



Continuous observations from horizontally pointing lidar, weather parameters, and PM_{2.5}: a pre-deployment assessment for monitoring radioactive dust in Fukushima, Japan

Nofel Lagrosas¹, Kosuke Okubo¹, Hitoshi Irie², Yutaka Matsumi³, Tomoki Nakayama⁴, Yutaka Sugita⁵, Takashi Okada⁵, and Tatsuo Shiina¹

¹Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Chiba University, Chiba, 263-8522 Japan

²Center for Environmental Remote Sensing, Chiba University, Chiba, 263-8522 Japan

³Solar-Terrestrial Environment Laboratory, Nagoya University, Nagoya, 456-0000 Japan

⁴Graduate School of Fisheries and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Environmental Science, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, 853-0000, Japan

⁵Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Ibaraki, 319-1194 Japan

Correspondence: Nofel Lagrosas (nofel@chiba-u.jp)

Abstract. A horizontally pointing lidar is planned for deployment with other instruments in Fukushima, Japan, to continuously monitor and characterize the optical properties of radioactive aerosols and dust in an uninhabited area. Prior to installation, the performance of the lidar is tested at Chiba University. Data from the continuous operation of the lidar from August 2021 to February 2022 are analyzed for extinction and depolarization ratio. These are compared with the weather sensor and particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) measurements to quantify the relationship between atmospheric conditions and optical properties of near-ground aerosols. The results show that lidar data's extinction coefficient and depolarization ratio can have a quantifiable relationship with relative humidity (RH), absolute humidity, rain rate, wind speed, wind direction, and PM_{2.5} concentration. Analysis of the seven-month data shows that the optical properties of aerosol and dust depend on the combined effects of the weather parameters. An increase in RH or PM_{2.5} concentration does not imply an increase in radioactive aerosols. The average extinction coefficient and depolarization ratio of aerosols and dust originating from the land and ocean show different values and opposing trends which can aid in determining the occurrence of ground-based radioactive dust and aerosols. The information obtained from analyzing the interrelationship among lidar, weather parameters, and PM_{2.5} concentration is essential in assessing the occurrence of radioactive aerosols and characterizing local aerosol-weather relationships in a radioactive area. This result provides essential information in describing radioactive aerosols in Fukushima.

1 Introduction

Aerosols and dust can be transported to different locations and are susceptible to environmental and weather conditions. As a result, the optical properties of these scatterers are affected. In a place where the unprecedented release of nuclear radiation brings about radioactive aerosols, these can pose a risk to nearby inhabitants. Theoretically, continuous detection of these radioactive aerosols and dust using lidar can reveal valuable information in understanding and inferring temporal or diurnal



20 evolution, the effect of seasonal changes, and the relationship between meteorological and optical parameters on observed naturally occurring local aerosols and dust. Lidar can also provide information on the transport of radioactive aerosols.

In our previous study, the horizontal lidar was operated near an interim storage facility for a few days in Fukushima, Japan, in 2018 to monitor the optical scattering of dust during the storage operation or working conditions (Shiina et al., 2018). The study showed that dust smaller than $20\ \mu\text{m}$ carries more radioactivity ($\sim 2 \times 10^{-8}\ \text{Bqcm}^{-3}$) than larger dust. This amount is
25 much lower than the accepted **safe limit of $10 \times 10^{-5}\ \text{Bqcm}^{-3}$** . However, continuous observations of aerosols in radioactive areas are still essential to determine the amount of daily inhalable radioactive dust, to reassure residents that radioactivity levels from inhalable aerosols are safe, and to promote safe everyday life activities in these communities. Previous studies indicate that inhaled radioactive aerosols under a dust concentration of $1 \times 10^{-4}\ \text{gm}^{-3}$ can have an effective dose received
30 **in 20 years of just $5.6\ \mu\text{Sv}$** (Hanfi et al., 2021). As people gradually return to evacuated places in Fukushima, continuous monitoring of natural radioactive aerosols assists in assessing these areas' environmental and living conditions. Discussion of monitoring radioactive aerosols and dust, the phenomenological aspect of monitoring, and data acquisition and interpretation provide essential insights into the current and future detection of radioactive aerosols. Furthermore, providing a dataset of optical properties of local aerosols and quantified aerosol-weather relationships is beneficial for future modeling purposes, mainly to understand the local transport of these aerosols and for disaster mitigation.

35 The main objective of this work is to provide an overview of the results obtained during the demonstration experiment phase of the project. This work has the following objectives: 1) to continuously gather data from a near-ground horizontally pointing lidar system, 2) to analyze temporal changes in optical properties of local aerosols and dust, and 3) to quantify the relationship between observed optical properties of scatterers from lidar and other measured quantities (e.g., relative humidity (RH), wind speed, particulate matter ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$)).

40 2 Site description

The lidar is operated on the 9th floor (**$\sim 65\ \text{m}$ above sea level**) of the Engineering building at Chiba University ($35.6278^\circ\ \text{N}$, $140.1031^\circ\ \text{E}$). The observation site is located in an urban area 3 km from Tokyo Bay. Within a 10 km radius, the north and east sides are urban areas. In contrast, the west and south areas comprise urban lands and Tokyo Bay (Fig. 1). The landmass and water locations make the observation site a strategic place for measuring the optical properties of aerosols coming from
45 different directions.

The planned observation site will be in Fukushima, northeast of and $\sim 165\ \text{km}$ from Chiba. In Fukushima, the east side of the site is the Pacific Ocean. The north and west sides are landmasses. The south side has land and sea. The aerosol optical properties derived from the data from long-term monitoring of aerosols in this area will have effects from winds coming from the sea and the land, similar to the case in Chiba.




Figure 1. Location of Chiba University, the current observation site, with respect to Tokyo and Tokyo Bay. The next planned observation site will be in Fukushima.

50 3 Methodology

In this work, the horizontal lidar, weather monitor, and particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) sampler are simultaneously and continuously operated. The data from these instruments are processed and compared to infer the relationship between lidar-derived aerosol optical and weather parameters. The robust fitting method is employed to infer quantitative relationship trends while ignoring outlier points to quantify the effect of weather on the optical properties of near-ground aerosols. The variation of each optical and weather parameter can be considerable due to the high variability of atmospheric conditions, but trends can be observed.



3.1 Horizontal lidar

The horizontal lidar has been operated continuously from August 2021 to February 2022 on the 9th floor of the Engineering building at Chiba University (35.63°N, 140.1° E) to monitor near-ground aerosols and dust. The lidar system gathers data every 1 s and is only stopped for a few minutes when the lidar is reset once every other day. The lidar is set in a perfectly horizontal position and is pointed in the west-northwest direction. The data collected over this time provides information on the temporal trends of aerosol optical properties caused by weather effects before the lidar system’s deployment in Fukushima to monitor radioactive dust continuously. In Fukushima, the lidar will be mounted closer to the ground (~ 1 m). Table 1 shows the specifications of the horizontal lidar system. A diode-pumped solid-state (DPSS) laser is used as a light source, and it emits maximum pulse energy of 120 μ J at a repetition frequency of 1 kHz at 349 nm wavelength. The laser and telescope’s full overlap is around 50m from the lidar. The transmitted and received signals are P-polarized and P and S-polarized, respectively. The lidar data are then processed for extinction coefficient and depolarization ratio.

Table 1. Specification of the DPSS horizontal lidar system

Laser model	Spectra-Physics Explorer One
Wavelength	349 nm
Pulse energy	120 μ J
Repetition frequency	1 kHz
Pulse duration	>3 ns
Telescope diameter	10 cm
Telescope’s field of view	3 mrad
Interference filter CWL	349 nm
Interference filter FWHM	0.6 nm
PMT Hamamatsu	H11901-110
Transmitted polarization	P
Received polarization	P and S
Maximum range	700 m

Since the horizontal lidar has a maximum range of around 700 m, we assume that the aerosol properties do not significantly vary in a few hundred meters in the horizontal direction. From this assumption, the aerosol extinction coefficient between 100 m and 300 m can be derived using the slope method (Kunz and de Leeuw, 1993). The slope method is used by fitting a line between the maximum and minimum of the natural logarithm of the range-corrected signal between 100 m and 300 m. A homogeneous atmosphere can be assumed during the observation period in this horizontal range. This method is similar to our group’s work that examined the relationship between horizontal lidar and weather effects from continuous lidar observations in November 2017 and in the winter of 2018 (Ong et al., 2019; Xiafukaiti et al., 2020).



The depolarization ratio from the lidar signals is calculated as the ratio of the S and P signals multiplied by the ratio of the gains of the channels. **Burton et al. (2015) and Xu et al. (2022) presented a method to measure the ratio of the gains of the channels, and this method is applied in this work.** Table 2 shows the days in a month when the horizontal lidar continuously gathers data.

Table 2. Number of days of continuous operation of horizontal lidar

Month	Number of days (%)
August 2021	20 (64.5)
September 2021	30 (100)
October 2021	20 (54.5)
November 2021	27 (90)
December 2021	28 (90.3)
January 2022	29 (93.6)
February 2022	23 (82.14)

3.2 Weather data

A weather monitor (Davis, Vantage Pro 2) is mounted on the rooftop (~ 46 m above sea level) of the Center for Environmental Remote Sensing (CEReS) and logs data of weather parameters (RH, temperature, wind speed, wind direction, and rain rate) every 5 min. The absolute humidity can be derived from the RH and temperature data (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2016). This parameter, which provides the amount of water vapor per unit volume of air, can be compared with the optical parameters from the lidar.

3.3 PM_{2.5} data

PM_{2.5} data are obtained using a compact PM_{2.5} instrument (Nakayama et al., 2018; Damiani et al., 2021). This instrument is programmed to get PM_{2.5} data every 1 min on the 9th floor of the Engineering building at Chiba University as part of the international observation network activities of the Sky Radiometer Network (SKYNET) and Aerosol and Sky Research Remote Sensing Network (A-SKY).

4 Results and discussion

Weather conditions affect aerosols' optical and radiative properties (Makar et al., 2015, 2021; Mao et al., 2018). In this work, the effect of weather on aerosol optical properties can be quantified using the data from the horizontal lidar and weather monitors. The following narration shows the temporal changes and the quantified relationships between lidar and weather monitors obtained by applying robust fitting on the parameters.



4.1 Observed temporal trends

95 During the seven months of continuous operation of the horizontal lidar, average extinction coefficients and depolarization ratio show decreasing and increasing monthly trends, respectively (Figs. 2a and 2b). These results indicate the optical parameters' dependence on seasons. The temporal trend of the mean extinction coefficient near the ground slightly decreases during cold months (November to February). The distribution of extinction coefficients during the cold months also tends to be skewed towards higher values due to high outlier values. For all collected datasets, the observed median and average extinction coefficients of aerosols within the 100m to 300 m range are below 0.005 m^{-1} . The mean extinction coefficient value is around $0.0025 (\pm 0.00048) \text{ m}^{-1}$. The histogram of the extinction coefficient values is shown in Fig. 2c and suggests a two-mode distribution. A simple bimodal Gaussian fit of the distribution (not shown) indicates mean extinction coefficients of 0.0024 and 0.0027 m^{-1} . These very close mean extinction coefficients can be attributed to the effect of relative humidity (RH), as discussed in the succeeding paragraph. The extinction coefficient values presented in this work are roughly ten times greater than previously reported works (Ong et al., 2019; Xiafukaiti et al., 2020). In these previous works, a laser inclined 4° above the horizontal was used to observe near-horizontal aerosols. In this configuration, the laser traverses the atmosphere with a vertical path encountering fewer aerosols along its path. The extinction coefficient is measured up to 5 km by accumulating the signals over 5 min and continuously operated in the months of November 2017 (Ong et al., 2019) and November and December 2018 (Xiafukaiti et al., 2020). The current horizontal lidar does not have this property. The number of aerosol particles does not significantly vary between the 100 m to 300 m horizontal range, leading to higher extinction coefficient values.




Figure 2. Boxplots of monthly a) extinction coefficient, and b) depolarization ratio derived from horizontal lidar in the 100 m to 300 m range and the corresponding histogram of the c) extinction coefficient and d) depolarization ratio.




Figure 3. Monthly distribution of a) RH, and b) absolute humidity, and rose graph of of wind speed and direction for c) August, d) September, e) October, f) November, g) December 2021, and h) January and i) February 2022. Monthly distribution of j) average $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration shows values less than 20 μgm^{-3} .



The mean depolarization ratio values increase from summer to winter (Fig. 2b). The mean depolarization ratio is 0.161 (± 0.095). The histogram of the depolarization values is shown in Fig. 2d. Between the depolarization range of 0 and 0.2, a bimodal distribution can be observed. The result of applying a bimodal Gaussian fitting of the distribution reveals a mean depolarization of 0.12 and 0.14. **These mean values approximate the local depolarization ratio values during high and low humidity conditions.** The mean depolarization ratio between 0.35 and 0.4 can be attributed to **highly non-spherical dust**. The mean depolarization values between 0.1 and 0.35 are similar to the results obtained from dual polarization lidar measurements (Qi et al., 2021) and from laboratory measurements of mineral dust fitted with a homogeneous spheroid model (Kahnert et al., 2020). In the latter, an increase depolarization ratio can be attributed to the increase in the aspect ratio of the dust for a constant size parameter. In real conditions, this effect can occur when RH is low and the prevailing aspect ratio of dust is not equal to unity.

From the weather monitor data, continuous measurements of relative humidity (RH) from August to February shows a decrease in average RH from 80% to 60% (Fig. 3a). This corresponds to the reduction of absolute humidity from 22 gm^{-3} to 4 gm^{-3} in the same period (Fig. 3b). **In August, the dominant wind direction is from the south and southwest (Tokyo Bay direction), carrying more moisture and marine-type aerosols (Fig. 3c). In the subsequent months, the prevailing wind directions drastically shift from southwest to northeast and north (Figs 3d-3i).** Winds from the north come from the land, and the aerosols can be considered an urban type (Fukagawa et al., 2006). The observed mean $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration is below $20 \mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ (Fig 3j).

The wind direction plays a critical role in interpreting the aerosol data from areas affected by radioactivity. In Chiba, the dominant winds from the south and southwest indicate the presence of marine-type aerosols. On the other hand, when the wind is blowing from the east to the west in Fukushima, it is anticipated that the observed aerosols will primarily consist of marine-type aerosols assuming that radioactive aerosols originate from land-based sources. Properly evaluating the impact of wind direction on aerosol presence and distribution is crucial for obtaining accurate insights into the atmospheric conditions in the area and interpreting the aerosol data effectively.

4.2 Relationship between lidar-derived optical parameters (depolarization ratio and extinction coefficient), weather parameters (RH, absolute humidity, wind speed, wind direction), and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration

The overall data from ground observations of near-ground aerosols indicate that the depolarization ratio decreases exponentially as the extinction coefficient increases, as shown in the orange circles in Fig. 4a. **This relationship between the two optical parameters indicates that aerosols grow in size as a response to increasing RH.** The high depolarization ratio (>0.3) with extinction coefficients from 0.003 to 0.006 m^{-1} (black circles in Fig. 4a) is primarily from the days when snowflakes fell and when wind speed was high ($> 0.5 \text{ m}$), bringing in local dust. Snow was observed in Chiba on 06 January 2022 (15:00-00:00 JST), 07 January 2022 (01:00-13:00 JST), 02-03 February 2022 (22:00-11:00 JST), and 14 February 2022 (00:00-07:00). Just before snow conditions, the observed data suggests that the depolarization ratio decreases exponentially from 0.4 to 0.1 when the extinction coefficient changes from 0.002 m^{-1} to 0.003 m^{-1} . When the extinction coefficient is greater than 0.003 m^{-1} , the depolarization ratio varies from 0.002 to 0.8, suggesting the formation of different snowflake shapes. Changes in



145 the depolarization ratio for aerosols tend to follow the exponential decrease with the extinction coefficient. Dust is also found
to follow the exponential decrease with RH (black points coexisting with the orange points when the extinction coefficient
is less than 0.003 m^{-1}). The quantified relationship between the depolarization ratio and extinction coefficient serves two
purposes. First, the quantified results provide, for the first time, a measured relationship between the two parameters, local and
near-ground aerosols. Second, the results provide an essential understanding of how radioactive near-ground aerosols can be
150 observed as they change shape due to the effect of weather. Previous results have made indirect comparisons from vertically-
pointing lidars, i.e., no quantitative relationship is provided (Groß et al., 2011; Haarig et al., 2017, 2022; Sakai et al., 2006).

When the extinction coefficient is compared with RH (Fig. 4b), an exponential function can be used to construct the rela-
tionship between the two parameters for aerosols and dust near the ground. This result is expected since when RH increases,
the optical properties of the scatterers change, making these scatterers have a greater extinction cross-section that causes high
155 attenuation of light. Other studies have shown that the extinction coefficient and RH relationship can be modeled using a power
law applied to RH/100 or (1-RH/100) (Skupin et al., 2016; Düsing et al., 2021). According to these studies, a high extinction
coefficient at high RH does not mean high wind speed but depends on the aerosol type. For example, at 90% RH, the ex-
tinction coefficient of marine-type aerosols has higher than dust (Zieger et al., 2013). However, for near-ground aerosols, the
exponential function better fits the seven-month observation.

160 The extinction enhancement factor, $f(\text{RH})$, defined as the ratio of the extinction coefficient at a specific RH to the extinction
coefficient at dry RH, is related to the hygroscopic growth of aerosols under increasing RH (Haarig et al., 2017; Skupin et al.,
2016; Zhang et al., 2022). Previous studies use only the scattering coefficient of aerosols to determine $f(\text{RH})$ to characterize
the hygroscopic properties of aerosols (Burgos et al., 2019; Jung et al., 2021; Lagrosas et al., 2019; Zieger et al., 2011, 2013).
The extinction coefficients provide the trend of the effect of RH on near-ground aerosols. In this work, the $f(\text{RH})$ values (Fig.
165 4c), measured at 349 nm, range from 1 to 2 and do not show a steep exponential increase with RH. This range of values is
smaller than the $f(\text{RH})$ values at 550 nm and is consistent with the $f(\text{RH})$ values at 355 nm reported by Haarig et al. (2017).
Also, earlier measurements of dry and wet marine aerosols have $f(\text{RH})$ of 1.94 ± 0.94 between 40% and 80% RH at 355 nm
(Haarig et al., 2017). In this case, for pure aerosol types, such as the case of marine aerosols, $f(\text{RH})$ is higher than the values
shown in Fig. 4c in the same RH range. The result presented in Fig. 4c represents Chiba's unique ambient near-surface $f(\text{RH})$
170 from different aerosol types.

The mean depolarization ratio of near-surface aerosols shows a monotonic decrease as RH increases (Fig. 4d). The results
suggest that water vapor in the atmosphere can control, to some extent, the shapes of near-ground aerosols and dust by making
them approach a spherical shape as RH increases. An exponential function is found to provide the best fit and thus model the
relationship between the depolarization ratio and RH. The variation of the depolarization ratio when $\text{RH} < 60\%$ is higher than
175 the variation when $\text{RH} > 60\%$. This observation implies that various aerosols with different non-spherical morphologies in dry
conditions ($\text{RH} < 60\%$) are observed. As deliquescence sets in, condensing water vapor molecules change the morphological
structure of the aerosols. Around $\text{RH} = 100\%$, the mean depolarization ratio is around 0.1. This result is expected since the
horizontal lidar observes near-ground aerosols. Furthermore, the 0.1 depolarization ratio indicates the limiting value for near-
ground dust and implies that near-ground dust cannot possess a perfectly spherical shape even when coated by water at high





Figure 4. Observed relationship between a) depolarization ratio and extinction coefficient, and b) extinction coefficient, c) f(RH) and d) depolarization ratio  RH, e) extinction coefficient and f) depolarization with absolute humidity in Chiba. During rainy conditions, g) extinction coefficient, and f) depolarization ratio can be quantified with rain rate.

180 RH. This result provides new insight into how radioactive dust can be affected by RH, especially when the lidar system is placed near the ground, as will be the case in Fukushima.



The quantified relationship between extinction coefficient and depolarization ratio with RH can be further explored by linking these lidar-derived optical parameters with the amount of water vapor in the atmosphere by employing absolute humidity. Absolute humidity is the parameter that measures the actual amount of water vapor regardless of the air's temperature. A linear relationship between the extinction coefficient and absolute humidity is expected since the extinction coefficient can be linked with the aerosol's mass using the mass extinction efficiency parameter (Cheng et al., 2017; Latimer and Martin, 2019; Lagrosas et al., 2005). The quantified relationship between extinction coefficient and absolute humidity from lidar and weather monitor measurements is the first to be reported from the authors' knowledge. Previous works usually employ the use of the hygroscopic growth factor, $f(\text{RH})$, to describe and quantify the change of extinction coefficient with relative humidity (Chen et al., 2019; Dawson et al., 2020; Jefferson et al., 2017; Lagrosas et al., 2019; Ming and Russell, 2001; Noh et al., 2011; Shingler et al., 2016; Vu et al., 2021; Zieger et al., 2011, 2013). The seven-month measurements have shown that extinction coefficients increase from 0.002 m^{-1} to 0.004 m^{-1} when absolute humidity increases from 3 gm^{-3} to 25 gm^{-3} (Fig. 4e). The aerosol extinction coefficient, therefore, increases by $2.647 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^{-1}$ per 1 gm^{-3} increase of water vapor. On the other hand, the change of depolarization ratio with absolute humidity can be modeled as an exponential function, indicating that near-ground aerosols are sensitive to condensing water vapor (Fig. 4f). These relationships provide insights into the amount of water vapor that can effectively change the optical characteristics of aerosols. Previously reported classification of aerosols in Chiba by air sampling has shown that hydrophilic aerosols (sea salt, ammonium nitrate, and ammonium sulfate) are dominant during summer months, while elemental carbon is the dominant aerosol during winter months (Fukagawa et al., 2006). These aerosols can potentially have strong and weak interactions with water vapor in the atmosphere.

During rainy conditions, the extinction coefficient values derived from lidar data vary from 0.003 m^{-1} to 0.005 m^{-1} as rain rate increases (Fig. 4e). Depolarization ratio values decrease from 0.12 to 0.003, as expected, with increasing rain rate (Fig. 4f). These extinction coefficients and depolarization ratio values also coincide with the same set of points at the bottom portion of Fig. 4a just before the depolarization ratio goes variably high (black points). This result implies that these values can be considered the threshold values before the water freezes and forms snowflakes.

$\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration measured during the seven-month observation shows increasing concentration as RH increases (Fig. 5a). This result implies that in an area where radioactive aerosols exist, the increase in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration can be due to the increase in RH and not necessarily an increase in radioactive aerosols unless the water vapor is also radioactive or when the prevailing wind comes from the land which is the source of radioactive aerosols and dust. When the horizontal lidar continuously observes aerosols nearer the ground, as will be the case in Fukushima, a similar relationship between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and RH is expected. It is worth mentioning that the fitted equations in the figures are only valid in the measured range of values, e.g., $20 \mu\text{gm}^{-3} < \text{PM}_{2.5} < 160 \mu\text{gm}^{-3}$. When the extinction coefficient is compared to the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration (Fig. 5b), a linear fit can be anticipated since the extinction coefficient is also proportional to the exponent of RH and the $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration is linearly proportional to the extinction coefficient. The extinction coefficient from previous studies shows a linear relationship with $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ with a zero offset because corrections from contributions from nitrogen dioxide, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, and PM_{10} concentrations are applied (Cheng et al., 2017; Gramsch et al., 2004; Yao et al., 2021). On the other hand, without any corrections applied to the data, other results show a similar non-zero intercept relationship between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and aerosol optical parameters (aerosol



optical depth, absorption, and extinction coefficients) (Kong et al., 2017; Mei et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2019). In this work, no corrections are implemented since this study focuses on the atmospheric effects on ambient aerosols and dust. This quantified relationship enables the approximate conversion of measured $PM_{2.5}$ and extinction coefficient.




Figure 5. a) Observed relationship between $PM_{2.5}$ and RH and b) extinction coefficient and depolarization ratio with $PM_{2.5}$ and the corresponding trend of $PM_{2.5}$ with d) wind speed and e) wind direction and f) wind direction with wind speed.

220 The depolarization ratio from regular aerosols decreases with increasing $PM_{2.5}$ and can be modeled using an exponential function (Fig. 5c). Increased $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations indicate the formation of more near-spherically shaped aerosols with sizes less than $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ due to the effect of RH becoming $PM_{2.5}$ particles. However, higher depolarization values are observed for $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations greater than $60 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ deviating from the observed trend. These conditions are generally detected when wind speeds are high ($> 0.5 \text{ms}^{-1}$). This result is also observed in the extinction coefficient and $PM_{2.5}$ graph (Fig. 5b).
 225 Wind speed greater than 0.5ms^{-1} are associated with different $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The observations in Chiba show that the




Figure 6. Variation of average a) extinction coefficient and b) depolarization ratio with wind speed.




Figure 7. Variation of average a) extinction coefficient and b) depolarization ratio with wind direction.

distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ with wind speed has a V-like structure with the wind speed 4 ms^{-1} serving as the inflection point and further indicates a bimodal distribution (Fig. 5d). $PM_{2.5}$ values peak in the range from 1 ms^{-1} to 2 ms^{-1} and from 5.5 ms^{-1} to 7.5 ms^{-1} . This information shows that the wind easily carries small dust near the ground and is dispersed as the wind speed increases. The second peak indicates increased $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from local or long transport. $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations also have a bimodal distribution with wind direction (Fig. 5e). $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations peak when the wind comes from the northeast, the location of a major highway, and the south-southwest, the location of Tokyo bay and partly urban land. Most wind speeds greater than 5 ms^{-1} also come from the south-southwest direction (Fig. 5f). The increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentration at higher wind speeds implies the abundance of local or coated aerosols from the water vapor coming from Tokyo bay. The seven-month observation shows land-based $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are higher by $\sim 50 \mu\text{gm}^{-3}$ than Tokyo bay-based aerosols.

When average extinction coefficients and depolarization ratios are compared with wind speed, patterns of the average values are noticeable even though high variations exist (Figs 6a and 6b). These high variations are expected when long-term atmospheric data are analyzed. The average extinction coefficient tends to have a bimodal distribution with wind speed similar to that of the $PM_{2.5}$ concentration with wind speed. Extinction coefficients are observed to peak when wind speed is less than 0.5 ms^{-1} and between 5 ms^{-1} and 7 ms^{-1} (Fig. 6a). The first peak can be attributed to naturally occurring aerosols while the



240 second peak can be attributed to both marine-type aerosols and dust. Wind speed greater than 5 ms^{-1} indicates wind directions from 200° to 250° . This result is anticipated since the extinction coefficient is shown to have a linear relationship with $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. Similarly, the values of the depolarization ratio increase with wind speed (Fig. 6b). The relationship between the depolarization ratio and wind speed demonstrates that the wind effectively carries more nonspherical dust in the atmosphere, and in Chiba, an wind speed increase of 1 ms^{-1} increases the depolarization ratio by approximately 0.008. This result is consistent with
245 the previous discussion wherein an increase in the depolarization ratio indicates a decrease in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ is ascertained. And a reduction in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ concentration suggests a more likely increase in wind speed.

When the average extinction coefficient is compared with wind speed, a slight decrease of extinction coefficient with wind direction can be observed from 0° to 315° (Fig. 7a). This decrease is approximately $-3.3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}^{-1}$ per 1° . Conversely, the average depolarization ratio moderately increases with wind direction at a rate of 6.8×10^{-5} per 1° (Fig. 7b). Between 0° and
250 150° both parameters show slight changes in values. The extinction coefficient decreases a bit from $2.4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ to $2.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^{-1}$ when the wind direction is from 180° to 225° i.e., from Tokyo bay. The depolarization ratio increase by 0.05 in the same wind direction range, and this high depolarization ratio (~ 0.2) implies the dominance of marine-type aerosols in the atmosphere. The corresponding decrease in extinction coefficient when the wind is from Tokyo bay suggests that marine-type aerosols have a lower extinction coefficient value ($\sim 0.0023 \text{ m}^{-1}$) than land-based aerosols. Wind direction between 225° and
255 315° carry aerosols with lower extinction coefficients than aerosols from 0° to 150° . Conversely, the depolarization ratio shows a relatively higher value (~ 0.2) when the wind direction is between 225° and 315° than aerosols from 0° to 150° and can probably be attributed to the higher wind speeds between 200° and 250° that can be responsible for transporting more aerosols either from local or farther places.

These overall results provide information and insights into how the lidar-derived optical properties of aerosols are affected by
260 atmospheric parameters (RH, absolute humidity, wind speed, wind direction) and $\text{PM}_{2.5}$. The quantified relationships can aid and provide a general understanding of Fukushima's aerosol-weather relationship. When operated in Fukushima, the horizontal lidar can observe aerosols from the land and sea, and we expect that lidar-weather parameters can also be quantified. As mentioned Sec. 2, the left and right sides of the deployment site are land and the Pacific Ocean, respectively. Sources of radioactive aerosols are the aerosols from the land. Wind direction and speed will be the essential weather parameters that
265 can be used to determine whether the observed aerosols come from the land or the Pacific Ocean. The observations in Chiba show that aerosols from Tokyo bay have lower extinction coefficients and higher depolarization ratios than the aerosols from the land. Data from Fukushima observations is expected to follow this trend and can be used to classify which aerosols carry radioactivity. The aerosol concentrations will be measured using a dust sampler. The data from the dust sampler, weather instruments, and lidar can be compared to determine how much aerosols are from the land and the Pacific Ocean. From the
270 amount of land-based aerosols, the radioactivity level from inhalable aerosols can be regularly assessed. The proximity of the deployment site to the Pacific Ocean indicates that when the dominant wind comes from the ocean, nonradioactive marine-type aerosols dominate. Water vapor concentration is expected to increase, and the observed extinction coefficient will also increase. However, marine-type aerosols should have a lower extinction coefficient and higher depolarization ratio than land-



275 based aerosols. By using $PM_{2.5}$ data, the V-like structure relationship with wind direction can also aid in inferring whether the observed aerosols are land or sea-based.

5 Conclusions

280 This work has shown that the data from continuously-operated horizontal lidar, weather monitor, and $PM_{2.5}$ measurements can be used to describe and quantify the relationships among the optical properties of aerosols, weather parameters, and $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. The results have shown that extinction coefficients of dust and aerosols increase with RH, rain rate, $PM_{2.5}$ amount, wind speed, and absolute humidity. The same parameter decreases with depolarization ratio and wind direction. The lidar-derived depolarization ratio, on the other hand, increases with wind speed and wind direction and decreases with extinction coefficient, RH, rain rate, $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, and absolute humidity. Quantified relationships between lidar-derived parameters (extinction coefficient and depolarization ratio) and weather parameters have been established from the seven-month data providing an understanding of how the optical properties can change with each weather parameter. From these quantified relationships, trends can be inferred, and these can be used to analyze the data when the horizontal lidar is deployed in Fukushima. A similar methodology treatment on the data from continuous observations in Fukushima can be used. The results from the analysis of combined lidar, weather, and sampling measurements can provide an assessment of the air quality and the amount of inhalable radioactive aerosols in the area.

290 In a radioactive area, wind direction can dictate the level of radioactive aerosols. In Fukushima, when the dominant wind comes from the land, the prevalent aerosols and dust are expected to carry a traceable amount of radioactivity. When the prevailing wind direction is from the Pacific Ocean, marine-type aerosols that do not carry radioactivity will be dominant. Therefore, an increase in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations with RH does not imply an increase in aerosol concentration (and an increase in the radioactive level of inhalable aerosols). The increase of extinction coefficient with RH and absolute humidity also does not indicate an increase in radioactive aerosols. The increase of extinction (or the decrease of depolarization ratio) when RH or absolute humidity increases suggests that water vapor is just condensing on radioactive aerosols.

The horizontal lidar is scheduled for deployment to an uninhabited area in Fukushima. The lidar will be operated continuously to detect radioactive aerosol and dust in conjunction with weather instruments and air samplers. The preliminary results from this work have provided qualitative and quantitative insights into the lidar-weather- $PM_{2.5}$ concentration relationship that is valuable in assessing the occurrence of radioactive aerosols and dust in the atmosphere.

300 Will the data from this measurement be relevant from a global perspective and remote sensing point of view? Ideally, the same instruments must be deployed at different strategic locations to investigate local aerosol-weather relationships at various international locations. The data from this observation and the corresponding results presented in this work characterize and define the local aerosol-weather relationship. The dataset can potentially be used for global climate models to assess results from downscaling experiments.

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-546>

Preprint. Discussion started: 17 April 2023

© Author(s) 2023. CC BY 4.0 License.



305 *Data availability.* Data used in this study are available upon request (shiina@faculty.chiba-u.jp and nofel@chiba-u.jp).

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions. The project was conceptualized and supervised by TS, YS and TO. Formal analysis, writing and editing of the data was carried by NL. Data curation was done by NL. PM_{2.5} data were curated by HI, YM and TN.

Financial support. This research is partly funded by the Japan Environmental Storage and Safety Corporation (JESCO).



310 References

- Burgos, M., Andrews, E., Titos, G., Alados-Arboledas, L., Baltensperger, U., Day, D., Jefferson, A., Kalivitis, N., Mihalopoulos, N., Sherman, S., Sun, J., Weingartner, E., and Zieger, P.: A global view on the effect of water uptake on aerosol particle light scattering, *Scientific Data*, 6, 157, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0158-7>, 2019.
- Burton, S. P., Hair, J. W., Kahnert, M., Ferrare, R. A., Hostetler, C. A., Cook, A. L., Harper, D. B., Berkoff, T. A., Seaman, S. T., Collins, J. E.,
315 Fenn, M. A., and Rogers, R. R.: Observations of the spectral dependence of linear particle depolarization ratio of aerosols using NASA Langley airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 13 453–13 473, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-13453-2015>, 2015.
- Chen, J., Li, Z., Lv, M., Wang, Y., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., Wang, H., Yan, X., Sun, Y., and Cribb, M.: Aerosol hygroscopic growth, contributing factors, and impact on haze events in a severely polluted region in northern China, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 1327–1342,
320 <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-1327-2019>, 2019.
- Cheng, Z., Ma, X., He, Y., Jiang, J., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Sheng, L., Hu, J., and Yan, N.: Mass extinction efficiency and extinction hygroscopicity of ambient PM_{2.5} in urban China, *Environmental Research*, 156, 239–246, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.03.022>, 2017.
- Damiani, A., Irie, H., Yamaguchi, K., Hoque, H. M. S., Nakayama, T., Matsumi, Y., Kondo, Y., and Silva, A. D.: Variabilities in PM_{2.5} and black carbon surface concentrations reproduced by aerosol optical properties estimated by in-situ data, ground based remote sensing and
325 modeling, *Remote Sensing*, 13, 3163, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13163163>, 2021.
- Dawson, K., Ferrare, R., Moore, R., Clayton, M., Thorsen, T., and Eloranta, E.: Ambient aerosol hygroscopic growth from combined Raman lidar and HSRL, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 125, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JD031708>, 2020.
- Düsing, S., Ansmann, A., Baars, H., Corbin, J. C., Denjean, C., Gysel-Beer, M., Müller, T., Poulain, L., Siebert, H., Spindler, G., Tuch, T., Wehner, B., and Wiedensohler, A.: Measurement report: Comparison of airborne, in situ measured, lidar-based, and modeled aerosol
330 optical properties in the central European background – identifying sources of deviations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21, 16 745–16 773, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-16745-2021>, 2021.
- Fukagawa, S., Kuze, H., Bagtasa, G., Naito, S., Yabuki, M., Takamura, T., and Takeuchi, N.: Characterization of seasonal variation of tropospheric aerosols in Chiba, Japan, *Atmospheric Environment*, 40, 2160–2168, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.056>, 2006.
- Gramsch, E., Ormeño, I., Palma, G., Cereceda-Balic, F., and Oyola, P.: Use of the light absorption coefficient to monitor elemental carbon and PM_{2.5}-Example of Santiago de Chile, *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 54, 799–808,
335 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2004.10470956>, 2004.
- Groß, S., Tesche, M., Freudenthaler, V., Toledano, C., Wiegner, M., Ansmann, A., Althausen, D., and Seefeldner, M.: Characterization of Saharan dust, marine aerosols and mixtures of biomass-burning aerosols and dust by means of multi-wavelength depolarization and Raman lidar measurements during SAMUM 2, *Tellus B: Chemical and Physical Meteorology*, 63, 706, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2011.00556.x>, 2011.
- Haarig, M., Ansmann, A., Gasteiger, J., Kandler, K., Althausen, D., Baars, H., Radenz, M., and Farrell, D. A.: Dry versus wet marine particle optical properties: RH dependence of depolarization ratio, backscatter, and extinction from multiwavelength lidar measurements during SALTRACE, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 17, 14 199–14 217, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-14199-2017>, 2017.
- Haarig, M., Ansmann, A., Engelmann, R., Baars, H., Toledano, C., Torres, B., Althausen, D., Radenz, M., and Wandinger, U.: First triple-wavelength lidar observations of depolarization and extinction-to-backscatter ratios of Saharan dust, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*,
345 22, 355–369, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-355-2022>, 2022.



- Hanfi, M. Y., Yarmoshenko, I. V., and Zhukovsky, M. V.: Assessment of radiation dose from inhalation of outdoor dust containing natural radionuclides, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 196, 184–189, <https://doi.org/10.1093/rpd/ncab148>, 2021.
- Jefferson, A., Hageman, D., Morrow, H., Mei, F., and Watson, T.: Seven years of aerosol scattering hygroscopic growth measurements from SGP: Factors influencing water uptake, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122, 9451–9466, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2017JD026804>, 2017.
- 350 Jung, C. H., Yoon, Y. J., Um, J., Lee, S. S., Han, K. M., Shin, H. J., Lee, J. Y., and Kim, Y. P.: Approximated expression of the hygroscopic growth factor for polydispersed aerosols, *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 151, 105 670, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2020.105670>, 2021.
- Kahnert, M., Kanngießner, F., Järvinen, E., and Schnaiter, M.: Aerosol-optics model for the backscatter depolarisation ratio of mineral dust particles, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 254, 107 177, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2020.107177>, 2020.
- 355 Kong, L., Xin, J., Liu, Z., Zhang, K., Tang, G., Zhang, W., and Wang, Y.: The PM_{2.5} threshold for aerosol extinction in the Beijing megacity, *Atmospheric Environment*, 167, 458–465, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.08.047>, 2017.
- Kunz, G. J. and de Leeuw, G.: Inversion of lidar signals with the slope method, *Applied Optics*, 32, 3249, <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.32.003249>, 1993.
- 360 Lagrosas, N., Kuze, H., Takeuchi, N., Fukagawa, S., Bagtasa, G., Yoshii, Y., Naito, S., and Yabuki, M.: Correlation study between suspended particulate matter and portable automated lidar data, *Journal of Aerosol Science*, 36, 439–454, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2004.10.007>, 2005.
- Lagrosas, N., Bagtasa, G., Manago, N., and Kuze, H.: Influence of ambient relative humidity on seasonal trends of the scattering enhancement factor for aerosols in Chiba, Japan, *Aerosol and Air Quality Research*, 19, 1856–1871, <https://doi.org/10.4209/aaqr.2018.07.0267>, 2019.
- 365 Latimer, R. N. C. and Martin, R. V.: Interpretation of measured aerosol mass scattering efficiency over North America using a chemical transport model, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 19, 2635–2653, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-2635-2019>, 2019.
- Makar, P., Gong, W., Milbrandt, J., Hogrefe, C., Zhang, Y., Curci, G., Žabkar, R., Im, U., Balzarini, A., Baró, R., Bianconi, R., Cheung, P., Forkel, R., Gravel, S., Hirtl, M., Honzak, L., Hou, A., Jiménez-Guerrero, P., Langer, M., Moran, M., Pabla, B., Pérez, J., Pirovano, G., José, R. S., Tuccella, P., Werhahn, J., Zhang, J., and Galmarini, S.: Feedbacks between air pollution and weather, Part I: Effects on weather, *Atmospheric Environment*, 115, 442–469, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.003>, 2015.
- 370 Makar, P. A., Akingunola, A., Chen, J., Pabla, B., Gong, W., Stroud, C., Sioris, C., Anderson, K., Cheung, P., Zhang, J., and Milbrandt, J.: Forest-fire aerosol–weather feedbacks over western North America using a high-resolution, online coupled air-quality model, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 21, 10 557–10 587, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-21-10557-2021>, 2021.
- Mao, Q., Huang, C., Zhang, H., Chen, Q., and Yuan, Y.: Aerosol optical properties and radiative effect under different weather conditions in Harbin, China, *Infrared Physics & Technology*, 89, 304–314, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infrared.2018.01.024>, 2018.
- 375 Mei, L., Guan, P., Yang, Y., and Kong, Z.: Atmospheric extinction coefficient retrieval and validation for the single-band Mie-scattering Scheimpflug lidar technique, *Optics Express*, 25, A628, <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.25.00A628>, 2017.
- Ming, Y. and Russell, L. M.: Predicted hygroscopic growth of sea salt aerosol, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 106, 28 259–28 274, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD000454>, 2001.
- 380 Nakayama, T., Matsumi, Y., Kawahito, K., and Watabe, Y.: Development and evaluation of a palm-sized optical PM_{2.5} sensor, *Aerosol Science and Technology*, 52, 2–12, <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2017.1375078>, 2018.
- Noh, Y. M., Müller, D., Mattis, I., Lee, H., and Kim, Y. J.: Vertically resolved light-absorption characteristics and the influence of relative humidity on particle properties: Multiwavelength Raman lidar observations of East Asian aerosol types over Korea, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, D06 206, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD014873>, 2011.



- 385 Ong, P. M., Lagrosas, N., Shiina, T., and Kuze, H.: Surface aerosol properties studied using a near-horizontal lidar, *Atmosphere*, 11, 36, <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11010036>, 2019.
- Qi, S., Huang, Z., Ma, X., Huang, J., Zhou, T., Zhang, S., Dong, Q., Bi, J., and Shi, J.: Classification of atmospheric aerosols and clouds by use of dual-polarization lidar measurements, *Optics Express*, 29, 23 461, <https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.430456>, 2021.
- Sakai, T., Orikasa, N., Nagai, T., Murakami, M., Kusunoki, K., Mori, K., Hashimoto, A., Matsumura, T., and Shibata, T.: Optical and
390 microphysical properties of upper clouds measured with the Raman lidar and hydrometeor videosonde: A case study on 29 March 2004 over Tsukuba, Japan, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 63, 2156–2166, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS3736.1>, 2006.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change*, Wiley, 3rd edition edn., 2016.
- Shiina, T., Peng, Z., Okada, T., Sugita, Y., Yokozawa, T., and Shinozaki, T.: Distribution estimation of radioactivity concentration by compact polarization lidar in Fukushima , in: *Lidar Technologies, Techniques, and Measurements for Atmospheric Remote Sensing XIV*, edited by Singh, U. N. and Tzeremes, G. D., vol. 10791, p. 107910C, International Society for Optics and Photonics, SPIE,
395 <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2325600>, 2018.
- Shingler, T., Sorooshian, A., Ortega, A., Crosbie, E., Wonaschütz, A., Perring, A. E., Beyersdorf, A., Ziemba, L., Jimenez, J. L., Campuzano-Jost, P., Mikoviny, T., Wisthaler, A., and Russell, L. M.: Ambient observations of hygroscopic growth factor and $f(\text{RH})$ below 1: Case studies from surface and airborne measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 121, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025471>,
400 2016.
- Skupin, A., Ansmann, A., Engelmann, R., Seifert, P., and Müller, T.: Four-year long-path monitoring of ambient aerosol extinction at a central European urban site: Dependence on relative humidity, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16, 1863–1876, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-1863-2016>, 2016.
- Vu, T. V., Shi, Z., and Harrison, R. M.: Estimation of hygroscopic growth properties of source-related sub-micrometre particle types in a
405 mixed urban aerosol, *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 4, 21, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00175-w>, 2021.
- Xiafukaiti, A., Lagrosas, N., Ong, P. M., Saitoh, N., Shiina, T., and Kuze, H.: Comparison of aerosol properties derived from sampling and near-horizontal lidar measurements using Mie scattering theory, *Applied Optics*, 59, 8014, <https://doi.org/10.1364/AO.398673>, 2020.
- Xu, X., Xie, J., Li, Y., Miao, S., and Fan, S.: Measurement report: Vehicle-based multi-lidar observational study of the effect of meteorological elements on the three-dimensional distribution of particles in the western Guangdong–Hong Kong–Macao Greater Bay Area, *Atmospheric
410 Chemistry and Physics*, 22, 139–153, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-139-2022>, 2022.
- Yang, Q., Yuan, Q., Yue, L., Li, T., Shen, H., and Zhang, L.: The relationships between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and aerosol optical depth (AOD) in mainland China: About and behind the spatio-temporal variations, *Environmental Pollution*, 248, 526–535, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2019.02.071>, 2019.
- Yao, L., Kong, S., Zheng, H., Chen, N., Zhu, B., Xu, K., Cao, W., Zhang, Y., Zheng, M., Cheng, Y., Hu, Y., Zhang, Z., Yan, Y., Liu, D.,
415 Zhao, T., Bai, Y., and Qi, S.: Co-benefits of reducing $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and improving visibility by COVID-19 lockdown in Wuhan, *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science*, 4, 40, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41612-021-00195-6>, 2021.
- Zhang, Z., Liu, L., Wang, B., Tan, H., Lan, C., Wang, Y., and Chan, P.: Impact of aerosol mixing state and hygroscopicity on the lidar ratio, *Remote Sensing*, 14, 1554, <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14071554>, 2022.
- Zieger, P., Weingartner, E., Henzing, J., Moerman, M., de Leeuw, G., Mikkilä, J., Ehn, M., Petäjä, T., Clémer, K., van Roozendael, M.,
420 Yilmaz, S., Frieß, U., Irie, H., Wagner, T., Shaiganfar, R., Beirle, S., Apituley, A., Wilson, K., and Baltensperger, U.: Comparison of ambient aerosol extinction coefficients obtained from in-situ, MAX-DOAS and LIDAR measurements at Cabauw, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11, 2603–2624, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-2603-2011>, 2011.

<https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-546>

Preprint. Discussion started: 17 April 2023

© Author(s) 2023. CC BY 4.0 License.



Zieger, P., Fierz-Schmidhauser, R., Weingartner, E., and Baltensperger, U.: Effects of relative humidity on aerosol light scattering: results from different European sites, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 10 609–10 631, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-10609-2013>, 2013.