

Anonymous Referee #3	Authors
<p>GENERAL COMMENT: I find this work to be of good quality. Every section is written scientifically. I endorse this work for publication.</p> <p>I propose a minor enhancement: the use of contrasting colors in the figures and making legend symbols bigger to make them clearly visible.</p>	<p>Thank you very much for taking the time to review the manuscript.</p> <p>All figures with maps (Figures 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10) were revised to improve the display and readability of the features and the legends.</p>
<p><i>Specific comments</i></p>	
<p>Line 39: what is the point Digital Elevation Models (DEM)? I think “point” should be removed.</p>	<p>The word “point” has been removed.</p>
<p>Line 135: How the GPS profiles are better than collecting point data and then assessing vertical accuracy from them? It may be mentioned somewhere in the methodology.</p>	<p>This line was revised to clarify how the DEM vertical accuracy was assessed (Lines 135 -138) New line: “... the vertical accuracy was evaluated by comparing the DEM values extracted using QGIS Profile Tool plugin version 4.2.0 to the GPS point values. The computed DEM points and the measured GPS points along each transect were visualized by scatterplot in Python 3.9.”</p> <p>Info about GPS data collection (Lines 117-118) was also improved to give clearer context as to how it was performed.</p> <p>Revised: “Collected datapoints include several profiles across the beach from the coastline to the back dune with a measuring interval of one (1) meter space.”</p>
<p>Figure 4: It is suggested to use contrasting colors for the restoration fence. It is hardly visible.</p>	<p>Figure 4 has been revised accordingly.</p>
<p>Figure 8: Cover type legend colors are not clearly visible. Make symbols a little bigger.</p>	<p>Figure 8 has been revised accordingly.</p>

<p><i>Other relevant changes:</i></p> <p>Old manus:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Validation on the sample transects (Figure 4b) was performed using regression analysis shown in Figure 5 (Line 177)2. the coastal zones of the Emilia-Romagna (Line 293).	<p>New manus:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Validation on the transects (Figure 4b) was performed using linear regression shown in Figure 5. (Line 177)2. the coastal zones of Emilia-Romagna (Line 293).
---	---