# Diurnal variations in oxygen and nitrogen isotopes of atmospheric nitrogen dioxide and nitrate: implications for tracing NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation pathways and emission sources

4 Sarah Albertin<sup>1,2</sup>, Joël Savarino<sup>2</sup>, Slimane Bekki<sup>1</sup>, Albane Barbero<sup>2</sup>, Roberto Grilli<sup>2</sup>, Quentin Fournier<sup>3</sup>,
5 Irène Ventrillard<sup>3</sup>, Nicolas Caillon<sup>2</sup>, Kathy Law<sup>1</sup>

6 <sup>1</sup>LATMOS/IPSL, Sorbonne Université, UVSQ, CNRS, 75005 Paris, France.

<sup>7</sup> <sup>2</sup>IGE, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, IRD, Grenoble INP, 38000 Grenoble, France.

8 <sup>3</sup>LIPhy, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, 38000 Grenoble, France.

9 Correspondence to: Sarah Albertin (sarah.albertin@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr)

10 Abstract. The oxygen ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) isotopic compositions of atmospheric nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) are widely used as 11 tracers of its formation pathways, precursor (nitrogen oxides  $(NO_x) \equiv nitric oxide (NO) + nitrogen dioxide (NO_2))$  emission 12 sources, and physico-chemical processing. However, the lack of observations on the multi-isotopic composition of  $NO_2$ 13 maintains significant uncertainties regarding the quantitative links between the isotopic composition of  $NO_x$  and  $NO_3^-$ , 14 which ultimately may bias inferences on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation processes and distribution of sources, particularly in winter urban atmospheres. We report here on the first simultaneous atmospheric observations of  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> (n = 16) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 15 (n = 14). The measurements were carried out at sub-daily (~ 3 h) resolution over two non-consecutive days in an Alpine city 16 17 in February 2021. A strong diurnal signal is observed in both NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> multi-isotopic composition.  $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>2</sub> and 18 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranges from 19.6 ‰ to 40.8 ‰ and from 18.3 ‰ to 28.1 ‰, respectively. During the day and night, the variability of 19  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is mainly driven by the oxidation of NO by ozone, with a substantial contribution from peroxy radicals in the morning. NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mass balance equations, constrained by observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , suggest that during the first day of sampling, 20 21 most of  $NO_3^-$  was formed locally from the oxidation of  $NO_2$  by hydroxyl radicals by day, and via heterogeneous hydrolysis 22 of dinitrogen pentoxide at night. For the second day, calculated and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  do not match, particularly daytime 23 values; the possible effects on  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  of a Saharan dust event that occurred during this sampling period and of winter boundary layer dynamics are discussed.  $\delta^{5}$ N of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ranges from -10.0 % to 19.7 % and from -4.2 % to 14.9 %, 24 25 respectively. Consistent with theoretical predictions of N isotope fractionation, the observed variability of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  is 26 explained by significant post-emission equilibrium N fractionation. After accounting for this effect, vehicle exhaust is found 27 to be the primary source of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions at the sampling site.  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  is closely linked to  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  variability, 28 bringing further support to relatively fast and local NO<sub>x</sub> processing. Uncertainties on current N fractionation factors during  $NO_2$  to  $NO_3^-$  conversion are underlined. Overall, this detailed investigation highlights the potential and necessity to use 29

30 simultaneously  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in order to better constrain quantitative inferences on the sources and

31 formation chemistry of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in urban environments in winter.

#### 32 1 Introduction

33 Despite extensive efforts in emission controls in recent decades, global anthropogenic emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>  $\equiv$ 34 nitrogen monoxide (NO) + nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)) remain more than two orders of magnitude higher than before the Industrial Revolution (Hoesly et al., 2018). Atmospheric nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> = nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) + particulate nitrate (p-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)), is 35 36 the main end-product of  $NO_x$  oxidation and a key component of fine particulate matter (PM), which adversely affects human 37 health (WHO, 2021) and contributes to climate change (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> can be transported far from 38 emission sources and can be removed from the atmosphere through dry and wet deposition within hours to days (Alexander et al., 2020; Park et al., 2004). The additional input of this "reactive" nitrogen  $(N_r)$  into natural environments is known to 39 40 have detrimental consequences, particularly regarding biodiversity and water quality (Galloway et al., 2008; Vitousek et al., 41 1997). It is therefore important to have a comprehensive understanding of  $NO_x$  emission sources and oxidation processes, on 42 which effective air quality and climate change mitigation strategies rely (e.g., Bauer et al., 2007; Huang et al., 2014; Shah et 43 al., 2018; Tsimpidi et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2013, 2020).

44

45 Several studies noted that the response of  $NO_3^-$  concentration in air to  $NO_x$  emission reduction is contrasted, 46 particularly in winter (e.g., Shah et al., 2018; Tørseth et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020; Zhou et al., 2019). This is because a 47 variety of factors controls the  $NO_x$  conversion efficiency and the  $NO_3^-$  content in PM, including precursor emission sources, 48 complex multiphase chemical reactions with other reactive species, and environmental conditions (e.g., temperature, relative 49 humidity, solar radiation) (Zhang et al., 2015). It remain difficult to assess the contribution of each parameter to the non-50 linear  $N_r$  chemistry, which is partly driven by close links between changes in aerosol acidity, gas-particle partitioning, and 51 atmospheric oxidation capacity (Shah et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2020; Shi et al., 2019; Li et al., 2021b). Ozone  $(O_3)$  and 52 hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000), are the major oxidants in the atmosphere whose chemical cycles 53 are largely controlled by solar radiation. As a result, there are significant diurnal and seasonal variations in  $NO_x$  chemistry (e.g., Prabhakar et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2020). Notably, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation is generally dominated by homogeneous OH 54 55 oxidation and heterogeneous O<sub>3</sub> chemistry during day/summer and night/winter, respectively (Alexander et al., 2020). However, assessing the relative contributions of individual formation channels, together with their sensitivity to 56 57 environmental parameters, is not straightforward and requires extensive in situ observations combined with modelling tools 58 (e.g., Alexander et al., 2020; Brown, 2006; Newsome and Evans, 2017; Xue, 2022; Prabhakar et al., 2017).

Upon release into the atmosphere, NO<sub>x</sub>, mainly emitted as NO, undergoes oxidation to form NO<sub>2</sub>. During the day, a
 rapid photochemical equilibrium is established between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, known as the "photostationary state" (PSS; Leighton,
 1961), via key interconversion reactions (Reactions R1–R3):

$$NO_2 + hv \xrightarrow{M} O(^3P) + NO$$
 R1

$$O(^{3}P) + O_{2} \xrightarrow{M} O_{3}$$
 with  $M = N_{2}$  or  $O_{2}$  R2

$$NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$$
R3

63 This cycle can be disturbed by peroxy radicals ( $RO_2 \equiv$  hydroperoxyl radical ( $HO_2$ ) + methyl peroxy radical ( $CH_3O_2$ )) via 64 typically Reaction (R4):

$$NO + RO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + RO$$
 R4

65 Note that in polluted atmospheres where  $NO_x$  mixing ratios often exceed ppb levels, Reaction (R4) followed by Reactions (R1)–(R2) lead to the formation of O<sub>3</sub> (Crutzen, 1979). Although the role of RO<sub>2</sub> in NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation is crucial in O<sub>3</sub> formation 66 67 and NO<sub>x</sub> oxidation rate, measuring RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio remains challenging due to the need for state-of-the art instrumentation coupled with photochemical models to establish chemical budgets (e.g., Ren et al., 2006; Tan et al., 2018). While NO is 68 69 usually oxidised relatively quickly into NO<sub>2</sub> in summer due to the high levels of  $O_3$  and solar radiation, the shorter day length and lower temperature in winter result in a contrasted NO<sub>x</sub> cycling. In particular, the formation of a temperature 70 71 inversion at the surface can trap pollutants emitted close to the surface in a shallow layer for hours to days (e.g., Largeron 72 and Staquet, 2016; Olofson et al., 2009). Under those conditions, it is not uncommon for  $O_3$  levels to be very low due to 73 quasi-complete titration by NO, which can have further impacts on the atmospheric oxidation capacity. However, 74 pronounced  $O_3$  pollution episodes may also arise in winter in highly polluted areas, such as in oil-producing regions due to 75 intense VOCs emissions (Edwards et al., 2014) or in China, where pollution control strategies mainly target  $NO_x$  while 76 VOCs emissions remain more or less constant (Ren et al., 2022). In urban areas, NO<sub>2</sub> is generally mainly removed from the 77 atmosphere by reaction with OH during the day via Reaction (R5) (Dentener and Crutzen, 1993):

$$NO_2 + OH \xrightarrow{M} HNO_3(g)$$
 R5

NO<sub>2</sub> can also react with  $O_3$  to form nitrate radicals (NO<sub>3</sub>) via Reaction (R6):

$$NO_2 + O_3 \xrightarrow{M} NO_3 + O_2$$
 R6

However, NO<sub>3</sub> is rapidly photolyzed during the day regenerating back NO<sub>2</sub> (Wayne et al., 1991). Another important NO<sub>3</sub> loss reaction is that with NO in polluted environments (Brown and Stutz, 2012). At night, without photolytic activity and 81 lower precursor emissions, the lifetime of  $NO_3$  radicals substantially increases.  $NO_3$  reacts with  $NO_2$  to form dinitrogen 82 pentoxide (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>; Reaction R7), which then undergoes heterogeneous hydrolysis to form HNO<sub>3</sub> (Reaction R8):

$$NO_3 + NO_2 \stackrel{M}{\leftrightarrow} N_2O_5$$
 R7

$$N_2O_5 + H_2O(aerosol) \rightarrow 2 HNO_3(aq)$$
 R8

83 Reaction (R7) is temperature dependent, so  $N_2O_5$  can eventually decompose to reform  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3$ , with the  $N_2O_5/NO_3$ 84 ratio being negatively correlated with temperature.  $N_2O_5$  is an important nocturnal sink for NO<sub>x</sub>, notably in winter in urban 85 atmospheres due to high aerosol loads and low temperatures. However, the efficiency of Reaction (R8) is difficult to determine because it strongly depends on parameters such as the aerosol surface density and its chemical composition 86 87 (Brown, 2006), which are not often well characterised. In addition, NO<sub>3</sub> can react with hydrocarbons to produce HNO<sub>3</sub>, 88 which could significantly contribute to the formation of  $NO_3^-$  in industrialised regions with high hydrocarbon emissions 89 (Brown et al., 2011). It is estimated that the Reactions (R1) to (R8) lead to the formation of 82 % of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> near the surface on 90 a global scale (Alexander et al., 2020). In polluted environments, the respective contributions of Reaction (R5) (OH 91 pathway) and Reactions (R6)–(R8) (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway) are more contrasted and are still debated (e.g., Chan et al., 2021; Fu et 92 al., 2020). In addition, the reaction of  $N_2O_5$  with chlorine on aerosols can contribute to  $NO_3^-$  production in urban 93 atmospheres (Thornton et al., 2010), with further impacts on  $O_3$  production in continental polluted atmosphere in winter 94 (Wang et al., 2019a). Other reactions, such as those involving halogen and organic intermediates, may become significant for 95 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> production in specific regions, such as in polar, oceanic, and coastal areas (Alexander et al., 2020; Penkett et al., 2007; 96 Savarino et al., 2013; Simpson et al., 2015).

97

98 To help better constrain the atmospheric  $N_r$  chemistry and budget, the last three decades have seen a growing interest in 99 stable oxygen (O) and nitrogen (N) isotopes, notably in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Elliott et al., 2019; Savard et al., 2018). The isotopic composition is reported as an isotopic enrichment ( $\delta$ ) with respect to a reference material, defined as  $\delta = (R_{sample})/\delta$ 100  $R_{reference} - 1$ ), and expressed in per mill (%). R refers to the elemental abundance ratio of the heavy isotope to the light 101 isotope (e.g., <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O; <sup>17</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O; <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N) in the sample, and in an international isotopic reference material (Vienna Standard 102 Mean Ocean Water for O; Li et al., 1988), and atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> for N; Mariotti, 1984). A powerful tool to help trace the 103 relative importance of different NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> oxidation pathways is through the use of the <sup>17</sup>O-excess ( $\Delta^{17}O = \delta^{17}O - 0.52 \times$ 104  $\delta^{18}$ O).  $\Delta^{17}$ O is transferred to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by O<sub>3</sub> which possesses a very unique  $\Delta^{17}$ O ((26.2 ± 1.3) ‰; Vicars and Savarino, 2014) 105 106 due to mass-independent fractionation during its formation process (Thiemens, 2006). In comparison, the  $\Delta^{17}$ O of other 107 atmospheric oxidants such as OH is near zero due to isotopic exchange with atmospheric water vapor (Dubey et al., 1997). 108 Similarly, as the isotopic anomaly of atmospheric  $O_2$  is very close to 0 % (Barkan and Luz, 2003), and since  $RO_2$  are mostly 109 produced by the reactions  $R + O_2$  and  $H + O_2$ ,  $\Delta^{17}O$  of  $RO_2$  can be considered negligible (Alexander et al., 2020). Therefore, 110  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> represents a unique tracer of the O<sub>3</sub> implication in its formation processes, that can provide valuable 111 constraints on the relative contributions of individual reactions (e.g., Morin et al. 2011, Alexander et al., 2009; Michalski et 112 al., 2003). By a simple mass balance calculation of O atoms in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, the <sup>17</sup>O-excess of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> produced by an individual NO<sub>2</sub> 113 to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion process *i* (( $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)_i$ ) can be expressed as:

$$\Delta^{17} O(\mathrm{NO_3}^-)_i = \frac{2}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O(\mathrm{NO_2}) + \frac{1}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O(\mathrm{add.}\, 0)_i \tag{1}$$

where  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is the <sup>17</sup>O-excess of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and  $\Delta^{17}O(add. O)_i$  is the transferrable <sup>17</sup>O-excess of the oxidant responsible for the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Michalski et al., 2003). From Eq. (1), if  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is constrained, one can derive individual  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> relative to a *i* conversion process and compare this value with observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ .

118 Recent studies in urban areas have attempted to interpret the variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  in aerosols in order to quantify 119 the relative contribution of homogeneous and heterogeneous processes to  $NO_3^-$  formation (e.g., Fan et al., 2023, 2022; He et 120 al., 2020, 2018; Lim et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2023, 2019b; Kim et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2022b; Li et al., 2022b). However, 121 to that end, it is necessary to have a clear quantitative understanding of the transfers of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in the N<sub>r</sub> cycle. To date, due to 122 very limited observational data, there is a lack of well-establish knowledge on the dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>2</sub>, the key intermediate species in the formation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Consequently, strong assumptions about  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  have to be made when 123 124 interpreting  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  measurements, which could potentially lead to biased conclusions. Notably, the most difficult 125 regions for the interpretation of  $NO_3^-$  records are potentially polluted areas where the isotopic composition of  $NO_2$  is expected to be highly variable in space and time. Most studies typically estimate  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  during the day by assuming that 126 an isotopic steady state (ISS) is reached between NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> resulting in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  depending only on the relative 127 128 contributions of different oxidants to NO oxidation. A recent study reported the first in situ observations of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  in an 129 urban environment (Grenoble, France) in spring (Albertin et al., 2021). Time resolved NO<sub>2</sub> sampling (ca. 3 h) during 24 h 130 revealed a strong diurnal cycle in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , reaching ca. 40 % during the day and decreasing down to ca. 20 % at night. The observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values and dial variability were consistent with its expected behaviour derived from  $\Delta^{17}O$  mass 131 132 balance equations under the ISS assumption during the day. However, this first  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  dataset is very short. More 133 measurements are needed to test in different environments and season the applicability of this new isotopic tool and to assess whether the ISS is still valid. At night, the low  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measured by Albertin et al. (2021) is consistent with the oxidation 134 135 of freshly emitted NO by  $O_3$ . Nonetheless, since the timescale for the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is thought to exceed the duration of the night (Alexander et al., 2020), it is also common to assume that the isotopic composition of nocturnal  $NO_2$ 136 137 reflects more daytime formation and conditions of the previous days. While this assumption may hold true in remote areas 138 (Morin et al., 2011), significant uncertainties subsist in urban areas where the nighttime  $NO_3^-$  chemistry may be more

- efficient. In such circumstances, the production of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from NO<sub>2</sub> formed at night would lead to a lower than expected  $\Delta^{17}O$ transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. For these reasons, the dual survey of the O isotopic composition of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> would certainly help to accurately interpret  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  observations in polluted atmospheres, particularly with sampling at sub-daily time scales which would allow to study the diurnal dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  and its links with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$ .
- 143

In addition to  $\Delta^{17}$ O,  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)) can be used as a tracer of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> sources and/or chemical processing. As 144 different NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources have often distinct  $\delta^{15}$ N-fingerprints depending on the NO<sub>x</sub> production mechanism (Heaton, 145 1990; Felix et al., 2012; Fibiger and Hastings, 2016; Walters et al., 2015a, b; Yu and Elliott, 2017; Miller et al., 2018), 146 147  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  is a potentially valuable tool to trace the origins of its gaseous precursor. However, due to N fractionation effects associated with physico-chemical processing,  $\delta^{15}N$  is altered during the conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Elliott et al., 2019). 148 Therefore, the variability of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  can be attributed to: (1) a change in NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources and (2) N isotopic 149 150 fractionations between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and during the transport of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. These effects co-exist with 151 relative contributions varying according to environmental conditions and the mix of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Numerous observations 152 in diverse environments have emphasised the substantial influence of N fractionation effects in altering the original  $^{15}$ N 153 composition of emitted gaseous NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> precursors (e.g., (Bekker et al., 2023; Chang et al., 2018; Geng et al., 2014; Luo et al., 154 2023; Vicars et al., 2013; Li et al., 2021a). Although some N fractionation factors are available from calculations (Walters and Michalski, 2015) and laboratory experiments (Li et al., 2020; Walters et al., 2016), there is still a lack of observational 155 156 constraints on the magnitude of the N isotopic partitioning between  $NO_x$  and  $NO_3^-$ , which could lead to biased 157 interpretations of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  observations.

158

159 Following the preliminary work of Albertin et al. (2021), this study presents for the first time the simultaneous 160 measurements of the atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> multi-isotopic compositions. The sampling took place at high temporal resolution (~ 3 h) in late February 2021 in an urban Alpine city.  $\Delta^{17}O/\delta^{15}N$  data of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, meteorological 161 parameters, and atmospheric observations (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and PM) are collated in order to investigate diurnal  $N_r$  chemistry, 162 N fractionation effects and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Our winter measurements extend the atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> multi-isotopic 163 164 composition record which is only composed of spring measurements performed during a single day by Albertin et al. (2021). The general aim of this case study is to test NO<sub>2</sub>-based isotopic approaches for tracing the origins and fate of NO<sub>x</sub>, for 165 166 instance in urban areas on sub-daily time scales. The added value of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements in N<sub>r</sub> chemistry studies is 167 more critically assessed here than in Albertin et al. (2021) through the use of accurate  $NO_x$  measurements. Besides, using the 168 isotopic theoretical framework developed previously, we explore the potential benefits of combining isotopic observations of 169  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$  to gain a more detailed and quantitative on the links between atmospheric N<sub>r</sub> chemistry processes and variability in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic composition. The framework used in inferring dominant NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources from 170 171 NO<sub>2</sub>  $\delta^{15}$ N measurements is also tested.

## 172 2 Material and methods

## 173 2.1 Study site and sample collection

174 The study was conducted in February 2021 in Chamonix-Mont-Blanc, France, (45°55'21" N, 6°52'11" E; altitude 1035 m 175 above sea level). This narrow (~2 km wide on average in Chamonix) 23 km Alpine valley of about 12,000 inhabitants is 176 surrounded by high-elevation mountains. The city can experience severe PM pollution events during the winter season. 177 mainly due to wood-combustion for domestic heating and road traffic (Chazette et al., 2005; Quimbayo-Duarte et al., 2021; 178 Weber et al., 2018; Aymoz et al., 2007). The study's sampling site was located at a CNRS (Centre National de la Recherche 179 Scientifique) facility in a residential area, 1.2 km south of the Chamonix city centre, and 1.4 km north of the Mont-Blanc 180 tunnel. Ambient air monitoring inlets and off-line gas and aerosol samplers were installed on the facility's terrace, 3 m above 181 the ground level. Over the campaign, the surface was partly covered with snow.

182

Atmospheric particles (aerosols) were collected using a high-volume sampler (Digitel<sup>®</sup>, DH77, total suspended particle 183 inlet, 1 m<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>) and glass fiber (GF) filters (Whatman<sup>®</sup>, 150 mm-diameter). Evaluating the collection efficiency of total 184 185 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> has long been debated (e.g., Schaap et al., 2002; Appel et al., 1980) and, although not free from sampling artefacts 186 (e.g., potential volatilisation of HNO<sub>3</sub> after exposure to ambient air), GF filters have been used on several times to study 187 nitrate isotopes, mainly in coastal sites (e.g., Savarino et al., 2007; Michalski et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2009; Frey et al., 188 2009; Morin et al., 2007a; Patris et al., 2007; Vicars et al., 2013). Under these conditions, the aerosol alkalinity is supposed 189 to allow the collection of  $HNO_3$  (Prospero and Savoie, 1989). In our case, as the ambient air in Chamonix is expected to be 190 free of sea salt, the GF filters were not washed before use in order to keep the initial NaCl coating inherited from the filter 191 manufacturing process. Therefore, in addition to p-NO<sub>3</sub>, we are confident that the high GF filter NaCl loading allowed the 192 quantitative collection of  $HNO_3$  at our site, as it has been shown previously in the literature (Appel et al., 1981; see also our 193 reply and data to the comments of Reviewer #2 on this specific issue). Two field blanks were performed to evaluate the 194 initial content of trace elements and possible contamination during handling. Atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> was collected concurrently to 195 filter samples using a pre-cleaned honeycomb denuder tube coated with a mixture of 2.5 M KOH (in methanol) and ultrapure guaiacol inserted into a ChemComb® 3500 speciation cartridge (Thermo Scientific®, USA). A second coated denuder was 196 197 placed in series into the cartridge to check for NO<sub>2</sub> breakthrough. After sampling, denuders were rinsed with 10 mL of 198 deionised water to solubilised trapped  $NO_2$ . Detailed information on the denuder sampling protocol is available in Albertin et 199 al. (2021). Similar to blank filters, two blank denuders were performed. Blank filters and denuders were subjected to same 200 handling, storage, and analytical treatment as field samples. Filters and denuder extractions were stored and transported 201 frozen to IGE (Grenoble, France) for analysis.

202

Following the objective to investigate the diurnal isotopic composition of  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$ , denuder and filter samplings were conducted continuously for 24 hours with sampling time steps ranging from 1:30 to 7:30. During the day, denuder and filter samplings were synchronised. At night, two filter samplings were performed while three sets of denuder tubes were collected. This sampling protocol was conducted during two non-consecutive days, from 19 February 2021 21:00 local time (LT) to 20 February 2021 21:00 LT (sampling period #1 = SP 1) and from 24 February 2021 7:30 LT to 25 February 2021 7:30 LT (sampling period #2 = SP 2).

#### 209 2.2 Chemical and isotopic analysis

210 Concentrations of major ions from filter extractions in deionised water were determined by ion chromatography 211 (Thermo Scientific<sup>TM</sup> Dionex<sup>TM</sup> Integrion<sup>TM</sup> HPIC). Reported to the total filter surface, the  $NO_3^-$  contribution from blank filters represented on average (8  $\pm$  9) % of sampled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Atmospheric mass concentrations (expressed in ug m<sup>-3</sup>) were 212 calculated as the ratio of the total ion filter loading (corrected for the blank contribution) to the total volume of air pumped 213 214 through the filter at STP conditions.  $NO_2^{-}$  concentration in denuder extractions were first estimated using the Griess-Saltzmann reaction and UV–Vis spectrometry at 544 nm. Even though the eluted matrix can interfere with colorimetric 215 216 analyses, measured concentrations on first denuder tubes were relatively well correlated with ambient NO<sub>2</sub> measurements 217 during atmospheric sampling and allowed to give indications on field blanks and on the volume needed to perform isotopic 218 analysis.

219

220 Isotopic analyses were performed using an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS, Thermo Finnigan<sup>™</sup> MAT 253) for analyses of <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N, <sup>17</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O, and <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub> samples. Briefly, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from filter extractions were converted 221 222 into gaseous N<sub>2</sub>O by the bacterial denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; Kaiser et al., 2007) in which 223  $\approx 100$  nmol of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ions were injected into a 2 mL of a bacteria medium (strain of *Pseudomonas aureofaciens*) under 224 anaerobic conditions. NO<sub>2</sub> denuder extractions were treated separately with the azide method (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005; 225 Albertin et al., 2021) in which 2 mL of a sodium azide 2M / acetic acid 100 % buffer were injected into  $\approx 100$  nmol of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> 226 allowing quantitative conversion into  $N_2O$ . For both filter and denuder extractions, ions were converted into  $N_2O$  which was further thermally decomposed into  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  in a gold tube heated at 850 °C. Then,  $O_2$  and  $N_2$  molecules were separated on 227 228 a chromatography column and sent separately into the IRMS for the dual analysis of O and N isotopes (see Morin et al., 229 2009 for more details on the analytical line). The isotopic composition of  $NO_3^-$  samples was analysed in triplicate (the mean 230 value of replicate measurements and the associated repeatability are reported in Table S2 in the Supplement). The limited 231 amount of NO<sub>2</sub> samples did not allow for replicate measurements. From UV-vis analysis, all NO<sub>2</sub> samples presented a negligible blank (< 4 %; mean of 1.7 nmol ml<sup>-1</sup>) except for the sample collected between 13:30 and 16:30 LT during SP 2 232 which shown a blank around (14.0  $\pm$  1.4) %. Therefore, the measured  $\Delta^{17}$ O of this sample was corrected for blank effect 233 assuming that the contaminated NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> possessed a  $\Delta^{17}O = 0$  %. No correction from this blank effect was applied on the  $\delta^{15}N$ 234 measurements of NO<sub>2</sub> because the  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint of the contamination could not be characterised. This uncertainty is 235 236 propagated in the calculations of Section 3 and considered in the discussions. Possible isotopic changes resulting from the conversion and analysis process of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub> samples were evaluated using international NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic reference materials, respectively (Table S3 in the Supplement). Accuracy of the analytical method was estimated as the standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) of the residuals between measurements of the reference materials and their expected values. In our study, average measurement uncertainties on  $\delta^{15}$ N,  $\delta^{17}$ O,  $\delta^{18}$ O, and  $\Delta^{17}$ O were estimated to be ±0.3 ‰, ±0.9 ‰, ±1.3 ‰, and ±0.4 ‰, respectively, for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> samples and ±0.3 ‰, ±0.4 ‰, ±0.9 ‰, and ±0.3 ‰, respectively, for NO<sub>2</sub> samples. Detailed information about the calibration procedure can be found in Morin et al. (2009) and in Albertin et al. (2021) for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub> samples, respectively.

### 244 2.3 Ancillary data

245 During atmospheric samplings, surface  $NO_x$  mixing ratios were measured at the study site using an incoherent broadband 246 cavity-enhanced absorption spectrometer for NO<sub>2</sub> (IBBCEAS; Barbero et al., 2020) and an optical-feedback cavity-enhanced 247 absorption spectrometer for NO (OFCEAS; Richard et al., 2018). PM concentrations (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) were monitored by an optical particle counter (GRIMM<sup>®</sup>, EDM 164). O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio was monitored at the local air quality monitoring site located 248 a kilometre north of the sampling site (Environnement SA<sup>®</sup>, O3 42M; https://www.atmo-auvergnerhonealpes.fr/, last access: 249 250 5 November 2021). Surface temperature (T<sub>surface</sub>) and relative humidity (RH) were measured by a portable logger (Tinytag, 251 TGP-4500, Gemini Data Loggers) located at the air quality monitoring site. Vertical temperatures were measured from 11 252 similar loggers fixed along the Plan-Praz cable car (45°55'39" N, 6°51'55" E) from 1098 to 2021 m above sea level (data obtained from personal communications with C. Coulaud, IGE). The NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate  $(J_{NO_2})$  was calculated for the two 253 254 sampling periods using a photochemical boxmodel (CiTTyCAT version 2.02; Galeazzo et al., 2018; Pugh et al., 2012) using 255 the Fast-J photolysis scheme of Wild et al. (2000) and a surface albedo fixed to 0.65, a value representative of a snow-256 covered surface (average value between fresh and old snow; more details can be found in Text S1 in the Supplement).

#### 257 2.4 Interpretation framework for isotopic signals

In this section, we briefly state the key concepts and equations necessary to interpret isotopic signals measured in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. A more detailed description and complete equation derivations can be found in cited references.

# 260 **2.4.1** $\Delta^{17}$ **O** mass balance equations

Because NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> loss processes do not fractionate in terms of the oxygen mass-independent anomaly and considering that each source reaction induces a transfer of  $\Delta^{17}$ O to NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, one consider the mass conservation of  $\Delta^{17}$ O during fractionation processes in the N<sub>r</sub> cycle. Hence, one can implement  $\Delta^{17}$ O in the general mass balance equation of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. An overall expression of the time derivative of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in the species X ( $\Delta^{17}$ O(X); with X = NO<sub>2</sub> or NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) is derived as a function of its deviation from  $\Delta^{17}$ O transferred through each production channel *i* (*P*<sub>i</sub>) ( $\Delta^{17}$ O<sub>i</sub>(X)), weighted according to the relative contributions of the production channels (Vicars et al., 2013):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \left( \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{X}) \right) = \frac{1}{\tau(\mathrm{X})} \times \sum_{i} \frac{P_{i}}{\sum_{i} P_{i}} \times \left( \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}_{i}(\mathrm{X}) - \Delta^{17} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{X}) \right)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $P_i$  expresses reaction rate constant times the atmospheric concentrations of reacting species, and  $\tau$  is the atmospheric lifetime of the species X at steady state ( $\tau = [X] / \sum_i P_i$  with [X] being the atmospheric mixing ratio of the species X).

During the day, the rapid photochemical cycling of NO<sub>x</sub> (Reactions R1–R4) leads to an isotopic equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>, i.e.,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO) \approx \Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  (Michalski et al., 2014). Therefore, using the steady state approximation, and considering NO + O<sub>3</sub> (Reaction R3) and NO + RO<sub>2</sub> (Reaction R4) as the main sources of NO<sub>2</sub> at our site, the overall daytime  $\Delta^{17}O$  in NO<sub>2</sub> can be expressed by:

$$\Delta^{17}O_{day}(NO_2) \approx T_{NO+O_3} \times \Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$$
(3)

where  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$  is the O<sub>3</sub> isotopic anomaly transferred to NO through Reaction (R3) (Savarino et al., 2008).  $T_{NO+O_3}$ , sometimes named *A* in the literature, represents the proportion of O atoms originating from O<sub>3</sub> in NO<sub>2</sub>, and hence the relative importance of Reaction (R3) in the conversion of NO into NO<sub>2</sub> (Michalski et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2007b; Albertin et al., 2021):

$$T_{\rm NO+O_3} = \frac{k_{\rm NO+O_3}[O_3]}{k_{\rm NO+O_3}[O_3] + k_{\rm NO+RO_2}[\rm RO_2]}$$
(4)

where  $k_{\text{NO+O_3}}$  and  $k_{\text{NO+RO_2}}$  are the kinetic constants of Reactions (R3) and (R4), respectively. The kinetic constants used in this study are listed in Table A1 in the Appendix. At night, considering that (1)  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{NO}) \approx 0$  ‰ (NO<sub>x</sub> emission without NO<sub>2</sub> recycling), (2) no  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> (no photochemical cycling), and (3) O<sub>3</sub> is the main oxidant of NO (no nighttime production of RO<sub>2</sub>),  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{NO}_2)$  is determined by the  $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$  transfer via Reaction (R3) and by the nighttime residuals of NO<sub>2</sub> formed during the previous daytime hours (Albertin et al., 2021) following:

$$\Delta^{17}O_{\text{night}}(\text{NO}_2) \approx x \times \Delta^{17}O_{\text{day}}(\text{NO}_2) + \frac{(1-x)}{2} \times (\Delta^{17}O_{\text{NO}+O_3}(\text{NO}_2) + \Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}))$$
(5)

where x is the fraction of NO<sub>2</sub> formed during the day to the total NO<sub>2</sub> measured at night.

284

At our sampling site, we hypothesise Reaction (R5) (OH pathway) and Reactions (R6)–(R8) (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway) as the main daytime and nighttime  $NO_3^-$  production channels, respectively. At steady state, from Eq. (2), we derive general expressions for  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day and night, associated with the OH and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathways, respectively (Alexander et al., 2020):

$$\Delta^{17} O_{day}(NO_3^{-}) \approx \frac{2}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O_{day}(NO_2)$$
(6)

$$\Delta^{17} O_{\text{night}}(\text{NO}_3^{-}) \approx \frac{2}{3} \times \Delta^{17} O_{\text{night}}(\text{NO}_2) + \frac{1}{6} \times \Delta^{17} O_{\text{NO}_2 + O_3}(\text{NO}_3)$$
(7)

where  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO_2+O_2}(NO_3)$  is the <sup>17</sup>O-excess transfer from O<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub> during Reaction (R6) (Berhanu et al., 2012). Without 289 wet scavenging, dry deposition is the main sink of  $NO_3^-$  (Park et al., 2004). Assuming a mean  $NO_3^-$  deposition velocity of 290 291 0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (mean value of the dry deposition velocities of HNO<sub>3</sub> and p-NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; Zhang et al., 2009), and considering the 292 maximum daytime and minimum nighttime boundary layer heights of 500 and 100 m above ground level, respectively 293 (estimations based on measured vertical temperature profiles; Fig. S2 in the Supplement), the estimated residence time of NO3<sup>-</sup> against dry deposition can reach up to 28 hours during the day, and 6 hours at night (Table B1). Therefore, on sub-294 daily time scales, the <sup>17</sup>O-excess in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day is more likely to reflect a combination of daytime and nighttime 295 production processes than during the night. Note that, our estimated residence times for  $NO_3^-$  against dry deposition are 296 297 upper limits as they represent the time required to reduce by a factor e the concentration of  $NO_3^-$  present at the top of the 298 boundary layer;  $NO_3^{-}$  close to the surface would have a much shorter residence time.

## 299 2.4.2 Nitrogen isotopic fractionation effects

Each source of NO<sub>x</sub> generates a  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint which depends on the type and conditions (temperature and pressure) of 300 301 combustion, and on the type of fuel (e.g., coal, oil, gas) (Heaton, 1990; Felix et al., 2012; Fibiger and Hastings, 2016; Walters et al., 2015a, b; Yu and Elliott, 2017; Miller et al., 2018). The mean  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ) emitted in the 302 atmosphere results from the sum of each NO<sub>x</sub> emission  $\delta^{15}$ N fingerprint weighted by their relative contribution to the total 303 NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Once in the atmosphere, NO<sub>x</sub> is subjected to oxidation processes and isotopic exchanges that alter the initial 304 305  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ . As a result,  $\delta^{5}N$  in NO<sub>2</sub> and in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is a complex function of both the  $\delta^{15}N$  signature of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and N 306 isotopic effects. These latter can be categorised into three groups: (1) the equilibrium isotope effect (EIE), (2) the kinetic 307 isotope effect (KIE), and (3) the photochemical isotope fractionation effect (PHIFE) (Miller and Yung, 2000; Young et al., 2002). The magnitude of these isotopic effects is quantified as <sup>15</sup>N enrichment factor ( $\varepsilon$ ), which is defined as ( $\alpha$  – 1), where  $\alpha$ 308 309 represents the N isotopic fractionation factor.

311 A general expression for  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  can be derived as a function of a factor  $F_N$  which represents the overall N isotopic

fractionation effects between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>2</sub> (expressed in ‰), the fraction of NO<sub>2</sub> with respect to NO<sub>x</sub> ( $f_{NO_2} = \frac{1}{NO_2} \frac{1}{NO_x}$ ), and of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  (Albertin et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020):

$$\delta^{45} N(NO_2) = F_N \times (1 - f_{NO_2}) + \delta^{45} N(NO_x)$$
(8)

314 Therefore, the <sup>15</sup>N isotopic shift between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  is given by:

$$\delta^{45} N(NO_2) - \delta^{45} N(NO_x) = \Delta^{15} (NO_2 - NO_x) = F_N \times (1 - f_{NO_2})$$
(9)

Physico-chemical processes between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> can preferentially promote or deplete <sup>15</sup>N in NO<sub>2</sub> with respect to emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> (i.e.,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2) \neq \delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ). The importance of this fractionation shift is modulated by the factor  $(1 - f_{NO_2})$ . When NO is almost entirely converted into NO<sub>2</sub> ( $f_{NO_2} \approx 1$ ), N fractionation effects can be neglected (i.e.,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2) \approx \delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ).

From samples collected at Jülich, Germany, Freyer et al. (1993), observed for the first time the linear relation described by Eq. (8), and set the theoretical framework to interpret  $\delta^{15}N$  variabilities in atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub>. They showed that the observed seasonal variation of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  was driven by N fractionation effects (represented in the  $F_N$  factor) caused by photochemistry and isotopic equilibrium. Based on this work, and that of Li et al. (2020), Albertin et al. (2021) derived an expression of  $F_N$  during the day assuming that the NO-NO<sub>2</sub> system is in isotopic equilibrium (steady-state):

$$(F_{\rm N})_{\rm day} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\rm LCIE} * A^*_{\rm day} + (\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)} - 1)}{A^*_{\rm day} + 1}$$
(10)

323 with  $\alpha_{\text{LCIE}}^* = \alpha_{\text{KIE}(\text{NO}+O_3)} - \alpha_{\text{PHIFE}}$ 

324 and 
$$A^*_{day} = \frac{J_{NO_2}}{k_{NO+NO_2}[NO]}$$

where  $\alpha_{LCIE}^*$  is the fractionation factor of combined KIE and PHIFE (LCIE is for Leighton Cycle Isotope Effect), and  $\alpha_{EIE(NO_2/NO)}$  is the EIE fractionation factor between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>.  $\alpha_{EIE(NO_2/NO)}$  and  $\alpha_{KIE(NO+O_3)}$  are temperature dependent and can be calculated following the theoretical approach of Walters and Michalski (2015) (Table D1). From calculations based on the zero point energy of <sup>15</sup>NO<sub>2</sub> and the absorption cross section of <sup>14</sup>NO<sub>2</sub>,  $\alpha_{PHIFE}$  is estimated to vary between 1.0020 and 1.0042 for a range of solar zenith angles between 90 ° and 0 ° (Fang et al. 2021). In this study we use a mean value of  $\alpha_{PHIFE}$  at 1.0031.  $A^*_{day}$  is defined as the ratio of the NO<sub>2</sub> lifetime with respect to isotopic exchanges over the daytime NO<sub>2</sub> chemistry lifetime.  $J_{NO_2}$  is the NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate,  $k_{NO+O_3}$  is the rate constant of Reaction (R3), and  $k_{NO+NO_2}$  is the rate constant of the isotopic exchange  ${}^{15}NO_2 + {}^{14}NO \rightarrow {}^{14}NO_2 + {}^{15}NO$ . During the day,  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  varies according to the environmental conditions. In low-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions (e.g., remote and polar regions)  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  is predicted to be controlled by LCIE factors ( $A^*_{day} >> 1$ ), whereas an EIE-dominated regime ( $A^*_{day} << 1$ ) is expected in polluted environments (high-NO<sub>x</sub> conditions). At night,  $J_{NO_2}$  and  $\alpha_{PHIFE}$  are null and  $A^*_{night}$  is defined as the ratio of NO lifetime with respect to isotopic exchange with NO<sub>2</sub> to NO chemical lifetime at night ( $A^*_{night} = \frac{k_{NO+O_3}[O_3]}{k_{NO+NO_2}[NO_2]}$ ). In this study, we consider only one particular case with  $A^*_{night} << 1$ , which means that isotopic exchanges are much faster than NO oxidation by O<sub>3</sub>. In this scenario, KIE effects are negligible compared to EIE effects and ( $F_N$ )<sub>night</sub> can be expressed as:

$$(F_{\rm N})_{\rm night} \approx \frac{(\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)} - 1)}{\alpha_{\rm EIE(NO_2/NO)}}$$
(11)

339 The complete derivation of Eqs. (10) and (11) is given in Albertin et al. (2021).

340

341 KIE and EIE are also expected during the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The <sup>15</sup>N partitioning associated to isotopic 342 equilibrium between  $N_2O_5$  and  $NO_2$  (Reaction R7) can be theoretically computed as a function of temperature (Walters and Michalski, 2015; Table D1). At 298 K, if N isotopic equilibrium is reached, N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> is predicted to have  $\delta^{15}$ N values 27.6 ‰ 343 344 higher than NO<sub>2</sub>. Considering that the NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic fractionation through the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway is solely controlled by EIE,  $NO_3^-$  is therefore expected to be enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to  $NO_2$ . However, to date, no experimental study has reported 345 346 on <sup>15</sup>N partitioning between atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and the fractionation factors are still being debated (Freyer 1991, Fang et al. 2021). The dominant NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion processes considered in this study, along with corresponding  $\Delta^{17}$ O 347 transfer factors and the known <sup>15</sup>N enrichment factors at 298 K (determined from both experimental and computational 348 349 studies) are illustrated in Figure C1.

### 350 3 Results and Discussion

#### 351 **3.1** Temporal variations of general atmospheric observations

Surface temperatures during SP 1 and SP 2 show similar values, with a marked diurnal cycle (from -2 °C to 16 °C; Figure 1). A positive temperature gradient with altitude is observed from late evening to morning. Surface temperature rises around midday and reaches a maximum at around 15:00 LT, resulting in a negative temperature gradient with altitude. In deep Alpine valleys, the diurnal variability of surface air temperature is strongly influenced by the temporal evolution of the boundary layer structure, particularly in winter with the formation of a surface layer inversion (Whiteman, 1982). As previously observed in Chamonix (Chazette et al., 2005), the nocturnal surface layer inversion regularly thickens during the night of the sampling periods. After sunrise, air masses warm up until the nocturnal inversion layer breaks down in the late morning. Observed RH behaviour relatively correlates with the increase in temperature during the day, showing a rapid decrease between mid-morning and early afternoon (from 96 % to 23 % and from 96 % to 30 % for SP 1 and SP 2, respectively; Figure 1).

362

363 During SP 1 and SP 2, the mixing ratios of NO, NO<sub>2</sub> and  $O_3$  exhibit diurnal patterns (Figure 1) typical in Chamonix in late February (Figure S3 in the Supplement), and more generally in urban areas (Mayer, 1999). The highest NO level is 364 observed in the morning, peaking around 10:30 LT (82 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and 152 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> for SP 1 and SP 2, respectively). The 365 concurrent increase in NO<sub>2</sub> and decrease in O<sub>3</sub> (down to 1 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) can be attributed to Reaction (R3) (NO + O<sub>3</sub>). NO<sub>x</sub> 366 decreases in the late morning, likely due to a combination of lower emissions, NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation, and dilution effect. 367 Meanwhile,  $O_3$  gradually recovers to ca. 30 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, a typical winter background air level in Europe (Gaudel et al., 368 2018). Due to local emissions, NO<sub>x</sub> increases again from 16:00 LT, resulting in  $O_3$  titration, and subsequently to an increase 369 370 in NO<sub>2</sub> (up to 40 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). After 18:30 LT, NO remains low until the morning, and NO<sub>2</sub> decreases slowly until midnight. stalls around 10 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>, and then rises again at 5:30 LT. After the late afternoon titration,  $O_3$  gently recovers and stay 371 372 relatively low throughout the night likely due to a titration effect from nocturnal NO emissions which are confined in the 373 surface layer inversion.

374

375 Both SP 1 and SP 2 show diurnal variations in PM mass concentrations (Figure 1), with morning and evening peaks 376 related to local emissions from traffic and home heating (Aymoz et al., 2007).  $PM_{10}$  concentrations display an additional increase at midday following the breakdown of the temperature inversion. At 12:30 LT, while PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations increase 377 378 moderately during SP 1 to reached 38.6  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, a sharp increase to 119  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> is observed during SP 2. Then PM<sub>10</sub> 379 concentrations decrease during the afternoon of SP 1, but remain high during the afternoon of SP 2 until the surface 380 inversion layer forms. On average, PM<sub>10</sub> concentration is three times higher during SP 2 ((59.4  $\pm$  37.6) µg m<sup>-3</sup>) than during SP 1 ((20.6  $\pm$  10.2) µg m<sup>-3</sup>). The considerable increase in PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations between SP 1 and SP 2 is likely to be 381 explained by a Saharan dust episode that started on February 23 (Fig. S3, S4, and S5 in the Supplement). Saharan dust 382 383 deposition is a well-known phenomenon in the Alps, which is characterised by a sudden increase of coarse particles, mainly 384 composed of alumino-silicates as well as calcium and potassium (Angelisi and Gaudichet, 1991; Delmas, 1994; Di Mauro et 385 al., 2019; Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Greilinger et al., 2018; Schwikowski et al., 1995; Sodemann et al., 2006).

386

The NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> mass concentration varies from 0.3  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> to 3.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup>, with an average of (0.9 ± 0.6)  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> for SP 1 and of (1.2 ± 0.9)  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> for SP 2 (Figure 1). During both sampling periods, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration is within the range of previous observations made in Chamonix in winter (Allard, 2018). NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> shows a distinctive peak at 3.4  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> during SP 2 between 10:30 and 13:30 LT, correlated with the PM<sub>10</sub> surge. During transport, dust can undergo heterogeneous uptake and conversion of gases on its surface, leading to the inclusion of secondary species such as NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, sulfate, and ammonium 392 (Usher et al., 2003).  $NO_3^-$  on dust results mainly from HNO<sub>3</sub> uptake and heterogeneous reactions of  $N_2O_5$  (see Usher et al., 393 2003 for a review and references therein). Mineral dust is believed to significantly contribute to  $NO_3^-$  formation and size 394 distribution, particularly in regions close to dust emission sources (Karydis et al., 2016). However, the origin of  $NO_3^-$  during 395 SP 2 at our site remains unclear and could be attributed to the advection of both nitrated-dust particles formed through 396 heterogeneous processes during transport and anthropogenic fine particles (Aymoz et al. 2004).



398

397

**Figure 1.** Temporal evolution of the 1-hour rolling mean of (a) NO<sub>2</sub> (black line), O<sub>3</sub> (dashed line), and NO (green line) mixing ratios, (b) PM (dashed line for PM<sub>10</sub> and solid line for PM<sub>2.5</sub>) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (orange horizontal line) mass concentrations, and (c) temperature at the surface (black line), at 1206 m (dashed grey line), and at 2021 m (light dashed grey line) and surface relative humidity (blue line). Data were collected during the two sampling periods (SP 1 and SP 2) in Chamonix. Grey backdrop shaded areas represent the nighttime (sunset to sunrise).

404

Figure 2 shows the temporal evolution of measured  $\Delta^{17}O$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in Chamonix during the two sampling periods (SP 1 and SP 2). All isotopic data used in this study are reported in Table S1 and Table S2 in the Supplement. In the following analysis, first we describe  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements and gives interpretation in light of NO<sub>x</sub> 408 chemistry cycling (Section 3.2). Then, an analysis of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  measurements is proposed aggregating daytime and 409 nighttime periods and comparing them with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  estimates derived from  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements and  $\Delta^{17}O$  mass 410 balance for major chemical processes (Section 3.3). In light of these results and atmospheric conditions during SP 1 and SP 411 2, sub-daily  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  dynamics are investigated. In Section 3.4, N fractionation effects in the NO<sub>x</sub> cycle are quantified, 412 and the dominant NO<sub>x</sub> emission source is identified. The dynamics of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  is also described and its use to trace NO<sub>x</sub> 413 emission sources and oxidation processes is discussed.



414

Local date and time (2021, UTC + 01:00)

**Figure 2.** Temporal evolution of observed (a)  $\Delta^{17}$ O and (b)  $\delta^{15}$ N of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> (top and bottom solid black horizontal line) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (top orange and bottom magenta horizontal dashed line) in Chamonix (length of horizontal line = sampling period, shaded area = overall analytical error). The NO<sub>2</sub> photolysis rate ( $J_{NO_2}$ , top grey line) is from CiTTyCAT boxmodel output.  $f_{NO_2}$  ( $f_{NO_2} = [NO_2] / ([NO_2] + [NO])$ ; bottom grey line) is calculated from hourly mean mixing ratio of NO and NO<sub>2</sub>.

# 419 3.2 $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> diurnal cycling

420 Over the course of SP 1 and SP 2,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  shows a large diurnal variability (from 19.6 ‰ to 40.8 ‰) with a weighted

421 mean  $\pm$  one standard deviation of (25.2  $\pm$  7.1) ‰.  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values during the day (7:30–18:00 LT, (28.5  $\pm$  7.3) ‰) are

422 significantly higher (*p*-value = 0.002, n = 16) than during the night (18:00–7:30 LT, (20.8 ± 1.0) ‰). By day,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ 

423 follows a similar increasing trend during SP 1 and SP 2, reaching a respective maximum of 40.8 ‰ between 13:30–16:30 LT

424 and 35.0 % between 10h30–13h30 LT. For both sampling periods, after sunset,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  stabilises between 21:00 and 425 7:30 LT at ca. 20 %. Using the same sampling methodology in a mid-latitude urban area in spring, Albertin et al. (2021) reported very similar  $\triangle^{17}O(NO_2)$  values over the course of one day (20.5–39.2 ‰), following a comparable diurnal pattern. 426 427 As presented above (Section 2.4.1), according to the ISS (isotopic steady state) framework, the variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ 428 reflects changes in the relative contributions of Reaction (R3) (NO +  $O_3$ ) and Reaction (R4) (NO +  $RO_2$ ) to the overall production of NO<sub>2</sub>. At our site,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  drops rapidly during the 16:30–18:00 LT interval to 23.3 ‰ and 20.9 ‰ during 429 SP 1 and SP 2, respectively. Since, the isotope recycling rate in the  $NO_x$ -O<sub>3</sub> system is driven at first order by  $J_{NO_2}$  (Michalski 430 431 et al., 2014), due to low solar radiation between 16:30–18:00 LT at our site, such a rapid drop of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  suggests that 432 sampled  $NO_2$  might not be at ISS anymore when the  $NO_2$  photolysis is very slow, notably at the end of the day in winter. Therefore, we only consider that ISS holds between 7:30 and 16:30 LT in order to avoid the questionable end-of-the day 433 434 measurements in our ISS-based analysis. At night (i.e., no ISS), the observed drop of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  in the early evening reflects 435 the rapid replacement of  $NO_2$  formed during the day by  $NO_2$  produced during the night via the conversion of freshly emitted 436 NO, in line with Eq. (5). Then, high NO<sub>2</sub> throughout the night, along with relatively low  $O_3$ , supports observations of low 437  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  at night (i.e.,  $\approx 20$  %).

438

439 Using Eq. (3), we derive from  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  observations the relative contribution of Reaction (R3) (NO + O<sub>3</sub>) to 440 Reaction (R4) (NO + RO<sub>2</sub>) in the formation of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $T_{NO+O_2}$ ):

$$T_{\rm NO+O_3} = \frac{\Delta^{17} O_{\rm day}(\rm NO_2)}{\Delta^{17} O_{\rm NO+O_3}(\rm NO_2)}$$
(12)

441 Between 7:30 and 16:30 LT,  $T_{NO+O_3}$  varies from 0.55 to 1.00 (Table 1), with a mean of 0.88 and 0.75 for SP 1 and SP 2, 442 respectively. The NO +  $O_3$  pathway is dominant between 13:30 and 16:30 LT, corresponding to the time when  $O_3$  is highest (Figure 1). In contrast, the maximum contribution for the NO +  $RO_2$  pathway is observed between 7:30 and 10:30 LT, when 443 NO levels are high and rising continuously. Interestingly, previous studies reported a high sensitivity of RO<sub>2</sub> to changes in 444 445  $NO_x$ , particularly at high  $NO_x$  levels (Ren et al., 2006; Stone et al., 2012). Sources of  $RO_2$  in wintertime are mainly driven by 446 the production of OH radicals from HONO photolysis, alkene ozonolysis, and formaldehyde photolysis (Tan et al., 2018). 447 During winter, HONO plays a crucial role in  $NO_x/O_3/RO_2$  chemistry, particularly in the morning, as its photolysis can potentially accelerate daytime oxidation processes, leading to increased RO<sub>2</sub> production (Alicke et al., 2003; Aumont et al., 448 449 2003). Direct emissions from vehicle exhaust could be significant a source of VOCs and HONO at our site (Brulfert et al., 450 2005; Gu et al., 2019; Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2023). Heterogeneous processes on ground 451 surfaces and aerosols can also contribute to HONO formation (Aumont et al., 2003). In addition, snowpack releases may also 452 be a potential source of HONO (Grannas et al., 2007), as detected in Paris after a snow event, which could significantly
453 impact the urban OH budget (Michoud et al. 2015).

454

Following the approach of Albertin et al. (2021), combining Eqs. (3) and (4) allows to derive RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio from observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  and O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratio following:

$$[\mathrm{RO}_{2}] = \frac{k_{\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_{3}}[\mathrm{O}_{3}]}{k_{\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{RO}_{2}}} \left( \frac{\Delta^{17}\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_{3}}(\mathrm{NO}_{2})}{\Delta^{17}\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{day}}(\mathrm{NO}_{2})} - 1 \right)$$
(13)

Between 7:30 and 16:30 LT, we estimate an average RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio at our site of (0.88  $\pm$  0.88) pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> and (4.92  $\pm$ 457 458 5.16) pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively (Table 1). Studies conducted in urban winter environments reported RO<sub>2</sub> measurements at a few pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (Ren et al., 2006; Emmerson et al., 2005; Tan et al., 2018; Kanaya et al., 2007), in 459 good agreement with our estimations. Similarly, RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios derived by Albertin et al. (2021) from observed 460  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  in spring (mean of (13.8 ± 11.2) pmol mol<sup>-1</sup>) were also found to be in line with studies conducted in the same 461 462 season. The fact that our isotopic inference of RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios carried out in two different seasons (winter and spring) are 463 both comparable to direct in situ  $RO_2$  measurements confirm the sensitivity of our method in probing the  $NO_3/O_3/RO_2$ chemical dynamics. We think that our method may be very valuable in deciphering oxidation processes of  $N_r$  species, down 464 465 to sub-daily temporal scales. Nonetheless, we recognise that without concurrent in situ measurements of RO<sub>2</sub> and 466  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , it is not possible to validate unambiguously the quantitative estimation of RO<sub>2</sub> levels with our method. 467

Sampling interval (start - end)	$T_{\rm NO+O_3}$	RO <sub>2</sub> /pmol mol <sup>-1</sup>
SP 1		
20/02 07:30 - 20/02 10:30	$0.72\pm0.01$	$0.86\pm0.75$
20/02 10:30 - 20/02 13:30	$0.91 \pm 0.01$	$1.77\pm0.36$
20/02 13:30 - 20/02 16:30	$1.00\pm0.01$	$0.00\pm0.91$
Mean	0.88	0.88
Std dev.	0.14	0.88
SP 2		
24/02 07:30 - 24/02 10:30	$0.55\pm0.01$	$0.58 \pm 1.67$
24/02 10:30 - 24/02 13:30	$0.86\pm0.01$	$3.56\pm0.50$
24/02 13:30 - 24/02 16:30	$0.84\pm0.08$	$10.63\pm6.75$
Mean	0.75	4.92
Std dev.	0.18	5.16

468

469 **Table 1.**  $T_{NO+O_3}$  and RO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio (mean value  $\pm$  overall uncertainty) derived from the isotopic measurements.

At this point, it is important to recall that the choice of the  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_2}(NO_2)$  in Eq. (12) is of a particular importance 471 for quantifying  $T_{NO+O_2}$  (as for RO<sub>2</sub>). In the literature,  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_2}$  (NO<sub>2</sub>) varies between 35 ‰ and 41 ‰ (Michalski et al., 472 2003; Savarino et al., 2016; Vicars et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2022b; Li et al., 2022a). This relatively wide range of values is 473 partly a result of some confusion in defining  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_3}(NO_2)$ , the  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer from O<sub>3</sub> to NO. Indeed, the term  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3^*)$ 474 is sometimes erroneously used as the transfer function of  $\Delta^{17}$ O from O<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub> during Reaction (R3).  $\Delta^{17}$ O(O<sub>3</sub><sup>\*</sup>) is actually 475 defined as  $\Delta^{17}O$  of O<sub>3</sub> terminal atoms and is also named  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{term}$  in the literature. As the  $\Delta^{17}O$  in O<sub>3</sub> is borne by its 476 terminal atoms,  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3^*) = 1.5 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$ . However,  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_2}(NO_2)$  can be equal to  $1.5 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$  if only 477 terminal atoms of O<sub>3</sub> reacts with NO. But laboratory experiments by Savarino et al. (2008) did show that O<sub>3</sub> does react with 478 479 NO not solely with its terminal atoms but also, to a small but significant extent, with its central atom (probability of  $(8 \pm 5)$ ) % for the abstraction of central atoms during the reaction NO + O<sub>3</sub>). Consequently,  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_2}(NO_2)$  is slightly lower than 480  $1.5 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$  and the  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_2}(NO_2)$  expression determined by Savarino et al. (2008) should be used: 481  $\Delta^{17}O_{\rm NO+O_3}(\rm NO_2) = 1.18 \pm 0.07 \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\rm bulk} + (6.6 \pm 1.5) \%.$ 482

483

484 Assuming that their maximum measured daytime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  reflects the conversion of NO to NO<sub>2</sub> only through Reaction (R3) (i.e.,  $T_{NO+O_2} = 1$ ), Albertin et al. (2021) derived a  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO+O_2}(NO_2)$  value of 39.2 ‰ from Eq. (3). Given the 485 486 respective analytical uncertainties (around  $\pm 1$  %), their value is in very good agreement with the maximum daytime value of 487 40.8 % we observed in Chamonix. Similarly to Albertin et al. (2021), assuming that the highest daytime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  value at our site corresponds to  $T_{N0+0_2} \approx 1$  leads to  $\Delta^{17}O_{N0+0_2}(NO_2) = 40.8$  %. Using the experimental  $\Delta^{17}O_{N0+0_2}(NO_2)$  transfer 488 function determined by Savarino et al. (2008), we estimate a bulk <sup>17</sup>O-excess of O<sub>3</sub> ( $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$ ) at (29.0 ± 2.2) ‰. This 489 value is consistent with the range of direct  $\Delta^{17}O(0_3)_{\text{hulk}}$  measurements at mid-latitudes (mean of (26.2 ± 1.3) ‰; Vicars 490 and Savarino, 2014), although falling at the upper end of the range. Interestingly, Vicars and Savarino (2014) reported a 491 492 significant peak in  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk}$  during February-March in Grenoble, France (located 120 km southwest of Chamonix), based on year-round measurements with  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$  values 2–3 ‰ higher than the annual mean of 26.2 ‰. Although the cause of 493 increased values during this period is unknown, our derived  $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{\text{bulk}}$  matches remarkably well the February-March 494 495 measurements reported by Vicars and Savarino (2014).

## 496 **3.3** Interpretation of $\Delta^{17}$ O in atmospheric nitrate

Over the two sampling periods,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  varies significantly (from 18.3 ‰ to 28.1 ‰), with a weighted mean of (22.5 ± 3.1) ‰. While  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  values are relatively similar during the two sampling periods,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  values are systematically higher during SP 2 than during SP 1, except during the 7:30–10:00 LT interval.  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in Chamonix is in the same range of most previous observations in urban environments (9–44 ‰; e.g., Kim et al., 2023; Wang et al., 2022; Li et al., 2022b), but lower than most values measured during the cold

season which are typically >25 %. Unlike  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , daytime and nighttime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  values at our site are not 502 503 significantly different (p-value > 0.05, n = 14). Similarly, from 12 h resolved sampling in winter Beijing, He et al. (2018) 504 found no significant difference between daytime and nocturnal  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  and suggest that each sample reflects  $NO_3^{-}$ produced during both the day and night. From high-time-resolved (3 h) aerosol sampling in winter Beijing, Zhang et al. 505 (2022b) reported  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  values between 23.4 ‰ to 39.3 ‰, with higher values observed at night ((31.0 ± 2.6) ‰) than 506 507 during the day ((29.3  $\pm$  3.0) ‰). This diurnal behaviour of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  was attributed to the changes in the branching ratio of nocturnal and photochemical reactions on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formation. In Chamonix, the range of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  values are very different 508 509 from Zhang et al., (2022b) observations, with consistently lower values and a distinct diurnal tendency. However, in the 510 cases of  $\Delta^{1/2}O(NO_3^{-})$  measurements at sub-daily temporal scale, the atmospheric lifetime of NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is critical for comparing  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  records from one site to another. Pollutant levels and atmospheric conditions between Chamonix and 511 512 Beijing are very different, notably in winter when Asian urban areas can experience severe haze pollution episodes with  $NO_3^-$  mass concentration exceeding 70 µg m<sup>-3</sup>, which is over 10 times higher than in Chamonix (Lim et al., 2022; He et al., 513 2018; Zhang et al., 2022b). In such conditions, PM can reach several hundreds of  $\mu g m^{-3}$  for several days, which can 514 515 significantly impact atmospheric processes involved in the formation of secondary species. Aside from the intrusion of Saharan dust during SP 2, the pollutant level in Chamonix is indicative of a moderately polluted region, with significant 516 517 diurnal variations.

# 518 **3.3.1** Steady state evaluation of $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^{-1})$

To investigate the factors influencing the variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  at our site, one compare observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  with estimated values of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  derived from  $\Delta^{17}O$  mass balance and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ , assuming the OH and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathways dominate the formation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at our site. Therefore, calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  reflect the theoretical  $\Delta^{17}O$  transfer during the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at our site through the dominant chemical process during the day (i.e. OH pathway) and at night (i.e. N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway).

524

525 As presented, during the day, we consider that the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is predominantly influenced by 526 Reaction (R5) (OH pathway). Hence, the theoretical corresponding <sup>17</sup>O-excess transfer to  $NO_3^-$  is estimated using Eq. (6) 527 and observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  between 7:30 and 18:00 LT (n = 3 per sampling period). Then, in order to estimate a daytime 528 average value of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  which is representative of the potential for the formation of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from surface NO<sub>2</sub> by the OH pathway, each calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  is weighted by the product  $[NO_2] \times J_{NO_2}$  (the diurnal variability of the OH mixing ratio 529 is assumed to follow the diurnal  $J_{NO_2}$  variation; Liu et al., 2021). Finally, an overall mean daytime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  for SP 1 and 530 SP 2 is estimated by taking the sum of the weighted calculated values ( $=\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$ ). The same approach is used during 531 532 the night, assuming that the conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is dominated by Reactions (R6)–(R8) (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway). Eq. (7) and 533 observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  between 18:00 and 7:30 LT (n = 3 per sampling day) are used to estimate  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$ . Each calculated 534  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  is weighted by the product  $[NO_2] \times [O_3]$  (i.e., NO<sub>3</sub> production rate) and summed to estimate a mean nighttime  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  for SP 1 and SP 2. The <sup>17</sup>O-excess transferred from O<sub>3</sub> to NO<sub>2</sub> during Reaction (R6) ( $\Delta^{17}O_{NO_2+O_2}(NO_3)$ ) is 535 fixed at 44.7 ‰. This value is set accordingly to the transfer function reported by Berhanu et al. (2012) whereby 536  $\Delta^{17}O_{NO_2+O_2}(NO_3) = (1.23 \pm 0.19) \times \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk} + (9.02 \pm 0.99) \text{ and } \Delta^{17}O(O_3)_{bulk} = 29.0 \text{ \% (see Section 2.4.1). We}$ 537 compare hereafter  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  with the weighted day and night averages of observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  at our site. During the 538 539 day,  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$  is compared with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  observations averaged between 7:30 and 18:30 LT (n = 3). At night, 540  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$  is compared with  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  observations averaged between 18:30 to 7:30 LT.

541

At night during SP 1, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  and  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-)$  are in good agreement ( $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^-) - \Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-) = \Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ 542 543  $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^{-}_{calc} - NO_3^{-}_{obs}) = 0.9 \text{ }_{\infty})$ , suggesting a local and rapid (< 12 h) conversion of NO<sub>2</sub> into NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> via the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway. 544 During the day, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  is 0.5 % higher than  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$ , also suggesting that  $NO_3^{-}$  is formed locally during the day for oxidation of surface NO<sub>2</sub> through the OH pathway. Small differences between observed and calculated 545 546  $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day/night could be explained by the presence of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> residues formed during the previous 547 night/day, which are not considered in the calculations since they do not account for  $NO_3^-$  lifetime. In contrast to SP 1, 548  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$  during SP 2 is significantly lower than the mean observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$ , particularly during the day with a  $\Delta^{17}(NO_{3-calc} - NO_{3-obs})$  of -6.4 ‰. The significant gap between observed and calculated  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_{3-})$  suggests a different 549 550 origin and/or formation process of  $NO_3^-$  during SP 2 compared to SP 1. Although less important than during the day,  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$  values for SP 2 at night is lower by 2.2 ‰ to the observed value. This small shift can be explained by 551 552 residuals of enriched daytime  $NO_3^-$ . It is important to point out that, although the  $NO_2$  sample collected on Feb 24 between 553 13:30 and 16:30 LT presents an important blank (ca. 14 %), ambient NO<sub>2</sub> is low during the sampling period (mean of  $(4.5 \pm$ 554 1.8) nmol mol<sup>-1</sup>). Therefore, as each  $\Delta^{17}$ O value used to estimate  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-1})$  is weighted by the mean ambient NO<sub>2</sub> 555 mixing ratio over the sampling period, the incertitude related to this blank has little influence on the daily average of 556  $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$ . Given the low  $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^{-}calc - NO_3^{-}obs)$  during SP 1, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  can be explained by the local and 557 rapid (< 12 h) oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub>, dominated by the OH and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway during the day and night, respectively. However, 558 in contrast to SP 1, the  $^{17}$ O-excess measured in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during the day of SP 2 cannot be fully constrained by the oxidation of 559 surface NO<sub>2</sub> through the OH pathway, suggesting that the formation mechanisms of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> are different between SP 1 and SP 560 2 and/or the presence of  $NO_3^-$  not formed locally during SP 2. Below we examine the changes in the sub-daily dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  between SP 1 and SP 2 in light of atmospheric observations. 561

		$\varDelta^{17}O(NO_2)$ /‰	$\Delta^{17}O(NO_{3}^{-})$ /‰	$\varDelta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_{3}^{-})\ /\%$	$\Delta^{17}(NO_{3}^{-}calc - NO_{3}^{-}obs)$
Daytime	SP 1	$30.0 \pm 7.3$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.0\pm3.1\\ 23.9\pm3.8\end{array}$	$22.5 \pm 4.6$	-0.5
(7:30-18:00)	SP 2	$26.1 \pm 6.9$		$17.5 \pm 4.6$	-6.4
Nighttime	SP 1	$21.2 \pm 1.1$	$20.5 \pm 1.1$	$\begin{array}{c} 21.4\pm0.7\\ 21.0\pm0.6\end{array}$	0.9
(18:00-7:30)	SP 2	$20.8 \pm 1.0$	$23.2 \pm 1.0$		-2.2

**Table 2.** Mean observed  $\Delta^{17}O$  data of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$ ) in Chamonix, and mean calculated  $\Delta^{17}O$  of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\Delta^{17}O_{calc}(NO_3^{-})$ ) using Eqs. (6) and (7) at day and night, respectively, constrained with observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$ . Day and night calculated values were weighted by [NO<sub>2</sub>]×**J**<sub>NO<sub>2</sub></sub> and [NO<sub>2</sub>]×**[**O<sub>3</sub>], respectively

566

# 567 **3.3.2** $\Delta^{17}(NO_3^{-})$ sub-daily dynamics

Between 7:30–10:30 LT,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  is very similar during SP 1 (18.3 ‰) and SP 2 (18.6 ‰). Nonetheless, on the 568 569 following sampling time step (i.e., between 10:30–13:30 LT),  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  is significantly different between SP 1 (21.5 ‰) 570 and SP 2 (24.7 ‰). Intriguingly, between 7:30–13:30 LT,  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  during SP 2 (26.9 ‰) is lower compared to SP 1 (32.8 571  $\infty$ ). As a consequence, if one consider that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is formed from the oxidation of local NO<sub>2</sub> through identical pathways during SP 1 and SP 2, observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  should be lower during SP 2 than during SP 1. Therefore, the more pronounced 572 increase of observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  during the 10:30–12:30 LT interval of SP 2 suggests a different origin and/or formation 573 574 channel of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, as mentioned previously. One more piece of evidence is that, during this period of time,  $PM_{10}$  and  $NO_3^{-}$ 575 levels increase significantly during SP 2, alongside the disruption of the inversion layer (depicted in Figure 1). It can be 576 inferred that this rise in  $PM_{10}$  is mostly due to the presence of Saharan dust. The simultaneous increase of  $NO_3^-$  and of 577  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  corroborates the hypothesis that this NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> was not formed from the oxidation of ambient NO<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, 578 such an increase in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  can only be supported by the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> through the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, which is not 579 expected to be important during the day due to the rapid photolysis of NO<sub>3</sub> and its titration by NO (Brown and Stutz, 2012).

580

581 Interestingly, aerosol samplings conducted at various heights (8 m, 120 m, and 260 m above ground level) in Beijing, China, revealed a positive vertical gradient of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in winter, from on average 29 ‰ to 33 ‰ (Fan et al., 2022). In 582 summer, the  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  values at the three altitudes were very similar. This increase of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  with altitude in winter 583 584 was believed to result from a stratification of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> oxidation processes due to low vertical mixing and elevated 585 surface NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. However, the authors did not consider the potential variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  with altitude, which can be substantial in urban areas at night as low  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  results from surface NO oxidation. For our study, we propose an 586 alternative interpretation of the vertical variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$ , where  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  is considered as the main driver. During 587 588 the formation of the nocturnal boundary layer,  $NO_2$  formed during the day can be trapped above the surface layer in the

nocturnal residual layer (NRL). This NO<sub>2</sub> has a high  $\Delta^{17}$ O because it was formed during the previous daytime hours under 589 590 the ISS framework (Eq. (3)). Throughout the night, this highly enriched NO<sub>2</sub> (ca. 37 ‰ which is the average of the 591 maximum  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  during SP 1 and SP 2) can be converted to  $NO_3^-$  via the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, hence leading to a substantial 592  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at around 32 ‰, which is in the range of  $\Delta^{17}$ O(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>) observed by Fan et al. (2022) in winter. In the meantime, NO emitted at the surface during the night can be converted to NO<sub>2</sub> by O<sub>3</sub>, with a  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer of ca. 20 ‰ (Eq. 593 594 (5) with x = 0). This low enriched NO<sub>2</sub> can be further oxidised to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway which results in a  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfer at around 21 %. This NO<sub>2</sub> with a low  $\Delta^{17}$ O is very likely to be formed only at the surface during the night in areas 595 experiencing important NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (Michalski et al., 2014). Furthermore, surface NO<sub>2</sub> with low  $\Delta^{17}$ O is not expected to 596 597 be transported aloft as it is formed in the surface inversion layer during the night. Therefore, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formed in the NRL during 598 winter nights may be more enriched than the  $NO_3^-$  formed concurrently at the surface, regardless of the  $NO_2$  oxidation process involved. When the inversion layer breaks during the following day, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> that was formed in the NRL during 599 the night is mixed with the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formed at the surface, resulting in an increase in the overall surface  $\Delta^{17}$ O. In this scenario, 600 601 the presence of the Saharan dust during SP 2 may have increased the NO3<sup>-</sup> loading aloft by promoting heterogeneous processes on aerosol surfaces in the vicinity of Chamonix. Hence, NO<sub>2</sub> stratification at night could explain the observed 602 603 increase in  $\Delta^{17}$ O of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> at the surface following the collapse of the nocturnal inversion layer. However, we cannot determine whether the enriched  $NO_3^-$  were formed in the vicinity of Chamonix and/or transported to our site by Saharan 604 605 dust.

606

Although the exact nature of the high <sup>17</sup>O-excess measured in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during SP 2 remains unclear, boundary layer dynamics is thought to play a significant role in the variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  at the surface due to the stratification of NO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore, a wider consideration of such factors should be explored to avoid possible over-interpretation of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ variabilities at the surface, especially in urban areas experiencing significant boundary layer dynamics in winter and high surface emissions of NO<sub>x</sub> at night. Measuring  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  at various altitudes could provide better insights on the vertical dynamics of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ , and subsequently quantitative information on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> production processes.

613

## 614 3.4 Nitrogen isotopic compositions

## 615 3.4.1 N fractionation effects in the NO<sub>x</sub> cycle

616 Over the two sampling periods,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  shows substantial diurnal variability (from -10.0 ‰ to 19.7 ‰, n = 16) with a 617 weighted mean of (4.0 ± 9.1) ‰. In contrast, Albertin et al. (2021) reported a weak diurnal fluctuation of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  in 618 spring in Grenoble, in a narrow range from about -12 ‰ to -10 ‰. In summer in an urban/suburban location, Walters et al.

619 (2018) also observed a wide range of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  values, however, unlike our study, these are almost consistently negative

620 (from -31.4 ‰ to 0.4 ‰) with an overall mean at ( $-11.4 \pm 6.9$ ) ‰. As shown in Eq. (8), fluctuations in  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) reflect changes in NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources and/or N fractionation effects, these latter being weighted by  $1 - f_{NO_2}$  i.e., the more NO<sub>x</sub> is 621 622 under the form of NO, the greater the N fractionation effects (see Section 2.4). Hence, in the previous works of Albertin et al. (2021) and Walters et al. (2018), due to high  $f_{NO_2}$  (> 0.7), isotope effects were small (<2.7 ‰) and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  was mostly 623 624 driven by changing contribution of NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources. At our site,  $f_{NO_2}$  shows a wider range, from 0.3 to 1.0, suggesting 625 significant N isotopic fractionation effects, with minimum and maximum contributions corresponding to the highest and lowest observed value of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ , respectively. This pronounced seasonal behaviour of N isotope fractionation effects 626 627 within the  $NO_x$  cycle has previously been outlined in the seminal study of Freyer et al. (1993). Overall, compared with 628 summer, lower  $f_{NO_2}$  during winter months due to lower O<sub>3</sub> concentrations and higher NO<sub>x</sub> emissions favour EIE between NO 629 and NO<sub>2</sub>, which also has a higher fractionation factor due to the lower temperatures (see Appendix D: Equilibrium N fractionation factors). Besides, this seasonal fluctuation of  $f_{NO_2}$  can be expected to be observed on smaller time scales, 630 typically on the diurnal scale in urban areas where NO is generally fully oxidised into NO<sub>2</sub> at night due to a lower NO<sub>x</sub> 631 632 emission rate resulting in higher  $f_{NO_2}$  at night than during the day, as observed at our sampling (Figure 2).

633

Figure 3 shows the linear dependence of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  on  $(1 - f_{NO_2})$  over the two sampling periods, indicating the significant influence of atmospheric processes that alter the N isotopic distribution during the conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> into NO<sub>2</sub>. The linear regression gives a slope and an intercept of about (43.6 ± 3.3) ‰ and (-8.8 ± 1.0) ‰, respectively. According to Eqs. (10) and (11), the linearity between daytime (07:30–18:00 LT) and nighttime (18:00–07:30 LT) values suggests that EIE dominates the N fractionation processes between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>. The influence of LCIE during the day could explain the greater variability around the linear fit in the daytime observations.



- 641
- 642

**Figure 3.** Correlation plot of  $\delta^{15}$ N of atmospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vs.  $(1 - f_{NO_2})$  from observations in Chamonix in February 2021.  $f_{NO_2}$  are averaged over the collection period of each NO<sub>2</sub> sample. The grey shade is the 95 % confidence interval. White diamonds and blue dots represent the nighttime (18:00–07:30 LT) and daytime (07:30–18:00 LT) observations, respectively. The linear regression is plotted over the nighttime and daytime observations.

647 The relative importance of EIE and LCIE in the N fractionation between emitted  $NO_x$  and  $NO_2$  is assessed by 648 calculating the  $A^*$  factor during the day (7:30–18:00 LT;  $A^*_{dav}$ ) and night (18:00–7:30 LT;  $A^*_{night}$ ) (Table 3).  $A^*_{dav}$  and  $A^*_{night}$ expressions are given in Section 2.4.2. Overall, during NO<sub>2</sub> sampling intervals, the  $A^*$  values are small (mean  $\pm$  one standard 649 deviation:  $0.21 \pm 0.51$ ) and reflect an EIE-dominated regime with high NO<sub>x</sub> (Li et al., 2020). It is interesting to note that the 650 651 highest  $A^*$  values are observed between 13:30 and 16:30 LT, and correspond to the two data points in Figure 3 that lie 652 outside the 95 % confidence interval of the regression line. These results suggest that EIE is the dominant N fractionation 653 processes between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> during both day and night ( $A^* < 0.46$ ), with the exception of mid-afternoon when LCIE 654 competes with EIE ( $A^* > 0.46$ ).

655

To quantify the overall N fractionation effect ( $F_N$ ) between NO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub>, we dissociate the two samples collected between 13:30 and 16:30 LT into a different group (Group #1 = GP 1) from the other samples (Group #2 = GP 2).  $F_N$  of GP 1 and GP 2 is calculated using Eq. (10) (which combines LCIE and EIE regimes) and Eq. (11) (which considers only the EIE regime), respectively. Calculated  $F_N$  are reported in Table 3 and data used for calculations can be found in Section 2.4.2 and

in the Supplement. Calculated  $F_{\rm N}$  is significantly different between GP 1 and GP 2, with a mean of 16.4 ‰ and 42.3 ‰, 660 661 respectively. The close match between the calculated average  $F_N$  of GP 2 and the observed  $F_N$  ((43.6 ± 3.3) ‰; slope of the regression line in Figure 3) provides strong evidence for the reliability of Eq. (11), as well as the expression of  $\alpha_{\text{EIE}(NO_2/NO)}$ 662 used therein, to accurately describe the N fractionation between  $NO_x$  emissions and  $NO_2$  at our site, and hence, to describe 663 most of the variability of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  measurements. This result holds significant importance in confirming the theoretical N 664 665 isotopic fractionation framework used in prior research studies. It is also important to stress the influence of LCIE effects for 666 GP 1, highlighting the high dependency of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  to local environmental conditions. According to the A<sup>\*</sup> factor, a greater influence of LCIE in mid-afternoon could have contributed to the outlying of the two samples collected between 667 668 13:30 and 16:30 LT (GP 1). However, as mentioned above, the sample collected on Feb 24 between 13:30 and 16:30 LT has 669 a significant blank. Therefore, it cannot be confirmed with certainty that the reason this sample falls outside the 95 % 670 confidence interval of the regression line is solely due to LCIE. Nevertheless, the overall conclusion that EIE dominates the variability of  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>2</sub>) at our site is not affected by this uncertainty. 671

672

The  $\delta^{15}$ N shift in NO<sub>2</sub> relative to emitted NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\Delta^{15}$ (NO<sub>2</sub> – NO<sub>x</sub>)) is calculated for individual NO<sub>2</sub> sample using the mean 673 ambient temperature during each sampling period. The mean atmospheric  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ ) is then estimated by 674 subtracting the  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  value from the observed  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  value.  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_x)$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  estimates are 675 reported in Table 3.  $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - NO_3)$  varies greatly over the two sampling periods (from 0.7 % to 30.7 %) with a mean value 676 of ca. 9 % (mean of GP #1 and GP #2).  $\delta^{5}N(NO_{x})$  show much less variability with an overall mean at (-7.8 ± 1.9) % (mean 677 of GP #1 and GP #2), in very good agreement with the value derived from the regression relationship (-8.8 %; intercept of 678 679 the regression line in Figure 3). Therefore, there appears that there is little variation in  $NO_x$  emission sources at our site, and 680 the wide variability in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  is mainly driven by important equilibrium post-emission isotopic effects.

Sampling interval (start – end)	$A^{*(1)}$	$F_{\rm N}^{(2)}$	$f_{\rm NO_2}$	$\Delta^{15}(NO_2-NO_x)^{(3)}_{\ \ /\%_0}$	δ <sup>15</sup> N(NO <sub>x</sub> ) /‰
GP #1					
20/02 13:30 - 20/02 16:30	$0.46 \pm 0.08$	$25.00 \pm 2.27$	$0.74 \pm 0.02$	$6.5\pm0.9$	$-7.2\pm0.9$
24/02 13:30 - 24/02 16:30	$2.09\pm0.39$	$6.90 \pm 1.97$	$0.78 \pm 0.02$	$1.5 \pm 0.4$	$-6.9 \pm 0.6$
Mean	1.27	16.39	0.76	4.1	-7.1
Std dev	1.14	12.58	0.03	3.5	0.2
GP #2					
19/02 21:00 - 20/02 00:30	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$43.06 \pm 0.17$	$0.97 \pm 0.01$	$1.4 \pm 0.1$	$-7.8 \pm 0.3$
20/02 00:30 - 20/02 04:30	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$43.51 \pm 0.18$	$0.98 \pm 0.01$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$-9.7 \pm 0.3$
20/02 04:30 - 20/02 07:30	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$43.78 \pm 0.22$	$0.89 \pm 0.01$	$4.7 \pm 0.4$	$-8.6 \pm 0.5$
20/02 07:30 - 20/02 10:30	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$43.92\pm0.18$	$0.60 \pm 0.02$	$17.6 \pm 1.0$	$-10.4 \pm 1.1$
20/02 10:30 - 20/02 13:30	$0.10 \pm 0.02$	$43.06\pm0.18$	$0.57\pm0.02$	$18.4 \pm 1.0$	$-6.1 \pm 1.0$
20/02 16:30 - 20/02 18:00	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$39.97 \pm 0.18$	$0.69 \pm 0.02$	$12.3 \pm 0.8$	$-5.3 \pm 0.8$
20/02 18:00 - 20/02 21:00	$0.01\pm0.01$	$41.75\pm0.19$	$0.90\pm0.01$	$4.3\pm0.5$	$-7.9\pm0.6$
24/02 07:30 - 24/02 10:30	$0.01\pm0.01$	$43.21\pm0.18$	$0.29\pm0.02$	$30.7\pm0.9$	$-11.9\pm0.9$
24/02 10:30 - 24/02 13:30	$0.07 \pm 0.01$	$41.95\pm0.18$	$0.51 \pm 0.02$	$20.6 \pm 1.0$	$-4.1 \pm 1.1$
24/02 16:30 - 24/02 18:00	$0.16 \pm 0.03$	$39.80 \pm 0.16$	$0.79 \pm 0.02$	$8.4\pm0.6$	$-7.2\pm0.7$
24/02 18:00 - 24/02 21:00	$0.01\pm0.01$	$40.88 \pm 0.18$	$0.82\pm0.02$	$7.2 \pm 0.6$	$-7.3\pm0.6$
24/02 21:00 - 25/02 00:00	$0.03\pm0.02$	$42.20\pm0.19$	$0.95\pm0.01$	$2.1 \pm 0.3$	$-7.3 \pm 0.4$
25/02 00:00 - 25/02 04:00	$0.19\pm0.03$	$42.48 \pm 0.18$	$0.99\pm0.01$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$-10.3\pm0.3$
25/02 04:00 - 25/02 07:30	$0.09\pm0.01$	$42.69 \pm 0.17$	$0.86\pm0.02$	$5.8 \pm 1.0$	$-7.3 \pm 1.0$
Mean	0.06	42.31	0.77	9.6	-7.9
Std dev	0.06	1.32	0.21	9.1	2.0

<sup>(1)</sup> Calculated from  $A^*_{day}$  between 7:30–18:00 LT and from  $A^*_{night}$  between 18:00–07:30 LT ( $A^*_{day}$  and  $A^*_{night}$  expressions are given in Section 2.4.2).

<sup>(2)</sup> Calculated from Eq. (10) for GP 1 and from Eq. (11) for GP 2

<sup>(3)</sup> Calculated from Eq. (9)

**Table 3.** Summary table of data used to estimate the N isotopic fractionation between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>2</sub> at our site ( $\Delta^{15}(NO_2 - \Delta^{15})$ )

683 NO<sub>x</sub>)) and derive NO<sub>x</sub> emissions  $\delta^{15}$ N-fingerprint  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>). The data reported are the mean values for each NO<sub>2</sub> sampling period (mean value ± absolute uncertainty).

# 685 **3.4.2** NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources derived from $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$

To identify the main source of NO<sub>x</sub> that contributes to the calculated  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  values at our site, Figure 4 displays the temporal variation of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  obtained from individual NO<sub>2</sub> samples (dashed horizontal line) and the  $\delta^{15}N$  range for different NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources (coloured bands) such as for coal combustion ((19.5 ± 2.3) ‰ for power plant with selective catalytic reduction technology; Felix et al., 2012; Elliott et al., 2019), fossil gas combustion ((-16.5 ± 1.7) ‰; Walters et al., 2015), and fertilised soils ((-33.8 ± 12.2) ‰; Miller et al., 2018).  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>x</sub> released during biomass combustion is primarily driven by the  $\delta^{15}N$  of the biomass burnt (Fibiger and Hastings, 2016). We estimate an average  $\delta^{15}N$  of biomass combustion NO<sub>x</sub> at (-0.1 ± 1.3) ‰, using the empirical relationship of Chai et al. (2019) (which was derived from combustions of several North American wood species) and an average  $\delta^{15}N$  of biomass at  $(-2.8 \pm 2.0)$  ‰ representative of temperate forests (Martinelli et al., 1999). Regarding road traffic emissions, we have to stress that  $\delta^{15}N$  values reported in the literature are rather variable mainly because N fractionations during the process of NO<sub>x</sub> production can vary depending on the type of fuel used, the type of vehicle, the presence of an emission control system, and the time of commuting (Ammann et al., 1999; Felix and Elliott, 2014; Heaton, 1990; Miller et al., 2017; Walters et al., 2015b; Zong et al., 2020, 2017). We use here the mean vehicle-emitted  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  value given by Song et al. (2022) at (-7.1 ± 4.1) ‰, calculated from 181 measurements reported in the literature.

700

As previously noted, the values of estimated  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3)$  show much less variability than  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ , with no significant 701 702 differences observed between daytime and nighttime values. The values of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  range from -11.0 % to -4.1 %, and 703 despite the associated uncertainty, they are consistent with the  $\delta^{15}$ N range of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from vehicle exhaust. The two 704 sampling periods show similar  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  values with a slight diel variability. The estimated small variation in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ 705 throughout the day can be attributed to the temporal changes in the  $\delta^{15}N$  signature of mobile NO<sub>x</sub> sources. It has been shown that NO<sub>x</sub> emitted by cold engines has a lower  $\delta^{15}$ N signature compared to NO<sub>x</sub> emitted from warm engines (Walters et al., 706 707 2015b). Hence, the early morning drop in  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  could be attributed to the influence of NO<sub>x</sub> emitted from cold engines. As the day progresses, the time of commuting increases and therefore  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  tends to be less negative. Conversely, 708 709 during the night, the slow  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  decline could be due to the replacement of NO<sub>x</sub> from vehicle exhaust by NO<sub>x</sub> emitted 710 by fossil gas combustion, which is commonly used in Chamonix for home heating. Although biomass burning used for home 711 heating would also tend to increase  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  during the day, it is unlikely to contribute more during the day than at night.

712

According to local NO<sub>x</sub> emission inventories (Atmo-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes, 2018; ORCAE, 2022), road transport is responsible of 64 % of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, ahead of heating oil and fossil gas combustion. Despite the consistency between our results and existing inventories, the significant variability in the  $\delta^{15}$ N signature of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from vehicle exhaust precludes a reliable quantitative source apportionment of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from our estimated  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>x</sub>). Furthermore, the lack of information on the exact  $\delta^{15}$ N signature of NO<sub>x</sub> emitted from heating-oil combustion could also contribute to the potential bias of the emission source apportionment.



**Figure 4.** Time evolution of  $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$  (black dashed line) estimated from  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  observations in Chamonix after correction of N fractionation effects (length of horizontal line = sampling period, black shaded area = overall calculation error bar). Coloured shaded areas represent the standard deviation of the mean  $\delta^{15}N$  value of individual NO<sub>x</sub> emission source (coal combustion in red, biomass burning in blue, vehicle exhaust in grey, fossil gas in orange, and soil emissions in green). Grey backdrop shaded areas represent the nighttime (sunset to sunrise).

# 726 **3.4.3** Interpretation of $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$ observations

727  $\delta^{15}$ N(NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-)</sup> also exhibits substantial variability during the day, ranging from -1.3 ‰ to 14.9 ‰ and from -4.2 ‰ to 9.7 ‰ during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively. At night,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  is less variable, with an overall mean of  $(1.4 \pm 1.2)$  ‰ and  $(-1.1 \pm 1.2)$  ‰ and (-1.1728 0.4) % during SP 1 and SP 2, respectively,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-1})$  is within the range of observations reported in urban areas (He et al., 729 730 2020; Zhang et al., 2022a). A similar diurnal pattern was observed in samples collected during a cruise along the Californian coast in spring 2010 (Vicars et al., 2013), and isotopic exchanges between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> during the day were found to be the 731 primary driver of the diel variability. In the previous section, we demonstrated that there is a significant <sup>15</sup>N partitioning 732 between NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and NO<sub>2</sub>, the latter being enriched in  $^{15}$ N compared to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. Interestingly, important 733 enrichments in <sup>15</sup>N are also observed in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. 734

735

As described above, at night during SP 1 and SP 2,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  is close to  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3)$  due to small N fractionation 736 effects. However, between 18:00-7:30 LT, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is enriched in <sup>15</sup>N relative to NO<sub>2</sub> by +6.3 ‰ and +1.4 ‰ in average during 737 SP 1 and SP 2, respectively (Table 4). If we assume that, at night,  $NO_3^-$  is formed mainly by the conversion of surface  $NO_2$ 738 739 via the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway, then the difference between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  ( $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$ ) should reflect the N enrichment factor associated to this oxidation process. It is likely that an isotopic equilibrium is established between NO<sub>2</sub>. 740 NO<sub>3</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, hence affecting the partitioning of  $^{15}$ N between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> produced at night (Walters and Michalski, 741 2016). Neglecting KIE associated with the  $N_2O_5$  pathway and using the expression of the EIE fractionation factor between 742 743 N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> given by Walters and Michalski (2015) (Appendix D) constrained with the mean nighttime temperature at

our site, the isotopic composition of  $NO_3^-$  is expected be enriched in <sup>15</sup>N by about 29 ‰ compared to  $NO_2$ . This estimated 744 745 <sup>15</sup>N enrichment is about three times higher than the observed  $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^{-} - NO_2)$  at our site. As daytime  $NO_3^{-}$  exhibits higher 746  $\delta^{15}$ N values than during the night, it is not possible for daytime residuals at night to account for the lower than predicted 747 fractionation effect between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. These results highlight the importance of improving our understanding of the 748 <sup>15</sup>N fractionation between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> associated with the N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> pathway. This could be achieved in an atmospheric simulation chamber that allows to reproduce individual processes in controlled conditions. The <sup>15</sup>N isotopic enrichment of 749  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$  collected from 7:30 to 18:00 LT shows a very contrasted distribution between SP 1 and SP 2, with a 750 751 respective average  $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$  of -0.4 ‰ and -10.0 ‰ (Table 4). Although subjected to significant uncertainties (Fan et al., 2019), the OH pathway is often associated to a KIE effect of -3 ‰ (Freyer, 1991), which is at odds with our 752 753 observations. Similarly to the  $N_2O_5$  pathway, there is an important need to better estimate the fractionation factor associated 754 with the OH pathway.

755

There are significant differences in  $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^- - NO_2)$  between SP 1 and SP 2, providing further evidence that  $NO_3^$ collected during these two periods has undergone different formation processes and/or originate from different sources of NO<sub>2</sub>. In addition, possible fractionation associated with phase change between HNO<sub>3</sub> and *p*-NO<sub>3</sub> during transport of Saharan dust could influence the  $\delta^{15}N$  of collected NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during SP 2. However, given the lack of knowledge about N fractionation factors between NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and our limited dataset, we cannot conclude whether the changes in the distribution of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopes during SP 2 result from changes in the phase distribution of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> or in NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation processes.

7	60
1	02

		$\delta^{15} \mathrm{N(NO_2)}$ /‰	$\delta^{15} N(NO_3^{-}) / \infty$	$\delta^{15} N(NO_x) / \infty$	$\delta^{15} N(NO_3^ NO_2) / \%$
Daytime	SP 1	$7.4 \pm 4.7$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.0\pm6.7\\ 4.0\pm6.4\end{array}$	$-9.9 \pm 2.9$	-0.4
(7:30-18:00)	SP 2	$14.0 \pm 13.9$		$-10.8 \pm 2.1$	-10.0
Nighttime	SP 1	$-5.1 \pm 2.3$	$1.4 \pm 1.2$	$-9.0 \pm 0.8$	6.3
(18:00-7:30)	SP 2	$-2.5 \pm 4.2$	-1.1 ± 0.4	$-9.9 \pm 1.9$	1.4

**Table 4.** Mean observed  $\delta^{15}N$  data of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$ ), calculated atmospheric  $\delta^{15}N$  of NO<sub>x</sub> ( $\delta^{15}N(NO_x)$ , and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  shift between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  and  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  ( $\Delta^{15}(NO_3^{-} - NO_2)$ ).

## 765 4 Summary and implications

\_

This study reports the first simultaneous measurements and analysis of  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The samplings were

conducted at high temporal resolution (~ 3 h) in Chamonix, French Alps, over two distinct days in late February 2021. The

768 isotopic signals of both NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> show substantial diurnal variabilities which are investigated in the light of local

769 meteorological parameters and atmospheric observations (NO, NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and PM).

770

771 The observed variability of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  can be well explained using  $\Delta^{17}O$  mass balance equations and corroborates the analysis of previous observations carried out in Grenoble, French Alps, over a single day in spring (Albertin et al., 2021). On 772 773 average, the high levels of NO<sub>2</sub> at our site are primarily driven by oxidation of local NO emissions by  $O_3$ . The observed diurnal variability in  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  appears to be consistent with the diurnal variability expected in the NO<sub>x</sub>/O<sub>3</sub>/RO<sub>2</sub> chemistry 774 775 with  $RO_2$  levels of the order of pmol mol<sup>-1</sup> which is in agreement with the range of direct winter  $RO_2$  measurements reported 776 in the literature.  $RO_2$  is thought to contribute significantly to the formation of  $NO_2$  in the early morning under high- $NO_x$ 777 conditions, which is in line with effective morning production of radical species reported in urban areas in winter. At night, 778  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  reflects the nocturnal oxidation of surface NO emissions by O<sub>3</sub>. These results provide additional evidence that 779  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  measurements represent valuable constraints in the study of the reactive NO<sub>x</sub> chemistry, down to the sub-daily 780 temporal scales.

781

A clear linear relationship is found between  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  and the NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio, indicating significant post-emission N fractionation effects. Theoretical N isotopic fractionation factors between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> at equilibrium and fractionation factors derived from the isotopic observations are found to be in good agreement, providing further support for the N isotopic fractionation theoretical framework commonly applied to the Leighton cycle. Observed  $\delta^{15}N(NO_2)$  corrected for N fractionation effects allow to estimate the overall  $\delta^{15}N$  signature of ambient NO<sub>x</sub> at our site. Based on the existing  $\delta^{15}N$ fingerprints of different NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources, the main contribution at our site is very likely to be vehicle exhaust, which is confirmed by local emission inventories.

789

790 We use  $\Delta^{17}O$  mass balance equations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> constrained by observed  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  to assess whether NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> could originate locally from the oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> at our site. During the first day of sampling,  $\Delta^{17}$ O records of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 791 792 support the local oxidation of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> by OH radicals during the day, and via the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> 793 during the night. The second day of sampling was affected by a Saharan dust event, accompanied by notable changes in the 794 isotopic composition of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. We propose that the formation of a surface inversion layer at night could have influenced the 795 vertical distribution of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_2)$  and resulted in a positive gradient of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  with altitude, independently of the local 796 NO<sub>2</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion processes near the surface. In such scenario, the presence of Saharan dust could have promoted 797 heterogeneous NO<sub>2</sub> oxidation leading to higher  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> formed aloft. The latter would have then mixed with the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> 798 formed near the surface when the inversion breaks up during the day. Although still uncertain, the influence of the boundary layer dynamics on the distribution of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> should be investigated in the future, notably for urban areas in winter. 799

800

801 The combined analysis of the first concurrent observations of  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> highlights persistent uncertainties 802 in the current estimates of the N fractionation factors associated with NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion processes. However,  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  records need to be corrected for N fractionation effects if they are to be used to trace back the  $\delta^{15}N$  fingerprint of the primary NO<sub>x</sub> emission sources. Detailed simulation chamber experiments could provide more kinetic data on the various N fractionation processes in order to better exploit  $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^{-})$  records to identify and quantify of the sources of reactive nitrogen.

807

The present thorough investigation of the  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N in NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> highlights (1) the potential to use sub-daily 808 809  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{15}$ N records to trace the sources and formation chemistry of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, (2) the importance of measuring the NO<sub>2</sub> 810 isotopic composition to avoid misinterpretation of  $NO_3^-$  isotopic records, and (3) the persistent knowledge gaps that prevent 811 a complete assessment of the factors driving the variability in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic records. In most studies, the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> isotopic composition is interpreted on the basis of estimates of the isotopic composition of its precursor gases, assuming that both the 812 813 chemistry of NO<sub>2</sub> (including its conversion to  $NO_3^{-}$ ) and N isotopic fractionation effects are known. However, these 814 assumptions are subject to very significant uncertainties, mainly in urban atmospheres. Hence, given the recent development 815 of a method for measuring the multi-isotopic composition of  $NO_2$ , the accuracy and validity of the current interpretation 816 framework of  $NO_3^-$  isotopic records should be tested in various environments. Such investigation can be performed by 817 collecting simultaneously  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$ , as done here. We recommend to use this combined isotopic in order to avoid 818 biased interpretations of  $NO_3^-$  isotopic records, particularly in urban areas during winter, and preferably at high temporal 819 resolution (<24 h). In addition, the vertical distribution of  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$  isotopic composition should be documented in 820 order to explore the possible role of the boundary layer dynamics in the variability of  $NO_2$  and  $NO_3^-$  isotopic composition 821 observed at the surface.

Reactions	Rate constants /cm <sup>3</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup>	References
$NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$	$k_{\rm NO+O_3} = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \exp(-1310(\rm K)/T)$	Atkinson et al. (2004)
$NO + RO_2 \rightarrow NO_2 + RO$	$k_{\rm NO+RO_2} = 2.3 \times 10^{-12} \exp(360(\text{K})//\text{T})$	Atkinson et al. (2006)
$NO_2 + O_3 \xrightarrow{M} NO_3 + O_2$	$k_{\rm NO_2+O_3} = 1.4 \times 10^{-13} \exp(-2470({\rm K})/{\rm T})$	Atkinson et al. (2004)
$^{15}NO_2 + {}^{14}NO \rightarrow {}^{14}NO_2 + {}^{15}NO$	$k_{\rm NO+NO_2} = 8.14 \times 10^{-14}$	Sharma et al. (1970)

824 **Table A1.** Kinetic constants used in this study.

# 825 6 Appendix B: Atmospheric lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>

	$\tau_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}{}^{(1)}$	$\tau_{\rm NO_3}{}^{-}$ (2)	$k_{\rm d(NO_2)}~({\rm s}^{-1})$	$k_{\rm d(NO_3^{-})}$ (s <sup>-1</sup> )
Daytime (7:30–18:00)	5.1 min	27.8 h	$0.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
Nighttime (18:00–07:30)	10.0 h	5.6 h	$2.5 \times 10^{-5}$	$5.0 \times 10^{-5}$

 $^{(1)}$  Atmospheric lifetime relative to photolysis during the day (dry deposition and reaction NO<sub>2</sub> + OH are negligible) and to dry deposition and oxidation via O<sub>3</sub> during the night.

<sup>(2)</sup> Atmospheric lifetime relative to dry deposition

The boundary layer is fixed at 500 m during the day and at 100 m during the night. Dry deposition velocity ( $V_d$ ) is fixed at 0.25 cm s<sup>-1</sup> and 0.50 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, respectively (Holland et al., 1999; Zhang et al., 2009).

Table B1. Mean daytime (07:30–18:00 LT) and nighttime (18:00–07:30 LT) atmospheric lifetime of NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\tau_{NO_2}$ ) and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> ( $\tau_{NO_3}$ -) and dry deposition constant ( $k_d = V_d \times BLH$  where  $V_d$  is the dry deposition velocity and BLH is the boundary layer height).

# 828 7 Appendix C: Schematic of the N reactive cycle and associated $\Delta^{17}$ O transfers and N enrichment factors



- 832 <sup>(3)</sup>Calculated at 298 K (Walters et al., 2016)
- <sup>(4)</sup>Calculated at 298 K (Fang et al., 2021)

**Figure C1.** Adapted from Elliott et al., (2019). Sketch of dominant daytime (thick yellow arrows) and nighttime (thick cyan arrows) NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> conversion processes and associated quantified N fractionation effects at 298 K (thin red arrows and text) and  $\Delta^{17}$ O transfers (yellow and cyan boxes)

#### 837 8 Appendix D: Equilibrium N fractionation factors

$(\alpha_{\rm EIE(X/Y)} - 1) \times$	$1000 = \frac{A}{T^4} \times 1$	$0^{10} + \frac{B}{T^3} \times 10^8$	$+\frac{\mathrm{C}}{T^2} \times 10^6 + \frac{\mathrm{D}}{T}$	$\times 10^4$	
X/Y	А	В	С	D	
NO <sub>2</sub> /NO	3.847	-7.680	6.003	-0.118	
$N_2O_5/NO_2$	1.004	-2.525	2.718	0.135	
$(\alpha_{\text{KIE}(X+Y)} - 1) \times 1000 = A \times \exp(B/T)$					
X + Y	А	В			
$NO + O_3$	0.982	3.352			

838

829 830

831

839 Table D1. Calculated regression coefficients for the N isotope exchange between NO<sub>2</sub>/NO and N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> over the temperature range of

150 to 450 K (Walters and Michalski, 2015) and for the N kinetic fractionation for the reaction NO +  $O_3$  over the temperature range of 220 to 320 K (Fang et al., 2021).

## 842 9 Data availability

843 Data presented in this article are included in the Supplement.

#### 844 10 Author contributions.

Grants obtained by KL and JS funded the project. AB, RB, QF, and IV performed the calibration of IBBCEAS and OFCEAS instruments, data acquisition and post-processing. SA conducted the sampling and laboratory analysis. NC provided technical support for isotopic mass spectrometry analysis. The study was designed as part of SA's PhD thesis supervised by SB and JS. The paper was written by SA under the supervision of JS and SB and contributions from all co-authors.

### 849 11 Competing interests.

850 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### 851 12 Acknowledgements.

852 This work benefited from CNRS and IGE infrastructures and laboratory platforms. The authors acknowledge the support of 853 the CASPA program (Climate-relevant Aerosol Sources and Processes in the Arctic). The authors gratefully acknowledge 854 Catherine Coulaud for providing temperature data in Chamonix, Jean-Luc Jaffrezo for providing data of previous filter 855 measurements in Chamonix, and Anthony Lemoine, Matthieu Lafaysse and Louis Le Toumelin for providing S2M 856 reanalysis and meteorological data. The authors particularly thanks Patrick Ginot, Anthony Vella, Armelle Crouzet, and 857 Bruno Jourdain for instrumental and laboratory technical support. Finally, the authors thank Alexis Lamothe for assistance 858 during the sampling campaign and Pete Akers for his thorough proofreading of the manuscript and his constructive 859 comments.

### 860 13 Financial support.

This research has been supported by the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) via contract ANR-21-CE01-0017 CASPA
and INSU-CNRS (National Institute of Sciences of the Universe) via its national LEFE program (Les Enveloppes Fluides et
l'Environnement) and by a grant from Labex OSUG@2020 (Investissements d'avenir – ANR10 LABX56) and IDEX-UGA
ANR project ANR-15-IDEX-02.

# 866 14 References

Albertin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Barbero, A., and Caillon, N.: Measurement report: Nitrogen isotopes ( $\delta^{15}$ N) and first quantification of oxygen isotope anomalies ( $\Delta^{17}$ O,  $\delta^{18}$ O) in atmospheric nitrogen dioxide, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21, 10477–10497, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-10477-2021, 2021.

Alexander, B., Hastings, M. G., Allman, D. J., Dachs, J., Thornton, J. A., and Kunasek, S. A.: Quantifying atmospheric nitrate formation pathways based on a global model of the oxygen isotopic composition ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of atmospheric nitrate, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 5043–5056, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-5043-2009, 2009.

Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Holmes, C. D., Fisher, J. A., Chen, Q., Evans, M. J., and Kasibhatla, P.: Global inorganic nitrate
 production mechanisms: comparison of a global model with nitrate isotope observations, Atmospheric Chemistry and
 Physics, 20, 3859–3877, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-3859-2020, 2020.

Alicke, B., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Pätz, H. W., Schäfer, J., Stutz, J., Volz-Thomas, A., and
Platt, U.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ experiment, Journal of Geophysical Research:
Atmospheres, 108, PHO 3-1-PHO 3-17, https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000579, 2003.

Allard, J.: Qualité de l'air dans la Vallée de l'Arve : météorologie locale et mesures des réductions des émissions liées au
 chauffage au bois, PhD Thesis, Université Grenoble Alpes, 2018.

Ammann, M., Siegwolf, R., Pichlmayer, F., Suter, M., Saurer, M., and Brunold, C.: Estimating the uptake of trafic-derived
 NO<sub>2</sub> from <sup>15</sup>N abundance in Norway spruce needles, Oecologia, 118, 124–131, https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050710,
 1999.

Angelisi, M. D. and Gaudichet, A.: Saharan dust deposition over Mont Blanc (French Alps) during the last 30 years, Tellus
B, 43, 61–75, https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1991.00005.x, 1991.

Appel, B. R., Wall, S. M., Tokiwa, Y., and Haik, M.: Simultaneous nitric acid, particulate nitrate and acidity measurements
in ambient air, Atmospheric Environment, 14, 549–554, https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(80)90084-0, 1980.

Appel, B. R., Tokiwa, Y., and Haik, M.: Sampling of nitrates in ambient air, Atmospheric Environment, 15, 283–289,
https://doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(81)90029-9, 1981.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe,
J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I - gas phase reactions of O<sub>x</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and
SO<sub>x</sub> species, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 4, 1461–1738, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J.,
and IUPAC Subcommittee: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II - gas phase
reactions of organic species, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 3625–4055, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3625-2006,
2006.

Atmo-Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes: Bilan des connaissances sur la qualité de l'air dans la vallée de l'Arve, Atmo-AuvergneRhône-Alpes, https://www.atmo-auvergnerhonealpes.fr/publications/bilan-des-connaissances-sur-la-qualite-de-lair-dans-lavallee-de-larve, 2018.

Aumont, B., Chervier, F., and Laval, S.: Contribution of HONO sources to the NOx/HOx/O3 chemistry in the polluted
 boundary layer, Atmospheric Environment, 37, 487–498, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(02)00920-2, 2003.

Aymoz, G., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Jacob, V., Colomb, A., and George, C.: Evolution of organic and inorganic components of
aerosol during a Saharan dust episode observed in the French Alps, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 4, 2499–2512,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-4-2499-2004, 2004.

Aymoz, G., Jaffrezo, J. L., Chapuis, D., Cozic, J., and Maenhaut, W.: Seasonal variation of PM<sub>10</sub> main constituents in two
 valleys of the French Alps. I: EC/OC fractions, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 661–675,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-661-2007, 2007.

Barbero, A., Blouzon, C., Savarino, J., Caillon, N., Dommergue, A., and Grilli, R.: A compact incoherent broadband cavityenhanced absorption spectrometer for trace detection of nitrogen oxides, iodine oxide and glyoxal at levels below parts per
billion for field applications, Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 13, 4317–4331, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-13-43172020, 2020.

Barkan, E. and Luz, B.: High-precision measurements of <sup>17</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O and <sup>18</sup>O/<sup>16</sup>O of O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>/Ar ratio in air, Rapid Commun.
Mass Spectrom., 17, 2809–2814, https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1267, 2003.

Bauer, S. E., Koch, D., Unger, N., Metzger, S. M., Shindell, D. T., and Streets, D. G.: Nitrate aerosols today and in 2030: a
global simulation including aerosols and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5043–5059,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5043-2007, 2007.

Bekker, C., Walters, W. W., Murray, L. T., and Hastings, M. G.: Nitrate chemistry in the northeast US – Part 1: Nitrogen
isotope seasonality tracks nitrate formation chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 4185–4201,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-4185-2023, 2023.

Berhanu, T. A., Savarino, J., Bhattacharya, S. K., and Vicars, W. C.: <sup>17</sup>O excess transfer during the NO<sub>2</sub> + O<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  NO<sub>3</sub> + O<sub>2</sub> reaction, The Journal of Chemical Physics, 136, 044311, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3666852, 2012.

Brown, S. S.: Variability in Nocturnal Nitrogen Oxide Processing and Its Role in Regional Air Quality, Science, 311, 67–70,
 https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1120120, 2006.

- 924 Brown, S. S. and Stutz, J.: Nighttime radical observations and chemistry, Chem Soc Rev, 41, 6405–6447, 925 https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cs35181a, 2012.
- Brown, S. S., Dubé, W. P., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Atlas, E., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., te Lintel Hekkert, S., Brock,
  C. A., Flocke, F., Trainer, M., Parrish, D. D., Feshenfeld, F. C., and Ravishankara, A. R.: Budgets for nocturnal VOC
  oxidation by nitrate radicals aloft during the 2006 Texas Air Quality Study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,
  116, https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD016544, 2011.
- Brulfert, G., Chemel, C., Chaxel, E., and Chollet, J. P.: Modelling photochemistry in alpine valleys, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-5-2341-2005, 2005.
- Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Böhlke, J. K., and Hilkert, A.: Measurement of the oxygen isotopic
  composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, Analytical Chemistry, 74, 4905–4912,
  https://doi.org/10.1021/ac020113w, 2002.

Chan, Y.-C., Evans, M. J., He, P., Holmes, C. D., Jaeglé, L., Kasibhatla, P., Liu, X.-Y., Sherwen, T., Thornton, J. A., Wang,
X., Xie, Z., Zhai, S., and Alexander, B.: Heterogeneous Nitrate Production Mechanisms in Intense Haze Events in the North
China Plain, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126, e2021JD034688, https://doi.org/10.1029/2021JD034688,
2021.

- 939 Chang, Y., Zhang, Y., Tian, C., Zhang, S., Ma, X., Cao, F., Liu, X., Zhang, W., Kuhn, T., and Lehmann, M. F.: Nitrogen
- isotope fractionation during gas-to-particle conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> to NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the atmosphere implications for isotope-based NO<sub>x</sub> source apportionment, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 11647–11661, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-11647-2018, 2018.

Chazette, P., Couvert, P., Randriamiarisoa, H., Sanak, J., Bonsang, B., Moral, P., Berthier, S., Salanave, S., and Toussaint,
F.: Three-dimensional survey of pollution during winter in French Alps valleys, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 1035–1047,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.10.014, 2005.

Crutzen, P. J.: The Role of NO and NO<sub>2</sub> in the Chemistry of the Troposphere and Stratosphere, Annu. Rev. Earth Planet.
Sci., 7, 443–472, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ea.07.050179.002303, 1979.

948 Delmas. R. J.: Snow of high altitude glaciers French Alps, 304. chemistry in the 46. 949 https://doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v46i4.15806, 1994.

Dentener, F. J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Reaction of N2O5 on tropospheric aerosols: Impact on the global distributions of NO x ,
O3, and OH, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 98, 7149–7163, https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD02979, 1993.

Di Mauro, B., Garzonio, R., Rossini, M., Filippa, G., Pogliotti, P., Galvagno, M., Morra di Cella, U., Migliavacca, M.,
Baccolo, G., Clemenza, M., Delmonte, B., Maggi, V., Dumont, M., Tuzet, F., Lafaysse, M., Morin, S., Cremonese, E., and
Colombo, R.: Saharan dust events in the European Alps: role in snowmelt and geochemical characterization, The
Cryosphere, 13, 1147–1165, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-13-1147-2019, 2019.

Dubey, M. K., Mohrschladt, R., Donahue, N. M., and Anderson, J. G.: Isotope Specific Kinetics of Hydroxyl Radical (OH)
with Water (H<sub>2</sub>O): Testing Models of Reactivity and Atmospheric Fractionation, J. Phys. Chem. A, 101, 1494–1500,
https://doi.org/10.1021/jp962332p, 1997.

Edwards, P. M., Brown, S. S., Roberts, J. M., Ahmadov, R., Banta, R. M., deGouw, J. A., Dubé, W. P., Field, R. A., Flynn,
J. H., Gilman, J. B., Graus, M., Helmig, D., Koss, A., Langford, A. O., Lefer, B. L., Lerner, B. M., Li, R., Li, S.-M.,
McKeen, S. A., Murphy, S. M., Parrish, D. D., Senff, C. J., Soltis, J., Stutz, J., Sweeney, C., Thompson, C. R., Trainer, M.
K., Tsai, C., Veres, P. R., Washenfelder, R. A., Warneke, C., Wild, R. J., Young, C. J., Yuan, B., and Zamora, R.: High
winter ozone pollution from carbonyl photolysis in an oil and gas basin, Nature, 514, 351–354,
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13767, 2014.

Elliott, E. M., Yu, Z., Cole, A. S., and Coughlin, J. G.: Isotopic advances in understanding reactive nitrogen deposition and
atmospheric processing, Science of The Total Environment, 662, 393–403, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.177,
2019.

Emmerson, K. M., Carslaw, N., Carpenter, L. J., Heard, D. E., Lee, J. D., and Pilling, M. J.: Urban Atmospheric Chemistry
During the PUMA Campaign 1: Comparison of Modelled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations with Measurements, J Atmos Chem,
52, 143–164, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-005-1322-3, 2005.

971 Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Lin, Y.-C., Chang, Y.-H., Cao, F., Zhang, W.-Q., Hu, Y.-B., Bao, M.-Y., Liu, X.-Y., Zhai, X.-Y.,

- Lin, X., Zhao, Z.-Y., and Song, W.-H.: Isotope-based source apportionment of nitrogen-containing aerosols: A case study in
   an industrial city in China, Atmospheric Environment, 212, 96–105, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.05.020, 2019.
- 974 Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, Y.-L., Lin, Y.-C., Hong, Y., Zhao, Z.-Y., Xie, F., Du, W., Cao, F., Sun, Y., and Fu, P.: Important Role of
- 975 NO<sub>3</sub> Radical to Nitrate Formation Aloft in Urban Beijing: Insights from Triple Oxygen Isotopes Measured at the Tower,
- 976 Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 6870–6879, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.1c02843, 2022.

Fan, M.-Y., Zhang, W., Zhang, Y.-L., Li, J., Fang, H., Cao, F., Yan, M., Hong, Y., Guo, H., and Michalski, G.: Formation
Mechanisms and Source Apportionments of Nitrate Aerosols in a Megacity of Eastern China Based On Multiple Isotope
Observations, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 128, e2022JD038129, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD038129,
2023.

Fang, H., Walters, W. W., Mase, D., and Michalski, G.: i<sub>N</sub>RACM: incorporating <sup>15</sup>N into the Regional Atmospheric
Chemistry Mechanism (RACM) for assessing the role photochemistry plays in controlling the isotopic composition of NO<sub>x</sub>,
NO<sub>y</sub>, and atmospheric nitrate, Geoscientific Model Development, 14, 5001–5022, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-14-50012021, 2021.

Felix, J. D. and Elliott, E. M.: Isotopic composition of passively collected nitrogen dioxide emissions: Vehicle, soil and livestock source signatures, Atmospheric Environment, 92, 359–366, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.04.005, 2014.

Felix, J. D., Elliott, E. M., and Shaw, S. L.: Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of Coal-Fired Power Plant NO<sub>x</sub>: Influence of
Emission Controls and Implications for Global Emission Inventories, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 3528–3535,
https://doi.org/10.1021/es203355v, 2012.

Fibiger, D. L. and Hastings, M. G.: First Measurements of the Nitrogen Isotopic Composition of NO<sub>x</sub> from Biomass
 Burning, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 11569–11574, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03510, 2016.

992 Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts, J. N.: Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere, Elsevier, 993 https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012257060-5/50003-4, 2000.

Frey, M. M., Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., and Martins, J. M. F.: Photolysis imprint in the nitrate stable isotope signal
in snow and atmosphere of East Antarctica and implications for reactive nitrogen cycling, Atmospheric Chemistry and
Physics, 9, 8681–8696, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-8681-2009, 2009.

997 Freyer, H. D.: Seasonal variation of <sup>15</sup>N/<sup>14</sup>N ratios in atmospheric nitrate species, Tellus B, 43, 30–44, 998 https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1991.00003.x, 1991.

999 Freyer, H. D., Kley, D., Volz-Thomas, A., and Kobel, K.: On the interaction of isotopic exchange processes with 1000 photochemical reactions in atmospheric oxides of nitrogen, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 98, 14791– 1001 14796, https://doi.org/10.1029/93JD00874, 1993.

Fu, X., Wang, T., Gao, J., Wang, P., Liu, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, B., and Xue, L.: Persistent Heavy Winter Nitrate Pollution
Driven by Increased Photochemical Oxidants in Northern China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 54, 3881–3889,
https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b07248, 2020.

Galeazzo, T., Bekki, S., Martin, E., Savarino, J., and Arnold, S. R.: Photochemical box modelling of volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> oxidation:
isotopic constraints, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 17909–17931, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-17909-2018,
2018.

Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P.,
and Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the Nitrogen Cycle: Recent Trends, Questions, and Potential Solutions, Science, 320,
889–892, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1136674, 2008.

1011 Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Ancellet, G., Barret, B., Boynard, A., Burrows, J. P., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Cuesta, J.,

- 1012 Cuevas, E., Doniki, S., Dufour, G., Ebojie, F., Foret, G., Garcia, O., Granados-Muñoz, M. J., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F.,
- 1013 Hassler, B., Huang, G., Hurtmans, D., Jaffe, D., Jones, N., Kalabokas, P., Kerridge, B., Kulawik, S., Latter, B., Leblanc, T.,
- 1014 Le Flochmoën, E., Lin, W., Liu, J., Liu, X., Mahieu, E., McClure-Begley, A., Neu, J. L., Osman, M., Palm, M., Petetin, H.,

- 1015 Petropavlovskikh, I., Querel, R., Rahpoe, N., Rozanov, A., Schultz, M. G., Schwab, J., Siddans, R., Smale, D., Steinbacher,
- 1016 M., Tanimoto, H., Tarasick, D. W., Thouret, V., Thompson, A. M., Trickl, T., Weatherhead, E., Wespes, C., Worden, H. M., 1017 Vigouroux, C., Xu, X., Zeng, G., and Ziemke, J.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Present-day distribution and
- 1017 Viguuloux, C., Au, A., Zeng, G., and Zienke, J.: Tropospieric Ozone Assessment Report. Present-uay distribution and
- 1018 trends of tropospheric ozone relevant to climate and global atmospheric chemistry model evaluation, Elementa: Science of
- 1019 the Anthropocene, 6, https://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.291, 2018.
- Geng, L., Alexander, B., Cole-Dai, J., Steig, E. J., Savarino, J., Sofen, E. D., and Schauer, A. J.: Nitrogen isotopes in ice core
  nitrate linked to anthropogenic atmospheric acidity change, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 111, 5808–5812,
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319441111, 2014.
- Goudie, A. S. and Middleton, N. J.: Saharan dust storms: nature and consequences, Earth-Science Reviews, 56, 179–204,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/S0012-8252(01)00067-8, 2001.
- Grannas, A. M., Jones, A. E., Dibb, J., Ammann, M., Anastasio, C., Beine, H. J., Bergin, M., Bottenheim, J., Boxe, C. S.,
  Carver, G., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H., Dominé, F., Frey, M. M., Guzmán, M. I., Heard, D. E., Helmig, D., Hoffmann, M. R.,
  Honrath, R. E., Huey, L. G., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H. W., Klán, P., Lefer, B., McConnell, J., Plane, J., Sander, R., Savarino,
  J., Shepson, P. B., Simpson, W. R., Sodeau, J. R., von Glasow, R., Weller, R., Wolff, E. W., and Zhu, T.: An overview of
  snow photochemistry: evidence, mechanisms and impacts, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7, 4329–4373,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4329-2007, 2007.
- Greilinger, M., Schauer, G., Baumann-Stanzer, K., Skomorowski, P., Schöner, W., and Kasper-Giebl, A.: Contribution of
   Saharan Dust to Ion Deposition Loads of High Alpine Snow Packs in Austria (1987–2017), Frontiers in Earth Science, 6,
   2018.
- Gu, P., Dallmann, T. R., Li, H. Z., Tan, Y., and Presto, A. A.: Quantifying Urban Spatial Variations of Anthropogenic VOC
   Concentrations and Source Contributions with a Mobile Sampling Platform, Int J Environ Res Public Health, 16,
   https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16091632, 2019.
- 1037 He, P., Xie, Z., Chi, X., Yu, X., Fan, S., Kang, H., Liu, C., and Zhan, H.: Atmospheric  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-})$  reveals nocturnal 1038 chemistry dominates nitrate production in Beijing haze, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 14465–14476, 1039 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14465-2018, 2018.
- He, P., Xie, Z., Yu, X., Wang, L., Kang, H., and Yue, F.: The observation of isotopic compositions of atmospheric nitrate in
  Shanghai China and its implication for reactive nitrogen chemistry, Science of The Total Environment, 714, 136727,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136727, 2020.
- 1043 Heaton, T. H. E.:  ${}^{15}N/{}^{14}N$  ratios of NO<sub>x</sub> from vehicle engines and coal-fired power stations, Tellus B, 42, 304–307, 1044 https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0889.1990.00007.x-i1, 1990.
- Hoesly, R. M., Smith, S. J., Feng, L., Klimont, Z., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Pitkanen, T., Seibert, J. J., Vu, L., Andres, R. J.,
  Bolt, R. M., Bond, T. C., Dawidowski, L., Kholod, N., Kurokawa, J., Li, M., Liu, L., Lu, Z., Moura, M. C. P., O'Rourke, P.
  R., and Zhang, Q.: Historical (1750–2014) anthropogenic emissions of reactive gases and aerosols from the Community
  Emissions Data System (CEDS), Geoscientific Model Development, 11, 369–408, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-11-3692018, 2018.
- 1050 Holland, E. A., Dentener, F. J., Braswell, B. H., and Sulzman, J. M.: Contemporary and pre-industrial global reactive 1051 nitrogen budgets, Biogeochemistry, 46, 7–43, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006148011944, 1999.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M.,
  Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M., Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M.,

Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S.
H.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514, 218–222, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13774, 2014.

1057 Kaiser, J., Hastings, M. G., Houlton, B. Z., Röckmann, T., and Sigman, D. M.: Triple oxygen isotope analysis of nitrate 1058 using the denitrifier method and thermal decomposition of  $N_2O$ , Anal. Chem.. 79. 599-607. 1059 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac061022s, 2007.

Kanaya, Y., Cao, R., Akimoto, H., Fukuda, M., Komazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y., Koike, M., Tanimoto, H., Takegawa, N., and
Kondo, Y.: Urban photochemistry in central Tokyo: 1. Observed and modeled OH and HO<sub>2</sub> radical concentrations during the
winter and summer of 2004, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 112, https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008670,
2007.

Karydis, V. A., Tsimpidi, A. P., Pozzer, A., Astitha, M., and Lelieveld, J.: Effects of mineral dust on global atmospheric nitrate concentrations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 1491–1509, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1491-2016, 2016.

- Kim, H., Walters, W. W., Bekker, C., Murray, L. T., and Hastings, M. G.: Nitrate chemistry in the northeast US Part 2:
  Oxygen isotopes reveal differences in particulate and gas-phase formation, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 23, 4203–
  4219, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-23-4203-2023, 2023.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., and Littlejohn, D.: Measurement of Nitrous Acid in Motor Vehicle Exhaust, Environ. Sci.
   Technol., 30, 2843–2849, https://doi.org/10.1021/es960135y, 1996.

Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lörzer, J. C., Spittler, M., Wiesen, P., Ackermann, R., Geyer,
A., and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and heterogeneous formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, Atmospheric
Environment, 35, 3385–3394, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00138-8, 2001.

- 1075 Largeron, Y. and Staquet, C.: Persistent inversion dynamics and wintertime  $PM_{10}$  air pollution in Alpine valleys, 1076 Atmospheric Environment, 135, 92–108, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2016.03.045, 2016.
- 1077 Leighton, P. A.: Photochemistry of Air Pollution., 66, 1961.

1078 Li, J., Zhang, X., Orlando, J., Tyndall, G., and Michalski, G.: Quantifying the nitrogen isotope effects during photochemical 1079 equilibrium between NO and NO<sub>2</sub>: implications for  $\delta^{15}$ N in tropospheric reactive nitrogen, Atmospheric Chemistry and 1080 Physics, 20, 9805–9819, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-9805-2020, 2020.

Li, J., Davy, P., Harvey, M., Katzman, T., Mitchell, T., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotopes in nitrate aerosols collected in the remote marine boundary layer: Implications for nitrogen isotopic fractionations among atmospheric reactive nitrogen species, Atmospheric Environment, 245, 118028, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.118028, 2021a.

1084 Li, K., Jacob, D. J., Liao, H., Qiu, Y., Shen, L., Zhai, S., Bates, K. H., Sulprizio, M. P., Song, S., Lu, X., Zhang, Q., Zheng, 1085 B., Zhang, Y., Zhang, J., Lee, H. C., and Kuk, S. K.: Ozone pollution in the North China Plain spreading into the late-winter 1086 season, haze Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 118, e2015797118, 1087 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2015797118, 2021b.

Li, W., Ni, B. L., Jin, D. Q., and Zhang, Q. G.: Measurement of the absolute abundance of Oxygen-17 in SMOW, Kexue
Tongboa, Chinese Science Bulletin, 33 (19), 1610–1613, https://doi.org/10.1360/sb1988-33-19-1610, 1988.

Li, Y., Shi, G., Chen, Z., Lan, M., Ding, M., Li, Z., and Hastings, M. G.: Significant Latitudinal Gradient of Nitrate
Production in the Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layer of the Northern Hemisphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 49,
e2022GL100503, https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL100503, 2022a.

Li, Z., Walters, W. W., Hastings, M. G., Song, L., Huang, S., Zhu, F., Liu, D., Shi, G., Li, Y., and Fang, Y.: Atmospheric
nitrate formation pathways in urban and rural atmosphere of Northeast China: Implications for complicated anthropogenic
effects, Environmental Pollution, 296, 118752, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118752, 2022b.

1096 Lim, S., Lee, M., Savarino, J., and Laj, P.: Oxidation pathways and emission sources of atmospheric particulate nitrate in 1097 Seoul: based on  $\delta^{15}$ N and  $\Delta^{17}$ O measurements, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 22, 5099–5115, 1098 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-5099-2022, 2022.

Liu, J., Liu, Z., Ma, Z., Yang, S., Yao, D., Zhao, S., Hu, B., Tang, G., Sun, J., Cheng, M., Xu, Z., and Wang, Y.: Detailed
budget analysis of HONO in Beijing, China: Implication on atmosphere oxidation capacity in polluted megacity,
Atmospheric Environment, 244, 117957, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.117957, 2021.

Liu, Z., Hu, K., Zhang, K., Zhu, S., Wang, M., and Li, L.: VOCs sources and roles in O3 formation in the central Yangtze
River Delta region of China, Atmospheric Environment, 302, 119755, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119755,
2023.

Luo, L., Wu, S., Zhang, R., Wu, Y., Li, J., and Kao, S.: What controls aerosol δ15N-NO3-? NOx emission sources vs.
nitrogen isotope fractionation, Science of The Total Environment, 871, 162185,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162185, 2023.

Mariotti, A.: Natural <sup>15</sup>N abundance measurements and atmospheric nitrogen standard calibration, Nature, 311, 251–252, https://doi.org/10.1038/311251a0, 1984.

1110 Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, A., Pirani, A., Connors, S. L., Péan, C., Berger, S., Caud, N., Chen, Y., Goldfarb, L., Gomis, M.

1111 I., Huang, M., Leitzell, K., Lonnoy, E., Matthews, J. B. R., Maycock, T. K., Waterfield, T., Yelekçi, O., Yu, R., and Zhou, 1112 B.: In Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report

1112 B. In Chinate Change 2021. The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Sixth Assessment Report 1113 of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New

1114 York, NY, USA, 147–286, https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009157896.003, 2021.

Mayer, H.: Air pollution in cities, Atmospheric Environment, 33, 4029–4037, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00144 2, 1999.

McIlvin, M. R. and Altabet, M. A.: Chemical Conversion of Nitrate and Nitrite to Nitrous Oxide for Nitrogen and Oxygen
Isotopic Analysis in Freshwater and Seawater, Analytical Chemistry, 77, 5589–5595, https://doi.org/10.1021/ac050528s,
2005.

1120 Michalski, G., Scott, Z., Kabiling, M., and Thiemens, M. H.: First measurements and modeling of  $\Delta^{17}$ O in atmospheric 1121 nitrate., Geophysical Research Letters, 30, 1870, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL017015, 2003.

1122 Michalski, G., Bhattacharya, S. K., and Girsch, G.: NO<sub>x</sub> cycle and the tropospheric ozone isotope anomaly: an experimental 1123 investigation, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 4935–4953, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-4935-2014, 2014.

Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F., Colomb, A., Afif, C., Borbon, A., Camredon, M., Aumont, B., Legrand, M., and Beekmann,
M.: Strong HONO formation in a suburban site during snowy days, Atmospheric Environment, 116, 155–158,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.06.040, 2015.

- Miller, C. E. and Yung, Y. L.: Photo-induced isotopic fractionation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 105,
  29039–29051, https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900388, 2000.
- 1129 Miller, D. J., Wojtal, P. K., Clark, S. C., and Hastings, M. G.: Vehicle  $NO_x$  emission plume isotopic signatures: Spatial 1130 variability across the eastern United States, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122, 4698–4717, 1131 https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025877, 2017.

Miller, D. J., Chai, J., Guo, F., Dell, C. J., Karsten, H., and Hastings, M. G.: Isotopic Composition of In Situ Soil NO<sub>x</sub>
Emissions in Manure-Fertilized Cropland, Geophysical Research Letters, 45, 12,058-12,066,
https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079619, 2018.

1135 Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Cavender, A., Shepson, P. B., and Bottenheim, J. W.: Major influence of BrO on the NO<sub>3</sub> 1136 and nitrate budgets in the Arctic spring, inferred from  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^{-1})$  measurements during ozone depletion events, Environ. 1137 Chem., 4, 238, https://doi.org/10.1071/EN07003, 2007a.

1138 Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Gong, S., and Bottenheim, J. W.: Signature of Arctic surface ozone depletion events in the 1139 isotope anomaly ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of atmospheric nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1451–1469, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-1451-1140 2007, 2007b.

Morin, S., Savarino, J., Frey, M. M., Domine, F., Jacobi, H.-W., Kaleschke, L., and Martins, J. M. F.: Comprehensive isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in the Atlantic Ocean boundary layer from 65°S to 79°N, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010696, 2009.

1144 Morin, S., Sander, R., and Savarino, J.: Simulation of the diurnal variations of the oxygen isotope anomaly ( $\Delta^{17}$ O) of reactive 1145 atmospheric species, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 3653–3671, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3653-2011, 2011.

Newsome, B. and Evans, M.: Impact of uncertainties in inorganic chemical rate constants on tropospheric composition and
 ozone radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 14333–14352, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14333-2017, 2017.

Olofson, K. F. G., Andersson, P. U., Hallquist, M., Ljungström, E., Tang, L., Chen, D., and Pettersson, J. B. C.: Urban
aerosol evolution and particle formation during wintertime temperature inversions, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 340–346,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.09.080, 2009.

- ORCAE: Rapport des profils climat air énergie de la communauté de communes de la Vallée de Chamonix-Mont-Blanc,
   https://www.orcae-auvergne-rhone-alpes.fr/, 2022.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 1155 Atmospheres, 109, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004473, 2004.

Patris, N., Cliff, S., Quinn, P., Kasem, M., and Thiemens, M.: Isotopic analysis of aerosol sulfate and nitrate during ITCT2k2: Determination of different formation pathways as a function of particle size, Journal of Geophysical Research, 112,
https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006214, 2007.

1159 Penkett, S. A., Burgess, R. A., Coe, H., Coll, I., Hov, Ø., Lindskog, A., Schmidbauer, N., Solberg, S., Roemer, M., Thijsse, 1160 T., Beck, J., and Reeves, C. E.: Evidence for large average concentrations of the nitrate radical ( $NO_3$ ) in Western Europe 1161 from the HANSA hydrocarbon database. Atmospheric Environment, 41. 3465-3478, 1162 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.055, 2007.

- Prabhakar, G., Parworth, C. L., Zhang, X., Kim, H., Young, D. E., Beyersdorf, A. J., Ziemba, L. D., Nowak, J. B., Bertram,
  T. H., Faloona, I. C., Zhang, Q., and Cappa, C. D.: Observational assessment of the role of nocturnal residual-layer
  chemistry in determining daytime surface particulate nitrate concentrations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17, 14747–
  14770, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14747-2017, 2017.
- Prospero, J. M. and Savoie, D. L.: Effect of continental sources on nitrate concentrations over the Pacific Ocean, Nature,
  339, 687–689, https://doi.org/10.1038/339687a0, 1989.
- Pugh, T. A. M., Cain, M., Methven, J., Wild, O., Arnold, S. R., Real, E., Law, K. S., Emmerson, K. M., Owen, S. M., Pyle,
  J. A., Hewitt, C. N., and MacKenzie, A. R.: A Lagrangian model of air-mass photochemistry and mixing using a trajectory
  ensemble: the Cambridge Tropospheric Trajectory model of Chemistry And Transport (CiTTyCAT) version 4.2, Geosci.
  Model Dev., 5, 193–221, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-193-2012, 2012.
- Quimbayo-Duarte, J., Chemel, C., Staquet, C., Troude, F., and Arduini, G.: Drivers of severe air pollution events in a deep
  valley during wintertime: A case study from the Arve river valley, France, Atmospheric Environment, 247, 118030,
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2020.118030, 2021.
- 1176 Ren, J., Guo, F., and Xie, S.: Diagnosing ozone–NO  $_x$  –VOC sensitivity and revealing causes of ozone increases in China 1177 based on 2013–2021 satellite retrievals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 15035–15047, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-15035-2022, 1178 2022.
- 1179 Ren, X., Brune, W. H., Mao, J., Mitchell, M. J., Lesher, R. L., Simpas, J. B., Metcalf, A. R., Schwab, J. J., Cai, C., Li, Y., 1180 Demerjian, K. L., Felton, H. D., Boynton, G., Adams, A., Perry, J., He, Y., Zhou, X., and Hou, J.: Behavior of OH and HO<sub>2</sub> 1181 York in the winter atmosphere in New City. Atmospheric Environment. 40. 252 - 263.1182 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.073, 2006.
- 1183 Richard, L., Romanini, D., and Ventrillard, I.: Nitric Oxide Analysis Down to ppt Levels by Optical-Feedback Cavity-1184 Enhanced Absorption Spectroscopy, Sensors, 18, 1997, https://doi.org/10.3390/s18071997, 2018.
- 1185 Savard, M. M., Cole, A. S., Vet, R., and Smirnoff, A.: The  $\Delta^{17}$ O and  $\delta^{18}$ O values of atmospheric nitrates simultaneously 1186 collected downwind of anthropogenic sources – implications for polluted air masses, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 1187 18, 10373–10389, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-10373-2018, 2018.
- 1188 Savarino, J., Kaiser, J., Morin, S., Sigman, D. M., and Thiemens, M. H.: Nitrogen and oxygen isotopic constraints on the 1189 origin of atmospheric nitrate in coastal Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21, 2007.
- 1190 Savarino, J., Bhattacharya, S. K., Morin, S., Baroni, M., and Doussin, J.-F.: The  $NO+O_3$  reaction: A triple oxygen isotope 1191 perspective on the reaction dynamics and atmospheric implications for the transfer of the ozone isotope anomaly, J. Chem.
- 1192 Phys., 128, 194303, https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2917581, 2008.
- Savarino, J., Morin, S., Erbland, J., Grannec, F., Patey, M. D., Vicars, W., Alexander, B., and Achterberg, E. P.: Isotopic
  composition of atmospheric nitrate in a tropical marine boundary layer, PNAS, 110, 17668–17673,
  https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1216639110, 2013.
- Savarino, J., Vicars, W. C., Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., Jourdain, B., Frey, M. M., Kukui, A., Caillon, N., and Roca, J. G.:
  Oxygen isotope mass balance of atmospheric nitrate at Dome C, East Antarctica, during the OPALE campaign, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 16, 2016.
- Schaap, M., Müller, K., and ten Brink, H. M.: Constructing the European aerosol nitrate concentration field from quality
  analysed data, Atmospheric Environment, 36, 1323–1335, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00556-8, 2002.

Schwikowski, M., Seibert, P., Baltensperger, U., and Gaggeler, H. W.: A study of an outstanding Saharan dust event at the
high-alpine site Jungfraujoch, Switzerland, Atmospheric Environment, 29, 1829–1842, https://doi.org/10.1016/13522310(95)00060-C, 1995.

Shah, V., Jaeglé, L., Thornton, J. A., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Lee, B. H., Schroder, J. C., Campuzano-Jost, P., Jimenez, J. L.,
Guo, H., Sullivan, A. P., Weber, R. J., Green, J. R., Fiddler, M. N., Bililign, S., Campos, T. L., Stell, M., Weinheimer, A. J.,
Montzka, D. D., and Brown, S. S.: Chemical feedbacks weaken the wintertime response of particulate sulfate and nitrate to
emissions reductions over the eastern United States, Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 115, 8110–8115,
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803295115, 2018.

- Sharma, H. D., Jervis, R. E., and Wong, K. Y.: Isotopic exchange reactions in nitrogen oxides, J. Phys. Chem., 74, 923–933,
  https://doi.org/10.1021/j100699a044, 1970.
- Shi, X., Nenes, A., Xiao, Z., Song, S., Yu, H., Shi, G., Zhao, Q., Chen, K., Feng, Y., and Russell, A. G.: High-Resolution
  Data Sets Unravel the Effects of Sources and Meteorological Conditions on Nitrate and Its Gas-Particle Partitioning,
  Environ. Sci. Technol., 53, 3048–3057, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06524, 2019.
- 1214 Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Böhlke, J. K.: A Bacterial Method for the 1215 Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Nitrate in Seawater and Freshwater, Analytical Chemistry, 73, 4145–4153, 1216 https://doi.org/10.1021/ac010088e, 2001.
- 1217 Simpson, W. R., Brown, S. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Thornton, J. A., and von Glasow, R.: Tropospheric Halogen Chemistry: 1218 Sources, Cycling, and Impacts, Chem. Rev., 115, 4035–4062, https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006638, 2015.
- Sodemann, H., Palmer, A. S., Schwierz, C., Schwikowski, M., and Wernli, H.: The transport history of two Saharan dust
  events archived in an Alpine ice core, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 667–688, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-6672006, 2006.
- Song, W., Liu, X.-Y., Houlton, B. Z., and Liu, C.-Q.: Isotopic constraints confirm the significant role of microbial nitrogen
  oxides emissions from the land and ocean environment, National Science Review, 9, nwac106,
  https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac106, 2022.
- 1225 Stone, D., Whalley, L. K., and Heard, D. E.: Tropospheric OH and HO2 radicals: field measurements and model 1226 comparisons, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6348–6404, https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35140D, 2012.
- Tan, Z., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Ma, X., Bohn, B., Broch, S., Dong, H., Fuchs, H., Gkatzelis, G. I., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F.,
  Li, X., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Novelli, A., Shao, M., Wang, H., Wu, Y., Zeng, L., Hu, M., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Wahner, A., and
  Zhang, Y.: Wintertime photochemistry in Beijing: observations of RO<sub>x</sub> radical concentrations in the North China Plain
  during the BEST-ONE campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 12391–12411, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-12391-2018, 2018.
- Thiemens, M. H.: History and Applications of Mass-independent Isotope Effects, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary
   Sciences, 34, 217–262, https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.earth.34.031405.125026, 2006.
- Thornton, J. A., Kercher, J. P., Riedel, T. P., Wagner, N. L., Cozic, J., Holloway, J. S., Dubé, W. P., Wolfe, G. M., Quinn, P.
  K., Middlebrook, A. M., Alexander, B., and Brown, S. S.: A large atomic chlorine source inferred from mid-continental
  reactive nitrogen chemistry, Nature, 464, 271–274, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08905, 2010.
- 1236 Tørseth, K., Aas, W., Breivik, K., Fjæraa, A. M., Fiebig, M., Hjellbrekke, A. G., Lund Myhre, C., Solberg, S., and Yttri, K. 1237 E.: Introduction to the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) and observed atmospheric composition

- 1238 change during 1972-2009, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 5447–5481, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-5447-2012,
  1239 2012.
- Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., and Pandis, S. N.: Response of Fine Particulate Matter to Emission Changes of Oxides of
  Nitrogen and Anthropogenic Volatile Organic Compounds in the Eastern United States, Journal of the Air & Waste
  Management Association, 58, 1463–1473, https://doi.org/10.3155/1047-3289.58.11.1463, 2008.
- 1243 Usher, C. R., Michel, A. E., and Grassian, V. H.: Reactions on Mineral Dust, Chem. Rev., 103, 4883–4940, 1244 https://doi.org/10.1021/cr020657y, 2003.
- Vicars, W. C. and Savarino, J.: Quantitative constraints on the <sup>17</sup>O-excess ( $\angle 1^{17}$ O) signature of surface ozone: Ambient measurements from 50°N to 50°S using the nitrite-coated filter technique, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 135, 270– 287, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.03.023, 2014.
- Vicars, W. C., Bhattacharya, S. K., Erbland, J., and Savarino, J.: Measurement of the 17O-excess (Δ17O) of tropospheric
  ozone using a nitrite-coated filter, Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry, 26, 1219–1231,
  https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.6218, 2012.
- Vicars, W. C., Morin, S., Savarino, J., Wagner, N. L., Erbland, J., Vince, E., Martins, J. M. F., Lerner, B. M., Quinn, P. K.,
  Coffman, D. J., Williams, E. J., and Brown, S. S.: Spatial and diurnal variability in reactive nitrogen oxide chemistry as
  reflected in the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate: Results from the CalNex 2010 field study, Journal of
  Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 118, 10,567-10,588, https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50680, 2013.
- Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler, D. W., Schlesinger, W. H., and
  Tilman, D. G.: Human Alteration of the Global Nitrogen Cycle: Sources and Consequences, Ecological Applications, 7,
  737–750, https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(1997)007[0737:HAOTGN]2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of nitrogen isotope equilibrium exchange fractionation factors for
   various NO<sub>y</sub> molecules, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 164, 284–297, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2015.05.029, 2015.
- Walters, W. W. and Michalski, G.: Theoretical calculation of oxygen equilibrium isotope fractionation factors involving various  $NO_y$  molecules, OH, and  $H_2O$  and its implications for isotope variations in atmospheric nitrate, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 191, 89–101, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2016.06.039, 2016.
- 1263 Walters, W. W., Tharp, B. D., Fang, H., Kozak, B. J., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotope composition of thermally 1264 produced  $NO_x$  from various fossil-fuel combustion sources, Environmental Science & Technology, 49, 11363–11371, 1265 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02769, 2015a.
- 1266 Walters, W. W., Goodwin, S. R., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen Stable Isotope Composition ( $\delta^{15}$ N) of Vehicle-Emitted NO<sub>x</sub>, 1267 Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 2278–2285, https://doi.org/10.1021/es505580v, 2015b.
- Walters, W. W., Simonini, D. S., and Michalski, G.: Nitrogen isotope exchange between NO and NO<sub>2</sub> and its implications for  $\delta^{15}$ N variations in tropospheric NO<sub>x</sub> and atmospheric nitrate, Geophysical Research Letters, 43, 440–448, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL066438, 2016.
- 1271 Walters, W. W., Fang, H., and Michalski, G.: Summertime diurnal variations in the isotopic composition of atmospheric 1272 nitrogen dioxide at a small midwestern United States city, Atmospheric Environment, 179, 1–11, 1273 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.01.047, 2018.

Wang, X., Jacob, D. J., Eastham, S. D., Sulprizio, M. P., Zhu, L., Chen, Q., Alexander, B., Sherwen, T., Evans, M. J., Lee,
B. H., Haskins, J. D., Lopez-Hilfiker, F. D., Thornton, J. A., Huey, G. L., and Liao, H.: The role of chlorine in global
tropospheric chemistry, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19, 3981–4003, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3981-2019,
2019a.

Wang, Y., Zhang, Q. Q., He, K., Zhang, Q., and Chai, L.: Sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols over China: response to 2000–
2015 emission changes of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and ammonia, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 2635–
2652, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-2635-2013, 2013.

Wang, Y., Gao, W., Wang, S., Song, T., Gong, Z., Ji, D., Wang, L., Liu, Z., Tang, G., Huo, Y., Tian, S., Li, J., Li, M., Yang,
Y., Chu, B., Petäjä, T., Kerminen, V.-M., He, H., Hao, J., Kulmala, M., Wang, Y., and Zhang, Y.: Contrasting trends of
PM<sub>2.5</sub> and surface-ozone concentrations in China from 2013 to 2017, National Science Review, 7, 1331–1339,
https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwaa032, 2020.

Wang, Y., Liu, J., Jiang, F., Chen, Z., Wu, L., Zhou, S., Pei, C., Kuang, Y., Cao, F., Zhang, Y., Fan, M., Zheng, J., Li, J., and
Zhang, G.: Vertical measurements of stable nitrogen and oxygen isotope composition of fine particulate nitrate aerosol in
Guangzhou city: Source apportionment and oxidation pathway, Science of The Total Environment, 865, 161239,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.161239, 2023.

Wang, Y. L., Song, W., Yang, W., Sun, X. C., Tong, Y. D., Wang, X. M., Liu, C. Q., Bai, Z. P., and Liu, Z. Y.: Influences of
 Atmospheric Pollution on the Contributions of Major Oxidation Pathways to PM<sub>2.5</sub> Nitrate Formation in Beijing, Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124, 4174–4185, https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD030284, 2019b.

Wayne, R. P., Barnes, I., Biggs, P., Burrows, J. P., Canosa-Mas, C. E., Hjorth, J., Le Bras, G., Moortgat, G. K., Perner, D.,
Poulet, G., Restelli, G., and Sidebottom, H.: The nitrate radical: Physics, chemistry, and the atmosphere, Atmospheric
Environment. Part A. General Topics, 25, 1–203, https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90192-A, 1991.

Weber, S., Uzu, G., Calas, A., Chevrier, F., Besombes, J.-L., Charron, A., Salameh, D., Ježek, I., Močnik, G., and Jaffrezo,
J.-L.: An apportionment method for the oxidative potential of atmospheric particulate matter sources: application to a oneyear study in Chamonix, France, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 18, 9617–9629, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-96172018, 2018.

Whiteman, C. D.: Breakup of Temperature Inversions in Deep Mountain Valleys: Part I. Observations, Journal of Applied
 Meteorology and Climatology, 21, 270–289, https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0450(1982)021<0270:BOTIID>2.0.CO;2, 1982.

- 1301 WHO: Whorld Health Organization global air quality guidelines: particulate matter ( $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ ), ozone, nitrogen 1302 dioxide, sulfur dioxide and carbon monoxide, 2021.
- Wild, O., Zhu, X., and Prather, M. J.: Fast-J: Accurate simulation of in- and below-cloud photolysis in tropospheric chemical
   models, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 37, 245–282, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006415919030, 2000.
- Xue, C.: Substantially Growing Interest in the Chemistry of Nitrous Acid (HONO) in China: Current Achievements,
  Problems, and Future Directions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 56, 7375–7377, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.2c02237, 2022.

Young, E. D., Galy, A., and Nagahara, H.: Kinetic and equilibrium mass-dependent isotope fractionation laws in nature and
their geochemical and cosmochemical significance, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 66, 1095–1104,
https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00832-8, 2002.

Yu, Z. and Elliott, E. M.: Novel Method for Nitrogen Isotopic Analysis of Soil-Emitted Nitric Oxide, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
51, 6268–6278, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b00592, 2017.

- Zhang, L., Vet, R., O'Brien, J. M., Mihele, C., Liang, Z., and Wiebe, A.: Dry deposition of individual nitrogen species at
  eight Canadian rural sites, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 114, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010640,
  2009.
- Zhang, R., Wang, G., Guo, S., Zamora, M. L., Ying, Q., Lin, Y., Wang, W., Hu, M., and Wang, Y.: Formation of Urban Fine
   Particulate Matter, Chem. Rev., 115, 3803–3855, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00067, 2015.
- Zhang, W., Bi, X., Zhang, Y., Wu, J., and Feng, Y.: Diesel vehicle emission accounts for the dominate NO<sub>x</sub> source to atmospheric particulate nitrate in a coastal city: Insights from nitrate dual isotopes of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, Atmospheric Research, 278, 106328, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2022.106328, 2022a.
- 1320 Zhang, Y.-L., Zhang, W., Fan, M.-Y., Li, J., Fang, H., Cao, F., Lin, Y.-C., Wilkins, B. P., Liu, X., Bao, M., Hong, Y., and 1321 Michalski, G.: A diurnal story of  $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$  in urban Nanjing and its implication for nitrate aerosol formation, npj Clim 1322 Atmos Sci, 5, 1–10, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-022-00273-3, 2022b.
- Zhou, W., Gao, M., He, Y., Wang, Q., Xie, C., Xu, W., Zhao, J., Du, W., Qiu, Y., Lei, L., Fu, P., Wang, Z., Worsnop, D. R.,
  Zhang, Q., and Sun, Y.: Response of aerosol chemistry to clean air action in Beijing, China: Insights from two-year ACSM
  measurements and model simulations, Environmental Pollution, 255, 113345, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113345,
  2019.
- 1327 Zong, Z., Wang, X., Tian, C., Chen, Y., Fang, Y., Zhang, F., Li, C., Sun, J., Li, J., and Zhang, G.: First Assessment of NOx
- Sources at a Regional Background Site in North China Using Isotopic Analysis Linked with Modeling, Environmental
- 1329 Science and Technology, 51, 5923–5931, https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b06316, 2017.
- 1330 Zong, Z., Sun, Z., Xiao, L., Tian, C., Liu, J., Sha, Q., Li, J., Fang, Y., Zheng, J., and Zhang, G.: Insight into the Variability of 1331 the Nitrogen Isotope Composition of Vehicular  $NO_x$  in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., acs.est.0c04749, 1332 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.0c04749, 2020.
- 1333