# Soil organic carbon stocks did not change after 130 years of afforestation on a former Swiss Alpine pasture

Tatjana C. Speckert<sup>1</sup>, Jeannine Suremann<sup>1</sup>, Konstantin Gavazov<sup>2</sup>, Maria J. Santos<sup>1</sup>, Frank Hagedorn<sup>2</sup>, Guido L. B. Wiesenberg<sup>1</sup>

<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich <sup>2</sup> Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape Research, Zürcherstrasse 111, 8903 Birmensdorf

Correspondence to: Tatjana C. Speckert (tatjanacarina.speckert@geo.uzh.ch)

**Abstract.** Soil organic matter (SOM) plays an important role in the global carbon cycle, especially in alpine ecosystems. However, ongoing forest expansion in high elevation systems potentially alters SOM storage through changes in organic matter

- 10 (OM) inputs and microclimate. In this study we investigated the effects of an *Picea abies* L. afforestation chrono-sequence (0 to 130 years) of a former subalpine pasture in Switzerland on soil organic carbon (SOC) stocks and SOM dynamics. We found that SOC stocks remained constant throughout the chrono-sequence, with comparable SOC stocks in the mineral soils after afforestation and previous pasture (SOC forest<sub>40</sub> = 11.6 ± 1.1 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, SOC forest<sub>130</sub> = 11.0 ± 0.3 kg m<sup>-2</sup>, and SOC pasture =  $11.5 \pm 0.5$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>). However, including the additional carbon of the organic horizons in the forest, reaching up to 1.7 kg m<sup>-2</sup> in
- the 55-year-old forest, resulted in an increase in overall SOC stocks following afforestation. We found that the soil C:N ratio in the mineral soil increased in the topsoil (0-5cm) with increasing forest stand age, from  $11.9 \pm 1.3$  in the pasture to  $14.3 \pm 1.8$  in the 130-year-old forest. In turn, we observed a decrease in soil C:N ratio with increasing depth in all forest stand ages. This suggests that litter-derived organic matter (C:N from  $35.1 \pm 1.9$  to  $42.4 \pm 10.8$ ) is likely incorporated and translocated from the organic horizon to the mineral topsoil (0-10 cm) of the profiles. Due to the high root C:N ratio (pasture  $63.5 \pm 2.8$
- and forests between  $54.7 \pm 3.9$  and  $61.2 \pm 2.9$ ), particulate root-derived organic matter seems to have a rather small effect on forest soil C:N ratios as well as on SOC accumulation in the mineral soil. These results suggest that, although afforestation does not change the SOC stock in the mineral soil, there is an apparent alteration in the SOM dynamics through changes of the litter composition caused by the vegetation shift. We conclude, that at our study site, spruce afforestation on a former subalpine pasture does not change the total SOC stock and that consequently there is no SOC sequestration on a decadal to
- 25 centennial scale.

# **1** Introduction

- 30 Soil organic matter (SOM) plays an important role in the global carbon cycle, and it is essential for soil fertility and nutrient availability (Prietzel et al., 2016). Furthermore, it increases soil stability and consequently reduces the risk of soil erosion (Garcia-Pausas et al., 2017). Especially in mountain ecosystems, SOM is of particular importance, as it forms thick organic layers (Pizzeghello et al., 2017), and contributes significantly to slope stability (Djukic et al., 2010). In alpine environments, cold temperatures result in slower decomposition and lead to more labile particulate SOM compared to temperate soils
- 35 (Hagedorn et al., 2019; Garcia-Pausas et al., 2017). Specifically, alpine grasslands contain proportionally more easily decomposable plant residues compared with temperate soils (Zimmermann et al., 2007). This makes alpine SOM extremely sensitive to climate warming (Hagedorn et al., 2010). With global warming, accelerated decomposition of SOM will lead to SOM losses, which increases CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from soil that enhance the feedback on climate change (Prietzel et al., 2016, Soong et al., 2021).
- 40 Afforestation of former pastures is one promising measure of mitigating rising atmospheric  $CO_2$  levels and consequently also global warming, as it typically contributes to carbon sequestration in biomass (Bastin et al., 2019). In the European Alps, the conversion of pastures to forests is the dominant land-use change due to land abandonment (Zimmermann et al., 2010), resulting in an expansion of the forest area by one third during the last 150 years (Brändli, 2020). Afforestation of pastures directly affects SOM dynamics through alteration of OM inputs, as well as through the quality of root and plant litter, and
- 45 changes in soil properties (De Deyn et al., 2008; Hiltbrunner et al., 2013). In addition, mycorrhizal nutrient mining associated with ectomycorrhizal tree species can result in a net carbon loss from the mineral soil (Clemmensen et al., 2015; Friggens et al., 2020). In pastures, the OM input usually occurs through root turnover and rhizodeposition (Solly et al., 2013). In forests, however, OM accumulates in tree biomass, as well as in the organic horizons (Peichl et al., 2012). While the increase in aboveground OM following afforestation is widely demonstrated (Thuille and Schulze, 2006; Risch et al., 2008; Guidi et al.,
- 50 2014; Smal et al., 2019), the effects on belowground OM are more controversial (Hong et al., 2020). Numerous studies reported contrasting results concerning carbon sequestration following afforestation including decrease of SOC stocks (Guo et al., 2007), initial decrease followed by increase of SOC stocks after decades (Thuille and Schulze, 2006), increase of SOC stocks (Grünzweig et al., 2007; Risch et al., 2008; Poeplau and Don, 2013), and no effects on the SOC stocks (Davis et al., 2007). These diverging trends are likely context-dependent, e.g., depend on environmental and soil properties, tree species as well as
- 55 forest age (De Gryze et al., 2004; Guo and Gifford, 2002; Paul et al., 2002). To date most studies have focused on the upper soil horizons (e.g., 0-5 cm, Pérez-Cruzado et al., 2014) leaving gaps in our understanding of what happens at greater soil depths. For example, a decrease in SOC stock was observed following afforestation of previously SOC-rich soils with the largest decrease between 10-20 cm depth in an afforested area in Northern China (Hong et al., 2020). Also, forest age affects SOM dynamics by directly altering the litter input and its decomposition due to less decomposable compounds with an
- 60 increased C:N ratio (Gunina et al.,2017). Therefore, it could be expected that longer afforestation periods (> 100 years) might have different effects on SOM dynamics than shorter ones. This effect of forest ages on SOC stock changes remains an

underexplored aspect as frequently relatively young afforestation sequences have been studied that typically range between 30 and 50 years (Guo et al., 2007; Grünzweig et al., 2007; Strand et al., 2021) and only a handful have investigated afforestation sequences older than 80 years (Thuille and Schulze, 2006; Hiltbrunner et al., 2013).

- 65 Tree species composition of forests can also have effects on SOM dynamics, as for example the SOC stock and SOC accumulation in the organic horizons is generally higher under coniferous forest compared to mixed-deciduous forests (Gosheva et al., 2017). Organic horizons are typically rich in OM that has not been stabilized by interactions with minerals and they are thus more responsive to environmental changes compared to mineral soils (Yanai et al., 2003). Further, afforestation using coniferous trees on a former pasture result in a considerable change of the rooting system with lower fine
- 70 root biomass, and higher root C:N ratio and lignin content (Hiltbrunner et al., 2013) compared to pasture. This can result in a lower belowground carbon input into mineral soil. Pastures, on the other hand, are characterized by a higher fine root biomass (< 2 mm) with higher turnover rates and may thus provide a greater carbon input into SOC than forests (Solly et al., 2013). The objectives of this study were to investigate SOC stocks and the long-term carbon sequestration potential of an afforestation chrono-sequence of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.) on a former pasture in the Swiss Alps. The specific aims were i) to examine
- 75 whether SOC and nitrogen stocks changed in relation to different forest stand ages (0- 130 years), ii) to identify the potential sources of SOM, and iii) to identify whether C and N dynamics are affected by litter input and quality of forest stand ages (0 130 years). We hypothesized that with increasing forest stand age after pasture conversion there is i) an increase in the SOC stock due to the changes in litter input and quality towards higher C:N ratios and less easily decomposable OM (e.g., less herbaceous leaves and more needles and woody tissues). Further we expect ii) an increase in SOC accumulation in the organic
- 80 horizons with increasing forest age but declining SOC stocks in the mineral soil due to a shift from more root-derived carbon input in the pasture towards more aboveground litter-derived carbon input in the forest sites.

#### 2 Material and Methods

#### 2.1 Study site

Our study was conducted on a south-exposed slope located above the village of Jaun in the Canton of Fribourg, Switzerland

- 85 [7°15'54 E; 46°37'17 N] at an altitude between 1450 and 1600 meters above sea level (Fig. 1). The soil was classified according to WRB (2014) as a Leptic Eutric Cambisol Clayic for both, pasture (clay: 60 %, silt: 30 %, sand: 8 %) and forest areas (clay: 50 %, silt: 35 %, sand: 12 %). Mean air temperature is 11.4 °C in summer and 0.6°C in winter with a mean annual precipitation of 1250 mm (Hiltbrunner et al., 2013). The pasture has been used for cattle grazing in the past (Hiltbrunner et al., 2013). After severe avalanches in 1954 and 1968 (municipality of Jaun, 2021), the pasture was gradually afforested with Norway spruce
- 90 (*Picea abies* L.). This human-induced afforestation process lasted several decades and resulted in stand ages between 40 and 55 years. An old forest stand (>130 years) has been covered by trees for a much longer period as assessed by tree rings and some strongly decomposed stumps that also revealed tree ring ages of more than 120 years, but strong decomposition hampered exact counting. However, the exact stand age for this old forest could not be accessed even by historical maps, which is the

reason, why we call this a 130-year-old forest. All tree ages were estimated based on tree diameter and tree rings and verified

95 by aerial photographs and historical maps. The plant community on pasture soils mainly consisted of herbaceous species with ribgrass (*Plantago lanceolata* L.) and reed fescue (*Festuca arundinacea* Schreb.) as dominant species. Norway spruce (*Picea abies* L.) was the dominant species in all forest stand ages.

#### 2.2 Sampling design

In our project, we focus on four different forest ages of the afforestation sequence: Pasture (0-year-old forest) as control and forest stand ages of 40 years, 55 years, and 130 years. These were chosen because of a moderate elevation gradient and comparable soil properties among and within the different forest stand ages (Hiltbrunner et al., 2013), which allows to investigate changes in SOC composition over several decades. Further, our choice was supported by field inspections, including terrain and soil properties. The size of the individual forest stand ages ranges from ca. 0.55 ha (40-year-old) to ca. 0.7 ha (130-year-old) to 1.3 ha (55-year-old). For every forest stand age, five individual plots with a size of 10 m x 10 m were distributed along an elevational gradient over the entire area of the respective forest and pasture, respectively (Fig. 1).

## 2.3 Sampling and sample preparation

The sampling campaign was conducted in July 2020. Forest canopy cover and the number of trees was determined in the field for all five plots (Fig.1) in all forest stand ages in a squared area of 10m x 10m at each plot, with the soil pit located in its centre, if a soil pit was prepared. Canopy cover was determined by the photographs taken during filed work, which enabled

- 110 the assessment of the canopy cover by pixel. The number of dead and living spruce trees as well as the number of deciduous trees in the same area were recorded in relation to forest age. The number of trees were assessed from living and recently dead trees (< 3-5years) as they might have contributed to the litterfall until our sampling campaign in 2020. Trees, which were cut off before were not counted. Material of organic soil horizons (O-horizons) was collected in July 2020 on three plots (identical to those plots for the soil pits; Fig. 1) in the 40-, 55-, and in the 130-year-old forest stands (N = 27; n = 3). The samples of the</p>
- O-horizons were separated into Oi (slightly decomposed organic material), Oe (moderately decomposed organic material), and Oa (highly decomposed organic material; Jahn et al., 2006), and their thickness was measured during field work. O-horizons were lacking in the pasture area and therefore not collected and measured. Five soil pits in the pasture area (0-year-old) as well as three soil pits for each forest stand age (40-, 55-, and 130-year-old) were prepared with dimensions of at least 100 cm width x 50 cm depth. The slope ranged between 25-30° at all sites. Within the soil pits (5 in pasture area and 3 in each forest stand
- age, respectively), slope-parallel levels were prepared, and roots were counted on these levels using a grid of 50 cm x 50 cm, according to Gocke et al. (2016). In addition to the counting on slope-parallel levels, roots were counted on three profile walls using the same grid as above to identify the possible sources of SOM as well as to get an overview of the 3-dimensional distribution and variation of root abundance in the soil. The mineral soil samples (N = 118) were taken with two volumetric steel cylinders (100 cm<sup>3</sup>) on these slope-parallel levels that were incrementally increased by 5 cm to a maximum depth of 45
- 125 cm (pasture n = 5; forest areas n = 3). Bulk density of the mineral soil was determined in every 5cm interval using the volume

of the steel cylinders (100 m<sup>3</sup>) and the mass of the dried soil after stone removal (Table S1). All soil samples were stored in open plastic bags until their arrival in the laboratory, where they were stored at -20°C. O-horizon material was freeze-dried and weighed until constant weight. Bulk density of the organic horizons was not obtained as samples of the organic horizons were collected in an area of 0.25m x 0.25m and upscaled to one m<sup>2</sup>. Afterwards, the Oi-horizon material was separated into

- 130 residues of spruce cones, twigs, needles, leaves, moss, lichens, mycorrhiza, and other organisms. Mineral soil samples were oven dried (40 °C) until constant weight and passed through a 2 mm sieve to remove any stones, roots, and plant residues (Ofiti et al., 2021). Afterwards, roots (N = 180) were manually removed with tweezers and separated into fine (< 2 mm; pasture N = 40; forest<sub>40</sub> N = 21; forest<sub>55</sub> N = 10; forest<sub>130</sub> = 21) and coarse (2 5mm; pasture N = 12; forest<sub>40</sub> N = 25; forest<sub>55</sub> N = 25; forest<sub>130</sub> N = 26) roots (pasture n = 5; forest n = 3). For further analysis, however, the root samples were combined (0-5mm),
- 135 on the one hand, due to less fine root material particularly in deeper soil horizons in forest stands and, on the other hand, to obtain representative and overall comparable result between pasture and forested areas. Soil particles that were attached to the roots were removed by washing the roots with deionized water (Solly et al., 2013) in a sonication bath (Richter-Heitmann et al., 2016). Roots were afterwards dried at 40°C until constant weight (Solly et al., 2013).

## 2.4 Laboratory analysis

- 140 A subsample of all dried samples was ground in a ball mill (MM400, Retsch, Haan, Germany). The milled and homogenized mineral soil sample were acidified with HCl to remove carbonates, washed with deionized water, and afterwards dried in the oven at 40°C (Volk et al., 2018). Results of elemental analysis were corrected for mass loss. Dried root samples were combined (0-5 mm) due to low amounts of the fine root samples. Material of the roots, organic horizons, and mineral soil samples before and after carbonate removal were analysed in duplicate for carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) concentrations as well as stable carbon
- isotope (δ<sup>13</sup>C) composition using a Thermo Fisher Scientific Flash HT Elemental Analyser, coupled to a Delta V Plus isotope ratio mass spectrometer via ConFlo IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bremen, Germany). The calibration was performed with caffeine (Merck, Germany), and a soil reference sample originated from a haplic Chernozem soil (clay: 19 %, fine sand: 53 %; Harsum, northern Germany; University of Zurich, 2023). The results of the δ<sup>13</sup>C values are presented in per mil (‰) relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB) standard. For the mineral soil samples, total nitrogen (TN concentrations and stocks) was derived from the measurements without carbonate removal, while soil organic carbon (SOC concentrations and stocks) was obtained from the samples after carbonate removal.

## 2.5 Data analysis

155

Total SOC and TN stock per unit area [kg m<sup>-2</sup>] for the organic horizons were calculated per individual plot based on their organic carbon concentration C [%] multiplied by the dry weight DW [g] of the respective sample upscaled to one  $m^2$  (multiplied by 16) Eq. (1):

$$X[kg \ m^{-2}] = (C * DW) * 16 \tag{1}$$

Total SOC and TN stocks per unit area [kg m<sup>-2</sup>] of the mineral soil were calculated per individual plot by first calculating the SOC and TN stocks per individual depth interval [0.05m] using the soil thickness D of the horizon [m], its bulk density  $\rho$  [g cm<sup>-3</sup>], its SOC concentration C [%], and 10 as a unit conversion factor Eq. (2):

160 
$$X[kg m^{-2}] = (C * D * \rho) * 10$$
, (2)

where X represents the SOC and TN stocks [kg m<sup>-2</sup>], respectively, of the individual depth interval. The total SOC and TN stocks of the entire soil to a given depth (0-45 cm) were calculated as follows Eq. (3):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{h} Xi \tag{3}$$

whereby h is the number of the 5cm depth interval from 0 to 45 cm (h = 9, except for one plot in the pasture where h = 7). We

165 then calculated the average  $\pm$  standard error (SE) for each stand age for SOC and TN stocks as well as  $\delta^{13}$ C values of mineral soil, organic horizons, and roots, respectively.

To test whether SOC, TN, and  $\delta^{13}$ C values differed between land use practices (pasture or forest) or among individual stand ages we used a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA, p < 0.05) followed by a post-hoc Tukey HSD test (p adj < 0.95). The difference in the vegetation composition in context of forest age was also tested using ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Tukey

170 HSD test. The effect of afforestation on the SOM dynamics were tested by mixed-effect models with forest age as predictor and soil depth as a fixed effect for each depth interval and the distinct plots as random effect in the stand age classes in order to reflect the grouped sampling within soil pits. We tested model fit with REML method using the *nlme* package setting the significance level at 0.05. Data analysis was performed with R software 4.0.4 (R Core Team, 2020).

## 3. Results

180

# 175 **3.1 Vegetation composition**

The number of living spruce trees was significantly higher in the 40-year-old forest (forest<sub>40</sub> = 44 ± 10 A<sup>-1</sup>, forest<sub>55</sub> = 18 ± 2 A<sup>-1</sup>, forest<sub>130</sub> = 13 ± 1 A<sup>-1</sup>) than in other forest stand ages ( $F_{(2, 12)} = 9.55$ , p = 0.003; Fig. 2). Further, we also found that the 55-year-old forest had a significantly higher number of dead spruce trees (forest<sub>55</sub> = 6 ± 1 A<sup>-1</sup>, forest<sub>40</sub> = 1 ± 1 A<sup>-1</sup>, forest<sub>130</sub> = 2 ± 1 A<sup>-1</sup>) than the other stands ( $F_{(2, 12)} = 4.94$ , p = 0.027). The 130-year-old forest showed a higher number of broadleaf tree species (forest<sub>130</sub> = 8 ± 4 A<sup>-1</sup>, forest<sub>55</sub> = 4 ± 1 A<sup>-1</sup>, forest<sub>40</sub> = 1 ± 1 A<sup>-1</sup>) than the other stand ages ( $F_{(2, 12)} = 2.44$ , p = 0.129). The largest (65 ± 5 %) canopy cover was observed in the 130-year-old forest, followed by the 55-year-old (48 ± 3 %) and 40-year-old forest (58 ± 5 %), but these were not significantly different ( $F_{(2, 12)} = 3.24$ , p = 0.075).

# 3. 2 Macroscopic organic matter remains in upper (Oi) organic horizons

In all forest stand ages, spruce cones, and branches were significantly more abundant in the Oi-horizon of all forest stand ages ( $F_{(5, 8)} = 9.161$ , p = 0.004; Table S2) in comparison to moss or grass residues. The highest proportion of cone residues was found in the 55-year-old forest (65 mass - % of the Oi horizon), followed by the 130-year-old (58 mass - %) and 40-year-old forests (33 mass - %). Also needles made up almost one fourth (25 %) of the mass in Oi-horizons of the 40-year-old forest but only around 10 % in the 55-year-old (5 %) and 130-year-old forest (8 %).

# 3. 3 Root biomass and frequency

We found significant differences in the fine root biomass (0-2 mm) between pasture and the different forest ages (F<sub>(3, 88)</sub> = 5.21, p = 0.002). Highest fine root biomass (0-45 cm) was found in the pasture (184.9 ± 39.5 g m<sup>-2</sup>) and lowest fine root biomass was observed in the 55-year-old forest (2.9 ± 1.4 g m<sup>-2</sup>; Fig. 3a). Highest coarse root biomass (2-5mm) was found in the 130-year-old forest (683.5 ± 202.1 g m<sup>-2</sup>) and lowest in pasture areas (274.2 ± 209.2 g m<sup>-2</sup>). However, the overall differences between pasture and the different forest stand ages remained insignificant (F<sub>(3, 84)</sub> = 1.29, p = 0.282; Fig. 3b). Both, fine (F<sub>(8, 72)</sub> = 3.43, p = 0.002) and coarse root biomass (F<sub>(8, 68)</sub> = 6.28, p = 8.0e<sup>-04</sup>) decreased with increasing soil depth (Table S3). This is in line with the root frequency that was counted on horizontal levels and profile walls, where we observed the highest fine root frequency (~15 800 m<sup>-2</sup>) in the pasture and the highest coarse root frequency in the 40-year-old forest (~2500 m<sup>-2</sup>; Table S3) and decreasing numbers with depth.

#### 3. 4 Soil organic C and N stocks

- 200 As organic matter horizons were completely missing in the pasture during the sampling campaign, organic horizons were only quantified in afforested areas. There was no significant difference in the SOC stock of the organic horizons between the different forest stand ages ( $F_{(1,25)} = 0.065$ , p = 0.801; Fig. 4a). Highest SOC stock was found in the Oi-horizon in the 55-yearold  $(0.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ kg m}^{-2})$  with a drop in the SOC stock from Oi to Oe in all forest stand ages (Table 1). Also, the combined SOC stock of the organic horizons (Oi, Oe, and Oa) was highest in the 55-year-old forest  $(1.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ kg m}^{-2})$  followed by the 130-205 year-old  $(1.3 \pm 0.2 \text{ kg m}^{-2})$  and the 40-year-old forest  $(0.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ kg m}^{-2})$ . The total SOC stock of the mineral soil (0.45 cm) in the 40-year-old (11.6  $\pm$  1.1 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) and the 130-year-old forest (11.0  $\pm$  0.3 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) was identical as in the pasture soil (11.5  $\pm$ 0.5 kg m<sup>-2</sup>). In contrast, the total SOC stock of the mineral soil (0 - 45 cm) in the 55-year-old forest (8.3  $\pm$  0.6 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) was significantly lower compared to the soils of the pasture as well as the other forest stand ages  $(F_{(3, 114)} = 4.02, p = 0.009)$ . Including the organic horizons, there was an increased C accumulation of +8% in the 40-year-old and +7% in the 130-year-210 old forest as compared to the pasture soils, but this increase was not significant ( $F_{(2, 14)} = 1.64$ , p = 0.229). Within the soil profiles, highest SOC stocks occurred in the topsoil (0-5; 5-10 cm) in both, pasture and forest soils, and decreased with increasing soil depth ( $F_{(8,98)} = 20.45$ ,  $p = 2 e^{-16}$ ; Table 1; Table S4). The TN stock of the organic horizons did not differ between the different forest ages ( $F_{(1,27)} = 0.157$ , p = 0.696). However, the largest TN stock of the combined organic horizons (Oi, Oe, Oa) was observed in the 55-year-old forest ( $0.05 \pm 0.01$  kg m<sup>-2</sup>) followed by 130-year-old and 40-year-old forest (Fig. 4b). 215 The TN stock of the mineral soil (0 - 45 cm) of the pasture  $(1.16 \pm 0.08 \text{ kg m}^{-2})$  equals the one in the 40-year-old forest (1.09
- $\pm$  0.08 kg m<sup>-2</sup>), followed by the 130-year-old (0.98  $\pm$  0.02 kg m<sup>-2</sup>) and 55-year-old forest (0.85  $\pm$  0.06 kg m<sup>-2</sup>; F<sub>(3, 114)</sub> = 5.31, p = 0.002). Similar like the SOC stock, also the TN stock significantly (F<sub>(8,98)</sub> = 11.99, p = 1.34 e<sup>-15</sup>) decreased with depth (Table 1, Table S4).

## 3. 5 Soil organic matter composition

- The C:N ratio of the O-horizons (Oi, Oe, Oa) in the forest was not significantly different between the different forest ages ( $F_{(1, 25)} = 2.134$ , p = 0.157; Table 3). In all forest stand ages, highest C:N ratios were observed in the Oi-horizons (forest<sub>130</sub> = 42.4  $\pm$  10.8, forest<sub>55</sub> = 37.5  $\pm$  3.1, forest<sub>40</sub> = 35.5  $\pm$  1.9), which consistently decreased from Oi- to Oa-horizons. The C:N ratio of roots (0 5 mm diameter) did not significantly differ ( $F_{(3, 98)} = 1.55$ , p = 0.208) between pasture and afforested areas (Table 2). Highest root C:N ratio was observed in the pasture (0 5 cm) soil with 65.3  $\pm$  6.1 followed by the 130-year-old (59.9  $\pm$  3.9),
- 225 the 40-year-old (50.9 ± 4.6), and the 55-year-old forest (43.0 ± 3.8). Within the O-horizons the  $\delta^{13}$ C values increased from Oi towards Oa in the 55-year-old forest (F<sub>(2, 6)</sub> = 12.15, p = 0.008). The  $\delta^{13}$ C values in the 40-year-old (F<sub>(2, 6)</sub> = 2.389, p = 0.173) and 130-year-old forest (F<sub>(2, 6)</sub> = 0.212, p = 0.815) did not change significantly from Oi- towards Oa-horizon. Within the mineral soil, the  $\delta^{13}$ C values increased (F<sub>(3, 114)</sub> = 12.04, p = 5.97e<sup>-08</sup>) with increasing forest age. They decreased with increasing soil depth (F<sub>(8,98)</sub> = 12.05, p = 1.13e<sup>-12</sup>; Table S5) with highest differences pronounced in the subsoil (30 45 cm; Table S5)
- ranging between -23.3 ± 2.4 ‰ in the 40-year-old forest and -25.5 ± 0.2 ‰ in the pasture site (Table 3).Pasture roots had δ<sup>13</sup>C values that varied between -27.8 ± 0.2 ‰ and -27.1 ± 0.1 ‰ with depth. These values were significantly different from roots (F<sub>(3, 98)</sub> = 11.80, p = 1.32e<sup>-09</sup>), sampled in soils of afforested areas (forest<sub>40</sub> = between -26.9 ± 0.3 ‰ and -25.9 ‰; forest<sub>55</sub> = between -27.3 ± 0.7 ‰ and -26.1 ‰; forest<sub>130</sub> = between -27.6 ± 0.2 and -26.9 ± 0.4 ‰). Considering the soil depth of 45 cm, the δ<sup>13</sup>C values of the roots became more (~ +1 ‰) positive with increasing soil depth in the pasture as well as in afforested areas (Table 2), although not significantly (F<sub>(8, 82)</sub> = 0.78, p = 0.625).

## 4 Discussion

## 4.1 SOC stock changes depending on forest age

We measured a SOC stock of 11.5 ± 0.5 kg m<sup>-2</sup> in the subalpine pasture (Fig. 4a), which is in line with the SOC stock observed by Hiltbrunner et al., (2013) with a SOC stock of 13.4 ± 1.2 kg m<sup>-2</sup> in alpine pasture. One possible reason for this minor difference in the SOC stock could be the difference in sampling depth of 0 - 60 cm in Hiltbrunner et al., (2013) and 0 - 45 cm in this study. Nevertheless, our reported SOC stock in the pasture is comparable with the reported SOC stock of Budge et al. (2011), who observed a SOC stock between 5.5 and 10.2 kg m<sup>-2</sup> down to 30 cm depth in alpine grasslands in the Swiss Alps. In another study, Zeeman et al. (2010) reported lower SOC stocks between 4 and 6 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (0-20cm) in subalpine grasslands in Switzerland, which could be due to the fact that they focused on managed agricultural grasslands compared to a natural grassland that was investigated in the current study. The SOC stock of forest areas in this study ranging between 8.3 ± 0.6 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (55-year-old forest) and 11.6 ± 1.1 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (40-year-old forest) is in line with the reported SOC stocks for Swiss forest soils (Nussbaum et al. 2014) ranging between 1 - 36 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (average 13 kg m<sup>-2</sup>). Our findings observing an increase of the SOC stock in the mineral soil after 40 years of afforestation is conforming other studies which reported an increase in the SOC stock after 40 to 50 years of afforestation (Thuille and Schulze, 2006, Hiltbrunner et al. 2013). In our study, the 40-year-old forest

250 is not only the youngest in the investigated chrono-sequence, but it experienced some transversal clear cuts a few years ago as

part of forest management. Consequently, the higher SOC stock in the 40-year-old forest as compared to older stands in our study can further be explained by the presence of grass roots. They typically dominate the carbon input in grasslands (Thuille and Schulze 2006) and generate additional SOC input (Laganière et al., 2010), which possibly occurred in the 40-year-old, but not in the 55-year-old and 130-year-old forest where the grasses disappeared. In the same line, the 40-year-old forest showed

- an identical TN stock as the pasture (Fig. 4b), which suggests that only a small amount of nitrogen is sequestered by trees. The decrease of the SOC stock from the 40-year-old to the 55-year-old forest agrees with other chrono-sequences (Post and Kwon, 2000; Thuille and Schulze, 2006; Poeplau et al., 2011). One possible explanation could be the absence of a grass cover as reported by Hiltbrunner et al. (2013), which is also supported by the lower fine- and coarse-root biomass in the 55-year-old forest found in this study compared to the 40-year-old forest. Further, avalanche protection infrastructure was built only 10-
- 260 15 m uphill from one of our profiles, which might have resulted in potential soil disturbance resulting from avalanche construction or former avalanches in that area. The cause of the observed effects cannot be entirely elucidated to date, but all of these factors could have caused a loss of carbon compared with the other forest stands. Especially the latter explanation might align with the SOC stock in relation to soil depth, where we observed a lower SOC stock at 15 to 25 cm soil depth, compared to 40-year-old and 130-year-old forest (Table 1). In addition, there is no significant difference in the SOC stocks
- 265 reported by Hiltbrunner et al., (2013), ten years ago in the same study site and the reported SOC stock in this study. Therefore, additionally ten years of afforestation might not increase SOC stocks in the mineral soil. To better understand why we have no increased C sequestration, even after decades of afforestation, the use of molecular proxies would be one possibility to identify potential sources of OM as well as to identify the alteration in its composition (Jansen and Wiesenberg, 2017). In addition to the carbon stored in the mineral soil, all forest soils showed well differentiated organic horizons, where additional
- 270 carbon has been accumulated. Consequently, SOC sequestration of an additional  $0.8 \pm 0.1$  and  $1.7 \pm 0.2$  kg m<sup>-2</sup> occurred in the organic horizons of afforested areas compared to the pasture (Fig. 4a) at Jaun. This agrees with other studies reporting the potential of an additional carbon sequestration in organic horizons of 2.3 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Hiltbrunner et al., 2013) and of 2.5 kg m<sup>-2</sup> (Thuille and Schulze, 2006) following afforestation. In contrast to Hiltbrunner et al. (2013), we did not find a gradual increase in the SOC stock of the organic horizons with increasing forest age at the same site. This can be due to the sampled locations
- and erosion of the unconsolidated organic horizons that might have occurred at selected areas due to snow melt or heavy rainfall events. The higher SOC stock in organic horizons of the 55-year-old forest compared to the 40-year-old and 130-yearold forest reported in the current study may be explained by the litter composition, which mainly consisted of dead wood residues (Table S2). This material is less decomposable than leaf or needle litter, which resulted in a lower decomposition (Cotrufo et al., 2013) and higher carbon accumulation and therefore thicker organic horizons (3.2 cm  $\pm$  0.4 cm) compared to
- 280 the 40-year-old and 130-year-old forest stands. Overall, if the organic horizons are combined with the mineral soil, then there was an additional but small SOC sequestration within 40-, and 130 years of afforestation. This confirms our hypothesis of an increase in the SOC sequestration caused by an additional carbon accumulation on the organic horizons. However, this pathway of carbon accumulation has to be regarded with caution as organic matter found in organic horizons in steep alpine areas is more biochemically and physically much less protected than mineral bound SOC (Thuille and Schulze, 2006). This argues for

an increased sensitivity of SOC stocks in forested alpine areas and asks for further protection measures, e.g., during forest renewal, where specifically organic horizons can be exposed to erosion and degradation (Bettoni et al., 2023).

## 4.2 SOC alteration vs. sequestration with forest age

While mineral SOC stock tended to remain unchanged within the investigated afforestation sequence and the SOC stock increased within the first 55 years, it remained an open question, to which extent mineral SOM contains preserved carbon from the pasture or how this is replaced by forest-derived carbon. The incorporation of forest-derived carbon can occur either via litterfall and the continuous incorporation and degradation of litter within the organic horizons on the one hand and via root organic matter on the other hand. With increasing forest age, the C:N ratio of the Oi horizons (Table 3) increased, although not significantly, which argues for a lower decomposability of the litter caused by an increase in woody litter with increasing stand age (Schulp et al., 2008). This shift in the litter composition towards less decomposable material was previously described

- 295 (Pérez-Cruzado et al., 2014; Strand et al., 2021). Furthermore, higher C:N ratio in the organic horizons as a function of tree age was also reported by Thuille and Schulze (2006) with an increase in woody litter such as twigs, branches, and spruce cones. In addition, element concentration, such as nitrogen, decrease with increasing needle age (Linder, 1995), which could be another reason for the observed shift towards less decomposable material. With ongoing decomposition from Oi-to Oahorizons, the C:N ratio decreased, which was confirmed in all forest ages at Jaun. On the one hand, the C:N ratio in the mineral
- soil increased as a function of forest age resulting in the highest C:N ratio of  $14.3 \pm 1.8$  in the 130-year-old forest (Table 3) and on the other hand, the C:N ratio decreased with soil depth, specifically between 10 20 cm (Table S5). This demonstrates that litter-derived organic matter was likely incorporated and translocated from litter to mineral soil in the top 20 cm of the profiles, while partially very low C:N ratios in deeper soils argue for an increased degradation of organic matter in forest soils (Lorenz et al., 2020). As roots had very high C:N ratios, particulate root-derived organic matter might not directly contribute
- 305 to SOM. Organic matter input from roots can nevertheless not be completely excluded, as the release of root exudates into the soil promote microbial decomposition of such compounds and thereby results in SOM with a low C:N ratio (Cotrufo et al., 2013; Jílkovà et al., 2022). Consequently, in this study, the aboveground litter quantity and quality seems to have the biggest effect on SOM dynamic in the topsoil. This is in line with previous findings, where a large proportion of the carbon input in afforested areas is mainly through litterfall (Hiltbrunner et al., 2013, Bárcena et al., 2014), whereas this can be restricted to the
- 310 top 20 cm in our study. Based on the  $\delta^{13}$ C values, a shift from between -25.5 ± 0.2 ‰ to -26.9 ± 0.3 ‰ in the original pasture to between -24.4 ± 0.3 ‰ to -26.0 ± 0.2 ‰ in the 130-year-old forest (Table 1). This indicates a replacement towards treederived carbon as a main source for the SOM in afforested areas. The enrichment in <sup>13</sup>C with increasing forest age could be indicative for an enrichment of the heavier <sup>13</sup>C isotope during decomposition. As forest roots are more depleted in <sup>13</sup>C (- 1 to 3 ‰) compared to the mineral soil, it seems that roots do not have an effect on the  $\delta^{13}$ C values of the mineral soil. In contrast,
- 315 fungal species, especially the saprotrophic fungi, are known to be enriched in  ${}^{13}C$  (-22.8 ± 0.3 ‰; Hobbie et al., 2001) which could thus be a potential explanation for the observed  ${}^{13}C$  enrichment in the forest soil, especially in the 130-year-old forest (Table 3). Along with the study of Hiltbrunner et al., (2013), we also observed a high variability between the  $\delta^{13}C$  values of

the roots and the mineral soil in the oldest (130-year-old) forest stand age which is another argument for a slower root turnover with increasing forest age. Additionally, the mineral soil in the pasture areas is less enriched in  $^{13}$ C, which is also the case for

the pasture root  $\delta^{13}$ C values, compared to roots from the forest. Also, this suggests fine roots as major C source in the pasture

320

areas (Solly et al., 2013; Hiltbrunner et al., 2013).

#### 5 Conclusion

Afforestation of abandoned alpine pastures and a rise in the treeline have led to a forest expansion of European alpine areas during the past decades. In our study, we investigated the effects of a 130-year-old afforestation chrono-sequence on a former subalpine pasture on the total SOC stock as well as on the possible alteration of the SOM dynamics. Conclusively, the SOC stock of the mineral soil did not change 40 and 130 years, respectively, after afforestation compared with the original pasture soil. If the organic horizons are included, there was an increase in carbon stocks by 1-2 kg m<sup>-2</sup>. This lack of additional sequestration in mineral soil C and the restriction of C sequestration specifically in organic horizons are highly vulnerable to

- 330 erosion in steep alpine areas as well as to mineralization losses upon disturbances. Therefore, the restriction to C sequestration in organic horizons is less sustainable than in mineral soil. Although, the effects on SOC stocks following afforestation are only moderate in the investigated area, the vegetation shift resulted in an obvious alteration in the SOC dynamics through changes in the litter composition and changes in root-derived organic matter. The alteration towards a higher C:N ratio with increasing forest age, especially in the Oi horizon reflects this alteration in less easily decomposable compounds. Specifically,
- 335 the  $\delta^{13}$ C values and C:N ratios strongly suggest an alteration of soil organic matter composition with increasing forest age likely resulting from changes of organic matter sources and its degradation without allowing final conclusions. To better understand the processes following afforestation and climate in alpine areas, further investigations are needed to specifically quantify the sources of organic matter and SOM degradation under different land-use in alpine areas.

#### **Authors contribution**

340 TCS: Conceptualization; methodology; writing and editing – original draft; investigation; data curation; formal analysis. JS: Investigation, review and editing. KG: Conceptualization; resources; validation; review and editing. MJS: data curation; formal analysis; review and editing. FH: resources; validation, review and editing. GLBW: Conceptualization; methodology; funding; resources; review and editing.

# 345 Competing interests

The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Silvan Wick, Yves Brügger and Carrie L. Thomas for helping during field work. We further thank Jeannine Suremann, Aline Hobie, Barbara Siegfried, Dmitry Tichomirov, and Esmail Taghizadeh for their support during lab work. We

350 acknowledge funding by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) under contract 188684 of the IQ-SASS project (Improved Quantitative Source Assessment of organic matter in Soils and Sediments using molecular markers and inverse modelling) to GLBW and project number PZ00P2\_174047 to KG.

# References

375

- Bárcena, T. G., Kiær, L. P., Vesterdal, L., Stefánsdóttir, H. M., Gundersen, P., and Sigurdsson, B. D. (2014). Soil carbon stock
- 355 change following afforestation in Northern Europe: A meta-analysis. Global Change Biology, 20, 2393-2405. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12576</u>
  - Bastin, J. F., Finegold, Y., Garcia, C., Mollicone, D., Rezende, M., Routh, D., Zohner, C.M., and Crowther, T. W. (2019). The global tree restoration potential. Science, 365, 76-79. <u>https://doi:10.1126/science.aax0848</u>

Brändli, U.B., et al. (Eds), 2020. Schweizerisches Landesforstinventar. Ergebnisse der vierten Erhebung 2009-2017.

- Birmensdorf, Eidgenössische Forschungsanstalt für Wald, Schnee und Landschaft WSL. Bern, Bundesamt für Umwelt.
  341 p.
  - Bettoni, M., Maerker, M., Sacchi, R., Bosino, A., Conedera, M., Simoncelli, L., and Vogel, S. (2023). What makes soil landscape robust? Landscape sensitivity towards land use changes in a Swiss southern Alpine valley. Science of the Total Environment, 858, 159779. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159779</u>
- 365 Budge, K., Leifeld, J., Hiltbrunner, E., and Fuhrer, J. (2011). Alpine grassland soils contain large proportion of labile carbon but indicate long turnover times. Biogeosciences, 8, 1911-1923. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-8-1911-2011</u>
  - Chen, Q., Shen, C., Sun, Y., Shaolin, P., Weixi, Y., Zhi'an, L., and Mantao, J. (2005) Spatial and temporal distribution of carbon isotopes in soil organic matter at the Dinghushan Biosphere Reserve, South China. Plant and Soil 273, 115–128. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-004-7245-y</u>
- 370 Clemmensen, K. E., Finlay, R. D., Dahlberg, A., Stenlid, J., Wardle, D. A., and Lindahl, B. D. (2015). Carbon sequestration is related to mycorrhizal fungal community shifts during long-term succession in boreal forests. New Phytologist, 205, 1525-1536. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13208</u>
  - Cotrufo, M. F., Wallenstein, M. D., Boot, C. M., Denef, K., and Paul, E. (2013). The Microbial Efficiency-Matrix Stabilization (MEMS) framework integrates plant litter decomposition with soil organic matter stabilization: Do labile plant inputs form stable soil organic matter? Global Change Biology, 19, 988-995. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12113
  - Davis, M., Nordmeyer, A., Henley, D., and Watt, M. (2007). Ecosystem carbon accretion 10 years after afforestation of depleted subhumid grassland planted with three densities of Pinus nigra. Global Change Biology, 13, 1414-1422. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01372.x

De Deyn, G. B., Cornelissen, J. H., and Bardgett, R. D. (2008). Plant functional traits and soil carbon sequestration in contrasting biomes. Ecology Letters, 11, 516-531. https://doi.org/10.1111/i.1461-0248.2008.01164.x

380

- De Gryze, S., Six, J., Paustian, K., Morris, S. J., Paul, E. A., and Merckx, R. (2004). Soil organic carbon pool changes following land-use conversions. Global Change Biology, 10, 1120-1132. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.2003.00786.x</u>
- Djukic, I., Zehetner, F., Tatzber, M., and Gerzabek, M. H. (2010). Soil organic matter stocks and characteristics along an Alpine elevation gradient. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 173, 30-38. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.200900027</u>
- 385 Friggens, N. L., Hester, A. J., Mitchell, R. J., Parker, T. C., Subke, J. A., and Wookey, P. A. (2020). Tree planting in organic soils does not result in net carbon sequestration on decadal timescales. Global Change Biology, 26, 5178-5188. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15229
  - Garcia-Pausas, J., Romanyà, J., Montané, F., Rios, A. I., Taull, M., Rovira, P., and Casals, P. (2017). Are soil carbon stocks in Mountain grasslands compromised by land-use changes? In: Catalan, J., Ninot, J., Aniz, M. (eds) High Mountain
- 390 Conservation in a Changing World. Advances in Global Change Research, vol 62. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55982-7\_9
  - Grünzweig, J. M., Gelfand, I., Fried, Y., and Yakir, D. (2007). Biogeochemical factors contributing to enhanced carbon storage following afforestation of a semi-arid shrubland. Biogeosciences, 4, 891-904. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-4-891-2007</u>

Gocke, M. I., Kessler, F., van Mourik, J. M., Jansen, B., and Wiesenberg, G. L. B. (2016). Paleosols can promote root growth

- 395 of recent vegetation A case study from the sandy soil sediment sequence Rakt, the Netherlands. Soil, 2, 537-549. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-2-537-2016
  - Gosheva, S., Walthert, L., Niklaus, P. A., Zimmermann, S., Gimmi, U., and Hagedorn, F. (2017). Reconstruction of historic forest cover changes indicates minor effects on carbon stocks in Swiss forest soils. Ecosystems, 20, 1512-1528. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-017-0129-9</u>
- 400 Guo, L. B., and Gifford, R. M. (2002). Soil carbon stocks and land use change: A meta-analysis. Global Change Biology, 345-360. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1354-1013.2002.00486.x</u>
  - Guo, L. B., Wang, M., and Gifford, R. M. (2007). The change of soil carbon stocks and fine root dynamics after land use change from a native pasture to a pine plantation. Plant and Soil, 299, 251-262. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9381-</u> <u>7</u>
- 405 Guidi, C., Vesterdal, L., Gianelle, D., and Rodeghiero, M. (2014). Changes in soil organic carbon and nitrogen following forest expansion on grassland in the Southern Alps. Forest Ecology and Management, 328, 103-116. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.05.025</u>
  - Gunina, A., Smith, A. R., Godbold, D. L., Jones, D. L., and Kuzyakov, Y. (2017). Response of soil microbial community to afforestation with pure and mixed species. Plant and Soil, 412, 357-368. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-3073-0</u>
- 410 Hagedorn, F., Martin, M., Rixen, C., Rusch, S., Bebi, P., Zürcher, A., Siegwolf, R. T. W., Wipf, S., Escape, C., Roy, J., and Hättenschwiler, S. (2010). Short-term responses of ecosystem carbon fluxes to experimental soil warming at the Swiss alpine treeline. Biogeochemistry, 97, 7-19. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-009-9297-9

Hagedorn, F., Gavazov, K., and Alexander, J. M. (2019). Above - and belowground linkages shape responses of mountain vegetation to climate change. Science, 365, 1119-1123, https://doi:10.1126/science.aax4737

- 415 Hiltbrunner, D., Zimmermann, S., and Hagedorn, F. (2013). Afforestation with Norway spruce on a subalpine pasture alters carbon dynamics but only moderately affects soil carbon storage. Biogeochemistry, 115, 251-266. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-013-9832-6
  - Hobbie, E. A., Weber, N. S., and Trappe, J. M. (2001). Mycorrhizal vs saprotrophic status of fungi: The isotopic evidence. New Phytologist, 601-610. https://www.istor.org/stable/1353665
- 420 Hooker, T. D., and Compton, J. E. (2003). Forest ecosystem carbon and nitrogen accumulation during the first century after agricultural abandonment. Ecological Applications, 13, 299-313. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761(2003)013[0299:FECANA]2.0.CO:2
  - Hong, S., Yin, G., Piao, S., Dybzinski, R., Cong, N., Li, X., Wang, K., Peñuelas, J., Zeng, H., and Chen, A. (2020). Divergent responses of soil organic carbon to afforestation. Nature Sustainability, 3, 694-700. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-0557-y
- 425

435

Jahn, R., Blume, H.P., Asio, V., Spaargaren, O., and Schad, P. (2006). Guidelines for Soil Description. FAO

- Jansen, B., and Wiesenberg, G. L. B. (2017). Opportunities and limitations related to the application of plant-derived lipid molecular proxies in soil science. Soil, 3, 211-234. https://doi.org/10.5194/soil-3-211-2017
- Jílková, V., Jandová, K., Caithaml, T., Kukla, J., and Jansa, J. (2022). Differences in the flow of spruce-derived needle
- 430 leachates and root exudates through a temperate coniferous forest mineral topsoil. Geoderma, 405, 115441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2021.115441
  - Laganière, J., Angers, D. A., and Pare, D. (2010). Carbon accumulation in agricultural soils after afforestation: A metaanalysis. Global Change Biology, 16, 439-453. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01930.x

Leifeld, J., Bassin, S., and Fuhrer, J. (2005). Carbon stocks in Swiss agricultural soils predicted by land-use, soil characteristics. and altitude. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 105, 255-266. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2004.03.006

- Leifeld, J., Zimmermann, M., and Fuhrer, J. (2008). Simulating decomposition of labile soil organic carbon: Effects of pH. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40, 2948-2951. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2008.08.019
  - Linder, S. (1995). Foliar analysis for detecting and correcting nutrient imbalances in Norway spruce. Ecological Bulletins, 178-190. https://www.jstor.org/stable/20113161
- Lorenz, M., Derrien, D., Zeller, B., Udelhoven, T., Werner, W., and Thiele-Bruhn, S. (2020). The linkage of <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>15</sup>N soil 440 depth gradients with C: N and O: C stoichiometry reveals tree species effects on organic matter turnover in soil. Biogeochemistry, 151, 203-220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-020-00721-3

Municipality of Jaun (2021). www.jaun.ch (Accessed 2021)

Nikolova, P. S., Gever, J., Brang, P., Cherubini, P., Zimmermann, S., and Gärtner, H. (2021). Changes in root-shoot allometric 445 relations in alpine Norway spruce trees after strip cutting. Frontiers in Plant Science, 12. https://doi: 10.3389/fpls.2021.703674

- Nussbaum, M., Papritz, A., Baltensweiler, A., and Walthert, L. (2014). Estimating soil organic carbon stocks of Swiss forest soils by robust external-drift kriging. Geoscientific Model Development, 7, 1197-1210. https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1197-2014, 2014
- 450 Ofiti, N. O., Zosso, C. U., Soong, J. L., Solly, E. F., Torn, M. S., Wiesenberg, G. L. B., and Schmidt, M. W. I. (2021). Warming promotes loss of subsoil carbon through accelerated degradation of plant-derived organic matter. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 156, 108185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2021.108185</u>
  - Paul, K. I., Polglase, P. J., Nyakuengama, J. G., and Khanna, P. K. (2002). Change in soil carbon following afforestation. Forest Ecology and Management, 168, 241-257. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(01)00740-X</u>
- 455 Peichl, M., Leava, N. A., and Kiely, G. (2012). Above-and belowground ecosystem biomass, carbon and nitrogen allocation in recently afforested grassland and adjacent intensively managed grassland. Plant and Soil, 350, 281-296. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-0905-9</u>
  - Pérez-Cruzado, C., Sande, B., Omil, B., Rovira, P., Martin-Pastor, M., Barros, N., Salgado, J., and Merino, A. (2014). Organic matter properties in soils afforested with Pinus radiata. Plant and Soil, 374, 381-398. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-</u>
- 460 <u>1896-5</u>
  - Pizzeghello, D., Francioso, O., Concheri, G., Muscolo, A., and Nardi, S. (2017). Land use affects the soil C sequestration in alpine environment, NE Italy. Forests, 8, 197. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/f8060197</u>
  - Poeplau, C., and Don, A. (2013). Sensitivity of soil organic carbon stocks and fractions to different land-use changes across Europe. Geoderma, 192, 189-201. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2012.08.003</u>
- 465 Poeplau, C., Don, A., Vesterdal, L., Leifeld, J., Van Wesemael, B. A. S., Schumacher, J., and Gensior, A. (2011). Temporal dynamics of soil organic carbon after land-use change in the temperate zone – Carbon response functions as a model approach. Global Change Biology, 17, 2415-2427. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02408.x</u>
  - Post, W. M., and Kwon, K. C. (2000). Soil carbon sequestration and land-use change: Processes and potential. Global Change Biology, 6, 317-327. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2486.2000.00308.x</u>
- 470 Prietzel, J., Zimmermann, L., Schubert, A., and Christophel, D. (2016). Organic matter losses in German Alps Forest soils since the 1970s most likely caused by warming. Nature Geoscience, 9, 543-548. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2732</u>
  - R Core Team (2020). A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http:// www.R-project.org/

Richter-Heitmann, T., Eickhorst, T., Knauth, S., Friedrich, M. W., and Schmidt, H. (2016). Evaluation of strategies to separate

- 475 root-associated microbial communities: A crucial choice in rhizobiome research. Frontiers in Microbiology, 7, 773. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00773
  - Risch, A. C., Jurgensen, M. F., Page-Dumroese, D. S., Wildi, O., and Schütz, M. (2008). Long-term development of aboveand below-ground carbon stocks following land-use change in subalpine ecosystems of the Swiss National Park. Canadian Journal of Forest Research, 38, 1590-1602. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/X08-014</u>

- 480 Schulp, C. J., Nabuurs, G. J., Verburg, P. H., and de Waal, R. W. (2008). Effect of tree species on carbon stocks in forest floor and mineral soil and implications for soil carbon inventories. Forest Ecology and Management, 256, 482-490. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2008.05.007</u>
  - Smal, H., Ligęza, S., Pranagal, J., Urban, D., and Pietruczyk-Popławska, D. (2019). Changes in the stocks of soil organic carbon, total nitrogen and phosphorus following afforestation of post-arable soils: A chronosequence study. Forest Ecology and Management, 451, 117536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.117536
- Solly, E., Schöning, I., Boch, S., Müller, J., Socher, S. A., Trumbore, S. E., and Schrumpf, M. (2013). Mean age of carbon in fine roots from temperate forests and grasslands with different management. Biogeosciences, 10, 4833-4843. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-4833-2013

- Soong, J. L., Castanha, C., Hicks Pries, C. E., Ofiti, N., Porras, R. C., Riley, W. J., Schmidt, M.W.I., and Torn, M. S. (2021).
- 490 Five years of whole soil warming led to loss of subsoil carbon stocks and increased CO<sub>2</sub> efflux. Science Advances, 7, eabd1343. <u>https://doi:10.1126/sciadv.abd1343</u>
  - Strand, L. T., Fjellstad, W., Jackson-Blake, L., and De Wit, H. A. (2021). Afforestation of a pasture in Norway did not result in higher soil carbon, 50 years after planting. Landscape and Urban Planning, 207, 104007. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.104007</u>
- 495 Thuille, A., and Schulze, E. D. (2006). Carbon dynamics in successional and afforested spruce stands in Thuringia and the Alps. Global Change Biology, 12, 325-342. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01078.x</u>
  - University of Zurich (2023). www.geo.uzh.ch/en/units/2b/Services/BC-material/Environmental-matrices.html (Accessed 2023).
  - Volk, M., Bassin, S., Lehmann, M. F., Johnson, M. G., and Andersen, C. P. (2018). <sup>13</sup>C isotopic signature and C concentration
- of soil density fractions illustrate reduced C allocation to subalpine grassland soil under high atmospheric N deposition.
  Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 125, 178-184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.07.014</u>
  - Yanai, R., Currie, W., and Goodale, C. (2003). Soil Carbon Dynamics after Forest Harvest: An ecosystem paradigm reconsidered. Ecosystems 6, 197–212. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10021-002-0206-5</u>
- Zeeman, M. J., Hiller, R., Gilgen, A. K., Michna, P., Plüss, P., Buchmann, N., and Eugster, W. (2010). Management and
  climate impacts on net CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes and carbon budgets of three grasslands along an elevational gradient in Switzerland.
  Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 150, 519-530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.01.011
  - Zimmermann, M., Leifeld, J., and Fuhrer, J. (2007). Quantifying soil organic carbon fractions by infrared-spectroscopy. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 39, 224-231. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2006.07.010</u>
- Zimmermann, P., Tasser, E., Leitinger, G., and Tappeiner, U., 2010. Effects of land-use and land-cover pattern on landscape scale biodiversity in the European Alps. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 139, 13–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2010.06.010

# **Figure caption**

Figure 1: Study area and sampling locations color-coded: black for pasture; yellow for the 40-year-old forest; white for the 55-year-old forest; and purple for the 130-year-old forest. Forest plots marked with a star represent the plots where the mineral soil

515 and organic horizon samples were collected. (Map was originated with QGIS 3.22.5, small map of Switzerland: map.geo.admin.ch, last accessed 06.08.2023)

Figure 2: Vegetation composition and canopy cover (average ± SE) obtained in an area of 5m x 5m for the 40-year-old, 55-year-old, and 130-year-old forests. \*,< 0.05; \*\*,< 0.01, n.s. = not significant

Figure 3: a) Fine and b) coarse root biomass (0 - 45cm) in the mineral soil of pasture and forest stands. \*\*, < 0.01, \*\*\*, < 0.001, n.s. 520 = not significant

Figure 4:a) Soil organic carbon stocks and b) total nitrogen stocks in the O-horizons and the mineral soil (0 - 45cm; average  $\pm$  SE) by vegetation cover and forest age. \*\*, < 0.01; n.s. = not significant

525

530

535



Figure 1









	Soil organic carbon [kg m <sup>-2</sup> ]				Total nitrogen [kg m <sup>-2</sup> ]				
		Forest				Forest			
<b>O-horizons</b>	Pasture	40yr	55yr	130yr	Pasture	40yr	55yr	130yr	
Oi	n.a.	$0.3\pm0.0$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.7\pm0.2$	n.a.	$0.01\pm0.00$	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.02\pm0.00$	
Oe	n.a.	$0.4\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	n.a.	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.02\pm0.00$	$0.01\pm0.00$	
Oa	n.a.	$0.1\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.3$	$0.3\pm0.2$	n.a.	$0.01\pm0.00$	$0.02\pm0.01$	$0.01\pm0.00$	
Sum*	n.a.	$0.8\pm0.1*$	$1.7 \pm 0.2*$	$1.3 \pm 0.2*$	n.a.	$0.03\pm0.00\texttt{*}$	$0.05\pm0.01*$	$0.04\pm0.01\text{*}$	
Mineral soil									
0-5cm	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.9\pm0.2$	$1.5\pm0.5$	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$0.19\pm0.02$	$0.14\pm0.02$	$0.11\pm0.02$	$0.15\pm0.01$	
5-10cm	$1.9 \pm 0.1$	$1.7\pm0.2$	$1.7\pm0.5$	$1.9\pm0.1$	$0.19\pm0.01$	$0.13\pm0.03$	$0.11\pm0.03$	$0.14\pm0.00$	
10-15cm	$1.4 \pm 0.0$	$1.7\pm0.2$	$0.8\pm0.3$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.15\pm0.01$	$0.16\pm0.01$	$0.12\pm0.02$	$0.13\pm0.00$	
15-20cm	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$0.7\pm0.2$	$1.5 \pm 0.1$	$0.13\pm0.01$	$0.14\pm0.01$	$0.11\pm0.01$	$0.13\pm0.00$	
20-25cm	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.1\pm0.0$	$0.13\pm0.02$	$0.12\pm0.01$	$0.10\pm0.00$	$0.11\pm0.00$	
25-30cm	$1.2 \pm 0.2$	$1.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.9\pm0.2$	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.12\pm0.02$	$0.14\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.11\pm0.00$	
30-35cm	$1.1 \pm 0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.10\pm0.02$	$0.12\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.01$	
35-40cm	$0.8\pm0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.6\pm0.0$	$0.9\pm0.0$	$0.08\pm0.01$	$0.11\pm0.00$	$0.07\pm0.00$	$0.08\pm0.01$	
40-45cm	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.09\pm0.00$	$0.07\pm0.01$	$0.05\pm0.03$	
Sum*	$11.5 \pm 0.5*$	$11.6 \pm 1.1*$	$8.3 \pm 0.6*$	$11.0 \pm 0.3*$	$1.16 \pm 0.08*$	$1.09 \pm 0.08*$	$0.85 \pm 0.06*$	$0.98 \pm 0.02*$	

Table 1: Soil organic carbon, total nitrogen stocks of O-horizons (n=3), mineral soil (n=5 for pasture, n=3 for forest). Values are average  $\pm$  SE (n.a. = not available, \* Sum  $\pm$  SE (0-45cm)).

Table 2. C:N ratio and  $\delta^{13}$ C values of root (0-5mm) samples (n= 5 for pasture; n= 3 for forest). Values are average ± SE (n.a. = not available, \*\* Average ± SE of the individual plots; n= 5 for pasture; n= 3 for forest).

		δ <sup>13</sup> C [‰ V-PDB]							
			Forest			Forest			
Roots	Pasture	40yr 55yr		130yr	Pasture	40yr	55yr	130yr	
[0-5mm]									
0-5cm	$65.3 \pm 6.1$	$50.9\pm4.6$	$43.0\pm3.8$	$59.9\pm3.9$	$\textbf{-27.8} \pm 0.2$	$-26.6 \pm 0.1$	$\textbf{-26.9}\pm0.2$	$\textbf{-27.2}\pm0.1$	
5-10cm	$57.5\pm5.8$	$43.9\pm4.7$	$65.8 \pm 15.5$	$57.4\pm8.6$	$\textbf{-27-2}\pm0.3$	$-26.9\pm0.3$	$-26.5\pm0.2$	$-27.5\pm0.2$	
10-15cm	$69.4\pm1.3$	$52.9\pm7.5$	$49.8\pm4.9$	$68.5 \pm 11.5$	$-27.5\pm0.2$	$-26.5 \pm 0.1$	$-27.3\pm0.7$	$-27.6\pm0.2$	
15-20cm	$62.6\pm7.1$	$54.3\pm5.5$	$63.2\pm11.5$	$60.9\pm3.5$	$-27.1 \pm 0.1$	$-26.8\pm0.3$	$-26.8\pm0.3$	$-27.1 \pm 0.2$	
20-25cm	n.a.	$67.2 \pm 1.8$	39.9	$52.0\pm3.8$	n.a.	$-26.6\pm0.4$	-27.6	$\textbf{-27.3}\pm0.0$	
25-30cm	65.6	$71.1\pm9.2$	$54.7\pm2.0$	$51.8\pm6.4$	$\textbf{-27.9}\pm0.0$	$-26.4\pm0.3$	$-26.6\pm0.5$	$-27.3\pm0.2$	
30-35cm	$61.0\pm18.7$	$71.3\pm4.9$	n.a.	$50.6\pm8.4$	-27.4	$-26-6 \pm 0.2$	n.a.	$-27.5\pm0.5$	
35-40cm	69.7	$56.6\pm0.3$	n.a.	$59.6\pm13.2$	-27.8	$-26.6\pm0.6$	n.a.	$-27.2\pm0.5$	
40-45cm	n.a.	75.6	76.1	$76.6\pm4.6$	n.a.	-25.9	-26.1	$-26.9 \pm 0.4$	
Average**	$63.5 \pm 2.8 **$	57.1 ± 2.6**	54.7 ± 3.9**	$61.2 \pm 2.9$ **					

		C:N	δ <sup>13</sup> C [‰ V-PDB]						
		Forest				Forest			
<b>O-horizons</b>	Pasture	40yr	55yr	130yr	Pasture	40yr	55yr	130yr	
Oi	n.a.	$35.5 \pm 1.9$	$37.5 \pm 3.1$	$42.4\pm10.8$	n.a.	$-27.3 \pm 0.1$	$-27.7 \pm 0.1$	$-27.3 \pm 0.4$	
Oe	n.a.	$24.9\pm1.9$	$26.6\pm2.4$	$26.6\pm4.2$	n.a.	$-27.1 \pm 0.3$	$-27.1 \pm 0.3$	$-27.5 \pm 0.3$	
Oa	n.a.	$17.5\pm0.9$	$14.8\pm0.9$	$28.8\pm6.7$	n.a.	$-26.5 \pm 0.3$	$-26.3 \pm 0.2$	$-27.2 \pm 0.3$	
Average**	n.a.	$25.9 \pm 2.7$ **	$26.3 \pm 3.5 **$	$32.6 \pm 4.6 **$	n.a.				
Mineral soil									
0-5cm	$11.9 \pm 1.3$	$12.9\pm0.5$	$13.1 \pm 1.4$	$14.3\pm1.8$	$-26.9\pm0.3$	$-26.8 \pm 0.2$	$-26.2 \pm 0.2$	$-26.0 \pm 0.2$	
5-10cm	$10.1 \pm 0.9$	$13.7 \pm 1.6$	$16.6 \pm 4.6$	$13.6\pm0.6$	$-26.8 \pm 0.2$	$-26.5 \pm 0.2$	$-25.8 \pm 0.2$	$-25.6 \pm 0.2$	
10-15cm	$9.3\pm0.5$	$10.7 \pm 1.1$	$6.8 \pm 1.7$	$11.4 \pm 0.6$	$-26.3 \pm 0.2$	$-25.7 \pm 0.2$	$-25.6 \pm 0.1$	$-25.3 \pm 0.1$	
15-20cm	$9.0 \pm 1.3$	$10.3\pm0.8$	$6.3 \pm 1.8$	$11.1 \pm 0.6$	$-26.3 \pm 0.1$	$-25.9 \pm 0.1$	$-25.5 \pm 0.2$	$-24.9 \pm 0.1$	
20-25cm	$9.8\pm0.6$	$10.2 \pm 0.9$	$8.2 \pm 1.8$	$9.6 \pm 0.2$	$-25.9 \pm 0.1$	$-25.7 \pm 0.1$	$-25.6 \pm 0.0$	$-24.6 \pm 0.2$	
25-30cm	$9.5 \pm 0.3$	$9.4 \pm 1.3$	$10.1 \pm 2.1$	$10.9\pm0.7$	$-25.9 \pm 0.1$	$-25.7 \pm 0.0$	$-25.5 \pm 0.2$	$-24.9 \pm 0.0$	
30-35cm	$10.4\pm0.8$	$9.5\pm0.9$	$8.5\pm0.4$	$9.9\pm0.8$	$-25.5 \pm 0.1$	$-25.4 \pm 0.2$	$-25.4 \pm 0.4$	$-24.4 \pm 0.3$	
35-40cm	$10.1 \pm 0.6$	$8.8 \pm 1.2$	$8.2 \pm 0.7$	$10.1 \pm 0.5$	$-25.6 \pm 0.1$	$-24.7 \pm 1.2$	$-25.2 \pm 0.2$	$-24.6 \pm 0.2$	
40-45cm	$10.7\pm0.9$	$8.9 \pm 1.6$	$8.8 \pm 1.3$	$10.5 \pm 0.6$	$-25.5 \pm 0.2$	$-23.3 \pm 2.4$	$-25.1 \pm 0.2$	$-24.6 \pm 0.0$	
Average**	$10.2 \pm 0.3$ **	$10.6 \pm 0.5 **$	$9.7 \pm 0.9$ **	$11.3 \pm 0.4$ **					

Table 3.  $\delta^{13}$ C values and C:N ratio of O-horizon samples (n=3), mineral soil samples (n= 5 for pasture; n= 3 for forest). Values are average ± SE (n.a. = not available, \*\* Average ± SE of the individual plots; n= 5 for pasture; n= 3 for forest).