1

44 45





Technical note: Intercomparison Study of the EC Radiocarbon Analysis

2	Methods Using Synthetic Known Samples
3	
4	Xiangyun Zhang ^{1,2,3} , Jun Li ^{1,2,3*} , Sanyuan Zhu ^{1,2,3} , Junwen Liu ⁴ , Ping Ding ⁵ ,
5	Shutao Gao ^{1,2,3} , Chongguo Tian ⁶ , Yingjun Chen ⁷ , Ping'an Peng ^{1,2,3} , Gan
6	Zhang ^{1,2,3} *
7	
8	¹ State Key Laboratory of Organic Geochemistry and Guangdong province Key
9	Laboratory of Environmental Protection and Resources Utilization, Guangzhou
10	Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou, 510640, China.
11	 ² CAS Center for Excellence in Deep Earth Science, Guangzhou, 510640, China. ³ Guangdong-Hong Kong-Macao Joint Laboratory for Environmental Pollution and
12 13	Control, Guangzhou Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Science,
14	Guangzhou 510640, China.
15	⁴ Institute for Environmental and Climate Research, Jinan University, Guangzhou,
16	511443, China.
17	⁵ State Key Laboratory of Isotope Geochemistry, Guangzhou Institute of
18	Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510640, China.
19	⁶ Key Laboratory of Coastal Environmental Processes and Ecological Remediation,
20	Yantai Institute of Coastal Zone Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Yantai,
21	264003, China.
22	⁷ Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai
23	200438, China. *Correspondence: Jun Li (junli@gig.ac.cn), Gan Zhang (zhanggan@gig.ac.cn)
24	*Correspondence: Jun Li (junit@gig.ac.cn), Gan Zhang (zhanggan@gig.ac.cn)
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	





Abstract

 The accurate identification of elemental carbon (EC) source in aerosol based on radiocarbon (¹⁴C) depends on the method of EC isolation. The lack of aerosol EC reference materials with "true" ¹⁴C values makes it impossible to evaluate the accuracy of various methods for the analysis of ¹⁴C-EC in aerosols. In this study, EC separation methods were evaluated by using samples of mixed biomass burning, vehicle exhaust and coal-combustion. The results show that ¹⁴C-EC was not only related to the separation method but also to the types and proportions of biomass sources in the sample. And the Hydropyrolysis (Hypy) method, which can be used to separate a highly stable portion of EC_{Hypy} and avoid charring, is a more effective and stable approach for the matrix-independent ¹⁴C quantification of EC in aerosols. The ¹³C-EC_{Hypy} and non-fossil EC_{Hypy} values of SRM1649b was 24.9‰ and 11%, respectively.

1. Introduction

The elemental carbon (EC) or black carbon (BC) fraction of carbonaceous aerosols (CAs) is derived from the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels or biomass and is responsible for an overall warming effect of the Earth by either absorbing incoming solar radiation in the atmosphere or reducing the albedo of surface materials (i.e., snow and ice) (Fuzzi et al., 2006; Schwarz et al., 2015; Szidat, 2009; Szidat et al., 2004a; Szidat et al., 2009). The limited understanding of EC aerosol emissions causes poorly constrained anthropogenic climate warming that globally may be second only to CO₂ and regionally, such as over East Asia, the dominant driver of climate change (B. Chen et al., 2013). Therefore, detailed knowledge of the sources of EC is necessary for the implementation of mitigation strategies for EC reduction. Carbon isotope (14C and ¹³C) analysis is a powerful tool for unambiguously distinguishing the carbon sources of EC (Currie, 2000; Szidat, 2009; Szidat et al., 2009; Gustafsson et al., 2009; Kirillova et al., 2013; D. Liu et al., 2013; Zencak et al., 2007; X. Y. Zhang et al., 2019b). Carbon isotope source apportionment requires the physical separation of OC and EC, which is complicated by the fact that there is no sharp boundary between organic carbon (OC) and EC in carbonaceous aerosols (Elmquist et al., 2006). Therefore, one of the large challenges of this method is the isolation of EC for ¹⁴C and ¹³C analysis.

Based on the thermal stability of EC, several methods for separating OC and EC from aerosols have been developed. An intercomparison of 9 laboratories for ¹⁴C analysis of carbonaceous aerosol samples was conducted in a previous study, and ¹⁴C analysis of EC revealed a large deviation of 28-79% between the approaches as a consequence of the different separation techniques (Szidat et al., 2013). Due to the same principle as aerosol OC and EC measurement, thermo-optical separation, also named oxygen-based OC-EC separation, has gradually become the main method for EC separation in recent years. Although the ¹⁴C results of EC between three independent laboratory methods showed good agreement, the recovery of EC differed greatly (Zenker et al., 2017). Recently, hydropyrolysis (Hypy) has been introduced as





an EC separation method (Meredith et al., 2012; X.Y. Zhang et al., 2019b). A comparison study of ¹⁴C-EC in aerosol samples separated using the two-step heating method (CTO-375), EC_{He/O2-475} method and Hypy method was also conducted (X.Y. Zhang et al., 2019b). However, the ¹⁴C intercomparisons of all studies were mainly restricted to ambient filter samples or urban dust (SRM 1649a/b), for which the "true" ¹⁴C of EC is not known. As the literature emphasizes (Dasari et al., 2022), even when methods give similar results, it may still be unclear whether the methods give accurate results. In the worst case, if the methods give different results, then it is impossible to determine which method (if any) gives an accurate value (Zenker et al., 2017). Therefore, the key to evaluating the accuracy of different separation methods is to obtain suitable EC reference materials for the ¹⁴C analysis of aerosols.

107108109

110

111112

113

114

115

116117

118119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

97

98

99

100

101

102103

104

105

106

Carbonaceous aerosols are mainly composed of primary emissions from fossil fuel and biomass combustion and secondary organic compounds (Huang et al., 2014; Y.L. Zhang et al., 2015). In general, secondary organic aerosols are relatively easy to separate from EC using methods such as water or organic solvent extraction. However, it is difficult to separate insoluble OC from EC in primary combustion products. Biomass burning, coal combustion and traffic emissions are the main primary sources of EC in aerosols (Bond et al., 2013). In this study, six samples were synthesized artificially by using biomass combustion (corn straw or pine wood), coal combustion and motor vehicle exhaust samples according to the relative content of fossil carbon and modern carbon in actual aerosols in this study. The theoretical calculated values of the EC contents and EC carbon isotopes in six synthetic samples were determined based on the measured isotopes of each source sample and the EC/TC measured by using the thermal-optical transmittance (TOT) method. And the calculated ¹⁴C value of EC can be taken as the "true" ¹⁴C-EC value. Consequently, four EC separation methods, including Hypy (X.Y. Zhang et al., 2019b), CTO-375 (D. Liu et al., 2013), EC_{He/O2-475} (J.W. Liu et al., 2017) and EC_{LARA} (Zenker et al., 2017), were selected for EC separation, and then the EC contents and EC carbon isotopes were compared to the corresponding theoretical calculated value of each synthetic sample. The accuracy of each separation method was evaluated based on the recovery of the EC contents and carbon isotopes. Finally, the EC contents and EC carbon isotopes (¹⁴C and ¹³C) of urban dust (SRM 1649b) were determined by the separation method with the best accuracy.

130131132

2. Materials and Methods

133134

2.1. Sample collection

135136137

138

139 140

141

142

143

144

Corn straw (*Zea mays*, C4 plant, with carbon isotopes that differ significantly from fossil fuels), pine wood (*Pinus tabulaeformis Carr.* woody plant), one type of raw coal in chunks sourced from Yanzhou (YZ) in Shandong Province, and one type of gasoline truck were selected as the representative sources for biomass burning, coal combustion and vehicle exhaust. Corn straw and pine wood combustion products were collected through a sampling system. Coal was combusted in a high-efficiency stove, and $PM_{2.5}$ emissions were collected using a dilution sampling system. Vehicle exhaust particles were collected using the on-board emission measurement system. A description of the detailed sampling information was provided in a previous report.[*X Y Zhang et al.*, 2019b]





2.2. EC Purification Method

CTO-375 method: To achieve the complete removal of the OC from the EC_{CTO375} fraction, the samples were treated by vaporizing the OC at 375 °C in a muffle furnace in the presence of air using a shorter separation time of 4 h (D. Liu et al., 2013).

EC_{He/O2-475} **method:** The EC_{He/O2-475} fractions in the samples were purified in the commercial OC-EC analyzer as follows: 120 s at 200 °C, 150 s at 300 °C, and 180 s at 475 °C in an oxidative atmosphere (10% oxygen, 90% helium), followed by 180 s at 650 °C in helium. Details of the handling methods were described in a previous report (J.W. Liu et al., 2017).

EC_{LARA} **method:** A punch of the water-extracted filter was treated with a thermo-optical OC-EC analyzer using the first three steps of the "Swiss 4S protocol" to remove all remaining water-insoluble OC, giving a residue that constituted the EC_{LARA} sample (Zenker et al., 2017; Y.L. Zhang et al., 2015).

 Hydropyrolysis: Each sample was mixed fully with ammonium dioxydithiomolybdate [(NH₄)₂MoO₂S₂] as a catalyst to reach a nominal molybdenum loading of 1% by weight. The samples were first heated in the reactor tube from ambient temperature to 250 °C at a rate of 300 °C min⁻¹ and then from 300 °C to the final temperature (550 °C) at 8 °C min⁻¹; samples were then held for 5 min under a hydrogen pressure of 15 MPa and a flow rate of 5.0 L/min. The resulting residue was the EC_{Hypy} sample (X.Y. Zhang et al., 2019b).

2.3. OC/EC and carbon isotopes analysis

The OC and EC were analyzed by a laboratory OC/EC analyzer (Sunset Laboratory, USA) using the NIOSH2 thermal protocol (Maenhaut et al., 2005; Salma et al., 2004). Methods of ¹³C and ¹⁴C analysisfor all samples were described in the SI (D. Liu et al., 2013; J.W. Liu et al., 2017).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Comparison of EC purified by different methods

 The six synthetic known samples were made by biomass combustion (corn straw or pine wood), coal combustion and motor vehicle exhaust (Table S1). The hybrid samples were produced according to the proportioning principle, based on the approximate proportions (Figure S1 and Table S2). The average deviations of carbon content, $^{13}C_{TC}$ and $f_M(TC)$ of the hybrid samples between the theoretical values and the test values were 0.30%, 0.12‰ and 0.03, respectively (Table S3), and there was no significant difference (T-test, P=0.77, 0.96 and 0.49, respectively). These results show that the samples were well mixed and were sufficient to use for the method comparison experiments.

Before comparing EC recovery rates, it is necessary to obtain relatively accurate EC concentrations. The EC/TC ratios of the four combustion source samples were analyzed by the TOT method (Table S4). The EC/TC results of the four combustion source samples processed using the four separation methods (Hypy, CTO-375,





EC_{He/O2-475} and EC_{LARA}) are listed in Table S4. Compared with the TOT method, the amount of EC obtained by the CTO-375 method is obviously lower than the results of the other three methods. For example, due to the high content of soot in the vehicle exhaust, the amount of EC can reach about 20%, while the amount of EC in other source samples is less than 10% (Hammes et al., 2007). It indicates that the CTO-375 method has obvious defects in the quantitative analysis of black carbon isotopes in aerosols. The EC/TC ratios of the coal combustion and motor vehicle exhaust obtained by the other three methods are lower than those of the TOT method, and the EC/TC ratios of the pine burning samples are higher than those of the TOT method. Among the above four methods, the result obtained by the Hypy method is the closest to the result of the TOT method. For the corn straw combustion samples, the Hypy and ECLARA methods are lower than the TOT method, but the results obtained by the EC_{He/O2-475} are higher than the TOT method. On the one hand, this difference is the problem of the method itself. Each method only obtains a specific part of the EC continuum, rather than all the components of the black carbon continuum (Currie et al., 2002; de la Rosa et al., 2011; Schmidt et al., 2001). On the other hand, the different separation effect of the method is due to the difference of the organic carbon structure in the source sample.

214215216

217

218

219

220221

222223

224 225

226

227

228

229 230

231

232233

234

235

197 198

199

200

201202

203

204

205

206 207

208

209

210

211

212

213

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy is an essential tool for acquiring detailed structural characterization results of the complex natural organic matter. The four combustion source samples were characterized by using solid-state ¹³C NMR (Figure S2 and Table S5). The ¹³C NMR results show that the average lower limit estimate for organic oxygen (Kelemen et al., 2010) from biomass combustion is 37% higher than that for fossil combustion. This finding indicates that there are more oxygen-containing organic carbon components in biomass combustion samples. The more organic oxygen in the sample, the greater the sample's polarity, which contributes to the increased fraction of water-soluble components in biomass combustion than in fossil combustion samples. In the absence of oxygen, using an aromatization process based on the cleavage of O-alkylated carbons might overestimate the EC content analyzed by thermal-optical methods (Li et al., 2013). The fraction of methyls in the aliphatics (FMA) (J. Chen et al., 2020) and nonprotonated aromatics (Kelemen et al., 2010) in pine wood combustion are 22% and 12% higher, respectively, than those in corn straw. These findings indicate that the water solubility of pine wood combustion products is worse than that of corn straw, in addition to the fact that the aromatic structure of pine wood combustion materials is denser. The water-soluble components of the corn straw combustion products were high, such that OC charring has a greatly influenced EC separation when using the ECHe/O2-475 method, while minimally impacting EC separation following water extraction EC_{LARA} methods (Y. L. Zhang et al., 2012).

236237238

239240

241

By using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) in this study (Figure S3), it was observed that the pine wood samples contained a coke structure that was more compact than the structure of the corn straw samples. In addition, a large number of soot structures were observed in the fossil source samples, indicating that fossil source samples are more compact than biomass samples.

242243244

245

246

In general, due to the differences between the separation technologies, the EC/TC ratios of the six synthetic known samples (Table S4) revealed a deviation of approximately -98%~125% between the calculated EC/TC ratios and the EC/TC





ratios separated by the four methods. The deviations separated by the Hypy, EC_{LARA} , $EC_{He/O2-475}$ and CTO-375 methods were approximately -8%~31%, -39%~121%, -62%~125% and -93%~ -95%, respectively. The result obtained by the Hypy method is closest to the TOT method, and the average value of the ratio is 1.1, which shows the advantages of stability and reliability in purifying EC.

3.2. Comparison of carbon isotopes in EC

254255256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

247248

249

250251

252253

The different EC recoveries of the source samples may lead to different carbon isotope results in the EC from the synthetic known samples. The theoretical EC/TC ratios and ¹³C_{EC} values of each hybrid sample were calculated according to the EC/TC (TOT) ratios and ¹³C_{TC} values in the combustion source and the relative proportion of each source, respectively (Table S3). In the calculation, it is assumed that the ¹³C value in the EC is the same as that in the TC in each source sample. The ¹³C results of the EC from the six synthetic known samples processed by the four separation methods are shown in Table 1. No significant difference of ¹³C was observed between the results of the four separation methods and the corresponding theoretical calculation values of all samples (T-test, P>0.05), except for the samples (S1, S2 and S3) containing corn straw combustion material separated using the EC_{He/O2-475} method, which presented much higher ¹³C values. This finding indicated that the EC separated using the EC_{He/O2-475} method contained more biomass carbon. The reason for this result may be that the organic carbon of combusted corn straw is charring during the EC separation process. However, this phenomenon was not observed in the samples containing pine wood combustion, which may be the reason that the ¹³C value of the pine wood combustion sample is close to that of coal combustion.

271272273

274

275

276

277

278

279280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291292

293

294

The ¹⁴C results of the EC are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. Fraction of Modern $(f_{\rm M})$ is used to express the proportion of biomass burning. The theoretical values of $f_{\rm M}({\rm EC})$ in the hybrid samples were obtained according to the proportion of fossil carbon and modern carbon in each sample in accordance with the EC/TC (TOT) ratios (Figure 1). The results show that the $f_{\rm M}$ values obtained by different separation methods are quite different, and are generally affected by the ratios of combustion source sample EC recovered by different methods. Due to the low recovery rate of EC by CTO-375 method, the $f_{\rm M}({\rm EC}_{\rm CTO-375})$ value is irregular to follow. Generally, the $f_{\rm M}({\rm EC_{CTO-375}})$ value obtained by this method is more than twice the theoretical value. For the EC_{He/O2-475} method, due to the influence of biomass burning OC coking, the f_M(EC_{He/O2-475}) value obtained by this method deviates greatly from the theoretical value. On the whole, $f_{\rm M}$ values obtained by the Hypy and EC_{LARA} methods are relatively close to the theoretical values, but the two methods have their own advantages in the two different sets of samples. For the combustion of herbaceous plants, the Hypy method has a low EC recovery rate for such source samples, resulting in a small $f_{\rm M}$ value. For the combustion of woody combustion, due to the higher EC recovery rate by the Hypy method, the $f_{\rm M}({\rm EC}_{\rm Hypy})$ value is slightly higher than that of samples containing herbaceous plants. For the EC_{LARA} method, in the first group of samples with corn straw combustion, the EC recovery rate of each source sample is lower than the theoretical value, so that the $f_M(EC_{LARA})$ value obtained by this method is the closest to the theoretical value. However, in the second group of samples containing woody combustion, the $f_M(EC_{LARA})$ value obtained by this method





was significantly higher than the theoretical value, due to the higher recovery rate of woody combustion EC by the EC_{LARA} method. The results show that the $f_{\rm M}$ value of EC was not only related to the separation method but also to the types and proportions of biomass sources in the sample.

297298299300

301

302

303 304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

295

296

The above results show that the type of biomass combustion affects the efficiency of the separation method to purify black carbon and the accuracy of the radiocarbon test results. Charcoal, harvest residues and wood materials are the most common biomass fuels used as energy sources (Anenberg et al., 2013). From a global perspective, the types of biomass fuels are complex, but generally can be divided into two categories, herbaceous burning and woody plant burning, the ratio of the two types of biomass is about 58:42 (Table S6). Different regions have different proportions. According to the literature (Bond et al., 2004; Stevens et al., 2017; Streets et al., 2003; W.M. Zhang et al., 2019a), developed countries, such as Europe and North America, have a relatively high proportion of woody plants, while developing countries, such as Africa and Asia, have a relatively high proportion of herbaceous plants (Table S6). According to the recovery rate of different types of biomass combustion EC by different methods, the deviations that may be caused by the results of testing 14C in different regions are estimated. The results are listed in Table S6. It can be seen that on a global scale, the $f_{\rm M}$ value obtained by the Hypy method is the closest to the theoretical value. Therefore, the Hypy method is an effective and stable approach for matrix-independent ¹⁴C quantification of EC avoiding charring in aerosols.

317318319

320 321

322

323

324

325

326

327 328

329 330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

3.3. Carbon isotopes of EC in SRM 1649b

SRM 1649a/b, urban dust, was used to check the quality of EC or EC isotope measurement method (D. Liu et al., 2013; Szidat et al., 2004b; Reddy et al., 2002; Heal et al., 2011). SRM 1649b was prepared from the same particulate material that was issued in 1982 as SRM 1649 and re-issued in 1999 as SRM 1649a, and the only difference is that the bulk material was sieved to a smaller particle size fraction ⁴⁷. Therefore, the $f_{\rm M}$ and $\delta^{13}{\rm C}$ of TC in SRM 1649b obtained in this study was consistent with SRM 1649a reported by Szidat et al. (Szidat et al., 2004b). EC/TC ratios, f_M-EC and δ^{13} C-EC of SRM1649b separated using Hypy method in this study and archived data of the literatures using different separation methods are listed in Table S7. The EC/TC ratios varied from 7.5% to 46% determined by the different analyzing methods. However, the value of about ~28% obtained in this study is in good agreement with that obtained by Hypy method (Meredith et al., 2012) and by TOT method (Currie et al., 2002). The $f_{\rm M}$ and $\delta^{13}{\rm C}$ of EC in SRM 1649a ranged from 0.038 to 0.153 and from -26.55% to -24.8 % respectively reported by the previous research using the different separation methods except Hypy (Currie et al., 2002). The corresponding values of 0.11 and -24.9 % treated by Hypy method in this study are just in the range of archived data. Therefore, the values of ¹³C and ¹⁴C of EC_{Hypy} in SRM 1649b provide a definite and comparable reference for the future research methods.

339 340

4. Conclusions

341342343

344

Carbon isotope (¹⁴C and ¹³C) analysis is a powerful tool for distinguishing the carbon sources of carbonaceous aerosols. As addressed in this work, one main





challenge of this method is the isolation of EC or BC for carbon isotope analysis. In this study, six synthetic known samples were collected, including biomass combustion (corn straw or pine wood) and coal combustion products and motor vehicle exhaust. These samples were then used to evaluate four EC separation methods, which included the Hypy, CTO-375, ECHe/O2-475 and ECLARA methods. The results demonstrated the Hypy method was in good agreement with the thermo-optical (TOT) method for the quantification of EC. And the EC f_M values depended not only on the separation method but also on the types and proportions of the biomass sources in the samples. The Hypy method is the most appropriate EC separation method of the four methods reported here, followed by the EC_{LARA} method. The Hypy method, which can be used to separate a highly stable portion of EC_{Hypy} and avoid charring, is a more effective and stable approach for the matrix-independent ¹⁴C quantification of EC in aerosols. The EC_{Hypy} of SRM1649b sample was separated by the Hypy method. The results indicated that the ¹³C-EC_{Hypy} and non-fossil EC_{Hypy} values of SRM1649b was 24.9‰ and 11%, respectively. This result can provide participation value for other separation methods.

364

367

368

369

370 371

374

375

376 377

345346

347

348

349 350

351

352

353 354

355

356

357

358 359

Supporting Information

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

365 366

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 42192510, 42030715 and 41977177), Guangdong Foundation for Program of Science and Technology Research (grant nos. 2019B121205006 and 2020B1212060053) and Guangzhou Foundation for Program of Science and Technology Research (grant no. 202102080251).

372 373

Author contributions

GZ led the study. JL and XZ designed the study, developed the analysis protocols, and wrote the initial manuscript. XZ, SZ, JL, PD, SG, CT, YC and PP provided data, provided comments on the analysis, and contributed and reviewed the final manuscript.

378 379 380

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

381 382 383

384

385

386

387

References

- Anenberg, S. C., Balakrishnan, K., Jetter, J., Masera, O., Mehta, S., Moss, J. and Ramanathan, V.: Cleaner Cooking Solutions to Achieve Health, Climate, and Economic Cobenefits, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(9), 3944-3952, doi:10.1021/es304942e, 2013.
- Bond, T. C., et al.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system: A scientific assessment, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118(11), 5380-5552, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50171, 2013.
- Bond, T. C., Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Nelson, S. M., Woo, J. H. and Klimont, Z.: A technology-based global inventory of black and organic carbon emissions from combustion, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109(D14), 43,





- 394 doi:10.1029/2003jd003697, 2004.
- Chen, B., et al.: Source Forensics of Black Carbon Aerosols from China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(16), 9102-9108, doi:10.1021/es401599r, 2013.
- Chen, J., Jia, W. L., Yu, C. L., Zhang, X. Y. and Peng, P. A.: Bound hydrocarbons and structure of pyrobitumen rapidly formed by asphaltene cracking: Implications for oil-source correlation, Org. Geochem., 146, 16, doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2020.104053, 2020.
- Currie, L. A.: Evolution and multidisciplinary frontiers of C-14 aerosol science, Radiocarbon, 42(1), 115-126, 2000.
- Currie, L. A., et al.: A critical evaluation of interlaboratory data on total, elemental, and isotopic carbon in the carbonaceous particle reference material, NIST SRM 1649a, J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol., 107(3), 279-298, doi:10.6028/jres.107.022, 2002.
- Dasari, S., Widory, D.: Radiocarbon (14C) Analysis of Carbonaceous Aerosols:
 Revisiting the Existing Analytical Techniques for Isolation of Black Carbon,
 Front. Environ. Sci., 10, 907467, doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2022.907467, 2022.
- de la Rosa, J. M., Garcia, L. S., de Andres, J. R., Gonzalez-Vila, F. J., Gonzalez-Perez,
 J. A. and Knicker, H.: Contribution of black carbon in recent sediments of the
 Gulf of Cadiz: Applicability of different quantification methodologies, Quat.
 Int., 243(2), 264-272, doi:10.1016/j.quaint.2011.01.034, 2011.
- Elmquist, M., Cornelissen, G., Kukulska, Z. and Gustafsson, O.: Distinct oxidative stabilities of char versus soot black carbon: Implications for quantification and environmental recalcitrance, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle, 20(2), 11, doi:10.1029/2005gb002629, 2006.
- Fuzzi, S., et al.: Critical assessment of the current state of scientific knowledge, terminology, and research needs concerning the role of organic aerosols in the atmosphere, climate, and global change, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 2017-2038, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2017-2006, 2006.
- Gustafsson, O., Krusa, M., Zencak, Z., Sheesley, R. J., Granat, L., Engstrom, E.,
 Praveen, P. S., Rao, P. S. P., Leck, C. and Rodhe, H.: Brown Clouds over
 South Asia: Biomass or Fossil Fuel Combustion?, Science, 323(5913),
 495-498, doi:10.1126/science.1164857, 2009.
- Hammes, K., et al.: Comparison of quantification methods to measure fire-derived (black/elemental) carbon in soils and sediments using reference materials from soil, water, sediment and the atmosphere, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle, 21(3), 18, doi:10.1029/2006gb002914, 2007.
- Huang, R. J., et al.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514(7521), 218-222, doi:10.1038/nature13774, 2014.
- Kelemen, S. R., et al.: Characterization of solid bitumens originating from thermal chemical alteration and thermochemical sulfate reduction, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 74(18), 5305-5332, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.06.013, 2010.
- Kirillova, E. N., Andersson, A., Sheesley, R. J., Krusa, M., Praveen, P. S., Budhavant,
 K., Safai, P. D., Rao, P. S. P. and Gustafsson, O.: C-13- and C-14-based study





- of sources and atmospheric processing of water-soluble organic carbon (WSOC) in South Asian aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118(2), 614-626, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50130, 2013.
- Li, X. M., Shen, Q. R., Zhang, D. Q., Mei, X. L., Ran, W., Xu, Y. C. and Yu, G. H.:
 Functional Groups Determine Biochar Properties (pH and EC) as Studied by
 Two-Dimensional C-13 NMR Correlation Spectroscopy, PLoS One, 8(6), 7,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065949, 2013.
- Liu, D., Li, J., Zhang, Y. L., Xu, Y., Liu, X., Ding, P., Shen, C. D., Chen, Y. J., Tian, C.
 G. and Zhang, G.: The Use of Levoglucosan and Radiocarbon for Source
 Apportionment of PM2.5 Carbonaceous Aerosols at a Background Site in East
 China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47(18), 10454-10461, doi:10.1021/es401250k,
 2013.
- 450 Liu, J. W., Li, J., Ding, P., Zhang, Y. L., Liu, D., Shen, C. D. and Zhang, G:
 451 Optimizing isolation protocol of organic carbon and elemental carbon for C-14
 452 analysis using fine particulate samples, Atmos. Environ., 154, 9-19,
 453 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2017.01.027, 2017.
- Maenhaut, W., Raes, N., Chi, X. G., Cafmeyer, J., Wang, W. and Salma, I.: Chemical
 composition and mass closure for fine and coarse aerosols at a kerbside in
 Budapest, Hungary, in spring 2002, X-Ray Spectrom., 34(4), 290-296,
 doi:10.1002/xrs.820, 2005.
- Meredith, W., Ascough, P. L., Bird, M. I., Large, D. J., Snape, C. E., Sun, Y. and
 Tilston, E. L.: Assessment of hydropyrolysis as a method for the quantification
 of black carbon using standard reference materials, Geochim. Cosmochim.
 Acta, 97, 131-147, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.08.037, 2012.
- Salma, I., Chi, X. G. and Maenhaut, W.: Elemental and organic carbon in urban canyon and background environments in Budapest, Hungary, Atmos. Environ., 38(1), 27-36, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.047, 2004.
- Schmidt, M. W. I., Skjemstad, J. O., Czimczik, C. I., Glaser, B., Prentice, K. M.,
 Gelinas, Y. and Kuhlbusch, T. A. J.: Comparative analysis of black carbon in
 soils, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle, 15(1), 163-167, doi:10.1029/2000gb001284,
 2001.
- Schwarz, J. P., Holloway, J. S., Katich, J. M., McKeen, S., Kort, E. A., Smith, M. L.,
 Ryerson, T. B., Sweeney, C. and Peischl, J.: Black Carbon Emissions from the
 Bakken Oil and Gas Development Region, Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett., 2(10),
 281-285, doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00225, 2015.
- Stevens, N., Lehmann, C. E. R., Murphy, B. P. and Durigan, G.: Savanna woody encroachment is widespread across three continents, Glob. Change Biol., 23(1), 235-244, doi:10.1111/gcb.13409, 2017.
- 476 Streets, D. G., Yarber, K. F., Woo, J. H. and Carmichael, G. R.: Biomass burning in 477 Asia: Annual and seasonal estimates and atmospheric emissions, Glob. 478 Biogeochem. Cycle, 17(4), 20, doi:10.1029/2003gb002040, 2003.
- Szidat, S.: ATMOSPHERE Sources of Asian Haze, Science, 323(5913), 470-471,
 doi:10.1126/science.1169407, 2009.
- 481 Szidat, S., et al.: INTERCOMPARISON OF C-14 ANALYSIS OF





- 482 CARBONACEOUS AEROSOLS: EXERCISE 2009, Radiocarbon, 55(2-3), 483 1496-1509, doi:10.2458/azu_js_rc.55.16314, 2013.
- Szidat, S., et al.: Radiocarbon (C-14)-deduced biogenic and anthropogenic contributions to organic carbon (OC) of urban aerosols from Zurich, Switzerland, Atmos. Environ., 38(24), 4035-4044, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.03.066, 2004a.
- Szidat, S., Jenk, T. M., Gaggeler, H. W., Synal, H. A., Hajdas, I., Bonani, G. and Saurer, M.: THEODORE, a two-step heating system for the EC/OC determination of radiocarbon (C-14) in the environment, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. Sect. B-Beam Interact. Mater. Atoms, 223, 829-836, doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2004.04.153, 2004b.
- Szidat, S., M. Ruff, Perron, N., Wacker, L., Synal, H. A., Hallquist, M., Shannigrahi,
 A. S., Yttri, K. E., Dye, C. and Simpson, D.: Fossil and non-fossil sources of
 organic carbon (OC) and elemental carbon (EC) in Goteborg, Sweden, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 9(5), 1521-1535, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1805-2009, 2009.
- Zencak, Z., Elmquist, M. and Gustafsson, O.: Quantification and radiocarbon source
 apportionment of black carbon in atmospheric aerosols using the CTO-375
 method, Atmos. Environ., 41(36), 7895-7906,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.06.006, 2007.
- Zenker, K., Vonwiller, M., Szidat, S., Calzolai, G., Giannoni, M., Bernardoni, V.,
 Jedynska, A. D., Henzing, B., Meijer, H. A. J. and Dusek, U.: Evaluation and
 Inter-Comparison of Oxygen-Based OC-EC Separation Methods for
 Radiocarbon Analysis of Ambient Aerosol Particle Samples, Atmosphere,
 8(11), 21, doi:10.3390/atmos8110226, 2017.
- Zhang, W. M., Brandt, M., Penuelas, J., Guichard, F., Tong, X. Y., Tian, F. and
 Fensholt, R.: Ecosystem structural changes controlled by altered rainfall
 climatology in tropical savannas, Nat. Commun., 10, 7,
 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-08602-6, 2019a.
- Zhang, X. Y., et al.: Isolation and radiocarbon analysis of elemental carbon in atmospheric aerosols using hydropyrolysis, Atmos. Environ., 198, 381-386, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.11.005, 2019b.
- Zhang, Y. L., Perron, N., Ciobanu, V. G., Zotter, P., Minguillon, M. C., Wacker, L.,
 Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U. and Szidat, S.: On the isolation of OC and
 EC and the optimal strategy of radiocarbon-based source apportionment of
 carbonaceous aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12(22), 10841-10856,
 doi:10.5194/acp-12-10841-2012, 2012.
- Zhang, Y. L., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Abbaszade, G., Zimmermann, R., Zotter, P., Shen, R.
 R., Schafer, K., Shao, L. Y., Prevot, A. S. H. and Szidat, S.: Source
 Apportionment of Elemental Carbon in Beijing, China: Insights from
 Radiocarbon and Organic Marker Measurements, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
 49(14), 8408-8415, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b01944, 2015.
- NIST: Certificate of Analysis for Standard Reference Material 1649b, Urban Dust; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 2007.





	fм (EC _{LARA})	0.2140 ± 0.0023	0.2437 ± 0.0024	0.2590 ± 0.0025	0.5101 ± 0.0030	0.6655 ± 0.0041	0.7315 ± 0.0034
	\$ ¹³ C% ₀ (EC _{LARA}) fm (EC _{LARA}) (±0.5 % ₀)	-23.55	-23.77	-24.11	-24.28	-24.54	-24.68
	<i>f</i> м (ЕС _{Не/О2-475)}	0.3378 ± 0.0025	0.3809 ± 0.0038	0.4547 ± 0.0029	0.5005 ± 0.0036	0.6487 ± 0.0036	0.7226 ± 0.0033
hods.	δ ¹³ C‰(ECHe,O2-475) <i>f</i> M (ECHe,O2-475) (±0.5 ‰)	-19.69	-17.00	-18.69	-24.36	-24.57	-24.84
Able 1. The δ13C and 14C results of EC from the six synthetic known samples by four separation methods.	<i>f</i> м (ЕСсто-375)	0.4027 ± 0.0145	0.5332 ± 0.0172	0.7368 ± 0.0169	0.4019 ± 0.0076	0.4779 ± 0.0119	0.5966 ± 0.0098
wn samples by fo	8 ¹³ C‰(ECcto-375) fм (ECcto-375) (±0.5 ‰)	-22.26	-22.42	-22.60	-25.83	-25.85	-25.76
six synthetic kno	<i>f</i> м (ЕСн _{уру})	0.0946 ± 0.0013	0.1375 ± 0.0015	0.1694 ± 0.0016	0.3145 ± 0.0045	0.3382 ± 0.0049	0.3707 ± 0.0063
s of EC from the	(Calculated) (±0.5%) fn (ECH ₁₉₇) fn (ECH ₁₉₇)	-23.40	-23.42	-23.31	-24.33	-24.55	-24.57
ıd ¹⁴ C result	f _M (EC) (calculated)	0.2192	0.2795	0.3201	0.2403	0.3053	0.3483
. The δ^{13} C an	δ^{13} C%(EC) f_M (EC) (calculated) (calculated)	-22.98	-22.77	-22.43	-25.16	-25.52	-25.59
Table 1.	Sample	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	9S





Fraction of Modern Fraction of Modern (ECHe/O2-475) 0.1 Fraction of Modern (Hypy) ---EC/TC(ECLARA) -▲-EC/TC(calculated) → · EC/TC(CTO-375) Sample Fraction of Modern (calculated) Fraction of Modern (CTO-375) Fraction of Modern (ECLARA) - ← EC/TC(Hypy) 30 25 20 15 10 EC/LOC

Figure 1. The EC/TOC% and ¹⁴C results of EC from the six synthetic known samples by four separation methods.