

# IMK/IAA MIPAS retrievals version 8: CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O

Norbert Glatthor<sup>1</sup>, Thomas von Clarmann<sup>†1</sup>, Bernd Funke<sup>2</sup>, Maya García-Comas<sup>2</sup>, Udo Grabowski<sup>1</sup>, Michael Höpfner<sup>1</sup>, Sylvia Kellmann<sup>1</sup>, Michael Kiefer<sup>1</sup>, Alexandra Laeng<sup>1</sup>, Andrea Linden<sup>1</sup>, Manuel López-Puertas<sup>2</sup>, and Gabriele P. Stiller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía, CSIC, Granada, Spain

**Correspondence:** Norbert Glatthor (norbert.glatthor@kit.edu)

**Abstract.** Using the IMK/IAA data processor, methane and nitrous oxide distributions were retrieved from version 8 limb emission spectra recorded with the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS). The [dataset data set](#) includes measurements from the Nominal, UTLS-1, Middle Atmosphere, Upper Atmosphere and Noctilucent Cloud observation modes. The processing differs from the previous version 5 data with respect to the atmospheric state variables [jointly retrieved that are jointly retrieved along](#) with the target gases CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O, the treatment of the radiance offset, the selection of microwindows, the regularization, the spectroscopic data used and the treatment of horizontal variability of the atmospheric state. Besides the regular data product, a coarse-grid representation of the profiles with unity averaging kernels is available, as well as a specific research product for Middle Atmosphere measurements resulting from a slightly different retrieval approach. The CH<sub>4</sub> errors are dominated by the large spectroscopic uncertainty for line intensities, which probably is too pessimistic, and estimated to be 21 - 34% in the altitude range 6 - 68 km for northern midlatitude summer day conditions. The N<sub>2</sub>O errors are 7 - 17% below 45 km. At higher altitudes they increase strongly due to nearly vanishing N<sub>2</sub>O amounts. Analysis of the horizontal averaging kernels reveals that for both gases the horizontal resolution is sampling-limited, i.e., information is not smeared over consecutive limb scans. Zonal mean seasonal composites of both CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O exhibit the typical distribution of source gases with strong upwelling in the tropics and subsidence above the winter poles. Comparison with the previous data version shows several improvements: First, the vertical resolution of the retrieved CH<sub>4</sub> (N<sub>2</sub>O) profiles has generally been significantly enhanced and varies between 2.5 (2.5) and 4 (5) km at altitudes between 10 and 60 km, with the best resolution around 30 km for both species. Secondly, the number of not converged retrievals has been clearly reduced, and thirdly, formerly strongly oscillating profiles are now considerably smoother.

## 1 Introduction

Both methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) are so-called source gases. That is to say, they are produced on the Earth's surface, and they survive the transport into the stratosphere, since they are chemically quite inert. There, they are decomposed by photolysis and reaction with radicals. N<sub>2</sub>O also has an additional source in the upper mesosphere and lower thermosphere (???). The main sink reaction of stratospheric methane is oxidation by the hydroxyl radical OH. Further sink reactions include

reaction with excited atomic oxygen ( $O(^1D)$ ), atomic chlorine, and photolysis. The primary sink reaction of stratospheric nitrous oxide involves  $O(^1D)$ , but photolysis also plays a role (?).

Both  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  act as greenhouse gases. Their global warming potential per molecule exceeds that of  $CO_2$  (?). Beyond this, both species contribute indirectly to stratospheric ozone destruction: Methane is a source of stratospheric water vapour and thus has impact on the possibility of heterogeneous chemistry via polar stratospheric cloud formation (?). Nitrous oxide is a source of reactive nitrogen (?).

Due to their relatively long stratospheric lifetimes,  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  are suitable as tracers of stratospheric circulation. Low ~~mixing ratios~~ volume mixing ratios (VMRs) of these species at a given altitude are a sign of a subsided air mass (see, e.g., ? or ?). ? use these gases to analyze lower stratospheric transport, and ? use these species to infer middle stratospheric circulation by inversion of the continuity equation.

$CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  can be measured either by air-sampling techniques (e.g., ?) or by remote sensing. Since any remote observation, whose line of sight runs through the entire atmosphere (ground-based or nadir measurements), is dominated by the tropospheric contribution of these gases, occultation and limb emission measurements are considered as superior to investigate stratospheric dynamics. The list of space missions which have measured one or both of these species includes the Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy (ATMOS, ?), the Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE, ??), the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment / Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS, ???), the Microwave Limb Sounder on Aura (Aura-MLS, ??), the ~~Submillimeter~~ Sub-Millimetre Wave Radiometer on Odin (Odin-SMR, ??), the Solar Occultation for Ice Experiment (SOFIE, ?), as well as the SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartography (SCIAMACHY, ?) and the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (?) on Envisat. A comparison of most of these measurements can be found in ?.

Earlier IMK/IAA MIPAS retrievals of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  were provided by ?, ?, and ?. Bias problems with these data (??) and the availability of MIPAS version 8 spectra triggered the work on a new  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  data set.

After a brief introduction to the MIPAS instrument (Section 2), we describe the retrieval of  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  with a strong focus on improvements with respect to preceding data versions (Section 3). In Section 4 the error budget is presented, and vertical as well as horizontal averaging kernels are discussed. Results are presented in Section 5 and discussed in the light of seasonal composites, improved convergence, decreased profile oscillations and comparison with a former validation study (?). We conclude with a brief discussion of the new data set and identify open problems that could not yet be solved.

## 2 MIPAS

The Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS) was a payload of the Envisat research satellite of the European Space Agency (ESA). Envisat performed 14.4 polar sun-synchronous orbits per day, enabling measurements with global coverage. MIPAS relied on the measurement technique of mid-infrared Fourier transform spectrometry operating in limb-viewing geometry (?). The spectral coverage was 4.1 to 14.6  $\mu m$  ( $685\text{--}2410\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). In the first phase of the mission, from June 2002 to March 2004, MIPAS measured at a full spectral

**Table 1.** List of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O data products. “Retrieval lin/log” indicates retrieval of VMR or of log(VMR).

Mode	Data product	Height range of scan / km	Retrieval <u>lin/log</u> <del>VMR</del>
FR_NOM	CH4_61	6-68	lin
FR_NOM	N2O_61	6-68	lin
RR_NOM	CH4_261	7-72	lin
RR_NOM	N2O_261	7-72	lin
RR_UTLS	CH4_161	5.5-49	lin
RR_UTLS	N2O_161	5.5-49	lin
RR_MA	CH4_561	18-102	lin
RR_MA	N2O_561	18-102	lin
RR_MA	CH4_562	18-102	log
RR_MA	N2O_562	18-102	log
RR_UA	CH4_662	42-112 <sup>(a)</sup>	log
RR_UA	N2O_662	42-112 <sup>(a)</sup>	log
RR_NLC	CH4_762	39-102	log
RR_NLC	N2O_762	39-102	log

(a) Height range used for retrievals. The complete scan of measurements extends up to 172 km.

resolution (FR) of 0.025 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unapodized). After a technical defect of the interferometer slide, spectra were recorded in reduced spectral resolution (RR) of 0.0625 cm<sup>-1</sup> (unapodized) from January 2005 to April 2012.

While the ESA provides their own data product (??), in this paper recent improvements of the retrieval of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O from MIPAS radiance spectra version 8.03 with the level-2 data processor developed and operated by the Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research (IMK) in cooperation with the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA) are discussed. Improvements with respect to calibration of the version 8.03 radiance spectra and their relevance to the retrieval are discussed in ? and ??. Here we concentrate on the progress made with respect to level-2 processing, i.e., the inference of volume mixing ratios (~~VMR~~) from the radiance spectra and related data characterization.

This paper covers data from both the FR and the RR mission phases and includes measurements recorded in the Nominal (NOM), the Upper Troposphere Lower Stratosphere (UTLS-1), the Middle Atmosphere (MA), the Upper Atmosphere (UA) and the Noctilucent Cloud (NLC) measurement modes. An overview of these modes can be found in the MIPAS mission plan (?). The respective data versions are V8H\_CH4\_61 and V8H\_N2O\_61 for FR measurements, V8R\_CH4\_261 and V8R\_N2O\_261 for RR NOM measurements, V8R\_CH4\_161 and V8R\_N2O\_161 for UTLS-1 measurements, V8R\_CH4\_662 and V8R\_N2O\_662 for UA and V8R\_CH4\_762 and V8R\_N2O\_762 for NLC measurements. For MA measurements, we offer two co-existing data versions. The versions V8R\_CH4\_561 and V8R\_N2O\_561 are more consistent with NOM measurements while V8R\_CH4\_562 and V8R\_N2O\_562 are better suited for use in combination with UA measurements (more details in Sect. 3.6). Table 1 gives an overview of the different version 8 CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O data products.

### 3 Retrieval

The retrieval relies on constrained multi-target least squares fitting as described by ? and ?. The radiative transfer model in use is the Karlsruhe Optimized and Precise Radiative Transfer Algorithm KOPRA (?). The retrieval of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O is part of a sequential procedure and follows the retrieval of temperature and tangent altitude corrections (?), ozone (~~??~~ (?)), water vapour  
5 (?), and HNO<sub>3</sub> (?). The results of these preceding V8 retrievals are used for the retrieval of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O. For modelling of the radiance contribution of species, which follow later in the retrieval chain, data version V5 mixing ratios are used.

Both MIPAS IMK/IAA CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles always had a high bias in the troposphere and the lower stratosphere (~~??~~).  
~~The~~ with respect to ground-based in-situ measurements and remote measurements in other spectral regions (??). Among other  
changes, the high methane bias of data versions V5H\_CH4\_20, V5R\_CH4\_220 and V5R\_CH4\_221 was reduced by discard-  
10 ing saturated methane lines(??), resulting in a remaining bias of the order 0.1–0.2 ppmv. The high bias of V5H\_N2O\_20,  
V5R\_N2O\_220 and V5R\_N2O\_221 could be lowered to ~20 ppbv (?). However, there was a price to pay in that for resulting  
data versions V5H\_CH4\_21, V5H\_N2O\_21, V5R\_CH4\_224, V5R\_CH4\_225, V5R\_N2O\_224, and V5R\_N2O\_225, the vertical  
resolution of both species was considerably deteriorated in the altitude range from 10 to 20 km. The goal of version V8  
processing was to improve the vertical resolution relative to version V5 without increasing the high bias again.

15 Another issue with previous data versions was the frequent non-convergence of the retrievals in situations of non-monotonous  
decrease of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O with altitude or of pronounced horizontal inhomogeneities of the atmospheric state. Such conditions  
were typically encountered when the line of sight of the measurement passed through the boundary of the polar vortex. In  
consequence, V8 processing aimed at a higher convergence rate even under extreme atmospheric conditions. In many cases of  
non-convergence, the target quantities in the course of the iteration flipped back and forth between two solutions, which were  
20 associated with approximately the same value of the cost function. In this case, application of an oscillation detection proved  
to be a particularly useful feature. The oscillation detector calculates the mean of the two solutions as initial guess of the next  
iteration. More details are given in ?. Beside a second improvement presented in Section 3.1, this intervention ~~lead~~ led to a  
considerable decrease of the fraction of not converged retrievals.

Like for previous CH<sub>4</sub>- and N<sub>2</sub>O-retrievals, a more restrictive cloud filter than for temperature and tangent altitude retrieval  
25 ~~(cloud-threshold of 4.0 using was used for the V8 data version. As for the temperature and tangent altitude retrieval (?), a~~  
minimum spectral radiance ratio of 4 between analysis windows around 792 and 833 cm<sup>-1</sup> ~~) was used for the V8 data version~~  
~~by additionally discarding spectra with a mean spectral radiance contrast of less than 1.8 was required to accept spectra as~~  
cloud-free. In addition to this, for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrieval spectra were discarded when the ratio of the mean spectral radiances  
between the analysis windows 1246.3–1249.1 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1232.3–1234.4 cm<sup>-1</sup> was less than 1.8. Due to this more ~~restrictive~~  
30 stringent cloud filter, MIPAS CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles often do not reach as far down as, e.g., those of temperature, O<sub>3</sub> or HNO<sub>3</sub>.  
Mostly they end at one tangent altitude further above.

The most relevant changes in the V8 retrieval setup compared to the earlier data versions are related to the selection of  
microwindows, the spectroscopic data, the regularization, the treatment of horizontal variability and the modelling of the zero  
offset.

Some purely technical adjustments resulting in a higher numerical precision of the entire V8 retrieval chain have already been reported by ? and ??. In the following we discuss the specific retrieval settings relevant to the combined V8 CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrieval.

### 3.1 The unknowns of the retrieval

5 Since CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O have a lot of overlapping lines, profiles of both species are obtained together in a co-target retrieval. For NOM measurements their profiles are sampled on a discrete retrieval grid with a gridwidth of 1 km between 4 and 70 km and additional levels at 75, 80, 90, 100 and 120 km. For the MA-, UA- and NLC-measurement modes, the retrieval grid also has a 1-km spacing up to 70 km, a 2-km spacing from 70 to 90 km altitude, a 2.5-km spacing between 90 and 95 km, a 5-km spacing between 95 and 110 km, and an additional level at 120 km. In the V8 retrievals, for the first  
10 time horizontal mixing ratio gradients of both target gases are additionally retrieved along with the other unknowns ~~(cf. Sect. 3.2).~~ This procedure turned out to be the second essential means to improve the convergence rate. Further, an altitude- and microwindow-dependent background continuum (Sect. 3.1.1), which had proven adequate in previous data versions, as well as a radiance offset correction (Sect. 3.1.2) are retrieved. Contrary to the previous data version, the interfering species H<sub>2</sub>O and HNO<sub>3</sub> are no longer joint-fitted along with CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O, but the ~~previously retrieved V8 profiles~~ profiles of their  
15 prior V8 retrievals are used instead. This change saved computation time and had hardly any influence on the retrieved CH<sub>4</sub>- and N<sub>2</sub>O-profiles. ~~Further, an altitude- and microwindow-dependent background continuum (Sect. 3.1.1), which had proven adequate in previous data versions, as well as a radiance offset correction~~ (For modelling of O<sub>3</sub> signatures, the previously retrieved V8 profiles are used as well. The interfering species C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>O (acetone), CFC-113, ClONO<sub>2</sub>, COF<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, HCN, HOCl, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> are modelled by use of the respective V5 profiles, since they are on a later position in the  
20 V8 retrieval chain. For modelling of CO<sub>2</sub> and CF<sub>4</sub> climatological profiles are used. The same applies for COF<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and HOCl during the period of RR measurements, for which V5 retrievals are not available.

Although some of the microwindows used for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrieval (cf. Sect. 3.4) contain prominent lines of the water vapour isotopologue HDO, it is not jointly retrieved. Test calculations showed that a joint-fit of HDO mostly led to changes of the order of 1% or less in the lower parts of the CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles only.

#### 25 3.1.1 Background continuum

Since the first MIPAS retrievals, a background continuum is fitted to minimize that part of the residual between measured and modeled spectra which cannot be explained by the contribution of trace gas transitions (?). This continuum is realized by a wavenumber-independent absorption cross-section per microwindow and altitude. The background continuum has been considered up to the altitude of 58 km since the last data version (for details see ?). Above, the continuum is forced towards  
30 zero by a diagonal term of the regularization matrix (cf. Section 3.3). For evaluation of UTLS-1 measurements the continuum is retrieved for altitudes up to 44 km only, because in this mode the upper end of the limb scan is already at about 50 km altitude.

### 3.1.2 Radiance offset

To correct for a potentially non-perfect zero-level calibration of the spectra, an additive radiance offset is fitted along with the target variables. ~~While the~~ The radiance offset correction fitted in previous data versions was allowed to be ~~microwindow-dependent~~ microwindow dependent, but forced to be altitude-constant, ~~it is now~~. Now it is allowed to be altitude-  
5 dependent as well. ~~By this means the instrumental characteristic~~, because Kleinert et al. (2018) have shown that the offset increases with decreasing altitude, which they attribute to straylight from Earth or clouds. Thus, the instrumental performance can be reproduced better by this change. We use a priori information on the offset as provided by ?. This is necessary, because at higher altitudes radiative transfer is close to linear, which makes the background continuum and the radiance offset practically indistinguishable. Without an a priori constraint this would lead to instabilities in the retrieval.

### 10 3.2 Horizontal gradients

Traditional limb sounding retrieval methods assume a spherically homogeneous atmosphere where the atmospheric state varies with altitude only. This assumption, however, can be inadequate, and contributed to convergence failures in various previous CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrievals in the vortex boundary region. Ideally, this problem would be solved by a fully tomographic retrieval (see, e.g., ???). But in combination with accurate radiative transfer modelling the computational workload involved in this case  
15 can be obstructive. ~~Instead, we consider horizontal temperature inhomogeneities by inferring a 2D temperature distribution in the plane spanned by the lines of sight of the limb sequence under analysis directly from the retrieved~~ Thus, in the V8 3D temperature fields (cf. retrieval of temperature and line-of-sight a 3D temperature a priori field is scaled to the retrieved profile values, and in addition a horizontal temperature gradient is determined for the upper range of the retrieval altitudes (see ?)). This information is used in the CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrievals.

20 Several approaches to model the horizontal inhomogeneity of the retrieved trace gases - among others of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O - were tested as well. One of them was to import the information on the horizontal structure of trace gas fields from previous MIPAS data versions. However, none of the approaches improved the  $\chi^2$  of the spectral fit or led to better consistency with the overall 3D structures found in previous data versions. ~~Thus, Therefore~~ these approaches were finally discarded. Instead, the horizontal gradients of the CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratios ~~were are~~ jointly retrieved along with the mixing ratios, continuum and  
25 offset at the nominal geolocation, ~~continuum and offset~~. The fitting of the horizontal CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O gradients as unknowns is done by including the respective Jacobians in the fitting procedure. These gradients are applied within a range of  $\pm 400$  km around the tangent points. No additional assumptions are made. As mentioned above, besides the use of an oscillation detector within the retrieval iterations this treatment also ~~led leads~~ to a larger fraction of converged retrievals. A comparison between the V5- and V8-convergence rates is given in Section 5.2.

### 30 3.3 Regularization

As usual for IMK/IAA MIPAS data evaluation, the regularization of the V8 methane and nitrous oxide retrieval ~~consisted~~ consists in use of a smoothing term based on a squared first order difference operator (see, e.g., ???) , for NOM and UTLS1

measurements in combination with a flat all zero a priori profile (for handling of the other modes see Sect. 3.6). In order to avoid large negative mixing ratios at high altitudes an additional diagonal term ~~was is~~ included in the regularization matrix, which pushes the result towards the a priori profile. This follows the idea of an optimal estimation or maximum a posteriori retrieval (?). This diagonal term ~~was is~~ employed at the five highest vertical gridpoints for CH<sub>4</sub> and the two uppermost gridpoints  
5 for N<sub>2</sub>O only. With this modification, which had already been implemented by ? for version V5 retrievals, the Tikhonov-type smoothing regularization ~~could can~~ be reduced at altitudes above 70 km without risking retrieval instabilities. The formalism to control the altitude dependence of the smoothing constraint used here is described by ?, their Eq. (3), and replaces the approach by ? that was previously used.

### 3.4 Microwindows

10 MIPAS retrievals of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O use the spectral region around 1300 cm<sup>-1</sup> in the MIPAS B-band. The most prominent spectral lines in this

region belong to the CH<sub>4</sub>  $\nu_4$  band and the N<sub>2</sub>O  $\nu_1$  band. In comparison to the previous retrieval-setups (V5H\_CH4\_21, V5H\_N2O\_21, V5R\_CH4\_224 and V5R\_N2O\_224, see ?), the number of spectral gridpoints used for the retrieval of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O has been considerably enlarged, both by increasing the wavenumber range used for analysis to 1217.0–1337.9375  
15 cm<sup>-1</sup> and by taking into account more CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O lines. Especially the latter change ~~led leads~~ to a considerably better altitude resolution in the UTLS region. The new setup consists of 24 microwindows. In order to reduce saturation effects, only microwindows between 1217 and 1260 cm<sup>-1</sup> ~~were are~~ used at tangent altitudes below 16.5 km. Microwindows at higher wavenumbers containing stronger lines ~~were are~~ subsequently added at higher tangent altitudes.

The microwindows used for NOminal Mode retrievals (FR and RR) are listed in Table 2. These analysis windows are not al-  
20 ways used completely over the full height range. Generally, at lower altitudes spectral gridpoints with appreciable interferences by other species are discarded, depending on the strength of the interference, while at stratospheric altitudes and above the full windows are used. The microwindows applied for MA-, UA- and NLC-retrievals are slightly different in the lower atmosphere.

### 3.5 Spectroscopy

While former MIPAS level-2 processing relied largely on older spectroscopic data of the HITRAN database (e.g., HITRAN08  
25 for V5 CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O (?)), for version V8 data processing generally the HITRAN2016 (?) line list ~~was is~~ used. In the spectral range of our CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrievals, the HITRAN2016 methane line intensities are only somewhat larger than those of HITRAN2008 ~~are~~ about 1% for weak lines ~~and, but~~ clearly below 1% for strong lines. This leads to a very small reduction of up to 0.02 ppmv in retrieved CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios. The spectroscopic data for N<sub>2</sub>O have hardly changed between HITRAN2008 and HITRAN2016 in the spectral region 1200-1340 cm<sup>-1</sup>. For O<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub>, versions pf3.32 and pf4.45 of the MIPAS spectroscopy are used, respectively. In the latter database, the HNO<sub>3</sub> band in the 7.6  $\mu$ m region is thoroughly adjusted to the band in the 11  $\mu$ m region, which is essential in order to use the HNO<sub>3</sub> prefit.

30 Although line coupling in the CH<sub>4</sub>  $\nu_3$  and  $\nu_4$  bands has been investigated by ?, the HITRAN2016 database does not include the relevant data. Thus, line mixing effects ~~were are~~ not considered. Resulting retrieval errors are deemed negligible, because ?

**Table 2.** Microwindows used for MIPAS CH<sub>4</sub>- and N<sub>2</sub>O-retrieval for full (first column) and reduced (second column) spectral resolution.

Wavenumber range (FR) (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Wavenumber range (RR) (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Altitude range (km)
1217.0000–1221.0500	1217.0000–1221.0625	12–21
1223.5000–1226.5000	1223.5000–1226.5000	12–21
1226.6250–1230.8000	1226.6250–1230.8125	6–72
1231.2500–1235.5000	1231.2500–1235.5000	6–72
1235.7000–1240.0000	1235.6875–1240.0000	6–72
1240.5000–1244.8000	1240.5000–1244.8125	12–72
1245.0000–1249.1250	1245.0000–1249.1250	12–72
1250.3250–1252.8000	1250.3125–1252.8125	6–18
1254.0000–1257.5500	1254.0000–1257.5625	18–72
1258.0000–1259.3000	1258.0000–1259.3125	15–72
1261.3250–1264.1250	1261.3125–1264.1250	18–72
1266.0000–1269.3750	1266.0000–1269.3750	18–72
1270.0000–1274.0000	1270.0000–1274.0000	18–72
1274.3250–1277.5000	1274.3125–1277.5000	18–72
1277.8250–1281.7500	1277.8125–1281.7500	18–72
1282.5000–1283.5500	1282.5000–1283.5625	30–72
1287.6250–1291.6250	1287.6250–1291.6250	30–72
1292.2000–1296.4250	1292.1875–1296.4375	30–72
1296.8750–1299.5000	1296.8750–1299.5000	30–72
1302.7500–1306.5000	1302.7500–1306.5000	30–72
1321.8750–1322.3000	1321.8750–1322.3125	52–72
1326.8750–1327.5000	1326.8750–1327.5000	52–72
1331.8750–1332.9250	1331.8750–1332.9375	52–72
1336.8750–1337.9250	1336.8750–1337.9375	52–72

report very small effects in radiance spectra of the CH<sub>4</sub>  $\nu_4$  band modelled for satellite applications. Beyond this, the effects are largely masked by the signal of interfering species, particularly H<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub>O, and CO<sub>2</sub>. The Q-branch, where line-mixing effects are most relevant, is used in our retrieval at altitudes above 28.5 km only. At these low pressures, line mixing is even less of an issue.

### 5 3.6 MA, UA and NLC retrieval settings

The retrievals for MA, UA and NLC observation modes differ from those of the NOM measurements with respect to the following settings: The consideration of non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE) emissions (?), the definition of the state vector, and the regularization chosen.

For CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrievals from measurements recorded in the NOM and UTLS-1 measurement modes, the local thermodynamic equilibrium approximation is adequate. The situation is different for MA, UA, and NLC measurements, where non-LTE emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and H<sub>2</sub>O have to be considered. Non-LTE populations are computed with the Generic RA-



diative traNsfer AnD non-LTE population Algorithm (GRANADA, ?), which is part of the retrieval iteration in the sense that GRANADA is fed during each iteration with the updated guess values of the relevant atmospheric state variables. For N<sub>2</sub>O, the following transitions ~~were~~ are considered for non-LTE: 0200-0000, 1000-0000, 0310-0110, 1110-0110, 1200-0200, 1220-0220 and 2000-1000. In retrospective, however, related non-LTE contributions were found to be negligibly small with effects of about 0.1 nW/(cm<sup>2</sup>sr cm<sup>-1</sup>). For CH<sub>4</sub>, the transitions 0001-0000, 0100-0000, 0002-0001, and 0101-0100 ~~were~~ are considered. Only transitions involving the fundamental band were found to show a sizable signal difference associated with non-LTE-effects. The most important non-LTE contributions are associated with the 010-000 and the 020-010 transitions of the interfering species H<sub>2</sub>O.

While in NOM, UTLS-1 and the first version of MA retrievals (cf. Sect. 2) the mixing ratios of trace constituents are retrieved, in the second version of MA retrievals (see below) as well as in UA and NLC retrievals their logarithm is retrieved. The effect of this redefinition of the formal state variables is that the regularization is implicitly self-adaptive to the abundance of the species. This turned out to be advantageous because of the large dynamic range of mixing ratios, especially when high altitudes are included. Instead of an all-zero a priori profile as used in the NOM retrievals, gas-dependent a priori profiles are used. For CH<sub>4</sub>, these are taken from a climatology generated with the Whole Atmosphere Community Climate Model (WACCM, ??) version 4. For N<sub>2</sub>O, geolocated output from a specified dynamics WACCM run is used up to the altitude of 90 km. Above 100 km the a priori mixing ratio is set to 20 ppbv, which corresponds to the climatological mean of ACE-FTS profiles (?). Between 90 and 100 km the N<sub>2</sub>O a priori is a smooth transition between the WACCM value at 90 km and the climatological mean of 20 ppbv used above 100 km.

For the MA retrievals, we offer two coexisting data versions. Version V8R\_CH4\_561 and V8R\_N2O\_561 uses retrieval settings nearly completely consistent with those of the NOM retrievals. The major difference is consideration of non-LTE. Another difference is a slight change of the CH<sub>4</sub> constraint above 70 km altitude. This data version is suggested to be used in combination with NOM measurements, when data users intend to fill gaps in the latter data set with MA data.

Version V8R\_CH4\_562 and V8R\_N2O\_562 is better compatible with UA measurements. The main difference to version V8R\_CH4\_561 and V8R\_N2O\_561 is that instead of the mixing ratios of the target gases their logarithms are retrieved. Further, only measurements from tangent altitudes at and above 40 km are used. Instead of all zero a prioris, the same a priori profiles as for the UA retrievals are used above 40 km. Like for the UA and NLC retrievals, the constraint has been adjusted for logarithmic retrievals. Further, a strong diagonal constraint is added to profile values below 35 km altitude in order to tie the results in this altitude range to the V8R\_CH4\_561 and V8R\_N2O\_561 data that are used as a priori here.

The additional data product V8R\_CH4\_562 and V8R\_N2O\_562 is not meant to supersede the data product V8R\_CH4\_561 and V8R\_N2O\_561. Within their diagnostic data (error estimates and averaging kernels) we consider both data versions as valid. As already mentioned above, the data versions 561 are recommended to be used in combination with NOM data and the versions 562 in combination with UA and NLC data.

## 4 Data characterization

The MIPAS data characterization presented here includes the error budget as well as vertical and horizontal averaging kernel matrices.

### 4.1 Error budget

5 Error analysis for both CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O complies with the recommendations for unified error reporting by ? and follows the methodology presented in ?. Relevant error sources and assumptions on ~~the~~their ingoing uncertainties are compiled in Tables 3 and 4 for FR and RR measurements, respectively. In detail, these ~~Tables~~tables contain instrumental uncertainties, noise errors from retrieval of temperature, line of sight and prefitted gases, other uncertainties propagated from retrieval of temperature and line of sight and spectroscopic uncertainties. The error ranges for ingoing noise errors were calculated for daytime northern  
10 midlatitude summer conditons.

Each error source in our error estimation is assumed to be given as 1- $\sigma$  uncertainty. However, transformation of the uncertainty intervals of spectroscopic parameters reported in HITRAN2016 into this quantity leaves room for some interpretation. As a reasonable assumption, we decided to regard the average of the lower and upper bound of the intervals reported as 1- $\sigma$  error bars. If this should turn out to be inadequate, the data user will have to rescale the respective error components of our  
15 CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles.

For estimation of spectroscopic uncertainties, each CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O line is disturbed in intensity and air-broadened half-width according to its HITRAN error code. For the dominant CH<sub>4</sub> transitions in the analysis windows used here, HITRAN2016 (?) reports error code 3 for line intensity, corresponding to uncertainties larger than 20%. Thus, we perturbed the intensities of the strongest CH<sub>4</sub> lines by 25%. However, the error estimates for these lines are probably too pessimistic, and an error code of 5  
20 (5 – 10%) might be more adequate (I. Gordon, pers. comm., 2023). Application of this error code would lead to considerably lower estimated CH<sub>4</sub> errors. For the air-broadened half-widths error code 6 is reported for strong CH<sub>4</sub> lines, which corresponds to uncertainties between 2% and 5%. Thus, for these lines the air-broadened half-widths are perturbed by 3.5%. For previous MIPAS data versions we used uncertainty estimates by J.-M. Flaud and C. Piccolo (personal communication, 2002), which were much more optimistic with respect to uncertainties in line intensity (2-4% for the strongest CH<sub>4</sub> lines). However, our  
25 estimation of uncertainties in air-broadened half-widths was much more conservative then, namely 25%. Interestingly, the combined effect of both ways of disturbing the CH<sub>4</sub> lines leads to approximately the same spectroscopy-related uncertainty of the retrieved methane mixing ratios in the altitude range up to 30 km. But at higher altitudes our former error estimates led to a much lower spectroscopic error, because the air-broadening becomes more and more unimportant. For strong N<sub>2</sub>O lines, HITRAN assigns error code 6 (2-5%) both to line intensities and the air-broadened half-widths. Following our approach this  
30 transforms to 1- $\sigma$  uncertainties of 3.5% used for perturbation of both line parameters.

We do not include the smoothing error in the error budget, because it is easily misinterpreted (?). Instead, our CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles should be conceived as estimates of the smoothed true profile (See ?, his Section 3.2.1, for a discussion of this concept).

**Table 3.** Assumed ingoing uncertainties (FR-NOM): ~~Ingoing uncertainties given as error range are minimum~~ Minimum and maximum ~~values determined for northern midlatitude day conditions. For this purpose, scenario averages were calculated for each retrieval altitude or tangent height in the altitude range 10 to 70 km. The minimum and maximum~~ ingoing noise errors ~~given~~ for temperature, tangent altitude and ~~vmr are calculated~~ VMR of interfering species were available from the ~~target ESD preceding retrievals of the respective retrieval at these quantities (northern midlatitude summer day conditions).~~ Minimum and maximum ingoing propagated errors for offset, gain, shift, ILS and CO<sub>2</sub>-spectroscopy are taken from the error estimation for temperature and line of sight. Column “Propagation Method”: “G” refers to generalized Gaussian error propagation in a matrix formalism, “P” to error estimation via perturbation spectra. The numbers in braces refer to the equation in ?, in which the respective ingoing error is applied. ~~If an unpublished V5 vmr data set is used, a reference to an earlier data version is included.~~

Type of Uncertainty	Value/ <u>Typical Value</u> <sup>(a)</sup>	Source	Propagation Method
noise	16 nW/ (cm <sup>2</sup> sr cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <del>(a)</del> <u>(b)</u>	?	G(16)
offset	2.5 nW/ (cm <sup>2</sup> sr cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <del>(a)</del> <u>(b)</u>	?	G(5;13)
gain random	0.21%	?	P(21; <del>22</del> 23)
gain systematic	1.03%	?	P(21; <del>22</del> 23)
spectral shift	0.00029 cm <sup>-1</sup>	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
ILS	3%	?	P(7;14;15)
temperature, noise	0.24 - 1.04 K	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
tangent altitudes, noise	35.0 - 78.5 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	G(6)
temperature, offset	0.05 - 0.39 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tangent altitudes, offset	8.0 - 23.6 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(7)
temperature, gain systematic	0.30 - 0.79 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(19)
tang. alt., gain systemat.	1.9 - 51.1 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(19)
temperature, gain random	0.05 - 0.15 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(19)
tang. alt., gain random	0.4 - 9.3 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(19)
temperature, spectral shift	<0.01 - 0.71 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(17)
tang. alt., spectral shift	1.6 - 40.8 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(17)
temperature, ILS	0.03 - 1.26 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tangent altitudes, ILS	7.1 - 122.3 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(7)
temperature, CO <sub>2</sub> intens.	0.03 - 0.16 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tang. alt., CO <sub>2</sub> intens.	23.8 - 34.6 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(7)
temperature, CO <sub>2</sub> broad.	0.09 - 1.10 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tang. alt., CO <sub>2</sub> broad.	189.2 - 298.2 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> <u>(?)</u>	P(7)
vmr(H <sub>2</sub> O)	1.60×10 <sup>-1</sup> - 2.54×10 <sup>0</sup> <del>-</del> <u>+0</u>	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
ppmv			
vmr(HNO <sub>3</sub> )	6.18×10 <sup>-5</sup> - 4.78×10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(O <sub>3</sub> )	3.13×10 <sup>-2</sup> - 1.42×10 <sup>-1</sup> ppmv	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> )	2.98×10 <sup>-6</sup> - 1.01×10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O)	4.32×10 <sup>-7</sup> - 8.48×10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (unpublished data)	G(6)
vmr(CFC-113)	2.17×10 <sup>-7</sup> - 1.21×10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (unpublished data)	G(6)
vmr(ClONO <sub>2</sub> )	7.29×10 <sup>-6</sup> - 1.02×10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(COF <sub>2</sub> )	7.02×10 <sup>-7</sup> - 3.70×10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> )	1.55×10 <sup>-6</sup> - 1.59×10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(HCN)	1.50×10 <sup>-5</sup> - 4.40×10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(HOCl)	2.45×10 <sup>-7</sup> - 7.78×10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )	2.49×10 <sup>-5</sup> - 3.76×10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(NO <sub>2</sub> )	7.78×10 <sup>-8</sup> - 3.78×10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(SO <sub>2</sub> )	5.84×10 <sup>-5</sup> - 3.99×10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
<u>vmr(CO<sub>2</sub>)</u>	<u>7.56×10<sup>-1</sup> - 7.51×10<sup>+0</sup> ppmv</u>	<u>WACCM model calc. (?)</u>	<u>P(20)</u>
<u>vmr(CF<sub>4</sub>)</u>	<u>5.51×10<sup>-6</sup> - 1.35×10<sup>-5</sup> ppmv</u>	<u>database (? and updates)</u>	<u>P(7;11)</u>
line intensities CH <sub>4</sub>	25% <del>(b)</del> <u>(c)</u>	HITRAN (?)	P(7)
broad. coeff. CH <sub>4</sub>	3.5% <del>(b)</del> <u>(c)</u>	HITRAN (?)	P(7)
line intensities N <sub>2</sub> O	3.5% <del>(b)</del> <u>(c)</u>	HITRAN (?)	P(7)

**Table 4.** Assumed ingoing uncertainties (RR-NOM): For details see Table 3. Ingoing uncertainties for RR-MA- and RR-UA-retrievals are not included in this table, because V5 prefits were not available for these modes, and climatological profiles were used. Maximum ingoing uncertainties for RR-MA- and RR-UA-V8-retrievals of temperature and O<sub>3</sub> at altitudes above 90 km are 7.41 K and 0.28 ppmv, respectively.

Type of Uncertainty	Value/ <u>Typical Value<sup>(a)</sup></u>	Source	Propagation Method
noise	10 nW/ (cm <sup>2</sup> sr cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <u>(a)</u> <u>(b)</u>	?	G(16)
offset	1.5 nW/ (cm <sup>2</sup> sr cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <u>(a)</u> <u>(b)</u>	?	G(5;13)
gain random	0.21%	?	P(21; <del>22</del> 23)
gain systematic	1.03%	?	P(21; <del>22</del> 23)
spectral shift	0.00029 cm <sup>-1</sup>	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
ILS	3%	?	P(7;14,15)
temperature, noise	0.22 - 1.23 K	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
tangent altitudes, noise	29.2 - 51.6 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	G(6)
temperature, offset	0.03 - 0.45 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tangent altitudes, offset	7.5 - 15.5 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(7)
temperature, gain systematic	0.22 - 0.81 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(19)
tang. alt., gain systemat.	1.1 - 48.7 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(19)
temperature, gain random	0.04 - 0.15 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(19)
tang. alt., gain random	0.2 - 8.9 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(19)
temperature, spectral shift	<0.1 - 0.10 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(17)
tang. alt., spectral shift	0.8 - 15.0 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(17)
temperature, ILS	0.05 - 1.16 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tangent altitudes, ILS	7.6 - 113.1 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(7)
temperature, CO <sub>2</sub> intens.	0.03 - 0.18 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tang. alt., CO <sub>2</sub> intens.	26.1 - 34.0 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(7)
temperature, CO <sub>2</sub> broad.	0.14 - 1.47 K	V8 retrieval (?)	P(7)
tang. alt., CO <sub>2</sub> broad.	198.1 - 251.7 m	V8 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	P(7)
vmr(H <sub>2</sub> O)	1.87 × 10 <sup>-1</sup> - 2.24 × 10 <sup>0</sup> <del>-</del> <sup>+0</sup>	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
	ppmv		
vmr(HNO <sub>3</sub> )	5.54 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> - 4.51 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(O <sub>3</sub> )	2.48 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> - 8.52 × 10 <sup>-2</sup> ppmv	V8 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> )	3.22 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> - 9.55 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O)	5.01 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> - 8.07 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (unpublished data)	G(6)
vmr(CFC-113)	2.26 × 10 <sup>-7</sup> - 1.14 × 10 <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (unpublished data)	G(6)
vmr(ClONO <sub>2</sub> )	7.38 × 10 <sup>-6</sup> - 1.04 × 10 <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr( <del>COF<sub>2</sub></del> HCN)	<del>6.671.54 × 10<sup>-6</sup></del> <sup>-5</sup> - <del>6.944.41 × 10<sup>-5</sup></del> ppmv	database <del>(?, and updates)</del> V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(HN <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )	<u>2.68 × 10<sup>-5</sup> - 3.54 × 10<sup>-4</sup> ppmv</u>	V5 retrieval (?)	G(6) G(6)
vmr(NO <sub>2</sub> )	<del>3.037.49 × 10<sup>-6</sup></del> <sup>-8</sup> - <del>+123.77 × 10<sup>-4</sup></del> ppmv	database <del>(?, and updates)</del> V5 retrieval (?)	G(6)
vmr(HCN <del>SO</del> <sub>2</sub> )	<del>+545.95 × 10<sup>-5</sup></del> - <del>4.414.24 × 10<sup>-5</sup></del> <sup>-4</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval <del>(?)</del> (?)	G(6)
vmr( <del>HOCl</del> CO <sub>2</sub> )	<del>2.857.56 × 10<sup>-1</sup></del> - <del>7.51 × 10<sup>+0</sup></del> ppmv	WACCM model calc. (?)	P(20)
vmr(CF <sub>4</sub> )	<u>5.51 × 10<sup>-6</sup> - 7.271.35 × 10<sup>-5</sup> ppmv</u>	database (?, and updates)	<del>G(6)</del> P(7;11)
	12		
vmr( <del>NCOF<sub>2</sub></del> O <sub>5</sub> )	<del>2.686.67 × 10<sup>-5</sup></del> <sup>-6</sup> - <del>3.546.94 × 10<sup>-4</sup></del> <sup>-5</sup> ppmv	V5 retrieval <del>(?)</del> database <del>(?, and updates)</del>	<del>G(6)</del> P(7;11)
vmr( <del>NOH</del> O <sub>5</sub> )			

~~For Error profiles representing the effect of measurement noise, provided by the retrievals, are reported separately for each single CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profile, individual noise estimates are supplied. For the other error sources, mean error estimates are provided for each typical atmospheric condition in the data base. In addition, the data base includes estimates of the total random, total systematic, and total error. These are evaluated for typical atmospheric conditions (northern/southern, polar/midlatitude/tropics, winter/spring/summer/autumn, day/night), which can be assigned to the profile under assessment and to each CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profile the representative error estimates are assigned. In cases of multiplicative error components, the representative error estimates are adjusted to the actual profile.~~ In total, 34 different atmospheric states are taken into account, each of them represented by about 30 geolocations. Following the recommendations of TUNER (Towards Unified Error Reporting, ?), we categorize each error source either as chiefly random or as chiefly systematic. For mixed errors, which cause both bias and scatter (“headache errors”), both components are reported separately. We aggregate the resulting random and systematic error components separately. The whole set of mean error estimates for each of the different atmospheric conditions is compiled in the Supplement.

As an example, we present the error estimation for daytime northern midlatitude summer. Figure ?? shows height profiles of the total error, total systematic and random errors and of the major single error components as percentage of the mean CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles for this atmospheric scenario. The components "ILS", "spectro" and "gain" are regarded as systematic errors, although the gain error has a small random component as well. The other components are considered as random. The numerical values as well as the respective absolute errors can be found in the Supplement.

The total CH<sub>4</sub> error is rather large and does not vary much over the altitude range 12 to 68 km, namely between 21 and 34% for FR and between 21 and 30% for RR measurements. The reason is the dominance of the spectroscopic error, which nearly accounts for the whole systematic and total error. Consequently, the systematic error is much higher than the random error at altitudes up to 45 km and mostly still twice as high at the altitudes above. The random error is around 4-6% below 40 km and increases up to 15% at ~~the upper higher~~ altitudes. Up to 40 km the noise error accounts for half or more of the random error. At several of the higher altitudes the contribution of the other random errors becomes larger. Other noteworthy error components are the gain error, which around 45 km amounts up to 10% for FR and up to 6% for RR measurements, and the ILS error, which at 45 km is 7% for FR and 5% for RR measurements. However, at most other altitudes these errors are considerably lower. Uncertainties in temperature and line of sight cause an error of 1-3%. The error due to uncertainties in interfering species (H<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>3</sub> and 14 other species) is below 0.4% both for FR and RR measurements and at various altitudes even ~~drops to as low as~~ 0.1%.

Up to 45 km the total N<sub>2</sub>O error is 7-17% for FR and ~~7-15-16%~~ for RR measurements. At the altitudes above, the error increases strongly ~~up to 47% at~~. At 56 km ~~Towards it amounts to 45-46%; towards~~ the upper end of the scans it ranges from ~~75-73%~~ to more than 100% for the FR mode ~~and lies, and it is~~ completely above 100% for the RR mode. Thus the total N<sub>2</sub>O error is clearly lower than the CH<sub>4</sub> error at lower altitudes, but considerably higher at the upper altitudes. The reason for the lower relative errors up to 48 km is the smaller spectroscopic uncertainty. At the altitudes above, the N<sub>2</sub>O signal becomes very weak, leading to a strong increase of the relative noise error. Consequently, the systematic error exceeds the random error up to about 40 km, while at the altitudes above spectral noise becomes increasingly dominant. The main systematic error components

are spectroscopic, gain and ILS errors. Up to 56 km, the spectroscopic error is 4 - 12% for the FR and 4 - 13% for the RR mode, the gain error is 1 - 7% (FR) and 1 - 5% (RR), and the ILS error 0.4 - 7% (FR) and 1 - 5% (RR). At the altitudes above, these errors generally increase strongly. The main random errors are spectral noise and uncertainties in temperature and line of sight. Up to 42 km, spectral noise ranges from 2 to 4% (FR) and from 2 to 7% (RR) and increases strongly above. For both 5 modes the error resulting from uncertainties in temperature and line of sight is 1-2% up to 56 km and somewhat higher above. Up to 56 km the error caused by uncertainties in interfering species is just around 0.1% at various altitudes and 1% at the most. At the uppermost altitudes it increases to 3% for FR and to 18% for RR measurements.

Tangent altitude errors are partly correlated in the altitude domain, random across different limb scans, and fully systematic across different gases. Spectroscopic errors of the target gases are systematic in altitude and in time, except for effects caused 10 by the modulation of the initially systematic errors by variations of the atmospheric state. Gain errors are predominantly systematic in altitude and over one calibration period, but random over longer time periods. ILS errors are chiefly systematic in altitude and time. Errors caused by spectral noise are uncorrelated in time, while the non-diagonal gain matrix of the retrieval causes correlations in the altitude domain.

For the other atmospheric conditions the error estimates show only moderate deviations from the example presented here in 15 the altitude range 12 to 60 km. This applies to NOM measurement mode retrievals as well as to all other measurement modes. Somewhat larger deviations can be found at the upper ends of the scans due to stronger variations in mixing ratios. Further, the errors for MA and UA measurements above 70 km are mostly larger than 100% (cf. Supplement).

## 4.2 Profile averaging kernels and vertical resolution

Our characterization of the vertical resolution and the content of a priori information of the CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles relies on 20 the averaging kernel matrices (?). Figure ?? shows the averaging kernel rows of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O NOM retrievals, respectively, referring to measurements recorded at full spectral resolution at 55.70°N on 10 October 2003 (left column) and at reduced spectral resolution at 41.02°N on 26 December 2009 (right column). In the troposphere and through the whole stratosphere the averaging kernels are well-behaved in the sense that they are fairly symmetric and peak at the nominal altitudes for both modes and gases. However, at higher altitudes they become somewhat asymmetric, and the peaks of the N<sub>2</sub>O-AKs are increasingly 25 displaced from their nominal to lower heights.

Figure ?? shows the vertical resolution of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O profiles in terms of full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the rows of the averaging kernels, obtained with the latest V5- and the V8-retrieval setup. For the measurements at full spectral resolution (left column) the V8 setup has improved in the altitude region 15 to 45 km for CH<sub>4</sub> and between 32 and 42 km for N<sub>2</sub>O. Below 15 km, the vertical resolution of N<sub>2</sub>O has become slightly worse. Numerically, for the measurements with 30 full spectral resolution the vertical resolution of the V8 CH<sub>4</sub> (N<sub>2</sub>O) retrievals varies between 3 (3) and 4.5 (5) km at altitudes between 10 and 50 km. For the measurements at reduced spectral resolution (right column) the V8-setup exhibits a large improvement in the altitude range 10 to 20 km for both gases and above 45 km for N<sub>2</sub>O. For this mode the vertical resolution obtained with the V8 setup varies between 2.5 (2.5) and 4 (5) km at altitudes between 10 and 65 km, with the best resolution at 30 km altitude for both species.

**Table 5.** Horizontal information distribution for CH<sub>4</sub> full resolution (FR) measurements on 29 April 2003 and reduced resolution (RR) measurements on 18 September 2009.

Altitude (km)	FR-Smearing (km)	FR-Displacement (km)	RR-Smearing (km)	RR-Displacement (km)
70	518	-129	598	-26
65	483	-140	521	-46
60	457	-125	374	-66
55	722	72	415	-53
50	524	-91	529	-32
45	657	23	450	-29
40	453	-33	464	-3
35	408	6	376	0
30	356	30	380	35
25	358	72	332	43
20	326	95	292	61
15	292	113	289	89
10	269	131	267	110

### 4.3 Horizontal averaging kernels

Since in limb sounding the line of sight extends over long horizontal distances in the atmosphere, horizontal averaging kernels are an issue. ~~Here we rely on the concept by ?.~~ The horizontal averaging kernels are calculated from 2D Jacobians provided by the radiative transfer forward model KOPRA, operated in a 2D mode, for the specified atmosphere. From these 2D Jacobians the 2D averaging kernels are calculated as proposed by ? (their Eq. 5). The way to calculate these 2D averaging kernels thus follows exactly the rationale behind the well-established profile averaging kernels, except that 2D Jacobians are used. Some more information on the technical application of this concept used here can be found in ~~?~~.

We report the horizontal smearing in terms of the full width at half maximum of the horizontal component of the 2D averaging kernels, and the information displacement both for CH<sub>4</sub> (Table 5) and N<sub>2</sub>O (Table 6). The displacement is the horizontal distance between the nominal geolocation of the measurement and the point where most information comes from, calculated as the averaging-kernel-weighted mean horizontal coordinate. The sign convention is such that positive values indicate displacements towards the satellite. The 2D averaging kernels presented here have been calculated for a limb scan, recorded on 29 April 2003 at 47.9°S, 39.8°W, for FR measurements and a limb scan, recorded on 18 September 2009 at 57.1°S, 176.1°W, for RR measurements.

For both gases and the majority of altitudes shown, the horizontal smearing is less than or comparable to the horizontal distance between two subsequent limb scans, which is about 500 km for FR and 400 km for RR measurements. The only exceptions occur at the altitudes around 45 km as well as at the uppermost altitudes. This implies that the horizontal resolution is generally limited by the horizontal sampling of the measurements and not by the horizontal information smearing. Moreover, the information displacement is also fairly small, mostly below 100 km for CH<sub>4</sub> and below 125 km for N<sub>2</sub>O, which is well below the horizontal distance between two limb scans. Nevertheless, in atmospheric situations with strong horizontal gradients,

**Table 6.** Horizontal information distribution for N<sub>2</sub>O full resolution (FR) measurements on 29 April 2003 and reduced resolution (RR) measurements on 18 September 2009.

Altitude (km)	FR-Smearing (km)	FR-Displacement (km)	RR-Smearing (km)	RR-Displacement (km)
70	463	-151	493	-62
65	455	-153	471	-66
60	458	-143	428	-72
55	471	-121	357	-66
50	406	-122	344	-59
45	533	-57	352	-48
40	440	-45	374	-29
35	457	9	379	-9
30	383	34	456	69
25	401	77	346	53
20	358	98	310	64
15	357	117	314	89
10	301	125	297	110

like at the boundary of the polar vortices, this displacement might be an issue and should be considered for e.g. comparisons to highly resolving models.

## 5 Results

### 5.1 Seasonal composites

- 5 In Figures ?? and ?? we show zonal mean seasonal composites of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrieved in the NOM HR and NOM RR modes (V8H\_CH4\_61, V8R\_CH4\_161, V8R\_CH4\_261, V8H\_N2O\_61, V8R\_N2O\_161, V8R\_N2O\_261 ) during the period 2002-2012. Both distributions exhibit the typical features of a tropospheric trace gas with maximum VMRs in the troposphere, strong upwelling in the tropics with sharp latitudinal gradients at  $\pm 20^\circ$  in the lower to mid-stratosphere (so-called tropical pipe, (?)), and downwelling above the winter poles. The tropospheric VMRs are somewhat higher between 40°S and 40°N than at higher latitudes, namely by 0.1-0.2 ppmv for CH<sub>4</sub> and by 20-30 ppbv for N<sub>2</sub>O.

10 The upwelling in the tropical lower to mid-stratosphere exhibits slight latitudinal asymmetries characterized by a "nose" in the 1.6 ppmv contourline of CH<sub>4</sub>, which, e.g., during boreal and austral summer indicates transport towards the respective winter hemisphere. At higher altitudes there are larger-scale seasonal differences. During March to May, a double-peak is visible at 40-50 km with maxima at 30°S and 30°N and a local minimum at the Equator. This feature has already been detected  
15 in CH<sub>4</sub> measurements of the Stratospheric And Mesospheric Sounder (SAMS) from 1979 on the NIMBUS 7 satellite (?). The sharp meridional gradient in stratospheric CH<sub>4</sub> around 60°S, with very low VMRs extending much further down than at northern high latitudes, reflects the beginning formation of the Antarctic vortex. During June to August the southern tropical peak above 40 km has disappeared both for CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O and is replaced by a kind of shoulder in the altitude range 30-40 km.



Just below, the weak vertical and meridional gradient, identifiable e.g. from the wide distance between the 1.4 and 1.2 ppmv methane isolines, marks the formation of a pronounced surf zone in the southern winter-hemisphere. In contrast, the northern peak has expanded even ~~some~~ more upwards showing the beginning formation of the Brewer-Dobson cell of the next northern winter. Caused by progressed subsidence in the Antarctic vortex, low CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O VMRs have subsided further downward at high southern latitudes. During September to November the northern tropical maximum is at somewhat lower altitudes than during summer. In the altitude range of 30-40 km there is a moderate southward decline of the contourlines up to the edge of the Antarctic vortex at 70°S, which still exists below 30 km. In the upper stratosphere the Antarctic vortex has largely been replaced by midlatitude airmasses. In the northern hemisphere the beginning build-up of the Arctic vortex is visible in the upper stratosphere. The period December to February has several similarities to June to August, if mirrored at the Equator. Above 40 km there is a maximum at 20°S, but not quite as distinct as the northern tropical maximum during boreal summer. The Arctic vortex reflects progressed subsidence, but not as strong as its southern hemispheric counterpart during June to August.

## 5.2 Major improvements with respect to preceding versions

To illustrate improvements achieved with respect to convergence failures and profile oscillations we compare latitude-height cross sections of CH<sub>4</sub> data versions V5R\_CH4\_224 and V8R\_CH4\_261 for two selected orbits (Figure ??). The top row shows the ~~methane~~ CH<sub>4</sub> distribution along orbit 29041 of 19 September 2007. With the old setup, convergence was not achieved for seven scans through the Antarctic vortex marked by white vertical stripes (top left). However, due to the use of the oscillation detector and modelling of horizontal CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O gradients the number of not converged scans ~~was is~~ reduced to just one with the V8-retrieval setup (top right). In general, this treatment led to a much larger fraction of converged retrievals. For FR measurements, the portion of non-converged retrievals ~~had been as high as is reduced from~~ 8.4% ~~, and could be reduced to~~ 0.3%. For RR measurements, this number ~~was is~~ lowered from 0.36% to 0.10%. While for RR measurements the fraction of non-converged retrievals had also been rather low for the previous dataset, it has to be considered that these - like in our example - were not randomly distributed but associated with situations characterized by large horizontal inhomogeneities, such as vortex boundary conditions, where they cause sizable data gaps. Hence, this reduction in non-converged profiles is a significant improvement.

The use of horizontal CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O gradients also ~~led~~ leads to smoother profiles at geolocations, where formerly retrieved profiles had been strongly oscillating. This, e.g., can be seen in the CH<sub>4</sub> distributions along orbit 30832 of 23 January 2008 (bottom row). For this orbit, retrievals are especially complicated at the edge of the inclined arctic vortex around 70°N, where vertical profiles have local maxima around 30 km. In this region the dataset V5R\_CH4\_N2O\_224 exhibits strong oscillations (bottom left), which have disappeared in the V8 data (bottom right). For a more detailed picture, Figure ?? shows single CH<sub>4</sub> profiles for two scans in this critical region. While the V5R-profiles are strongly oscillating between 20 and 30 km with unphysically high vertical frequency, the V8R-profiles are much smoother in this height region.

### 5.3 ~~Delta-Validation~~Delta-validation

The previous CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O datasets were extensively validated by comparison with other satellite data and groundbased measurements (?). The outcome of this validation was that, below 25 km, there was likely a positive bias against other satellite measurements: 0.1 to 0.2 ppmv for CH<sub>4</sub> and up to 30 ppbv for N<sub>2</sub>O. To check if the positive bias is still present in the V8 datasets, we limit ourselves to a delta-validation against the preceding dataset. ~~Figure ?? That ist to say, instead of validating the new data product from the scratch, we analyze the degree to which issues identified in ? are removed or increased in the new data product.~~

~~Figure ?? (top) shows zonal mean differences between V8 and V5 CH<sub>4</sub>, averaged over the full resolution period (left) and N<sub>2</sub>O the reduced resolution period (right), averaged over the whole MIPAS dataset from 2002-2012. Here we- We~~ abstain from presenting seasonal composites, because ~~the apart from the Antarctic region the~~ differences are rather similar in every season. ~~Especially between~~ The deviations between the FR data consist of oscillations of up to  $\pm 0.15$  ppmv in the altitude range of 5–40 km and smaller oscillations above. The positive differences at 30 km apparently increase the bias between V5 data and validation experiments at this altitude shown by ? (their Figs. 9, 10, 11), while the negative differences at 40 ~~°S and km have the opposite effect. At lower altitudes, a delta-validation becomes more ambiguous, because the V8-V5 differences are latitude dependent. At 20 km they are negative at low latitudes and positive at high northern latitudes, but at 10 km it is the opposite. Thus, at 20 km there seems to be a better agreement to the validation experiments in the tropics and a deterioration in the northern extra-tropics, but at 10 km it looks the other way round. Except for the lowermost altitudes, the differences at high southern latitudes are mostly negative up to the altitude of 40 °N the CH<sub>4</sub> differences exhibit oscillations of the order of 0.05 to 0.1 ppmv. Below km, which generally tends to improve the agreement to validation experiments.~~

The CH<sub>4</sub> differences of the RR period (top right) show some similar features as those of the FR period, namely negative values at 40 km and positive values at 30 km. However, there are also clear deviations: First, a much broader band of positive differences at the lower end of the latitudinal cross section as well as positive values between 10 and 20 km, ~~these differences are persistently positive, leading to an increase of the high bias by 0.05-0.1 ppmv. At the lowermost end of the difference plot the positive bias even extends over all latitudes. However, due to the large reduction of the observations at these altitudes by the presence of clouds, these differences are of less statistical significance. While the positive difference between 25 and 30 km rather worsens the agreement with validation experiments determined by ? for these altitudes, the-, extending from the tropics towards mid-latitudes. Second, there is better agreement at high southern latitudes above 20 km. The positive differences below 20 km lead to an increase of the high bias of  $\sim 0.15$  ppmv in this altitude region shown in ? by about 0.1 ppmv. The negative difference between 35 and 45 km compensates a slight positive bias in the previous CH<sub>4</sub> dataset. The positive bias above 65 km rather reflects is due to a deficiency in the V5 dataset, which exhibits data, which exhibit a kink in the profile shape at this altitude. In summary the CH<sub>4</sub> bias at all altitudes is well below the total error presented in Sect. 4.1.~~

~~One of the main V8-V5 differences in-~~ The V8-V5 differences in N<sub>2</sub>O of the FR period (bottom left) are spatially well correlated with those of CH<sub>4</sub>. Slight deviations are a small downward shift of the mid-stratospheric positive differences to 27 km and restriction to the tropics. The negative differences at 10 km lead to a reduction of the high bias of the V5 data at this

altitude against ACE-FTS (?), their Fig. 13) by  $\sim 15$  ppbv. The small negative differences just below 20 km and the positive differences around 27 km apparently also reduce the bias against ACE-FTS, while the positive V8-V5 differences at 20 km rather lead to an increased bias.

5 The main differences between V8 and V5  $N_2O$  is of the RR period (bottom right) are a nearly global band of up to ~~15 ppbv~~ 20 ppbv oscillating between 10 and 20 km ~~and a region of up to 15 ppbv at tropical and mid-latitudes around 27 km.~~ The negative differences at 10 km are much weaker than for the FR period. There are also some spatial correlations with the V8-V5 differences in  $CH_4$  of the RR period. Generally, the positive bias determined for V5H- $N_2O$ -21 below 25 km seems to have increased in this region. Further, V8-V5 differences lead to an enhancement of the high bias against ACE-FTS and MLS as presented in ? for the altitude region below 30 km. At the altitudes of 10 km in the tropics this might cause an increase of the bias against ACE-FTS from 25 to 40 ppbv. This bias is larger than the total  $N_2O$  error presented in Sect. 4.1 for the lowermost altitudes.

10 Since there is a positive bias of the same order at low latitudes around 27 km. However, there are also regions with negative differences – near 10 km at mid- to high latitudes and around 22 km at low latitudes. Here the positive bias against validation experiments apparently has decreased. At altitudes above 35 km the differences are rather small, however here the generally good consistency between V5 data of the FR and RR period both for  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$ , the deviations between the left-hand and the right-hand plots in Figure ??, especially in the lower atmosphere, indicate an offset between the V8 data of the two periods. The deviations are largest at high latitudes around 10 km and in the tropics around 15 km, with  $CH_4$  differences between RR and FR data of 10-15% and of 5%, respectively. For  $N_2O$  VMRs also tend towards zero-, the respective RR-FR differences are 7% and 2%. However, at higher altitudes the consistency between V8 RR and FR data is good.

#### 20 5.4 Coarse Grid Results grid results

Quantitative application of the standard  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  results obtained from regularized retrievals requires educated data users, who are familiar with the averaging kernel formalism. In order to make the use of MIPAS data within comparisons to model data easier, we provide an alternative representation on a coarser vertical grid of 23 pressure levels: 1000, 700, 400, 250, 150, 100, 50, 30, 15, 10, 5, 3, 1.5, 1, 0.5, 0.3, 0.1, 0.03, 0.01, 0.003, 0.001, 0.0003, and 0.00003 hPa. These values are a subset of a grid often used by chemistry-climate modellers (?). In this representation, all information on the vertical resolution is included in the vertical grid, and no averaging kernels need to be considered (?). These data are generated by maximum likelihood retrievals on a vertical grid coarse enough that effectively no regularization is required. The averaging kernels associated with these retrievals are unity by definition. Contrary to the standard retrievals which represent mixing ratios at levels, and where linear variation in altitude is assumed between the levels, the coarse grid data are given as mean layer values. The boundaries of these layers are defined by pressure values, and the grid is constant for the entire data set. This makes interpolation unnecessary and simplifies comparison with model results or time series analysis.

30 In Figure ?? we compare the results of the standard (left) and of the coarse grid retrieval (right) for orbit 30863 from 25 January 2008. The main spatial features detected in the standard retrieval are also resolved in the coarse grid retrieval for  $CH_4$  (top row) as well as for  $N_2O$  (bottom row). However, due to the coarser vertical grid, very fine spatial structures become blurry.

This, e.g., becomes apparent in the CH<sub>4</sub> distribution at and above 30 km (10 hPa) in the region of the arctic vortex north of 60°N.

## 6 Conclusions

We presented MIPAS ~~IMK~~IMK/IAA methane and nitrous oxide data based on the most recent version 8 level-1b spectra and processed using an improved retrieval approach. After description of the new retrieval setup, we performed a comprehensive error assessment considering the formalism outlined in the TUNER report (?). Due to the large uncertainties in line intensity given in HITRAN2016 - which probably are too pessimistic - the total CH<sub>4</sub> error is between 21 and 34% in the altitude range 6 - 68 km for the atmospheric scenario presented (northern midlatitude summer day). For the same atmospheric conditions, the total N<sub>2</sub>O error ~~is grows from 7-17% up to %~~at 12 km to 17% at 45 km. At the altitudes above ~~it~~the error increases strongly due to very low N<sub>2</sub>O signatures. We consider MIPAS version V8 CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O data versions as superior over preceding versions. The main reasons are the following:

- The data are based on better calibrated level-1B spectra. In particular, trends are supposed to be less affected by instrumental drifts.
- The vertical resolution generally is better than that of the preceding version. This has been achieved by use of more CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O lines in the retrieval setup.
- The fraction of converged retrievals has been increased. This has been achieved by application of an oscillation detector within the iterations of the retrieval and by additional retrieval of horizontal CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O gradients. In particular, in situations where the line of sight crossed the boundary of the polar vortex, i.e. where, due to polar subsidence, very different mixing ratios were encountered at the same altitude in front of and behind the tangent point, non-consideration of the oscillation detector and of horizontal gradients had prevented retrievals from converging.

~~Unfortunately, compared to the previous data version, V8 CH<sub>4</sub> retrievals exhibit a high bias of up to 0.15 ppmv. However, below 10 km at nearly all latitudes. At latitudes between 40°S and 40°N there is a high bias of the V8 CH<sub>4</sub> 261 retrievals at all latitudes result in up to 0.1 ppmv higher volume mixing ratios than the already high biased V5 data. The same applies for the altitude regions around 15 km and between 25 and 30 km 25-30 km at low and mid-latitudes. On the contrary there is a low bias other hand the agreement with validation experiments seems to be improved at 20 and 40 km. Compared For V8 CH<sub>4</sub> 61 retrievals the positive differences to the V5 data version, V8 N<sub>2</sub>O exhibits a high bias of up to below 10 km and around 15 km are less pronounced. For V8R N<sub>2</sub>O 261 retrievals the high bias in V5 N<sub>2</sub>O is increased by up to 20 ppbv in a nearly global band oscillating between 10 and 20 km altitude and by up to 15 ppbv at low latitudes around 27 km. Negative differences of up to -10 ppbv were found at mid- to high latitudes around For V8H N<sub>2</sub>O 61 retrievals the increase of the bias in these regions is clearly less distinct. Further, the negative differences between the FR data at 10 km and at low latitudes around 22 km. However, reduce the high bias of MIPAS CH<sub>4</sub> and in V5 N<sub>2</sub>O is well within the uncertainties in spectroscopic data found at this altitude.~~

We suspect that ~~this bias~~ the high bias of both gases to a large part might be due to the spectroscopic data used, which ~~suffers~~ suffer from large uncertainties. The high bias of N<sub>2</sub>O presumably has additional yet unidentified causes, since it clearly exceeds the reported spectroscopic uncertainties. Further, we attribute the observed oscillations in the V8-V5 differences, which are partly correlated between CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O, to the better vertical resolution in V8 profiles.

5 The problem of uncertain spectroscopic data is not so severe in the case of ground-based and a couple of space-borne instruments that measure CH<sub>4</sub> in solar absorption using the  $\nu_3$  band near 3000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. A lot of work went into improving the accuracy of the spectroscopic data in that region. Emission spectroscopy, however, has to use longer wavelengths where the Planck function at terrestrial temperatures has values, which are high enough to provide a sufficiently large signal. We urge the spectroscopic community to devote a similar effort to the  $\nu_4$  region to improve satellite measurements of CH<sub>4</sub> by emission  
10 instruments.

*Author contributions.* NG developed the retrieval setup and finalized the paper. TvC wrote the initial draft of the paper. Together with GPS he organized the related activities. BF developed the retrieval setup for middle and upper atmosphere measurement modes. MGC and MLP contributed to NLTE-related issues. UG coded and maintained the retrieval software. MH helped to solve problems with the horizontal  
15 averaging kernels. SK and ALi performed the retrievals. MK performed the error estimation. ALa and GPS identified deficiencies in previous data versions fixed in the current version. All authors discussed strategies and results and contributed to the writing of the paper.

*Competing interests.* At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Atmospheric Measurement Techniques. The peer-review process was guided by an independent editor, and the authors also have no other competing interests to declare.

20 *Acknowledgements.* Spectra used for this work were provided by the European Space Agency. We would like to thank the MIPAS Quality Working Group for enlightening discussions ~~and~~ Claus Zehner for ~~political and mental~~ helpful support. The project, on which this manuscript is based, was funded by the Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs under the grant number 50EE1547 (SEREMISA). ~~The responsibility for the content of this publication is the responsibility of the authors. Most~~ A large part of the profit profiles used for the CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O retrievals discussed here are based upon computations done in the frame of a Bundesprojekt (grant MIPAS\_V7) on the Cray  
25 XC40 “Hazel Hen” of the High-Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS) of the University of Stuttgart. The IAA team acknowledges financial support from the Agencia Estatal de Investigación of the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades through the project PID2019-110689RB-I00, as well as the Centre of Excellence “Severo Ochoa” award to the Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (CEX2021-001131-S).