



Overview: ‘Global change effects on terrestrial biogeochemistry at the plant-soil interface’

Lucia Fuchslueger^{1,2*}, Emily F. Solly^{3*}, Alberto Canarini^{1,4}, Albert C. Brangari^{5,6}

¹Center for Microbiology and Environmental Systems Science, University of Vienna, Djerassiplatz 1, 1030 Vienna, Austria

5 ²Environment and Climate Hub, University of Vienna, Augasse 2-6, 1090 Vienna, Austria

³Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research – UFZ, Permoserstr. 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany


⁴Center for Ecological Research, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

⁵Department of Ecosystem and Landscape Dynamics, Institute for Biodiversity & Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

10 ⁶Institute for Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Lund, Sweden. University of Lund, Lund, Sweden

*Correspondence to: Lucia Fuchslueger (lucia.fuchslueger@univie.ac.at) and Emily Solly (emily.solly@ufz.de)

Abstract. ‘Global change’ significantly alters organic matter and element cycling, but many of the underlying processes and consequences remain poorly understood. The interface of plants and soil plays a central role, coupling atmosphere, biosphere
15 and lithosphere and integrating biological and geochemical processes. The contributions to this special issue tackled questions on both biotic and abiotic interactions underlying responses of terrestrial biogeochemical cycling to a range of global changes, including increases in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, warming, drought and altered water regimes. In this
20 this overview we provide insights into the empirical, conceptual, and modelling-based studies featured in this special issue. In the following, we synthesize key findings covering 1) responses of plants to elevated CO₂, 2) the role of soil organisms in modulating responses to warming, 3) impacts of global change on soil organic carbon, nitrogen, and mineral nutrient availability and 4) the influence of altered water table-depth caused by global change on greenhouse gas emissions (Figure 1). We showcase studies conducted in regions from the arctic to the tropics and highlight the manifold impacts of global change on various ecosystem components controlling biogeochemical processes occurring at the plant-soil interface. This understanding is crucial for deciphering feedbacks of terrestrial ecosystems to the climate system.



25

Figure 1: Global change can trigger changes across all ecosystem components. Altered environmental conditions have the potential to influence plant productivity and C allocation to maintain resource and nutrient acquisition, which can change both quality and quantity of C inputs to the soil. Similarly, global change can modify community structure and activity rates of soil organisms, and subsequently soil organic matter decomposition and weathering rates, overall affecting soil nutrient availability. Finally, greenhouse gas emissions to the atmosphere respond sensitively to global change, thereby feeding back on the climate system. The figure was created with BioRender.com.

30

Introduction

Global change poses a major threat creating multiple environmental challenges significantly impacting biogeochemical cycling. Increased atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) concentrations, primarily driven by human activity, have strongly altered the Earth's energy budget, resulting in unprecedented global warming and shifts in precipitation patterns. The last decade has witnessed the hottest years on record, accompanied by intensified droughts and an increased frequency of extreme weather events (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2023). These strong environmental changes significantly impact terrestrial ecosystems through a complex interplay of direct and indirect effects (Bardgett et al., 2008). Elevated CO₂ and temperatures can directly stimulate plant growth, but also trigger a series of indirect effects, so that

35



40 subsequent impacts on soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestration and total ecosystem carbon (C) budgets remain unclear. Likewise, changing moisture and temperature can directly affect soil microorganism activity and SOC decomposition (Kim et al., 2012; Moyano et al., 2013; Verbrigghe et al., 2022), but also indirectly influence soil nutrient availability, SOC stocks and plant feedbacks (Melillo et al., 2011). The magnitude and direction of responses to different global change factors depends largely on the ability of living organisms to tolerate or adapt to changed conditions (Allison, 2023). As greenhouse
45 gas emissions to the atmosphere are driven by autotrophic and heterotrophic organisms, understanding the resistance and resilience of all components in an ecosystem to changing conditions is integral for assessing potential feedbacks on the climate system. Overall, the plant-soil interface stands out as a hotspot for assessing these feedbacks, as it couples atmosphere, biosphere and lithosphere and integrates biological and geochemical processes across multiple trophic levels. Modelling approaches can help understanding current conditions, and predicting future ecosystem feedbacks to
50 environmental and climate change, particularly through altered biogeochemical cycling (e.g. Medlyn et al., 2015). However, the efficacy of models relies on a robust mechanistic understanding of the underlying physiological and biogeochemical processes.

The contributions to this special issue ‘Global change effects on terrestrial biogeochemistry at the plant-soil interface’
55 covered topics ranging from 1) the ability of plants to regulate resource optimization in response to elevated atmospheric CO₂, 2) highlighting the role of soil organisms as agents for modulating responses to warming with a focus on arctic ecosystems, 3) the effects of climate change on soil organic C, nitrogen (N) and mineral nutrient availability, and 4) the impact of changing soil water-tables on greenhouse gas emissions from boreal to tropical ecosystems (Figure 1). Importantly, the contributing studies couple knowledge originated from understudied ecosystems, encompassing studies
60 conducted in arctic, high-latitude, dry- and wetland ecosystems, which are not only potentially largest soil C sinks and can sensitively respond to global change, and provide new insights and more comprehensive understanding of the susceptibility of Earth’s ecosystems to global change.

1 Plant responses to elevated CO₂

Plants are a major component in terrestrial C cycling, taking up CO₂ from the atmosphere and converting it into biomass.
65 However, the extent and mechanisms of plant resistance and recovery to global change remain uncertain. Exposure to changing environmental conditions, such as increased CO₂ concentrations, warming or drought episodes, can induce both short (e.g., physiological) and longer-term (e.g., vegetation composition change) responses, with implications for biogeochemical cycling at the ecosystem level. Especially, trade-offs between plant resource acquisition (water-use and nutrient uptake) and biomass growth can yield a substantial variation in climate change feedback. Using different modelling
70 approaches, Manzoni et al., (2022) explored whether elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations could lead to reduced transpiration rates from vegetation, potentially reducing water loss and facilitating ecosystem ‘greening’ in dry regions. They



found, however, small variation in canopy-level transpiration with rising atmospheric CO₂ due to the close coordination of physiological (reduced stomatal conductance) and morphological (increased leaf area) characteristics of plants to maximize water use efficiency. Yet, the responses to elevated atmospheric CO₂ are also tightly modulated by nutrient resource availability (Walker et al., 2021). In a field Free-Air-CO₂-enrichment experiment in a mature Eucalyptus forest, Pihlblad et al., (2023) observed a faster nutrient recycling, particularly of available phosphorus forms, but not an additional availability of nutrients through soil organic matter (SOM) decomposition. In the long term, this could lead to plant nutrient limitations and reduce the potential of mature forest ecosystems to fix C as biomass in response to elevated CO₂. Both studies (Manzoni et al., 2022; Pihlblad et al., 2023) highlight the importance of accounting for resource limitations and respective adaption by plants to accurately predict ecosystem responses to climate change.

2 Soil organisms as agents for modulating responses to warming

Global warming is one of the most pronounced climate change factors driving large ecosystem transformations. This is particularly evident in cold ecosystems, with the Arctic containing 50-70% of the total C stock (Hugelius et al., 2014) being crucial for terrestrial C cycling. Predictions suggest that Arctic regions will experience greater temperature increases compared to other ecosystems, underscoring the importance to understand the unique challenges faced by these regions (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2023). Soil (micro-)organisms are key regulators of matter and energy cycling between the soil and the atmosphere via their catabolic and anabolic processes (Ågren and Wetterstedt, 2007). Given the temperature sensitivity of enzymatic reactions, warming has mostly a stimulating effect on microbial activity in cold ecosystems, which can potentially destabilize C stored in Arctic soils and contribute to further climate change. Thus, investigating warming effects on soil microbes in cold ecosystems is particularly relevant. Rijkers et al., (2023) identified strong differences in the temperature adaptation of microbial communities in soils from the subarctic to the High Arctic region. They predicted that summer warming can increase the community-level optimal growth temperature, but found no evidence supporting temperature adaptation of the soil bacterial community structure. SOM decomposition is not only strongly affected by microbial communities, but also by the presence of soil fauna. Blume-Werry et al., (2023) proposed that in Arctic ecosystems climate warming can create new niches for soil organisms migrating both laterally and vertically belowground. This may introduce new soil-fauna-associated functions that can strongly affect decomposition processes and challenges the way we simulate C losses under climate change scenarios. Indeed, Monteux et al., (2022) showed that introducing a model microarthropod (*Collembola Folsomia candida*) species can influence bacterial diversity, and prime respiration of the native soil community. These studies highlight the importance of investigating and understanding biotic interactions in soil and to further explore potential additive or interactive effects of warming (and other climate change factors) on the soil food web.



3 Impacts of global change on soil organic carbon, nitrogen, and mineral nutrient availability

Warming is expected to boost net primary production and potentially enhance ecosystem C sequestration (Melillo et al., 2017). However, warming also has a stimulating effect on microbial activity and SOM turnover (e.g., Classen et al., 2015; Davidson and Janssens, 2006), which can lead to significant decreases in soil organic C stocks (Verbrigghe et al., 2022). Soil microbes release enzymes transforming SOM into available C and nutrients, ultimately releasing CO₂ via intracellular metabolism (Allison et al., 2007). Many studies have examined the temperature sensitivity of SOM decomposition via CO₂ emission or mass loss of C substrate (e.g., Kirwan et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013). However, differentiating contributions by extracellular and intracellular processes is key for a mechanistic understanding of warming. Adekanmbi et al., (2023) investigated these sensitivities and found that depolymerization of high molecular weight C was more sensitive to temperature changes at higher temperatures, whereas the respiration of the generated monomers was more sensitive to temperature changes at moderate temperatures. Hence, this study suggested that global warming may shift the importance from extracellular depolymerization to intracellular metabolic processes as the rate-limiting step of SOM mineralization.

The stimulating effect of warming on plant productivity can be constrained by low nutrient availability, for instance by N (Melillo et al., 2011) and cations (Jonard et al., 2015). In cold ecosystems, the addition of nutrients has been found to stimulate plant aboveground growth (Bergh et al., 2008), but to reduce the allocation of C to roots and associated microorganisms (Wallander et al., 2011). Almeida et al. (2022) investigated the impact of forest fertilization on the soil ectomycorrhizal fungal community in an experimental spruce forest in Norway. The authors observed changes in the fungal community composition after three years of N additions, potentially affecting hydrophobic SOM formation and reducing soil C sequestration. Myers-Pigg et al. (2023) explored the net response of SOC composition to climate change, assessing its diagenetic state based on lignin-phenol biomarkers. Their biomarker-based approach revealed a coupled increase in C and N cycling rates, which may support forest productivity and help maintain SOC stocks. Finally, in a modelling study using the ForSAFE model on different forest systems distributed across Sweden, Kronnäs et al. (2023) found that warming and longer growing seasons for plants could enhance soil weathering rates, inducing substantial changes in soil texture and mineral nutrient stocks. This emphasizes the importance of including soil texture and seasonal climate variations for prediction forest responses to climate change.

4 Influence of altered water-table depth caused by global change on greenhouse gas emissions

One of the most effective and accessible approaches for evaluating effects of global changes on soil biogeochemical cycling is the measurement of gaseous emissions, such as of carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), and nitrous oxide (N₂O). From soils, CO₂ is released by plant roots and SOM decomposition by soil organisms under aerobic conditions (autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration), CH₄ under anaerobic conditions by methanogenic microorganisms, and N₂O through nitrification

and denitrification processes in soils. All gas fluxes are highly responsive to changes in soil water conditions controlling whether ecosystems are sources or sinks for greenhouse gases (Jungkunst and Fiedler, 2007). Zhao and Zhuang (2023) modelled greenhouse gas flux responses of Northern peatlands in response to warming, revealing increases in both CO₂ and CH₄ emissions due to deeper water-table levels and enhanced permafrost thawing. They demonstrated that warming had limited impact on net primary production due to shifts in plant functional types and N limitations, which could turn ecosystems into C sources. Their estimates proposed that this shift might occur as early as 2050, notably earlier than previously anticipated (e.g., Gallego-Sala et al., 2018; McGuire et al., 2018). Zhang et al., (2022) further explored the effect of water-table levels and N availability on greenhouse gas emissions in alpine peatlands by conducting a mesocosm experiment. They found that a moderate increase in N deposition and rising water-table levels stimulated CH₄ emissions, whereas only N deposition consistently increased N₂O emissions. Finally, in a field study, Castellón et al., (2022) quantified the emissions of CO₂ and CH₄ in a natural mangrove forest experiencing daily and seasonal variations in water-table depths. Higher topography areas generally exhibited increased CO₂ emissions and decreased CH₄ emissions, likely induced by reduced flooding frequency. The study highlighted the role of microtopography, seasonality and soil physicochemical properties in influencing greenhouse gas emissions.

Concluding remarks

The studies contributing to this special issue ‘Global change effects on terrestrial biogeochemistry at the plant-soil interface’, reveal pronounced impacts of global change on biogeochemical processes driven by different trophic levels, extending from autotrophic plants to heterotrophic soil fauna and microbial populations. The related effects are particularly relevant in understudied regions such as the arctic and the tropics. Both empirical and modeling studies show that global change can affect small soil-scale, as well as large ecosystem-scale fluxes of C and nutrients, inducing substantial feedback loops on the climate system. The richness of methodologies employed in these studies highlights that a combination of techniques is essential for mechanistically and holistically understanding the complex phenomena occurring at the plant-soil interface, and is crucial for improving predictions of the resistance and resilience of terrestrial ecosystems to global change.

References

- Adekanmbi, A. A., Dale, L., Shaw, L. and Sizmur, T.: Differential temperature sensitivity of intracellular metabolic processes and extracellular soil enzyme activities, *Biogeosciences*, 20(11), 2207–2219, doi:10.5194/BG-20-2207-2023, 2023.
- Ågren, G. I. and Wetterstedt, J. Å. M.: What determines the temperature response of soil organic matter decomposition?, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39(7), 1794–1798, doi:10.1016/J.SOILBIO.2007.02.007, 2007.
- Allison, S. D.: Microbial drought resistance may destabilize soil carbon, *Trends Microbiol.*, 31(8), 780–787,



- doi:10.1016/j.tim.2023.03.002, 2023.
- Allison, V. J., Condon, L. M., Peltzer, D. A., Richardson, S. J. and Turner, B. L.: Changes in enzyme activities and soil microbial community composition along carbon and nutrient gradients at the Franz Josef chronosequence, New Zealand, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 39(7), 1770–1781, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.02.006, 2007.
- Almeida, J. P., Rosenstock, N. P., Woche, S. K., Guggenberger, G. and Wallander, H.: Nitrophobic ectomycorrhizal fungi are associated with enhanced hydrophobicity of soil organic matter in a Norway spruce forest, *Biogeosciences*, 19(15), 3713–3726, doi:10.5194/BG-19-3713-2022, 2022.
- 165 Bardgett, R. D., Freeman, C. and Ostle, N. J.: Microbial contributions to climate change through carbon cycle feedbacks, *ISME J.*, 2(8), 805–814, 2008.
- Bergh, J., Nilsson, U., Grip, H., Hedwall, P. O. and Lundmark, T.: Effects of frequency of fertilisation on production, foliar chemistry and nutrient leaching in young Norway spruce stands in Sweden, *Silva Fenn.*, 42(5), 721–733, doi:10.14214/SF.225, 2008.
- 170 Blume-Werry, G., Klaminder, J., Krab, E. J. and Monteux, S.: Ideas and perspectives: Alleviation of functional limitations by soil organisms is key to climate feedbacks from arctic soils, *Biogeosciences*, 20(10), 1979–1990, doi:10.5194/BG-20-1979-2023, 2023.
- Castellón, S. E. M., Cattanio, J. H., Berrêdo, J. F., Rollnic, M., Ruivo, M. D. L. and Noriega, C.: Greenhouse gas fluxes in mangrove forest soil in an Amazon estuary, *Biogeosciences*, 19(23), 5483–5497, doi:10.5194/BG-19-5483-2022, 2022.
- 180 Classen, A. T., Sundqvist, M. K., Henning, J. A., Newman, G. S., Moore, J. A. M., Cregger, M. A., Moorhead, L. C. and Patterson, C. M.: Direct and indirect effects of climate change on soil microbial and soil microbial-plant interactions: What lies ahead?, *Ecosphere*, 6(8), doi:10.1890/ES15-00217.1, 2015.
- Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change., *Nature*, 440(March), 165–173, doi:10.1038/nature04514, 2006.
- 185 Gallego-Sala, A. V., Charman, D. J., Brewer, S., Page, S. E., Prentice, I. C., Friedlingstein, P., Moreton, S., Amesbury, M. J., Beilman, D. W., Björck, S., Blyakharchuk, T., Bochicchio, C., Booth, R. K., Bunbury, J., Camill, P., Carless, D., Chimner, R. A., Clifford, M., Cressey, E., Courtney-Mustaphi, C., De Vleeschouwer, F., de Jong, R., Fialkiewicz-Koziel, B., Finkelstein, S. A., Garneau, M., Githumbi, E., Hribljan, J., Holmquist, J., Hughes, P. D. M., Jones, C., Jones, M. C., Karofeld, E., Klein, E. S., Kokfelt, U., Korhola, A., Lacourse, T., Le Roux, G., Lamentowicz, M., Large, D., Lavoie, M.,
- 190 Loisel, J., Mackay, H., MacDonald, G. M., Makila, M., Magnan, G., Marchant, R., Marcisz, K., Martínez Cortizas, A., Massa, C., Mathijssen, P., Mauquoy, D., Mighall, T., Mitchell, F. J. G., Moss, P., Nichols, J., Oksanen, P. O., Orme, L., Packalen, M. S., Robinson, S., Roland, T. P., Sanderson, N. K., Sannel, A. B. K., Silva-Sánchez, N., Steinberg, N., Swindles, G. T., Turner, T. E., Uglow, J., Väliranta, M., van Bellen, S., van der Linden, M., van Geel, B., Wang, G., Yu, Z., Zaragoza-Castells, J. and Zhao, Y.: Latitudinal limits to the predicted increase of the peatland carbon sink with warming, *Nat. Clim.*
- 195 Chang. 2018 810, 8(10), 907–913, doi:10.1038/S41558-018-0271-1, 2018.
- Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirmermeister, L., Grosse, G.,



- Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J. and Kuhry, P.:
Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps,
Biogeosciences, 11(23), 6573–6593, doi:10.5194/BG-11-6573-2014, 2014.
- 200 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Climate Change 2021 – The Physical Science Basis, *Clim. Chang. 2021 –
Phys. Sci. Basis*, doi:10.1017/9781009157896, 2023.
- Jonard, M., Fürst, A., Verstraeten, A., Thimonier, A., Timmermann, V., Potočić, N., Waldner, P., Benham, S., Hansen, K.,
Merilä, P., Ponette, Q., de la Cruz, A. C., Roskams, P., Nicolas, M., Croisé, L., Ingerslev, M., Matteucci, G., Decinti, B.,
Bascietto, M. and Rautio, P.: Tree mineral nutrition is deteriorating in Europe, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 21(1), 418–430,
205 doi:10.1111/GCB.12657, 2015.
- Jungkunst, H. F. and Fiedler, S.: Latitudinal differentiated water table control of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide
fluxes from hydromorphic soils: feedbacks to climate change, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, 13(12), 2668–2683, doi:10.1111/J.1365-
2486.2007.01459.X, 2007.
- Kim, D. G., Vargas, R., Bond-Lamberty, B. and Turetsky, M. R.: Effects of soil rewetting and thawing on soil gas fluxes: A
210 review of current literature and suggestions for future research, *Biogeosciences*, 9(7), 2459–2483, doi:10.5194/BG-9-2459-
2012, 2012.
- Kirwan, M. L., Guntenspergen, G. R. and Langley, J. A.: Temperature sensitivity of organic-matter decay in tidal marshes,
Biogeosciences, 11(17), 4801–4808, doi:10.5194/BG-11-4801-2014, 2014.
- Kronnäs, V., Lucander, K., Zanchi, G., Stadlinger, N., Belyazid, S. and Akselsson, C.: Effect of droughts and climate change
215 on future soil weathering rates in Sweden, *Biogeosciences*, 20(10), 1879–1899, doi:10.5194/BG-20-1879-2023, 2023.
- Manzoni, S., Faticchi, S., Feng, X., Katul, G. G., Way, D. and Vico, G.: Consistent responses of vegetation gas exchange to
elevated atmospheric CO₂ emerge from heuristic and optimization models, *Biogeosciences*, 19(17), 4387–4414,
doi:10.5194/BG-19-4387-2022, 2022.
- McGuire, A. D., Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C., Clein, J. S., Burke, E., Chen, G., Jafarov, E., MacDougall, A. H., Marchenko,
220 S., Nicolsky, D., Peng, S., Rinke, A., Ciais, P., Gouttevin, I., Hayes, D. J., Ji, D., Krinner, G., Moore, J. C., Romanovsky, V.,
Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Schuur, E. A. G. and Zhuang, Q.: Dependence of the evolution of carbon dynamics in the northern
permafrost region on the trajectory of climate change, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 115(15), 3882–3887,
doi:10.1073/PNAS.1719903115/SUPPL_FILE/PNAS.201719903SI.PDF, 2018.
- Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., De Kauwe, M. G., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Hanson, P. J., Hickler, T., Jain, A. K., Luo, Y.,
225 Parton, W., Prentice, I. C., Thornton, P. E., Wang, S., Wang, Y.-P., Weng, E., Iversen, C. M., McCarthy, H. R., Warren, J.
M., Oren, R. and Norby, R. J.: Using ecosystem experiments to improve vegetation models, *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, 5(6), 528–
534, doi:10.1038/nclimate2621, 2015.
- Melillo, J. M., Butler, S., Johnson, J., Mohan, J., Steudler, P., Lux, H., Burrows, E., Bowles, F., Smith, R., Scott, L., Vario,
C., Hill, T., Burton, A., Zhouj, Y. M. and Tang, J.: Soil warming, carbon-nitrogen interactions, and forest carbon budgets,
230 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 108(23), 9508–9512, doi:10.1073/pnas.1018189108, 2011.



- Monteux, S., Mariën, J. and Krab, E. J.: Dispersal of bacteria and stimulation of permafrost decomposition by Collembola, *Biogeosciences*, 19(17), 4089–4105, doi:10.5194/BG-19-4089-2022, 2022.
- Moyano, F. E., Manzoni, S. and Chenu, C.: Responses of soil heterotrophic respiration to moisture availability: An exploration of processes and models, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 59, 72–85, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.01.002, 2013.
- 235 Myers-Pigg, A. N., Kaiser, K., Benner, R. and Ziegler, S. E.: Soil organic matter diagenetic state informs boreal forest ecosystem feedbacks to climate change, *Biogeosciences*, 20(2), 489–503, doi:10.5194/BG-20-489-2023, 2023.
- Pihlblad, J., Andresen, L. C., Macdonald, C. A., Ellsworth, D. S. and Carrillo, Y.: The influence of elevated CO₂ and soil depth on rhizosphere activity and nutrient availability in a mature Eucalyptus woodland, *Biogeosciences*, 20(3), 505–521, doi:10.5194/bg-20-505-2023, 2023.
- 240 Rijkers, R., Dekker, M., Aerts, R. and Weedon, J. T.: Maximum summer temperatures predict the temperature adaptation of Arctic soil bacterial communities, *Biogeosciences*, 20(4), 767–780, doi:10.5194/BG-20-767-2023, 2023.
- Verbrigghe, N., Leblans, N. I. W., Sigurdsson, B. D., Vicca, S., Fang, C., Fuchslueger, L., Soong, J. L., Weedon, J. T., Poeplau, C., Ariza-Carricondo, C., Wallander, H. and Janssens, I. A.: Soil carbon loss in warmed subarctic grasslands is rapid and restricted to topsoil, *Biogeosciences*, 19(14), 3381–3393, doi:10.5194/bg-19-3381-2022, 2022.
- 245 Walker, A. P., De Kauwe, M. G., Bastos, A., Belmecheri, S., Georgiou, K., Keeling, R. F., McMahon, S. M., Medlyn, B. E., Moore, D. J. P., Norby, R. J., Zaehle, S., Anderson-Teixeira, K. J., Battipaglia, G., Brienen, R. J. W., Cabugao, K. G., Cailleret, M., Campbell, E., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Craig, M. E., Ellsworth, D. S., Farquhar, G. D., Fatichi, S., Fisher, J. B., Frank, D. C., Graven, H., Gu, L., Haverd, V., Heilman, K., Heimann, M., Hungate, B. A., Iversen, C. M., Joos, F., Jiang, M., Keenan, T. F., Knauer, J., Körner, C., Leshyk, V. O., Leuzinger, S., Liu, Y., MacBean, N., Malhi, Y., McVicar, T. R.,
- 250 Penuelas, J., Pongratz, J., Powell, A. S., Riutta, T., Sabot, M. E. B., Schleucher, J., Sitch, S., Smith, W. K., Sulman, B., Taylor, B., Terrer, C., Torn, M. S., Treseder, K. K., Trugman, A. T., Trumbore, S. E., van Mantgem, P. J., Voelker, S. L., Whelan, M. E. and Zuidema, P. A.: Integrating the evidence for a terrestrial carbon sink caused by increasing atmospheric CO₂, *New Phytol.*, 229(5), 2413–2445, doi:10.1111/nph.16866, 2021.
- Wallander, H., Ekblad, A. and Bergh, J.: Growth and carbon sequestration by ectomycorrhizal fungi in intensively fertilized Norway spruce forests, *For. Ecol. Manage.*, 262(6), 999–1007, doi:10.1016/J.FORECO.2011.05.035, 2011.
- 255 Wang, Y., Chen, B. C., Wieder, W. R., Leite, M., Medlyn, B. E., Rasmussen, M., Smith, M. J., Augusto, F. B., Hoffman, F. M. and Luo, Y. Q.: Oscillatory behavior of two nonlinear microbial models of soil carbon decomposition carbon decomposition, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 1817–1831, doi:10.5194/bg-11-1817-2014, 2013.
- Zhang, W., Hu, Z., Audet, J., Davidson, T. A., Kang, E., Kang, X., Li, Y., Zhang, X. and Wang, J.: Effects of water table level and nitrogen deposition on methane and nitrous oxide emissions in an alpine peatland, *Biogeosciences*, 19(22), 5187–5197, doi:10.5194/BG-19-5187-2022, 2022.
- 260 Zhao, B. and Zhuang, Q.: Peatlands and their carbon dynamics in northern high latitudes from 1990 to 2300: a process-based biogeochemistry model analysis, *Biogeosciences*, 20(1), 251–270, doi:10.5194/BG-20-251-2023, 2023.



265 **Acknowledgements**

We want to thank Michael Bahn for initiating and supporting this special issue. LF acknowledges the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 847693 (REWIRE). EFS acknowledges funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (Ambizione; grant no. PZ00P2_180030). AC received financial support as an International Research Fellow of JSPS [Postdoctoral Fellowships for Research in Japan
270 (Standard)]. AB received support from the Swedish Research Council Formas (grant no. 2022-01478).

Competing interests: At least one of the (co-)authors is a member of the editorial board of Biogeosciences