ exported to adjacent coastal waters. The ratio between DIC export to the atmosphere and to the coastal area varied between 1997 and 2020, with 77–94% laterally transported to coastal waters, and only up to 23% released to the atmosphere, making the estuary an efficient system to fix carbon as DIC in outflow to the coastal regions. The DIC export to coastal waters decreased significantly in the drought period.
to 38 ± 5.4 Gmol C yr⁻¹, by 24% relative to the non-drought period average, and this shows the major effects of river discharge on the timing and magnitude of inorganic carbon export. The DIC production in and export from the Elbe Estuary is, therefore, an important factor in North Sea carbon budgets. While we have only provided tentative estimates of the changes in carbon export, we show it is essential to take into account seasonal, but also long-term changes in the DIC production and consumption within an estuary to understand long-term changes in air-water CO₂ flux, DIC export to coastal waters, as well the impacts of prolonged droughts on the coastal carbonate system. This knowledge is essential for predicting carbon cycling at the land to ocean continuum.
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