

Dear Editor,

thank you for your positive review. We appreciate your comment about the missing reflections, but decided to leave the wording as is. While we agree that these are nothing to worry about, they are a discrepancy from the model we are using for our measurement, so this wording still feels appropriate. Therefore, the only change to the previous version is changing the author name to "The RNO-G collaboration".

We also apologize for the delayed response.

Thank you

Christoph Welling for the RNO-G collaboration