Measurement report:

Intra-annual Variability of Black/Brown Carbon and Its Interrelation with Meteorological Conditions over Gangtok, Sikkim

Pramod Kumar[1], Khushboo Sharma[1], Ankita Malu[2], Rajeev Rajak[2], Aparna Gupta[1], Bidyutjyoti Baruah[1], Shailesh Yadav[1], Thupstan Angchuk[1], Jayant Sharma[1], Rakesh Kumar Ranjan[1#], Anil Kumar Misra[1], and Nishchal Wanjari[1]

[1]DST's Centre of excellence on Water Resources, Cryosphere and Climate Change Studies, Department of Geology, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim, India -737102

[2]Department of Geology, Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim, India -737102

[#]Corresponding Author: rkranjan@cus.ac.in

Abstract

Black carbon (BC) and brown carbon (BrC) have versatile natures, and they have an apparent role in the climate variability and changes. As the anthropogenic activity is surging, the BC and BrC are also reportedly increasing. So, the monitoring of BC/BrC and observation of land use land cover changes (LULCC) at a regional level are necessary for the various interconnected meteorological phenomenal changes. The current study investigates BC, BrC, CO_2, BC from fossil fuels (BC_{ff}), BC from biomass burning (BC_{bb}), LULCC, and their relationship to the corresponding meteorological conditions over Gangtok in the Sikkim Himalayan region. The concentration of BC (BrC) 43.5 μg/m^3 (32.0 μg/m^3) is was found to be highest during the March--2022 (April-2021). Surface pressure exhibits a significant positive correlation with BC, BC_{ff}, BC_{bb}, and BrC. Higher surface pressure results in a calmer and more stable boundary layer, which effectively retains accumulated contaminants. Conversely, the wind appears to facilitate the dispersion of pollutants, showing a strong negative correlation. Surface pressure has been found to have a significant positive correlation with BC, BC_{ff}, BC_{bb} and BrC. The boundary layer is calmer and more stable when the surface pressure is higher, which keeps contaminants deposited there. The wind, on the other hand, appears to represent the dispersion of pollutants with a strong negative correlation. The fact that all pollutants and precipitation have been shown to behave similarly points to moist scavenging of the pollutants. Despite the dense cloud cover, it is clear that the area is not receiving convective precipitation, implying that orographic precipitation is occurring over the region. Most of Sikkim receives convective rain from May to September, indicating that the region has significant convective activity contributed from the Bay of Bengal during the monsoon season. Furthermore, monsoon months have the lowest concentrations of BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, and

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

BrC, suggesting the potential of convective rain (as rain out scavenging) to remove most of the pollutants. Moreover, BC and BrC show positive radiative feedback.

Key-words: Black carbon; Brown carbon; LULC; Sikkim Himalaya; Meteorology; Biomass burning; Radiative forcing.

1.0 Introduction

Black carbon (BC), and brown carbon (BrC), are part of fine particulates in air pollution that have a ~~apparent~~deceptive role in ~~the~~ climate variability and changes. BC/BrC is a short-lived climate pollutant with a lifetime of only days to weeks after release in the atmosphere (Pierrehumbert, 2014). During this short period of time, BC/BrC can have significant direct and indirect impacts on the climate, cryosphere, agriculture, and human health (Shindell et al., 2012). It consists of pure carbon in several interconnected forms. BC is formed through the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels, biofuel, and biomass, and is one of the main types of particles in both anthropogenic and naturally occurring soot (Bond et al., 2004). BrC in the atmosphere ~~have~~ has been attributed to the burning of biomass and fossil fuels, the biogenic release of fungi, plant debris, and humic matter, and multiphase reactions between the gas-phase, particulate, and cloud microdroplet constituents in the atmosphere (Laskin et al., 2015). BC/BrC is transported from its source to many locations across the world (Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). The BC/BrC released into the atmosphere exhibits vertical distribution and follows the prevailing wind speed and direction. It engages with various atmospheric components before eventually settling on the Earth's surface through either wet or dry deposition processes. Its hygroscopic properties render it more prone to cloud seeding and cloud formation, thereby contributing directly to the precipitation mechanism in regions with high humidity~~The released BC/BrC is vertically distributed and travels through the atmosphere according to wind speed and direction, interacting with numerous components before sinking on the earth's surface through wet or dry deposition. Its hygroscopic nature makes more susceptible to cloud seeding and cloud formation process and so directly helps in precipitation mechanism in high humid conditions~~ (Stevens and Feingold, 2009). In addition, it absorbs both incoming and outgoing radiation, atmospheric BC/BrC modifies radiative forcing, disturbs atmospheric stability, regional circulation, and rainfall pattern, affects cloud albedo, material damage, reduces agricultural productivity, degrades ecosystem, and affects human health (Zhang et al., 2013). However, due to an insufficiency of observations, BrC is one of the least understood and uncertain warming agents (Yue et al., 2022). Numerous studies have been conducted to analyze the global distribution of BC and BrC, including research focused on these species within India as well ~~Several studies have been carried out to examine the concentration of BC and BrC all over the world and in India as well~~ (Reddy and Venkataraman, 2002a, 2002b; Venkataraman et al., 2006; Park et al., 2010; Sloss, 2012; Helin et al., 2021; 2020; Kumar et al., 2020a; Watham et al., 2021; Bhat et al., 2022; Runa et al., 2022; Yue et al., 2022; Kumar et al, 2018b). However, the overall worldwide BC emission is estimated to be 4800-7200 Gg per year (Klimont et al., 2017). In 2001, India's total BC emissions were projected to be 1343.78 Gg (Sloss, 2012). Residential fuel burning and transportation contribute~~s~~ maximum to the global anthropogenic BC emission (Helin et al., 2021). About 60 to 80% of residential fuels (coal and biomass) emissions are reported from Asian and African countries, whereas approximately 70% of diesel engine~~s emission~~ emissions ~~is~~ are found to be from Europe, North America, and Latin America (Johnson et al., 2019; Ayompe et al., 2021; Adeeyo et al., 2022; Sun et al., 2022).

On the other hand, emissions on the Indian subcontinent have increased by 40% since the year ~~of~~ 2000 (Kurokawa and Ohara, 2020; Sun et al., 2022). According to Reddy and Venkataraman (2002a, 2002b), the estimated BC emissions in India are fossil fuels, 100 Gg biofuel, 207 Gg open burning, and 39 Gg with a climatic forcing of +1.1 W/m^2, black carbon is the second-most significant human emission in the current atmosphere (Sharma et al., 2022). BC concentration was measured by Zhao et al. (2017) in the south-eastern Tibetan Plateau (TP). Daily mean BC loadings ranged from 57.7 to 5368.9 ng/m^3 demonstrating a high BC burden even at free tropospheric altitudes (Zhao et al., 2017). Black carbon (BC) deposition was estimated at the Nepal Climate Observatory - Pyramid (NCO-P) site in the Himalayan region during the pre-monsoon season (March-May). A total BC deposition rate of 2.89 µg/m^3/day was estimated, resulting in a total deposition of 266 µg/m^3 for March–May (Yasunari et al., 2010). From the Indian perspective, several key short-term incidents contribute to a rise in India's BC concentration from biomass burning and other sources (Kumar et al., 2020a). Burning agricultural waste (stubble) is widespread in India and several other nations. Many studies suggest that increased BC in northern India, notably the Indo-Gangetic ~~plain~~ Plain (IGP) is the global absorbing aerosol hotspot (Venkataraman et al., 2006; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008). In India, post-monsoon paddy crop waste burning occurs in the months of October and November in the north and northwest parts of India (Venkataraman et al., 2006). In the north-western Indo-Gangetic Plain (IGP) (especially- Punjab, Haryana, and western Uttar Pradesh), stubble burning is a popular practice (Venkataraman et al., 2006). Long-distance transport of BC aerosols, mostly from Asia to the ~~north~~ North Pacific and South America to the southwest Atlantic, is often ~~recognised~~ recognized as a significant factor in local concentration (Evangelista et al., 2007). However, in India, only local sources (89%) affect~~s~~ BC concentrations (Zhang et al., 2013), as there aren't many movements of transboundary aerosols contribution over the IGP (Kumar et al., 2018a; Kedia et ~~at~~al., 2014; Ramachandran and Rupakheti, 2022; Ramachandran et al., 2020). Both marine and continental air masses contributed to total aerosol loading over middle-IGP (Kumar et al., 2017; Shukla et al., 2022).

Black carbon is a light-absorbing particle that ~~are~~ is released into the atmosphere directly in the form of ultrafine (<0.1 µm) to fine particles (<2.5µm) (Gupta et al., 2017). BC is a good tracer for particle deposition as it is non-volatile, insoluble, and chemically inert, and it can also mix well with other aerosol species in the atmosphere (Kiran et al., 2018). As a result, BC deposition data are important not just for BC sinks but also for a broader understanding of aerosol deposition. BC emissions are mostly influenced by significant changes in the energy sector, fuel usage, industrial expansion, and an increase in the number of vehicles (Bisht et al., 2015). Residential fuels like wood, agricultural waste, and cow dung used for cooking and biomass usage for home purposes are the primary sources of BC emissions (Venkataraman et al., 2006). The Asian mainland is a substantial contributor to global BC emissions and has been identified as a hotspot (Gupta et al., 2017). BC has a high absorption ability, accounting for 90-95 percent of total atmospheric aerosol absorption (Hansen et al., 1984). It can absorb solar energy in the visible-infrared band and warm the environment. In comparison to carbon dioxide, BC has a much shorter life cycle in the atmosphere. As a result, mitigation or reduction has a greater positive impact on the atmosphere (Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Takemura and Suzuki, 2019). Changing land use land cover (LULC) has a very significant impact on weather, climate, and aerosols (Mahmood et al., 2010). It is well well-stabilised fact that the LULC change has a direct relation with land surface temperature, vehicular emission, and anthropogenic activity (Aithal and MC, 2019). ~~Which~~ This motivated the present study for ~~the~~ further analysis ~~for~~ of Sikkim region land use land cover change and its relation with temperature and BC/BrC for ~~the~~ March 2021 to March 2022. The current study's objectives are to assess the intra-annual variability of Black/Brown Carbon (BC/BrC) (diurnal/daily/monthly) during the study period March-2021 to March-2022, as well as the interrelationship between meteorological conditions and BC/BrC, along with LULC change for three decades 2000, 2010, and 2020, and its relationship with anthropogenic activity over Gangtok.

2.0 Study location

The Gangtok Municipal Corporation (GMC) has been selected for the present study on the basis of its urban ~~exposer~~ exposure and settlement change for three decades as well as congruently temperature rise (F~~f~~igure S1). The sampling ~~has been~~was carried out at the Pani House area in Gangtok, GMC, having a longitude of 88.609°E and a latitude of 27.323°N.

Sikkim is surrounded by Nepal, China, and Bhutan from west, north, and east respectively, and consists of the trans and greater Himalayan range. Moreover, SikkimIt has one of the most fragile forest covers. However, The Gangtok is a densely populated city and capital of the state of Sikkim which is situated in the East Sikkim district (see figure Figure 1a). The population of the Sikkim has been found to be have increased as per the Indian census for three decades as this can be seen in table S1.

3.0 Data and Methodology

The real real-time sampling of BC was carried out from 10th March 2021 to 17th March 2022, at Gangtok using the seven-channels dual spot Aethalometer (Model AE-33-7, Magee Scientific, USA). The data was collected for the measurement of BC and BrC associated with particulate matter having an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 µm ($PM_{2.5}$). The concentration of BC, BrC, BC_{bb}, and BC_{ff} have been estimated by the Carbonaceous Aerosol Analysis Tools (CAAT) software tool from the Magee Scientific Aethalometer model AE33 (Hansen and Schnell, 2005). The carbon dioxide (CO_2) was measured using a CO_2 sensor (Vaisala-GMP343) which is attached to the aethalometer. The inlet of the aethalometer was mounted at a height of 15 m above ground level. One of the main sources of uncertainty in using aerosol absorption measurements to estimate the BrC absorption coefficient at 370 nm BrC mass concentration is the fact that other species, such as black carbon and dust, can also contribute to the measured absorption. This can lead to overestimation of BrC mass concentration, particularly in environments where these species are also present. However, in the Sikkim region has one of the higher highest precipitation regions in the world and negligible contribution of to the dust pollution. Furthermore, there must be lesser over/under estimation. Therefore, the present study used mass concentration.

A new data set of BC, BrC, Black Carbon from biomass burning (BC_{bb}), Black Carbon from fossil fuels (BC_{ff}), the BrC, percentage contribution of biomass burning to BC (BB%) and CO_2 has been generated over the unreported region of Sikkim Himalaya. The diurnal and monthly data sets of BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, BB%, and CO_2 have been given in the details in supplementary materials (Table S2 and S3). In addition to this, the meteorological data has been selected for ERA5 reanalysis for the study. LULC data has been taken from USGS earth explorers of 2000 and 2010 landsatLandsat-5, 2020 landsatLandsat-8, and 2021 for Ssentinel-2 (Karra et al., 2021). LULC data has been chosen for the month of December to minimize the cloud cover. The details of the LULC calculation steps used are given in the supplementary section (methodology S1.3). The brief of the data set is discussed in the table 1.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

3.1 Estimation of BrC

The Carbonaceous Aerosol Analysis Tools (CAAT) software tool from the Magee Scientific Aethalometer model AE33 was utilized to estimate the concentrations of BC, BrC, BC_{bb}, and BC_{ff}. The absorption coefficients of BC and BrC were determined using the multi-wavelength absorption coefficients provided by the aethalometer. The presence of BrC was identified by observing the maximum light absorption between 370–590 nm, but its absorption may increase significantly below this range depending on its composition. The attenuation of illumination measured in this study using the aethalometer was attributed solely to the contribution of BC and BrC. It is believed that the absorption coefficient at 370 nm measured by the aethalometer represents the combined absorption coefficients of BC and BrC, which is denoted as $\sigma_{BC + BrC}$ (370 nm). This assumption is similar to the model used in the multi-wavelength absorbance analyzer (MWAA) approach for source allocation, as described in Massabò et al. (2015). Equation (1~~3.13~~) was used to calculate the σ_{BrC} (370 nm) absorption coefficient (supplementary methodology S1), which involved subtracting the contribution of BC (σ_{BC} (370 nm)) from the observed absorption coefficient ($\sigma_{BC + BrC}$ (370 nm)).

$$\sigma_{BrC}(370\ \text{nm}) = \sigma_{BC+BrC}(370\ \text{nm}) - \sigma_{BC}(370\ \text{nm}) \qquad \text{Eq. (1)}$$

The σ_{BC} (370 nm), was calculated by applying the power-law fit to absorption data in the 590-950 nm wavelength range provided in equation (1).

$$\sigma_{BC}(\lambda) = \beta\, \lambda^{-AAE_{BC}} \qquad \text{Eq. (2)}$$

The absorption angstrom exponent of BC is denoted as AAE_{BC}, with β being a constant value. As BC is a significant contributor to light absorption at wavelengths beyond 590 nm, the contribution of other aerosol species can be neglected, and the AAE_{BC} can be calculated using equation (3~~.15~~) ~~(supplementary methodology S1)~~, as stated in Rathod and Sahu (2022). The AAE for both BC and BrC can be expressed as σ, and in this study, the AAE definition by Moosmüller et al. (2011a) was used instead of the AAE specified for a wavelength pair. This value is determined by equation (3~~2~~), which calculates the negative log-log slope of the absorption spectrum at wavelength λ.

$$AAE_{BC} = -\frac{d\ln \sigma_{BC}}{d\ln\lambda} \qquad \text{Eq. (3)}$$

Instead of the conventional approach where AAE_{BC} is assumed to be 1, we utilized the AAE_{BC} that was observed onsite to calculate $\sigma_{BC}(\lambda)$. Equation (4~~3.16~~) was employed to determine σ_{BrC} (370 nm) by substituting $\sigma_{BC}(\lambda)$ at 370 nm, which was obtained using equation (2~~3~~) (Wang et al., 2020), into equation (4~~3.13~~) (refer to supplementary methodology S1.1, S1.2, and F~~f~~igure S2 for details).

$$\sigma_{BrC}(370\ \text{nm}) = \sigma_{\text{BC+BrC}}(370\ \text{nm}) - \beta(370nm)^{-AAE_{BC}} \qquad \text{Eq. (4)}$$

To calculate $\sigma_{BrC}(\lambda)$ at 470 nm and 520 nm, we can subtract the ~~modelled~~modelled BC from the measured absorption coefficients, in a similar manner. It is worth noting that the BrC absorption coefficients are very low at wavelengths beyond 590 nm (Wang et al., 2020), according to Rathod et al. (2017) and Rathod and Sahu (2022), hence they are not taken into account (supplementary methodology S1).

3.2 Data Analysis

LULC change also has a direct impact on vehicular emissions and other anthropogenic activities. Urbanization, conceivably, can lead to increased vehicle traffic and emissions, which can contribute to air pollution and climate change. Changes in land use can also affect the amount and type of vegetation, which can influence the carbon cycle and the amount of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere. The ERA-5 reanalysis data has been used for meteorological analysis viz. wind pattern, precipitation, relative humidity, and temperature (Hersbach et al., 2020). The hourly data has been taken for the analysis and then the daily, monthly, and seasonal average has been computed for the study period over the Sikkim and surrounding states for a better understanding of the meteorological conditions influencing the BC, and BrC. The ERA5 validation with AWS data can be seen in the supplementary section (Figure S8). The total precipitation is computed as a sum of the hourly data for a day to daily total precipitation and further, it was summed for monthly cumulative total precipitation using the sum formula as

$$\text{Monthly Cumulative Total Precipitation} = \sum_i^n X \qquad \text{Eq. (5)}$$

Where~~,~~ 'i' is the initial ~~and~~ 'n' the last date and X is the hourly total precipitation taken from ERA5. The wind circulation has been computed using the u-component and v-component of wind and the wind speed has been calculated as

$$\text{Wind Speed} = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} \qquad \text{Eq. (6)}$$

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript

The temperature and relative humidity averaged have been computed using the mean formula as

$$Average = \frac{\sum_i^n x}{n} \qquad \text{Eq. (7)}$$

Where, 'i' is the initial and 'n' last date of the ~~of~~ variables such as temperature, relative humidity, and wind components.

Let x and y be two real-valued random variables such that the correlation coefficient ~~spearmen~~ Spearmen Pearson can be calculated between the BC/BrC and meteorological parameters. The Coefficient of Pearson Correlation (PCC) (Pearson, 1909; Benesty et al., 2009) as

$$PCC = \frac{n(\sum xy)-(\sum x)(\sum y)}{\sqrt{[n\sum x^2-(\sum x)^2][n\sum y^2-(\sum y)^2]}} \qquad \text{Eq. (8)}$$

Where 'n' is the population size of the variables used for the study.

Table 1 contains additional information about the dataset, and a more detailed methodology can be found in the supplementary section (S1).

4.0 Results and Discussions

The anthropogenic activities in Gangtok ~~are~~ have drastically increased in the last 20 years. As evident from ~~figure~~ Figures 1b, c, and d, LULC has been changed ~~since~~ from 2000 to 2020 over the Gangtok ~~municipal c~~Municipal Corporation (GMC). ~~The p~~Population change and growth have also been observed ~~over~~ in the Sikkim (Table S1). LULC during the years 2000 and 2010 evidently shows that most of the fallow land has been built- up due to a recent change in the policy of construction in Sikkim suggesting urban settlement load over Gangtok ~~is~~ has increased significantly. As a result, there is a significant increase in built-up areas in GMC for the last 20 years. The vegetation cover has also reduced ~~since~~ from 2000 to 2020 (F~~f~~igure 1b, c, and d). The rainfed water bodies are reducing from the GMC. However, due to its seasonal nature, streams are lesser emerged in 2020. Which perhaps shows the precipitation pattern alteration over GMC due to the highly built-up sprawl. The built-up extent has been sprawling and consuming the dense vegetation regions as well. This increases the study region's urge to be acknowledged so that Sikkim's future policymakers can consider the effects of rising anthropogenic activities. This anthropogenic activity ~~leading~~ leads to a heavy load on the environment~~al~~ over one of the cleanest states of India. Long-term spatiotemporal variation of 2-meter air temperature justifies the LULC change and warming pattern (Xiao-lei et al., 2022) over the Gangtok region (F~~f~~igure S1a, S1b, S1c, S1d, and S1e). The decadal warming rate is varying from 0.25^{O} to 0.45^{O}C (Ffigure S1e). Thereafter, BC and BrC over the Gangtok has have been measured to report the issue and get more attention to the scientific and local community. The higher anthropogenic activity releases the a higher amount of emission in the name of development due to the population load on the region (Shaddick et al., 2020) (i.e., the growth rate has been raised from 12.89 to 13.05% in recent years) (Table S11). Diurnal variation of the BC, BrC, BC BC_{bb}, BC BC_{ff}, and CO_2 apparently show two peaks. BC, BC_{ff}, and CO_2 have almost similar time of peaks observed. The first peak is found during 8-10 AM. And, the second peak is observed during 8-10 PM. However, BrC and BC_{bb} have the peak concentration during 10-11 AM and 6-8 PM (Ffigure 2a), suggesting the peak biomass burning time over the .region. The same for meteorological conditions isare observed as low dewpoint, low temperature, high surface pressure, low wind speed, and high relative humidity to the corresponding 8-10 AM, while the opposite is found in 8-10 PMand referred to figure Figure 2b.

The daily time series of the BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, BB%, and CO_2 show the highest fluctuation during from 20th to 30th March in both 2021 and 2022 years respectively. The maximum BC (BrC) content was found in March 2022 (April-2021), at 43.5µg/m^3 (32µg/m^3). The lowest fluctuation is observed during from 15th May to 15th September 2021 (Ffigure 3a). The intense peaks of BC, BC_{ff}, and CO_2 has beenwere observed during from 10th October to 15th November 2021 (Ffigure 3a) that which may be linked to the heavy tourist season of the state and indicating indicate towards the traffic overload in the Gangtok (Sharma et al, 2022). As, Tthe meteorological conditions are also favourfavouring the similar circumstances to accumulate the pollutant during from 10th October to 15th November 2021 (Ffigure 3b). The lowest surface pressure with minimum fluctuation and the highest temperature and dewpoint temperature with minimum fluctuation is beingwas noticed during from the 15th June to 20th September 2021 (Ffigure 3b). BrC is found to be the highest with significant variability from the 10th of January to the 30th of March, pointing to winter wood burning for livelihood, which is also supported by BC_{bb} (Table S3). BrC is found the highest with maximum fluctuation during 10th January to 30th March that is pointing towards winter wood burning for the subsistence as similar observed BC_{bb}. The monthly variations of BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, and BB% is are discussed in figure Figure 4a, and the highest value of standard deviation were was observed during March 2022 for BC, BC_{ff}, and April 2021 for BC_{bb}, BrC, and BB%. The CO_2 is observed almost constant with a small value of standard deviation. The maximum concentration of the BC, BC_{ff} is found in March 2022. However, BC_{bb} and BrC were measured highest in April 2021 (Table S3). This is probably inferring to high tourist season (i.e., vehicular emission) as well as

Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Superscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript
Formatted: Subscript

random wood burning at higher altitude regions surrounding the Gangtok. ~~-~~The minimum concentration of the BrC was seen in the month of August 2021 as the highest total precipitation month with high wind speed, temperature ~~and~~ dewpoint temperature, and relative humidity (F~~f~~igure 4b, S3, and S4) (Rana et al., 2023).

The good correlation between BC and BC_{ff} showed that the primary source of BC is fossil fuel combustion (Osborne et al, 2008; Jung et al., 2021). A significant correlation between BC_{bb} and BrC indicates that biomass burning is a major contributor to BrC (Prabhu et al., 2020), which is supported by the BB% and BrC (Figure 5). The ~~The good significant correlation between BC and BC_{ff} suggested that the major contribution of the BC is fossil fuel burning (Osborne et al, 2008). A strong significant correlation between BC_{bb} and BrC indicating that major contributor of BrC is biomass burning that can be justified by BB% and BrC strong significant positive correlation coefficient (figure 5). A good significant~~ positive correlation between CO_2 and BC/BC_{ff} ~~suggesting~~ suggests that fossil fuel burning is influencing~~one of the causes~~ the~~of~~ CO_2 concentration ~~or vis versa~~(Rana et al., 2023). Dewpoint temperature and CO_2 ha~~s~~ve ~~strong~~ a significant ~~positive~~ positive correlation ~~coefficient~~ suggesting ~~to~~ positive radiative forcing of the CO_2 (Huang et al., 2017; Stjern et al., 2023). ~~The S~~A similar has been found for the temperature. BC_{bb}/BrC and temperature ~~has~~ have a strong significant negative correlation suggesting the negative radiative nature of the BC_{bb}/BrC (F~~f~~igure S5). Moreover, net thermal/solar radiation (STR/SSR) and BC/BrC have a significant positive correlation (F~~f~~igure 5, and S5) (Liu et al., 2020). A strong significant positive correlation between surface pressure and BC/BC_{ff} (BC_{bb}/BrC) has been observed (F~~f~~igure 5). Higher ~~the~~ surface pressure ~~makes~~ creates calm conditions and a stable boundary layer, which keeps the pollutants accumulated in the boundary layer (Igarashi et al., 1988; Lee et al., 1995; Bharali et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2021). However, the opposite has been observed for the wind ~~that indicates~~ indicating the dispersion of pollutants with a strong negative correlation. ~~The~~ A similar has been observed for the total precipitation and all the pollutants, ~~delineates~~ delineating to wet scavenging of the pollutants (Yoo et al., 2014; Ohata et al., 2016; Ge et al., 2021; Wu et al., 2022). The relative humidity is also showing ~~the~~ a similar result to the total precipitation with greater values of coefficient. The negative correlation between total precipitation and surface pressure ~~suggested~~ suggests that the rain falls over the region mostly occurs in a ~~low~~ low-pressure system that is ~~causes~~ caused due to the vertical rising of an air parcel and causes ~~to~~ condensation and precipitation (Johnson and Hamilton, 1988; Sarkar, 2018). However, cloud condensation nuclei formation and precipitation are prompted by aerosols (BC and BrC) (Ohata et al., 2016; Moteki, 2023). Moreover, ~~Thereafter, BC and BrC have crucial role in~~

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

~~precipitation mechanism.~~ BC particles are mainly hydrophobic and less efficient as CCN compared to more hydrophilic particles; they can still act as CCN under certain conditions. These conditions include the size and mixing state of the particles, as well as the atmospheric conditions such as relative humidity and temperature (Ohata et al., 2016; Moteki, 2023; Liu et al., 2020). The conditions required for BC particles to efficiently play the role of CCN depend on several factors, including their size, mixing state, and atmospheric conditions (Moteki, 2023; Liu et al., 2020). For example, smaller BC particles are more efficient as CCN than larger ones (Moteki, 2023). The mixing state of BC particles also plays a role, as externally mixed BC particles are less efficient as CCN than internally mixed ones (Liu et al., 2020). Atmospheric conditions such as relative humidity and temperature also affect the efficiency of BC particles as CCN (Moteki, 2023). For example, higher relative humidity and lower temperatures can increase the efficiency of BC particles as CCN (Moteki, 2023). Additionally, relative humidity over the study region is very high during the entire year with the favorable temperature. Thereafter, BC and BrC have a crucial role in the precipitation mechanism (Zhu et al., 2021; Li et al., 2023a) over the study region.

Total precipitation and wind circulation indicated that the study region received precipitation throughout each month of the study period (i.e., most of the time in the form of rain and occasionally snow). Hence the maximum is observed in August and the minimum in March 2022. The wind pattern illustrates the monsoon seasonal strong influence from May to September 2021 (Figure 6). The wind converses in the valley and diverges from the mountain for the rest of the period (figure 6). Because the strong wind and heavy rainfall indicated pollution scavenging (rain out or wash out), it is significantly negatively correlated as TP vs BC_{bb}; TP vs BC_{ff}; TP vs BrC (Figure 5).

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

~~Total precipitation and wind circulation suggested that the study region is receiving precipitation in entire month of the study period (i. e., most of the time rain form and sometimes snow). As the maxima is observed during the month of August and minima during March 2022. The wind pattern delineates during the May to September 2021 the monsoon seasonal strong effect (figure 6). And rest of the period the wind is conversing in the valley and diverging from the mountain (figure 6). The strong wind and heavy rain fall suggested the pollutant scavenging (rain out or wash out) that is why it is significant negatively correlated.~~ The relative humidity and temperature follow the same pattern when the temperature gradients change from January to December, resulting in a decrease in moisture content in the atmosphere (Figure S6). ~~The relative humidity and temperature patten also justify the same as the temperature gradients change from January to December and moisture content reduction~~

in the atmosphere (figure S6). The lowest in the month of February is observed and the temperature gradient getting gets steep from the November (Ffigure S6). The dewpoint temperature contour and surface pressure shading match well suggesting that the surface pressure creates the dewpoint temperature gradient and keeps it sustained and stable atmospheric condition (Jung et al., 2023) (Ffigure S7). During the month of June, it is very peculiar that the dewpoint temperature contours are wide and a very small gradient is observed (Ffigure 7). Which This is pointingpoints toward the warm conditions during the June over entire Sikkim. The cloud cover and convective precipitation over Sikkim are discussed in Figure 7.Figure 7 discusses about the cloud cover and convective precipitation over the Sikkim. It is clear from (figure Figures 7a to d) that the region is not receiving the much convective precipitation even if there is huge cloud cover, which leads to a conclusion of orographic precipitation over the region (Ffigure 7). However, the relative humidity is very high over the sampling site from the lower to upper middle level of the atmosphere during the study period (Ffigure S3). Most of Sikkim receives convective rain from May to September, which indicates that the region has strong convective activity added from the Bay of Bengal during the monsoon season During May to September the convective rain is receiving most part of the Sikkim approved that the region has high convective activity added from the Bay of Bengal as the monsoon season(Rahman et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2020b; Kakkar et al., 2022; Biswas and Bhattacharya, 2023). Again, from October to April, the region is does not receiving receive the convective rain even though there is strong cloud cover pointing toward the orographic rainfall over the entire Sikkim (Kumar and Sharma, 2023). That's making the Sikkim unique weather conditions (figure Figures S3 and S4). The ERA5 validation with AWS data can be seen in the supplementary section (Figure S8). And, the least concentration of BC, BC_{ff}, BC_{bb}, and BrC is observed during the monsoon months. This observation supports the convective rain, as rain out scavenging, of all pollutants (Liu et al., 2020; Moteki, 2023). During the monsoon season, the region experiences high convective activity, which is added from the Bay of Bengal (Brooks et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Moteki, 2023; Sankar et al., 2023). Convective rain is an effective process for removing air pollutants from the atmosphere (Liu et al., 2020; Moteki, 2023).least concentration of BC, BC_{ff}, BC_{bb} and BrC is observed during the monsoon months supporting the convective rain (i.e., rain out scavenging) of all pollutants. Wet removal of BC and BrC occurs via cloud particle formation and subsequent conversion to precipitation or impaction processes with hydrometeors below clouds during precipitation (Liu et al., 2020; Moteki, 2023; Sankar et al., 2023). The BC and BrC have a significant positive correlation with thermal and solar radiation, indicating positive radiative

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

feedback (Zhang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2021; Li et al., 2023a). A stronger negative correlation between CO_2 and surface thermal radiation (STR) and surface solar radiation (SSR) would have significant implications (~~f~~Figure 5). The negative correlation between CO_2 and STR implies that as the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere increases, the amount of heat ~~radiated~~ radiating from the Earth's surface into space decreases (Zhang et al., 2020). This can lead to an increase in the Gangtok's temperature, which can have various impacts on climate and weather as well (~~figure~~ Figures S1, and 5). The negative correlation between CO_2 and SSR implies that as the concentration of CO_2 in the atmosphere increases, the amount of solar radiation absorbed by the Earth's surface decreases (Davis, 2017; Zhang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2023b) (~~f~~Figure 5). Overall, a significant negative correlation between CO_2 and STR/SSR would indicate a stronger influence of greenhouse gas concentrations on the surface's radiation balance (Chiodo et al., 2018) and would have important implications for climate change as well as anomalous warming over the Gangtok region (~~f~~Figure S1).

5.0 Conclusions

In accordance with the LULC between 2000 and 2010, Sikkim's recent changes to its development regulations have resulted in the majority of fallow land being consumed by construction, which suggests that Gangtok's urban settlement load has increased significantly. In addition, the LULC for 2020 depicts a booming built-up region over the GMC. ~~Since~~ From 2000 to 2020, the vegetation cover has likewise decreased. However, due to the seasonal nature, streams are lesser in 2020, indicating precipitation pattern variation over GMC. The areas covered in dense vegetation are also being consumed by the expanding built-up area. The present study is the report of newly produced data BC and BrC for the fragile region of the Himalayas and its relation with meteorological conditions. It has been observed that the temperature over Gangtok is increasing as well. The peak concentration of BC/BrC has been found during October 2021, ~~and~~ March 2021, and 2022. The diurnal distribution of BC/BrC suggests the two peaks in a day, first ~~in~~ at ~~the~~ 8-10 AM and second ~~in~~ at 9-11 PM. The meteorological conditions for the same ~~has~~ have been observed to be favo~~u~~rable to diurnal variation of BC/BrC concentration. ~~In t~~The monthly variation of the BC/BrC ~~is~~ delineated ~~that~~ the peak concentration of BC, BC_{bb}, and BC_{ff}, during March 2022. However, BrC and BB% have maximum concentration during April 2021. BB% and BrC as well as BB and carbon dioxide have a strong significant positive correlation coefficient, which is evidence that biomass burning is a substantial factor in the rise in carbon dioxide levels. In addition to this, there is a strong, positive correlation between CO_2 and BC/BC_{ff}, indicating that burning fossil fuels is also one of the causes of rising CO_2 levels. The net thermal radiation, net solar

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

radiation, and BC, BrC relationship suggested that the BC, and BrC have positive radiative forcing. Furthermore, the monsoon months show the lowest concentrations of BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, and BB%, demonstrating the convective rain (i.e., rain out scavenging) ability to remove a majority of contaminants. Both the BC and BrC reveal evidence of positive radiative feedback.

Data Availability

Data is provided in the 'supplementary section' and for further detail knowledge about it can be available from the corresponding author on the adequate request.

Data link for the data access:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1N4F_fT68syY6n0UIfA6nzI5o-8LUWjyFfk5NpfquRyg/edit?usp=sharing

Conflict of Interest

None conflict of interest.

Authors Contribution

Dr. Pramod Kumar: conceptualization, drafting, writing, figures, and editing

Ms. Khushboo Sharma: sampling, data analysis, and figures.

Ms. Ankita Malu: data analysis, figures, and editing

Mr. Rajeev Rajak: editing

Ms. Aparna Gupta: editing

Mr. Bidyutjyoti Baruah: editing

Mr. Jayant Sharma: sampling

Dr. Shailesh Yadav: editing, and mentoring

Dr. Thupstan Angchuk: editing, and mentoring

Dr. Rakesh Kumar Ranjan: conceptualization, data interpretation, mentoring, and editing.

Dr. Nishchal Wanjari: editing and mentoring.

Dr. Anil Kumar Misra: editing and mentoring.

Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge to the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India, and host department "DST's Centre of Excellence (CoE), at Department of Geology, Sikkim University, DST/CCP/CoE/186/2019 (G)," for the generation of BC/BrC data. We also acknowledge to free data sources used in the study as ERA5, and USGS earth explorer.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Authors appreciate freely available software such as R-studio, QGIS, CDO, and GrADS used for the analysis and visualization. We also acknowledge Anirud Rai, Kuldeep Dutta, Abhinav Tiwari, Richard Rai, and the anonymous persons who~~m~~ so ever have helped and supported ~~for~~ the Black Carbon data collection.

References

Adeeyo, R.O., Edokpayi, J.N., Volenzo, T.E., Odiyo, J.O. and Piketh, S.J., (2022). Determinants of solid fuel use and emission risks among households: insights from Limpopo, South Africa. Toxics, 10(2), p.67. https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics10020067

Aithal, B. H., & MC, C. (2019). Assessing land surface temperature and land use change through spatio-temporal analysis: a case study of select major cities of India. Arabian Journal of Geosciences, 12(11), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-019-4547-1

Ayompe, L.M., Davis, S.J. and Egoh, B.N., (2021). Trends and drivers of African fossil fuel CO2 emissions 1990–2017. Environmental Research Letters, 15(12), p.124039. DOI 10.1088/1748-9326/abc64f

Benesty, J., Chen, J., Huang, Y., and Cohen, I. (2009). Pearson correlation coefficient. In Noise reduction in speech processing (pp. 1-4). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-00296-0_5

Bharali, C., Nair, V. S., Chutia, L., & Babu, S. S. (2019). Modeling of the effects of wintertime aerosols on boundary layer properties over the Indo Gangetic Plain. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 124(7), 4141-4157. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD029758

Bhat, M. A., Romshoo, S. A., & Beig, G. (2022). Characteristics, source apportionment and long-range transport of black carbon at a high-altitude urban centre in the Kashmir valley, North-western Himalaya. Environmental Pollution, 305, 119295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119295

Bisht, D.S., Dumka, U.C., Kaskaoutis, D.G., Pipal, A.S., Srivastava, A.K., Soni, V.K., Attri, S.D., Sateesh, M. and Tiwari, S., (2015). Carbonaceous aerosols and pollutants over Delhi urban environment: temporal evolution, source apportionment and radiative forcing. Science of the Total Environment, 521, 431-445. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.083

Biswas, J. and Bhattacharya, S., (2023). Future changes in monsoon extreme climate indices over the Sikkim Himalayas and West Bengal. Dynamics of Atmospheres and Oceans, 101, p.101346. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dynatmoce.2022.101346

Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J. H., & Klimont, Z. (2004). A technology-based global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109(D14). https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD003697

Brooks, J., Liu, D., Allan, J.D., Williams, P.I., Haywood, J., Highwood, E.J., Kompalli, S.K., Babu, S.S., Satheesh, S.K., Turner, A.G. and Coe, H., (2019). Black carbon physical and optical properties across northern India during pre-monsoon and monsoon seasons. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 19(20), pp.13079-13096. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-13079-2019

Chiodo, G., Polvani, L.M., Marsh, D.R., Stenke, A., Ball, W., Rozanov, E., Muthers, S. and Tsigaridis, K., (2018). The response of the ozone layer to quadrupled CO2 concentrations. Journal of Climate, 31(10), pp.3893-3907. doi: 10.1175/jcli-d-19-0086.1

Davis, W.J., (2017). The relationship between atmospheric carbon dioxide concentration and global temperature for the last 425 million years. Climate, 5(4), p.76. https://doi.org/10.3390/cli5040076

Evangelista, H., Maldonado, J., Godoi, R.H.M., Pereira, E.B., Koch, D., Tanizaki-Fonseca, K., Van Grieken, R., Sampaio, M., Setzer, A., Alencar, A. and Gonçalves, S.C. (2007). Sources and transport of urban and biomass burning aerosol black carbon at the South–West Atlantic Coast. Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 56(3), 225-238. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10874-006-9052-8

Ge, B., Xu, D., Wild, O., Yao, X., Wang, J., Chen, X., Tan, Q., Pan, X. and Wang, Z., (2021). Inter-annual variations of wet deposition in Beijing from 2014–2017: implications of below-cloud scavenging of inorganic aerosols. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 21(12), pp.9441-9454. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-9441-2021

Gupta, P., Singh, S. P., Jangid, A., & Kumar, R. (2017). Characterization of black carbon in the ambient air of Agra, India: Seasonal variation and meteorological influence. Advances in Atmospheric Sciences, 34(9), 1082-1094. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00376-017-6234-z

Hansen, A. D. A., & Schnell, R. C. (2005). The aethalometer. Magee Scientific Company, Berkeley, California, USA, 7.

Hansen, J., Lacis, A., Rind, D., Russell, G., Stone, P., Fung, I., Ruedy, R. and Lerner, J. (1984). Climate sensitivity: Analysis of feedback mechanisms. feedback, 1, 1-3.

Helin, A., Virkkula, A., Backman, J., Pirjola, L., Sippula, O., Aakko-Saksa, P., Väätäinen, S., Mylläri, F., Järvinen, A., Bloss, M. and Aurela, M. (2021). Variation of absorption Ångström exponent in aerosols from different emission sources. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 126(10), 2020JD034094. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JD034094

Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J., Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D. and Simmons, A. (2020). The ERA5 global reanalysis. Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 146(730), 1999-2049. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3803

Huang, Y., Xia, Y. and Tan, X., (2017). On the pattern of CO2 radiative forcing and poleward energy transport. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 122(20), pp.10-578. https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD027221

Igarashi, S., Sasaki, H. & Honda, M. (1988). Influence of pressure gradient upon boundary layer stability and transition. Acta Mechanica 73, 187–198. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01177038

Johnson, R.H. and Hamilton, P.J., (1988). The relationship of surface pressure features to the precipitation and airflow structure of an intense midlatitude squall line. Monthly Weather Review, 116(7), pp.1444-1473. https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0493(1988)116<1444:TROSPF>2.0.CO;2

Johnson, M.A., Garland, C.R., Jagoe, K., Edwards, R., Ndemere, J., Weyant, C., Patel, A., Kithinji, J., Wasirwa, E., Nguyen, T. and Khoi, D.D., (2019). In-home emissions performance of cookstoves in Asia and Africa. Atmosphere, 10(5), p.290. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos10050290

Jung, K.H., Goodwin, K.E., Perzanowski, M.S., Chillrud, S.N., Perera, F.P., Miller, R.L. and Lovinsky-Desir, S., (2021). Personal exposure to black carbon at school and levels of Fractional Exhaled nitric Oxide in New York city. Environmental Health Perspectives, 129(9), p.097005. https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP8985

Jung, C.H., Lee, H.M., Park, D., Yoon, Y.J., Choi, Y., Um, J., Lee, S.S., Lee, J.Y. and Kim, Y.P., (2023). Parameterization of below-cloud scavenging for polydisperse fine mode aerosols as a function of rain intensity. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 132, pp.43-55. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2022.07.031

Karra, K., Kontgis, C., Statman-Weil, Z., Mazzariello, J. C., Mathis, M., & Brumby, S. P. (2021). Global land use/land cover with Sentinel 2 and deep learning. In 2021 IEEE international geoscience and remote sensing symposium IGARSS (pp. 4704-4707). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/IGARSS47720.2021.9553499

Kedia, S., Ramachandran, S., Holben, B. N., & Tripathi, S. N. (2014). Quantification of aerosol type, and sources of aerosols over the Indo-Gangetic Plain. Atmospheric Environment, 98, 607-619. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.09.022

Kirchstetter, T. W., Novakov, T., & Hobbs, P. V. (2004). Evidence that the spectral dependence of light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 109(D21). https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004999

Kiran, V. R., Talukdar, S., Ratnam, M. V., & Jayaraman, A. (2018). Long-term observations of black carbon aerosol over a rural location in southern peninsular India: Role of dynamics and meteorology. Atmospheric Environment, 189, 264-274. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.06.020

Kakkar, A., Rai, P.K., Mishra, V.N. and Singh, P., (2022). Decadal trend analysis of rainfall patterns of past 115 years & its impact on Sikkim, India. Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment, 26, p.100738. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2022.100738

Klimont, Z., Kupiainen, K., Heyes, C., Purohit, P., Cofala, J., Rafaj, P., Borken-Kleefeld, J. and Schöpp, W. (2017). Global anthropogenic emissions of particulate matter including black carbon. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 17(14), 8681-8723. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-8681-2017

Kumar, M., Parmar, K. S., Kumar, D. B., Mhawish, A., Broday, D. M., Mall, R. K., & Banerjee, T. (2018a). Long-term aerosol climatology over Indo-Gangetic Plain: Trend, prediction and potential source fields. Atmospheric environment, 180, 37-50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.02.027

Kumar, M., Raju, M. P., Singh, R. S., & Banerjee, T. (2017). Impact of drought and normal monsoon scenarios on aerosol induced radiative forcing and atmospheric heating in Varanasi over middle Indo-Gangetic Plain. Journal of Aerosol Science, 113, 95-107. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2017.07.016

Kumar, P., Patton, A. P., Durant, J. L., & Frey, H. C. (2018b). A review of factors impacting exposure to PM2.5, ultrafine particles and black carbon in Asian transport microenvironments. Atmospheric environment, 187, 301-316. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.05.046

Kumar, R. R., Soni, V. K., & Jain, M. K. (2020a). Evaluation of spatial and temporal heterogeneity of black carbon aerosol mass concentration over India using three_–year measurements from IMD BC observation network. Science of the Total Environment, 723, 138060. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138060

Kumar, P., Sharma, M.C., Saini, R. and Singh, G.K., (2020b). Climatic variability at Gangtok and Tadong weather observatories in Sikkim, India, during 1961–2017. Scientific reports, 10(1), p.15177. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-71163-y

Kumar, P. and Sharma, M.C., 2023. Frontal changes in medium-sized glaciers in Sikkim, India during 1988–2018: Insights for glacier-climate synthesis over the Himalaya. Iscience, 26(10). DOI: 10.1016/j.isci.2023.107789

Kurokawa, J. and Ohara, T., (2020). Long-term historical trends in air pollutant emissions in Asia: Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) version 3. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20(21), pp.12761-12793. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12761-2020

Laskin, A., Laskin, J., & Nizkorodov, S. A. (2015). Chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon. Chemical reviews, 115(10), 4335-4382. https://doi.org/10.1021/cr5006167

Lee, T., Fisher, M., & Schwarz, W. (1995). Investigation of the effects of a compliant surface on boundary-layer stability. Journal of Fluid Mechanics, 288, 37-58. doi:10.1017/S0022112095001054

Li, S., Zhang, H., Wang, Z., Chen, Y. (2023a). Advances in the Research on Brown Carbon Aerosols: Its Concentrations, Radiative Forcing, and Effects on Climate. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 23, 220336. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.220336

Lin, J., Guo, Y., Li, J., Shao, M. and Yao, P., (2023b). Spatial and temporal characteristics of carbon emission and sequestration of terrestrial ecosystems and their driving factors in mainland China—a case study of 352 prefectural administrative districts. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 11, p.1169427. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2023.1169427

Liu, D., He, C., Schwarz, J.P. and Wang, X., (2020). Lifecycle of light-absorbing carbonaceous aerosols in the atmosphere. NPJ Climate and Atmospheric Science, 3(1), p.40. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-00145-8

Liu, C., Huang, J., Tao, X., Deng, L., Fang, X., Liu, Y., Luo, L., Zhang, Z., Xiao, H.W. and Xiao, H.Y., (2021). An observational study of the boundary-layer entrainment and impact of aerosol radiative effect under aerosol-polluted conditions. Atmospheric Research, 250, p.105348. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105348

Mahmood, R., Pielke Sr, R.A., Hubbard, K.G., Niyogi, D., Bonan, G., Lawrence, P., McNider, R., McAlpine, C., Etter, A., Gameda, S. and Qian, B. (2010). Impacts of land use/land cover change on climate and future research priorities. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, 91(1), 37-46. https://doi.org/10.1175/2009BAMS2769.1

Massabò, D., Caponi, L., Bernardoni, V., Bove, M.C., Brotto, P., Calzolai, G., Cassola, F., Chiari, M., Fedi, M.E., Fermo, P. and Giannoni, M. (2015). Multi-wavelength optical determination of black and brown carbon in atmospheric aerosols. Atmospheric Environment, 108,1-12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.058

Moosmüller, H., Chakrabarty, R. K., Ehlers, K. M., & Arnott, W. P. (2011a). Absorption Ångström coefficient, brown carbon, and aerosols: basic concepts, bulk matter, and spherical particles. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(3), 1217-1225. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.8b00118

Moteki, N., (2023). Climate-relevant properties of black carbon aerosols revealed by in situ measurements: a review. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 10(1), pp.1-16. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-023-00544-4

Ohata, S., Moteki, N., Mori, T., Koike, M. and Kondo, Y., (2016). A key process controlling the wet removal of aerosols: new observational evidence. Scientific reports, 6(1), p.34113. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep34113

Osborne, S. R., Johnson, B. T., Haywood, J. M., Baran, A. J., Harrison, M. A. J., & McConnell, C. L. (2008). Physical and optical properties of mineral dust aerosol during the Dust and Biomass-burning Experiment. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113(D23). https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009551

Park, RJ, Kim, MJ, Jeong, JI, Youn, D., & Kim, S. (2010). A contribution of brown carbon aerosol to the aerosol light absorption and its radiative forcing in East Asia. Atmospheric Environment, 44 (11), 1414-1421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.01.042

Pearson, K. (1909). Determination of the coefficient of correlation. Science, 30(757), 23-25. DOI:10.1126/science.30.757.23

Pierrehumbert, R. T. (2014). Short-lived climate pollution. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 42, 341-379. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-earth-060313-054843

Prabhu, V., Soni, A., Madhwal, S., Gupta, A., Sundriyal, S., Shridhar, V., Sreekanth, V. and Mahapatra, P.S., (2020). Black carbon and biomass burning associated high pollution episodes observed at Doon valley in the foothills of the Himalayas. Atmospheric Research, 243, p.105001. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.105001

Rahman, H., Karuppaiyan, R., Senapati, P.C., Ngachan, S.V. and Kumar, A., (2012). An analysis of past three decade weather phenomenon in the mid-hills of Sikkim and strategies for mitigating possible impact of climate change on agriculture. Climate change in Sikkim: Patterns, impacts and initiatives, pp.1-18. http://sikkimforest.gov.in/climate-change-in-sikkim/2-chapter-An%20analysis%20of%20past%20three%20decade%20weather.pdf

Ramachandran, S., & Rupakheti, M. (2022). Trends in the types and absorption characteristics of ambient aerosols over the Indo-Gangetic Plain and North China Plain in last two decades. Science of The Total Environment, 831, 154867. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154867

Ramachandran, S., Rupakheti, M., & Lawrence, M. G. (2020). Black carbon dominates the aerosol absorption over the Indo-Gangetic Plain and the Himalayan foothills. Environment international, 142, 105814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105814

Ramanathan, V., & Carmichael, G. (2008). Global and regional climate changes due to black carbon. Nature geoscience, 1(4), 221-227. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo156

Rana, A., Rawat, P. and Sarkar, S., (2023). Sources, transport pathways and radiative effects of BC aerosol during 2018–2020 at a receptor site in the eastern Indo-Gangetic Plain. Atmospheric Environment, p.119900. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119900

Rathod, T. D., & Sahu, S. K. (2022). Measurements of optical properties of black and brown carbon using multi-wavelength absorption technique at Mumbai, India. Journal of Earth System Science, 131(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-021-01774-0

Rathod, T., Sahu, S. K., Tiwari, M., Yousaf, A., Bhangare, R. C., & Pandit, G. G. (2017). Light absorbing properties of brown carbon generated from pyrolytic combustion of household biofuels. Aerosol and Air Quality Research, 17(1), 108-116. https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2015.11.0639

Reddy, M. S., & Venkataraman, C. (2002a). Inventory of aerosol and sulphur dioxide emissions from India: I—Fossil fuel combustion. Atmospheric Environment, 36(4), 677-697. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00463-0

Reddy, M. S., & Venkataraman, C. (2002b). Inventory of aerosol and sulphur dioxide emissions from India. Part II—biomass combustion. Atmospheric Environment, 36(4), 699-712. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00464-2

Runa, F., Islam, M., Jeba, F., & Salam, A. (2022). Light absorption properties of brown carbon from biomass burning emissions. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 29(14), 21012-21022. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-17220-z

Sankar, T.K., Ambade, B., Mahato, D.K., Kumar, A. and Jangde, R., (2023). Anthropogenic fine aerosol and black carbon distribution over urban environment. Journal of Umm Al-Qura University for Applied Sciences, pp.1-10. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43994-023-00055-4

Sarkar, A., (2018). A generalized relationship between atmospheric pressure and precipitation associated with a passing weather system. MAUSAM, 69(1), pp.133-140. DOI: 10.54302/mausam.v69i1.242

Sharma, K., Ranjan, R.K., Lohar, S., Sharma, J., Rajak, R., Gupta, A., Prakash, A. and Pandey, A.K. (2022). Black Carbon Concentration during Spring Season at High Altitude Urban Center in Eastern Himalayan Region of India. Asian Journal of Atmospheric Environment (AJAE), 16(1). https://doi.org/10.5572/ajae.2021.149

Shindell, D., Kuylenstierna, J.C., Vignati, E., van Dingenen, R., Amann, M., Klimont, Z., Anenberg, S.C., Muller, N., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Raes, F. and Schwartz, J. (2012). Simultaneously mitigating near-term climate change and improving human health and food security. Science, 335(6065), 183-189. DOI: 10.1126/science.1210026

Shaddick, G., Thomas, M.L., Mudu, P., Ruggeri, G. and Gumy, S., (2020). Half the world's population are exposed to increasing air pollution. NPJ Climate and Atmospheric Science, 3(1), p.23. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-020-0124-2

Shukla, K. K., Sarangi, C., Attada, R., & Kumar, P. (2022). Characteristic dissimilarities during high aerosol loading days between western and eastern Indo-Gangetic Plain. Atmospheric Environment, 269, 118837. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2021.118837

Sloss, L. (2012). Black carbon emissions in India. CCC/209. IEA Clean Coal Centre, London, 38.

Stevens, B., & Feingold, G. (2009). Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a buffered system. Nature, 461(7264), 607-613. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08281

Stjern, C.W., Forster, P.M., Jia, H., Jouan, C., Kasoar, M.R., Myhre, G., Olivié, D., Quaas, J., Samset, B.H., Sand, M. and Takemura, T., (2023). The Time Scales of Climate Responses to Carbon Dioxide and Aerosols. Journal of Climate, 36(11), pp.3537-3551. https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-22-0513.1

Sun, Y., Hao, Q., Cui, C., Shan, Y., Zhao, W., Wang, D., Zhang, Z. and Guan, D., (2022). Emission accounting and drivers in East African countries. Applied Energy, 312, p.118805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2022.118805

Takemura, T., & Suzuki, K. (2019). Weak global warming mitigation by reducing black carbon emissions. Scientific reports, 9(1), 1-6. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41181-6

Venkataraman, C., Habib, G., Kadamba, D., Shrivastava, M., Leon, J.F., Crouzille, B., Boucher, O. and Streets, D.G. (2006). Emissions from open biomass burning in India: Integrating the inventory approach with high-resolution Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) active-fire and land cover data. Global biogeochemical cycles, 20(2). https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002547

Watham, T., Padalia, H., Srinet, R., Nandy, S., Verma, P. A., & Chauhan, P. (2021). Seasonal dynamics and impact factors of atmospheric CO2 concentration over subtropical forest canopies: observation from eddy covariance tower and OCO-2 satellite in Northwest Himalaya, India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 193(2), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-08896-4

Wang, Q., Liu, H., Ye, J., Tian, J., Zhang, T., Zhang, Y., Liu, S. and Cao, J., (2020). Estimating Absorption Ångström Exponent of Black Carbon Aerosol by Coupling Multiwavelength Absorption with Chemical Composition. Environmental Science & Technology Letters, 8(2), pp.121-127. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00829

Wang, L., Jin, W., Sun, J., Zhi, G., Li, Z., Zhang, Y., Guo, S., He, J. and Zhao, C., (2021). Seasonal features of brown carbon in northern China: Implications for BrC emission control. Atmospheric Research, 257, p.105610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105610

Wu, Y., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., Liu, X., Tang, Y., Wang, Y., Zhang, R. and Li, Z., (2022). The wet scavenging of air pollutants through artificial precipitation enhancement: A case study in the Yangtze River Delta. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 10, p.1027902. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2022.1027902

Xiao-lei, C. H. U., L. U. Zhong, W. E. I. Dan, and L. E. I. Guo-ping., (2022). Effects of land use/cover change on temporal and spatial variability of precipitation and temperature in the Songnen Plain of China. Journal of Integrative Agriculture 21, no. 1: 235. doi: 10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63495-5

Yasunari, T., Bonasoni, P., Laj, P., Fujita, K., Vuillermoz, E., Marinoni, A., Cristofanelli, P., Duchi, R., Tartari, G. and Lau, K.M. (2010). Estimated impact of black carbon deposition during pre-monsoon season from Nepal Climate Observatory–Pyramid data and snow albedo changes over Himalayan glaciers. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10(14), 6603-6615. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-6603-2010

Yoo, J.M., Lee, Y.R., Kim, D., Jeong, M.J., Stockwell, W.R., Kundu, P.K., Oh, S.M., Shin, D.B. and Lee, S.J., (2014). New indices for wet scavenging of air pollutants (O3, CO, NO2, SO2, and PM10) by summertime rain. Atmospheric Environment, 82, pp.226-237. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.10.022

Yue, S., Zhu, J., Chen, S., Xie, Q., Li, W., Li, L., Ren, H., Su, S., Li, P., Ma, H. and Fan, Y. (2022). Brown carbon from biomass burning imposes strong circum-Arctic warming. One Earth, 5(3), 293-304. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2022.02.006

Zhang, R., Jing, J., Tao, J., Hsu, S.-C., Wang, G., Cao, J., Lee, C. S. L., Zhu, L., Chen, Z., Zhao, Y., and Shen, Z. (2013). Chemical characterization and source apportionment of PM2.5 in Beijing: seasonal perspective, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7053–7074, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-7053-2013

Zhang, A., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y., Weber, R.J., Song, Y., Ke, Z. and Zou, Y., (2020). Modeling the global radiative effect of brown carbon: a potentially larger heating source in the tropical free troposphere than black carbon. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 20(4), pp.1901-1920. https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-1901-2020

Zhu, C.S., Qu, Y., Huang, H., Chen, J., Dai, W.T., Huang, R.J. and Cao, J.J., (2021). Black carbon and secondary brown carbon, the dominant light absorption and direct radiative forcing contributors of the atmospheric aerosols over the Tibetan Plateau. Geophysical research letters, 48(11), p.e2021GL092524. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL092524

List of Figures



Figure 1. The study location and land use land cover for 2000, 2010, 2020, and 2021 for December over Gangtok and Sikkim region using ~~landsat~~Landsat-5, ~~landsat~~Landsat-8, and S~~s~~entinel-2 data sets.


(a) Diurnal
BC
BCbb
BCff
BrC
BB%
CO2
μg/m3
%
ppm
Time
(b) Diurnal
DewPT
Temp
SrfPres
Windspeed
TP
Rh
°C
hPa
m/s
mm
%
Time



Figure 2. (a) The hourly observation of Black Carbon, Black Carbon through biomass burning, Black Carbon through fossil fuel, Brown Carbon, Biomass Burning percentage and Carbon Dioxide (BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, BB%, and CO_2, respectively) (The corresponding unit for BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC: $\mu g/m^3$; BB%: % and CO_2: ppm) for 16th March 2021 to 10th March 2022 over study location (lat:27.32; lon:88.61). The light colour shading refers to $\pm\sigma$ standard deviation for each variable. (b) Same as ~~figure~~ Figure 2a, but for meteorological parameters such as dewpoint temperature (DewPT), temperature (Temp), surface pressure (SrfPres), windspeed, total precipitation (TP), and relative humidity (Rh) ~~during~~ from 16th March 2021 to 10th March 2022.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript



Figure 3. (a) The daily mean of Black Carbon, Black Carbon through biomass burning, Black Carbon through fossil fuel, Brown Carbon, Biomass Burning percentage and Carbon Dioxide (BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, BB%, and CO_2, respectively) (The corresponding unit for BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC: µg/m3; BB%: % and CO_2: ppm) for 16th March 2021 to 10th March 2022 over study location (lat:27.32; lon:88.61). The light colour shading refers to ±σ standard deviation for each variable. (b) same as ~~figure~~ Figure 3a, but for meteorological parameters such as dewpoint temperature (DewPT), temperature (Temp), surface pressure (SrfPres), Windspeed, total precipitation (TP), and relative humidity (Rh) ~~during~~ from 1st January 2021 to 31st March 2022.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript



Figure 4. (a) The monthly mean of Black Carbon, Black Carbon through biomass burning, Black Carbon through fossil fuel, Brown Carbon, Biomass Burning percentage and Carbon Dioxide (BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, BB%, and CO_2, respectively) (The corresponding unit for BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC: µg/m3; BB%: % and CO_2: ppm) for 16th March 2021 to 10th March 2022 over study location (lat:27.32; lon:88.61). The error bar shows ±σ standard deviation for each variable. (b) Same as figure Figure 4a, but for meteorological parameters such as dewpoint temperature (DewPT), temperature (Temp), surface pressure (SrfPres), windspeed, total precipitation (TP), and relative humidity (Rh) during January 2021 to March 2022.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript



Figure 5. Correlation among BC, BC_{bb}, BC_{ff}, BrC, BB%, CO_2 and, dewpoint temperature (DTmp), temperature (Temp), surface pressure (Press), Wind, total precipitation (TP), Relative humidity (Rh), net solar radiation (SSR), and net thermal radiation (STR). The (***) shows 99% significance, (**) shows 95% significance, (*) 90% significance, and () shows no significance. The correlation coefficient values (-0.3 to -0.49) or (0.3 to 0.49) are considered as ‘a good correlation’, and values ≤ (-0.5) or ≥ (0.5) are considered as ““a strong correlation””.

Formatted: Subscript

Formatted: Subscript


ERA-5 0.25deg : Circulation(m/s) at 2m and Total Precipitatin(mm/month)
(a) JAN 2021
(b) FEB 2021
(c) MAR 2021
(d) APR 2021
(e) MAY 2021
(f) JUN 2021
(g) JUL 2021
(h) AUG 2021
(i) SEP 2021
(j) OCT 2021
(k) NOV 2021
(l) DEC 2021
(m) JAN 2022
(n) FEB 2022
(o) MAR 2022
(Unit:mm/month)
(TotalPrecipitation)
(Unit:m/s)
(Streamlines;
Circulation)
800
750
700
650
600
550
500
450
400
350
300
250
200
150
100
50


ERA-5 0.25deg : Circulation(m/s) at 2m and Total Precipitatin(mm/month)
(a) JAN 2021
(b) FEB 2021
(c) MAR 2021
(d) APR 2021
(e) MAY 2021
(f) JUN 2021
(g) JUL 2021
(h) AUG 2021
(i) SEP 2021
(j) OCT 2021
(k) NOV 2021
(l) DEC 2021

Figure 6. Monthly total precipitation (cumulative) and wind circulation pattern during January 2021 to March 2022. The Shading shows precipitation patterns, and the streamline shows wind circulation. The (+) mark is a representation of the sampling location.


ERA-5 0.25deg : CloudCover(fraction) and ConvectiveRainRate(mm/month)
(a) JAN 2021
(b) FEB 2021
(c) MAR 2021
(d) APR 2021
(e) MAY 2021
(f) JUN 2021
(g) JUL 2021
(h) AUG 2021
(i) SEP 2021
(j) OCT 2021
(k) NOV 2021
(l) DEC 2021
(m) JAN 2022
(n) FEB 2022
(o) MAR 2022
405
355
305
255
205
155
105
55
5
(ConvectiveRainRate Unit:mm/month)
(Contour;CloudCover Unit:fraction)



Figure 7. Monthly convective rain and total cloud cover during January 2021 to March 2022. The shading shows a convective rain pattern, and the contour shows a total cloud cover fraction. The (+) mark is a representation of the sampling location.

List of Tables

Table 1. The details of datasets used for the present study.

Variables	Data sets	Years (Span)	Resolution		Source	Reference
			Temporal	Horizontal		
Black and Brown Carbon	Observation and analysis, data generated using Aethalometer AE33	March 2021- March 2022	Weekly	Point Location (Gangtok)	Original data generated	Present Study
Total precipitation	ERA5 (ECMWF)	2021 to 2022	Hourly	0.25^{o} * 0.25^{o}	ECMWF https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/cdsapp#!/dataset/reanalysis-era5-single-levels?tab=form	Hersbach et al., 2020
Relative humidity						
Temperature (2 meter)						
Wind (surface wind)						
Surface pressure						
Dewpoint temperature						
Net solar, and thermal radiation downward						
LULC	LandSat-5, LandSat-8 and earth explorer USGS	December 2000, December 2010, December 2020	2000, 2010, 2020	30m, 30m	earth explorer USGS. https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/	earth explorer USGS.
LULC	Sentinel-2 Esri Inc.	December 2021	2021	10 m	Esri Inc. https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=d3da5dd386d140cf93fc9ecbf8da5e31	Karra et. al., 2021