Monthly velocity and seasonal variations of the Mont Blanc glaciers derived from Sentinel-2 between 2016-2024

Fabrizio Troilo[1,~~4~~2], Niccolò Dematteis[3], Francesco ~~Zucca~~Zucca[2], Martin ~~Funk~~[2]Funk[4], Daniele Giordan[3].

[1]Fondazione Montagna sicura, Glaciers, snow and avalanche research area, Courmayeur, 11013, Italy.
[2]~~ETH-VAW, Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie, Zurich, CH-8092, Switzerland.~~
[2] University of Pavia, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Pavia, 27100, Italy.
[3]Research Institute for Geo-Hydrological Protection IRPI, Italian National Research Council, Turin, 10135, Italy.
[4]~~University of Pavia, Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Pavia, 27100, Italy.~~
[4] ETH-VAW, Versuchsanstalt für Wasserbau, Hydrologie und Glaziologie, Zurich, CH-8092, Switzerland.

Correspondence to: Niccolò Dematteis (niccolo.dematteis@irpi.cnr.it); Fabrizio Troilo (ftroilo@fondms.org).

Abstract. We investigated the temporal variability of the surface velocity of thirty glaciers in the Mont Blanc massif (European Alps). We calculated the monthly velocity between 2016 and 2024 using digital image correlation of Sentinel-2 optical imagery. The main objectives of the study are: (i) to characterise the variability of the velocity fields of such glaciers, referring both to their temporal (seasonal and interannual) and spatial variations; (ii) to investigate relationships between the morphology of glaciers and their kinematics. We measured monthly velocities varying from 12.7 m yr^{-1} to 487.4 m yr^{-1}. We observed an overall decrease in the velocity between 2016 and 2019 and an unexpected rise in 2020-2022, especially visible in most glaciers on the southern side of the massif. Considering the whole period, half of the glaciers showed positive acceleration, which reached values >4 m yr^{-2} in three glaciers. In general, the ~~velocity linear~~ trend ~~is higher~~absolute value in the cold season is higher in case of positive acceleration and lower in case of negative acceleration. We found that smaller glaciers have a more pronounced seasonality, with winter-summer velocity differences of 50-100%. Finally, in 2016, 2018 and 2022, we observed an exceptionally high winter-summer velocity difference in the 0.3 km^2-wide Charpoua Glacier, when summer velocities increased by one order of magnitude.

1 Introduction

Glacier flow was one of the early drivers of glaciological interest and research since it was first studied. Its understanding and modelling evolved via the observations and findings of Somigliana (1938) in the early 1900s, Glen's laboratory experiments (Glen, 1952), followed by the interpretations of Nye (Nye, 1952) during the 1950s, to cite just a few, and have explained that the two main mechanisms of glacier flow rely on ice deformation and basal sliding. However, the motion of Alpine glaciers is largely related to basal sliding (Willis, 1995). Because continuous monitoring of sliding velocities in the field is extremely difficult and rarely achieved (Vincent and Moreau, 2016), measuring surface flow velocities can be used as a strong alternative approach. Nonetheless, the continuous monitoring of surface velocities of Alpine glaciers is complex on specific study sites, and very rarely has it been performed on a spatially distributed scale.

The flow of glaciers generally depends on a variety of physical parameters. The main physical parameter influencing ice velocity is the ice thickness (Jiskoot, 2011), which is indirectly related to the glacier trend in mass balance as it determines an evolution towards an increase or decrease in glacier thickness. Other parameters that influence ice flow are glacier surface slope, ice properties (temperature, density), bedrock conditions (hard, soft, frozen or thawed ice-bed contact), topography, the

37 glacier's terminal area type (land, sea, ice shelf), but also air temperature and precipitation and their seasonality that influences

38 subglacial hydrology (Jiskoot, 2011; Humbert et al., 2005; Cuffey and Paterson, 2010; Benn and Evans, 2014; Bindschadler,

39 1983).

40 The analysis of glacier surface velocity has a wide array of applications: it is a powerful climate change indicator (Beniston

41 et al., 2018) and also an important input data for ice thickness models (Millan et al., 2022; Samsonov et al., 2021) and mass

42 balance models that can also approximate sea-level rise contribution by glaciers (Zekollari et al., 2019). In the field of glacial

43 hazards, it is used as an indicator for the detection of glacier surges with space-borne measurements (Kamb, 1987; Kääb et al.,

44 2021), and accelerations that can result in glacier-related hazards using ground-based sensors (Pralong and Funk, 2006;

45 Giordan et al., 2020). Measurements of the surface velocity of glaciers can be achieved by terrestrial techniques (Dematteis et

46 al., 2021) such as topographic measurements of stakes or fixed points on the glacier (Stocker-Waldhuber et al., 2019), GNSS

47 repeated or continuous surveys (Einarsson et al., 2016), digital image correlation of oblique photographs (Evans, 2000; Ahn

48 and Box, 2010) and terrestrial radar interferometry (Luzi et al., 2007; Allstadt et al., 2015). Considering remote sensing

49 solutions, glacier surface velocities can be measured by different aerial and space-borne sensors. In recent decades, public

50 access to satellite optical and radar data (especially from Sentinel and Landsat constellation satellites), as well as the

51 commercial availability of very high resolution (30 cm to 3 m ground resolution) optical imagery (Deilami and Hashim, 2011)

52 and radar data (Rankl et al., 2014), have given great input to glaciological research. In particular, Sentinel-2 optical imagery

53 is widely used in glaciological studies and has been tested in the literature on various environments (Paul et al., 2016; Millan

54 et al., 2019). Nowadays, the automated processing of ice velocity maps with global coverage from satellite imagery is freely

55 available online from web-based platforms such as the GoLIVE datasets (Fahnestock et al., 2016), the ITS_LIVE data portal

56 (https://its-live.jpl.nasa.gov/) or the FAU-Glacier portal (RETREAT, 2021 Ice surface velocities derived from Sentinel-1,

57 Version 1; http://retreat.geographie.uni-erlangen.de/search). The availability of such datasets is very relevant globally, but

58 their application to Alpine glaciers is limited due to their relatively coarse spatial resolution - e.g., 300x300 m (GoLIVE),

59 120x120 m (ITS_LIVE) - which can provide data on just a few of the largest Alpine glaciers. Moreover, for the ITS_LIVE

60 dataset, the velocity maps are calculated at a resolution of 240 m and statistically downscaled to 120 m, which has major

61 limitations for small mountain glaciers. The adopted resolution is a trade-off between computational effort and the best

62 resolution of the output that must cope with the global availability of the analysis. Limiting the processing of images at a

63 regional scale decreases the computational effort compared to global products and makes it easier to obtain higher resolution

64 velocity maps that allow Alpine glaciers to be investigated (Berthier et al., 2005), even though very small glaciers (i.e., width

65 <250 m) are still difficult to analyse (Millan et al., 2019).

66 Recent studies using different techniques have measured spatio-temporal variations of ice velocity on large valley glaciers

67 in an Alpine environment, like the Argentière (Vincent and Moreau, 2016) and Miage glaciers (Fyffe, 2012) as well as on

68 steep glacier snouts (e.g., Planpincieux Glacier ~~by~~ (Giordan et al., 2020)) but a spatially distributed analysis at a regional scale

69 of the variations of velocities over glaciers with different morphological characteristics is, as of today, still lacking in the

70 Alpine environment. ~~(~~Millan et al~~,~~. (2022) calculated the velocities of world glaciers, but they considered a specific analysis

71 period (2017-2018) not considering velocity variations in time, while ~~(Rabatel et al., 2023a)~~Rabatel et al. (2023a) performed

72 a comparison between yearly aggregated velocity maps between 2015 and 2021 over three different alpine massifs.

73 Other studies focused on long-term glacier velocity records in the Mont Blanc Massif: at the Argentière Glacier (Vincent

74 and Moreau, 2016) and Miage Glacier (Smiraglia et al., 2000; Fyffe, 2012). At Argentière Glacier, a unique series of

75 continuous basal sliding measurements existed from 1997 and was still active as of 2022 (Vincent et al., 2022; Nanni et al.,

76 2020). The whole series indicates a general decrease in basal sliding velocities (Vincent and Moreau, 2016) since the end of

77 the 1990s. This general decrease has shown a strong correlation with the negative mass balance of the glacier, which agrees

78 with the conceptual model from Span and Kuhn (~~Span and Kuhn,~~ 2003), in which the glacier flow variation is primarily driven

79 by the mass balance of the accumulation area in the previous year (as it determines glacier thickness variations). Seasonal field
80 surveys conducted at Argentière Glacier from the 1950s document a longer data series than the basal sliding measurements
81 ~~started~~start in 1997, and an increase in surface velocities was measured during a period of positive mass balances in the early
82 1980s (Vincent and Moreau, 2016). The same trend was highlighted by Span and Kuhn (~~Span and Kuhn,~~ 2003) for at least six
83 other glaciers: Saint Sorlin in France, Gietro and Corbassiere in Switzerland; Pasterze, Vernagtferner and Odenwinkelkees in
84 Austria. At Miage Glacier, surface velocities have been measured historically by different authors (Diolaiuti et al., 2005;
85 Smiraglia et al., 2000; Fyffe, 2012; Lesca, 1974; Pelfini et al., 2007; Deline, 2002) and also show a general velocity decrease
86 in recent decades (Smiraglia et al., 2000; Fyffe, 2012). Glaciers such as Miage and Argentière, reach a low altitude and have
87 flat and little crevassed valley tongues, for this reason, they have often been historically chosen for glaciological field surveys
88 (Span and Kuhn, 2003). Therefore, the knowledge of Alpine glacier kinematics is generally mostly related to this type of
89 glacier, which can be significantly different compared to the other glaciers analysed in this study.
90   Globally, glacier slowdown linked to a negative mass balance trend was also shown for six different regions around the
91 globe and dates spanning from 1953 to 2009 by Heid and Kääb (2012b) by an analysis of remotely-sensed optical images.
92 Specific analysis of velocity trends and glacier mass loss showed generalized decreasing velocity trends over different regions
93 of High Mountain Asia between 2000 and 2017 and a strong correlation with the negative mass balance trend (Dehecq et al.,
94 2019).
95   The main purposes of this study are the production of eight-year-long velocity time series of the surface velocity of thirty
96 glaciers at a massif scale, derived from Sentinel-2 optical images, as well as an integrated analysis of morphological and
97 kinematic features of such glaciers. The identification of possible trends in the velocity time series is a major objective of the
98 present study. ~~We analysed eight years (2016-2024) of Sentinel-2 optical imagery to retrieve monthly velocity data on thirty~~
99 ~~glaciers in the Mont Blanc massif.~~ We observed different behaviours of surface velocity and identified a relationship between
100 ~~kinematic~~ seasonality and glacier size.

101 **2 Area of study**

102   The study area is the Mont Blanc massif. It is located in the western part of the European Alps bordering France, Italy and
103 Switzerland (Fig. 1) and culminating at 4809 m a.s.l. with the Mont Blanc summit, the highest peak in Central Europe. Many
104 other peaks in the Mont Blanc massif reach well above 4000 m a.s.l. and the entire area is very highly frequented with famous
105 tourist resorts such as Courmayeur and Chamonix attracting thousands of tourists every year.

Fig. 1 Study area of the Mont Blanc massif. Background: true colour image (cloud-free Europe mosaic in the upper left panel), courtesy of the Copernicus Open Access Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu, last access: 10 September 2023).

The total surface of glaciers in the Mont Blanc massif is equal to 169 km^2 and totals 116 glaciers, according to the Randolph glacier inventory (RGI 7.0) (RGI Consortium, 2023). The inventory refers to 2003 (Pfeffer et al., 2014; Arendt et al., 2017). Forty glaciers are very small, covering an area of less than 0.1 km^2, forty-seven have surfaces comprised between 0.1 and 1 km^2, sixteen glaciers fall in the range between 1 km^2 and 3 km^2, and thirteen glaciers have surface areas of more than 4 km^2.

The geological setting and the geomorphology of the Mont Blanc massif form a high mountain range with its main ridge line oriented in a southwest/northeast direction along the French-Italian border. The valley floors flanking the massif have low altitudes - in the range of 1000-1500 m a.s.l. - resulting in steep slopes originating from the highest peaks with large vertical altitudinal differences. The meteo-climatic local conditions on the massif are of a continental type, but orographic effects on the predominant incoming weather fronts produce larger precipitation compared to nearby regions (Gottardi et al., 2012).

The Argentière Glacier is the only glacier with regular mass balance measurements included in the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS) 'Reference Glaciers' dataset in the Mont Blanc Massif (Zemp et al., 2009). The Argentière Glacier has shown a general negative mass balance trend since the early 1990s, (Vincent, 2009), in line with mass balances of other Alpine glaciers and glaciers from other mountain ranges across the globe. Geodetic mass balance measurements of the Thoula Glacier, a small glacier on the border between France and Italy at altitudes between 2900 and 3300 m, a.s.l., represent

124 well the local meteo-climatic conditions that result in slightly less negative mass balance trends compared to other glaciers in
125 the Alps (Zemp et al., 2021; Zemp et al., 2020; Mondardini et al., 2021).
126   A more spatially distributed analysis of mass balances in the Mont Blanc region has also been outlined in the literature
127 employing geodetic mass balances of the whole Mont Blanc massif using stereo satellite imagery from the Pléiades and Spot
128 satellite constellations (Berthier et al., 2014; Beraud et al., 2023). The trend outlined by Berthier et al. (~~2014~~2023) at the massif
129 scale, reflects data trends comparable to the glaciological mass balances of WGMG reference glaciers in the Alps. Glaciers at
130 lower altitudes show larger ice volume losses and subsequent substantial glacier front retreats (Paul et al., 2020), while glaciers
131 at higher altitudes suffer less acute volume loss and shrinkage. Large differences in the glacier frontal position, especially for
132 the lower altitude terminating glaciers, can be well assessed by the difference of the terminus position in recent satellite imagery
133 compared to the position outlined on the RGI 7.0.

134 **3 Materials and Methods**

135 **3.1 General workflow**

136 In this paper, we analysed the Copernicus - ESA Sentinel-2 optical satellite imagery dataset available for the study area. In
137 addition, we used ~~Airbus~~ Pleiades Stereo derived digital elevation models (DEMs) to retrieve morphometric data of glaciers,
138 and publicly available modelled ice thickness data from Millan et al. (Millan et al., 2022). We used digital image correlation
139 (also known as feature tracking) to produce monthly-averaged and multi-year averaged velocity maps to investigate variations
140 of glacier surface velocity in time and space over the selected glaciers. We can hereby summarize the workflow that was used
141 (Fig. 2).
142   The input data are a DEM of the area of study, the RGI glacier outlines, the modelled glacier thickness and the stack of
143 Sentinel-2 images in the reference period. The input DEM is used to obtain morphometric data of the glacier while the RGI
144 glacier outlines are used, together with the selected satellite imagery, to choose suitable glaciers for surface glacier velocity
145 analysis. After the glacier selection, we updated the RGI glacier outlines according to the current glacier extensions. Selected
146 imagery is processed with digital image correlation to obtain glacier velocities. The glacier flowlines of the RGI are then used,
147 together with the updated glacier outlines and the mapped equilibrium line altitudes (ELAs), to identify sampling areas to
148 extract velocity time series. ELAs are manually digitized each year by the analysis of Sentinel-2 imagery. Subsequently, the
149 time series are analysed to identify general trends, seasonal patterns or particular kinematic behaviours. Finally, the velocity
150 dataset is analysed in relation to the morphological characteristics (in particular their size) of the glacier.

151
152
153 **Fig. 2 Workflow of the present study. The input datasets are evidenced in light blue while the processing steps are**
154 **indicated in white boxes.**

3.2 Sentinel-2 optical satellite imagery

156   We adopted Sentinel-2 optical images acquired between February 2016 and February 2024. We chose to start the analysis
157 in 2016 because it was the first full year of acquisition by the satellite. Based on different publications (Kääb et al., 2016;
158 Millan et al., 2019), the geometric misregistration of Sentinel-2 can show up to 1.5 pixel offsets in the horizontal plane even
159 if it can be usually closer to a value of 0.5 pixels, which corresponds to the absolute geolocation specification by ESA.
160 Therefore, an image co-registration process or a correction of stable ground shifts is normally needed for multitemporal
161 analyses.
162   To select the images, we defined the presented approach: (i) to maximize the geometric and geo-referencing precision, we
163 adopted images acquired from the same orbit and tile (GRANULE T32TLR, relative orbit 108); (ii) to reduce the impact of
164 clouds, we carried out a visual check of all images with a cloud cover percentage lower than 80% (as detected by the Copernicus
165 cloud cover estimation algorithm) on the whole tile, which were 323 in total (150 from Sentinel 2B and 173 from Sentinel
166 2A). From this dataset, we extracted a subset of 123 cloud-free images on the selected glacier areas via the visual inspection
167 of the individual images. We adopted this manual selection to maximize the quality of the images; in the case of the Mont
168 Blanc massif (like in most mountainous areas worldwide), the local distribution of clouds can be extremely variable; in many
169 cases, this can contribute to a considerable cloud percentage, even though high altitude areas may still be cloud-free.
170   The number of suitable images that are available per year varies from 10 to 20 with a yearly mean of 15 images in the
171 following distribution: 2016: 10; 2017: 18; 2018: 11; 2019: 14; 2020: 13; 2021: 16; 2022: 20, 2023: 19, 2024: 2. The year
172 2016, and partially 2017, is influenced by the lack of Sentinel 2B images, which was launched on 7 March 2017.

173   To apply image correlation, we used the near-infrared band B08 at the processing level L1C as suggested by previous
174 studies (Kääb et al., 2016).

3.3 Glacier selection

176   To minimize the presence of noisy and unreliable velocity data, we performed a selection of glaciers from the RGI 7.0
177 dataset. In particular, we did not include in our study: A) glaciers with an area < 0.1 km^2, as those glaciers would be too small
178 for the reliable extraction of velocity maps with 10 m resolution optical satellite imagery (Millan et al., 2019); B) glaciers
179 showing strong variations of cast shadow; C) glaciers that lack surface features to be tracked (e.g., ice caps).

180   Selection of point B) was made by creating a stack of images acquired between October and March, when cast shadows
181 appear on satellite imagery, especially on north-facing slopes. Subsequently, we manually ~~individuated~~identified glaciers that
182 are subject to large variations of shadow on their surface. We used the scene classification map (SCL) class 11 (cast shadows),
183 available in processing level L2A of the Sentinel-2 images. However, since shadows on glaciers may often be misclassified,
184 we conducted a manual check to correct potential errors. Selection of point C) is made manually by selecting glaciers that
185 show very even surfaces on Sentinel-2 images. This is normally noted in ice caps at higher altitudes or flat valley tongues.

186   The glacier selection process identified thirty glaciers with a total glacierised surface (at 2018) of 85.8 km^2. Compared to
187 the total glacierised surface of the massif from RGI 7.0, this represents the covering of 50.8% of the total 169 km^2 and 25.9%
188 in terms of number of glaciers; this rises to 39.5% if we consider the subset of seventy-six glaciers having a glacierised surface
189 of more than 0.1 km^2. The selected glaciers are highlighted in Fig. 3 and listed in Table 1. Two of the selected glaciers are
190 located in Switzerland, ten are located in France and eighteen in Italy. This distribution is mainly due to a small portion of the
191 massif being located in Switzerland and the presence of more fragmented glacierised bodies on the Italian side. Of the thirty
192 glaciers, seven have been mapped as sub-areas in comparison to RGI 7.0 individual glacier bodies. A brief description of all
193 the glaciers we analysed is found in section S1.1, to describe the location and geomorphological setting of the glaciers as well
194 as highlight when a single glacier complex from the RGI 7.0 was divided into independent glacial bodies because of very
195 distinct kinematic behaviour.

Fig. 3 Surface glacier velocity map averaged in the 2016-2024 period. Selected glaciers for specific analyses are outlined in cyan. Background: Sentinel-2 image (B08 band), courtesy of the Copernicus Open Access Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu, last access: 10 September 2023).

3.4 Glaciers' outline delineation and morphometric data calculation

Since the RGI 7.0 glacier outlines refer to 2003, we updated them to fit with the present glacier extensions and manually outlined them from Sentinel-2 imagery. We selected a cloud-free scene acquired on 28 August 2018 that represents well the conditions of the glaciers in the study period; True Color Image was used for this purpose. The main morphometric data that were determined for each glacier are summarized in Table 1. In some cases, a morphological indication that some parts of the glaciers could be considered independently from others and divided into individual kinematic domains was considered (Paul et al., 2022; Zemp et al., 2021). As the ~~production of~~ velocity maps confirmed distinct behaviours of some glacier parts, those glaciers were divided and mapped accordingly. The main examples are the tributary glaciers of the larger Miage Glacier complex, which all have distinct kinematic behaviour, well differentiated from the slow-moving, debris-covered main central valley tongue. Another example is the Talèfre Glacier, where, over the past twenty years, the western part of the glacier has become independent from the eastern portion.

The determination of morphometric data of sample glaciers was performed using altitudinal data from a ~~1~~2 m resolution DEM obtained by the processing of Pleiades stereo pairs acquired in August 2018 (Berthier et al., 2014), while the mean

213 glacier thicknesses were extrapolated from globally modelled ice thickness data (Millan et al., 2022), which has an average
214 uncertainty of 30%.

215
216 **Table 1. Table with name and RGI identification codes of glaciers selected for analysis in the present study together**
217 **with main morphometric parameters. The elongation is the glacier length divided by its area.**

Glacier name	RGI 7.0 ID	Area (km²)	Length (m)	Min alt (m ~~asl)~~a.s.l.)	Max alt (m ~~asl)~~a.s.l.)	Avg slope (°)	Mean ice thickness (m)	Elongation (m⁻¹)
A Neuve N	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00722	0.27	790	3084	3454	25.0	24	2.95
A Neuve Central	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00721	0.89	1800	2664	3554	26.3	26	2.02
Pre de Bard	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00716	3.01	3300	2360	3641	21.2	63	1.10
Greuvettaz E	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00708	0.20	990	2948	3582	32.8	12	5.03
Greuvettaz W	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00707	0.17	840	2704	3291	35.0	12	4.95
Planpincieux	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00703	1.01	2050	2627	3650	26.5	45	2.02
Grandes Jorasses	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00703	0.48	2110	2701	4206	35.5	15	4.38
Pra Sec	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00704	0.12	870	2536	3190	36.8	9	7.34
Rochefort	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00701	0.56	1000	2720	3301	30.3	25	1.78
Brenva	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00695	6.58	4490	2374	4766	28.0	80	0.68
Thoula	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00698	0.58	1080	2880	3416	26.5	25	1.85
Mont Blanc	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00688	0.76	2490	2776	3773	21.9	47	3.25
Dome	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00688	1.97	3550	2453	4121	25.1	51	1.80

Bionassay (IT)	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00688	1.35	2930	2467	3816	24.8	53	2.16
Aiguille Tre la Tete N	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00688	0.31	1360	2408	3010	24.0	78	4.34
Freney	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00693	1.02	2620	2420	3698	26.0	61	2.58
Brouillard	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00691	1.17	2730	2499	3972	28.3	52	2.34
Lex Blanche	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00674	2.64	2450	2467	3757	27.8	41	0.93
Petit Mont Blanc	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00674	0.56	1770	2863	3580	22.1	26	3.18
Estelette	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00673	0.29	950	2716	3214	27.7	24	3.26
Pierre Joseph	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00713	0.28	710	2920	3409	34.6	15	2.58
Nant Blanc	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00749	0.36	1150	2600	3351	33.1	33	3.17
Charpoua	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00751	0.32	1210	2650	3479	34.4	25	3.76
Des Glaciers	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00664	1.09	2050	2735	3815	27.8	31	1.88
Talèfre N	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00753	2.04	1950	2700	3550	23.6	39	0.96
Des Rognons	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00745	4.52	4290	2178	3800	20.7	102	0.95
Mer de Glace	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00757	23.56	12090	1774	4025	10.5	104	0.51
Bossons	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00773	11.32	6800	1691	4776	24.4	60	0.60
Taconnaz	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00774	4.99	4290	2043	4286	27.6	40	0.86

Bionassay (FR)	RGI2000-v7.0-G-11-00778	4.77	5240	1835	4287	25.1	40	1.10

3.5 Glaciers' surface velocity calculation

219   Digital image correlation is a common technique used to measure surface displacements using proximal (Evans, 2000; Ahn
220 and Box, 2010; Schwalbe and Maas, 2017; Dematteis et al., 2024) and remotely sensed imagery (Scambos et al., 1992; Heid
221 and Kääb, 2012; Marsy et al., 2021; Dematteis and Giordan, 2021). The processing chain performed in the present study uses
222 the open-source Glacier Image Velocimetry (GIV) toolbox (Van Wyk De Vries and Wickert, 2021). GIV uses frequency-based
223 correlation, can efficiently process large datasets and has been shown to perform well on glacier surface velocity measurements
224 at different test sites (Van Wyk De Vries and Wickert, 2021). GIV calculates stable-ground shifts to correct for georeferencing
225 errors; therefore, we created a stable ground mask composed of non-glacierized terrain surrounding the massif, and fit a 2D
226 second-degree polynomial to the residual velocities over stable ground in the x- and y- directions. To measure glacier surface
227 velocities, we adopted the 'multi-pass' option which updates displacement estimates over multiple iterations, refining initial
228 coarse chip size displacement calculations using progressively smaller chip sizes. The initial chip size is automatically defined
229 by GIV and cannot be smaller than 32x32 px. The overlap between matching windows was 0.5. Velocities higher than 1500
230 m yr^{-1} were considered unrealistic and discarded. The velocity map resolution was set to 40 m, without resampling. Finally,
231 we smoothed the velocity maps by applying a 3x3 median filter.

232   To produce the time series, given a specific image, we processed the first and second subsequent images (GIV order 2
233 time-oversampling). The minimum and maximum temporal repeat cycles were respectively 10 and 120 days. Overall, we
234 calculated 218 image pairs, with an average temporal baseline of 35 days (Sec. S8).

235   Subsequently, the velocities of image pairs were averaged on a monthly basis. We applied the weighted average included
236 in GIV, where the weights are proportional to the fraction of time included in a given month over the total time gap between
237 the image pairs. The presence of clouds or snow on the glacier surface made it impossible to extract reliable data in the
238 following months: i) January 2017 ii) December 2020, January and February 2021 iii) September 2021. The gaps represent
239 only five months on which we did not retrieve velocity data out of the eight years considered in the study (i.e., <5%). In Fig.
240 3, we present a velocity map with a resolution of 40 m. This was obtained by averaging all the single monthly velocity maps
241 in the study period (2016-2024). In Fig. 4, we present an example of the obtained velocity map and the distribution of sampling
242 areas over several chosen glaciers. All other parameters of the GIV processing were set at default parameters (Supplementary
243 Materials section S5).

246 **Fig. 4 a) Details of glacier surface velocity map averaged in the 2016-2024 period and sampling areas of selected**
247 **sample glaciers. b, c) show monthly velocity maps of July and February 2018 respectively. Sentinel-2 imagery base**
248 **map (B08 band), courtesy of the Copernicus Open Access Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu, last access: 10**
249 **September 2023).**

3.6 Velocity time series analysis

Sampling areas were identified on the velocity maps to analyse the time series of the selected glaciers. Since the surface velocity of land-terminating glaciers is expected to be the highest in correspondence with the ELA (Nesje, 1992), we cropped the RGI 7.0 flowlines at the upper and lower altitudinal limits of the ELAs mapped between 2016 and 2023. Around this section of the ELA, we buffered an area of 40 m (Fig. 4). The velocity values included in the obtained polygons were averaged to produce the time series. (i.e., the velocity was not calculated over the whole glaciers, but only in the region of the ELA).

Subsequently, we applied a quadratic locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (LOWESS) (Cappellari et al., 2013) evaluated on a rolling window of twelve months. Finally, we calculated the linear trend of the smoothed series using the Huber loss regressor (Huber, 1992). The Huber fit is robust against outliers; thus, sharp velocity fluctuations should not affect the obtained linear trends. To evaluate the statistical significance level of the fit, we consider the *t-statistics*, i.e., the ratio between the coefficient values and their standard errors. According to its definition, the t-statistics is expected to be low when the slope of the linear fit is low and/or when the slope is relatively low compared to the data variability.

We performed the principal component analysis (PCA) of the time series to investigate the overall behaviour of all the considered glaciers, and we weighted the time series according to the glacier area. The PCA is a multivariate analysis technique that allows a reduction in the dimensionality of a given dataset, increasing interpretability but minimising information loss. This is achieved by creating new, uncorrelated variables that successively maximise the variance of the dataset (Jolliffe and Cadima, 2016).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Distributions of monthly velocities

~~On~~Overall, the velocities of the thirty ~~glacial bodies~~galciers we investigated in this study, the monthly velocity values range from 30-40 m yr^{-1}, typically reached during winter months by smaller glaciers, to ~~350 and~~$\geq$ 400 m yr^{-1}, typically reached in summer/late summer by faster glaciers. The highest velocities are attained by the Brenva and the Bossons glaciers. In particular, monthly extreme values vary from 11.8 +/- 9.8 m yr^{-1}, reached on January 2022 by the Des Glaciers Glacier, to 487.4 +/- 10.8 m yr^{-1}, reached by the Brenva Glacier in July 2016. The mean surface velocities averaged over the whole period range from 29.9 +/- 10.9 m yr^{-1} at the Pierre-Joseph Glacier to 375.9 +/- 10.9 m yr^{-1} at the Brenva Glacier. The standard deviation of the velocity time series of single glaciers varies from 10.3 m yr^{-1} at the Pierre Joseph Glacier to 70.7 m yr^{-1} at the Charpoua Glacier. Fig. 5 presents the distributions of the raw monthly velocity of the considered glaciers.

Fig. 5. ~~Distributions~~**Boxplot showing the distributions of the glaciers' raw monthly velocity. Glaciers are sorted by their median velocity. The background colours of the glacier names indicate their size: white < 1 km², green 1-4 km²; blue >4 km². The boxes's limits and central line represent the first, second (i.e., the median) and third quartiles, respectively; the whiskers indicate the first/third quartiles minus/plus 1.5 IQR (interquartile range). The white circles are outliers.**

4.2 Velocity time series

Large and thick glaciers have in general high velocities, and two of them (Brenva and Bossons) show the highest values. The velocity values remain high throughout the whole year (Fig. 6). Brenva displays irregular seasonality variations, while Bossons has an almost absent seasonal cycle. Their morphology is complex - e.g., the slope varies considerably along their extent. In the present study, we concentrated the analyses on the middle sectors (near the ELA) of the glaciers, which are the fastest (Nesje, 1992). In the time series, the biggest glacier (Mer de Glace) appears slower because we extracted the monthly velocity over an area which does not entirely include the most active sector of the Mer de Glace ~~glacier,~~Glacier (i.e., the Géant Ice Fall), where the velocity reached values >400 m yr⁻¹.

Medium-sized glaciers' morphology is less homogeneous, even though most are generally gentler and thicker than average. We can divide the group into two sets based on the elongation ratio. Medium-sized glaciers which are more elongated generally feature strong kinematic activity, their velocity is higher than average with marked variability and they often show a pronounced regular annual cycle, as in the cases of the Bionassay (IT), Brouillard, Mont Blanc and Freney glaciers. The second set of more "compact" glaciers, show lower mean velocities and have a minor amplitude of the seasonal variability. In

296   particular, even though some display a regular seasonal cycle (e.g., Planpincieux, Dome, Rochefort), their velocity variability
297   is much lower (Fig. 6).

298   Small glaciers show lower average velocities, but most show marked and regular seasonality. In this group, some very
299   small glaciers (e.g., Greuvettaz W, Greuvettaz E, A Neuve N, Pierre Joseph) show a modest, irregular or even non-detectable
300   seasonal cycle since the velocity in winter is close to the measurement uncertainty (Fig. 6). It is worth highlighting that signals
301   of potential velocity fluctuations could exist but remotely-sensed data are not currently suited for analysing such small glaciers.

302   On the other hand, Pra Sec and Charpoua, small steep elongated glaciers, feature very low minimum velocities (between
303   25 m yr^{-1} and 50 m yr^{-1}) and very marked peaks during which the velocity increased by one order of magnitude. These high
304   velocity periods appear in summer/late summer and extreme velocity changes from winter to summer velocities can be noticed.
305   In 2016, 2018 and 2022, the summer velocity of Charpoua Glacier was exceptionally high compared to the usual. Moreover,
306   in these years, the spatial distribution of velocities varied, and the highest velocities were registered towards the frontal part of
307   the glacier, while normally it was higher in the upper sector (Fig. 7). Pra Sec Glacier displays more regular annual speedups
308   in the period analysed, every summer it had a clear velocity peak (except in 2018), particularly pronounced in 2016, 2020 and
309   2022. In both glaciers, possible glacier advances are prevented by the steep bedrock cliff at the snout, which causes the
310   disintegration of the glacier by repeated ice falls from the terminus (Giordan et al., 2020; Pralong and Funk, 2006).

Fig. 6. Time series of monthly glacier surface velocities over the 2016-2024 period. Robust linear trends are represented in dashed black lines. The background colour of the glaciers' names denotes their size: white, green and blue are for small, medium and large glaciers, respectively. The velocity seasonality is indicated with markers: brown diamonds (low seasonality), orange circles (average seasonality) and pink rectangles (high seasonality).

311

312

Fig. 6. Time series of monthly glacier surface velocities over the 2016-2024 period. Robust linear trends are represented in dashed black lines. The background colour of the glaciers' names denotes their size: white, green and blue are for small, medium and large glaciers, respectively. The velocity seasonality is indicated with markers: brown diamonds (low seasonality), orange circles (average seasonality) and pink rectangles (high seasonality).

318
319
320   **Fig. 7. Charpoua Glacier monthly surface velocity maps showing the spatial variation of the velocity patterns between**
321   **July 2018 (upper left) and July 2021 (upper right). The lower panel shows the monthly velocity profiles along a**
322   **longitudinal east-west AB profile (in black on the maps) over the study period. Sentinel-2 imagery base map (B08**
323   **band), courtesy of the Copernicus Open Access Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu, last access: 10 September 2023)**

324   **4.3 Interannual velocity variability and trend**

325   The overall behaviour of the glacier velocity can be well represented by the PCA of the time series, weighted by the glacier
326   area. Fig. 8 shows the 1st PC, which explained >50% of the variance. In general, this analysis reflects a common trend of many
327   time series: a first period between 2016 and 2019 showing decreasing velocities, an anomaly of higher velocities between 2020
328   and 2022 followed by a new velocity decrease in 2023 (Fig. 6). According to historical observations at the Argentière and
329   Miage glaciers, the velocity decrease from the early 2000s can be linked to continuous negative mass balances (Vincent and
330   Moreau, 2016) of most Alpine glacier since the 2000s (Zemp et al., 2021). The results of our study agree with this negative
331   trend in the first part of the considered period (i.e., 2016–2019), but we detected a rupture in the trend and a velocity rise from
332   2020 to 2022 occurring in a large number of glaciers under study. An interesting remark about the geographical distribution
333   of the trends is that the glaciers showing a clear velocity anomaly during 2020-2022 are located on the southeast side of the

334 massif ridgeline along the Italian and Swiss part of the Massif (e.g., A Neuve Central, Bionassay (IT), Brenva, Planpincieux
335 and Lex Blanche glaciers). This might be linked to different dominant meteorological conditions distributions on the two sides
336 of the massif. A specific analysis of seasonal meteorologic data in the different areas could give more insights into this
337 hypothesis, even though whether stations are located far from the glacier accumulation areas and could not get a specific signal
338 present in the higher altitude sectors.

339
340 **Fig. 8. 1st PC of the LOWESS velocity values of all the time series of glacier monthly velocity.**

341   The glacier velocities shown in Fig. 6 have different linear trends over the full period of the study (Fig. 9). In general, the
342 linear trends of each glacier calculated using winter, summer months or the whole year have similar behaviour (i.e., they are
343 always negative or positive), with winter trends having higher absolute values than summer ones in case of positive acceleration
344 and lower in case of negative acceleration (~30% higherdifference on average). As expected, lower absolute linear trends (i.e.,
345 $\lesssim$1 m yr^{-12}) have t-statistics<2. Besides, even though Brenva's trend is high (2.8 m yr^{-2}), its velocity is very large, thus lowering
346 the t-statistics. Most trends lie in a relatively thightight cluster which crosses the domain diagonally, except for Charpoua and
347 Planpincieux which have dissimilar seasonal values. In the Charpoua case, this is probably related to the strong velocity
348 fluctuations occurring only in some years in summer, while the Planpincieux positive summer trend is likely led by the anomaly
349 2020-2022, which is particularly strong in this glacier. Notably, the three glaciers with the highest linear trends (i.e., Freney,
350 Bionassay (IT) and Aiguille de Tré-la-Tete) are all located in Val Veny, on the southern side of the massif. Bionassay (IT) and
351 Freney have a very similar morphology (Tab. 1), they are both medium-size (1.3 km^2 and 1.02 km^2), relatively elongated (2.2
352 m^{-1} and 2.5 m^{-1}) glaciers, with slopes of ~25° and elevations between 2400 m a.s.l. and 3800 m. a.s.l.. Differently, Aiguille de
353 Tré-la-Tete is a small (0.3 km^2), much-elongated (4.34 m^{-1}) and low-altitude glacier (2408-3010 m). a.s.l.). Aiguille de Tré-la-
354 Tete and Bionassay (IT) are both tributaries of the Miage Glacier.
355   An accelerating trend (+5 m yr^{-2} between 2015-2021) has recently been shown for the Brenva Glacier by an analysis of
356 remotely-sensed optical images (Rabatel et al., 2023b) while detecting decelerating trends on many other glaciers of the Massif.
357 Our study showed Brenva Glacier an accelerating trend of +3 m yr^{-2} between 2016-2024, which is in good agreement
358 considering the velocity decrease shown in 2022 and 2023. (the linear trends of annual velocity over the overlapping period of
359 this study and Rabatel et al (2023b) – from February 2016 to December 2021 – are respectively 0.85 m yr^{-2} and 0.68 m yr^{-2}

360 (Tab. S1)). Besides, ~~(~~Rabatel et al~~.,~~. (2023b) observed a slight ice thickening (~1 m between 2000 and 2019) in an upper sector
361 of the Brenva Glacier~~.~~, which agrees with the findings of Bertier et al (2023) between 2012 and 2021, obtained with hih-
362 resolution satellite images. They proposed three hypotheses to explain the acceleration of the Brenva: a) a glacier thickening;
363 b) a change in thermal regime; and c) a change in subglacial hydrology, possibly related to an increased ablation in the upper
364 reaches of the glacier.

365 Even though the hypothesis of glacier thickening could explain the specific case of Brenva, the glacier surface elevation
366 change across the Mont Blanc massif has been generally negative in the last years, as evidenced by the negative mass balance
367 of the reference glaciers in the area (Zemp et al., 2021; Zemp et al., 2009)~~.~~ as well as massif scale studies (Berthier et al.,
368 2023). Local anomalies of positive mass balance could explain an increase of velocity but the lack of measurements at higher
369 altitudes does not allow us to confirm this behaviour at present. However, the meteorological conditions in recent years have
370 remained approximately constant, which makes unlikely a general glacier thickening in the region (see S6 "Meteorological
371 conditions"). Localized ~~high~~higher than usual rates of accumulation due to increased avalanche activity and wind accumulation
372 could also contribute to the ice thickening (thus yielding an acceleration), but cannot be investigated at this stage. It is worth
373 highlighting that the three glaciers highlighting a high winter accelerating trend in Fig. 9 (Bionassay (IT), Aig Tré la Tete and
374 Freney) are near to each other and located in a small part of the massif on its southeast side.

375 A change in the thermal regime of the glaciers could explain accelerating trends, but it would explain long-term trends and
376 not short-period variations such as the one highlighted in 2020-2022, as basal temperature measurements show a warming at
377 the ice bedrock interface on a decadal to multi-decadal timescale on the Mont Blanc massif (Vincent et al., 2007).

378 A variation of the hydrology of groups of glaciers is a plausible hypothesis for the explanation of accelerating trends, but
379 it ~~should highlight~~would result in stronger trends in summer rather than in winter, as basal sliding is enhanced over deformation
380 during summer. Such a combination of trends is not shown by most glaciers as highlighted in Fig. 9. Only the trends ~~highlighted~~
381 ~~by~~of Planpincieux and Mer de Glace glaciers could relate well with this hypothesis, showing almost no trend in winter and an
382 accelerating trend during the summer months.

383 The distribution of the acceleration trend over different areas of the massif and regarding different types of glaciers could
384 suggest the existence of a ~~long term~~ meteo-climatic driver of the phenomenon, even though it is not evident limiting the
385 analysis to the period 2015-2023 (Section S6). In the end, a definitive answer cannot be formulated so far and further research
386 is necessary to understand the processes involved in this trend.

Fig 9. Linear trends of the glacier monthly velocity. The x- and y-axes refer to the trends calculated using winter (from November to April) and summer (from June to September) months, respectively. The colours indicate the global trend. The glaciers with linear trends with *t*-statictis<2 have markers with dashed edge lines.

4.4 Relationship between glacier size, velocity and seasonal behaviour

We examined the relationship between the glacier size, the seasonal velocity behaviour and velocity distribution. To this end, we divided the glaciers into three classes for each feature. Concerning the size, we considered very small glaciers with an area of < 1 km^2, according to (Bahr and Radić, 2012), while medium and large glaciers have areas between 1 km^2 and 4 km^2, and >4 km^2, respectively. Concerning the velocity distribution, we observed that, besides Brenva and Bossons, which have much higher velocity compared to the rest of the glaciers, a group of sixteen glaciers have the 75th percentile of monthly velocity <100 m yr^{-1} (from Pierre Joseph to Charpoua in Fig. 5). Finally, twelve glaciers have their 25th and 75th percentiles between ~100 m yr^{-1} and ~200 m yr^{-1} (from Des Rognons to Freney in Fig. 5).

Concerning the seasonal velocity behaviour, we analysed the raw time series (Fig. 6) and the LOWESS smoothed time series normalised by their median value (Fig. S6). Glaciers such as Freney, Brouillard, and Bionassay (IT) show evident and regular seasonal behaviour and large winter/summer differences; in these cases, summer velocities (occurring between July and October) are 50% to 100% higher than winter ones (occurring between January and April). Another group of glaciers has smaller winter/summer differences or pronounced but irregular variability (e.g., Planpincieux, Pre de Bard, Talefre). A third group does not display evident or regular seasonal behaviour (e.g., Taconnaz, Mer de Glace, Pierre Joseph), with

winter/summer differences below 10%. Overall, the maximum velocity occurs in August-September, while the annual minimum is reached in March (Fig. 8).

The double-entry heatmaps are presented in Fig. 10, which show a tendency for the smaller glaciers to have more pronounced seasonality, while larger glaciers show a more homogeneous velocity throughout the year. Since the glacier area is strongly correlated with its thickness (Cuffey and Paterson, 2010), a possible cause of this phenomenon could be related to enhanced basal sliding during the accelerating period. In fact, a thicker glacier could be less prone to exhibit enhanced sliding because of the larger mass to be uplifted by basal positive water pressures, while shallower glaciers could more easily benefit from enhanced sliding by pressure build-up at the ice-bedrock interface by increasing inputs in the hydrological subglacial drainage network. Moreover, in winter, the base of thin glaciers could freeze, thus preventing the sliding and determining lower winter velocities causing even larger summer-winter velocity differences.

On the other hand, as expected, larger (and thicker) glaciers tend to be faster than smaller ones.

Fig. 10 Glacier classification according to their size (SMALL: <1 km^2; MED: 1-4 km^2; LARGE: >4 km^2) and a) seasonal velocity behaviour (qualitatively estimated) and b) median velocity (SLOW: <100 m yr^{-1}; AVG: 100-300 m yr^{-1}; FAST: >300 m yr^{-1}). The digits within the grid tiles indicate the number of glaciers belonging to each group.

4.5 Uncertainty analysis

To estimate the quality of our data, we performed two investigations. First, following the method proposed by (Millan et al., 2019), we calculated the median absolute deviation of the velocity obtained on stable terrain for each monthly data. In these areas, we applied an outlier spatial filter to the velocity maps according to Rabatel et al. (2023a). The median value of the monthly uncertainty was 10.9 m yr^{-1}. In their study, Millan et al. (2019) estimated the nominal precision according to the temporal baseline between the correlated images, which they found to be between 6–16 m yr^{-1} for baselines respectively of 40 and 20 days, which is the typical range of temporal gaps between images used in our study. Moreover, the value of 10.9 m yr^{-1} is in close agreement with the uncertainty found by Mouginot et al. (2023), which obtained a root mean squared error of 10.5 m yr^{-1} between glacier velocities measured over the Mer de Glace and ~~Argèntiere~~Argéntiere glaciers using image correlation of Sentinel-2 images and GNSS in situ data (https://glacioclim.osug.fr/). A comparison with the data from Rabatel et al. (~~2023~~2023a) is proposed in the supplementary materials (~~section~~Sec. S4, ~~figure~~Fig. S3 and Tab. S1) for the glaciers of Brenva,

432 Bionassay (FR), Bossons and Brouillard over the timespan that overlaps the two studies (from February 2016- to December
433 2021).), which evidences high agreement between the two studies.

Second, we considered the glacier velocity from Millan et al. (2019), who published mean annual velocity in the period 2017–2018 on a 50x50 m regular grid. They adopted normalised cross-correlation and chip size refinement (initial size of 16x16 px). They estimated an overall uncertainty of glacier surface velocity time series of ~12 m yr^{-1} over the Mont Blanc glaciers, and, specifically at Brenva and Bosson glaciers, an uncertainty of 15–20 m yr^{-1}. We compared these data and ours along four glacier longitudinal central lines (i.e., in Bossons, Brenva, Freney and Taconnaz), obtaining good agreement (Fig. 11). The largest differences (>50 m yr^{-1}) were found in a specific sector of the Taconnaz Glacier (Fig. 11d), where the ice flux is highly channelized in a narrow passage. There, the data of Millan et al. (2019) show a large velocity decrease that seems unlikely considering the geometry of the site. Our data show a similar but less pronounced velocity decrease. However, the velocity profiles are similar elsewhere. On average, the surface velocities that we obtained are slightly higher, with a mean difference of 0.03 m yr^{-1} and root mean squared deviation (RMSD) of 24.0 m yr^{-1} (Table 2). The slightly higher RMSD compared to the expected uncertainty can be due to the fact that the precision inerror over glacierized areas is probably larger (less precise) than in ice-free zones because the surface texture is different and changes (e.g., snow precipitation, surface melt, glacier movement) occur more rapidly, therefore causing more decorrelation (Millan et al., 2019).

Fig 11. Comparison of velocity profiles from Millan et al. (2022) (red) and from this study (black) at (a) Bossons Glacier, (b) Brenva Glacier, (c) Freney Glacier and (d) Taconnaz Glacier. The velocity profiles are represented in yellow in the maps on the left, and the velocity profiles start from the upper to the lower altitudes. Sentinel-2 image base map (B08 band), courtesy of the Copernicus Open Access Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu, last access: 10 September 2023).

Table 2. Mean difference and root mean squared deviation (RMSD) between this study and Millan et al. (2022) along velocity longitudinal profiles.

	Bossons	Brenva	Freney	Taconnaz	Mean all profiles
Mean difference [m yr^{-1}]	-13.1	-8.8	13.7	8.3	0.03
RMSD [m yr^{-1}]	22.3	25.2	29.6	19.0	24.0

4.6 Uses and limits of the proposed methodology

The methodology presented in this study allows the detection of monthly changes in glacier velocity, which can be precursors of ice avalanches (Pralong et al., 2005; Faillettaz et al., 2008; Giordan et al., 2020). For example, ice avalanches from the Pra Sec Glacier occurred in 2020 (Forestry Service of Aosta Valley). In our study, we observed high velocities in 2020, which could have led to the break-off. At the Charpoua Glacier, an ice avalanche of 45000 +/- ~~15000m3~~15000 m^3 occurred in 2018 (Lehmann, 2018 - https://news.unil.ch/display/1536777918113, accessed online 11 October 2023), when we measured velocities >200 m yr^{-1}, much higher than usual.

In this frame, it would be very relevant to measure and know the typical velocity fluctuations of specific glaciers in stable conditions. This could allow an assessment and to what extent a suspect acceleration may be anomalous and potentially destabilising, bearing in mind that high-rate monitoring is essential to detect glacial instabilities since the expected sharp increase in velocity in the weeks before the failure (Pralong and Funk, 2006) could be hardly detectable from remote sensing (e.g., due to scarce visibility, image decorrelation, low resolution).

Limits of the methodology presented in this study should also be considered: glaciers moving at slow rates can be surveyed using temporal baselines of one year (Millan et al., 2019; Mouginot et al., 2023), but this implies ~~reducing~~losing the ability to catch short-term velocity fluctuations, like those observed at Charpoua and Pra Sec glaciers. Another known issue pertains to the lack of features of the glacier surface that make it impossible to track movements using optical imagery. Satellite optical imagery is limited and can be strongly influenced by the presence of clouds that could yield extensive periods without data acquisition, even though, in the present study, we had only four of 96 months with no data. Anomalies due to image decorrelation for the presence of shadows, snow or morphological surface modifications can occur and an expert-based visual check may be required to discriminate anomalous velocities.

6 Conclusions

We produced ice velocity maps and time series of thirty glaciers of the Mont Blanc massif during the period 2016–2024. The proposed results are different compared to the existing publicly available automatically processed velocity datasets that have a coarse resolution (i.e., >100 m) and cannot correctly detect the kinematics of most Alpine glaciers, due to their small size. Therefore, specific processing and studies are needed to characterize the surface kinematics of Alpine glaciers. In our study, we used Sentinel-2 imagery due to its free availability, good ground resolution and high revisit time in the study area to obtain monthly surface velocity time series. In addition, we proposed a classification of different groups of glaciers based on their morpho-kinematic features. Plus, we observed a significant acceleration trend in many of the studied glaciers in the last years (2020–2022), but the causes are still not well understood.

From a methodological point of view, the use of the proposed approach can be very useful to process and analyse available satellite images of other massifs in the Alps and other parts of the World. This approach could stimulate innovative research on high-resolution spatiotemporal variations of velocities on alpine glaciers and, especially, on understanding the variations in the motion of mountain glaciers. A large research question remains open and deals with understanding and measuring the drivers of change in the motion of alpine glaciers. This ~~implicates~~implies the complex acquisition of data related to the possible drivers of the variations such as mass balances, water inputs and temporal variations in the subglacial hydrology of single glaciers. However, in order to delve further into these investigations, velocity databases at higher spatial and temporal resolutions, such as that presented in this study, are needed to build future research on the topic.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Jean Pierre Fosson, Raffaele Rocco, Valerio Segor, and Guido Giardini for their support and their will to stimulate cryospheric research activities in the Aosta Valley region and on the Italian side of Mont Blanc massif. We thank all the staff at Fondazione Montagna Sicura for supporting all the research activities of the research team. Dr Etienne Berthier supplied a processed 2018 Pleiades stereo DEM on which retrieving altitudinal data that was presented in this paper. Dr. Antoine Rabatel supplied velocity data for the comparison with their 2023 dataset. We thank ~~Prof~~Dr. Christian Vincent for his advice on research activity and for supplying useful additional information, especially on the French glaciers of Mont Blanc.

Data availability

The following data are available for download on Zenodo http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11349445: i) monthly velocity maps, ii) updated outlines (from RGI7) of the analysed glaciers, iii) sampling areas of extraction of the velocity time series, iv) time series of monthly velocity. The data description and format are reported in Sec S9. Sentinel-2 imagery is available from the Copernicus Open Access Hub (https://scihub.copernicus.eu, Copernicus, 2022). The GIV toolbox is freely available online (https://github.com/MaxVWDV/glacier-image-velocimetry).

Competing interests. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions. Fabrizio Troilo: Conceptualization, writing – original draft preparation, investigation, methodology, data curation, formal analysis, visualization; Niccolò Dematteis: Writing – review & editing, data curation, methodology, formal analysis, validation, visualization; Francesco Zucca Writing – review & editing, methodology, supervision, validation; Martin Funk: Writing – review & editing, supervision; Daniele Giordan: Writing – review & editing, methodology, supervision, validation.

References

Ahn, Y. and Box, J. E.: Glacier velocities from time-lapse photos: technique development and first results from the Extreme Ice Survey (EIS) in Greenland, Journal of Glaciology, 56, 723-734, 2010.

Allstadt, K., Shean, D., Campbell, A., Fahnestock, M., and Malone, S.: Observations of seasonal and diurnal glacier velocities at Mount Rainier, Washington, using terrestrial radar interferometry, The Cryosphere, 9, 2219-2235, 2015.

Arendt, A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J., Gardner, A., Hagen, J.-O., Hock, R., Huss, M., Kaser, G., and Kienholz, C.: Randolph Glacier inventory–A dataset of Global glacier outlines: Version 6.0: Technical report, Global land ice measurements from space, 2017.

Bahr, D. and Radić, V.: Significant contribution to total mass from very small glaciers, The Cryosphere, 6, 763-770, 2012.

Beniston, M., Farinotti, D., Stoffel, M., Andreassen, L. M., Coppola, E., Eckert, N., Fantini, A., Giacona, F., Hauck, C., and Huss, M.: The European mountain cryosphere: a review of its current state, trends, and future challenges, The Cryosphere, 12, 759-794, 2018.

Benn, D. I. and Evans, D. J.: Glaciers & glaciation, Routledge2014.

Beraud, L., Cusicanqui, D., Rabatel, A., Brun, F., Vincent, C., and Six, D.: Glacier-wide seasonal and annual geodetic mass balances from Pléiades stereo images: application to the Glacier d'Argentière, French Alps, Journal of Glaciology, 69, 525-537, 2023.

Berthier, E., Vadon, H., Baratoux, D., Arnaud, Y., Vincent, C., Feigl, K., Remy, F., and Legresy, B.: Surface motion of mountain glaciers derived from satellite optical imagery, Remote Sensing of Environment, 95, 14-28, 2005.

Berthier, E., Vincent, C., Magnússon, E., Gunnlaugsson, Á., Pitte, P., Le Meur, E., Masiokas, M., Ruiz, L., Pálsson, F., and Belart, J.: Glacier topography and elevation changes derived from Pléiades sub-meter stereo images, The Cryosphere, 8, 2275-2291, 2014.

Berthier, E., Vincent, C., and Six, D.: Exceptional thinning through the entire altitudinal range of Mont-Blanc glaciers during the 2021/22 mass balance year, Journal of Glaciology, 1–6, 2023.

Bindschadler, R.: The importance of pressurized subglacial water in separation and sliding at the glacier bed, Journal of Glaciology, 29, 3-19, 1983.

Cappellari, M., McDermid, R. M., Alatalo, K., Blitz, L., Bois, M., Bournaud, F., Bureau, M., Crocker, A. F., Davies, R. L., and Davis, T. A.: The ATLAS3D project–XX. Mass–size and mass–σ distributions of early-type galaxies: bulge fraction drives kinematics, mass-to-light ratio, molecular gas fraction and stellar initial mass function, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 432, 1862-1893, 2013.

Cuffey, K. M. and Paterson, W. S. B.: The Physics of Glaciers, Academic Press2010.

Deilami, K. and Hashim, M.: Very high resolution optical satellites for DEM generation: a review, European Journal of Scientific Research, 49, 542-554, 2011.

Dematteis, N. and Giordan, D.: Comparison of digital image correlation methods and the impact of noise in geoscience applications, Remote Sensing, 13, 327, 2021.

Dematteis, N., Giordan, D., Troilo, F., Wrzesniak, A., and Godone, D.: Ten-Year Monitoring of the Grandes Jorasses Glaciers Kinematics. Limits, Potentialities, and Possible Applications of Different Monitoring Systems, Remote Sensing, 13, 3005, 2021.

Dematteis, N., Troilo, F., Scotti, R., Colombarolli, D., Giordan, D., and Maggi, V.: The use of terrestrial monoscopic time-lapse cameras for surveying glacier flow velocity, Cold Regions Science and Technology, 104185, 2024.

Einarsson, B., Magnússon, E., Roberts, M. J., Pálsson, F., Thorsteinsson, T., and Jóhannesson, T.: A spectrum of jökulhlaup dynamics revealed by GPS measurements of glacier surface motion, Annals of Glaciology, 57, 47-61, 2016.

Evans, A. N.: Glacier surface motion computation from digital image sequences, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 38, 1064-1072, 2000.

Fahnestock, M., Scambos, T., Moon, T., Gardner, A., Haran, T., and Klinger, M.: Rapid large-area mapping of ice flow using Landsat 8, Remote Sensing of Environment, 185, 84-94, 2016.

Faillettaz, J., Pralong, A., Funk, M., and Deichmann, N.: Evidence of log-periodic oscillations and increasing icequake activity during the breaking-off of large ice masses, Journal of Glaciology, 54, 725-737, 2008.

Fyffe, C. L.: The hydrology of debris-covered glaciers, University of Dundee, 2012.

Giordan, D., Dematteis, N., Allasia, P., and Motta, E.: Classification and kinematics of the Planpincieux Glacier break-offs using photographic time-lapse analysis, Journal of Glaciology, 66, 188-202, 2020.

Glen, J.: Experiments on the deformation of ice, Journal of Glaciology, 2, 111-114, 1952.

Gottardi, F., Obled, C., Gailhard, J., and Paquet, E.: Statistical reanalysis of precipitation fields based on ground network data and weather patterns: Application over French mountains, Journal of hydrology, 432, 154-167, 2012.

Heid, T. and Kääb, A.: Evaluation of existing image matching methods for deriving glacier surface displacements globally from optical satellite imagery, Remote Sensing of Environment, 118, 339-355, 2012.

Huber, P. J.: Robust estimation of a location parameter, in: Breakthroughs in statistics: Methodology and distribution, Springer, 492-518, 1992.

Humbert, A., Greve, R., and Hutter, K.: Parameter sensitivity studies for the ice flow of the Ross Ice Shelf, Antarctica, Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 110, 2005.

Jiskoot, H.: Dynamics of Glaciers, physical Research, 92, 9083-9100, 2011.

Jolliffe, I. T. and Cadima, J.: Principal component analysis: a review and recent developments, Philosophical transactions of the royal society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 374, 20150202, 2016.

Kääb, A., Winsvold, S., Altena, B., Nuth, C., Nagler, T., and Wuite, J.: Glacier Remote Sensing Using Sentinel-2. Part I: Radiometric and Geometric Performance, and Application to Ice Velocity, Remote Sensing, 8, 2016.

Kääb, A., Jacquemart, M., Gilbert, A., Leinss, S., Girod, L., Huggel, C., Falaschi, D., Ugalde, F., Petrakov, D., and Chernomorets, S.: Sudden large-volume detachments of low-angle mountain glaciers–more frequent than thought?, The Cryosphere, 15, 1751-1785, 2021.

Kamb, B.: Glacier surge mechanism based on linked cavity configuration of the basal water conduit system, Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 92, 9083-9100, 1987.

Luzi, G., Pieraccini, M., Mecatti, D., Noferini, L., Macaluso, G., Tamburini, A., and Atzeni, C.: Monitoring of an alpine glacier by means of ground-based SAR interferometry, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, 4, 495-499, 2007.

Marsy, G., Vernier, F., Trouvé, E., Bodin, X., Castaings, W., Walpersdorf, A., Malet, E., and Girard, B.: Temporal Consolidation Strategy for Ground-Based Image Displacement Time Series: Application to Glacier Monitoring, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, 14, 10069-10078, 2021.

Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., and Morlighem, M.: Ice velocity and thickness of the world's glaciers, Nature Geoscience, 15, 124-129, 2022.

Millan, R., Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., Jeong, S., Cusicanqui, D., Derkacheva, A., and Chekki, M.: Mapping surface flow velocity of glaciers at regional scale using a multiple sensors approach, Remote Sensing, 11, 2498, 2019.

Mondardini, L., Perret, P., Frasca, M., Gottardelli, S., and Troilo, F.: Local variability of small Alpine glaciers: Thoula Glacier geodetic mass balance reconstruction (1991-2020) and analysis of volumetric variations, Geografia Fisica e Dinamica Quaternaria, 44, 29-38, 2021.

Mouginot, J., Rabatel, A., Ducasse, E., and Millan, R.: Optimization of Cross Correlation Algorithm for Annual Mapping of Alpine Glacier Flow Velocities; Application to Sentinel-2, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 61, 1-12, 2023.

Nesje, A.: Topographical effects on the equilibrium-line altitude on glaciers, GeoJournal, 27, 383-391, 1992.

Nye, J. F.: The mechanics of glacier flow, Journal of Glaciology, 2, 82-93, 1952.

Paul, F., Winsvold, S. H., Kääb, A., Nagler, T., and Schwaizer, G.: Glacier remote sensing using Sentinel-2. Part II: Mapping glacier extents and surface facies, and comparison to Landsat 8, Remote Sensing, 8, 575, 2016.

Paul, F., Piermattei, L., Treichler, D., Gilbert, L., Girod, L., Kääb, A., Libert, L., Nagler, T., Strozzi, T., and Wuite, J.: Three different glacier surges at a spot: what satellites observe and what not, The Cryosphere, 16, 2505-2526, 2022.

Paul, F., Rastner, P., Azzoni, R., Diolaiuti, G., Fugazza, D., Le Bris, R., Nemec, J., Rabatel, A., Ramusovic, M., and Schwaizer, G.: Glacier shrinkage in the Alps continues unabated as revealed by a new glacier inventory from Sentinel-2, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 12, 1805–1821, 2020.

Pfeffer, W. T., Arendt, A. A., Bliss, A., Bolch, T., Cogley, J. G., Gardner, A. S., Hagen, J.-O., Hock, R., Kaser, G., and Kienholz, C.: The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers, Journal of glaciology, 60, 537-552, 2014.

Pralong, A. and Funk, M.: On the instability of avalanching glaciers, Journal of Glaciology, 52, 31-48, 2006.

Pralong, A., Birrer, C., Stahel, W. A., and Funk, M.: On the predictability of ice avalanches, Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics, 12, 849-861, 2005.

Rabatel, A., Ducasse, E., Millan, R., and Mouginot, J.: Satellite-Derived Annual Glacier Surface Flow Velocity Products for the European Alps, 2015–2021, Data, 8, 66, 2023a.

Rabatel, A., Ducasse, E., Ramseyer, V., and Millan, R.: State and Fate of Glaciers in the Val Veny (Mont-Blanc Range, Italy): Contribution of Optical Satellite Products, Journal of Alpine Research| Revue de géographie alpine, 2023b.

Rankl, M., Kienholz, C., and Braun, M.: Glacier changes in the Karakoram region mapped by multimission satellite imagery, The Cryosphere, 8, 977-989, 2014.

RGI Consortium: Randolph Glacier Inventory - A Dataset of Global Glacier Outlines, Version 7 [Data Set], Boulder, Colorado USA. National Snow and Ice Data Center. https://doi.org/10.5067/F6JMOVY5NAVZ. (2023) Date Accessed 03-26-2024.

Samsonov, S., Tiampo, K., and Cassotto, R.: SAR-derived flow velocity and its link to glacier surface elevation change and mass balance, Remote Sensing of Environment, 258, 112343, 2021.

Scambos, T. A., Dutkiewicz, M. J., Wilson, J. C., and Bindschadler, R. A.: Application of image cross-correlation to the measurement of glacier velocity using satellite image data, Remote sensing of environment, 42, 177-186, 1992.

Schwalbe, E. and Maas, H.-G.: The determination of high-resolution spatio-temporal glacier motion fields from time-lapse sequences, Earth Surface Dynamics, 5, 861-879, 2017.

Somigliana, C.:Meccanica e termodinamica dei ghiacciai, Seminario Mat. e. Fis. di Milano 12, 72–84, 1938.

Span, N. and Kuhn, M.: Simulating annual glacier flow with a linear reservoir model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, 2003.

Stocker-Waldhuber, M., Fischer, A., Helfricht, K., and Kuhn, M.: Long-term records of glacier surface velocities in the Ötztal Alps (Austria), Earth system science data, 11, 705-715, 2019.

Van Wyk De Vries, M. and Wickert, A. D.: Glacier Image Velocimetry: an open-source toolbox for easy and rapid calculation of high-resolution glacier velocity fields, The Cryosphere, 15, 2115-2132, 10.5194/tc-15-2115-2021, 2021.

Vincent, C., Soruco, A., Six, D., and Le Meur, E.: Glacier thickening and decay analysis from 50 years of glaciological observations performed on Glacier d'Argentière, Mont Blanc area, France, Ann. Glaciol., 50, 73–79, 2009.

Vincent, C. and Moreau, L.: Sliding velocity fluctuations and subglacial hydrology over the last two decades on Argentière glacier, Mont Blanc area, Journal of Glaciology, 62, 805-815, 2016.

Vincent, C., Le Meur, E., Six, D., Possenti, P., Lefebvre, E., and Funk, M.: Climate warming revealed by englacial temperatures at Col du Dôme (4250 m, Mont Blanc area), Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 2007.

Willis, I. C.: Intra-annual variations in glacier motion: a review, Progress in Physical Geography, 19, 61-106, 1995.

Zekollari, H., Huss, M., and Farinotti, D.: Modelling the future evolution of glaciers in the European Alps under the EURO-CORDEX RCM ensemble, The Cryosphere, 13, 1125-1146, 2019.

Zemp, M., Hoelzle, M., and Haeberli, W.: Six decades of glacier mass-balance observations: a review of the worldwide monitoring network, Annals of Glaciology, 50, 101-111, 2009.

Zemp, M., Nussbaumer, S. U., Gärtner-Roer, I., Bannwart, J., Paul, F., and Hoelzle, M.: Global Glacier Change Bulletin Nr. 4 (2018-2019), WGMS, 4, 2021.

Zemp, M., Huss, M., Eckert, N., Thibert, E., Paul, F., Nussbaumer, S. U., and Gärtner-Roer, I.: Brief communication: Ad hoc estimation of glacier contributions to sea-level rise from the latest glaciological observations, The Cryosphere, 14, 1043-1050, 2020.