Electrical conductivity of anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melt under high temperature and high pressure: Implications for the high conductivity anomalies in the region of mid-ocean ridge
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Abstract

The electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with four different water contents (i.e. 0, 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%) was measured at temperatures of 873–1373 K and pressures of 1.0–3.0 GPa using YJ–3000t multi–anvil high–pressure apparatus and Solartron–1260 impedance spectroscopy analyzer. At a fixed water content of 2.59 wt%, the electrical conductivity of the sample slightly decreased with increasing pressure at the temperature range of 873–1373 K, and its corresponding activation energy and activation volume were determined as 0.87 ± 0.04 eV and −1.98 ± 0.02 cm³ mole⁻¹, respectively. Under the certain conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0 GPa, the electrical conductivity of the gabbroic melts tends to gradually increase as the rise of water content from 0 to 8.32 wt%, and the activation enthalpy decreases from 0.93 eV to 0.63 eV, accordingly. Furthermore, the functional relation models for the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts with the variations of temperature, pressure and water content were constructed at high–temperature and high–pressure conditions, respectively. In addition, the dependence relation of the electrical conductivity of melts with the degree of depolymerization was explored under conditions of four different water contents, 1373 K and 1.0 GPa, and three previously available reported results on those of representative calc–alkaline igneous rock melts (i.e. dacitic melt, basaltic melt and andesitic melt) were detailedly compared. In comprehensive combination with our presently acquired electrical conductivity data of gabbroic melt with four different water contents and the available data of polycrystalline olivine, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system on
the variation of volume percentage of anhydrous and hydrous melts was successfully constructed by virtue of the typical Hashin–Shtrikman upper bound model. In light of the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system with the previous MT results, we find that the anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melts can be employed to reasonably interpret the high conductivity anomalies in the Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean.
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1 Introduction

The hydrous melt for various rocks and minerals widely exists at active plate tectonic boundaries such as mid-ocean ridge, subduction zone, orogenic belt, etc. (Shen and Forsyth, 1995; White et al., 2001; Wallace, 2005; Wu et al., 2018; Sim et al., 2020; Förster and Selway, 2021; Li et al., 2022; Turner and Langmuir, 2022). For the typical Mohns ridge in the Arctic Ocean, there existed a large amount of high conductivity anomaly phenomena with its correspondent magnitude of 0.08–0.32 S m\(^{-1}\) for the gabbro–rich regions have been revealed on the basis of previous magnetotelluric (MT) controlled source electromagnetic (CSEM) results (Johansen et al., 2019).

Previously available researches have indicated that gabbroic and basaltic melts contain a large amount of water, and the water content for the certain type of melt may be discrepant within the different depth ranges of the oceanic crust (Dixon et al., 1995; Almeev et al., 2008; Shaw et al., 2010; Leuthold et al., 2018). Meanwhile, water content is also considered as a crucial ingredient to possibly affect the electrical conductivity of melt, and there are a large number of previously available reported results for the variation of water content on the electrical conductivity of some representative calc–alkaline igneous rock melts at high temperature and high pressure in the recently several years (Ni et al., 2011; Laumonier et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). For example, Ni et al. (2011) measured the electrical conductivity of hydrous basaltic melt within water content range of 0–6.3 wt% at conditions of 1473–1923 K and 2.0 GPa, and they found that the electrical conductivity of basaltic
melt with a fixed water content of 6.3 wt% was of the rough 1.0 order of magnitude higher than that of the anhydrous sample. The electrical conductivity of dacitic melt within the water content range of 0–12 wt% was systematically investigated by Laumonier et al. (2015) within temperature range of 673–1623 K and pressures of 0.3–3.0 GPa. As pointed out by Laumonier et al. (2015), the high conductivity anomalies in the Uturuncu Volcano could be explained by the presence of hydrous dacitic melt. By virtue of a piston cylinder high–pressure apparatus and sweeping–frequency impedance spectroscopy, Guo et al. (2017) obtained the electrical conductivity data of andesitic melt within the water content range of 0.01–5.90 wt% at conditions of 1164–1573 K and 0.5–1.0 GPa. Their experimental results indicated that the presence of less than 20 vol% of hydrous andesitic melt within the water content range of 6–9 wt% can be used to interpret the high conductivity anomalies beneath the surface of the Uturuncu Volcano. Electrical conductivity measurements of the hydrous leucogranitic melt by Chen et al. (2018) at conditions of 739–1680 K and 0.36–2.52 GPa were systematically carried out within the water content range of 2.73–11.97 wt%. In comprehensive combination with previous magnetotelluric data in the northwest Himalaya, they considered that water–rich leucogranitic melts with a volume percentage range of 4–16 vol% can be applied to reasonably explain the high conductivity anomalies in these regions.

For the natural gabbroic rock, some previously available electrical conductivity results were obtained using the piston–cylinder and multi–anvil high–pressure apparatus at high temperature and high pressure. Sato and Ida (1984) measured the
electrical conductivity of the olivine–gabbro containing gabbroic melt at the
temperature range from 1123 K to 1473 K and atmospheric pressure, and the effects
of ionic diffusion of charge carriers (i.e. sodium, iron, magnesium and/or calcium ions)
and geometric structure of melt on the electrical conductivity of olivine–gabbro
samples were detailedly explored. The measurements of electrical conductivity for
natural gabbro were carried out at conditions of 1023–1423 K and room pressure by
Schilling et al. (1997), and they proposed that the electrical conductivity of samples
can be enhanced by the increasing volume percentage of gabbroic melt. As for the
natural Oman gabbro, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with the volume
percentage proportion of 34 % was ~1.0–2.0 orders of magnitude higher than that of
melt–free sample within the temperature range from 1073 K to 1523 K and pressures
of 0.3–1.0 GPa (Maumus et al., 2005). However, the influence of water content on the
electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt at high temperature and high pressure was not
investigated in detail. Consequently, it is crucial to make a systematic investigation on
the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with different water contents at high–
temperature and high–pressure conditions.

In the present studies, a series of electrical conductivity on the gabbroic melts
were systematically performed under conditions of 873–1373 K, 1.0–3.0 GPa and the
variation of water content range from 0 to 8.32 wt%. The effects of temperature,
pressure and water content on the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt are deeply
explored, and the functional relation models have been successfully established at
high–temperature and high–pressure conditions. In conjunction with the degree of
depolymerization, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with different water contents is compared with that of three representative calc–alkaline igneous rock melts (i.e. dacitic melt, andesitic melt and basaltic melt). Based on the calculated electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system, its potential geophysical implication was detailedly discussed in the Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean.

2 Experimental procedures

2.1 Sample Preparation

The natural gabbroic rock used in this study was collected from the ophiolite suite in the region of Ganzi Tibetan autonomous prefecture, Sichuan province, China. By virtue of the high–temperature quenched melt for the natural rock powder, the anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melts are successfully obtained. Firstly, the fresh natural gabbro was finely crushed and ground into the sample powder with the grain size of less than 50 μm in an agate mortar. Then, the sample powder was kept in the furnace at 473 K to remove the absorbed water. To obtain the homogeneously initial materials for the subsequent electrical conductivity measurement, the powder of gabbroic rock was melted at the temperature of 1473 K for 1.5 hours and rapidly quenched in a high–temperature muffle furnace. Further, gabbroic melt was crushed and ground again into powder with a grain size less than 50 μm and stored in a vacuum dry furnace at 373 K. To synthesize the hydrous gabbroic melt, the desired amount of deionized water was added to the powder, and subsequently, the sample encapsulated in a gold tube using the Lampert–Puk precise welding device. After that, the starting hydrous gabbroic melts with different water contents were synthesized at
conditions of 1373 K and 1.0–3.0 GPa for 12 hours in the YJ–3000t multi–anvil high–pressure apparatus, and all of these obtained samples are homogeneous without any available crystals or bubbles. Detailed hot–pressed sintering assemblage was similar to that previously described by Hu et al. (2022a). Lastly, all of the gabbroic melts were polished into cylinders with diameters of ~4.0–5.0 mm and heights of ~4.0–6.0 mm, and kept in muffle furnace at 423 K for 10 hours to eliminate the absorbed water for subsequent electrical conductivity measurements. The chemical compositions of anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melts were analyzed by virtue of the electronic probe microscopy analysis (EPMA) at the State Key Laboratory of Ore Deposit Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang, China, as shown in Table 1.

### 2.2 High–pressure cell and impedance measurements

High–pressure complex impedance measurements for gabbroic melt were performed by using Solartron–1260 impedance spectroscopy analyzer in the YJ–3000t multi–anvil high–pressure apparatus. The cross–section diagram of sample assembly for electrical conductivity measurements was shown in Fig. 1. Before high–pressure cell was assembled, the cubic pressure medium of pyrophyllite with dimension of 32.5×32.5×32.5 mm³ and insulation sleeves were baked at 1073 K in a muffle furnace for 5 hours to remove the absorbed water. The sample was placed at the middle of the alumina and magnesia insulation sleeves, and sandwiched with two symmetric nickel electrodes. The electrode was connected with a Ni₉₇Al₃ wire to a Solartron–1260 impedance spectroscopy analyzer. To shield against external electromagnetism and
spurious signal interference, the nickel foil with a thickness of 0.025 mm was installed between the alumina and magnesia sleeves, and linked to the Earth line. Three–layer stainless steel sheets with a total thickness of 0.5 mm were adopted as the heater, which were installed between the cubic pressure medium of pyrophyllite and alumina sleeve. After that, the sample assembly was stored in the vacuum dry furnace at 423 K for at least 12 hours before the electrical conductivity measurements.

During the experiment, the pressure was slowly raised with a rate of 1.0 GPa h\(^{-1}\) until it reached the desired value, and then the temperature was gradually increased with a speed of 5.0 K min\(^{-1}\). Under predesignated high–temperature and high–pressure condition, impedance spectra of samples were collected in the frequency range of 10\(^0\)–10\(^6\) Hz and the applied signal voltage of 1.0 V. To obtain reproducible data, impedance spectra of samples were measured at least two continuously heating–cooling cycles under conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0–3.0 GPa. The uncertainties of temperature and pressure were less than 5.0 K and 0.1 GPa, respectively. The detailed experimental principles and measurement procedures were described by Dai et al. (2008) and Hu et al. (2022b).

### 2.3 Determination of the water content

The water content of gabbroic melt before and after the electrical conductivity measurements was performed by virtue of the Vertex–70V and Hyperion–1000 vacuum Fourier transform infrared (FT–IR) spectroscopy analyzer. The samples were double–polished up to a thickness of ~50 μm. At least five spectra were conducted on the different regions of transparent sample surfaces and made an average value in
order to avoid the heterogeneity effect of water distribution. A detailed experimental method and procedure for the FT–IR measurement was detailedly presented by Hong et al. (2022) and Hu et al. (2022b). For the hydrous gabbroic melts, the signal of the fundamental stretching H$_2$O vibrational spectroscopy at the peak position of ~3530 cm$^{-1}$ revealed to be oversaturated, which was similar to the previously obtained results on hydrous dacitic melts reported by Laumonier et al. (2015). Two obviously characteristic peaks were appeared at two correspondent wavenumbers of ~4500 cm$^{-1}$ and ~5200 cm$^{-1}$, which were representing the hydroxyl band and molecular water band of gabbroic melts, respectively (Stolper, 1982; Dixon et al., 1995). Hence, the peak area for the hydroxyl band and molecular water band was integrated to determine the water content of sample. The typical FT–IR spectra of gabbroic melt within the wavenumbers range of 2500–5800 cm$^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 2. The water content of gabbroic melt ($C_{\text{melt}}$) can be worked out by Beer–Lambert law,

$$C = \omega A/\varepsilon \rho d$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$C_{\text{melt}} = C_{\text{OH}} + C_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In here, the signal of $\omega$ stands for the molar mass of H$_2$O (18.02 g mole$^{-1}$), $A$ stands for the integrated area of absorption spectra (cm$^{-2}$), $\rho$ stands for the density (g cm$^{-3}$), $d$ stands for the thickness of thin section (cm), and $\varepsilon$ stands for the integral molar absorption coefficient (L mole$^{-1}$.cm$^{-2}$). As presented the calculated melt density method by Luhr (2001), our density of gabbroic melt is determined as 2.764×10$^3$ g L$^{-1}$. Molar absorption coefficients of $\varepsilon_{\text{OH}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ were adopted from Dixon et al. (1995). According to the Eqs. 1 and 2, the water contents for three obtained hydrous
gabbroic melts were calculated as 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%, respectively. As displayed in Table 2, there is no significant loss of water for hydrous gabbroic melt during the electrical conductivity experiment. At the same time, the corresponding error bars of each water contents for the initial and recovered gabbroic melts are detailedly included in Table 2.

3 RESULTS

In the present experiments, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with four different water contents (i.e. 0, 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%) was measured at temperature range of 873–1373 K and pressures of 1.0–3.0 GPa. The representative complex impedance spectra of gabbroic melt with the 2.59 wt% water at conditions of 873–1373 K and 2.0 GPa were shown in Fig. 3. According to the theory of AC complex impedance spectra, the impedance spectra of gabbroic melts within the high-frequency range from $\sim 10^2$–$10^3$ Hz to $10^6$ Hz can be interpreted as the bulk conduction mechanism (i.e. grain interior), and whereas, the impedance spectra of sample within the low-frequency range from $10^0$ Hz to $\sim 10^2$–$10^3$ Hz represent the grain boundary conduction mechanism or the polarization process at sample–electrode interface (Tyburczy and Roberts (1990), Dai et al. (2008, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2016); Dai and Karato (2009a, b, c, 2020)). And thus, a series connection of $R_S$–CPE$_S$ ($R_S$ and CPE$_S$ represent the resistance and constant–phase element of the gabbroic melt, respectively) and $R_E$–CPE$_E$ ($R_E$ and CPE$_E$ represent the interface resistance and constant–phase element for electrode effect, respectively) were employed as the equivalent circuit within a relatively lower temperature range of 873–1123 K. As far
as the higher temperature ranges of 1173–1373 K, the equivalent circuit was consisted of the series connection of one resistance and one parallel resistance with the constant phase element (CPE). The electrical conductivity of sample can be calculated,

$$\sigma = \frac{L}{SR}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In here, $L$, $S$ and $R$ stand for the length of sample (m), the cross-section area of electrode (m$^2$) and the electrical resistance of sample (Ω), respectively. And the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt and temperatures conformed to the Arrhenius relation,

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp(-\Delta H/kT)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

In here, $\sigma_0$ stands for the pre–exponential factor (S m$^{-1}$), $k$ stands for the Boltzmann constant (eV K$^{-1}$), and $T$ stands for the absolute temperature (K), respectively. All of these fitted parameters for the electrical conductivity of anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melt under conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0–3.0 GPa were listed in Table 2.

For the gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt%, the electrical conductivity results for two continuously heating–cooling cycles at 873–1373 K and 3.0 GPa were shown in Fig. 4. In the first heating cycle within the temperature range of 923–1073 K, the electrical conductivity of sample was slightly deviated with those of subsequent results in the first cooling and second heating–cooling cycles. Whereas, the deviation degree became more and more small and finally overlapped at much higher temperature range of 1123–1373 K. As a whole, the electrical conductivity of sample was almost reproducible in the first cooling and second heating–cooling cycles.
And therefore, the electrical conductivity results were acquired by virtue of fitting experimental data during the process of the first cooling and second heating–cooling cycles.

4 Discussions

4.1 Influence of pressure on electrical conductivity

To identify the effect of pressure on the electrical conductivity of sample, the electrical conductivity of hydrous gabbroic melt was acquired under condition of 873–1373 K, 1.0–3.0 GPa and a fixed water content of 2.59 wt%. As illustrated in Fig. 5, the electrical conductivity of sample and temperature conformed to the Arrhenius relation at a certain water content and pressure condition. In the present studies, a slightly negative dependence relation for the electrical conductivity of hydrous gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% on the pressure was observed. The electrical conductivity of sample slightly decreases by around 1.6 times at as pressure enhances from 1.0 GPa to 3.0 GPa at temperature range of 873–1373 K. Accordingly, the pre–exponential factor reduces from 3.02×10³ S m⁻¹ to 6.17×10² S m⁻¹, and the activation enthalpy value decreases from 0.85 eV to 0.81 eV, respectively.

Furthermore, the influence of pressure on the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt can be depicted as,

\[ \sigma = A_0 (1-BP) \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta U + P \Delta V}{kT} \right] \]  

(5)

In here, the pre–exponential factor \( \sigma_0 \) and activation enthalpy \( \Delta H \) of pressure dependence can be illustrated as the relations of \( \sigma_0 = A_0 (1-BP) \) and \( \Delta H = \Delta U + P \Delta V \).
All of the listed parameters including $\Delta U$, $\Delta V$, and $P$ stand for the activation energy (eV), the activation volume ($\text{cm}^3 \text{ mole}^{-1}$) and pressure (GPa), and as well as $B$ is representing a constant, respectively. Furthermore, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt along with the variations of temperature, pressure and water content is fitted accordingly and the detailed fitting results are displayed in Table 3. The logarithmic electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% and the inverse temperature follows a good linear relation, which reveals only one main conduction mechanism operating the electrical transport within our experimental temperature and pressure ranges. By virtue of the available pressure–dependent electrical conductivity, we also can extrapolate the relationship between the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% and temperature at atmospheric pressure. And then the pre–exponential factor and activation enthalpy at room pressure are calculated as $5177 \text{ S m}^{-1}$ and 0.87 eV, respectively. According to Eq. 5 and Table 3, the activation energy and activation volume of gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% can be determined as $0.87 \pm 0.04$ eV and $-1.98 \pm 0.52 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ mole}^{-1}$.

4.2 Influence of water content on electrical conductivity

For a fixed pressure of 1.0 GPa, the influence of water content on the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt at temperature range of 873–1373 K is detailedly shown in Fig. 6. The electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with four different water contents gradually increases with the rise of temperature. For each correspondent water content (i.e. 0, 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%), the logarithm of electrical
conductivity of the sample and reciprocal temperature follows a good linear relation.

On the other hand, when water content of gabbroic melt enhances from 0 to 8.32 wt%, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts tends to visibly increase, and whereas the activation enthalpy gradually reduces from 0.93 eV to 0.63 eV, accordingly. In short, our presently acquired electrical conductivity results show a substantial enhancement of water on the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt, which are also observed among the electrical conductivity of other representative calc–alkaline igneous rock melts in the recent years (Ni et al., 2011; Laumonier et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018).

The electrical conductivity of hydrous gabbroic melt can be expressed in terms of the charge species concentration dependence of the pre–exponential factor (A), which behaves in an Arrhenius relation,

$$\sigma = (A_1 + A_2 \cdot C_w^\alpha) \exp \left( \frac{-\Delta H_0 - \alpha C_w^\beta}{RT} \right)$$

In here, $C_w$ is water content of the sample (wt%), $\Delta H_0$ stands for the activation enthalpy, and $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $r$ stand for empirical power–law constants. By a non–linear global least–squares method, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with different water contents was fitted and the fitted parameter results were listed in Table 4. For the magnitude of water–dependent relation of $r$ (0.43 ± 0.05), it makes clear that the water can dramatically enhance the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt at conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0 GPa.

### 4.3 Comparisons with previous studies

As displayed in Fig. 7, five previously reported results on the electrical...
conductivity of natural gabbro samples were employed to compare with our absolutely new results for the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt (Sato and Ida, 1984; Schilling et al., 1997; Maumus et al., 2005; Dai et al., 2015; Saito and Bagdassarov, 2018). As a whole, our acquired electrical conductivity results on gabbroic melts are obviously higher than those of natural gabbro at temperature range of 873–1373 K and pressure of 1.0 GPa. Both Sato and Ida (1984) and Schilling et al. (1997) have already performed the electrical conductivity measurements on natural gabbro at high temperature and atmospheric pressure. In case of the occurrence of temperature–induced partial melting, the electrical conductivity of sample will be increased rapidly by several orders of magnitude. However, we find that there is no any relevant information on the water content for their previously reported electrical conductivity results on those of listed melting–bearing natural gabbro samples. The electrical conductivity results of natural gabbro containing 34 vol% melt from Maumus et al. (2005) are much lower than those of our present gabbroic melt, and the obvious discrepancy is possibly caused from the differentiation of the chemical composition and water content of gabbroic melt. In comparison with Saito and Bagdassarov (2018), there is a jump of three orders of magnitude in the electrical conductivity of sample, which is possibly originated from a relatively larger influence of melt volume percentage. As far as the previously reported electrical conductivity of natural gabbro with a relatively lower water content of ~610 ppm and free of any melt by Dai et al. (2015) at pressures of 0.5–2.0 GPa, there is an approximate electrical conductivity result on the anhydrous gabbroic melt to be observed in the present
studies. And however, the dependence of electrical conductivity of anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melts on the temperature, pressure and water content is still scarce under high-temperature and high-pressure conditions until now.

It is well known that the gabbroic melt is belonging to one type of representative calc–alkaline igneous rock. As usual, previously available conductivity results confirmed that the electrical conductivity of calc–alkaline igneous rock melts (i.e. dacitic melt, andesitic melt and basaltic melt) is also highly sensitive to the influential factor of the degree of depolymerization at high temperature and high pressure (Ni et al., 2011; Laumonier et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017). The degree of depolymerization can be characterized by the ratio of non–bridging oxygen ions per tetrahedrally coordinated cation (NBO/T). As pointed out by Mysen et al. (1982), the magnitude of degree of depolymerization on gabbroic melt can be worked out by our above–mentioned EPMA results in Table 1. And the dependence relation of electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts and degree of depolymerization was clearly displayed in Fig. 8 under conditions of four different water contents (i.e. 0, 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%), 1373 K and 1.0 GPa. Under constant degree of depolymerization, it makes clears that a relatively lower electrical conductivity is observed in the anhydrous gabbroic melt under condition of 1373 K and 1.0 GPa. With the rise of water content, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts dramatically increases, whereas the variation degree for the electrical conductivity gradually reduces. At the same time, we also compared the presently obtained electrical conductivity results for anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melts with other three representative calc–alkaline
igneous melts reported by Ni et al. (2011), Laumonier et al. (2015) and Guo et al. (2017), as detailedly illustrated in Fig. 8. On the base of the previously calculating method for the degree of depolymerization (NBO/T) of melt transforming the detailed EPMA data, the magnitudes in the degree of depolymerization for our present gabbroic melt and other three representative calc–alkaline igneous rock melts (i.e. dacitic melt, andesitic melt and basaltic melt) are 0.65, 0.07, 0.35 and 0.81, respectively. As a whole, the electrical conductivity of four typical calc–alkaline igneous rock melts will increase with the rise of the degree of depolymerization at a fixed water content. As the water content will be enhanced from 0 to 8.32 wt%, the electrical conductivity of each calc–alkaline igneous rock melts will dramatically increase. It is obviously observed that the correspondent variations in the electrical conductivity of calc–alkaline igneous rock melts along the orders from dacitic melt to andesitic melt to gabbroic melt to basaltic melt tend to gradually reduce, and become more and more convergent, accordingly. To my best knowledge, the magnitude in the degree of depolymerization (NBO/T) for the melt sample is highly positive relation with the content variations of alkali–bearing and alkali earth–bearing cations (i.e. Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, etc.) (Mysen et al., 1982; Lee et al., 2003; Di Genova et al., 2015). Just as presented the EPMA results, the total contents of alkali cations and alkali–earth cations are determined as the 11.54 wt% of dacitic melt reported by Laumonier et al. (2015), the 20.41 wt% of andesitic melt reported by Guo et al. (2017), the 30.23 wt% of basaltic melt reported by Ni et al. (2011), and as well as the 25.53 wt% of gabbroic melt in this study. And thus, the degree of depolymerization for the calc–
alkaline igneous rock melts along the orders from dacitic melt to andesitic melt to gabbroic melt to basaltic melt will gradually increase, accordingly. On the other hand, previous electrical conductivity results have confirmed that the main charge carriers of the calc–alkaline igneous melts are alkali cations and alkali–earth cations at high temperature and high pressure (Ni et al., 2011; Laumonier et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017; Chen et al., 2018). And thus, the influence of the degree of depolymerization on the electrical conductivity of melt is possibly caused from the concentration of the alkali cations and alkali–earth cations. Accordingly, the electrical conductivity of calc–alkaline igneous melts will gradually increase with the rise of alkali cations and alkali–earth cations along the orders from dacitic melt to andesitic melt to gabbroic melt to basaltic melt. In sum, as followed the orders from dacitic melt to andesitic melt to gabbroic melt to basaltic melt, it is very reasonable that the electrical conductivity of calc–alkaline igneous melts will be gradually enhanced with the rise of degree of depolymerization (NBO/T) under conditions of 1373 K and 1.0 GPa.

5 Geophysical implications

As a typical active plate geotectonic boundary, previously available magnetotelluric results have already revealed that the phenomenon of high conductivity anomalies is widespread distributed in the region of mid–ocean ridge (Key et al., 2013; Miensopust et al., 2014). For the representative Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean, there widely exist a large number of high conductive layers with their conductivity magnitude within the range of ~0.08–0.32 S m⁻¹ at the correspondent depths from 4 km to 7 km (Johansen et al., 2019). All of these acquired seismic and
gravitational survey datasets have confirmed that various volume percentages of gabbroic melt widely outcropped in the Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean at the depths of ~4–11 km (Géli et al., 1994; Conley and Dunn, 2011). And therefore, the high conductivity anomalies in the Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean are possibly correlated with the gabbroic melt at high temperature and high pressure. In conjunction with our presently obtained experimental results on the electrical conductivity of anhydrous and hydrous gabbroic melts at conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0–3.0 GPa, the typical Hashin–Shtrikman upper bound model and previously available magnetotelluric results, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system was constructed in detail, as displayed in Fig. 9. All of these influential ingredients including water content and volume percentage were comprehensively considered. During the process of the expansion of mid–ocean ridge caused by the rapid upwelling of asthenosphere mantle, the geothermal distribution exhibited an abnormal behavior in the Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean. As pointed out by Johansen et al. (2019), the temperature on the top gabbro layer is approximate to 1373 K along the ultraslow–spreading Arctic mid–ocean Mohns ridge region. In addition, the effect of pressure on the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt is rather feeble, and it can be neglected.

For the representative Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean, previously available petrological and geochemical results have already revealed that the range of water content for the crustal rock and melt in the Mohns ridge is ~0.25–2.64 wt% (Neumann and Schilling, 1984; Poreda et al., 1986). The electrical conductivity results of gabbroic melt with two different water contents (anhydrous and a water content of
2.59 wt%) are selected from our present studies. The electrical conductivity of olivine at 1373 K and 1.0 GPa is properly extrapolated from the available experimental data of polycrystalline olivine under conditions of 160 ppm wt water content, 873–1273 K and 4.0–10.0 GPa reported by Dai and Karato (2014). On the variation of volume percentage for the gabbroic melt, the electrical conductivity of a gabbroic melt–olivine system (σHS+) can be expressed as (Hashin and Shtrikman, 1962),

\[
\sigma_{HS+} = \sigma_{\text{melt}} + [(1-X_{\text{melt}})\left[(\sigma_{\text{olivine}}-\sigma_{\text{melt}})^{-1} + X_{\text{melt}}/(3\cdot\sigma_{\text{melt}})\right]-1
\]  

(7)

In here, the signals of \(\sigma_{\text{melt}}\) and \(\sigma_{\text{olivine}}\) stand for the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt from the present study and that of polycrystalline olivine with a certain water content of 160 ppm wt from Dai and Karato (2014), respectively; \(X_{\text{melt}}\) stands for the volume percentage of gabbroic melt.

The electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system with different volume percentage of gabbroic melt was successfully worked out at 1373 K and 1.0 GPa, as displayed in Fig. 9. For the gabbroic melt–olivine system with a certain volume percentage of gabbroic melt, the electrical conductivity increases with the rise of water content in gabbroic melt. As far as the gabbroic melt containing a fixed water content, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system gradually enhances as the volume percentage of gabbroic melt increases. As pointed out by Johansen et al. (2019), the range of electrical conductivity for the HCL in the Mohns ridge is ~0.08–0.32 S m\(^{-1}\), as displayed in the orange region of Fig. 9. For the anhydrous gabbroic melt, the required volume percentage for the high conductivity anomalies the ultraslow-spreading Arctic mid-ocean Mohns ridge region falls within...
the range of ~2.93–34.69 vol%, which is in good agreement with previously inferred
results from geophysical observations (Géli et al., 1994; Conley and Dunn, 2011).
When the water content of gabbroic melt increases, the required volume percentage
for the HCL reduces accordingly. As for the gabbroic melt with a relatively high water
content of 2.59 wt%, its volume percentage range of ~2.63–23.63 vol% is enough to
explain the high conductivity anomalies. In summary, the high conductivity anomalies
in the Mohns ridge of the Arctic Ocean could be interpreted by the anhydrous and
hydrous gabbroic melt, and our present electrical conductivity results for gabbroic
melt with different water contents can provide an important constraint for the water
content and volume percentage of gabbroic melt at depth range of ~4–7 km within the
Mohns ridge region of the Arctic Ocean.

Conclusions

In the present studies, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with different
water contents of 0–8.32 wt% were measured at temperatures of 873–1373 K and
pressures of 1.0–3.0 GPa. For the gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59
wt%, the electrical conductivity of the sample decreases slightly with the rise of
pressure, and its corresponding activation energy and activation volume are
determined as 0.87 ± 0.04 eV and −1.98 ± 0.02 cm³ mole⁻¹, respectively. When water
content of gabbroic melt enhances from 0 to 8.32 wt% under the certain conditions of
873–1373 K and 1.0 GPa, the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts tends to visibly
increase, and whereas the activation enthalpy gradually reduces from 0.93 eV to 0.63
eV, accordingly. Furthermore, the functional relation models for the electrical
conductivity of gabbroic melt with the variations of temperature, pressure and water
content are constructed at high–temperature and high–pressure conditions,
respectively. By virtue of typical Hashin–Shtrikman upper bound model, the electrical
conductivity of gabbroic melt–olivine system on the variation of melt volume
percentage is calculated under the conditions of four different water contents of
gabbroic melt (i.e. 0, 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%), 1373 K and 1.0 GPa, which
can be employed to reasonably explain the high conductivity anomalies in the Mohns
ridge of the Arctic Ocean observed by the previously available field MT results.

Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available
from the first author upon reasonable request.
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**Figure 1.** The experimental setup for the electrical conductivity measurements of gabbroic melt at high temperatures and high pressures.
Figure 2. The representative FT–IR spectra of the gabbroic melt with various water contents in the wavenumbers range of 4000–5800 cm$^{-1}$ and 2500–4000 cm$^{-1}$.
Figure 3. Typical complex impedance spectra for gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% at temperatures of 873–1373 K and pressure of 2.0 GPa in the frequency range from $10^0$ Hz to $10^6$ Hz. The fitting results for the experimental data are displayed by using the solid line.
Figure 4. The electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% among two heating–cooling cycles at a pressure of 3.0 GPa.
Figure 5. Influence of pressure on the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with a fixed water content of 2.59 wt% at the temperature ranges of 873–1373 K.
Figure 6. Logarithmic electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts with four different water contents as a function of reciprocal temperature at conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0 GPa.
Figure 7. Comparison of electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts with the previously reported results from five natural gabbro samples at high-temperature and high-pressure conditions.
Figure 8. Variation of electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt and three representative calc–alkaline igneous rock melts with the degree of depolymerization (NBO/T) under conditions of four different water contents (i.e. 0, 2.59 wt%, 5.92 wt% and 8.32 wt%), 1373 K and 1.0 GPa. Data source: basaltic melt from Ni et al. (2011), andesitic melt from Guo et al. (2017), and dacitic melt from Laumonier et al. (2015).
Figure 9. The electrical conductivity for the gabbroic melt–olivine system at temperature of 1373 K and 1.0 GPa, calculated with Eq. 7 of the Hashin–Shtrikman upper bound model. The electrical conductivity of olivine from Dai and Karato (2014) was adopted as $\sigma_{\text{olivine}}$. The orange region indicates the gabbro layer within the electrical conductivity range of 0.08–0.32 S m$^{-1}$ along the ultraslow–spreading Arctic mid–ocean Mohns ridge region (Johansen et al., 2019).
Table 1. The chemical composition of the gabbroic melts by virtue of the electronic probe microscopy analysis (EPMA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>FeO</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na$_2$O</th>
<th>K$_2$O</th>
<th>Total (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gabbroic melt (anhydrous)</td>
<td>51.32</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>9.93</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>11.06</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>99.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbroic melt (2.59 wt% water)</td>
<td>51.22</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>11.29</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>99.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbroic melt (5.92 wt% water)</td>
<td>51.23</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>11.28</td>
<td>11.70</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>99.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabbroic melt (8.32 wt% water)</td>
<td>51.22</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>9.88</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>11.27</td>
<td>11.69</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>99.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Fitted parameters of Arrhenius relation for the electrical conductivity of hydrous and anhydrous gabbroic melts under conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0–3.0 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>T (K)</th>
<th>P (GPa)</th>
<th>Water content Before experiment (wt%)</th>
<th>Water content After experiment (wt%)</th>
<th>Log $\sigma_0$ ($\sigma_0$ in S m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DW201</td>
<td>873–1373</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>8.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>8.30 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW204</td>
<td>873–1373</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.92 ± 0.01</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW208</td>
<td>873–1373</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW209</td>
<td>873–1373</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.03</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.18 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW212</td>
<td>873–1373</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.50 ± 0.02</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DW210</td>
<td>873–1373</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Parameter values for the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melt with water content of 2.59 wt% at pressures of 1.0–3.0 GPa. The equation $\sigma = \sigma_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta U + P \Delta V}{kT}\right)$ is adopted for the globally fitting of electrical conductivity data. In consideration of a strong dependence of the pre-exponential factor ($\sigma_0$) on the pressure, we used the relation $\sigma_0 = A_0 (1 - BP)$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sigma_0$ (S m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$B$ (GPa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta U$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\Delta V$ (cm$^3$ mole$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_0 = 2623.27 \pm 1.41$</td>
<td>$B = 0.22 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.87 \pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$-1.98 \pm 0.52$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Parameter values for the electrical conductivity of gabbroic melts with different water contents under conditions of 873–1373 K and 1.0 GPa. The equation $\sigma = (A_1 + A_2 \cdot C_w) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta H_0 - \alpha C_w}{RT}\right)$ is adopted for the globally fitting of electrical conductivity data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$A_1$ (S m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$A_2$ (S m$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_0$ (eV)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$6760\pm 234$</td>
<td>$66069\pm 240$</td>
<td>$1.03\pm 0.04$</td>
<td>$34.85\pm 2.24$</td>
<td>$17.70\pm 1.31$</td>
<td>$0.43\pm 0.05$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>