

Supplement to: Evaluating manual versus automated benthic foraminiferal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ alignment techniques for developing chronostratigraphies in marine sediment records

Jennifer L. Middleton^{1*}, Julia Gottschalk², Jean Hanley¹, Carol Knudson¹, Jesse R. Farmer³, Lorraine E. Lisiecki⁴, Expedition 383 Scientists⁵, Frank Lamy⁶, and Gisela Winckler^{1,7}

¹Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Columbia University, Palisades, NY, USA

²Institute of Geosciences, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany

³School for the Environment, University of Massachusetts Boston, Boston, MA, USA

⁴Department of Earth Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

⁵International Ocean Discovery Program, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

⁶Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz-Centre for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

⁷Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Correspondence to: Jennifer L. Middleton (jennym@ldeo.columbia.edu)

Supplemental Figure S1.




Figure S1. Alignment of U1541 and PS75/059-2 (grey lines) based on X-ray fluorescence (XRF) Fe data and 22 tie points (triangles). a) XRF Fe counts in core PS75/059-2 on the PS75/059-2 depth scale (Lamy et al., 2014), b) XRF Fe counts of sediments at Site U1541 on the U1541 depth scale (Winckler et al., 2021) and c) XRF Fe counts of PS75/059-2 (black) and U1541 (orange) on the U1541 depth scale.

Supplemental Tables S1-S7 are available in the included spreadsheets.