

1 **In situ  $^{10}\text{Be}$  modeling and terrain analysis constrain subglacial**  
2 **quarrying and abrasion rates at Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn**  
3 **Isbræ), Greenland**

4  
5 Brandon L. Graham<sup>1</sup>, Jason P. Briner<sup>1</sup>, Nicolás E. Young<sup>2</sup>, Allie Balter-Kennedy<sup>2</sup>, Michele  
6 Koppes<sup>3</sup>, Joerg M. Schaefer<sup>2</sup>, Kristin Poinar<sup>1</sup> and Elizabeth K. Thomas<sup>1</sup>

7  
8 <sup>1</sup>Department of Geology, University at Buffalo, Buffalo NY USA

9 <sup>2</sup>Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, USA

10 <sup>3</sup>University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

11

12 *Correspondence to:* Jason P. Briner (jbriner@buffalo.edu)

13

14  
15 **Abstract.** Glacial erosion creates diagnostic landscapes and vast amounts of sediment. Yet, knowledge about the  
16 rate by which glaciers erode and sculpt bedrock and the proportion of quarried (plucked) versus abraded material is  
17 limited. To address this, we quantify subglacial erosion rates and constrain the ratio of quarrying to abrasion during  
18 ~~a recent, ~200-year-duration~~ overriding of a bedrock surface fronting **Sermeq Kujalleq** (Jakobshavn Isbræ),  
19 Greenland, by combining  $^{10}\text{Be}$  analyses, a digital terrain model, and field observations. Cosmogenic  $^{10}\text{Be}$   
20 measurements along a 1.2-m-tall quarried bedrock step reveal a triangular wedge of quarried rock. Using individual  
21  $^{10}\text{Be}$  measurements from abraded surfaces across the study area, we derive an average abrasion rate of  $0.13\pm 0.08$   
22  $\text{mm yr}^{-1}$ . By applying this analysis across a  $\sim 1.33 \text{ km}^2$  study area, we estimate that the Greenland Ice Sheet quarried  
23  $378\pm 45 \text{ m}^3$  and abraded  $322\pm 204 \text{ m}^3$  of material at this site. These values result in an average total erosion rate of  
24  $0.26\pm 0.16 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$  with abrasion and quarrying contributing in roughly equal proportions within uncertainty.  
25 Additional cosmogenic  $^{10}\text{Be}$  analysis and surface texture mapping indicate that many lee steps are relict from the  
26 prior glaciation and were not re-quarried during the recent overriding event. These new observations of glacier  
27 erosion in a recently exposed landscape provide one of the first direct measurements of quarrying rates and indicate  
28 that quarrying accounts for roughly half of total glacial erosion in representative continental shield lithologies.

Deleted: the 19th/20th century

29

## 30 1. Introduction

31 Distinctive features of glacier erosion characterize most glaciated regions, ranging from polished bedrock  
32 surfaces to overdeepened fjords. Additionally, vast amounts of sediment are produced via glacial erosion. The  
33 Greenland Ice Sheet accounts for a disproportionate delivery of sediment to the oceans, which impacts marine  
34 ecosystems and carbon sequestration (e.g., Overeem et al., 2017). The two dominant mechanisms of glacier erosion  
35 are subglacial quarrying and abrasion (Alley et al., 2019). Quarrying occurs when bedrock blocks are episodically  
36 entrained and removed by overriding glaciers (e.g., Hallet, 1996; Iverson, 2012; Koppes, 2022). Abrasion occurs via  
37 the gradual wearing down of bedrock surfaces as rock fragments are entrained and pressed into the bed by sliding  
38 ice (Hallet, 1979; Iverson, 1990; Koppes, 2022). The rate at which each of these processes occur is dictated by rock  
39 properties (e.g., Matthes, 1930; Dühnforth et al., 2010; Krabbendam and Glasser, 2011), glacio-hydraulic factors  
40 (e.g., Egholm et al., 2012; Zoet et al., 2013; Anderson, 2014) and climate (e.g., Cook et al., 2020; Koppes 2022).  
41 Although the result of the work done by glaciers on landscapes is dramatic, observational datasets that constrain  
42 how quickly landscapes are modified by ice remain sparse (Alley et al., 2019).

43 Despite considerable challenges in observing erosional processes occurring under ice, our understanding of  
44 subglacial erosion rates continues to expand. Total glacial erosion rates (i.e., abrasion + quarrying) have been  
45 inferred using a variety of **both direct and indirect** approaches (e.g., Hallet et al., 1996; Herman et al., 2021; Koppes,  
46 2022) and are found to generally fall between  $0.01$  and  $\geq 1 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ ; however, higher rates have been measured on  
47 short (annual to decadal) timescales (e.g., Koppes and Montgomery, 2009; Cowton et al., 2012). Attempts at  
48 separating the components of quarrying and abrasion have been made based on sediment flux measurements (e.g.,  
49 Loso et al., 2004; Riihimaki et al., 2005), cosmogenic-nuclide inversions across subglacial bedforms (e.g., Briner  
50 and Swanson, 1998) and theoretical considerations related to sparsely vs. intensely fractured bedrock (e.g.,

52 Anderson, 2014). To date, measurements that isolate the eroded rock volume that can be attributed to quarrying are  
53 rare.

54 Here, we quantify subglacial erosion at a site that experienced a well constrained advance-retreat cycle of  
55 Sermeq Kujalleq (Jakobshavn Isbræ), a major outlet glacier in West Greenland (Fig. 1). We partition total erosion  
56 into abrasion and quarrying by pairing cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be measurements with analysis of a high-resolution terrain  
57 model and field mapping of bedrock surface textures. We model the accumulation of cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be that we  
58 measured across a quarried bedrock step to reconstruct the surface profile of the removed material, and the abrasion  
59 depth in adjacent surfaces. Our data allow us to identify which bedrock steps experienced quarrying during the most  
60 recent advance of the ice versus those unaltered since the prior glaciation. We thus calculate the volume of rock  
61 removed during the recent overriding event by abrasion and by quarrying, and estimate the average erosion rate of  
62 each over the duration of glacier overriding.

## 63 2. Study area

64 Greenland Ice Sheet margins are presently retreating, exposing terrain that was ice-covered during the latest  
65 Holocene advance that generally coincides with the Little Ice Age (Kjær et al., 2022). The north and south branches  
66 of Sermeq Kujalleq merged and extended westward ~35 km within the fjord, to attain the “historical limit,” which  
67 was observed in the fjord in 1850 CE (Fig. 1; Weidick and Bennike, 2007). Along the fjord, the historical limit is  
68 represented by a recognizable trimlines, and north and south of the fjord, prominent end moraines can be mapped to  
69 demarcate the extent of the “historical advance.” In addition to the 1850 CE observation, this latest Holocene  
70 advance and retreat cycle has been dated in this region with lake sediment records (Briner et al., 2010, 2011) and a  
71 variety of imagery datasets (Csatho et al., 2007). The retreat of ice at our study site took place between 2008 and  
72 2010.

73 During the Last Glacial Maximum, the Greenland Ice Sheet margin in the Sermeq Kujalleq sector rested on  
74 the continental shelf edge in Baffin Bay far west of Disk Bugt (e.g., Hogan et al., 2016). During the last  
75 deglaciation, the ice-sheet margin retreated eastward and eventually onto land on the eastern shores of Disko Bugt  
76 around 10,000 years ago. Later the ice margin retreated to within (east of) the extent of ice later attained during the  
77 historical limit. Prior authors calculated the timing of deglaciation to the historical limit at 7500 yr ago (Young et al.,  
78 2011; Balter-Kennedy et al., 2021) and to the present ice position by 7400 yr ago. It is thought that Sermeq Kujalleq  
79 receded during the Holocene deglaciation to a position ~20 km inland of the present ice margin (Weidick et al.,  
80 1990; Kajanto et al., 2020). We infer that ice flowed over our study site for a duration of 220±5 yr. The advance  
81 phase timing stems from prior research at an ice-dammed lake (which drained in 1990) that was first dammed (based  
82 on varve counts) around 1800 CE (Briner et al., 2011). As in Young et al. (2016), we estimate that the ice had  
83 advanced across our study area about a decade prior to it reaching the site of the ice-dammed lake, resulting in our  
84 estimate of 1790 CE as the timing of ice arrival at our study area. The retreat of ice from our study site in 2010 CE is  
85 based on historical imagery (Balter-Kennedy et al., 2021). Our study builds on Young et al. (2016) and Balter-  
86 Kennedy et al. (2021), who utilized cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be measurements to quantify total subglacial erosion rates of the  
87 gneissic bedrock in this area (Fig. 1).

Deleted: Jakobshavn Isbræ

Deleted: Jakobshavn

Deleted: Isfjord

Deleted: Adjacent

Deleted: to

Deleted: Jakobshavn Isfjord

Deleted: demarcating the extent of the

Deleted: ,” which more or less coincides with the Little Ice Age....

Deleted: .

Deleted: (1)

Deleted: following the last glacial maximum to

Deleted: , and (2) the

Deleted: advance (~1790 CE) and retreat (2010 AD; a duration of 220±5 yr) of Jakobshavn Isbræ at the study site (Briner et al., 2011; Young et al. 2016; Balter-Kennedy et al., 2021; Fig. 1).

Deleted: It

Deleted: is thought that Jakobshavn Isbræ receded during the Holocene deglaciation to a position ~20 km inland of the present ice margin (Weidick et al., 1990; Kajanto et al., 2020). ...

112

### 113 3. Methods

114 In August 2018, we investigated a bedrock forefield adjacent to the north branch of Sermeq Kujalleq at  
 115 69.23°N and 49.81°W. The surface of glacially abraded and quarried bedrock exhibits pristine features of glacial  
 116 erosion (Fig. 2). The study site contains competent, hard crystalline rock with widely spaced fractures (on the order  
 117 of several meters). We measured ice-flow orientations, noted rock surface texture (variations in surface roughness  
 118 are accompanied by tonal differences in the color of rock surfaces), used drone imagery to generate a high-  
 119 resolution digital terrain model, and collected samples for cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be measurements.

120 Two stoss and lee landforms were chosen for detailed cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be analysis, with the goal of  
 121 characterizing quarrying volume and timing. The premise of this approach requires no <sup>10</sup>Be in these surfaces  
 122 inherited from prior to the previous glaciation, the LGM in this case. After extensive <sup>10</sup>Be dating in the region of  
 123 heavily scoured surfaces, inheritance seems absent (e.g., Young et al., 2013). We chose one landform (Location A;  
 124 Fig. 3A) to (1) estimate the dimensions of the bedrock removed based on the geometry of a quarried divot, where  
 125 there is a sharp transition from rough to abraded surface roughness surrounding the quarried zone, and (2) use <sup>10</sup>Be  
 126 concentrations in samples collected from the quarried divot to reconstruct the profile of the pre-quarried surface. We  
 127 created a 3D forward model of cosmogenic <sup>10</sup>Be production to estimate the shape of the quarried material (single or  
 128 multiple blocks) at location A. The fundamental set-up of our conceptual model is shown in Figure 4. At another site  
 129 (Location B; Fig. 3B), there are two adjacent lee steps, each exhibiting a different surface roughness (one rougher,  
 130 one smoother). Here, we measured the <sup>10</sup>Be concentration at the base of each step to test our hypothesis that  
 131 different surface roughness relates to quarrying during the historical (Little Ice Age) overriding versus the prior  
 132 LGM glaciation.

#### 134 3.1 Field sampling for <sup>10</sup>Be analysis

135 At Location A, we measured <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations in five samples on the lee face: the top of the lee cliff ("surface"),  
 136 from 12-15 cm, 30-33 cm, 65-69 cm and 110-115 cm at the base. Wide, thin samples were collected (30 cm W x 3-5  
 137 cm H x 2-4 cm D) to optimize the quartz mass within a narrow depth range and to minimize depth integration. We  
 138 also collected three samples along the horizontal floor, two from within the quarried scar (1.2 and 2.1 m from cliff  
 139 base) and one beyond the distal edge of the quarried scar from a polished surface (5 m from cliff base; Fig. 3). At  
 140 Location B, we collected one sample from the base of the lee cliff from each zone (Fig. 3). All samples were  
 141 collected with a combination of Hilti brand angle grinder with 5-inch diameter diamond bit blades, and hammer and  
 142 chisel. At all sampling locations, field observations of topographic shielding were collected using a Brunton  
 143 compass. Location and elevation were collected with a GPS time averaging smart phone application with ±5 m  
 144 accuracy.

#### 146 3.2 Terrain analysis and surface textures

147 Aerial imagery was collected with a DJI Mavic Pro unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) with continuous and  
 148 overlapping nadir imagery acquired using DJI smartphone app software. Maps Made Easy

Deleted: Jakobshavn Isbræ

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

Deleted: that emerged from beneath the ice between 2008 and 2010 based on available satellite imagery (Balter-Kennedy et al., 2021).

Deleted: texture

Formatted: Superscript

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: texture

Deleted: where

Deleted: have

Deleted: textures

Deleted: , we

Deleted: the variations in

Deleted: texture

Deleted: nearly continuously sampled every ~5 cm from the top of the vertical face (0 cm, at the edge of the stoss surface) to 69 cm depth, then we skipped down to sample the base of the lee face at 115 cm. We

165 ([www.mapsmadeeasy.com](http://www.mapsmadeeasy.com); last access: April 26, 2023) was used to generate orthoimagery and a digital elevation  
166 model (DEM) of the field area using structure from motion principles (Graham, 2023). Mosaic imagery was used as  
167 a base layer for field mapping three surface roughness categories of the stoss and lee landforms based on the degree  
168 of freshness (1: freshly **fractured** exposed surfaces with minor grain-to-grain relief and no apparent abrasion, 2:  
169 lightly abraded, 3: heavily abraded and polished). We also observed that the fresh-appearing **fracture** surfaces  
170 exhibited darker surface colors, and that smoother surface textures exhibited lighter surface colors. The orientation  
171 of ice flow indicators consisting of striae, chatter marks, and crescentic gouges were measured using a compass.  
172

Deleted: gouges,

Deleted: ,

### 173 3.3 Beryllium-10 Laboratory Methods

174 All physical rock processing and isolation of quartz for  $^{10}\text{Be}$  analysis was performed at the University at Buffalo  
175 Cosmogenic Isotope Laboratory (Corbett et al., 2016; Kohl & Nishiizumi, 1992). Pure quartz was processed at the  
176 Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory cosmogenic dating laboratory following established beryllium extraction  
177 procedures. We processed eight samples from Location A, and two samples from Location B. AMS measurements  
178 of  $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$  were performed at the Center for Accelerator Mass Spectrometry at Lawrence Livermore National  
179 Laboratory (LLNL-CAMS), with references relative to the 07KNSTD standard of known  $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$  ratio of  $2.85 \times$   
180  $10^{-12}$  (Nishiizumi et al., 2007). Measured  $1\sigma$  analytical uncertainty ranged from 1.77% to 3.43% (Table S1).  
181 Apparent exposure ages were calculated using the online cosmogenic age calculator v3 (Balco et al., 2008) using the  
182 Baffin Bay  $^{10}\text{Be}$  production rate calibration data set (Balco et al., 2008; Young et al., 2013). Apparent exposure age  
183 refers to the calculated age if the samples were at the surface and experienced zero erosion. Although these apparent  
184 ages are not used in our erosion models, they are instructive in analyzing and visualizing the context of the data  
185 based on a priori assumptions.  
186

### 187 3.4 Cosmogenic Nuclide Modeling

188 Following Balco et al. (2011), we created a 3D forward model (Graham, 2023) of cosmogenic  $^{10}\text{Be}$  production in the  
189 upper 1.2 meters of the glacially eroded bedrock at Location A using the known exposure and burial history. The  
190 history we adopt is shown in Fig. 4 and is as follows: Exposure from 7400 years ago to 1790 CE (~7200 years of  
191 exposure), burial from 1790 to 2010 CE (220 years of burial/erosion), and exposure from 2011 to 2018 CE (year of  
192 sample collection). We use the model to not only quantify the pre-quarrying surface, but also to determine the  
193 sensitivity of the specific sampling locations in the resulting divot. We thus prioritized certain sample locations from  
194 the vertical (lee) face to optimize the number of samples measured. To start, we simulated the  $^{10}\text{Be}$  concentrations  
195 using a variety of pre-quarrying surface shape geometries ranging from a rectangular cross section to a triangular  
196 cross section to a geometry that is the same as the present-day surface. End members of these pre-quarrying surface  
197 options are illustrated as the purple, green and red lines in Figure 5B. Three-dimensional representations were  
198 generated by extending the 2D surface profiles laterally. This simplified the hypothetical surface models and was  
199 justified by the presence of laterally similar surface profiles observed on the landscape. Simulated cosmic particle  
200 bombardment was prescribed based on Gosse and Phillips (2001) for azimuth and elevation angles through the  
201 simulated overlaying bedrock to each sample location.

204 We next created an inverse model to solve for the pre-quarrying surface profile at Location A. An adaptive  
205 Metropolis Hastings Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) Matlab solver package (Haario et al., 2006) was  
206 implemented to estimate the parameters necessary to minimize the chi-squared reduction of the estimated  $^{10}\text{Be}$   
207 concentrations to the measured  $^{10}\text{Be}$  concentrations. The unknown parameters were: 1) the surface profile  $x$   
208 (horizontal distance within the quarried block) inflection point, 2) the surface profile  $z$  (depth) inflection point, 3)  
209 the depth of surface abrasion applied equally across all samples, and 4) the absolute attenuation length ( $\Lambda_{\text{abs}}$ ) of the  
210 high energy neutron spallation through the rock. Acceptable a priori parameter ranges were initially prescribed  
211 (Table 1). We used the MCMC inversion to solve for the posterior parameters that correspond to the minimized  $^{10}\text{Be}$   
212 concentrations through the chi squared reduction. Due to the relatively shallow maximum sample depth (~1.2  
213 meters) and small amount of abrasion previously estimated by Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021), muon production is  
214 minimal and approximately linear across the narrow depth range. Therefore, we treated production via muons as a  
215 linear function of depth across all sample sites, using a computationally efficient approximation of muon production  
216 rates near the earth surface (Balco, 2017).

217 The surface profile was generated via a point with X,Z coordinates located within the pre-quarrying  
218 geometries prescribed above. To expand laterally, a 25-point smoothed surface interpolation (Matlab function pchip)  
219 was applied between the generated point and the edges of the quarried block (top of the stoss cliff, and the rough-to-  
220 smooth transition around the perimeter of the quarried block). The initial estimate of abrasion depth for the model is  
221 based on an abrasion depth estimate from the surface sample 18JAK-Surface following the methods described in  
222 Briner and Swanson (1998) and Young et al. (2016) and is independent (but complementary) to results obtained by  
223 Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021). The absolute attenuation length ( $\Lambda_{\text{abs}}$ ) is based on the range of values estimated in  
224 Gosse and Phillips (2001). Most estimations of spallation attenuation with depth rely on the apparent attenuation  
225 length ( $\Lambda_{\text{app}}$ ) because it assumes a horizontally infinite half space, or a flat surface profile, which the sample lays  
226 beneath at some depth,  $z$  (cm). Due to the off-zenith incoming cosmic particles travelling through an increasing  
227 length of mass, an integrated value of attenuation results in the apparent attenuation (Dunai, 2010). Because our  
228 research incorporates a complex surface model, the absolute attenuation length is required to properly simulate the  
229 attenuation through varying thicknesses of rock from off-zenith angles. Our inversion results in an estimate for an  
230 absolute attenuation length of  $184 \pm 13 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$ . When converted to an apparent attenuation length, via  $\Lambda_{\text{app}} =$   
231  $(3.3/4.3) * \Lambda_{\text{abs}}$ , this becomes  $141 \pm 10 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$  and is within the range reported for the Arctic by Gosse and Phillips  
232 (2001).

### 234 3.5 Terrain analysis and volume of quarried material

235 We applied the resulting most probable profile of the quarried block at Location A (see Results) to other divots that  
236 were quarried during the historical advance. Incidentally, the shape of the quarried material is consistent with, and  
237 could largely be defined by, the non-quarried surfaces surrounding the quarried divots. Informed by results from the  
238 cosmogenic nuclide measurements at Location B and surface texture mapping, we identified which of the quarried  
239 divots were excavated during the historical advance versus glacier overridding associated with the last glaciation. The  
240 later quarried zones were excluded from the analysis to prevent overestimating the quarried rock volume attributed

Commented [JB1]: Balco, G., 2017. Production rate calculations for cosmic-ray-muon-produced  $^{10}\text{Be}$  and  $^{26}\text{Al}$  benchmarked against geological calibration data. Quaternary Geochronology, 39, pp.150-173.

Deleted: .

242 to the historical overriding event. All geographical information system (GIS) analysis was performed in QGIS  
243 Desktop 3.16 Long Term Release, with all datasets transformed to NSIDC Sea Ice Polar Stereographic North. The  
244 UAV-generated DEM, nominally 0.03 m raster cell size after transformation, was re-gridded to 0.05 m cell size to  
245 which all further raster analysis was standardized. We defined our field area based on the extent of an exceptionally  
246 bedrock-rich part of the glacier forefield, with a higher degree of surface sediment cover around its periphery. Some  
247 areas of sediment cover from within our outlined study zone are excluded because they occluded accurate  
248 identification of the underlying surface texture and are not included in area calculations of the study site.

249 We defined the quarried zones attributed to the historical advance with polygons and removed them from  
250 the DEM of the present-day surface. We then interpolated a synthetic surface across the missing holes in the DEM  
251 to recreate the pre-1790 CE surface, or “paleo-surface” using the geometry guided by results from Location A. Next,  
252 we generated a difference map between the paleo-surface DEM and the present-day surface DEM. We then summed  
253 these values from the difference map. Finally, when applying the resultant abrasion rate across the study area, we  
254 estimated a cavity area below each of the historically quarried zones (assuming a seasonally averaged cavity roof of  
255 45°) and subtracted this area from the total study area.

256

#### 257 4. Results

258 The <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations from Location A (Table 2) decrease with depth and increase along the floor  
259 outwards from the lee cliff base (Fig. 5B). All samples result in lower apparent exposure ages than the estimated  
260 exposure duration of 7200 yr (7400 yr deglaciation minus 200 yr of subsequent burial), indicating that glacial  
261 erosion took place recently. The best fit of our forward model is a triangular wedge shape of removed material (Fig.  
262 5B & C, green). This shape is supported by the surface morphology and textures adjacent to the quarried divot.  
263 Furthermore, this triangular wedge shape is supported by the MCMC model, which reveals a slightly concave pre-  
264 quarried block surface (see “MCMC” in Fig. 5B). Additionally, our MCMC modelling using all samples at Location  
265 A yielded a surface abrasion depth of 4.1±1.9 cm (Table 1). When using individual samples from the top (stoss) side  
266 of the lee ledge and from beyond the quarried divot, we derive abrasion depths of 2.7±1.1 and 5.8±1.1 cm,  
267 respectively.

268 To estimate an abraded volume of the study site, we consider several distinct abrasion rates calculated  
269 across the study area. Combining abrasion depths mentioned above with four nearby values reported by Balter-  
270 Kennedy et al. (2021) yields an average abrasion depth of 2.78±1.84 cm and an abrasion rate of 0.126±0.084 mm  
271 yr<sup>-1</sup>. Calculating the volume of material abraded requires the removal of areas where cavities existed in the  
272 immediate lee of bedrock steps. Although cavities change in size seasonally, we estimate that 12% of the field area  
273 consists of cavities assuming a 45° sloping cavity roof from the lip of bedrock steps. We thus calculate a volume of  
274 322±204 m<sup>3</sup>.

275 Results from Location B show significant differences in the measured <sup>10</sup>Be concentrations between the two  
276 lee steps. Sample ER2-A was collected at the base of an 85-cm-tall lee face that exhibits a fresh (non-polished)  
277 surface texture and a darker color (Fig. 3C). Its apparent exposure age of 2.3 ka (accounting for shielding using  
278 present topography) is significantly less than the expected age of ~7.2 ka, indicating quarrying during the historical

Deleted: to

Deleted: took place

281 advance over the site. Sample ER2-B is from the base of a 120-cm-tall lee cliff and exhibits a lightly abraded texture  
282 and lighter color (Fig. 3B). Its apparent exposure age when accounting for shielding using present topography is 6.9  
283 ka. We attribute the difference in apparent age of sample ER2-B and its expected age of 7.2 ka to a few centimeters  
284 of abrasion, and more importantly, to a lack of quarrying during the historical advance. Thus, the results from  
285 Location B indicate that other bedrock steps that exhibit smoother, lightly abraded surfaces were quarried during  
286 LGM glaciation, and that only rougher, darker-colored surfaces in some lee faces were quarried during the historical  
287 advance.

Deleted: the

Deleted: prior

288 Our field mapping of rock surface textures exhibits quarried zones with a mixture of rough fractured and  
289 smooth abraded lee surfaces. We identified 73 quarried zones classified with rough-textured, dark-colored surfaces  
290 (“historical” quarrying) and 84 quarried zones classified as having slight smoothing and lighter surface tone  
291 (quarrying during the last glaciation; Fig. 6). Of the 73 quarried zones, 63 were identified as triangular shape based  
292 on the localized topography around each quarried zone, as was the case at Location A that we confirmed with  
293 cosmogenic nuclide measurements and modeling. The remaining 10 locations were identified as likely to have been  
294 rectangular blocks, and the rock volume quarried at these sites was calculated by doubling the volume generated by  
295 a triangular cross-section.

296 We calculate an area of quarried material during the historical advance of 1,635–2,050 m<sup>2</sup> (the derivation of  
297 this range is discussed below) of the total 13,256 m<sup>2</sup> field area (12–15%) and a quarried volume of 378±45 m<sup>3</sup>.  
298 Using the duration of overriding during the historical advance, this equates to an equivalent quarrying rate of  
299 0.13±0.03 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> when averaged across the study site. We calculate a combined (total) eroded rock volume of  
300 700±249 m<sup>3</sup> and total subglacial erosion rate of 0.26±0.16 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>, of which 47% is attributed to abrasion and 53%  
301 is attributed to quarrying.

302 Measurements of ice flow indicators, including striations, crescentic gouges and chatter marks, reveal a  
303 south (180°) to southwest (225°) ice-flow direction (Fig. 7). When sorted by type of ice flow indicator, a pattern  
304 emerges showing an evolution of flow direction during the most recent ice advance. Small striations, being the most  
305 likely to represent the final ice-flow direction before deglaciation, show the most recent ice flow direction toward  
306 the south. Crescentic gouges and chatter marks, which are more likely to persist after some surface abrasion, reveal a  
307 southwesterly direction of ice flow. This shift likely represents the evolving flow direction and velocity change as  
308 ice flow over the field area increased in velocity, shifted to the southwest and thickened during the maximum phase  
309 of the historical advance. Although the velocity of ice over the study site during the maximum phase of the advance  
310 is not known, in 1985 when the site was still covered, surface velocity is in the 150-300 m yr<sup>-1</sup> range (Howat, 2020).  
311 Based on the orientation of quarrying ledges and ice flow indicators, it appears that much of the quarrying occurred  
312 when the ice flowed southwest during what was presumably the highest ice flow velocity and thickness of the  
313 historical advance.

Deleted: ,

Deleted: and lee face orientations

Formatted: Superscript

Deleted: thus

## 315 5. Discussion

316 We provide a new approach for quantifying the quarried volume of sediment across a glacial landscape and  
317 for establishing the relative contributions of quarrying and abrasion. Due to the inherent difficulty in measuring

Deleted: partitioning



324 quarrying directly, previous estimates rely on computational models or proxy inferences made from measurements  
325 of proglacial sediment discharge (Hallet, 1996; Loso et al., 2004; Riihimaki et al., 2005; Ugelvig et al., 2018).  
326 Quarrying estimates from stream sediments (e.g., bedload) require assumptions about the portion of the suspended  
327 load that is also derived from quarrying (Riihimaki et al., 2005). Here, our measurements of quarrying volume and  
328 rate stem from the combination of in situ <sup>10</sup>Be measurements and terrain analysis.

329 Our erosion rate measurements are similar to other estimates for glacial erosion in Greenland and beyond  
330 (Koppes and Montgomery, 2009; Cook et al., 2020). Our total erosion rate of 0.32±0.09 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> is similar to what  
331 Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021) found at the same site using both surface <sup>10</sup>Be measurements (0.4–0.8 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>) and a  
332 <sup>10</sup>Be depth profile from a 4-m-deep rock core (0.3–0.6 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>). Although these rates are lower than those found  
333 using a sediment-budget approach in southwestern Greenland (4.8±2.6 mm yr<sup>-1</sup>; Cowton et al., 2012), they are  
334 similar to centennial-scale erosion rate estimates of 0.29–0.34 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> in northwestern Greenland (Hogan et al.,  
335 2020).

336 Quarrying is inferred to be highly dependent on glaciological and lithological conditions, including bedrock  
337 hardness and fracture spacing (Dühnforth et al., 2010; Krabbendam and Glasser, 2011; Iverson, 2012). Based on the  
338 hard nature of the bedrock with widely spaced fractures, we would expect abrasion to dominate at our field site  
339 (Dühnforth et al., 2010; Anderson, 2014). However, despite only 12–15% of the field site by area exhibiting recent  
340 quarrying, we calculate that 53% of total glacial erosion occurred as quarrying.

341 Our MCMC results and field observations suggest that, prior to quarrying, the bedrock surface was  
342 relatively low relief, likely with wave cavities in lee locations (Zoet et al., 2013) as opposed to stepped geometries  
343 that are more often considered in theoretical studies of quarrying (e.g., Anderson et al., 1982; Hallet, 1996; Iverson,  
344 2012; Anderson, 2014). Despite bedrock characteristics inhibiting quarrying, the Greenland Ice Sheet experiences  
345 significant seasonal and sub-seasonal changes in subglacial hydrology in this area (Das et al., 2008; Andrews et al.,  
346 2014), which is thought to aid quarrying processes (Anderson, 2014; Ugelvig et al., 2018). Propagating fractures that  
347 are presumed to eventually lead to failure and quarrying appear to not solely rely on pre-existing fractures in the  
348 bedrock at our study site. The fracturing process, namely high clast-bed contact forces exerted by clasts embedded in  
349 basal ice pressing onto the bed, leads to the formation of crescentic gouges (Gilbert, 1906; Harris, 1943;  
350 Krabbendam et al., 2017) that we observed in abundance in the field (Fig. 2A). That many crescentic gouge trains  
351 increase in size toward quarried ledges—with a crescentic gouge at the lip of many edges—may indicate that gouge  
352 formation is a fracture nucleation point that leads to quarrying events in this field area (Figs. 2 and 8).

353 There are uncertainties associated with calculating erosion depth, volume and rate. We do not expect  
354 uniform abrasion across the study area given the stepped nature of the terrain and localized variations in basal stress.  
355 At Site A, we find a lower abrasion depth at the lip of the divot (2.7±1.1 cm) than the floor beyond the quarried zone  
356 (5.8±1.0 cm). We do not have enough data to elucidate predictable spatial patterns of more or less abrasion across  
357 the study site; instead, we rely on an average of a number of data points that provide a useful representative abrasion  
358 depth to apply across our field area.

359 It is useful to further consider uncertainties, such as those perhaps associated with our erosion thickness,  
360 volume and rate results. Abrasion depth estimates reported here have high uncertainty due to the inherent

**Deleted:** Our study site contains competent, hard crystalline rock with widely spaced fractures (on the order of several meters). Thus,

**Deleted:** b

**Deleted:** these characteristics

**Commented [JB2]:** Harris Jr, S.E., 1943. Friction cracks and the direction of glacial movement. *The Journal of Geology*, 51(4), pp.244-258.

**Deleted:** , but could have been induced from processes related

**Commented [JB3]:** Krabbendam, M., Bradwell, T., Everest, J.D. and Eyles, N., 2017. Joint-bounded crescentic scars formed by subglacial clast-bed contact forces: Implications for bedrock failure beneath glaciers. *Geomorphology*, 290, pp.114-127.

**Deleted:** 5

369 measurement error in measuring cosmogenic nuclide concentration. An analysis of errors in Young et al. (2016) and  
370 Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021) for shallow abrasion depths shows a consistently appreciable uncertainty in relation to  
371 the low magnitudes of rock removal via abrasion. The measurement uncertainties for samples in the companion  
372 study of Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021) is ~2.5–3 cm, but when the estimated depth of abrasion is small and similar to  
373 mean uncertainty, the uncertainty can result in a significant range of the abraded depth. One advantage of our  
374 experiment at Location A is that multiple samples were used in the MCMC inversion, reducing the uncertainty in the  
375 estimated abrasion depth. Unfortunately, even with the added resolving power of multiple samples, the uncertainty  
376 in the abrasion depth is still 46%.

377 When converting the abrasion depth to an abrasion rate, another source of uncertainty is the duration of  
378 erosion. Whereas the timing of recent deglaciation and exposure is well constrained, the timing of burial is less well  
379 constrained. We use the overriding duration of 1790–2010 CE used in Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021), which is based  
380 on prior work in the area (Briner et al., 2011; Young et al., 2016). Although we use an absolute date range in our  
381 erosion rate calculations, the initiation of glaciations at the onset of the historical advance at our study site is  
382 reconstructed, not observed, and the initiation timing of overriding would affect the calculated erosion rates. If the  
383 ice arrived decades earlier (we think this is more likely than ice arriving later than 1800 CE), our calculated erosion  
384 rates would decrease, but the ratio of abrasion to quarrying, and the total depth of glacial erosion during the  
385 historical advance, would be unaffected.

386 An additional source of uncertainty relates to the reconstructed profile of the paleo-surface slope of  
387 quarried blocks, and thus of the volume of each removed block. We use the three-dimensional nuclide production  
388 inversion of the quarried zone at Location A to guide the shape for other quarried zones. To estimate the uncertainty  
389 of each quarried block, and the cumulative uncertainty of the quarried volume across the study site, each zone was  
390 analyzed for the likelihood of having a pre-quarrying sloped, triangular profile versus a more rectangular, stair-step  
391 profile. It is possible, perhaps likely, that at least some pre-quarried surfaces were somewhere between sloped  
392 (triangular block removed) and stepped (rectangular block removed), and not one or the other.▼

393 The uncertainty in our estimates of quarried rock volume is independent of the cosmogenic nuclide  
394 concentration. To estimate uncertainty in our manual outlining of each area of the quarried zones, a 0.5-meter buffer  
395 was extended at the edge of the floor of each quarried zone; this edge is based on changes in surface texture from  
396 rough to smooth as recorded in the high-resolution orthoimagery. The location of this transition is also dictated by  
397 the presence/absence of chatter marks/crescentic gouges, surface patina, and rock color. While many locations have  
398 a well-defined transition, 0.5 meters is an upper limit on our ability to define this boundary. The lee cliff is a well-  
399 defined feature on the landscape, and is accurately identified from the orthoimagery, with assistance using other  
400 products such as the DEM, and Hillshade/Roughness QGIS processing products. We consider our 0.5 m buffer on  
401 the extent along the quarried floor to be a conservative estimate. When used to define the volume of each block, we  
402 find that the 0.5 m buffer equates to a volume range of  $379 \pm 45 \text{ m}^3$ , and a quarried area of  $1842 \pm 100 \text{ m}^2$  (12–15% of  
403 the study area).

404 Our inverse modeling of cosmogenic nuclide production at Location A highlights the continued importance  
405 of cosmogenic nuclides in glacier erosion studies. Optimizing sampling locations to estimate the parameters of

**Deleted:** Of the 73 quarried zones, 63 were identified as triangular shape based on the localized topography around each quarried zone, as was the case at Location A that we confirmed with our cosmogenic nuclide measurements and modeling. The remaining 10 locations were identified as likely to have been rectangular blocks, and the rock volume quarried at these sites was calculated by doubling the volume generated by a triangular cross-section.

414 interest (surface geometry of a removed block, depth of abrasion, and attenuation length) was important for our  
415 inversion results. The sensitivity analysis to determine how samples were important in our forward model scenarios  
416 aided in sample selection for processing. The samples along the horizontal lee floor (FL1, FL2) are the most  
417 important for constraining the surface profile shape. Samples at the present-day surfaces (Surface, FL3) are the most  
418 important for constraining the depth of abrasion, while the samples collected along the vertical lee cliff are sensitive  
419 to the depth of abrasion and the attenuation length. In fact, not all samples collected along the vertical cliff were  
420 needed for the analysis, while additional samples along the floor near the quarrying-abrasion transition could have  
421 been beneficial.

422

## 423 **6. Conclusion**

424 Our pairing of cosmogenic nuclide analysis with inverse modeling of cosmogenic nuclide  
425 production through quarried material, along with topographic and morphologic analysis of a recently deglaciated  
426 bedrock landscape, provides one of the first direct observation-based estimates of glacial quarrying and partitioning  
427 of glacial erosion processes. We found that quarried volume generally matched that of abrasion despite a hard  
428 crystalline bedrock with wide fracture spacing and a low-relief surface morphology, all conspiring to limit  
429 quarrying. It seems that quarrying mostly took place via triangular wedge removal at this site. Field observations  
430 suggest clast-bed impacts evidenced by abundant crescentic gouges are a possible mechanism to nucleate quarrying  
431 events, assisted by seasonal and sub-seasonal fluctuations in subglacial water pressure. These results are a small  
432 addition to a field that needs further analysis. Yet, field data like these are important for grounding landscape  
433 evolution models with observational datasets and for providing fundamental information for understanding coupled  
434 glacier-hydrology-sediment production processes. Ultimately, the results of our work invite further analysis at this  
435 field site, including testing of both theoretical and computational models of glacial erosion.

436

### 437 **Code and data availability**

438 Code and data are available on GitHub at <https://github.com/w0gpr/Cosmo3D> (last access: April 26, 2023) and  
439 Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.7858913>; Graham, 2023).

440

### 441 **Author contribution**

442 BG, JPB, NEY and AB-K designed the study and collected field data. BLG, JPB and JMS led rock sample  
443 preparation and  $^{10}\text{Be}$  analysis. BG modeled  $^{10}\text{Be}$  production, computed terrain analysis, and derived erosion results.  
444 MK, KP and EKT provided significant input throughout the course of this research. BG and JPB prepared the paper  
445 with contributions from all co-authors.

446

### 447 **Competing Interests**

448 The contact author declares that none of the authors have any competing interests. Kristin Poinar is a member of the  
449 editorial board of The Cryosphere

450

451 **Acknowledgements**

452 We thank Chris Sbarra and Rosanne Schwartz for sample processing, CH2MHill Polar Field Services for supporting  
453 fieldwork, and Alan Hidy at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory for beryllium isotope measurements.

454 **Financial support**

456 This research was supported by US National Science Foundation award #1504267 to Briner and #1503959 to Young  
457 and Schaefer.

458 **References**

- 460  
461 Alley, R. B., Cuffey, K. M., and Zoet, L. K.: Glacial erosion: status and outlook, *Ann. Glaciol.*, 60, 1–13,  
462 <https://doi.org/10.1017/aog.2019.38>, 2019.
- 463  
464 Anderson, R. S.: Evolution of lumpy glacial landscapes, *Geology*, 42, 679–682, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G35537.1>,  
465 2014.
- 466  
467 Anderson, R. S., Hallet, B., Walder, J., and Aubry, B. F.: Observations in a cavity beneath grinnell glacier, *Earth  
468 Surf. Process. Landforms*, 7, 63–70, <https://doi.org/10.1002/esp.3290070108>, 1982.
- 469  
470 Andrews, L. C., Catania, G. A., Hoffman, M. J., Gulley, J. D., Lüthi, M. P., Ryser, C., Hawley, R. L., and Neumann,  
471 T. A.: Direct observations of evolving subglacial drainage beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Nature*, 514, 80–83,  
472 <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13796>, 2014.
- 473  
474 [Balco, G.: Production rate calculations for cosmic-ray-muon-produced <sup>10</sup>Be and <sup>26</sup>Al benchmarked against  
475 geological calibration data, \*Quaternary Geochronology\*, 39,150-173, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quageo.2017.02.001>,  
476 2017.](#)
- 477  
478 Balter-Kennedy, A., Young, N. E., Briner, J. P., Graham, B. L., and Schaefer, J. M.: Centennial- and Orbital-Scale  
479 Erosion Beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet Near Jakobshavn Isbræ, *JGR Earth Surface*, 126,  
480 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JF006429>, 2021.
- 481  
482 Bernard, H.: A Theoretical Model of Glacial Abrasion, *J. Glaciol.*, 23, 39–50,  
483 <https://doi.org/10.3189/S0022143000029725>, 1979.
- 484  
485 Briner, J. P. and Swanson, T. W.: Using inherited cosmogenic <sup>36</sup>Cl to constrain glacial erosion rates of the  
486 Cordilleran ice sheet, *Geol.*, 26, 3, [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(1998\)026<0003:UICCTC>2.3.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1998)026<0003:UICCTC>2.3.CO;2), 1998.
- 487

488 Briner, J. P., Young, N. E., Thomas, E. K., Stewart, H. A. M., Losee, S., and Truex, S.: Varve and radiocarbon  
489 dating support the rapid advance of Jakobshavn Isbræ during the Little Ice Age, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30,  
490 2476–2486, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.05.017>, 2011.  
491  
492 Cook, S. J., Swift, D. A., Kirkbride, M. P., Knight, P. G., and Waller, R. I.: The empirical basis for modelling glacial  
493 erosion rates, *Nat Commun*, 11, 759, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14583-8>, 2020.  
494  
495 Cowton, T., Nienow, P., Bartholomew, I., Sole, A., and Mair, D.: Rapid erosion beneath the Greenland ice sheet,  
496 *Geology*, 40, 343–346, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G32687.1>, 2012.  
497  
498 Das, S. B., Joughin, I., Behn, M. D., Howat, I. M., King, M. A., Lizarralde, D., and Bhatia, M. P.: Fracture  
499 Propagation to the Base of the Greenland Ice Sheet During Supraglacial Lake Drainage, *Science*, 320, 778–781,  
500 <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1153360>, 2008.  
501  
502 Dühnforth, M., Anderson, R. S., Ward, D., and Stock, G. M.: Bedrock fracture control of glacial erosion processes  
503 and rates, *Geology*, 38, 423–426, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G30576.1>, 2010.  
504  
505 Dunai, T. J.: *Cosmogenic Nuclides: Principles, Concepts and Applications in the Earth Surface Sciences*, 1st ed.,  
506 Cambridge University Press, <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511804519>, 2010.  
507  
508 Egholm, D. L., Pedersen, V. K., Knudsen, M. F., and Larsen, N. K.: Coupling the flow of ice, water, and sediment in  
509 a glacial landscape evolution model, *Geomorphology*, 141–142, 47–66,  
510 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.12.019>, 2012.  
511  
512 Gilbert, G. K.: Crescentic gouges on glaciated surfaces, *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 17, 303–316,  
513 <https://doi.org/10.1130/GSAB-17-303>, 1906.  
514  
515 Gosse, J. C. and Phillips, F. M.: Terrestrial in situ cosmogenic nuclides: theory and application, *Quaternary Science*  
516 *Reviews*, 20, 1475–1560, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791\(00\)00171-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(00)00171-2), 2001.  
517  
518 Graham, B.: w0gpr/Cosmo3D: v1.0.0, <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.7858913>, 2023.  
519  
520 Haario, H., Laine, M., Mira, A., and Saksman, E.: DRAM: Efficient adaptive MCMC, *Stat Comput*, 16, 339–354,  
521 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11222-006-9438-0>, 2006.  
522  
523 Hallet, B.: Glacial quarrying: a simple theoretical model, *A. Glaciology.*, 22, 1–8,  
524 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0260305500015147>, 1996.

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

Field Code Changed

525  
526 Hallet, B., Hunter, L., and Bogen, J.: Rates of erosion and sediment evacuation by glaciers: A review of field data  
527 and their implications, *Global and Planetary Change*, 12, 213–235, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181\(95\)00021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181(95)00021-6),  
528 1996.  
529  
530 [Harris Jr, S.E.: Friction cracks and the direction of glacial movement, \*The Journal of Geology\*, 51, 244-258,](https://doi.org/10.1086/625148)  
531 <https://doi.org/10.1086/625148>, 1943.  
532  
533 Herman, F., De Doncker, F., Delaney, I., Prasicek, G., and Koppes, M.: The impact of glaciers on mountain erosion,  
534 *Nat Rev Earth Environ*, 2, 422–435, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43017-021-00165-9>, 2021.  
535  
536 [Hogan, K.A., Cofaigh, C.Ó., Jennings, A.E., Dowdeswell, J.A. and Hiemstra, J.F.: Deglaciation of a major palaeo-](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.018)  
537 [ice stream in Disko Trough, West Greenland, \*Quaternary Science Reviews\*, 147, 5-26,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.018)  
538 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2016.01.018>, 2016.  
539  
540 Hogan, K. A., Jakobsson, M., Mayer, L., Reilly, B. T., Jennings, A. E., Stoner, J. S., Nielsen, T., Andresen, K. J.,  
541 Normark, E., Heirman, K. A., Kamla, E., Jerram, K., Stranne, C., and Mix, A.: Glacial sedimentation, fluxes and  
542 erosion rates associated with ice retreat in Petermann Fjord and Nares Strait, north-west Greenland, *The Cryosphere*,  
543 14, 261–286, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-14-261-2020>, 2020.  
544  
545 [Howat, I.: MEaSURES Greenland Ice Velocity: Selected Glacier Site Velocity Maps from Optical Images, Version 3](https://doi.org/10.5067/RRFY5IW94X5W)  
546 [\[Data Set\]. Boulder, Colorado USA. NASA National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center.](https://doi.org/10.5067/RRFY5IW94X5W)  
547 <https://doi.org/10.5067/RRFY5IW94X5W>. Date Accessed 07-26-2023, 2020.  
548  
549 Iverson, N. R.: Laboratory Simulations Of Glacial Abrasion: Comparison With Theory, *J. Glaciol.*, 36, 304–314,  
550 <https://doi.org/10.3189/002214390793701264>, 1990.  
551  
552 Iverson, N. R.: A theory of glacial quarrying for landscape evolution models, *Geology*, 40, 679–682,  
553 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G33079.1>, 2012.  
554  
555 Joughin, I., Smith, B. E., Shean, D. E., and Floricioiu, D.: Brief Communication: Further summer speedup of  
556 Jakobshavn Isbræ, *The Cryosphere*, 8, 209–214, <https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-8-209-2014>, 2014.  
557  
558 Kajanto, K., Seroussi, H., de Fleurian, B., and Nisancioglu, K. H.: Present day Jakobshavn Isbræ (West Greenland)  
559 close to the Holocene minimum extent, *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 246, 106492,  
560 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106492>, 2020.  
561

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Complex Script Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Complex Script Font: Not Italic

562 [Kjær, K.H., Bjørk, A.A., Kjeldsen, K.K., Hansen, E.S., Andresen, C.S., Siggaard-Andersen, M.L., Khan, S.A.,](#)  
563 [Søndergaard, A.S., Colgan, W., Schomacker, A. and Woodroffe, S.: Glacier response to the Little Ice Age during](#)  
564 [the Neoglacial cooling in Greenland, \*Earth-Science Reviews\*, 227, 103984,](#)  
565 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2022.103984>, 2022.

566

567 Koppes, M. N.: Rates and Processes of Glacial Erosion, in: Treatise on Geomorphology, Elsevier, 169–181,  
568 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818234-5.00032-8>, 2022.

569

570 Koppes, M. N. and Montgomery, D. R.: The relative efficacy of fluvial and glacial erosion over modern to orogenic  
571 timescales, *Nature Geosci*, 2, 644–647, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo616>, 2009.

572

573 Krabbendam, M. and Glasser, N. F.: Glacial erosion and bedrock properties in NW Scotland: Abrasion and  
574 plucking, hardness and joint spacing, *Geomorphology*, 130, 374–383,  
575 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2011.04.022>, 2011.

576

577 [Krabbendam, M., Bradwell, T., Everest, J.D. and Eyles, N.: Joint-bounded crescentic scars formed by subglacial](#)  
578 [clast-bed contact forces: Implications for bedrock failure beneath glaciers, \*Geomorphology\*, 290, 114-127,](#)  
579 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2017.03.021>, 2017.

580

581 Matthes, Francois E.: Geologic history of the Yosemite Valley, US Geological Survey, 1930.  
582 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3133/pp160>

583

584 Overeem, I., Hudson, B. D., Syvitski, J. P. M., Mikkelsen, A. B., Hasholt, B., van den Broeke, M. R., Noël, B. P. Y.,  
585 and Morlighem, M.: Substantial export of suspended sediment to the global oceans from glacial erosion in  
586 Greenland, *Nature Geosci*, 10, 859–863, <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo3046>, 2017.

587

588 Riihimäki, C. A.: Sediment evacuation and glacial erosion rates at a small alpine glacier, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110,  
589 F03003, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JF000189>, 2005.

590

591 Ugelvig, S. V., Egholm, D. L., Anderson, R. S., and Iverson, N. R.: Glacial Erosion Driven by Variations in  
592 Meltwater Drainage, *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.*, 123, 2863–2877, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JF004680>, 2018.

593

594 Weidick, A. and Bennike, O.: Quaternary glaciation history and glaciology of Jakobshavn Isbræ and the Disko Bugt  
595 region, West Greenland: a review, *GEUS Bulletin*, 14, 1–78, <https://doi.org/10.34194/geusb.v14.4985>, 2007.

596

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Complex Script Font: Not Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Italic, Complex Script Font: Not Italic

Deleted: ¶

598 Weidick, A., Oerter, H., Reeh, N., Thomsen, H. H., and Thorning, L.: The recession of the Inland Ice margin during  
599 the Holocene climatic optimum in the Jakobshavn Isfjord area of West Greenland, *Global and Planetary Change*, 2,  
600 389–399, [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181\(90\)90010-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8181(90)90010-A), 1990.

601

602 Young, N. E., Briner, J. P., Stewart, H. A. M., Axford, Y., Csatho, B., Rood, D. H., and Finkel, R. C.: Response of  
603 Jakobshavn Isbrae, Greenland, to Holocene climate change, *Geology*, 39, 131–134,  
604 <https://doi.org/10.1130/G31399.1>, 2011.

605

606 Young, N. E., Briner, J. P., Maurer, J., and Schaefer, J. M.:  $^{10}\text{Be}$  measurements in bedrock constrain erosion  
607 beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet margin, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL070258>, 2016.

608

609 Zoet, L. K., Alley, R. B., Anandakrishnan, S., and Christianson, K.: Accelerated subglacial erosion in response to  
610 stick-slip motion, *Geology*, 41, 159–162, <https://doi.org/10.1130/G33624.1>, 2013.

611

612

#### 613 **Figure captions**

614

615 **Figure 1.** A. Greenland. B. Study region August 2018; extent of Sermeq Kujalleq in 1850 CE. C. Study area  
616 showing glacial erosion depths from Balter-Kennedy et al. (2021) and this study (star). D. Oblique drone photograph  
617 of the study area (point of view shown in C) showing study site A and B.

618

619 **Figure 2.** Photographs of surfaces in the study area. A) Heavily abraded and polished surface showing one of the  
620 many “gouge trains;” view to SW. B) Small lee step (approximately 20 cm high) within a heavily abraded and  
621 polished zone; note downflow from the lee cliff is a zone with more lightly abraded surfaces. C) Fresh surfaces with  
622 minor grain-to-grain relief and limited evidence for abrasion shown within quarrying ‘scars.’ D) Focus on a lee step  
623 (approximately 1 m high) showing the transition from a heavily abraded stoss surface (lightly colored) to darker-  
624 colored, fresh lee faces; some of the dark color in this image is from subglacial precipitate “staining.”

625

626 **Figure 3.** A) Study location A; blue area is extent of the quarried material. Stars are locations of  $^{10}\text{Be}$  measurements.  
627 B) Study location B; pair of quarried zones with a fresh, rough lee surface (left; sample ER2-A), and smooth,  
628 abraded lee surface (right, sample ER2-B).

629

630 **Figure 4.** Concept model for  $^{10}\text{Be}$  production and concentration for the field area. 1) Retreat of the ice sheet from  
631 the field area 7.4 ka. Erosion during the last glaciation is sufficient to remove  $^{10}\text{Be}$  to background levels. 2) The  
632 paleo-surface is exposed to cosmic radiation during the Holocene until ice overrides at ~1790 CE, building up  $^{10}\text{Be}$   
633 in the upper ~2 m of bedrock. 3) Ice readvances and erodes via abrasion and quarrying during the historical  
634 advance. 4) The present-day surface is exposed in 2010 CE.

Deleted: Jakobshavn

Deleted: Jakobshavn Isbræ

Deleted: (J.I.)

Deleted: : J.If.=Jakobshavn Isfjord; nb/sb=north  
branch/south branch.



640

641 **Figure 5.** A) Photograph of Location A (see also Fig. 3A) showing fresh quarried face and floor. B) Cross section  
642 representation of the 3D model domain for Location A. Sample locations are marked as black boxes. The red line  
643 shows the present-day surface profile, while purple and green lines show rectangular and triangular pre-quarrying  
644 surface profiles, respectively, used in forward model. The thin gray lines are the minimized surface profiles from the  
645 MCMC inversion. C) Measured (small circles) and simulated (lines in color)  $^{10}\text{Be}$  concentration of the three forward  
646 model scenarios; colors match top.

647

648 **Figure 6.** A. Orthoimage of the field area showing fractures (blue lines) and lee cliff faces (red lines). Zones  
649 quarried during the most recent glacial advance are outlined in purple. Rose diagram (inset) shows all measured ice  
650 flow indicators (in the direction of ice flow). B. Elevation difference in quarried divots assigned to block removal  
651 during the historical advance.

652

653 **Figure 7.** The orientation of ice-flow indicators subdivided into type. Blue lines encompass orientations from all  
654 ice-flow indicators combined (see Fig. 6).

655

656 **Figure 8.** Photographs showing the relationship between crescentic gouges and quarrying at the field site. A) Gouge  
657 trains leading to a lee face with evidence for quarried flakes initiated by a gouge process (ice flow from upper right  
658 to lower left). B) Example of angled (and polished) lee face from which a relatively thin flake has been quarried and  
659 removed.

660