Ocean alkalinity enhancement using sodium carbonate salts does not impact Fe dynamics in a mesocosm experiment
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Abstract. The addition of carbonate minerals to seawater through an artificial Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement (OAE) process increases the concentrations of hydroxide, bicarbonate, and carbonate ions. This leads to changes in the pH and the buffering capacity of the seawater. Consequently, OAE could have relevant effects on marine organisms and in the speciation and concentration of trace metals that are essential for their physiology. During September and October 2021, a mesocosm experiment was carried out in the coastal waters of Gran Canaria (Spain), consisting on the controlled variation of total alkalinity (TA). Different concentrations of carbonate salts (NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃) previously homogenized were added to each mesocosm to achieve an alkalinity gradient between ∆0 to ∆2400 μmol L⁻¹. The lowest point of the gradient was 2400 μmol kg⁻¹, being the natural alkalinity of the medium, and the highest point was 4800 μmol kg⁻¹. Iron (Fe) speciation was monitored during this experiment to analyse whether total dissolved iron (TdFe, unfiltered samples), dissolved iron (dFe, filtered through a 0.2 μm pore size filter), soluble iron (sFe, filtered through a 0.02 μm pore size filter), dissolved labile iron (dFe’), iron-binding ligands (LFe) and their conditional stability constants (K′Fe'L), because of change due to OAE and the experimental conditions in each mesocosm. Observed iron concentrations were within the expected range for coastal waters, with no significant increases due to OAE. However, there were variations in Fe size fractionation during the experiment. This could potentially be due to chemical changes caused by OAE, but such effect being masked by the stronger biological interactions. In terms of size fractionation, sFe was below 1.0 nmol L⁻¹, dFe concentrations were within 0.5-4.0 nmol L⁻¹, and TdFe within 1.5-7.5 nmol L⁻¹. Our results show that over 99% of Fe was complexed, mainly by L₁ and L₂ ligands with K′Fe'L ranging between 10.92±0.11 and 12.68±0.32, with LFe ranging from 1.51±0.18 to 12.3±1.8 nmol L⁻¹. Our data on iron size fractionation, concentration, and iron-binding ligands substantiate that the introduction of sodium salts in this mesocosm experiment did not modify iron dynamics. As a consequence, phytoplankton remained unaffected by alterations in this crucial element.
1. Introduction

Artificial Ocean Alkalinity Enhancement (OAE) is a negative emission technology (NET) that can lead to the atmospheric carbon dioxide removal (CDR) by increasing the ocean carbon uptake and reversing ocean acidification (OA), favoring a higher ocean pH buffering capacity (Kheshgi, 1995; Renforth and Henderson, 2017; Lenton et al., 2018). OAE can be likened to an acceleration of natural chemical weathering processes of minerals. Weathering reactions have played a role in modulating climate on geological time scales (Zeebe, 2012; Colbourn et al., 2015).

OAE has consequences for the CO₂-carbonate system in the ocean. The increase in ocean alkalinity raises both total dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and pH, subsequently increasing the carbonate ion concentration, as well as decreasing pCO₂. This increases the pCO₂ imbalance between the ocean and atmosphere leading to an enhancement of ocean carbon uptake. Moreover, the increased pH and carbonate ion concentration reverses ocean acidification due to the uptake of anthropogenic CO₂ from the atmosphere (Lenton et al., 2018). The artificial augmentation of alkalinity could also have consequences for marine ecosystems, both for the biota and for the chemical constituents of seawater, including elements that are essential for the ecosystem (Feng et al., 2016). The consumption of atmospheric CO₂ and pH increase during OAE may be accompanied by changes in the release of mineral dissolution products such as Si, Ca, Mg, Fe or Ni. The ecological and biogeochemical consequences of OAE will also depend on the minerals used during alkalinization (e.g., Mg₂SiO₄, CaO, Na₂CO₃) (Renforth and Henderson, 2017; Hartmann et al., 2013; Bach et al., 2019). Hence, it is necessary to perform experiments that may support or dissuade the use and viability of this technique under different experimental conditions. One controlled, i.e. semi-natural,
way to perform these types of experiments is by using mesocosms. Mesocosm studies allow rigorous testing of NETs at the ecosystem level since the only variable that is artificially modified is the variable of interest (OAE), allowing the enclosed water column to maintain its chemical and biological properties.

OAE could have an impact on trace metals that are essential for marine organisms and this effect has been scarcely investigated so far (Guo et al., 2022; Xin et al., 2023). Among metals, Fe is the most essential micronutrient controlling phytoplankton growth mainly through nitrate assimilation and photosynthesis (Behrenfeld and Milligan, 2013). Thus, the growth and development of marine phytoplankton is linked to the biogeochemical cycling of Fe (Jickells et al., 2005; Moore et al., 2001), by regulating both the structure and the productivity of marine ecosystems (Tagliabue et al., 2017; Boyd and Ellwood, 2010). The assimilation of iron by marine organisms is not straightforward. Fe bioavailability, i.e. iron available for uptake, and therefore growth, is controlled by many factors. It depends on the different forms (chemical speciation) in which iron is found in solution (Sutak et al., 2020). Indeed, not all iron species are bioavailable, only those in the dissolved (dFe) phase. Under oxygenated ocean conditions, the most abundant form of iron is the insoluble form of oxidized iron, Fe(III). pH is the main variable that controls Fe speciation in this condition. Fe(II) is thermodynamically unstable and oxidized on timescales of minutes to hours (Santana-Casiano et al., 2005; González-Santana et al., 2021). Higher pH alters iron speciation, decreases Fe solubility and Fe(II) half-life, and thus decreases dissolved iron (dFe) concentrations (Liu and Millero, 2002; Boye et al., 2006; González-Dávila et al., 2006). However, there are several factors that can significantly alter and control iron speciation, such as photooxidation in surface waters, the presence of organic ligands (L) and the decreasing oxygen concentration (Santana-Casiano et al., 2022; González et al., 2019; Benner, 2011; Barbeau, 2006; Moffett, 2021; Hopkinson and Barbeau, 2007). All of these factors can induce a reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II) depending on the conditions and characteristics of the organic compounds involved. Moreover, organic matter plays an essential role, because it can complex up to 99% of the iron (Fe(III)-L) forming part of the dFe (Wu and Luther III, 1994; Arnone et al., 2022). The concentration and strength of iron-binding organic ligands (L_{Fe}) modulate Fe speciation and its bioavailability. In addition, particle size (physical speciation) is key for Fe cycling, since the colloidal size fraction remains in solution while larger fractions tend to sink down, being excluded from the system. All these processes were demonstrated during a mesocosm experiment, in a Norwegian fjord, that studied the effects of ocean acidification. In this experiment, both low pH and strong organic ligands enhanced the solubility of particulate and colloidal Fe (Segovia et al., 2017; Lorenzo et al., 2020). This promoted significant higher Fe availability to phytoplankton, directly impacting on their physiology and controlling the phytoplankton community structure in coastal ecosystems (Segovia et al., 2017; Lorenzo et al., 2020; Mausz et al., 2020).

The introduction of carbonate minerals into seawater for OAE (Bach et al., 2019) is expected to increase the concentrations of hydroxide, bicarbonate and carbonate (OH⁻, HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻). This will change metal ion speciation in seawater (Millero et al., 2009). Metals that form complexes with OH⁻ and CO₃²⁻, such as Fe, may be less abundant in their free forms at higher pH. Moreover, Fe(II) oxidation rate constants are strongly increased under high HCO₃⁻ and CO₃²⁻ concentrations (Santana-Casiano et al., 2005, 2006) making Fe less bioavailable. AOE can also cause a release of Ca²⁺ or Mg²⁺ ions (Bach et al., 2019). These
ions compete with Fe for specific functional groups of the organic ligand, which would affect the redox speciation of this metal (Santana-Casiano et al., 2010).

In this sense, the impact of OAE on the iron cycle could be that the Fe speciation will change with enhanced alkalinity. In the presence of organic compounds produced by the organisms in the mesocosms, the formation of strong organic complexes (FeL) will dominate the speciation of Fe. The Ca$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$ ions produced in AOE if Mg$_2$SiO$_4$, CaO were used, could compete with Fe for the organic ligands, which would affect the Fe redox speciation and the bioavailability. To avoid this effect, alkaninization with NaHCO$_3$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$ is recommended.

The aim of this research was to study the evolution of inorganic and organic Fe speciation in seawater under OAE scenarios. We considered if the added material acted as a significant iron source, and its effects on both the iron size fractionation and Fe-binding ligand evolution.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Mesocosms experimental design and distribution

The mesocosm experiment was conducted in the port of Taliarte, Telde, Gran Canaria during a 33-day period from September to October 2021. Nine mesocosms (M1-M9) were deployed in the port of Taliarte containing a natural seawater column of 8.3 m$^3$ each at 5 m depth, with a sediment trap attached to the bottom of the bag (Riebesell et al., 2013; Taucher et al., 2017) (Fig. 1).
Mesocosms were set-up on September 10th, 2021 (day t0). Phase 0 (t1-t3) was established at the beginning of the experiment, prior to the addition of the sodium carbonate salts (NaHCO$_3$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$), to analyze the conditions of the mesocosms before their alkalization. On day 4 (t4) of the experiment, 40 L of natural seawater with different concentrations of carbonate salts (NaHCO$_3$ and Na$_2$CO$_3$) previously homogenized were added to each mesocosm to achieve an alkalinity gradient between $\Delta 0$ (lowest) $\Delta 2400$ (highest) μmol L$^{-1}$. Phase I (t5-t19) began followed by Phase II (t19-t33). Variables were sampled at 1-day intervals. Experimental design representing the alkalinity gradient (OAE) (μmol L$^{-1}$) in the nine mesocosms was: M5 ($\Delta 0$), M1 ($\Delta 300$), M7 ($\Delta 600$), M4 ($\Delta 900$), M9 ($\Delta 1200$), M3 ($\Delta 1500$), M6 ($\Delta 1800$), M2 ($\Delta 2100$), M8 ($\Delta 2400$) μmol L$^{-1}$.

### 2.2. Sampling strategy

Samples were collected in 1 L acid-cleaned LDPE bottles. Trace metal clean (TMC) samples were subsampled from this bottle within an ISO Class-6 laminar flow hood (Cutter et al., 2017). Unfiltered samples (i.e., TdFe) were first collected. Aliquots were filtered (0.2 μm Sartobran™ PES) for sFe, dFe and LFe. Soluble Fe samples were further filtered through acid-cleaned 0.02 μm filters (Whatman™ Anotop™ 25/0.02) using a peristaltic pump. The TdFe, dFe and sFe samples were acidified with HCl Ultrapure, 2 % v/v to pH ~1.7 (Panreac) after sampling and kept in the dark for at least 6-months until analysis. The LFe samples were frozen at -20°C until further analysis was performed.
2.3. Iron concentrations analyses

The TdFe, dFe and sFe samples were analyzed in duplicates (two analytical peaks; a second duplicate was performed if the standard deviation was >5%) using flow injection analysis with chemiluminescence detection (FIA) (Obata et al., 1993; Lohan et al., 2006) inside an ISO Class-6 laminar flow hood inside an ISO Class-5 TMC laboratory. Samples were spiked with 0.013 M ultrapure H2O2 (Sigma-Aldrich) 30 min prior to analysis to ensure the complete oxidation of Fe (II) to Fe (III) (Lohan et al., 2006). Each sample was buffered in-line to pH 3.5 with 0.15 M ammonium acetate (Supelco and Sigma-Aldrich, SpA) before Fe(III) was preconcentrated onto the cation exchange resin Toyopearl-AF-Chelate 650 M (Tosohaas) between 60 and 120 s at a flow rate of 1.5 ml min⁻¹. Following a rinse step of weak 0.013 M HCl (Honeywell Fluka™, SpA), Fe was eluted from the resin using 0.24 M HCl (Honeywell Fluka™, SpA) and entered the reaction stream where it was mixed with a 0.015 mM luminol solution containing 70 μl L⁻¹ triethylenetetramine (Sigma-Aldrich), buffered to pH 9.5±0.1 using a 1 M ammonia solution (Supelco, SpA). Iron concentrations were quantified using standard additions (TraceCERT) to low Fe seawater. The limit of detection (three times the standard deviation of the lowest addition) was 0.03±0.02 nmol L⁻¹ while the precision of three analytical peaks was <2%. Accuracy was established by repeat quantification of dFe in an inhouse standard, whose concentration was asserted from the repeat measurement of GSC reference samples yielding 1.59±0.03 nmol L⁻¹, which agrees with the reported consensus values (1.54±0.12 nmol L⁻¹).

2.4. Fe-binding ligands

Iron-binding ligand (LFe) concentrations and the conditional stability constants (K⁰FeL) were determined by competitive ligand exchange-adsorptive cathodic stripping voltammetry (CLE-ACSV) with ligand competition against TAC (2-(2-thiazolylazo)-p-cresol; Sigma-Aldrich). The titration procedure used, established by Croot and Johansson (2000), was carried out with a voltammetric system equipped with a hanging mercury drop electrode (VA663 stand Metrohm), an Ag/AgCl reference electrode with a KCl salt bridge (3 M) and a glassy carbon counter electrode.

Three different reagents were required for titration analysis. A 0.01 M stock solution of TAC as complexing agent was prepared in methanol (Sigma-Aldrich). A buffer of 1 M boric acid in 0.3 M ammonia (pH 8.2), the impurities of which were removed from the solution by the addition of 100 μM of MnO₂, followed by an overnight stirring and filtration through an acid-clean 0.45 µm filter (Campos and van den Berg, 1994). Finally, dFe stock solutions were prepared weekly in suprapure HCl. All reagents were stored in the dark and at 8°C when they were not in use.

In the titration method, 10 mL seawater aliquots were pipetted into 12 PTFE vials to which 100 μL of boric acid (final concentration 10⁻² M) and different concentrations of dFe (from 0 to 20 nM) were added immediately. After 1 h of equilibration, 10 μL of TAC (final concentration 10 μM) was added and allowed to equilibrate overnight. Then, for voltammetric analysis, samples were purged with nitrogen gas for 120 s, followed by the application of a deposition potential of -0.41 V for 120 s. After 10 s of equilibration, a differential pulse scan from -0.43 to -0.65 V was applied, with a step potential of 5 mV, modulation
amplitude of 20 mV, interval time of 0.091 s and modulation time of 0.051 s. Finally, the data processing was performed using PromCC software (Omanović et al., 2015)

3. Results and discussion

The experiment was divided into three distinct phases according to characterized variations in the seawater chemistry and the plankton community development, as indicated above. A phase-0 was established at the beginning of the experiment (t1-t3) to analyze the conditions in the mesocosms prior to treatment additions (OAE). Phase-I (t5-t19) began after alkalization by NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃ and then phase-II followed (t19-t33).

The experimental setup effectively recreated a scenario of ocean liming by establishing a gradient of total alkalinity (TA) increasing in 300 μmol L⁻¹ intervals. Dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) and TA were steady until day t21 (Fig. S1-supplemental material). On that day, in the highest treatment (Δ2400 μmol L⁻¹), abiotic precipitation occurred but, this event did not have any impact on the phytoplankton community response. A succinct summary of the phytoplankton abundance results is provided next and in Fig. S2-supplemental material. During Phase-I, there was a significant increase in picoeukaryotes abundances at ∆600, ∆900, ∆1800, and ∆2100 μmol L⁻¹. Additionally, microplankton increased at ∆900 μmol L⁻¹. In contrast, small nanoeukaryotes (2-20 µm) exhibited a decreasing trend from the experiment's onset, with maximal abundance observed before OAE treatment additions (t1-t3). Moving to Phase-II, large nanoeukaryotes (> 20 µm) dominated the community, particularly in ∆1500 and ∆1800 μmol L⁻¹. Microplankton also increased by the phase's end. *Synechococcus spp.* showed a general increase in cell density over time, peaking at t27 in ∆900 μmol L⁻¹, but subsequently decreasing in many other treatments. All this data can be consulted for further details and in the articles by Marin-Samper et al. and Ramirez et al., this issue.

3.1. Iron size fractionation

Iron size fractionation (sFe, cFe, dFe and TdFe) was determined during the OAE experiment (Fig. 2). The first metal concentration samples were collected on t1 for dFe and sFe, i.e. phase-0 of the experiment. The initial concentration for dFe was 1.74±0.44 nmol L⁻¹, ranging from 1.20±0.02 nmol L⁻¹ at ∆1800 to 2.39±0.03 nmol L⁻¹ at ∆1500. For sFe, the initial concentrations were 0.39±0.18 nmol L⁻¹, ranging from 0.22±0.01 nmol L⁻¹ at ∆600 to 0.74±0.03 nmol L⁻¹ at ∆1800.
In the Macaronesia region, dFe concentrations average 0.80±0.25 nmol L⁻¹ (varying from 0.46 to 1.32 nmol L⁻¹) (Arnone et al., 2022). However, these concentrations are obtained from samples collected on a research vessel using trace metal clean conditions into a trace metal clean bottle following strict trace metal clean conditions (Cutter et al., 2017). As such, dFe concentrations just show a slight increase in dFe when no trace metal clean procedures were followed and mesocosms were kept in the open air, but still trying to limit contamination. The observed changes in dFe and sFe concentrations were most likely due to the natural variability between mesocosms. Considering that variability occurs on interannual, seasonal and diurnal time scales, this also forms part of the system’s behavior (Schulz and Riebesell, 2013). Indeed, considerable natural variability exists in seawater carbonate chemistry speciation, caused by changes in temperature and biological activities such as photosynthesis, respiration, nutrient utilization, remineralization and calcium carbonate precipitation and dissolution.
(Segovia et al., 2017). These processes were highly active during our experiment, especially during phase-II (Paul et al; Marin-Samper et al; this issue) thus probably directly affecting metal speciation.

The mesocosm with Δ2100 was not included in the calculated means due to an outlier in both dFe = 5.30±0.10 nmol L⁻¹ and sFe = 2.86±0.05 nmol L⁻¹. The first TdFe samples were taken on day t3 and averaged 6.26±2.4 nmol L⁻¹. The high standard deviation in the results was caused by Δ300 and Δ2100. When these two mesocosms were excluded, the average concentration decreased to 5.21±0.81 nmol L⁻¹.

On day t4, sodium carbonate salts, NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃, were added to the mesocosms and phase-II started. Mesocosms in which ΔTA was greater than 300 μmol L⁻¹ showed decreases in dFe. The resulting dFe concentrations were 0.63±0.06 nmol L⁻¹ for all the mesocosms except for ΔTA 0 and 300 μmol L⁻¹ (2.56±0.02 and 1.39±0.08 nmol L⁻¹, respectively). These concentrations were the lowest observed throughout all the experiment and showed the least variation between mesocosms at any timepoint. The decrease in dFe was most likely caused by a decrease in colloidal sized Fe (cFe). Aggregation processes did not affect the sFe, which remained relatively unchanged. Mesocosms 5 and 1 (ΔTA 0 and 300 μmol L⁻¹ respectively) showed higher concentrations but were within the analysis range observed during the mesocosm experiments.

Two days after the alkalinization event, dFe concentrations returned to the original concentration range (2.00±0.39 nmol L⁻¹).

This change in concentration back to normal did not correlate with the addition of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃ required for the OAE. The increase in dFe was not accompanied by an increase in sFe along phase-I. It was the cFe fraction that varied. This suggests that aggregation processes of colloidal particles occurred with other particles with limited adsorption/absorption of sFe. TdFe also increased after the addition of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃. This increase could be due to multiple reasons including 1) the opening of the mesocosms, which could have allowed for aerial input of iron, 2) the addition of Fe during the addition of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃ including possible trace amounts in the used reagents, 3) the lack of trace metal clean conditions, 4) the presence of mesozooplankton faecal pellets, and 5) the aggregation of cFe and dFe could have led to the formation of larger size particles (>0.2 mm) that could have been dissolved during the 6 months of sample acidification, compared to highly refractory particles that may not solubilize in 2‰ v/v HCl.

This mesocosm experiment was carried out in coastal waters where Fe is not a limiting micronutrient (López-García et al., 2021; Arnone et al., 2022). However, Fe redox processes should be considered in future OAE where iron can become a limiting element. For example, Fe(II) is considered the most bioavailable Fe species as it is less energetically costly to uptake by organisms. However, in the current oxic ocean, Fe(II) is rapidly oxidized to Fe(III) (Santana-Casiano et al., 2005). The addition of NaHCO₃ and Na₂CO₃ reduced the cFe concentration. Recent studies of Fe(II) oxidation kinetics have shown that colloidal size particles play a significant role in Fe(II) oxidation kinetics (González-Santana et al., 2021). Indeed, a decrease in colloids drastically accelerates the Fe(II) oxidation rate which subsequently, could lead to a decrease in available Fe(II), reducing Fe bioavailability and Fe acquisition costs in terms of energy (microbial/ enzymatic catalysis) (Fujii et al., 2010; Anderson and Morel, 1982).

Correlations between the different iron size fractions and their ratios, mesocosm physico-chemical parameters (i.e., pH, alkalinity, dissolved inorganic carbon, nitrates, nitrites, silicates) and biological variables (i.e., fluorescence, community
respiration, net primary production, pico and nanoeukaryotes concentration) did not present any statistical significance (Fig. S3). Initial statistical tests showed a significant inverse correlation (p<0.05 and r>-0.5) between TdFe and DIC and TA, however, when further tests were performed for phase-II, correlation decreased (p>0.28 and r=-0.15). These results show that iron concentrations were not limiting during the experiments and so any of the phytoplankton functional groups were below their Fe demand for growth (Segovia et al. 2017). This is a direct result of high iron concentration as a consequence of the study site being located in coastal waters with high Fe inputs such as sediment resuspension in coastal waters and aerial inputs due to the Canary Islands location which would increase initial Fe concentrations used in the mesocosms compared to open ocean locations. Yet, such Fe concentrations were not toxic either, since phytoplankton growth was not hampered in phase-II. In fact, the contrary occurred, and Chlorophyll-a (Chla) levels increased in parallel with a significant recovery in the Fv/Fm ratio (Ramirez et al. this issue). These improvements in Chla and photophysiology data are primarily attributed to the emergence of blooms of large nanoeukaryotes and picoeukaryotes in ∆1500, ∆1800, ∆600 and ∆900 at t27.

In light of this, we suggest that iron size fractions and phytoplankton are independent. However, we have more than a reasonable doubt about the colloidal fraction being directly linked with mesozooplankton fecal pellets. For instance, >200% of a mixture of lithogenic and biogenic Fe seems to be egested via Antarctic mesozooplankton fecal pellets, while only 5% of the body iron content is assimilated (Schmidt et al., 2016; Cabanes et al., 2017). In any case, more work is needed to clarify this particular point.

3.2. Iron ligand distribution

Considering that the OAE were not carried out under Trace Metal Clean conditions, according with the GEOTRACES protocol (Cutter et al., 2017), except for both sample collection and measurement in the ULPGC facilities, the OAE experiments have demonstrated non-linearity in the behavior of Fe-binding ligands (LFe) and the conditional stability constant (log K_{condFe'L}) across the entire alkalinity gradient (Fig. 3). Fe-binding ligands in the ocean come from different sources such as rupture of cells after grazing (Sato et al., 2007), viral lysis (Poorvin et al., 2011), transformation of organic matter (Gerringa et al., 2006), phytoplankton exudates (Rico et al., 2013; Santana-Casiano et al., 2014) and sediments (Gerringa et al., 2008). The LFe are classified according to the value of the conditional stability constants (log K_{condFe'L}). These ligands can be considered to be strong (L1) or weak (L2), where log K_{condFe'L} = 12 divides L1 and L2-type of Fe-binding ligands (Gledhill and Buck, 2012).
Figure 3. Temporal evolution of a) iron ligands (L_{Fe}), and b) Conditional constant (K_{cond}), from day 0 to day 33 of the mesocosm experiment for the nine increased alkalinity mesocosms ranging from 0 (light blue) to 2400 μmol L$^{-1}$ (dark blue). Symbols and color-codes as in figure 1C.

Specifically, before OAE (phase-0), L_{Fe} concentrations ranged from 6.81 nmol L$^{-1}$ (Δ300) to 1.51 nmol L$^{-1}$ (Δ1800).

Throughout the course of the experiments, the mean L_{Fe} concentration across all mesocosms was 4.14±2.01 nmol L$^{-1}$, with a log K_{cond} value of 11.63±0.36, being L$_2$-class in most of the cases (90.5% of the 84 data). Notably, there was a discernible alteration in the behavior of L_{Fe} in the Δ1500 mesocosm, coincident with the emergence of blooms of the Haptophyte Chrysochromulina tobinni at t27 (Marin-Samper et al., this issue). L_{Fe} consistently exhibited higher concentrations than dFe, with the exception of mesocosm Δ2100 at t1, Δ900 at t5, Δ600 at t5 and t27, Δ2400 at t5, and Δ1200 at t3 and t5. In these instances, the titration curves were linear, suggesting oversaturation of the natural ligands with the Fe content. Consequently, the percentage of Fe-organically complexed (%FeL) was determined to be 99.8±0.2. The concentration of labile Fe (Fe') was in the range from 0.04 to 15.98 pmol L$^{-1}$, and Fe$^{3+}$ species, primarily Fe(OH)$_3$, was calculated to be within the concentration range of 1.6x10$^{-21}$ to 3.9x10$^{-26}$ mol L$^{-1}$.

It is important to remark that the experiment was not conducted under trace metal conditions. Consequently, discussing the potential Fe limitation in relation to the measured concentrations becomes a complex task. Additionally, the possibility of Fe contamination during the sampling process for other variables, which may influence Fe concentration and Fe-binding ligands, cannot be definitively ruled out.

The initial concentrations of dFe and L_{Fe} at the start of the experiments were found to be higher than those observed in coastal waters previously documented by Arnone et al. (2022) in the Canary Islands. Specifically, the mean dFe concentration in the region was determined to be 0.80±0.16 nmol L$^{-1}$, with corresponding mean L_{Fe} levels of 1.28±0.21 nmol L$^{-1}$. The initial L_{Fe} concentrations within the OAE mesocosms ranged from 1.51 to 6.81 nmol L$^{-1}$, and their evolution over time fell within the range of 1.51 to 12.30 nmol L$^{-1}$. These values were also in line with data from surface waters of the Atlantic Ocean, ranging
from 0.1 to 0.8 nmol L⁻¹ (Boye et al., 2006; Rijkenberg et al., 2008). The pumping of more coastal water to fill the mesocosms could explain the observed increase in concentrations.

Measurement of $L_{Fe}$ within the mesocosms indicated a consistent nature of Fe-binding ligands, as reflected by the comparable values of the $\log K_{\text{cond}Fe'L}$ throughout the entire study period, within the range of 10.91 – 12.68, corresponding to the $L_2$ and $L_1$-class, being weaker ligands ($L_2$) more abundant than stronger ligands ($L_1$), representing 90.5% of the samples. In the surrounding waters of the Canary Islands, $\log K_{\text{cond}Fe'L}$ values were reported to range between 10.77 and 11.90 (with a mean value of 11.45±0.29) (Arnone et al., 2022), aligning with surface ocean waters in the region ($\log K_{\text{cond}Fe'L}$ 8.8 – 12.85) (Boye et al., 2006; Bundy et al., 2015; Rijkenberg et al., 2008; Thuróczy et al., 2010; Boye et al., 2003).

The ratio of $L_{Fe}$ to $dFe$ serves as an indicator of the saturation state of ligands and whether conditions favor precipitation (Thuróczy et al., 2011). The observation of an excess of $L_{Fe}$ with values exceeding 1 nmol L⁻¹ may suggest biological production of ligands. Thuróczy et al. (2011) previously reported a ratio of approximately 4.4 for surface waters characterized by high phytoplankton activity. In the case of our OAE experiment, the production of Fe-binding ligands may be attributed to the increase in large nanoplankton and microplankton biomass as described by Ramírez et al. (this issue) during phase-II, which was specially observable in the $\Delta1500$ treatment. Additionally, the presence of cyanobacteria, during the OAE can lead to the production of siderophores, as indicated by $\log K_{\text{cond}Fe'L}$ values falling between 11 and 14 (Bundy et al., 2018; Witter et al., 2000). Siderophores are organic molecules of microbial origin that bind metals with different affinities. They are thought to play an important role in iron cycling in the ocean, but relatively few marine siderophores have been identified. Recently, new siderophores produced by *Synechococcus sp.* PCC 7002 have been discovered and characterized (Boiteau and Repeta, 2015) which is of relevance considering that *Synechococcus spp.* peaked during phase-II.

Furthermore, other types of ligands, such as polyphenolic compounds, may also be present, consistent with their $\log K_{\text{cond}Fe'L}$ values (Arreguin et al., 2021; González et al., 2019). Weak Fe-binding ligands ($\log K_{\text{cond}Fe'L} <12$) could potentially be produced during the grazing of phytoplankton and the bacterial remineralization of sinking organic particles (Poorvin et al., 2011; Sato et al., 2007).

The observed variability in labile Fe concentrations ($Fe'$) within the range of 0.04 to 15.98 pmol L⁻¹ aligns with the findings of Arnone et al. (2022). Notably, the limited concentration of $Fe^{3+}$ precludes the favored precipitation of iron hydroxides. It has been established that the presence of organic binding ligands enhances the solubility of Fe, as demonstrated by previous research (Liu and Millero, 2002; Segovia et al., 2017).

Similar to iron size fraction concentrations, $L_{Fe}$ and $K_{\text{cond}Fe'L}$ did not present any statistical correlation with any other measured physico-chemical or biological parameter. This suggests that the alkalinity addition in the mesocosm experiments did not affect the Fe concentration, fractionation, and speciation.

This OAE experiment was based on the addition of sodium carbonate salts. However, one might wonder which are the pros and cons of this method in comparison to other weathering-based Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR) techniques. For instance, similar alkalinity concentrations could have been obtained by adding calcium or magnesium carbonate salts. However, a previous study showed that the addition of calcium or magnesium salts would have led to changes in the iron speciation
(Santana-Casiano et al., 2010). Also open ocean dissolution of the iron-containing mineral olivine was simulated by using a marine carbon cycle model (Hauck et al. (2016). They found that after equilibration with the atmosphere, the addition of olivine did not enough compensate for the effect of ocean acidification and, in the real world experiments, olivine-promoted silicic acid and iron fertilization might have undesired effects since increased dFe does not always have beneficial consequences for the community (Coale, 1991; Behrenfeld and Milligan, 2013).

4. Conclusion

The OAE experiments, while not conducted under stringent trace metal conditions according to the GEOTRACES protocol, have provided valuable insights into the non-linear behavior of Fe-binding ligands (LFe) and the conditional stability constant (log Kcond Fe'L) across the entire alkalinity gradient. LFe concentrations increased 2-fold just after OAE with a log Kcond Fe'L throughout within the range of 10.91 – 12.68. This suggests the possible biological production of ligands, particularly during the emergence of nanoeukaryotes blooms and peaks of Synechococcus in the Δ1500 treatment, as well as zooplankton grazing (Smith et al, this issue). Similarly, dFe concentrations were higher than those one might expect in the Macaronesia region. This could have been a result of the manipulation required to fill in mesocosms in the open environment, where the iron sources such as sediment resuspension, aerial input and water runoffs are combined with our mesocosm manipulation. During the OAE we did not observe any iron size fractionation changes and concentration variations due to different OAE conditions. Nevertheless, the addition of sodium carbonate salts (NaHCO3 and Na2CO3) coincided with a decreases in the dFe concentration just after the addition. However, concentrations returned to background level concentrations in 48 h. Soluble iron remained below 0.8 nmol L-1 through the whole experiment and did not change after the sodium carbonate salts addition. The results suggest that the added sodium carbonate salts may affect cFe, but quickly return to the original concentrations in coastal waters. Nevertheless, the observable decrease is not proportional to the increase in alkalinity. Where other factors such as aggregation due to increases in particles or added mineral salts produce a short term cFe decrease.

The iron size fractionation, concentration and iron-binding ligands data supports the fact that the addition of sodium salts in this mesocosm experiment did not lead to significant changes in the iron cycle, therefore phytoplankton were not affected by changes in this essential element. This may have wider environmental implications in large-scale experiments and implementation of CDRs in larger ocean. However, it's important to consider that while employing this Oceanic Iron Fertilization (OAE) technique in coastal waters might not significantly impact the iron cycle, outcomes could differ under varying conditions. For instance, if the experiment were conducted in oligotrophic open ocean waters, the presence of a bloom exceeding those observed in these experiments could lead to different results. Conversely, an excess of iron might inhibit phytoplankton growth due to potential toxic effects. Therefore, further research into the effects of Carbon Dioxide Removal (CDR) techniques on trace metals is essential to mitigate unintended consequences on the ecosystem.
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