

**Technical note:**  
**Bimodal Parameterizations of**  
**in-situ Ice Clouds Particle Size Distributions**  
by Irene Bartolomé García et al.

**Answer to the Editor**

The comments of the Editor are in black,  
responses by the authors in blue,  
changes in the manuscript text in light blue

## Minor revision

06 Dec 2023

Editor decision: Publish subject to technical corrections  
by Barbara Ervens

**Public justification (visible to the public if the article is accepted and published):**

Dear Authors,

many thanks for addressing the remaining referee comments. I am happy to accept your paper for publication in ACP.

Prior to uploading your files for paper production, please fix the minor/technical issues as listed below.

Sincerely,

Barbara Ervens

=====

**Line numbers refer to the manuscript version without annotations.**

**I. 63: analyzes**

Done

**I. 71: Please define IWC here (unless I missed it before)**

Done

**I. 86: consisted of**

Done

**Table1: State at least in the caption what ‘ranges’ refer to (e.g., ‘...of ice particle diameters’) so that the table is more self-explanatory**

Done

**I. 128, 131, 202, 202 (and possibly other instances): ‘warm temperature’ is scientifically not fully correct:**

temperature denotes a value – which can be high or low; warm/cold describes an intensive property of matter (e.g. gases, ice, water). Thus, high temperature leads to warming.

Done

**I. 131: can you specify the temperature range for which it is valid?**

The temperature range covered in the m-D relationships used in the comparison in Afchine et al. (2018) are:

- Heymsfield et al. (2010):  $-60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} < T < 0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$
- Mitchell et al. (2010):  $-60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} < T < -20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$
- Cotton et al. (2013):  $-60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} < T < -20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$
- Erfani and Mitchell (2016):  $-65\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} < T < -20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$

The following line has been added in lines 127-128: ...with other m-D relations from the literature (covering, depending on the m-D relation, temperatures between  $-65\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ )

**I. 135: should it be 'using' and 'fitting' to logically continue the list of steps starting with 'computing'?**

Done

**I. 140: Is it relevant that  $D_m$  is in units of meters? This equation is generally valid, independently of units, provided consistent units, e.g. mass in kg and density in  $\text{kg}/\text{m}^3$ . I understand that the coefficients alpha, beta might have been derived using SI units (kg, m, ...) but this could be generally stated around Eq.-6.**

It should be  $D_{eq}$  instead of  $D_m$ . We specified the used units for consistency with the description of the method in D14.

**I. 160 ff: The equations should be numbered with separate numbers, i.e. 7, 8, 9. Make sure to refer to them accordingly in the text (e.g. I. 207)**

Done

**I. 175/6: The new sentence does not read well. May be better something like: In the temperature range just below 235 K, the clouds may originate as mixed-phase clouds ascending from lower altitudes, undergoing complete glaciation at  $\geq 235\text{ K}$ .**

Done

**I. 181: Either 'in a temperature range of' or 'at temperatures'**

Changed to 'at temperatures'

**Table 2: Please improve the table caption so that the table is more self-explanatory**

Done

**I. 191: Either 'another indicator of cirri that have...' (<https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/cirrus>) or 'another indicator of cirrus clouds...'**

Changed to cirrus clouds

**I. 206: define (remove 'd')**

Done

**I. 229: each of them**

Done

**Figure 3, caption: What do you mean by 'left triangle'? The symbol for the new parameterization (JULIA 1 M) looks to me like a circle.**

Changed to 'black circle'

**I. 233: ...do not play any role**

Done

**I. 235/6: replace 'and' by 'whereas' to avoid ambiguity:**

**due to depositional growth WHEREAS sedimentation and aggregation are less significant.**

Done

**I. 238/9: The structure of the new sentence does not seem right (verb missing?). Maybe better:**

**Initially, the few heterogeneously nucleated ice crystals may grow to larger sizes, followed by ...**

Done

**I. 254: Better: From Fig. 4a and 4c... (to avoid confusion as you refer to Fig 2 in the previous sentence... which doesn't even have a, b, c...).**

Done

**I. 256, 260: panel b, d should be Fig 4b, 4d etc (see previous comment)**

Done

**I. 271: small and large modes (add 's')**

Done

**I. 281: 'subset' cannot be used as a verb. Better:**

**Binning this data into 10-K temperature intervals between -90C and -60 C...**

Done

**I. 284/5: Please clarify: (i) what do you mean by 'temperature ranges' (also Fig 5 caption)? Temperature intervals (or 'bins')?**

**(ii) intervals of 10 degree C and 10 K are the same. What exactly did you compare here?**

We mean temperature intervals. In the caption of Fig. 5 K has been replaced with °C.

**I. 290: do you mean indeed 'when' or rather 'if' (implying that it is not always the case)?**

We mean when. Not all PSDs are bimodal, but when there are bimodal PSDs, having the two modes fits better the observations than having only one mode.

**Figure 4, caption: Is there a word missing at the end? Over the complete size RANGE?**

Added the word "range"

**I. 301/2: The new sentence does not read well. Please improve. My suggestion (check whether reflects the intended meaning!):**

**Considering a second mode improves the PSD prediction of both small and large ice crystals despite the large measurement uncertainties associated with the latter.**

Done