Assessment of plot scale sediment transport on young moraines in the Swiss Alps using a fluorescent sand tracer
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Abstract

Glacial retreat uncovers large bodies of unconsolidated sediment that are prone to erosion. However, our knowledge of overland flow (OF) generation and sediment transport on moraines that have recently become ice-free is still limited. To investigate how surface characteristics affect OF and sediment transport on young moraines, we installed five bounded runoff plots on two moraines of different ages in a proglacial area of the Swiss Alps. On each plot we conducted three sprinkling experiments to determine OF characteristics (i.e., total OF, peak OF flow rate) and measured sediment transport (peak turbidity, sediment concentrations, and total sediment yield). To determine sediment transport distances and to visualize where sediment transport takes place, we used a fluorescent sand tracer with an afterglow, together with UV and LED lamps and a high-resolution camera. The results highlight the ability of this field setup to detect sand movement, even for individual fluorescent sand particles (300 – 500 µm grain size), and to distinguish between the two main mechanisms of sediment transport: OF-driven erosion and splash erosion. The higher rock cover on the younger moraine with higher surface connectivity resulted in longer...
sediment transport distances and a higher sediment yield. In contrast, the higher vegetation cover on the older moraine promoted infiltration and reduced the length of the sediment transport pathways. The study, thus, demonstrates the potential of the use of fluorescent sand with an afterglow to determine sediment transport pathways, and that these observations can help to improve our understanding of OF and sediment transport processes on complex natural hillslopes.

1 Introduction

Soil erosion rates in alpine regions are high (Panagos et al., 2015; Gianinetto et al., 2020; Musso et al., 2020a) and are expected to increase because of climate change. Rapid glacier retreat exposes unvegetated areas that are prone to surface erosion (Klaar et al., 2015). These changes will affect water quality (Brighenti et al., 2019; Colombo et al., 2019), fish habitats (Carnahan et al., 2019; Cowie et al., 2014), and the longevity of hydropower reservoirs (Li et al., 2022). Climate change is expected to also increase the frequency, intensity and amount of heavy precipitation (Gobiet and Kotlarski, 2020; Gobiet et al., 2014), which also affects erosion rates and sediment yield (Anache et al., 2018; Maruffi et al., 2022; Nearing et al., 2004). Splash erosion, i.e., the detachment of soil particles by the impact of raindrops, is positively correlated to rainfall intensity (Angulo-Martínez et al., 2012; Fernández-Raga et al., 2017; Park et al., 1983) because the larger raindrops during intense rainfall have a higher kinetic energy (Evans, 1980). If the rainfall intensity is higher than the infiltration capacity of the soil, infiltration-excess overland flow (Hortonian Overland Flow, HOF; Horton, 1933) occurs. Saturation-excess overland flow (SOF) occurs during large rainfall events that saturate the soil. Both processes can transport the detached particles downslope, causing interrill erosion.

Whether OF can transport the detached particles to the bottom of a hillslope or to the stream depends on the surface characteristics, and particularly the connectivity of the source areas to the slope bottom or stream, i.e., whether the two points are connected by water flow (Bracken and Croke, 2007; Smith et al., 2010). If water infiltrates into the soil after a short distance (i.e., the OF pathways are short), then the OF water and the sediment it carries may not reach the bottom of the hillslope. Sediment connectivity thus depends on the amount and variability in OF (which is affected by the precipitation amount and intensity, the antecedent soil moisture conditions (Nanda et al., 2019), and the spatial variability in infiltration rates (Vigiak et al., 2006; Gerke et al., 2015; Cammeraat, 2002)), microtopography (Appels et al., 2016), and vegetation type and cover (Dunne et al., 1991; Thompson et al., 2010; Wainwright et al., 2000).

The importance of surface hydrological connectivity for sediment yield is well established (see e.g. Bracken et al., 2015; Heckmann et al., 2018; Najafi et al., 2021). Several studies have defined hydrological connectivity (Bracken et al., 2013; Bracken and Croke, 2007) or sediment connectivity indices (Heckmann and Schwanghart, 2013) to quantify the degree to which a system facilitates the transfer of water and sediment (e.g., Asadi et al., 2019; Gay et al., 2016; Heckmann et al., 2018;
Lane et al., 2009; Shore et al., 2013; Zanandrea et al., 2021). Connectivity has also been implemented in different conceptual frameworks (Brardinoni and Hassan, 2006; Stock and Dietrich, 2003; Bracken et al., 2013; Bracken and Croke, 2007; Reaney et al., 2014), and has been explored in model studies (Appels et al., 2011; Reaney et al., 2014; Masselink et al., 2017; Peñuela et al., 2016). Much less is known about the real-world connection of OF pathways (Wolstenholme et al., 2020) and how this affects sediment transport because it is difficult to observe OF pathways and sediment transport during rainfall events. This is particularly the case for vegetated hillslopes, but some studies managed to do so by mapping sediment and flow paths after events (Marchamalo et al., 2016), or by mapping erosion and deposition forms (Calsamiglia et al., 2020).

Connectivity of OF has been studied in arid areas (Smith et al., 2010; Moreno-De Las Heras et al., 2010; Lázaro et al., 2015), agricultural areas (Peñuela et al., 2016; Sen et al., 2010; Buda et al., 2009), and on frozen soils (Coles and McDonnell, 2018), Dyes and cameras (Polyakov et al., 2021; Wolstenholme et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2010; Gerke et al., 2015) and thermal imaging of heated water (Lima et al., 2015) have been used in rainfall simulation studies on laboratory flumes and in arid areas. For example, Wolstenholme et al. (2020) used visual observations of the OF pathways and highlighted the importance of infiltration along the flow path and flow resistance for the establishment of connectivity. They also stressed the importance of vegetation, slope, surface stone cover, and surface roughness for OF generation in dryland areas. Marchamalo et al. (2016) used repeated field mapping in semi-arid catchments to determine the connectivity between sediment source areas and sediment sinks. Calsamiglia et al. (2020) similarly used unmanned aerial vehicles (UAV) and field mapping to study the evolution of surface flow pathways and the erosion and deposition of sediments after storm events in a small agricultural catchment. Other studies used radio frequency identification tags (Parsons et al., 2014), diffuse reflectance spectrometry (Martínez-Carreras et al., 2010), and rare earth element tracers (Polyakov and Nearing, 2004; Deasy and Quinton, 2010) to study sediment transport and connectivity. For example, Guzmán et al. (2015, 2010) used silt-sized magnetite, hematite, magnetic iron oxide, and goethite particle tracers mixed to soil aggregates to study sediment movement on agricultural fields. The tracer and sediment concentrations were measured in the runoff at the bottom of the plots, but no observations were made on the plot surface to determine the actual flow pathways. Tauro et al. (2012b) used buoyant fluorescent polyethylene spheres to determine particle transport velocities on semi-natural hillslopes. They showed that Full HD videos were best for real-time detection for these rather large spheres (1.0 – 1.2 mm). However, their fluorescent spheres did not have the same shape as natural soil particles and had a different buoyancy (i.e., the particles could float on the water). Sand particles coated with a fluorescent paint represent the size and density of natural soil particles more closely than fluorescent spheres and have been used to track sediment movement on beaches (Ingle, 1966), along the surf zone in the Nile river delta (Badr and Lotfy, 1999), and to study on- and offshore sediment transport along the coast of Israel (Klein et al., 2007), as well as sand movement in a river tidal flat in Japan (Kato et al., 2014). Hardy et al. (2017 and 2019) used a fluorescent sand tracer with a similar particle size and density as the soil to study soil redistribution by tillage on arable farmland. Although pioneering studies using fluorescent sand (Yasso, 1966)
and glass particles (Young and Holt, 1968) were considered suitable for sediment transport studies more than five centuries ago, they have so far not been used to determine rates of sediment movement on natural hillslopes (Parsons, 2019).

We, therefore, tested the use of a fluorescent sand tracer in combination with sprinkling experiments to study sediment transport on two young moraines in the Swiss Alps. The moraines differed in age (one had only been ice free for three decades and the other for less a century and half) and surface characteristics (e.g., vegetation cover, rock cover, surface roughness, aggregate stability, and saturated hydraulic conductivity). More specifically, we assessed the following research questions:

- Can fluorescent sand particles be used to trace sediment transport and determine sediment transport distances on young moraines?
- Do the derived differences in sediment transport distances explain the differences in sediment concentrations and sediment yield at the bottom of the plot?
- How are the derived sediment yields and sediment transport distances related to the overland flow (OF) response characteristics (total flow, peak flow rate) and surface characteristics (slope, vegetation cover, surface roughness, soil aggregate stability, and saturated hydraulic conductivity)?

We hypothesized that higher rainfall intensities lead to more OF and sediment transport (Medeiros and de Araújo, 2013; Buendia et al., 2016; van De Giesen et al., 2000) and that there would be more OF on the older moraine due to the lower infiltration rates (Lohse and Dietrich, 2005; Maier et al., 2020). However, we expected that sediment transport distances would be shorter and erosion rates would be lower for the older moraine due to the higher vegetation cover (Geißler et al., 2012). We, furthermore, expected that a higher surface roughness would lead to a decrease in connectivity and sediment yield because of the larger depression storage and higher effective infiltration rates (cf. Govers et al., 2000).

2 Site description

2.1 Study area

The field measurements were conducted between August and September 2018 in the forefield of the Steigletscher, south of the Sustenpass mountain road in the Central Swiss Alps (46°43’ N, 8°25’ E; Figure 1). The elevation ranges between 1800 and 2100 m above sea level (m a.s.l.). The mean annual air temperature (1991 – 2020) at the closest long-term automatic weather station (the Grimsel Hospiz, located 20 km from the study area at 1980 m a.s.l.) is 2.3 °C (MeteoSwiss, 2022). The average monthly temperature for the same period varies between -4.9 °C in February and 10.3 °C in August. The mean annual precipitation is 1834 mm y⁻¹. Precipitation is evenly distributed over the year, with frequent snowfall events even during the summer months. On average, there is more than 10 cm of snow on the ground for 210 days per year (MeteoSwiss, 2022). Even though streamflow is dominated by snow and glacier melt, high-intensity rainfall events are also important. The 30-minute
rainfall intensity with a 10-year return period is 36 mm h\(^{-1}\) (95% confidence interval: 30 – 46 mm h\(^{-1}\) (1990 – 2020 period; MeteoSwiss, 2021)).

The study area is part of the internal alpine massif (Aarmassif) and underlain by the highly polymetamorphic “Erstfelder”-gneiss-zone. It predominantly contains biotite-plagioclase-gneiss, pre-mesozoic metagranitoids and amphibolites (Labhart, 1977). Based on glacier extend maps for the last 150 years and aerial images (Swiss Federal Office of Topography – ‘Journey through time’ – Map and ‘SWISSIMAGE Journey through time’ – Aerial Images), we selected two moraines that could be dated precisely (Figure 1). The older moraine originates from the little ice age (hereafter called 1860 moraine), while the younger moraine became ice-free only in 1990 (hereafter referred to as the 1990 moraine; c.f. Musso et al., 2020b; Maier and van Meerveld, 2021). Both moraines are located ~900 m north from the terminus of the Steigletscher in 2018. The 1990 moraine is located ~30 m higher than the 1860 moraine. Both moraines are side moraines and are northeast-exposed.

The 1860 moraine is largely covered by alpine sweet vernalgrass (Anthoxanthum alpinum), bellflowers (Campanula scheuchzeri), pale clover (Trifolium pallescens) and willow (Salix retusa and Salix glaucescens) (Table 1). The 1990 moraine is dominated by fields of scree (Table 1) and pioneer plant species, such as pale clover (Trifolium pallescens), alpine bluegrass (Poa alpina) and alpine willowherb (Epilobium fleischeri; Table 1). Typical early-successional communities on coarse-textured soils, such as Salix retusa and Salix hastata with shallow root systems (Hudek et al., 2017; Jonasson and Callaghan, 1992; Lichtenegger, 1996; Pohl et al., 2011) can be found on the 1990 moraine as well. Vegetation cover, root density and organic matter content are higher for the 1860 moraine than the 1990 moraine (Greinwald et al., 2021b).

The soils of both moraines are sandy-loamy Hyperskeletal Leptosols, are very young in age (e.g., the soils of the 1990 moraine have been ice free for only three decades) and contain coarse gravel fragments, sand and buried stones throughout the profile (Musso et al., 2019). The soil texture in the upper 50 cm of soil is similar for the two moraines, with sand (particle diameter 63 μm – 2 mm) being the dominant particle size (~75%; Hartmann et al., 2020a).

### 2.2 Study plots

On both moraines, we installed three 4 m by 6 m bounded runoff plots (Figure 1 & Table 1). To cover as much variability as possible on each moraine, we chose the location of the plots based on vegetation cover and functional diversity (Garnier et al., 2016; Maier et al., 2020; Greinwald et al., 2021b). More specifically, we selected on both moraines the area with the lowest (L), intermediate (M) and highest (H) vegetation complexity (Table 1; Maier and van Meerveld, 2021). Vegetation complexity is an index based on the vegetation cover, number of different species, and functional diversity (based on stem growth form, root type, clonal growth organ, seed mass, Raunkiaer’s life form, leaf dry matter content, nitrogen content, and specific leaf area (Garnier et al., 2016; Greinwald et al., 2021b)). The vegetation cover was 80, 80 and 95% for the L, M and H plots on the 1860 moraine and 50, 30 and 45% for the L, M and H plots on the 1990 moraine, respectively (Table 1, Figure 1). Because
the 1860H plot was covered with dense shrubs of alpine rose that obscured the fluorescent sand, this plot was not included in any of the analysis (i.e., we report the results for five plots only). The plots were all steep, with the average slope varying between 21° and 36° (Table 1). However, the topographic position of the plots differed. The 1860L, 1860M and 1990M plots are located at a mid-slope position, while the 1990L and 1990H plots are located at the bottom of the slope.

3 Methods

3.1 Plot characteristics

3.1.1 Topography and rock cover

A digital surface model (DSM) derived from drone images of the moraines (drone: senseFly eBee; camera: Sony Cyber-shot DSC-WX220), created using Pix4DMapper by WWl Umweltplanung und Geoinformatik GbR, was used together with the D∞ routing algorithm of Tarboton (1997) in ArcMap (v10.6.1) to determine the potential direction of OF. The drone-based images had a spatial resolution of ~4 cm, which was considered acceptable for the comparison with the results of the movement of the fluorescent sand particles (see section 3.3). The DSM (and thus also the flow routing) are influenced by vegetation. Because the vegetation was short (< 5 cm), this should not have affected the flow directions considerably. Still, we only qualitatively compared these topographically derived flow pathways to the observed patterns of sediment movement and did not use them for any statistical analyses.

For visualization of the plots, additional georeferenced and orthorectified photographs from another drone (DJI Phantom 4 Pro; see Figure 1) were used. These photographs were also used to determine the rock cover for each plot based on a supervised classification using Adobe Photoshop CS6 (v13.0.1; tool: “color range”). These higher resolution photographs were only taken for the plots (not the entire moraine) and hence could not be used to create a DSM with higher spatial resolution.

The slope angle for the plots was determined based on twelve measurements with an inclinometer (every 50 cm at both sides of each plot). We chose to use the inclinometer slope over the slope derived from the DSM because of the somewhat coarse resolution of the DSM and the distortion by the vegetation cover. The correlation between the mean slope derived from the DSM and the inclinometer measurements was high ($r = 0.94$) and the difference was less than 3° for all plots. We report the average value for the seven measurements on the bottom half of the plots where the fluorescent sand and blue dye were applied (see Table 1). A 1.5 m long microtopography profiler, consisting of a wooden frame with 101 adjustable 1 m long metal rods (cf. Leatherman, 1987), was used on ten transects within each plot to determine the surface roughness based on the normalized line length and the tortuosity index (cf. Bertuzzi et al., 1990). We report the average values for the six transects on the bottom half of the plots (see Table 1).
3.1.2 Soil aggregate stability

We determined the soil aggregate stability for six soil samples taken from the upper 10 cm of soil at each plot using a Humax-Tube® (GreenGround AG) using the method of Bast et al. (2015). In this method, the soil sample is placed on a 20 mm sieve and submerged in water for 5 min. The aggregate stability coefficient (ASC) varies between 0 for complete destruction of the soil sample to 1 for a fully stable soil sample (Bast et al., 2015). We reported the median ASC-value for each plot (see Greinwald et al., 2021a for more information).

3.1.3 Saturated hydraulic conductivity

Infiltration rates were measured at three locations next to each plot using a Double-Ring-Infiltrometer (inner diameter of 20 cm; ASTM D3385-03 standard test method). The infiltrometers were inserted vertically into the ground for at least 5 cm on all sides. The ponding depth was 15 – 25 cm. The saturated hydraulic conductivity ($K_{sat}$) was determined based on the steady-state infiltration rate (see also Maier et al., 2020; Maier and van Meerveld, 2021). We reported the average value of the three measurements per plot. We acknowledge that many more measurements would be needed to obtain a robust average $K_{sat}$ value (e.g., Harden and Scruggs, 2003; Zimmermann, 2008), however, due to time limitations more measurements were not possible. Nonetheless, the six (1860 moraine) and nine (1990 moraine) measurements at each moraine already provide some information on the magnitude and variability in $K_{sat}$.

3.2 Rainfall and overland flow measurements

3.2.1 Plot set-up

At the left, right and upslope borders of each plot, we inserted plastic sheets approximately 5 cm into the ground to reduce lateral in- and outflow of OF from the plots (Figure 2a). At the downslope border of each plot, we dug a trench and inserted pond foil ~2 – 5 cm into the soil (Figure 2b). The foil was attached to a gutter, which was covered with plastic sheeting to protect it from the rain (Figure 2a). From the gutter, water flowed through a 5 cm diameter hose into an Upwelling Bernoulli Tube (Stewart et al., 2015), in which a pressure transducer was located (DCX-22-CTD, Keller). At each moraine one pressure transducer was installed to measure the local barometric pressure as well. From these measurements, the OF rate could be determined at a 1 min interval. The measurements of OF also contain biomat flow (cf. Sidle et al., 2007) and very shallow subsurface flow because the pond foil was inserted into several centimeters (up to 10 cm) below the soil surface (Figure 2b; for a more detailed description, see Maier and van Meerveld, 2021). However, we refer to this as OF throughout the remainder of this manuscript.
### 3.2.2 Sprinkling experiments

On each plot, we performed three sprinkling experiments of different intensities over a three-day time period. We started with the lowest intensity experiment on day one and ended with the highest intensity experiment on day three (Table 2). We defined the three events as low intensity (LI), medium intensity (MI) and high intensity (HI) experiments. We used either one, two or three Senninger I-Wob adjustable sprinklers (nozzle number 22) to obtain the different intensities (Figure 2a). The sprinklers were placed at the center line of the plots at 2 m height (Figure 2a). The water was obtained from the nearby glacial stream and stored in two 4 m³ water reservoirs, located more than 20 m above the plots to ensure sufficient pressure for the irrigation.

From the reservoirs water flowed through fire hoses, a water meter, and garden hoses to the sprinklers. The area to which rainfall was applied by the sprinklers extended the plot dimensions by at least 0.5 m upslope, several meters downslope, and approximately 4 m to the left and right of the plots. For each experiment, rainfall was applied until total infiltration exceeded 20 mm. The infiltration was determined by subtracting the OF from the applied rainfall. The average sprinkling durations were 68±8 min (LI), 45±9 min (MI) and 39±4 min (HI).

We measured the actual rainfall intensities on the plots using two tipping buckets (Figure 2a) and at the plot boundaries using four manual rain gauges. The actual rainfall intensities for the LI, MI and HI experiments varied (Table 2) because of wind drift and differences in the water pressure. The average sprinkling intensities (± standard deviation) were 19±4 mm h⁻¹ (LI), 45±10 mm h⁻¹ (MI) and 65±20 mm h⁻¹ (HI). The mean coefficient of variation of the measured rainfall was 28±15 % (range: 9 – 48 %).

The median drop size at a rainfall intensity of 39 mm h⁻¹, determined using the oil method of Eigel and Moore (1983), was 1.2±0.4 mm (range: 0.4 – 2.7 mm). This drop size is very similar to the value for natural precipitation in mountain regions in Western Europe (~1.1 mm; Hachani et al., 2017) and representative for orographic precipitation (median drop size of 0.1 – 1.5 mm; Blanchard, 1953). We, hence, assumed that the kinetic energy of our rainfall simulation was comparable to that of natural rainfall in the Swiss Alps.

We started the rainfall simulations with the low intensity (LI) experiment, did the mid intensity (MI) experiments the next day and the high intensity (HI) experiments on the third day. We chose this order to minimize the effect of erosion (and deposition) during the first experiment(s) on sediment transport during the later experiments. In case rainfall was expected in the 24 hours before the LI experiment or between the experiments, the plots were covered with big tarps. As the topsoil drains to field capacity within approximately 24 hours, we set the intervals between the consecutive experiments on each plot to 24 hours.

For coarse moraine soils, as e.g. on the 1990 moraine, the drainage is most likely even faster and, therefore, the hillslopes should have been close to field capacity for the MI and HI experiments. A more extensive description of the sprinkling experiments can be found in Maier and van Meerveld (2021).

---

Assumption? Literature? Measurement?
3.2.3 Suspended sediment measurements

To determine the sediment concentrations in OF, 500 ml samples were taken from the outflow of the Upwelling Bernoulli Tubes. We started sampling as soon as there was some outflow and continued after the experiment ended until the outflow stopped. More samples were taken on the rising limb than on the falling limb. To determine the amount of sediment per sample, we filtered the samples using 1.6 μm filters (GF/A Whatman), and then dried and weighted the filters. For a more detailed description, see Maier and van Meerveld (2021).

A Cyclops 7 turbidity probe (Turner designs) was installed between the gutter and the Bernoulli Tube (Figure 2a), and measured the turbidity of OF every minute. The turbidity at the time of sampling was related to the sediment concentrations to obtain a moraine specific relation between turbidity and the suspended sediment concentration. The coefficient of determination (R²) for this relation was 0.80 for the 1860 moraine and 0.89 for the 1990 moraine. The regression slope was 3.1 mg L⁻¹ NTU⁻¹ for the 1860 moraine and 3.3 mg L⁻¹ NTU⁻¹ for the 1990 moraine. These values are comparable to those reported for alpine streams (Paschmann et al., 2017; Geilhausen et al., 2013; Orwin et al., 2010). We used these correlations to obtain time series of the estimated suspended sediment concentration from the turbidity measurements. Finally, the suspended sediment yield was determined for each event based on the sum of the product of the OF rates and the estimated suspended sediment concentrations (see also Maier and van Meerveld, 2021). On the 1990 moraine, some sediment was trapped in the Bernoulli tubes and was not sampled. Thus, the sediment yield is slightly higher than estimated this way.

3.3 Fluorescent sand transport

To determine where sediment transport occurs and how far individual soil particles are transported along a hillslope during a single rainfall event, we applied ribbons of fluorescent sand to the plots and photographed the plots before and after the rainfall events. The advantage of the use of fluorescent sand over fluorescent spheres is that the particles have the same size, shape and density as the finer material on the moraines and that the sand is a lot cheaper.

3.3.1 Field set-up

The night before each sprinkling experiment (10 to 18 hours prior to the experiments), a line of fluorescent sand (Noxton™, Glow in the Dark Sand) was applied to the soil surface in the middle or lower half of the plot (Figure 2c). We chose to apply the sand to the lower half of the plots because OF is more likely to occur there due to the larger contributing area than further upslope. The fluorescent sand was placed in ribbons across the surface of the plot using a plastic tube that was cut in half. The tube was filled with the fluorescent sand, placed carefully on the plot, and then slowly turned to release the sand. The sand, thus, covered not only the soil and rocks but also small patches of short vegetation. The applied sand ribbons were about 3 to 5 cm wide and 2 cm thick. They were 1.5 m long on the 1860 moraine and 3.1 m long on the 1990 moraine. The quartz sand is coated with a non-toxic, photoluminescent (fluorescent and phosphorescent), non-dissolvable powder (TAT33), containing...
CaAl$_2$O$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ (Noxton Technologies, 2022). After illumination, the sand has an afterglow for several minutes. The particle size of 300 – 500 μm corresponds well with the grain size on the moraines (median: 82 and 76 % sand (63 μm- 2 mm) for the top 10 cm of the soil on the 1860 and 1990 moraines, respectively (Hartmann et al., 2020b; Musso et al., 2019)). Because the particles are not naturally buoyant but have the same density of sand, they are very susceptible to wind erosion. We used three different colors of fluorescent sand (orange for the LI, green for the MI, and blue for the HI experiments).

3.3.2 Photographs

Pictures of the fluorescent sand were taken the night before and after each sprinkling experiment. A red–white 0.5 m segmented fluorescent plastic chain (Novap) was installed around the bottom half of the plot and served as a reference marker during the image analysis later. In addition, thin red fluorescent ropes were placed every 0.5 m across the plot (Figure 2a, c) and served as approximate distance markers. In the nights before and after the sprinkling experiments, the fluorescent chain, ropes and sand were illuminated for ~10 min before and while taking photographs using six 18W UV lamps (Eurolight). These lamps emit light in the ultraviolet (UV) range at around 365 nm. Four lamps were mounted on a pole that was installed over the plot (Figure 2c) and two were handheld and moved around to illuminate areas in the shadow of rocks or plants. In the ~10 min before the photographs were taken, the sand was additionally illuminated with two 23W LED lights to activate the sands afterglow. The LED lights were moved to different positions around the plots to illuminate the areas in shadows as well.

A camera (Sony Alpha 7R II) with a wide-angle lens (Sony Vario-Tessar® T* FE 16 – 35 mm F4 ZA OSS) was mounted on a tilting angle (to account for the average slope of the plots) on a 4 m high tower below each plot (Figure 2a). This camera was used to take photos of the sand ribbons during UV illumination (after LED illumination). The 42 megapixel resolution of the photos (7952 × 5304 pixels) was very good (on the plot surface 1 pixel corresponds to a length of about 0.5 – 0.7 mm), which allowed for the detection of individual fluorescent sand particles. The photos were saved with full pixel resolution in the RAW-format (.ARW file) to obtain a high-quality image with maximum dynamic range, as well as in the JPEG-format for faster post-processing. The aperture was set fully open (F/4). During windy nights, the camera tower moved slightly, which led to blurry photos when slow shutter speeds were chosen. Thus, during windy conditions, the shutter speed was set to one second and high ISO values (up to 8000) were used. During nights with little wind, the shutter speed was set to two, five or ten seconds to reduce the ISO to 1000 – 5000 (depending on the light conditions) to obtain better quality pictures.

On the 1990L plot, we additionally used a liquid brilliant blue dye tracer during the MI and HI experiments to visualize the water flow pathways. We manually added the blue dye tracer to the surface at places where OF was visible. The flow paths of the dye tracer were recorded on video in Ultra HD resolution (3840 × 2160 pixels) with 25 frames per second using the camera (Sony Alpha 7R II) on the camera tower. The combination of several video frames led to a composite picture of the flow paths on the surface, which could then be compared to the movement of the fluorescent sand.
3.3.3 Image pre-processing

The photos of the sand ribbons were post-processed to obtain a matrix for each photo, where each element corresponds to one pixel with an area of 1 mm² on the plot (cf. Schneider et al., 2014; Weiler, 2001; Weiler and Flühler, 2004), and has a value of one if there was fluorescent sand and zero if there was no sand. These matrices are called classified images in the remainder of the text (Figure 3c). The procedure used to classify the images is similar to the supervised classification algorithm that is used in remote sensing analyses (e.g., Richards, 2013).

The segmented chain around the plot was used to determine the location of four points of the chain on the photo (top left corner, top right corner, a point on the bottom left, and a point of the bottom right of the photos). The color of the chain changes every 0.5 m, which facilitated the selection of these points. Known coordinates (measured distances between these points in the field) were assigned to these points. For the resampling, the skimage.transform.resize function in Python (v3.8.8) was used within the Scientific Python Development Environment (Spyder v4.1.5). This function uses a Gaussian filter (Gaussian smoothing) to obtain a lower resolution image from a higher resolution image, while avoiding aliasing artefacts. This “geometric correction” was done for all photos. The areas outside the plots were cropped with Adobe Photoshop CC 2021 (v22.2; Figure 3a).

The detection of the sand particles was done in the hue, saturation, value (HSV) color space, which has the advantage that a single number (hue) represents the color. If the hue of a pixel was in the specified range for the sand and the value (v) was above 10%, then a pixel was classified as fluorescent sand. We chose at least ten representative locations of the sand ribbon on each photo to determine the typical hue of the sand in Adobe Photoshop (orange: 0° – 80° and 320° – 360°; green: 150° – 180°; blue: 180° – 240°). As the sand had a certain brightness (due to its afterglow), a value (v) of at least 10% was chosen to reduce misclassifications in the dark parts (e.g., due to reflections on rocks, vegetation etc.). The classification was done in Python, based on an existing script to detect a brilliant blue dye tracer in soil profiles (Weiler, 2001). To detect the orange sand, the segmented chain and the fluorescent ropes had to be manually removed in Adobe Photoshop because their hue was in the range of the sand. To detect the blue sand, the green sand had to be manually removed in Adobe Photoshop because some green sand particles had a hue within the typical blue sand range (green: 150° – 190°, blue: 180° – 240°).

3.3.4 Image analysis

The fluorescent segmented chain around the plot led to tie areas instead of tie points. Furthermore, they became slightly bent during the experiments (due to their weight). As a result, the reference points for the geometric correction differ for the pre- and post- experiment classified images (i.e., the matrix coordinates (0, 0) in the pre- and post experiment classified images do not have the same position on the plot). This means that the pre- and post classified images cannot be overlain. Therefore, a theoretical starting line at approximately the middle of the sand ribbon was defined for all classified images (pre- and post-experiment, cf. Figure 3c). The assumption is that the main part of the sand ribbon did not move during an experiment and
only individual sand particles and clusters moved (i.e., the position of this starting line along the middle of the sand ribbon did not change during an experiment). Observations in the field and inspection of the photos confirmed this (e.g., in Figure 3, the shape of the sand ribbon and its position relative to the rocks remained the same). Based on the starting lines from the classified images, a relative measure from where the sand moved can be calculated to determine the sand transport distance.

More specifically, the starting line in each classified image (pre- and post-experiment) was defined as follows. For every point along the slope (i.e., each individual pixel column \(i\) in the classified image), we determined a window of 101 pixels wide (50 pixel columns on each side, representing a 10.1 cm wide section on the plot) and counted the number of pixels that were classified as sand for each row (i.e., every 1 mm upslope from the gutter) within this window. The row with the highest number of classified pixels was considered the starting line for column \(i\) and thus the starting point for sand movement. In case there were several maxima, the median row height was taken as the starting point. If there were only a few (<30) classified pixels, the value from the neighboring column was used to avoid breaks in the starting line (i.e., there were no gaps in the starting line, even if the sand ribbon itself had a small gap). At the left and right borders of the plot, the moving window was shorter to account for the lack of neighboring points on one side.

We did not use the maximum distance that the sand moved from the starting line as a measure for sand movement because it is very sensitive to the detection of individual sand particles. Furthermore, it would not account for transport of the sand off the plots (i.e., into the OF gutters). Instead, we used two measures: one related to the distance that the sand moved on the plot (\(\Delta D\)) and the other to the area over which the sand moved (\(\Delta A\)). For each point along the plot (i.e., each column \(i\) in the classified images), we determined the distance \((s)\) between the starting line and the lowermost pixel that was classified as sand (Figure 4). We did this for the classified images taken before and after the experiments. The average value of this distance for the classified image taken before the sprinkling experiments represents approximately half of the width of the sand ribbon.

Any change in this average distance for the classified image taken after the experiment is an indication for sand movement (assuming the entire sand ribbon did not move downwards, which we did not observe). Because the sand did not move at most points along the slope, we put extra weight on the particles that were located far from the starting line by using the root of the mean squared maximum distance for each column:

\[
D = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2
\]

where \(i\) represents the location along the slope (i.e., the column number in the classified image) and \(n\) the total number of pixels along the plot (i.e., the total number of columns). To calculate how the mean root squared distance \(D\) changed from pre- to post-experiment images, and thus to obtain a measure of the distance that the sand moved during an experiment, the percent change in \(D\) (\(\Delta D\)) was calculated:

\[
\Delta D = \left( \frac{D_{\text{post}}}{D_{\text{pre}}} - 1 \right) \times 100 \%
\]
where \( D_{\text{post}} \) is the root of the mean squared distance of the sand after the sprinkling experiment and \( D_{\text{pre}} \) is the root of the mean squared distance of the sand prior to the sprinkling experiment, and \( \Delta D \) represents the percent change in the root of the mean squared distance (increase or decrease in \%).

As a measure of the spread of the sand, we also determined the potential area over which the sand moved (\( A \); Figure 3d and 4c). Specifically, we selected all pixels between the furthest downslope and upslope occurrence of sand for each column of the classified images. Sand particles located more than 20 cm above the starting line were not considered as they are most likely not part of the sand ribbon. To account for the fact that the sand particles probably did not move down the hillslope in a straight line, the uppermost and lowermost (i.e., furthest upslope and downslope) occurrence of sand for each column was calculated for a 41 column window (i.e., 20 columns on each side, representing 2 cm on the plot; Figure 4). In case of gaps in the sand ribbon, the interpolated starting line was used to merge all areas to one area (Figure 4). Similar to the calculation of the sand travel distances, the percent change in the area \( A \) (\( \Delta A \)) was calculated (Eq. (2) but with the \( A \) instead of \( D \)) to determine the change in the area over which the sand was spread during the sprinkling experiments. This \( \Delta A \) does not represent the actual area over which the sand moved during the experiments (and would overestimate this) but is used here as a measure to compare the spread of the sand during the different experiments for the different plots.

### 3.4 Data analysis

To determine if the differences in the surface characteristics (vegetation cover, rock cover, surface roughness, aggregate stability, and \( K_{sat} \)) for the five plots were statistically significant, we used the Kruskal-Wallis tests and Nemenyi post-hoc tests. To determine if the differences in the surface characteristics were significantly different between the two moraines, we used the Mann-Whitney test.

For each event we determined the total OF, peak flow rate, sediment yield, and peak turbidity. To better compare the OF characteristics between the experiments with different rainfall intensities and amounts, we calculated the OF runoff ratio by dividing the OF amount by the rainfall amount. To determine if the differences in total OF, peak flow, sediment yield and peak turbidity for the five plots were statistically significant, we used the Kruskal-Wallis tests and Nemenyi post-hoc tests. We used Spearman rank correlation (\( r_s \)) to determine the correlation between the surface characteristics and total OF, peak flow, sediment yield and peak turbidity. We similarly used Spearman rank correlation to describe the relation between the rainfall intensity and total OF, peak flow, sediment yield, peak turbidity, and the percent changes in sand distance (\( \Delta D \)) and sand-covered area (\( \Delta A \)). Due to the differences in slope, exposition, and position for the plots, as well as differences in rainfall intensity, we did not expect strong correlations between the individual variables. Therefore, we also used a multiple linear model to predict the change in sand distance and area, using the rainfall intensity as additional variable. We used the model to identify the interaction between rainfall intensity and the plot characteristics for OF and sediment yield. For the analyses, we...
used a 0.05 level of significance and the software R (v4.0.5 – used with RStudio v1.4.1106), especially the packages: “stats”, “caret” “PMCMRplus”, “rsq” and “ggplot2”.

4 Results

4.1 Plot characteristics

4.1.1 Slope and surface roughness

All of the plots were relatively steep (median: 27°), ranging from 18° on 1990L to 35° on 1860M (Table 1; Figure 5a), but the plots on the 1860 moraine were on average steeper than the 1990 moraine (29° vs. 26°). The differences between the median slopes of the plots (p = 5e-04) and the two moraines (p = 4e-03) were significant. The variability in the slope was largest for the 1990L plot and smallest for the 1990M plot (coefficient of variation (CV) of 0.41 and 0.16, respectively).

There was also a significant difference in the surface roughness between the plots (p = 0.01; Figure 5b) and the moraines (p = 7e-03). The tortuosity index increased significantly from the 1860 moraine (median: 0.32; range: 0.18 – 0.47) to the 1990 moraine (median: 0.39; range: 0.26 – 0.86). The variability in the tortuosity index values was largest for the 1860M plot and smallest for the 1990M plot (CV of 0.32 and 0.15, respectively).

4.1.2 Soil aggregate stability

The aggregate stability of the top 10 cm of the soil (Figure 5c) differed statistically significant between the plots (p = 0.02; Figure 5c) and decreased significantly from the 1860 moraine to the 1990 moraine (p = 2e-03). The median soil aggregate stability coefficient (SAC) was lowest for the 1990M plot (0.14) and highest for the 1860M plot (0.71). The variability in soil aggregate stability was largest for the 1990M plot and smallest for the 1860L plot (CV of 0.74 and 0.44, respectively).

4.1.3 Saturated hydraulic conductivity

The median values of saturated hydraulic conductivity ($K_{sat}$) ranged between 1320 mm h$^{-1}$ (1860M) and 6120 mm h$^{-1}$ (1990M)(Figure 5d) and were not significantly different between the five plots (p = 0.1). The median $K_{sat}$ was significantly lower for the 1860 moraine than the 1990 moraine (median values of 1560 mm h$^{-1}$ and 4320 mm h$^{-1}$, p = 0.04).

4.2 Overland flow, turbidity and sediment yield

OF was observed less frequently on the 1860 moraine (three of the six experiments) than on the 1990 moraine (seven of the nine experiments; Figure 6, Table 2). OF was not observed for any of the low intensity (LI) experiments on the 1860 plots but was observed for all high intensity (HI) experiments on these plots. For the 1990 moraine OF was observed for all HI experiments as well, but for the plots on the footslopes of the moraine (1990L and 1990H) OF was also observed for the low and
mid intensity (LI and MI) experiments (Table 2). Except for the plots located at the footslopes of the 1990 moraine, the OF runoff ratio (total OF divided by total precipitation) was < 3 %. For the footslope plots (1990L and 1990H) it was 8-10%. The average OF amount (for all experiments on a moraine) was almost three times larger for the 1990 moraine (1.8 mm) than the 1860 moraine (0.7 mm). When looking only at the HI experiments that produced OF on all plots, this difference is smaller (2.6 mm for the 1990 moraine vs. 1.5 mm for the 1860 moraine, or 8 vs. 3 % of the applied rainfall). The total amount of OF was especially high for the 1990L plot (3.6 mm or 11 % of the applied rainfall during the HI experiment; 8.1 mm or 10 % of applied rainfall for all three experiments combined; Figure 6).

For the plots on the 1860 moraine, peak turbidity and total sediment yield were small (Figure 6). Peak turbidity and sediment yield increased with rainfall intensity and were highest during the HI experiments for the 1990L and 1990H plots located at the foot of the moraine. There was a significant positive correlation between the peak flow rate and peak turbidity (Figure 7a; $r = 0.77; p = 0.02$), as well as between the amount of OF and sediment yield (Figure 7b; $r = 0.81; p = 7e-04$). For the 1990H plot, the total OF amount did not increase from the low to high-intensity experiments (Table 2), but sediment yield did. The results for the unscaled OF and sediment yield are similar (Fig. 13 in Supp. Material).

4.3 Sand movement

4.3.1 Patterns of sand movement and changes in sand-covered areas

Individual sand particles and small clusters of sand (< 1 cm²) were detected below the sand ribbon on both the 1860L and 1860M plots (Figure 8). For the 1860L plot, sand movement was more widespread during the high intensity HI experiment (blue sand ribbon) than for the other experiments, as reflected by the larger areas covered by the sand for the HI experiment than the LI and MI experiments. Such large sand-covered areas were also observed for the 1990L and 1990M plots (Figure 8).

For the 1990H plot, individual sand particles, small clusters and larger sand-covered areas were detected below the sand ribbons. However, compared to the 1990L and 1990M plots, hardly any sand moved on the 1990H plot. These qualitative differences in sand movement between the moraines are reflected in the differences in the sand travel distances $\Delta D$ ($p = 3e-03$) and the change in the sand-covered areas $\Delta A$ ($p = 2e-03$; Figure 9, Table 2).

For five of the six experiments on the 1860 moraine, the change in sand-covered area was negative (i.e., it decreased); the sand travel distance was negative for four of the six experiments. Such decreases were not observed for the experiments on the 1990 moraine (Figure 9). The decrease was largest for the LI experiment on the 1860L plot ($\Delta D: -51 \%$ and $\Delta A: -52 \%$); the largest increases were observed for the 1990L plot (Figure 9).
4.3.2 Comparison with the blue dye

The flow pathways of the brilliant blue dye tracer matched the distribution of the sand-covered areas well (Figure 10a and b). The fluorescent sand and the brilliant blue dye were both transported to the bottom of the plots (Figure 8, Figure 10). Sand and OF moved in four distinct areas on the 1990L plot, which matched the flow patterns derived from the DSM (Figure 10c). The first flow path (#1 in Figure 10) was located towards the left plot boundary (when looking upslope). The orange sand placed on the plot before the LI experiment was redistributed along this flow path. The second OF flow path (#2 in Figure 10) located further down and slightly to the left. Sand particles from all three sand ribbons were found here, suggesting that OF occurred here during all three experiments. The third pathway (#3 in Figure 10) is mainly characterized by ponding in the slightly flatter area of the plot. In this microtopographic depression, the blue sand from the HI experiment was deposited. A fourth OF pathway (#4 in Figure 10) was observed during all experiments and all three sand colors were found in this region.

4.4 Relation between overland flow, sand movement and plot characteristics

There was no significant correlation between the percent changes in sand-covered area and either the total OF ($r_s = 0.51$; $p = 0.07$), OF runoff ratio ($r_s = 0.52$; $p = 0.05$), peak OF rate ($r_s = 0.34$; $p = 0.40$), peak turbidity ($r_s = 0.52$; $p = 0.09$), sediment yield ($r_s = 0.56$; $p = 0.09$), or sediment yield per unit rainfall ($r_s = 0.58$; $p = 0.08$; Figure 11). This was mainly due to the fact that for some experiments, no OF was measured at the bottom of the plot but there were changes in the sand-covered area. If these events are excluded from the analyses, a higher percent change in the sand-covered area corresponded to more OF, a higher OF runoff ratio, a higher peak OF rate, peak turbidity, sediment yield and sediment yield per unit rainfall (Figure 11).

Relations with the sand travel distance were similar to those for the change in sand covered area (see Figure S11 in Supplementary Material).

The percent change in sand-covered area ($r_s = 0.77$; $p = 7e-04$; Figure 12g) and the OF runoff ratio ($r_s = 0.78$; $p = 6e-04$; Figure 12a) were both significant positive correlated to the surface rock cover. The total OF ($r_s = 0.66$; $p = 7e-03$) and sediment yield ($r_s = 0.62$; $p = 0.01$; Figure 12d) were also significant positive correlated to the surface rock cover. The percent change in sand-covered area ($r_s = 0.55$; $p = 0.03$; Figure 12i), OF runoff ratio ($r_s = 0.54$, $p = 0.04$; Figure 12c), and total OF ($r_s = 0.54$; $p = 0.04$) were also significant positive correlated to the surface roughness index. The percent change in sand-covered area was negatively correlated to the vegetation cover ($r_s = -0.57$; $p = 0.03$; Figure 12h) and positively correlated to $K_uw$ ($r_s = 0.62$; $p = 0.02$).

The multiple linear model indicated that rock cover, vegetation cover and that their interaction with the rainfall intensity could predict the total OF ($R^2 = 0.64$; Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) = 0.6 mm) and sediment yield ($R^2 = 0.77$; RMSE = 5.2 g) reasonably well but these plot characteristics were not very suitable predictors for the percent change in sand-covered area ($R^2 = 0.35$; RMSE = 70 %).
5 Discussion

5.1 Advantages and disadvantages of the fluorescent sand to study sediment transport

The results of this study suggest that the fluorescent sand can be useful to assess sediment transport on natural hillslopes with coarse soils and little vegetation (Figure 10). In particular, it provided information on the movement of particles inside the plot and travel distances. A main benefit of the sand tracer method is that very local and short sediment transport distances are identifiable. Thus, some information on OF and soil erosion can be obtained, even if no OF is detected below the plots. The distribution of the fluorescent sand particles matched that of the blue dye and provides information on the locations of infiltration of OF and deposition of the sediment, and thus the identification of local deposition areas or sinks. For example, for two experiments (LI, MI) on the 1990M plot, there was considerable sediment movement, even though no OF was recorded. This sediment movement would not have been known if there were only measurements at the bottom of the plot (and explains the lack of the correlation between sediment yield and sand movement (Figure 11d). The movement of the sand thus highlighted the importance of re-infiltration of OF on this plot. In other words, the fluorescent sand can help to understand where sediment transport occurs and can thus provide information about sediment connectivity.

The fluorescent sand method is well suited to study sediment transport on poorly vegetated soils that contain a significant amount of sand (i.e., have a similar grain size as the sand tracer). In other words, it is well suited for coarse hillslopes in high alpine regions, dunes, etc. However, the sand does not move exactly like the soil. The fluorescent sand was loose and did not represent the natural soil aggregates. On soils with finer textured material, the method will no longer represent the detachment and transport of the natural soil particles.

An advantage of the fluorescent sand is that it can be used to study sediment transport during natural rainfall events (although we didn’t do this). Many of the previous studies that have described OF pathways required real-time observations of the water or the tracer. To automate data collection during the event, they measured water temperatures with thermal cameras (Lima et al., 2015) or recorded videos for particle detection on beaches, rivers, or hillslopes (Tauro et al., 2012a, b, 2016; Hardy et al., 2017, 2016). This requirement for photographs or videos during the event means that the tracer can only be used during sprinkling experiments or at places where the timing of sediment transport is predictable (e.g., on the beach). The advantage of the fluorescent sand method, as presented here, is that it does not require a real-time particle detection or tracking system. It means that the particles can, at least in theory, be applied to a surface and photographs can be taken before and after the rainfall event, so that fully natural conditions can be studied. This is especially useful in remote or exposed locations, where the occurrence of the next natural rainfall is unknown, and where experiments need to be done on multiple plots simultaneously and it is too expensive to equip each plot with a camera. If equipment is available, real-time particle tracking has the advantage that it also provides information when sediment transport occurs and can record the actual water flow.
The detection of the sand would have been possible without the use of the LED-lamps or with a common fluorescent tracer without afterglow effect (as used by Hardy et al., 2019, 2017, 2016; Tauro et al., 2016, 2012a, 2012b; Young and Holt, 1968). However, the use of a glow in the dark sand that emits light for several minutes without a light source is a considerable advantage for very rough surfaces, where vegetation or rocks lead to shading and some of the sand particles cannot be seen directly. By moving the LED-light and UV-light sources around the plot before taking the photos, it was possible to see these particles due to their afterglow. On young moraines where the surfaces are rough, this is particularly useful.

The disadvantage of the fluorescent sand method is that it only describes the local movement of fluorescent sand particles (i.e., plot scale movement) and can not be used to predict sediment yield at the bottom of the plot. For sediment yield, the particles need to travel at least to the bottom of the plots. This was not the case for several of our experiments. The dissolution of the glue was certainly another disadvantage of the fluorescent sand that we used. Despite being listed as waterproof, the sand formed aggregates (1 – 15 mm in size) after a wetting and drying cycle. The aggregates were rather stable, indicating that parts of the glue that bonds the photoluminescent tracer powder on the sand particles was dissolved and bound with other particles (mainly other fluorescent sand particles as they were nearby) after drying. In the following sprinkling experiments, such “glue induced aggregates” were barely broken up and were thus unlikely to be transported. As a result, the fluorescent sand that we used can only be used to determine sediment transport for the first event after an application (as we did). This is a disadvantage because it requires application before the event of interest. However, for natural events it means that there is more time available to take the photographs after the event but another type of fluorescent sand should be used to study sediment transport during multiple events. We did not observe washed off tracer powder on natural sand particles that would create “fake particles”. Also, no photo-quenching effects or changes in the color were observed between the pre and post event photos. Small changes in the color would not have had an effect as the typical color range for each sand was determined based on the pre and post experiment photos.

We consider the method used in this study applicable for the microscale (several centimeters) to hillslope scale (several meters) experiments but do not recommend its use for larger scales. First, the image resolution would become too coarse. With a modified setup one could study sediment transport at larger scales, e.g., one could use the camera at different locations (to monitor different areas of the hillslope) and stitch the photos together or one could use a camera on a rail that takes several pictures of the hillslope. Second, on larger plots or longer hillslopes, the sand is more likely to get stuck behind rocks and vegetation, so that it is unlikely to be observed far from the applied ribbon. However, this provides interesting information to understand sediment transport distances and connectivity as well. A solution could be the use of multiple sand ribbons on larger hillslopes.
5.2 Effects of surface characteristics on overland flow and sand movement

The results of this study provide valuable information regarding OF generation and sediment transport on young moraines but need to be interpreted with caution as the number of experiments and observations was very small. The significant differences in the total amount of OF and OF runoff ratios between the 1860 and 1990 moraines (Figure 6) highlight an important difference in the partitioning of rainfall into OF and infiltration (see also Maier et al., 2020). The observed difference in total OF explains the difference in sediment yield (Figure 6, Figure 7b) and the redistribution of the fluorescent sand (Figure 8, Figure 10). The plot with the most OF also had the largest overall sediment yield (1990L). This is not surprising as the importance of OF for soil erosion has been recognized for decades (e.g., Farmer, 1971; Knapen et al., 2007; Komura, 1976; Parsons, 1992; Poesen, 2017; Singer and Walker, 1983).

The correlations between the surface characteristics and OF and sediment yield (Figure 12) and the results of the multiple linear model suggest that the high rock- and stone cover on the 1990 moraine led to considerable OF generation, even-though the $K_{sat}$ values for the topsoil were high and higher than for the 1860 moraine (Figure 5d). On the areas covered with rocks (Figure S12 in Supplementary Material) infiltration is limited, leading to the generation of OF. Where this water infiltrates, the sand particles are deposited on the surface. This mainly occurred in slightly flatter areas, behind vegetation patches, and behind rocks. Previous studies have also reported quicker and more pronounced OF responses on hillslopes with large rock- and stone cover (Lavee and Poesen, 1991; Poesen et al., 1990; Poesen and Lavee, 1994). The positive, rather than negative, correlation between $K_{sat}$ and sediment transport (chapter 4.4) can be explained by the fact that $K_{sat}$ was measured for the sediment between the rocks and not on the impermeable areas of the large rocks. The plot-average surface infiltration on the 1990 moraine is much lower than on the 1860 moraine due to the higher rock cover, despite the higher $K_{sat}$ for the sediment between the rocks. The substantially higher OF on the 1990 moraine plots is also influenced by the position of the two plots at the footslope of the 1990 moraine, which caused the soils to be wetter and promoted saturated overland flow (Maier et al., 2021).

The rocks and stones on the 1990 moraine are also responsible for the higher surface roughness. A high surface roughness can reduce sediment connectivity and provide sinks that retain OF and the sediment that it carries, increasing infiltration and preventing further downslope flow and transport (Thompson et al., 2010a; Dunkerley, 2003; Johnson et al., 1979; Jomaa et al., 2012). However, other studies (e.g., Darboux and Huang, 2005; Dunkerley, 2004; Helming et al., 1998) have reported a positive correlation between surface roughness, OF generation and connectivity because surface roughness concentrates the flow and accelerates the flow of water in downslope-orientated structures. This flow can promote sediment transport and enhance erosion (Liu and Singh, 2004). We assume that this was the case for the plots of the 1990 moraine, where the OF pathways (as visualized by the brilliant blue dye) indeed followed microtopographic depressions with a downslope orientation (Figure 10). The strong overlap between the redistribution of the fluorescent sand (sand polygons) and the pathways of the brilliant blue dye allowed the identification of the areas where OF occurred and where OF re-infiltrated (Figure 10). However,
the opposite is not true: a lack of sediment movement should not be interpreted as an absence of surface water flow. Water and sediment movement can be disconnected (e.g. where the transport capacity of the water is too low to move the sediment, where OF infiltrates into the soil and then exfiltrates again, or where splash erosion is important). Also, OF that is generated close to the gutters (and thus far below the sand ribbon) would not have caused any movement of the fluorescent sand. Furthermore, not all of the sand movement can be attributed to OF-driven soil erosion. The different patterns of sand movement (isolated small clusters, aggregates or even single sand particles vs. more connected parts covered by fluorescent sand) indicate the influence of splash erosion. Recorded maximum splash distances for sand particles in the range of the fluorescent sand used in this study (300 – 500 µm) are ~45 cm for rainfall intensities of ~30 mm hr\(^{-1}\) and a median raindrop size of ~1.4 mm (Legout et al., 2005). This agrees well with the observed maximum sand travel distance ranges of this study (0 – 1320 cm), especially considering that sand particles can be moved by splash erosion multiple times.

The plots on the 1860 moraine are characterized by a smooth surface, dominated by grassland vegetation, with denser root networks and macropores close to the surface that promote infiltration (Hino et al., 1987; Ding and Li, 2016; Greinwald et al., 2021b). On the plots on the 1860 moraine, most sand polygons were small and part of the sand disappeared during the experiments (Figure 8, Figure 9 and Table 2), which suggests vertical transport (translocation) of the sand particles (and water) into the macroporous topsoil, or at least below the vegetation (but at most for a few centimeter). Vegetation cover and plant roots also protect the soil particles from detachment (Geißler et al., 2012; Gyssels et al., 2005) and act as barriers that trap eroded sediment (Wainwright et al., 2000; Rey, 2003; Pearce et al., 1997; Marchamalo et al., 2016). The negative values for \(\Delta D\) and \(\Delta A\) for the plots on the 1860 moraine are, thus, mainly caused by the higher vegetation cover compared to the 1990 moraine (cf. Ebabu et al., 2018; Marques et al., 2007; Mu et al., 2019; Rey et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2004). The transport of the fluorescent sand below the ribbons (Figure 8), however, also highlights that even if no OF is measured at the bottom of the plot (Figure 6), there still may be local OF and transport of sediment on the plot (Figure 8, Figure 9). However, in these cases, connectivity to the bottom of the plot is low. Connectivity on larger scales (e.g., hillslope scale) may also be even more different as OF may infiltrate or exfiltrate as return flow, depending on downslope contributing areas and the connection of saturated areas along the flowpaths (see e.g., analyses of Lane et al. (2004, 2009) based on the topographic wetness index (TWI)).

6 Conclusions

We tested the use of fluorescent sand with an afterglow in combination with sprinkling experiments to study sediment transport on young moraines in the Swiss Alps. Although fluorescent particles have been used in previous studies, in particular in flume experiments, this study highlights the potential of using fluorescent sand with an afterglow to identify and quantify sediment transport on natural hillslopes. The afterglow of the fluorescent sand improves the visibility of the sand on rough surfaces, such as in proglacial areas. The main advantage of the fluorescent sand as a tracer to study sediment movement is that it shows
the redistribution of sediment on the plot, and thus provides information on sediment movement even if no overland flow is observed at the bottom of the plot. Another advantage is that the sand is cheap and that no photos or videos need to be taken during the experiment, making it logistically easier to study sediment transport during events.

The fluorescent sand was transported to distinct areas on the plots that matched the pathways of the overland flow during a blue dye staining experiment. The redistribution of the fluorescent sand also highlighted the differences in sediment transport processes for the two moraines. The negative change in the fluorescent sand covered area for the older 1860 moraine suggests that the more extensive vegetation cover on this moraine caused infiltration into near-surface macropores and other preferential flow paths. At the same time, it protected surface from splash detachment and transport. In contrast, the high rock cover on the younger 1990 moraine led to concentrated overland flow pathways and larger sediment transport distances, and for some experiments, a high sediment yield. These observations highlight the importance of microtopography on sediment transport and the importance of re-infiltration of overland flow and deposition of sediment behind vegetation patches and rocks.

7 Code and data availability

The data described in this article can be downloaded from: Maier, F. and van Meerveld, I.: HILLSCAPE Project - Data on moraine soil properties and on overland flow and subsurface flow characteristics. GFZ Data Services.


The code to analyze the photos is available on request to the first corresponding author.
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Table 1: Overview of the main characteristics (elevation, slope, aspect, dominant species, vegetation cover, rock cover, sand content of the upper 10 cm of the soil, and the tortuosity index) for the five study plots.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moraine</th>
<th>Plot name</th>
<th>Veg. complexity</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Slope (°)</th>
<th>Aspect (°)</th>
<th>Dominant vegetation</th>
<th>Veg. cover (%)</th>
<th>Rock cover (%)</th>
<th>Sand content (%)&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Tortuosity index (&lt;τ&gt;&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>1860L</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>49 NE</td>
<td>Anthoxanthum alpinum, Salix retusa</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1860M</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>56 NE</td>
<td>Campanula scheuchzeri, Trifolium pallescens</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1990L</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48 NE</td>
<td>Salix hastata</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990M</td>
<td>medium</td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>56 NE</td>
<td>Epilobium fleischeri, Poa alpina</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990H</td>
<td>high</td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28 NE</td>
<td>Salix retusa, Trifolium pallescens</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup> from Hartmann et al. (2020b).

<sup>2</sup> calculated by the method of Bertuzzi et al. (1990), based on the normalized line length for ten measurements on each plot with a microtopography profiler of 1.5 m length (cf. Leatherman, 1987).
Table 2: Overview of the main response characteristics for all low, medium and high-intensity sprinkling experiments (LI, MI and HI, respectively) on all five plots. $P_{tot}$ is the total rainfall amount, $P_{int}$ is the average rainfall intensity, $t_P$ is the rainfall duration, $OF_{tot}$ is the total overland flow (OF), $OF_{peak}$ is the peak OF rate, $T_{peak}$ is the peak turbidity, $S_Y$ is the sediment yield, $\Delta D$ is the percent change in sand distance, and $\Delta A$ is the percent change in sand-covered area.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Moraine</th>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>$P_{tot}$ (mm)</th>
<th>$P_{int}$ (mm h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$t_P$ (min)</th>
<th>$OF_{tot}$ (mm)</th>
<th>$OF_{peak}$ (mm h$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T_{peak}$ (NTU)</th>
<th>$S_Y$ (g)</th>
<th>$\Delta D$ (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta A$ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1860</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1860M</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-46</td>
<td>-52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>272</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>198</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>211</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990M</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990H</td>
<td>LI</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MI</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HI</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Aerial image of the Sustenpass study area (left) and photographs of the three experimental plots (right) on the 1860 and 1990 moraines. The outlines of the two studied moraines are indicated on the aerial image of the study area (1860 moraine: dashed line; 1990 moraine: solid line). The vegetation cover for the older (1860) moraine (top right) is much higher than for the younger (1990) moraine (bottom right). The 1860H plot was excluded from the analyses due to the dense shrub cover. Source of the aerial image: Federal Office of Topography Swisstopo ('Journey through time', 2019).
Figure 2: Photos showing the experimental set-up for the study plots (a), the collection system for overland flow (OF), biomat and very shallow subsurface flow (b), and the set-up for the fluorescent sand imaging (c). Important components, such as the plot borders, sprinklers, tipping bucket rain gauges, pond foil, gutter and hose, turbidity sensor, Upwelling Bernoulli Tube, fluorescent segmented chain and fluorescent ropes, and camera tower below the plot are marked and labeled. At night UV lamps were used to illuminate the fluorescent sand on the plot (c).
Figure 3: Pre- and post sprinkling experiments photographs (a) and visualization of the steps taken for the image analysis (b, c, d): geometrically corrected photos with the plot surroundings cut off and the fluorescent ropes digitally removed from the picture (a), classified images (a visual representation of a matrix containing zeros and ones) where classified pixels with fluorescent sand are shown in black (b), classified images with the location of the starting line (in red, at approximately the middle of the sand ribbon) for the determination of sand distances (c) and the calculated areas over which the sand was spread (d). The photos and images shown here are for the 1990M plot before and after the low intensity (LI) experiment. Please note that due to the downscaling of the photos and images not all sand particles are visible in the pictures shown in (a).
Figure 4: Sketch of the image analysis steps, showing a small part of a classified image where each square represents one pixel or element in the matrix (\(= 1 \text{ mm}^2\) on the plot). Pixels classified as sand are shown in black (a). For the determination of the sand distance (D) in every column l, the distance (x) between the starting line (red) and the lowermost sand pixel (shown in turquoise) was determined (b). For the determination of the sand-covered area (A), all pixels between the uppermost (blue) and lowermost sand pixel (turquoise) in each column and the 20 columns to the left and right were considered to be part of area A (c).

Figure 5: Boxplots of the slope angle (a), tortuosity index (b), and aggregate stability of the top 10 cm of the soil (c), and the saturated hydraulic conductivity (\(K_{\text{sat}}\)) of the soil surface (d) for the five different plots. For the boxplots, the box represents the 25th to 75th percentiles and the solid thick line the median. The whiskers extend to the 10th and 90th percentiles. The jittered symbols represent the actual measurements (squares for the plots on the 1860 moraine and triangles for the plots on the 1990 moraine). The stars in the upper right corner of the plot indicate the level of significance for the differences in median values for the five plots (top in black font), and between the two moraines (bottom in grey font): * for p-values < 0.05, ** for values < 0.01, *** for values < 0.001, and "ns" for p > 0.05. Plots that do not share a similar letter (shown above the boxplots) are statistically significant different.
Figure 6: Bar charts of the OF runoff ratio (%), peak turbidity (NTU), and the sediment yield per unit of precipitation (g mm\(^{-1}\)) for each sprinkling experiment (LI, MI and HI represent low, mid and high intensity experiments, respectively) for each plot (1860L, 1860M, 1990L, 1990M, and 1990H). The absence of a bar indicates the lack of measurable OF (and thus also turbidity and sediment yield). OF and sediment yield were scaled by the amount of rainfall to improve the comparability for the different sprinkling experiments. The number above the bars denotes the actual value for each experiment. The number above the plot (RI = rainfall intensity) denotes the rainfall intensity (in mm h\(^{-1}\)). The results for the unscaled values are similar and shown in the Supplementary Material (Figure S13).
Figure 7: Scatterplots showing the relation between the peak OF rate and peak turbidity (a) and between total overland flow and sediment yield (b) for all sprinkling experiments on the five plots (1860L, 1860M, 1990L, 1990M, and 1990H). The color of the symbol represents the plot; the symbol represents the sprinkling experiment (low (LI), mid (MI), and high (HI) intensity). The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) and corresponding p-value, as well as the Spearman rank correlation coefficient ($r_s$) are given in the upper left corner of each subplot. Note that no OF was measured (and thus the peak OF rate, peak turbidity, and total sediment yield were zero) for five of the 15 experiments, as indicated by the open symbols (only the result for the 1990H LI and MI experiments are visible because the symbols plot on top of each other).
Figure 8: Photographs showing the sand-covered areas after the sprinkling experiments for the five plots. The left part (a) shows the daylight drone photos with the 50 cm contour lines (yellow lines). The orange sand ribbon was added prior to the low-intensity experiments (LI), the green sand prior to the medium intensity (MI) experiments, and the blue sand prior to the high intensity (HI) experiments. The central part (b) shows the nighttime photos taken after the experiments, while illuminating the fluorescent sand with the UV lamps. To better visualize the extent of the sand movement, hand-drawn polygons (translucent orange: LI experiment, green: MI experiment, and blue: HI experiment) depicting the maximum extent of the individual sand particles and clusters, were added to the photos. These polygons are also shown with a white background on the right side of the figure (c). The larger sand-covered areas (and travel distances) for the 1990 plots compared to the 1860 plots are reflected by the larger polygons. The full-size version of the daylight photos with the contour lines and the night photos of the fluorescent sand (without polygons) are given in the Supplementary Material (Figure S1 – S10) and available from Maier and van Meerveld (2023).
Figure 9: Change in the sand distance ($\Delta D$; shaded bars) and sand-covered area ($\Delta A$; solid bars) between pre- and post-sprinkling conditions for all experiments on all five plots. LI, MI and HI represent low, medium and high-intensity sprinkling experiments. Positive changes reflect increases in sand distances and areas during the experiment and thus sand movement and deposition on the surface below the sand ribbon, while negative changes reflect a loss of sand from the surface. The number above the plot (RI) denotes the rainfall intensity (mm h$^{-1}$).
Figure 10: Overland flow (OF) pathways during the sprinkling experiments and sand-covered areas after the sprinkling experiments for the low complexity plot on the 1990 moraine (1990L). The upper photo (a) was taken at night and the hand-drawn polygons (from Figure 8) were added to visualize the distribution of the sand. The orange, green and blue polygons indicate the sand-covered areas on the plot. The white polygons indicate OF pathways that were either clearly visible (#4) or traced by adding a brilliant blue tracer (#1, #2, #3) to the water during the sprinkling. Numbers 1 – 4 reflect specific flow pathways that are described in the text. The middle picture (b) is a composite of several video frames from the MI and HI experiments after the addition of the blue dye to visualize the OF pathways on the plot, again with the polygons. The lower figure (c) shows a nadir view of the plot surface and includes the 50 cm contour lines (yellow) and the $D_\infty$ derived flow accumulation (values larger than 0.2 m² in blue).
Figure 11: Scatterplots showing the relations between the change in sand-covered area (ΔA) and total overland flow (OF; a), peak OF rate (b), peak turbidity (c) and total sediment yield (d) for all sprinkling experiments. The color of the symbols represents the plots (1860L, 1860M, 1990L, 1990M, 1990H); the symbol represents the rainfall intensity of the sprinkling experiment (LI, MI, HI). Open symbols are used for experiments that did not generate any OF at the bottom of the plot (and for which there is no turbidity measurement or sediment yield). The coefficient of determination (R²) and corresponding p-value, as well as the Spearman rank correlation coefficient (rₛ) are given in the upper left corner of each subplot. The results for ΔD are similar and shown in the Supplementary Material (Figure S11).
Figure 12: Scatterplots showing the relations between the OF runoff ratio (%), sediment yield per unit precipitation (g mm⁻¹), percent change in sand-covered area (ΔA), and important plot properties (rock cover (%), vegetation cover (%), tortuosity index (-)) for all sprinkling experiments. The color of the symbols represents the plots (1860L, 1860M, 1990L, 1990M, 1990H); the symbol represents the rainfall intensity of the sprinkling experiment (LI, MI, HI). Open symbols are used for experiments that did not generate any OF at the bottom of the plot (and thus no sediment yield). The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) and corresponding p-value, as well as the Spearman rank correlation coefficient ($r_s$) are given in the upper left and right corner of each subplot.