This paper investigates the sampling efficiency of the primary aerosol inlet system used aboard the DLR Falcon aircraft during the A-LIFE field experiment. The authors have combined theoretical calculations with experimental data generated by aerosol particle counters mounted on both the wings of the aircraft and inside its cabin. This research approach is innovative, meaningful and contributes to existing knowledge.

The study puts emphasis on the two well-known constituents of aerosol sampling efficiency; i.e., the aerosol inlet/probe efficiency and the efficiency of the aerosol transport tubing. In addition, it introduces and highlights the importance of using the true air speed of the aircraft in lieu of its flight altitude as a representative figure of merit.

There are inherent uncertainties and limitations, which are correctly identified and adequately discussed by the authors nonetheless. Moreover, although the data analysis is limited to that specific setup and focuses on a relatively narrow range of sampling flow rates and particles of specific composition, the presented study is relevant and of significant interest for the aircraft-based aerosol measurement community. The findings demonstrated may retrospectively be considered and even expanded for the quality control of aerosol measurement data collected by the DLR Falcon and other research aircraft with similar setups.

Overall, the manuscript is very clearly written and demonstrates a credible methodology for the derivation of its findings. The study per se and the quality of presentation are appropriate and well within the scope of AMT. My recommendation is that the manuscript can be published after having been undergone a few minor technical corrections in accordance with the remarks given below.

Please note the following remarks:

Line 154: It would be good to mention the exact angle of the ("slightly tilted") inlet with respect to the fuselage.

Line 329: Explain, if possible, what data the estimated average cabin temperature of 30°C is based upon.

Line 409: Provide a reference or explanation for assuming shape factor  $\chi = 1.2$  for mineral dust particles.

Line 751: The syntax of the  $V_{TAS}$  condition inside the parentheses is wrong.

Line 796: The term "total volume flow" is used, but the unit in Line 797 is m  $s^{-1}$ . The same quantity is referred to as "stream velocity" in other parts of the text.