

Supplement:

Fig. S1. Boxplots of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of various forms of atmospheric N_r deposition. Different letters mark statistically different sample types ($p < 0.05$).

Fig. S2. Fluctuations of water table level at BRU and UHL.

Fig. S3. Schematic sketch suggesting a strategy for testing whether a discrepancy between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of atmospheric deposition and living *Sphagnum* can be related to biological N_2 -fixation. The vertical axis shows randomly selected numbers of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ observations. Simplified from Novak et al. (2019).




Fig. S1




Fig. S2

Table S1. Nitrogen concentration and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of living *Sphagnum* samples.

Site	Sample I.D.	<i>Sphagnum</i> species	N (%)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)
BRU	BRU 1	<i>Sphagnum cuspidatum</i> Ehrh.	1.0	-1.9
	BRU 2	<i>Sphagnum magellanicum</i> Brid.	0.9	-4.6
	BRU 3	<i>Sphagnum cuspidatum</i> Ehrh.	1.0	-3.3
	BRU 4	<i>Sphagnum magellanicum</i> Brid.	0.8	-4.2
	BRU 5	<i>Sphagnum papillosum</i> Lindb.	1.0	-3.3
	BRU 6	<i>Sphagnum rubellum</i> Wils.	0.8	-4.7
	BRU 7	<i>Sphagnum cuspidatum</i> Ehrh.	0.9	-3.6
	BRU 8	<i>Sphagnum cuspidatum</i> Ehrh.	1.0	-3.7
	BRU 9	<i>Sphagnum cuspidatum</i> Ehrh.	1.0	-4.1
	BRU 10	<i>Sphagnum rubellum</i> Wils.	0.7	-5.7
	BRU 11	<i>Sphagnum magellanicum</i> Brid.	0.8	-6.0
	BRU 12	<i>Sphagnum papillosum</i> Lindb.	0.8	-4.1
UHL	UHL 1	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	0.9	-5.6
	UHL 2	<i>Sphagnum russowii</i> Warnst.	0.6	-4.6
	UHL 3	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	0.8	-3.4
	UHL 4	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.0	-4.3
	UHL 5	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	0.8	-6.0
	UHL 6	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	0.7	-4.2
	UHL 7	<i>Sphagnum russowii</i> Warnst.	0.7	-3.8
	UHL 8	<i>Sphagnum russowii</i> Warnst.	0.6	-4.2
	UHL 9	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.1	-3.7
	UHL 10	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.2	-5.1
	UHL 11	<i>Sphagnum russowii</i> Warnst.	0.7	-4.6
	UHL 12	<i>Sphagnum nemoreum</i> Scop.	0.6	-4.1
MMJ	MMJ 1	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.1	-5.2
	MMJ 2	<i>Sphagnum flexuosum</i> Dozy et Molk.	1.6	-3.4
	MMJ 3	<i>Sphagnum flexuosum</i> Dozy et Molk.	1.8	-2.6
	MMJ 4	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.1	-4.5
	MMJ 5	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.0	-4.5
	MMJ 6	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.6	-5.9
	MMJ 7	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.5	-6.2
	MMJ 8	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.3	-6.0
	MMJ 9	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	0.9	-4.7
	MMJ 10	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	0.9	-5.3
	MMJ 11	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.6	-4.7
	MMJ 12	<i>Sphagnum girgensohnii</i> Russow	1.1	-5.0

Table S2. Concentrations and isotope composition of liquid N_r forms (n.d. – not determined).

Site	Date	Sample type	[NH ₄ ⁺ -N] (mg L ⁻¹)	[NO ₃ ⁻ -N] (mg L ⁻¹)	δ ¹⁵ NH ₄ ⁺ -N (‰)	δ ¹⁵ NO ₃ ⁻ -N (‰)	
BRU	January 25, 2016	open-area precipitation	1.6	4.0	-9.1	-6.7	
	February 9, 2016		0.6	4.4	-7.8	-2.7	
	March 9, 2016		0.7	0.4	-9.2	-7.3	
	April 6, 2016		1.6	3.1	-1.9	-2.6	
	May 10, 2016		1.7	0.4	-2.4	-7.8	
	June 9, 2016		0.1	0.5	-3.0	-6.3	
	July 13, 2016		0.2	0.6	-4.0	0.8	
	August 4, 2016		0.8	0.5	-3.8	-6.7	
	September 6, 2016		0.5	0.4	-5.9	-7.8	
	October 6, 2016		0.1	0.4	-3.1	0.2	
	November 7, 2016		0.2	1.0	-4.2	-7.4	
	December 6, 2016		0.2	1.0	-4.9	-7.3	
	January 9, 2017		0.3	2.2	-8.9	-8.9	
	February 8, 2017		0.5	3.5	-7.8	-5.1	
	March 8, 2017		0.4	3.1	-14.0	-11.7	
	April 6, 2017		1.4	7.1	-8.3	-6.3	
	May 15, 2017		1.3	3.0	-5.6	-8.2	
	June 8, 2017		1.6	0.4	0.4	-5.0	
	July 11, 2017		0.6	0.9	0.3	-2.4	
	August 7, 2017		0.1	0.5	-6.3	-7.4	
	September 6, 2017		0.2	0.4	-3.1	-6.4	
	October 17, 2017		0.1	3.5	3.5	-4.2	
	November 7, 2017		0.2	3.5	-5.2	-7.2	
	December 6, 2017		0.2	1.8	-7.4	-5.6	
	January 9, 2018		0.3	3.5	-7.0	-6.1	
	February 7, 2018		0.0	3.1	-6.0	-2.3	
	November 7, 2016	throughfall	0.1	1.2	-4.0	-6.0	
	December 6, 2016		0.1	0.7	-4.5	-4.8	
	January 9, 2017		0.1	4.0	-8.6	-4.8	
	February 8, 2017		0.3	4.0	-8.2	-3.7	
	March 8, 2017		0.4	3.1	-15.5	-11.7	
	April 6, 2017		0.8	9.7	-6.6	-4.0	
	May 15, 2017		1.2	4.0	-7.8	-5.3	
	June 8, 2017		0.6	1.0	-3.1	-8.9	
	July 11, 2017		0.3	1.4	-8.8	-10	
	August 7, 2017		0.5	3.1	-1.5	-6.0	
	September 6, 2017		1.3	4.4	-7.9	-6.4	
	October 17, 2017		0.4	0.9	-7.2	-6.7	
	November 7, 2017		0.2	0.9	-7.2	-6.7	
	December 6, 2017		0.3	3.5	-5.9	-5.0	
	January 9, 2018		0.3	1.8	-6.9	-5.3	
	February 7, 2018		0.0	3.1	-5.9	-3.3	
	March 9, 2016		runoff	0.1	0.4	0.5	-1.2
	April 6, 2016			0.0	3.5	-3.0	-4.6
	May 10, 2016			0.3	0.4	3.3	-2.4
	June 9, 2016	0.3		3.0	1.2	-2.9	
	July 13, 2016	0.3		0.1	0.6	0.7	

Site	Date	Sample type	[NH ₄ ⁺ -N] (mg L ⁻¹)	[NO ₃ ⁻ -N] (mg L ⁻¹)	δ ¹⁵ NH ₄ ⁺ -N (‰)	δ ¹⁵ NO ₃ ⁻ -N (‰)
	August 4, 2016		0.1	4.0	-5.1	-3.9
	September 6, 2016		0.1	0.4	1.1	-2.5
	October 6, 2016		0.1	7.0	-8.4	-8.3
	November 7, 2016		0.1	1.0	0.2	-1.6
	December 6, 2016		0.1	0.8	1.0	-0.6
	January 9, 2017		0.1	2.2	1.0	-1.5
	February 8, 2017		0.2	3.5	-9.2	-2.3
	March 8, 2017		0.1	3.1	-11.1	-2.4
	April 6, 2017		0.4	7.1	-3.4	-7.0
	May 15, 2017		0.3	2.7	-1.0	-5.5
	June 8, 2017		0.3	0.4	-4.0	-4.0
	July 11, 2017		0.1	0.4	-8.3	-5.2
	August 7, 2017		0.2	0.7	-1.0	-3.5
	September 6, 2017		0.2	0.9	1.7	-1.6
	October 17, 2017		0.1	4.9	-2.7	-3.2
	November 7, 2017		0.2	4.9	2.1	-1.4
	December 6, 2017		0.0	1.3	-2.0	-3.1
	January 9, 2018		0.1	1.8	-6.2	-5.6
	February 7, 2018		1.3	1.3	-2.9	-2.9
	June 17, 2019		0.1	0.2	-2.5	-1.8
	June 17, 2019	bog water	0.4	0.2	5.3	-0.04
	June 17, 2019		n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	June 17, 2019		0.1	0.0	-1.7	1.2
	June 17, 2019		1.3	0.1	2.2	1.4
	June 17, 2019		0.8	0.6	-0.8	-0.2
		open-area precipitation				
UHL	February 2, 2016		0.6	4.9	-9.4	-4.0
	March 2, 2016		0.9	0.4	-9.9	-8.9
	April 6, 2016		1.6	1.8	-9.9	-6.5
	April 30, 2016		0.8	1.8	-10.2	-10.1
	May 30, 2016		0.9	0.4	-8.1	-10.2
	July 14, 2016		0.6	0.1	-1.9	-7.0
	August 11, 2016		0.1	0.1	-3.4	-5.8
	September 2, 2016		1.4	0.1	n.d.	n.d.
	October 1, 2016		0.1	0.1	-3.9	-5.5
	November 3, 2016		0.1	0.1	-8.5	-9.5
	November 30, 2016		0.2	0.1	-2.6	-5.3
	January 4, 2017		0.1	0.1	-6.0	-4.9
	February 1, 2017		0.7	6.2	-6.1	-3.7
	March 2, 2017		0.6	4.4	-7.4	-4.5
	March 31, 2017		1.7	5.3	-8.7	-5.8
	July 15, 2019		0.7	0.9	-0.7	-1.5
	August 12, 2019		0.4	0.9	-1.2	-5.8
	September 12, 2019		0.3	0.9	-5.0	-7.5
	October 16, 2019		0.4	0.9	-2.1	-5.1
	July 15, 2019	throughfall	1.0	2.1	-5.4	-4.6
	August 12, 2019		2.5	2.5	-1.4	-3.6
	September 12, 2019		2.3	1.5	-2.7	-4.7
	October 16, 2019		0.5	0.5	-3.2	-3.7

Site	Date	Sample type	[NH ₄ ⁺ -N] (mg L ⁻¹)	[NO ₃ ⁻ -N] (mg L ⁻¹)	δ ¹⁵ NH ₄ ⁺ -N (‰)	δ ¹⁵ NO ₃ ⁻ -N (‰)
	June 6, 2019	runoff	0.2	1.5	-7.4	-7.4
	August 12, 2019		0.1	0.3	-4.0	-3.4
	September 12, 2019		0.1	0.4	-5.3	-4.2
	June 6, 2019	bog water	1.2	0.8	3.6	1.9
	June 6, 2019		0.5	1.5	2.9	1.9
	June 6, 2019		1.8	1.1	3.3	2.1
	June 6, 2019		2.3	1.7	1.6	0.3
	June 6, 2019		0.5	1.3	1.5	-0.9
MMJ	January 22, 2016	open-area precipitation	1.2	6.6	-10.9	-3.8
	February 5, 2016		1.1	0.9	-6.1	-7.9
	March 4, 2016		0.1	0.4	-10.2	-4.3
	April 4, 2016		1.1	6.6	-9.6	-6.4
	May 3, 2016		1.1	0.4	-8.3	-10.2
	June 8, 2016		0.8	0.1	-1.9	-4.4
	July 1, 2016		0.7	0.1	6.2	0.7
	August 5, 2016		0.8	0.1	8.0	1.2
	September 10, 2016		0.1	0.1	7.4	1.9
	October 10, 2016		0.5	0.1	-2.3	-4.6
	November 7, 2016		0.4	0.1	-2.0	-4.6
	December 6, 2016		0.2	0.1	-4.7	-6.6
	January 10, 2017		0.4	3.3	-3.6	-2.2
	February 10, 2017		0.9	6.2	-10.7	-4.9
	March 3, 2017		0.8	6.5	-12.0	-6.5
	April 10, 2017		1.2	1.2	-0.3	-2.7
	May 5, 2017		0.9	3.1	-9.1	-8.0
	June 8, 2017		0.9	0.0	1.1	-5.0
	July 10, 2017		0.9	0.0	-0.9	-1.8
	August 10, 2017		0.2	0.0	-1.7	-5.8
	September 5, 2017		0.3	4.9	-1.7	-0.3
	April 10, 2017	throughfall	2.0	3.5	-14.7	-3.8
	May 5, 2017		1.4	1.4	-14.8	-13.4
	June 8, 2017		2.1	0.0	-3.8	-4.0
	July 10, 2017		3.9	1.8	-1.1	n.d.
	August 10, 2017		2.9	1.8	-2.8	-4.4
	September 5, 2017		0.8	1.8	-2.2	-4.5
	June 3, 2019	runoff	0.1	0.2	-5.9	-4.3
	June 3, 2019	bog water	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	June 3, 2019		0.0	0.0	-0.3	-2.9
	June 3, 2019		1.3	1.0	-2.4	-2.8
	June 3, 2019		2.0	1.0	-1.4	-2.6
	June 3, 2019		2.2	2.7	-1.4	-1.7

Table S3. Comparison of concentrations of major chemical species in atmospheric deposition, surface runoff and bog water at the study sites. N : P ratios of atmospheric input are based on vertical wet deposition. Data from October 2018.

Site	Sample type	NH ₄ ⁺	Na ⁺	Mg ²⁺	K ⁺	Ca ²⁺	Mn ²⁺	Fe _{tot}	(HCO ₃) ⁻	(NO ₃) ⁻	F ⁻	(SO ₄) ²⁻	Cl ⁻	DOC	TON	P _{tot}	N:P	pH	Conductivity (μS cm ⁻¹)
		mg L ⁻¹				μg L ⁻¹			mg L ⁻¹				μg L ⁻¹						
BRU	Atmospheric deposition*	0.33	0.15	0.05	0.07	0.15	< 5.0	< 0.05	< 0.5	0.86	< 0.02	0.76	0.27	0.38	0.07	< 6.0	169	5.46	< 8.00
	Bog water	2.09	1.68	0.73	1.85	1.90	13.0	0.64	15.3	0.31	0.04	6.01	3.92	79.7	2.31	165	23.8	4.31	74.6
	Surface runoff	0.64	3.25	0.39	1.36	2.00	786	0.67	9.2	0.75	0.05	8.86	1.42	2.50	0.21	29.4	29.3	6.26	44.6
UHL	Atmospheric deposition	0.14	0.51	0.07	0.10	0.24	16.0	< 0.05	< 0.5	1.22	< 0.02	1.07	0.78	0.75	0.17	9.3	60.1	4.97	12.9
	Bog water	0.27	3.60	1.98	9.05	7.75	216	2.95	12.2	< 0.10	0.18	47.4	1.96	66.6	3.48	490	7.6	4.02	165
	Surface runoff	0.04	3.22	1.71	0.47	7.72	567	0.96	2.4	< 0.10	0.19	33.7	1.21	4.45	0.18	40.2	5.5	4.48	98.3
MMJ	Atmospheric deposition	0.84	0.52	0.15	0.19	0.62	10.0	< 0.05	< 0.5	3.97	< 0.02	2.30	0.68	1.50	0.20	15.5	112	6.37	24.5
	Bog water	1.56	1.01	0.16	1.97	0.53	9.0	0.36	9.2	0.39	0.04	0.69	2.20	46.9	1.33	172	15.0	4.88	41.6
	Surface runoff	0.27	2.64	2.71	1.81	31.1	164	0.37	110	1.23	0.05	6.17	3.27	6.11	0.12	12.4	48.4	7.40	190

*open-area precipitation

Table S4. Chemical characteristics and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of peat core samples.

Site	Depth (cm)	Na (mg kg ⁻¹)	K (g kg ⁻¹)	Mg (mg kg ⁻¹)	Ca (g kg ⁻¹)	C (%)	N (%)	P (%)	N:P	Ash content (%)	Density (g cm ⁻³)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)
BRU	-1	391	2.73	397	1.19	43.5	0.86	0.03	30.8	1.70	0.04	-4.51
	-3	448	2.24	394	1.27	43.8	1.25	0.02	32.2	1.39	0.03	-4.11
	-5	464	1.64	362	1.08	46.5	1.36	0.03	30.3	1.26	0.04	-3.67
	-7	207	0.77	320	1.01	45.1	1.32	0.04	19.7	1.34	0.05	-2.73
	-9	190	0.47	314	0.99	44.5	1.26	0.04	19.3	1.58	0.05	-2.35
	-11	162	0.38	358	1.15	44.8	1.05	0.04	20.1	1.30	0.06	-2.52
	-13	164	0.19	228	0.74	44.7	1.02	0.04	21.1	1.21	0.06	-2.53
	-15	247	0.27	242	0.80	45.2	0.98	0.03	27.2	1.41	0.06	-1.97
	-17	257	0.60	285	0.64	44.2	1.17	0.05	27.5	4.42	0.08	-1.74
	-19	173	0.30	207	0.64	46.8	1.18	0.04	27.8	2.74	0.07	-1.37
	-21	467	1.17	263	0.72	46.0	1.34	0.04	37.3	8.50	0.12	-1.53
	-23	197	0.37	200	0.90	53.1	1.43	0.03	42.7	2.76	0.10	-1.74
	-25	155	0.16	188	0.94	49.0	1.48	0.03	41.4	1.00	0.09	-1.83
	-27	256	0.15	221	1.04	46.6	1.66	0.03	37.7	1.46	0.10	-1.58
-29	142	0.18	249	1.09	47.8	1.58	0.03	39.5	1.41	0.10	-1.94	
UHL	-1	262	2.14	455	1.62	41.9	0.90	0.07	12.1	4.55	0.03	-2.69
	-3	226	1.06	475	1.96	41.4	0.76	0.10	12.2	6.48	0.03	-1.93
	-5	261	0.82	452	2.02	41.7	0.78	0.10	13.0	5.35	0.05	-1.37
	-7	319	0.73	444	1.99	42.2	0.74	0.11	11.8	5.20	0.06	-1.04
	-9	243	0.48	394	1.90	42.1	0.79	0.11	11.3	5.65	0.06	-0.62
	-11	193	0.40	418	2.29	41.5	0.86	0.10	10.9	5.37	0.05	-0.31
	-13	247	0.44	426	2.22	41.8	0.81	0.09	11.5	4.88	0.04	-0.18
	-15	249	0.35	415	2.13	38.5	0.95	0.09	11.3	5.32	0.06	0.08
	-17	222	0.43	370	1.67	42.8	1.32	0.09	13.0	7.48	0.08	0.38
	-19	236	0.43	361	1.59	41.3	1.03	0.09	12.8	8.05	0.08	0.42
	-21	292	0.61	434	1.56	39.8	1.61	0.10	13.3	10.91	0.11	0.09
	-23	310	0.89	538	1.57	40.4	1.36	0.11	13.1	15.54	0.11	0.32
	-25	468	1.77	821	1.50	33.8	1.23	0.12	12.7	29.40	0.10	0.33
	-27	385	1.55	713	1.44	35.3	1.07	0.11	14.8	28.13	0.13	0.23
-29	424	1.53	641	1.43	34.0	1.07	0.12	13.3	29.25	0.18	0.78	
MMJ	-1	519	5.50	335	0.67	43.0	0.58	0.08	7.0	1.16	0.04	-3.54

Site	Depth (cm)	Na (mg kg ⁻¹)	K (g kg ⁻¹)	Mg (mg kg ⁻¹)	Ca (g kg ⁻¹)	C (%)	N (%)	P (%)	N:P	Ash content (%)	Density (g cm ⁻³)	δ ¹⁵ N (‰)
	-3	357	1.65	359	0.93	42.7	0.42	0.06	7.2	1.18	0.04	-2.07
	-5	191	0.43	257	1.06	42.6	0.52	0.06	8.3	1.16	0.04	-2.01
	-7	150	0.31	223	1.02	43.3	0.60	0.05	11.2	1.09	0.04	-1.94
	-9	124	0.28	258	1.10	43.4	0.71	0.07	10.6	1.57	0.05	-2.23
	-11	73.1	0.22	226	1.08	43.5	0.69	0.05	13.1	1.09	0.05	-2.20
	-13	71.4	0.17	228	1.09	44.6	0.72	0.05	15.7	1.46	0.05	-2.08
	-15	69.3	0.20	226	1.12	44.2	0.96	0.05	17.9	2.70	0.06	-1.87
	-17	122	0.18	231	1.08	44.0	1.08	0.06	17.8	1.81	0.06	-1.65
	-19	103	0.15	231	1.15	44.6	1.19	0.06	18.8	2.16	0.05	-1.63
	-21	170	0.25	239	1.22	41.7	1.63	0.08	21.6	3.15	0.04	-1.80
	-23	136	0.24	221	1.25	46.2	1.45	0.07	21.9	2.90	0.05	-1.22
	-25	114	0.25	214	1.32	48.8	1.18	0.06	21.0	2.54	0.05	-1.39
	-27	126	0.24	214	1.48	46.0	1.12	0.05	20.5	2.39	0.06	-1.72
	-29	136	0.34	217	1.56	45.1	1.15	0.06	18.3	3.25	0.05	-1.75

Table S5. Rates of biological N₂-fixation (BNF) in pristine and polluted *Sphagnum* bogs compiled from recent literature. ARA – acetylene reduction assay.

Reference	Estimated atmospheric deposition of N _r (g ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Mean BNF rate (mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Minimum and maximum BNF rates (mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Method of measurement	Site details	
					Location	<i>Sphagnum</i> species/diazotrophs
Stuart et al., 2021	< 2	0.80	0-3.2	¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	Alaska, USA	<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.
Vile et al., 2014	0.8-2.0	18.4	3.43-44.5	¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation, ARA	Alberta, Canada	Methanotrophs associated with <i>Sphagnum</i>
Knorr et al., 2015		90.6	27-216	¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation, ARA	southern Patagonia, Chile	<i>Sphagnum magellanicum</i>
Saiz et al., 2021	2	0.15		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	Sweden	<i>S. majus</i> , <i>S. balticum</i> , <i>S. fuscum</i> , <i>S. papillosum</i>
Patova et al., 2020	2.7		10-23.3	ARA	Russia	<i>Sphagnum</i> associated cyanobacteria
van den Elzen et al., 2020	6	0.03		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	southern Sweden	<i>S. capillifolium</i> subsp. <i>rubellum</i> / <i>S. fuscum</i>
Saiz et al., 2021	6	0.07		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	Scotland	<i>S. cuspidatum</i> , <i>S. falax</i> , <i>S. capillifolium</i> , <i>S. papillosum</i>
Chapman and Hemond, 1982	7	6.67		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation, ARA	Massachusetts, USA	<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.
Zivkovic et al., 2022	8.0-10.0	1.57		ARA	Ontario, Canada	<i>S. fallax</i> , <i>S. angustifolium</i> , <i>S. capillifolium</i> , <i>S. divinum</i> , <i>S. medium</i> (<i>s. magellanicum</i>)
Urban and Eisenreich, 1988	10.4		0.4-0.56	ARA	Minnesota, USA	<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.
Warren et al., 2017	10.4	54.8		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation, ARA	Minnesota, USA	<i>S. fallax</i> , <i>S. angustifolium</i>
Rousk et al., 2018	15	< 2.3		ARA	Copenhagen, Denmark	<i>Sphagnum</i> spp.
Saiz et al., 2021	17	0.05		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	Wales	<i>S. cuspidatum</i> , <i>S. falax</i> , <i>S. capillifolium</i> , <i>S. papillosum</i>
this study	18.6*	8.22		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	Male Mechove jezirko, Czech Republic	<i>S. girgensohnii</i> Russow

Reference	Estimated atmospheric deposition of N _r (g ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Mean BNF rate (mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Minimum and maximum BNF rates (mg N m ⁻² d ⁻¹)	Method of measurement	Site details	
					Location	<i>Sphagnum</i> species/diazotrophs
van den Elzen <i>et al.</i> , 2017	25	2.4		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	Netherlands	<i>S. palustre</i> , <i>S. squarrosum</i>
Saiz <i>et al.</i> , 2021	27	0.03		¹⁵ N ₂ assimilation	England	<i>S. cuspidatum</i> , <i>S. falax</i> , <i>S. capillifolium</i> , <i>S. papillosum</i>

*including horizontal deposition