



| 1 | Intercomparison of Aerosol Optical Depths from four reanalyses and their |
|---|---|
| 2 | multi-reanalysis-consensus |
| 3 | |
| 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Peng Xian ¹ , Jeffrey S. Reid ¹ , Melanie Ades ² , Angela Benedetti ² , Peter R. Colarco ³ , Arlindo da Silva ³ , Tom F. Eck ^{3, 4} , Johannes Flemming ² , Edward J. Hyer ¹ , Zak Kipling ² , Samuel Rémy ⁵ , Tsuyoshi Thomas Sekiyama ⁶ , Taichu Tanaka ⁷ , Keiya Yumimoto ⁸ and Jianglong Zhang ⁹ ¹ Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA, USA. ² European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK. ³ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA. ⁴ University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore, MD, USA ⁵ HYGEOS, Lille, France ⁶ Meteorological Research Institute, Japan Meteorological Agency, Tsukuba, Japan ⁷ Information Infrastructure Department, Japan Meteorological Agency, Tokyo, Japan ⁸ Research Institute for Applied Mechanics, Kyushu University, Kasuga, Japan ⁹ Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND |
| 18 19 | Corresponding author: Peng Xian (peng.xian@nrlmry.navy.mil) |
| 20 | |
| 21 | Key Points: |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 | Four global aerosol reanalyses are intercompared and verified with observations for their skill in simulating aerosol optical depth. The study identifies the strength of each reanalysis and the regions where there are notable differences and challenges. The multi-reanalysis-consensus, based on the four reanalyses, consistently ranks as one of the best regionally and globally. |
| 28 | |
| 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 | Abstract The emergence of aerosol reanalyses in recent years has facilitated a comprehensive and systematic evaluation of Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) trends and attribution over multi-decadal timescales. Notable aerosol reanalyses currently available include NAAPS-RA from the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory; the NASA MERRA-2; JRAero from the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA); and CAMSRA from Copernicus/ECMWF. These aerosol reanalyses are based on differing underlying meteorology models, representations of aerosol processes, and data assimilation methods and treatment of AOD observations. This study presents the basic verification characteristics of these four reanalyses versus both AERONET and MODIS retrievals in monthly AOD properties and identifies the strength of each reanalysis and the regions where |

diversity and challenges are prominent. Regions with high pollution and often mixed fine-coarse
 mode aerosol environments such as South Asia, East Asia, Southeast Asia, and the Maritime





- Continent pose significant challenges, as indicated by higher monthly AOD root mean square 41 error. Moreover, regions that are distant from major aerosol source areas, including the polar 42 regions, and remote oceans exhibit large relative differences in speciated AODs and fine-mode vs 43 coarse-mode AODs among the four reanalyses. To ensure consistency across the globe, a multi-44 reanalysis-consensus (MRC) approach was developed similar to the International Cooperative for 45 Aerosol Prediction Multi-Model Ensemble (ICAP-MME). Like the ICAP-MME, while the MRC 46 does not consistently rank first among the reanalyses for individual regions, it performs well by 47 48 ranking first or second globally in AOD correlation and RMSE, making it a suitable candidate for
- climate studies that require robust and consistent assessments. 49
- 50
- Keywords: Aerosol, Reanalysis, Aerosol Optical Depth, intercomparison, ICAP-MME 51
- 52
- Short Summary 53

54 The study compares and evaluates the monthly aerosol optical depth of four reanalyses (RA) and

their consensus. The basic verification characteristics of these RA versus both AERONET and 55

56 MODIS retrievals are presented. The study discusses the strength of each RA and identifies regions

where diversity and challenges are prominent. The RA consensus usually performs very well on a 57

- global scale in terms of how well it matches the observational data, making it a good choice for 58 various applications.
- 59
- 60





61 1. Introduction

In recent years, global aerosol reanalyses have been developed by major operational and research 62 centers, owing to the availability of long-record satellite remote sensing aerosol products and 63 advancements in aerosol data assimilation and modeling. These reanalyses are based on their 64 operational counterparts that are included in the "Core Four" members of the International 65 Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction Multi Model Ensemble (ICAP-MME C4C; Sessions et al., 66 67 2016; Xian et al., 2019; Reid et al., 2022). The reanalyses include the Copernicus Atmosphere 68 Monitoring Service ReAnalysis (CAMSRA; Inness et al., 2019) produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF); the Japanese Reanalysis for Aerosol (JRAero) 69 70 (Yumimoto et al., 2017) developed by the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA); the NASA Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2; 71 Randles et al., 2017); and the Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System reanalysis (NAAPS-72 73 RA; Lynch et al., 2016) developed by the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory (NRL).

74 The aerosol reanalyses are similar to their operational counterparts and characterized by a high degree of independence in their underlying meteorology, aerosol sources, sinks, microphysics, and 75 chemistry, as well as in their assimilation methods for aerosol optical depth (AOD) observations. 76 A summary of the configurations of these four reanalyses is presented in Table 1. Notably, the use 77 of operational Terra and Aqua Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer data (MODIS Dark 78 Target and Deep Blue; Levy et al., 2013; Hsu et al., 2013) is consistent across these reanalyses, 79 although preprocessing treatments vary. These treatments include quality control, bias correction, 80 and aggregation and sampling. Additionally, several other products, such as MultiAngle Imaging 81 82 Spectroradiometer (MISR; Kahn et al., 2010), Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR; e.g., Ignatov et al., 2002), and Polar Multi-Sensor Aerosol product (PMAp; Grzegorski 83 et al., 2022), are assimilated into some of these reanalyses. Therefore, between their underlying 84 meteorology, physics, and data assimilation these reanalyses are characterized by a high degree of 85 independence overall. 86

87 Like atmospheric reanalysis products, aerosol reanalysis products, whether used individually or in combination, have been employed for diverse applications. They provide comprehensive aerosol 88 climatology and statistics to aid in understanding aerosol conditions across various regions and the 89 90 world (e.g., Reid et al., 2012; Xian et al., 2020; Nignombam et al., 2021; Ohno et al., 2022; Rubin et al., 2023). They are widely used to address a multitude of scientific inquiries in the fields of 91 aerosol radiative forcing (e.g., Randles et al., 2017; Markowicz et al., 2017; 2021a,b; Ohno et al., 92 2022; Zhang et al., 2023), aerosol-cloud interaction (e.g., McCoy et al., 2017; Ross et al., 2018; 93 Eck et al., 2018), aerosol-cryosphere interaction (e.g., Khan et al., 2018, 2019; 2020; 94 Roychoudhury et al., 2022), air quality and its impact on health (e.g., Tong et al., 2023; Cui et al., 95 2022; Jenwitheesuk et al., 2022; Lacima et al., 2022), biogeochemical cycles (e.g., Rahav et al., 96 97 2020; Borchardt et al., 2019; Mescioglu et al., 2019), among others. These reanalyses have been rigorously evaluated by the developing centers and various studies from different perspectives, 98 including AOD and other aerosol optical properties, mass concentrations, and vertical distribution 99 profiles. However, to date, no intercomparison among the four reanalyses has been conducted. 100

This study presents an intercomparison of the four available global aerosol reanalyses to evaluate
 their skill in simulating monthly average AOD. Additionally, this study includes the development
 of a Multi Reanalysis Consensus (MRC) product using a multi-model-consensus approach, similar





104 to the ICAP Multi Model Ensemble (ICAP-MME; Sessions et al., 2015; Xian et al., 2019). The MRC is a consensus mean of the four individual reanalyses, with a spatial resolution of 1°x1° 105 latitude/longitude and monthly temporal resolution. The study provides speciated AODs, fine-106 mode (FM), coarse-mode (CM) and total AODs at 550 nm for the period of 2003-2019 from three 107 reanalyses, and all four reanalyses are available for the time period of 2011-2019. In addition, a 108 companion study focuses on global and regional AOD trends derived from these reanalyses. The 109 110 validation of AODs from the MRC, and the four component members, is performed using ground-111 based AEROsol Robotic NETwork (AERONET; Holben et al., 1998) observations, with MODIS AOD for spatial distribution evaluation. The validation results, as well as the AOD climatology 112 113 and diversity of the reanalyses, are presented in Section 3. The study concludes with a summary of the findings in Section 4. 114

115

116 2. Data and Methods

117 This study intercompares the monthly average modal (total, FM, and CM) and speciated AOD 118 products from four aerosol reanalyses (RA) and their consensus, and evaluates the RA AODs with 119 AFRONET and the analyses (RA) and their consensus, and evaluates the RA AODs with

119 AERONET and the combined MODIS Dark Target/Deep Blue retrievals (Levy et al., 2013).

120 2.1 Individual product lines

121 Descriptions of the four reanalysis datasets, including CAMSRA, JRAero, MERRA-2, and 122 NAAPS-RA v1, are provided in this section. Table 1 provides a summary of the features of the

123 four reanalyses and the MRC used in this study.

124 2.1.1 CAMSRA

125 The Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring Service (CAMS) Reanalysis (CAMSRA, Inness et al.,

126 2019) is run at the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and is a

127 global reanalysis of atmospheric composition species, including aerosols. It builds on the

128 previous reanalyses of the MACC project (Inness et al., 2013) and the CAMS interim reanalysis

(Flemming et al., 2017). The CAMSRA is publicly available for the years 2003 to 2022 and is

130 being continuously updated for future years.

131 The CAMSRA is based on the Integrated Forecasting System (IFS) used by ECMWF for

132 numerical weather prediction and meteorological reanalysis. Two additional modules are

incorporated into the IFS for the CAMSRA, one to calculate the processes and reactions of the

chemical species and one to represent the prognostic aerosol species. The aerosol scheme

- includes prescribed and online emissions, dry and wet deposition, production of sulfate from a
- 136 gas-phase sulfur dioxide precursor, and the aging of hydrophobic organic matter and black
- 137 carbon to hydrophilic. The prescribed anthropogenic emissions come from the MACCity
- inventory (Granier et al., 2011) and the biomass burning (BB) emissions from the Global Fire
- Assimilation System, version 1.2 (GFASv1.2) (Kaiser et al., 2012). GFASv1.2 is a separate
- system to the IFS that uses satellite retrievals of fire radiative power to produce the BB emissions
- 141 that are then input as fixed emissions to the aerosol scheme. The transport of the aerosol species





- 142 by advection, convection and diffusion is calculated using the meteorological component of the
- 143 IFS and the wind fields from the meteorology are also used as parameters to estimate the online
- sea salt (Monahan et al., 1986) and dust (Ginoux et al., 2001) surface emissions. One key
- difference between the CAMSRA set up of the IFS and that used for numerical weather
- 146 prediction, is that for the CAMSRA the radiative impact of aerosol particles and ozone on
- 147 meteorology is also accounted for.
- 148 The observations used in the CAMSRA for aerosols are of total AOD at 550nm. These come
- 149 from MODIS collection 6 satellite retrievals for the entire period covered by CAMSRA and from
- the Advanced Along-Track Scanning Radiometer for the period 2003-2012. These AOD
- observations are simultaneously assimilated with trace gas and meteorological observations
- using the 4D variational data assimilation system of the IFS with a 12-hour assimilation window.
- 153 The products available from the CAMSRA include speciated AODs at a 3-hour temporal and
- approximately 0.7 degrees spatial resolution, whereas monthly mean AODs at 550nm were used
- 155 in this study.
- 156 2.1.2 JRAero

157 The Japanese Reanalysis for Aerosol (JRAero) was developed by the Meteorological Research 158 Institute (MRI) of the Japan Meteorological Agency and Kyushu University using the global 159 aerosol transport model MASINGAR Mk-2 (Yukimoto et al., 2012) and a two-dimensional 160 variational (2D-Var) data assimilation method. The model uses the MRI-AGCM3 atmospheric 161 general circulation model, and considers major tropospheric aerosol components, including black 162 carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), mineral dust, sea salt, and sulfate aerosols, and their precursors.

163 JRAero assimilates global AOD from a bias-corrected MODIS Level 3 AOD product provided by the US Naval Research Laboratory (NRL) and the University of North Dakota 164 (http://doi.org/10.5067/MODIS/MCDAODHD.NRT.061) every 6 hours. Anthropogenic and 165 166 biomass burning emissions were estimated using the MACCity (MACC/CityZEN EU projects) emission inventory (http://accent.aero.jussieu.fr/MACC metadata.php) and the Global Fire 167 168 Assimilation System (GFAS) dataset (http://www.gmesatmosphere.eu/about/project structure/input data/d fire). The reanalysis has a resolution of 169 170 TL159 (about $1.1^{\circ} \times 1.1^{\circ}$) with 48 vertical layers from the ground to 0.4 hPa. Validation results and additional information can be found in Yumimoto et al. (2017). 171

172 2.1.3 MERRA-2

173 The NASA Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2

174 (MERRA-2, Gelaro et al. 2017) is an atmospheric and aerosol reanalysis produced with the

- 175 NASA Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) Earth system model. Aerosol data assimilation
- brings in data from the MODIS and MISR satellite sensors (after 2000) and includes AERONET
- 177 ground-based sun photometer observations (through 2014). The Goddard Chemistry, Aerosol,
- 178 Radiation, and Transport model (GOCART; Chin et al. 2000; Colarco et al. 2010) is run online
- and radiatively coupled in the MERRA-2 system, and provides simulations of dust, sea salt,
- 180 sulfate, and black and organic carbon aerosol species.





181 Black and organic carbon are each partitioned into hydrophobic and hydrophilic modes, and a single bulk sulfate aerosol species is carried. Dust and sea salt are partitioned into five non-182 interacting size bins, with dust emissions based on the model 10-m wind speed and a topographic 183 source function following Ginoux et al. (2001), and sea salt emissions driven by the surface wind 184 friction speed modified from Gong (2003) and with a sea-surface temperature adjustment based 185 on Jaeglé et al. (2011). Explosive volcanic sulfur emissions are included through 2010 based on 186 Diehl et al. (2012), with a repeating annual cycle of degassing volcanic emissions subsequent. 187 188 Other emissions are as summarized in Table 1.

189 The analysis of AOD is performed on quality-controlled MODIS, MISR, and AERONET data as

described in Randles et al. (2017) and Buchard et al. (2015). The AOD analysis is performed by
 means of analysis splitting, where first a 2-D analysis of AOD is performed using error

192 covariances derived from innovation data. Three-dimensional analysis increments for aerosol

193 mass concentration are then computed using the Local Displacement Ensemble (LDE)

194 methodology, which accommodates misplacement of the aerosol plumes due to source or

transport issues. The ensemble perturbations are generated at the full model resolution, without

the need for multiple model runs. Online quality control is performed as in Dee et al. (2001),

197 with observation and background errors estimated as in Dee and da Silva (1999). Randles et al.

198 (2017) and Buchard et al. (2017) describe the overall methodology and validation of the

MERRA-2 AOD reanalysis. For this study, monthly mean speciated AODs and total AOD at 550

nm with 0.5 degree latitude and 0.625 degree longitude spatial resolution were used.

201 2.1.4 NAAPS-RA v1

202 The Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System (NAAPS, Lynch et al., 2016) is a global offline 203 chemical transport model developed at the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory. NAAPS simulates the 204 life cycles of aerosol particles and their gaseous precursors. The particle species include anthropogenic and biogenic fine (ABF, a mix of sulfate, organic aerosols and BC from non-BB 205 sources), BB smoke, aeolian dust, and sea salt aerosols. The transport, hygroscopic growth of 206 207 particles, dry and wet removal processes of these particles, and emissions of wind-blown particles are driven by the meteorological fields from the Navy Global Environmental model (NAVGEM, 208 209 Hogan, et al., 2014). Secondary organic aerosol (SOA) processes are represented with a 1st order approximation method, in which production of SOA from its precursors is assumed to be instant 210 211 and is pre-treated outside the model. Anthropogenic emissions come from the MACC inventory from ECMWF (Granier et al., 2011). BB smoke emission is derived from the Fire Locating and 212 213 Modeling of Burning Emissions (FLAMBE, Reid et al., 2009), which is constructed based on the MODIS fire hot spot data. In the reanalysis version, additional orbital corrections and regional 214 emission factors are incorporated. Aeolian dust emissions are determined based on the surface 215 friction velocity to the fourth power, and surface erodibility, which is adopted from Ginoux et al. 216 217 (2001) with regional tuning. Dust emission occurs when specific conditions related to surface 218 wetness and friction velocity thresholds are met. The representation of sea spray process adheres to Witek et al. (2007), with sea salt emission being governed by sea surface wind conditions. 219





- 220 The NAAPS-ReAnalysis (NAAPS-RA) v1 (Lynch et al., 2016) is derived from NAAPS, with
- assimilation of quality-assured and quality-controlled MODIS (Zhang et al., 2006; Hyer et al.
 2011) and MISR AOD products (Shi et al., 2011) using 2D variational data assimilation method
- (Zhang et al., 2008). It provides 3-D mass concentration, extinction, and 2-D 550 nm AOD from
- these aerosol species with $1^{\circ}x1^{\circ}$ latitude/longitude spatial and 6-hourly temporal resolution for the
- years 2003-2022. The BB smoke source and dust sources are regionally tuned to best match the
- 226 FM and CM AODs with AERONET AODs. Aerosol wet removals within the tropical region were
- regulated with satellite precipitation product (Xian et al., 2009) to mitigate model's deficiency to
- 228 simulate convective precipitation. The reanalysis shows similar decadal trend of AOD found in
- satellite products (e.g., Zhang et al., 2017) and was verified with various field campaign data (e.g.,
- Reid et al., 2016; Atwood et al., 2017; Edwards et al., 2022; Reid et al., 2023) in addition to ground
- and space-based observations.

232 2.2 Multi-reanalysis-consensus (MRC)

The MRC product is a result of combining four individual aerosol reanalysis products described above. This method follows the multi-model-ensemble approach used by the International

234 above. This method follows the multi-model-ensemble approach used by the methational 235 Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) and is based on the work by Sessions et al. (2015) and

236 Xian et al. (2019). The MRC provides speciated and total AOD at 550 nm with a $1^{\circ}x1^{\circ}$ lat/lon

degree and monthly resolution for the period 2011-2019. Data for the period 2003-2010 are

238 available from all three individual reanalyses except JRAero.

| | Organization | Meterology | Resolution lat x lon | DA metho | Assimilated obs. | Species | Anthro. & Biogenic Emission | BB Emissions | Available time | reference | |
|----------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|--|
| CAMSRA | ECMWF | Inline ERA5 | 0.7 x 0.7 | 4D-Var | DAQ MODIS PMAp | BC, OM, Sulfate Dust, Sea Salt | MACCity (trend: ACCMIP + RCP8.5), monthly VOC | GFAS | 2003- present | Inness et al., 2019 | |
| | NASA | Inline MERRA-2 | | 2D-Var | Neural Net MODIS, | BC, OC, Sulfate | EDGAR V4.1, | GFED before 2009, | 1980- | | |
| MERRA-2 | | | 0.5 x 0.6 | +LDE | MISR, AVHRR, AERONET | Dust, Sea Salt | AeroCom Phase II | QFED after 2009 | present | Randles et al., 2017 | |
| NAAPS-RA | NRL | Offline NOGAPS/NAVGEM | 1 x 1 | 2D-Var | DAQ MODIS, MISR | BB smoke, Dust, Sea Salt, ABF | MACCity, BOND POET, monthly SOA | FLAMBE | 2003- present | Lynch et al., 2016 | |
| JRAero | JMA | Inline MRI AGCM3 | 1.1 x 1.1 | 2D-Var | DAQ MODIS | BC, OC, Sulfate Dust, Sea Salt | te MACCity GFAS | | 2011- present | Yumimoto et al., 2017 | |
| MRC | - | - | 1 x 1 | - | - | BB smoke, Dust, Sea Salt, ABF | - | - | 2003- present | this work | |

Table 1. Summary of the characteristics of the aerosol reanalyses.

240

241 2.3 AERONET

AERONET is a global ground-based sun photometer network managed by NASA. Sun and sky radiance at multiple wavelengths, covering the near-ultraviolet to near-infrared, are measured (Holben et al., 1998). Version 3 Level 2 AERONET daily data (Giles et al., 2019), which are cloud-screened and quality-assured, are used in this study. The estimated uncertainty in AERONET measured AOD, due primarily to calibration uncertainty, is ~0.01-0.02 at optical airmass of one for network field instruments (with the highest errors in the UV; Eck et al., 1999).

The 550 nm FM and CM AODs and total AODs are derived with the Spectral Deconvolution
Method (SDA; O'Neill et al. 2003). The AERONET SDA product has been verified using in situ
measurements (see for example Kaku et al., 2014). The spectral separation of FM and CM particles
is determined based on their distinctive optical properties and complete size distributions. As part
of this separation, a diameter of approximately 1µm serves as an approximate threshold to





- 253 differentiate FM and CM particles. This optical separation is different from the sub-micron fraction
- 254 (SMF) method that uses a specified cutoff radius of the particle size distribution in the AERONET
- 255 (AOD & sky radiance) inversion and allows more data to be available compared to the SMF
- method. The FM fraction based on SDA is generally comparable and slightly greater than SMF(O'Neill et al., 2023).
- This study uses AERONET sites that have more than 5 years of observations and more than 1000 daily data between 2011 and 2019 for verification purposes. Monthly AOD was derived for months that have more than 15 days of daily data. Then only sites with more than 45 total number of
- 261 months (upper three quartiles of sites regarding total number of monthly data) were selected. This 262 resulted in a total number of 200 sites globally. A list of the site names is available in Table S1
- resulted in a total number of 200 sites globally. A list of the site names is and locations of these sites can be found in Figure 8.
- 264 2.4 MODIS AOD
- MODIS AOD, used for global AOD distribution evaluation of the RAs, was based on Collection 265 266 6.1 Dark Target and Deep Blue retrieval products (Levy et al., 2013). Additional quality control processes were applied as described in Zhang and Reid (2006) and Shi et al. (2011) for over water, 267 Hyer et al. (2011) for over land, and Shi et al. (2013) for over desert regions. These quality control 268 processes were updated for the Collection 6.1 data and the final MODIS C6.1 AOD (550 nm) data 269 is a level 3 product with 1°x1° latitude/longitude spatial and 6-hourly temporal resolution. Those 270 271 6-hour-averaged MODIS AOD data were then binned into monthly means. Note that MODIS AOD products are well known to low bias significant aerosol events (Reid et al., 2022; Gumber et 272 al., 2023), which could result in a slightly low AOD climatology, especially in source regions. 273
- 274 2.5 Analysis Method

This study aims to investigate the diversity and utility of RAs for climate-scale studies by exploring the AOD at 550 nm. To achieve this goal, the AOD data from the RAs, as well as MODIS, were spatially and temporally binned into $1^{\circ}x1^{\circ}$ degrees and monthly resolutions. For the purpose of verification and intercomparison analysis, only the data between 2011 and 2019 were used as that is the time period when all the RAs have data. The study focuses on the 550 nm AOD parameter since it is available for all the four aerosol RAs and MODIS. Furthermore, the AERONET FM and CM AODs at 550 nm were obtained using the SDA method described in Sect. 2.3.

The study examines the performance of RAs globally and regionally. Sixteen regions, including 282 the globe, are defined for regional aerosol property analysis. They include East Asia, Southeast 283 Asia, South Asia, Maritime Continent, Australia, Southwest Asia, Europe, Northwest Africa, 284 South Africa, West North America, East North America, Central America, South America, as 285 indicated by the rectangular boxes in Figure 5, and Arctic (north of 70°N), and Antarctic (south of 286 287 75°S). There is no AERONET site satisfying site selection criteria as described in Section 2.3 in the Arctic and Antarctic, so these two regions are not included for regional verification though 288 they are included in other analyses. 289

Regarding the aerosol species, the study focuses on BB smoke, ABF in NAAPS-RA, and its equivalent of sulfate for MERRA-2, CAMSRA, and JRAero, as well as dust and sea salt. The





292 definition of species follows the ICAP practices (Sessions et al., 2015; Xian et al., 2019) for the operational counterparts of these RAs and previous applications of these RAs (e.g., Xian et al., 293 2022), in which the sum of Organic Matter (OM) and BC AODs from CAMSRA, and the sum of 294 OC and BC AODs from MERRA-2 and JRAero, is used to approximate BB smoke AODs. 295 Although this separation of species may be somewhat arbitrary, the study takes into account the 296 fact that different aerosol types and sources may be represented differently in each RA. For 297 example, the NAAPS-RA model characterizes aerosol species by emission source rather than 298 299 chemical speciation, which makes it unique. In contrast, CAMSRA, MERRA-2, and JRAero characterize OM or OC, BC, and inorganic species, merging contributions from various 300 301 anthropogenic, biomass burning and biogenic sources.

302 The study also assumes that all sea salt and dust are CM, while other aerosol species are FM. The 303 segregation of sea salt and dust to the CM category is based on the fact that only a small portion of total sea salt or dust AOD at 550nm are attributed to their FM components. For example, FM 304 sea salt represents about 17%, 10% and 11% of total sea salt AOD globally in MERRA-2, 305 CAMSRA and JRAero respectively. The numbers are about 30%, 39% and 32% for dust. While 306 FM fraction of dust during dust storms in Africa varies between 20-25% according to AERONET. 307 The FM fraction of dust from MERRA-2, CAMSRA and JRAero might be biased high as these 308 309 global models tend to overestimate FM dust and underestimate CM dust (for example O'Sullivan et al., 2020; Kramer et al., 2020). In contrast, NAAPS-RA assumes all sea salt and dust are CM. 310 Verification results based on the FM and CM AODs derived using the FM fractions of sea salt and 311 dust from MERRA-2, CAMSRA and JRAero can be found in the supplemental material. 312 Generally, the validation of FM and CM AODs with AERONET data shows a degradation in 313 314 performance for the three RAs compared to the verification results presented below.

AOD validation results for total, FM, and CM AOD regarding bias, root mean square error (RMSE), and coefficient of determination (r^2) for monthly-mean AODs are presented.

- 317 3. Results
- 318 3.1 Total and speciated AOD climatology







319

Normal American Instant 1550 and AOD alimetels of from MODIC the form DA

Figure 1. Annual and seasonal total 550nm AOD climatology from MODIS, the four RAs, and the MRC over 2003-2019, except JRAero for 2011-2019. The white area in MODIS plots means a lack of data.

323 The climatological annual and seasonal mean total AODs at 550nm from MODIS and the four aerosol RAs and their consensus (MRC) are presented in Figure 1. In general, there are very similar 324 spatial AOD distribution patterns and AOD magnitude among the RAs and MODIS for all four 325 326 seasons. This is expected as MODIS total AOD is assimilated into all of these products as well as used to tune the model components such as emissions. High AOD regions include the dust-327 dominated Sahara in Mar-Apr-May (MAM) and Jun-Jul-Aug (JJA), Sahel in Dec-Jan-Feb (DJF) 328 and MAM, Southwest Asia and Taklamakan in MAM and JJA, anthropogenic pollution-329 330 dominated East Asia and South Asia throughout the year, BB smoke-dominated South Africa, South America in JJA and Sep-Oct-Nov (SON), Southeast Asia in MAM, Maritime Continent in 331 SON, and high-latitude North America and Eurasia in JJA. For the annual mean, MODIS AOD is 332 333 relatively high compared to the MRC in the northern hemisphere's high latitudes due to seasonal sampling bias. MODIS was able to retrieve AOD during biomass burning active season, i.e. boreal 334 Summer-to-Fall, but it couldn't retrieve AOD during northern winter in the high latitudes due to 335 the lack of sunlight. The high AOD over high-latitude Eurasia and North America in MODIS 336





337 annual mean is a general reflection of MODIS summertime AOD, which is captured by all the RAs in their summertime mean AODs. It is also noted that all the RAs have slightly higher AOD 338 (on the order of 0.02) over the ocean than MODIS QAed product here. MODIS AOD products are 339 well known to low bias significant aerosol events (e.g., Reid et al., 2022; Gumber et al., 2023), 340 which could lower the mean state of AOD. The slightly lower MODIS AOD compared to the RAs 341 could also be related to clear-sky and contextual bias (Zhang et al., 2009), as MODIS AOD 342 retrieval is only available under clear-sky conditions, while all the RAs include all-sky conditions. 343 344 Sea salt and dust emissions are often associated with cloudy synoptic weather systems, and hygroscopic aerosol species, such as sulfate, sea salt, and BB smoke, can potentially grow larger 345 346 in size in a moister environment, introducing a higher all-sky AOD than the clear-sky AOD.



Figure 2. Annual mean total and speciated AODs of the MRC and the AOD difference betweenthe individual RA and the MRC based on the 2011-2019 average.





350 Previous experience with multi-model ensembles suggests that the consensus of multi-models, in general, shows better skill than individual contributing models (Sessions et al., 2015; Xian et al., 351 2019; Reid et al., 2022). Similar verification conclusion is also drawn in Section 3.3. Therefore, 352 the total and speciated AODs from the MRC based on the 2011-2019 average are used as a baseline 353 here and are shown in Figure 2. As expected, sulfate/ABF AOD is relatively high over population-354 dense and industrially polluted regions, dust AOD is high over major desert and arid regions, and 355 sea salt AOD is relatively high over mid-to-high latitude oceans. BB smoke and its components 356 357 BC and OC/OA are relatively high over South Africa, South America, Southeast Asia, the Maritime continent, and Siberia, North American high latitudes major BB source regions. BC and 358 359 OC/OA AOD are also relatively high over South Asia and East Asia, where sources other than BB, such as anthropogenic emission, are the main contributors, as suggested by contrasting smoke 360 AOD contribution to the total AOD between NAAPS-RA and other RAs in these regions (Figures 361 3 and 10. Noting that smoke AOD is driven by BB in NAAPS-RA, while smoke AOD is a sum 362 of BC and OC/OA from the other RAs). 363

364 Shown also in Figure 2 are the total and speciated AOD differences between the individual RA 365 and the MRC. For total AOD, CAMSRA is apparently higher than the other three RAs over the ocean, which is consistent with the findings on its operational counterpart of high biased FM AOD 366 367 verified with Maritime Aerosol Network over the ocean in Reid et al. (2022). This high bias is attributed to its universally higher OA/smoke AOD compared to other RAs, and suggests that 368 CAMSRA may have higher BB emissions and/or less efficient removals compared to the other 369 370 RAs. Sulfate AOD is relatively low in CAMSRA except for some highly biased hotspots around outgassing volcanoes (in particular Mauna Loa and near Mexico City) as mentioned in Inness et 371 372 al (2019). NAAPS-RA ABF AOD is higher than sulfate AOD in other RAs especially in East Asia, South Asia, central Africa, and north South America. This is expected as ABF in NAAPS-RA 373 includes biogenic and anthropogenic primary and secondary aerosols besides sulfate. For dust 374 AOD, MERRA-2 is relatively higher over north Africa and Arabian Peninsula and NAAPS-RA is 375 relatively higher over most regions, including oceanic areas, while CAMSRA and JRAero are 376 377 relatively lower over most regions except around Gobi desert for CAMSRA and Iran for JRAero. As for sea salt AOD, MERRA-2 is relatively higher over the tropical oceans, and lower over the 378 379 southern ocean. JRAero sea salt AOD is relatively higher over most continents, which is probably unphysical. 380

The differences in speciated AOD result in significant variations in their contributions to the total 381 AOD, as illustrated in Figure 3. For instance, the considerably higher BB smoke AOD in 382 CAMSRA compared to other RAs makes BB smoke the predominant contributor to total AOD in 383 the CAMSRA over most continents, adjacent water bodies, and polar regions, except for regions 384 385 where dust is dominant. Sulfate AOD, on the other hand, contributes more to the total AOD, particularly over oceanic regions in the JRAero compared to other RAs. Both MERRA-2 and 386 JRAero exhibit higher sulfate contributions along the western coasts of South America and North 387 America, suggesting possible increased production of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) in those areas. Dust 388 AOD, on the other hand, contributes more to the total AOD particularly over oceanic regions in 389 390 NAAPS-RA compared to the other RAs. Sea salt AOD is found to contribute more to the total





391 AOD in the high-latitude oceans and the Antarctic in NAAPS-RA compared to the other RAs. The OC/OA AOD contribution to the total AOD closely mirrors the distribution of BB smoke, as 392 anticipated. The contribution of BC to the total AOD is generally small, ranging between 5-10% 393 in BB regions, except for central South Africa where it reaches 10-15%. Despite the higher ratio 394 of BB smoke AOD to total AOD ratio in CAMSRA, the ratio of BC to total AOD over East Asia 395 and South Asia is smaller in CAMSRA compared to MERRA-2 and JRAero, suggesting that BC 396 397 emissions from anthropogenic sources maybe lower in CAMSRA. Finally, the contributions of 398 FM and CM AOD to the total AOD are also depicted in Figure 3. It is consistent among the RAs that FM is the dominant contributor over most land regions except for regions where dust is 399 400 dominant, such as North Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, the Middle East, and the Gobi. In all the RAs, CM is the dominant contributor over oceanic regions, except for regions influenced by 401 continental BB smoke and pollution outflow. The contribution of CM in CAMSRA is generally 402 smaller in tropical to mid-latitude oceans compared to other RAs, due to its higher contribution 403 from BB smoke. It is also noted that CM is dominant over FM in the Antarctic in NAAPS-RA, 404 405 while FM is dominant in the Antarctic in the other three RAs, though total AOD is very small (annual and seasonal means < 0.04 from MRC) and hard to validate due to lack of observational 406 407 data.

Table 2 provides a summary of global-average total AOD and speciated AODs, as well as the contributions of speciated AOD to total AOD for all the RAs. Overall, the annual and global mean total AODs are similar, hovering around 0.14 for most RAs. However, CAMSRA stands out with a slightly higher total AOD of 0.151, which compared to the MRC is 0.012 higher, while the differences between the other RAs and MRC are within ± 0.005 . Total AODs over land show minimal variation among the RAs, likely due to the cancellation of high and low-biased speciated AODs. Over water, CAMSRA exhibits slightly higher AOD compared to other RAs.

Speciated AODs, especially smoke AOD and OA/OC AOD display greater diversity among the 415 416 RAs. Smoke and OA AODs from CAMSRA are 2-3 times higher than those from the other RAs. Smoke AOD contributes to 41% of total AOD in CAMSRA, while ranging from 16%-22% in 417 other RAs. Moreover, the standard deviation of smoke and OA AODs with respect to the 12 418 months is also higher in CAMSRA than in other RAs. The contribution of dust AOD to total AOD 419 varies from 13% to 28% for all the RAs, with NAAPS dust AOD being the highest among the RAs 420 421 and about 2 times that of CAMSRA, which has the lowest dust AOD among the RAs. The contribution of sulfate/ABF AOD to total AOD ranges from 23% to 34%, with the highest 422 contribution observed in JRAero. Sea salt AOD contributes 25% to 35% to total AOD in the RAs 423 with JRAero being the highest. BC AOD, on the other hand, contributes only 3% to 4% of total 424 425 AOD across the RAs. The FM's contribution to the overall AOD varies across different datasets. 426 In MERRA-2, NAAPS-RA, and JRAero, FM accounts for 44% to 51% of the total AOD. However, in CAMSRA, its contribution is notably higher at 63%, primarily due to its significant 427 contribution from BB. Conversely, CM's contribution to total AOD is consistent across the three 428 RAs, ranging from 49% to 56%. In contrast, CM's contribution is lower, at 37%, in CAMSRA. 429







0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 ratio of speciated or modal AOD to total AOD

430

Figure 3. Ratio of speciated AODs, FM and CM AODs to total AOD from the MRC and theindividual RAs based on the 2011-2019 annual average.

433 Table 2. Global area-weighted mean modal (total, FM, CM) and speciated AOD and standard

deviation of monthly AOD based on 2011-2019 data. Percentage numbers in the brackets are

435 contributions of speciated AOD to total AOD. Global mean total AODs over land and water are

436 shown in the last two rows.





| | | gl | obal mean A | AOD standard deviation w.r.t. 12 months | | | | | | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|-------------|--------|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| | CAMSRA | MERRA2 | NAAPSRA | JRAero | MRC | CAMSRA | MERRA2 | NAAPSRA | JRAero | MRC |
| total | 0.151 | 0.137 | 0.134 | 0.134 | 0.139 | 0.018 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0.013 |
| dust | 0.019 (13%) | 0.029 (21%) | 0.037 (28%) | 0.021 (16%) | 0.026 (19%) | 0.008 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0.008 |
| sea salt | 0.037 (25%) | 0.041 (30%) | 0.038 (28%) | 0.045 (34%) | 0.040 (29%) | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| sulfate/ABF | 0.034 (23%) | 0.037 (27%) | 0.037 (28%) | 0.046 (34%) | 0.039 (28%) | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| smoke | 0.062 (41%) | 0.030 (22%) | 0.022 (16%) | 0.022 (16%) | 0.034 (24%) | 0.009 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0.007 |
| BC x 10 | 0.061 (4%) | 0.059 (4%) | - | 0.044 (3%) | 0.054 (4%) | 0.013 | 0.009 | - | 0.008 | 0.009 |
| OC/OA | 0.056 (37%) | 0.024 (18%) | - | 0.018 (13%) | 0.033 (24%) | 0.007 | 0.006 | - | 0.006 | 0.006 |
| FM | 0.096 (63%) | 0.067 (49%) | 0.059 (44%) | 0.068 (51%) | 0.073 (53%) | | | | | |
| CM | 0.056 (37%) | 0.070 (51%) | 0.075 (56%) | 0.066 (49%) | 0.066 (47%) | | | | | |
| land total | 0.180 | 0.174 | 0.175 | 0.176 | 0.176 | | | | | |
| water total | 0.136 | 0.118 | 0.112 | 0.111 | 0.112 | | | | | |

437

438 **3.2** Geographical diversity of speciated AOD among the four reanalyses

439 The diversity of the global-average total and speciated AODs is already documented in Table 2. Figure 4 provides the geographical distribution of the relative spread of speciated annual mean 440 AODs from the RAs to their means. Spread, in this context, is defined as the ratio of the standard 441 442 deviation of the RAs AODs to their mean. It is noteworthy that the relative spread of total AOD from the four RAs is generally small, except for polar regions and specific hotspots where known 443 444 issues exist. For instance, biases in CAMSRA AOD have been identified over Hawaii and Mexico's volcanic outgassing regions. In polar regions, there are limited satellite observations to 445 446 constrain model fields, resulting in a larger spread, which is consistent with the findings of Xian et al. (2022) on AODs from CAMSRA, MERRA-2 and NAAPS-RA over the Arctic. Similarly, 447 448 over high terrains with snow and ice covers, such as the Himalayas and the Andes, and over desert regions, such as the Australian deserts, and the Bodele Depression region in the Sahara, both 449 450 retrievals and models face challenges, leading to a larger spread. Moreover, over the Maritime Continent, where high cloud coverage poses challenges to remote sensing retrievals for both AOD 451 and BB smoke emissions, the spread is also relatively large. 452

The aforementioned characteristics are also evident in the spread of speciated AODs. However, 453 the spreads of the speciated AODs among the RAs are much larger compared to the total AOD, 454 particularly in regions that are remote from aerosol sources. This suggests that the efficiency of 455 removal processes during long-range transport may differ. This is also relevant to the fact that data 456 457 assimilation constrains the total AOD, but speciated AOD remains unconstrained. Moreover, the 458 disparities in definitions of species, such as sulfate/ABF, BB smoke, OC/OA, as discussed in Section 2.5, can also influence the spread of these FM species. The relative spread of speciated 459 AODs being much larger than that of total AOD, is broadly consistent with the AeroCom results, 460 461 where global climate models (without data assimilation) were intercompared in terms of aerosol optical properties and life cycles (Kinne et al., 2006; Textor et al., 2006; Gliß et al., 2021). 462

463







Figure 4. Spread of total and speciated climatological annual-mean AOD among the four RAs.Spread here is defined as the ratio of the standard deviation of the RA AODs to their mean.

467 **3.3. Evaluation with AERONET AOD**

This section presents evaluation of the monthly performance of the four RAs plus the consensus
at the AERONET sites on regional and global scales. Both skill and consistency of the different
RAs and consensus are evaluated.

471 3.3.1 Bias, RMSE, and correlation between the RAs and AERONET

472

464

The regional and global mean modal AOD bias, RMSE, and square of the correlations for the four 473 RAs and the MRC are shown as bar graphs on global maps in Figures 5, 6 and 7. Regarding 474 475 regional bias, all the RAs except for CAMSRA, have large negative biases (on the order of -0.1) in total AOD over Southeast Asia, South Asia, and the Maritime continent (Figure 5). The much 476 smaller negative bias in total AOD over these regions in CAMSRA is a result of the cancelation 477 478 of a positive bias in FM, possibly due to high biased OA/smoke AOD, and a negative bias in CM. 479 The large negative biases over these regions in the other RAs are mainly attributed to large negative biases in FM AOD in general. It is also noted that CAMSRA is biased relatively high in total AOD 480 due to high FM bias over East Asia. Over other regions and the globe, all the RAs have relatively 481 482 small biases and in general slight positive biases, with CAMSRA having the largest positive bias, due mainly to relatively high OA/smoke AOD. The cancellation effect of positive FM bias and 483 484 negative CM bias in CAMSRA are also visible.

485

Total AOD RMSEs are relatively high over all Asian regions and North Africa compared to other 486 regions for all the RAs (Figure 6). The contribution of FM to total AOD RMSE is larger than that 487 from CM globally, except over dust-influenced regions, including North Africa and, for most 488 489 models, Southwest Asia and Central America. The correlations of total AOD between the RAs and AERONET data are mostly reasonable for all the regions (Figure 7). Some relatively low-490 performance regions (total AOD r^2 less than 0.60 for at least one RA) include South Asia, 491 Southwest Asia, Australia, Europe, and East Asia. The relatively low correlations over Australia 492 and Europe are due to the low climatological mean and variance. While the other low-performance 493





494 regions are all mixed pollution and dust environment that is challenging for all RAs. Some relatively high-performance regions (total AOD r² greater than 0.90 for at least two RA members) 495 include Central America, Peninsula Southeast Asia, and Maritime Continent. Total and CM AOD 496 r^2 are high over Central America, because it is a receptor region for African dust, and RAs perform 497 well in general during long-range transport over ocean where data assimilation is very effective in 498 correcting model AOD fields. Total and FM AOD r² are high over Peninsula Southeast Asia, and 499 Maritime Continent, because the regional dominant aerosol species, BB smoke, have large 500 interannual variabilities, due to the impact of ENSO on fire activities in the regions (e.g., Reid et 501 al., 2012; Xian, et al., 2013). Overall, the MRC exhibits superior r² compared to individual RAs 502 503 for modal AODs regionally and globally.

504

505 For remote marine sites, including Ascension Island in the mid-basin of south Atlantic, Ragged 506 Point in the western Tropical Atlantic, Mauna Loa in Hawaii, MCO-Hanimaadhoo in the north Indian Ocean, and REUNION DENIS in the south Indian Ocean, the RAs exhibit similar 507 508 performance at these sites as they do over the upwind land or coastal regions (Fig. S1). An exception is Maura Loa. Maura Loa is situated at an elevation of 3.4 km, well above the marine 509 boundary layer and remote from continental sources. At this location, all the RAs exhibit a 510 511 significant positive bias. One possible explanation for this bias is the topographic effect, as the 512 coarse spatial resolutions of the models may not be able to resolve the site's high elevation. 513 Additionally, uncertainties in the removal processes during long-range transport may also be contributing to the high bias. 514

515

When considering the contribution of dust and sea salt aerosols to FM AOD in CAMSRA, 516 517 MERRA-2 and JRAero, the verification statistics (bias, RMSE and r^2) for the total AOD of these 518 RAs remain unchanged as expected (Fig. S2, S3, S4). However, there is a noticeable shift in the positive bias of FM AOD (and negative bias of CM AOD) for these RAs, particularly in regions 519 influenced by dust, such as North Africa, the Arabian Peninsula, East Asia, Central America, South 520 Asia, and Europe. Specifically, the positive bias in FM AOD becomes more pronounced, and the 521 negative bias in CM AOD becomes more negative in these regions, especially for CAMSRA. It's 522 523 worth noting that in MERRA-2, there is a change in sign, where the FM AOD bias switches from 524 negative to positive in North Africa and the Arabian Peninsula, while the CM AOD bias changes from positive to negative in these regions. Additionally, the negative FM AOD bias becomes 525 smaller, however the negative CM AOD bias worsens in South Asia within both MERRA-2 and 526 JRAero datasets (Fig. S2). In general, when taking into account the contribution of dust and sea 527 salt aerosols to FM AOD (by default, dust and sea salt AODs are treated as CM AODs in this 528 study) in CAMSRA, MERRA-2, and JRAero, we observe a worsening of both FM and CM AOD 529 530 biases in these three datasets. Similarly, the RMSE for both FM and CM AODs over regions 531 influenced by dust deteriorates as well (Fig. S3). The r^2 for FM and CM AODs in these regions also worsens overall, with the exception of an improvement in FM AOD over Central America. 532 533 FM sea salt's impact on the verification score is small as the majority of AERONET sites are on land and FM sea salt only contributes on the order of $\sim 10\%$ to total sea salt AOD in the three RAs. 534 535







536

- Figure 5. Regional total, FM, and CM AOD biases for the four reanalyses and the MRC
- compared with AERONET data. Each grouped bars in the same color system present total, FM,
- and CM AOD biases from left to right (also dark to light).
- 540
- 541



542 543

Figure 6. Same as Figure 5, except for AOD RMSE.







Figure 7. Same as Figure 5, except for the AOD coefficient of determination (r^2) .

546 547

548 3.3.2 Rankings of the RAs with respect to validation statistics

To expand the validation result from regional averages to individual sites, including remote sites 549 that are not included in the regional analysis, rankings of the RAs in terms of RMSE of monthly 550 551 total AOD at all the AERONET sites are displayed in Figure 8. It shows that there are cases in that individual RA ranks first over some regions. For example, CAMSRA ranks relatively better than 552 553 others in South and Southeast Asia, MERRA2 ranks better over North Africa and Arabia Peninsula, NAAPS-RA ranks better over North America and Europe while JRAero performs 554 relatively better over Southern North America and the Caribbean. Individual reanalysis has mixed 555 556 results for sites in other regions. AOD RMSE of the MRC is not always the lowest for a given site, but it is relatively low and stable over the globe. This is consistent with the regional RMSE result 557 (Figure 6). The consensus wins because of its averaging of independent models. This is consistent 558 with our findings with the ICAP models. 559

560

561 Challenging sites for these RAs are found as marked by the magenta color in Figure 8. These sites exhibit an r^2 value of less than 0.25, and are associated with relatively large AOD bias and/or 562 RMSE. Often, when a challenge occurs, it is a common challenge to all models, and no specific 563 model is much better than the others. Some of the causes for the challenges include lack or large 564 uncertainty in local emissions (e.g. Modena in Northern Italy, Mainz in Germany, Cario EMA in 565 566 Egypt, Trelew and CEILAP-RG sites in Argentina), and/or topographic effects that are not 567 resolved in these RAs due mostly to coarse model spatial resolutions (e.g., Mauna Loa), and sites 568 that are impacted by mixed pollution and dust (Dushanbe in Tajikistan).

569







570

Figure 8: Ranking of aerosol RAs in terms of RMSE of monthly total AOD at 550nm over all the AERONET sites. Rectangles are used to delineate regions for regional validation, as depicted in Figures 5, 6, 7. A lower RMSE indicates better performance, with a ranking of 1 being the most desirable. AERONET sites with a coefficient of determination (r^2) less than 0.25 are marked in magenta, indicating a lack of skill from the model.

576

Ranking analyses were also conducted on the RMSEs of FM and CM AODs, absolute bias, and 577 coefficient of determination (r^2) of modal AODs. Figure 9 presents the MRC rankings for all these 578 579 comparison statistics. In line with the MRC ranking for the total AOD's RMSE, the MRC rankings for other metrics are predominantly ranked first or second, except for the absolute biases, where 580 581 MRC rankings are often ranked third over North America and Europe for total and FM AODs. For 582 these modes and over these regions, all the RAs have positive biases relative to AERONET. When the biases are in the same sign (positive or negative), it is mathematically natural for MRC to rank 583 in the middle. For CM and FM AODs, there are more sites with $r^2 < 0.25$ compared to the total 584 AOD. These sites mostly have small values of CM or FM AODs, and reside in regions of opposite-585 mode dominance, such as FM in Saharan region, CM in northern Europe and N. America. From 586 another perspective, the MRC ranking with respect to correlations is superior to RMSE and then 587 absolute bias. That is, the MRC better captures aerosol variance than the individual models, but is 588 nevertheless subject to overall model biases. The MRC ranking for CM AOD is slightly superior 589 to that of total AOD and then FM AOD. While the MRC ranking is not consistently at the top for 590





- a given site or region, it is relatively high and stable, ranking first for the global average. No
- individual RAs could compete with the MRC in that sense.



593

Figure 9. Ranking of the MRC among all the RAs in terms of r^2 , absolute bias, and RMSE of the total, FM, and CM AODs over AERONET sites.

596

597 3.4 Seasonality of Regional AODs

In Section 3.1 we depict the spatial distribution of total AODs from all the RAs across the four 598 599 seasons. In this section, we provide monthly time series of AOD and AOD interannual variabilities 600 for 16 regions (Fig. 10), along with the contributions of speciated AOD to the total AOD for these regions. All the RAs exhibit a similar seasonality and interannual variability of total AOD for all 601 602 regions, except for the Antarctic and Arctic, particularly during their winter seasons. This disparity arises from the absence of passive satellite AOD data during polar winter, which limits the effect 603 604 of data assimilation on model AOD (Xian et al., 2022). Even during polar summer, AOD retrievals 605 are often unavailable due to high reflectance from surface ice/snow. The polar regions demonstrate 606 the most significant diversity among the RAs in the seasonal cycle and speciation of AOD.

607

The regions that are dominated by BB-smoke, including South Africa, South America, Maritime 608 609 Continent, Peninsula SE Asia, and western North America, exhibit consistent peak seasons of total 610 AOD with their respective burning seasons. The Maritime Continent and Peninsula SE Asia experience extremely large interannual variations of peak monthly AOD, owing to a strong 611 positive correlation between burning activities and El Nino cycles (e.g., Reid et al., 2012; Xian et 612 al., 2013). The contributions of sulfate/ABF AOD induced by pollution are dominant in East Asia 613 and South Asia, while other aerosol species also make a significant contribution to the total AOD. 614 In Europe and East N. America, sulfate/ABF is also the dominant species; however, the monthly 615 total AOD values are much smaller. All the RAs capture the dominance of dust species in 616 summertime over SW Asia and NW Africa. The relatively high AOD in springtime in NW Africa 617 is partially due to BB in Sahel. In Australia, the peak AOD in Oct-Dec is associated with BB 618





- 619 smoke. In Central America, the relatively high AOD in the springtime results from BB smoke. Although quite diverse in AOD magnitude, all RAs tend to have a summertime total AOD peak 620 621 attributed to dust. For the global average, sea salt AOD has a significant contribution to the total AOD as the area of the ocean overwhelms the area of land. Monthly time series of the speciated 622 AODs for all the regions are available in the Figure S5. Overall, the seasonality and interannual 623 variability of total AOD for most regions is very similar among the RAs. Moreover, all RAs have 624 625 the same dominant species for most regions, but the contributions from different species can be 626 quite different in these RAs. This is a result of the fact that total AOD is constrained within these RAs through data assimilation, while speciated AODs are not. Aerosol speciation and the 627 contribution of each species to the total AOD are determined by the construction of the aerosol 628 forecast models, which are very independent in these RAs. 629
- 630

















633

- Figure 10. Climatological seasonal cycle of regional mean total AOD (left), and contribution
- 635 fraction of speciated AOD to the total AOD for the corresponding regions from the four RAs and
- the MRC (right). Bars in the seasonal cycle plots represent the interquartile range of monthly-
- 637 mean AOD for 2011-2019.
- 638

639 4. Conclusions

This study compares the monthly average total, and speciated aerosol optical depths (AODs) from 640 four different aerosol reanalyses (RAs). These include the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring 641 Service ReAnalysis (CAMSRA) developed by Copernicus/ECMWF; the Japanese Reanalysis for 642 Aerosol (JRAero) developed at the Japan Meteorological Agency (JMA); the Modern-Era 643 Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, version 2 (MERRA-2) developed by 644 NASA; and the Navy Aerosol Analysis and Prediction System reanalysis (NAAPS-RA), 645 646 developed by the U.S. Naval Research Laboratory. The consensus of the four RAs is also developed for intercomparison. The AODs from these RAs are evaluated with AEROsol Robotic 647 NETwork (AERONET) and the combined MODIS Dark Target/Deep Blue retrievals (Levy et al., 648 649 2013; Sayer et al. (2014)) using data from 2011-2019. The following are the conclusions drawn from this study: 650

- Global distribution and magnitude of total AOD demonstrate a high level of similarity
 among all four RAs. The spread of total AOD among the RAs is small over most regions.
 Exceptions, where the RAs diverge in total AOD are polar regions and areas affected by
 specific factors, including volcanic outgassing, high terrains, and certain desert regions.
- 2) The relative spread of speciated AODs is considerably larger than that of total AOD. 655 CAMSRA consistently yields higher values for biomass burning (BB) smoke or Organic 656 Aerosol (OA) AOD in comparison to other RAs. Meanwhile, NAAPS-RA exhibits 657 658 generally higher dust AOD values. JRAero has comparatively high biased inland sea salt AOD. The diversity of speciated AODs in regions remote from aerosol sources is large, 659 implying different efficiencies in removal during long-range transport. This phenomenon 660 results from the fact that data assimilation in these RAs constrains total AOD but not 661 speciated AOD. 662
- The seasonality and interannual variability of total AOD in the 16 regions under study,
 with the exception of the Antarctic and Arctic, demonstrate a high degree of similarity
 across the various RAs. While the dominant species of aerosols are consistent across most
 regions in all RAs, the relative contributions from individual species can vary significantly.
- 4) The accuracy of the RAs, as measured by RMSE, bias, and correlation of the total, finemode (FM) and coarse-mode (CM) AODs, has been verified with AERONET. It is evident
 that each RA exhibits its own unique regional strengths. Specifically, CAMSRA performs
 better in South and Southeast Asia, MERRA-2 excels in African and Arabian Peninsula
 dust regions, NAAPS-RA shows relatively better performance over Europe and East
 CONUS, and JRAero performs relatively better over southern North America and the
 Caribbean. Common challenges to all the RAs often include lack or large uncertainty in





local emissions, and/or topographic effects, as well as situations where both FM and CM
states are mixed. RAs show the worst performance in areas impacted by mixed FM and
CM aerosols, such as South Asia and East Asia, and areas that experience substantial
interannual variability in AOD, for instance, Southeast Asia, and the Maritime Continent.
The polar regions present a challenge due to limited observations.

679 5) The Multi-Reanalysis-Consensus (MRC), based on the four RAs, is not consistently the 680 best performer in terms of RMSE, bias and correlation of modal AODs for a given site or region. However, the MRC generally performs relatively well and remains stable, ranking 681 first or second regionally and first globally among all the RAs, especially for correlation 682 and RMSE. The MRC ranking with respect to correlations is superior to RMSE and then 683 684 absolute bias. The MRC ranking for CM AOD is slightly superior to that of total AOD and then FM AOD. The MRC method gains an advantage due to its ability to average 685 686 independent models.

The findings presented in this study offer a comprehensive overview of the current state-of-the-art aerosol reanalyses in the context of monthly AOD. The strengths and weaknesses of individual reanalyses and their collective implications will provide valuable information for diverse potential users. Compared to intercomparisons of satellite AOD products, which have shown a typical bias of 15%-25% (which regionally can reach \pm 50%) and AOD diversity of 10% over ocean to 100% over certain land areas amongst 14 satellite products (Schutgens et al., 2020), the biases and diversity of AODs from the four reanalyses are moderate.

694 The results of the intercomparison highlight areas for improvement in the next generation of aerosol reanalyses. These improvements may include tuning of emission sources and sinks, finer 695 spatiotemporal resolutions, incorporation of additional aerosol species, such as nitrate aerosols and 696 dust with different mineralogy, separation of BC and OC from BB emissions in some RAs, and 697 application and enhancement of BB plume rise models. Moreover, some centers are planning to 698 699 incorporate new observational data, such as OMI Aerosol Index to constrain the amount of absorptive aerosols, which has the potential to enhance simulations of BB smoke and dust aerosols 700 701 (Zhang et al., 2021, Sorenson et al, 2023). Anticipated advancements in emission inventories, retrieval algorithms, space-borne sensors, upcoming satellite missions, and improvements in 702 meteorological and aerosol modelling are expected to drive progress in aerosol reanalyses. 703

704

705

706 Data Availability

All the data supporting the findings of this manuscript can be accessed via the provided links orby requesting them using the contact information provided within those links.

709 AERONET Version 3 Level 2 data: <u>http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov</u>

710 MODIS data-assimilation-quality AOD:

711 https://modaps.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/services/about/products/c61-nrt/MCDAODHD.html





- 712 CAMSRA AOD: <u>https://www.ecmwf.int/en/research/climate-reanalysis/cams-reanalysis</u>
- 713 JRAero product: https://www.riam.kyushu-u.ac.jp/taikai/JRAero/
- 714 MERRA-2 AOD:
- 715 <u>https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/datasets/M2TMNXAER_V5.12.4/summary?keywords=%22MERRA-</u>
- 716 <u>2%22</u>
- 717 NAAPS RA AOD: https://usgodae.org//cgi-
- 718 <u>bin/datalist.pl?dset=nrl_naaps_reanalysis&summary=Go</u>
- 719
- 720 Supplement
- 721

722 Author contributions

PX and JSR designed the study. PX performed the data analysis and wrote the paper with contributions from MA, PRC, KY, TFE, EJH on data descriptions. All authors contributed to the

discussion of the results and revising the paper.

726 Competing interests

727 The contact author has declared that none of the authors has any competing interests.

728 Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge financial supports from the Office of Naval Research Code 322. Partial
support comes from NASA's Interdisciplinary Science (IDS) program (grant no.
80NSSC20K1260). We also thank the NASA AERONET and MODIS teams for the AOD data
used in the study. We extend our gratitude to NASA GMAO, ECMWF, JMA, U.S. ONR and NRL

733 for providing access to the aerosol reanalysis products.

734 **References**

- 735 Atwood, S. A., Reid, J. S., Kreidenweis, S. M., Blake, D. R., Jonsson, H. H., Lagrosas, N. D.,
- 736 Xian, P., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., and Simpas, J. B.: Size-resolved aerosol and cloud
- 737 condensation nuclei (CCN) properties in the remote marine South China Sea Part 1:
- 738 Observations and source classification, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 1105–1123,
- 739 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1105-2017, 2017.
- 740 Buchard, V., Silva, A. M. da, Colarco, P. R., Darmenov, A., Randles, C. A., Govindaraju, R.,
- 741 Torres, O., Campbell, J., and Spurr, R. (2015) Using the OMI aerosol index and absorption
- aerosol optical depth to evaluate the NASA MERRA Aerosol Reanalysis, Atmos Chem Phys, 15,
- 743 5743 5760, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5743-2015.
- 744
- 745 Buchard, V., Randles, C. A., Silva, A. M. da, Darmenov, A., Colarco, P. R., Govindaraju, R.,
- 746 Ferrare, R., Hair, J., Beyersdorf, A. J., Ziemba, L. D., and Yu, H. (2017) The MERRA-2 Aerosol





- 747 Reanalysis, 1980 Onward. Part II: Evaluation and Case Studies, J Climate,
- 748 https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-16-0613.1.
- 749
- Colarco, P. R., Kahn, R. A., Remer, L. A., and Levy, R. C. : Impact of satellite viewing-swath
- vidth on global and regional aerosol optical thickness statistics and trends. Atmospheric
- 752 Measurement Techniques, 7, 2313-2335, 2014.
- 753 Cui, C.; Liu, Y.; Chen, L.; Liang, S.; Shan, M.; Zhao, J.; Liu, Y.; Yu, S.; Sun, Y.; Mao, J.;
- 754 Zhang, H.; Gao, S.; Zhenxing Ma, Z (2022) Assessing public health and economic loss
- 755 associated with black carbon exposure using monitoring and MERRA-2 data, Environmental
- 756 Pollution. 313,120190, ISSN 0269-7491, doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120190.
- 757 Dee, D. P., and A. M. da Silva (1999) Maximum-likelihood estimation of forecast and

observation error covariance parameters. Part I: Methodology. Mon. Wea. Rev., 127, 1811-

- 759 1834, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1999)127,1822:MLEOFA.2.0.CO;2.
- 760
- 761 Dee, D., L. Rukhovets, R. Todling, A. M. da Silva, and J. W. Lawson (2001) An adaptive buddy
- check for observational quality control. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 127, 2451–2471,
- 763 doi:10.1002/qj.49712757714.
- 764
- Diehl, T., A. Heil, M. Chin, X. Pan, D. Streets, M. Schultz, and S. Kinne (2012) Anthropogenic,
 biomass burning, and volcanic emissions of black carbon, organic carbon, and SO2 from 1980 to
- 767 2010 for hindcast model experiments. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 24 895–24 954,
- 768 doi:10.5194/acpd-12-24895-2012.
- 769
- 770 Eck, T.F., Holben, B.N., Reid, J.S., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N.T., Slutsker, I., Kinne,
- S., 1999. Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning, urban, and desert dust
 aerosols. J. Geophys. Res. 104 (D24), 31,333–31,349.
- 773 Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Giles, D. M., Sinyuk, A., et al.
- (2018). Observations of the interaction and transport of fine mode aerosols with cloud and/or
- fog in Northeast Asia from Aerosol Robotic Network and satellite remote sensing. *Journal of*
- 776 Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 123, 5560–5587. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JD028313
- Edwards, E.-L., Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Burton, S. P., Cook, A. L., Crosbie, E. C., Fenn, M. A.,
- 778 Ferrare, R. A., Freeman, S. W., Hair, J. W., Harper, D. B., Hostetler, C. A., Robinson, C. E.,
- 779 Scarino, A. J., Shook, M. A., Sokolowsky, G. A., van den Heever, S. C., Winstead, E. L.,
- 780 Woods, S., Ziemba, L. D., and Sorooshian, A.: Assessment of NAAPS-RA performance in
- 781 Maritime Southeast Asia during CAMP²Ex, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 12961–12983,
- 782 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-12961-2022, 2022.
- 783
- Flemming, J., Benedetti, A., Inness, A., Engelen, R. J., Jones, L., Huijnen, V., Remy, S.,
- Parrington, M., Suttie, M., Bozzo, A., Peuch, V.-H., Akritidis, D., and Katragkou, E.: The
- 786 CAMS interim Reanalysis of Carbon Monoxide, Ozone and Aerosol for 2003–2015, Atmos.
- 787 Chem. Phys., 17, 1945–1983, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1945-2017, 2017.
- 788





- Gelaro, R., McCarty, W., Suarez, M. J., Todling, R., Molod, A., Takacs, L., Randles, C. A.,
- Darmenov, A., Bosilovich, M. G., Reichle, R., Wargan, K., Coy, L., Cullather, R., Draper, C.,
- 791 Akella, S., Buchard, V., Conaty, A., Silva, A. M. da, Gu, W., Kim, G.-K., Koster, R., Lucchesi,
- R., Merkova, D., Nielsen, J. E., Partyka, G., Pawson, S., Putman, W., Rienecker, M., Schubert,
- 793 S. D., Sienkiewicz, M., and Zhao, B.: The Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and
- Applications, Version 2 (MERRA-2), J Climate, 30, 5419 5454, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/jcli-d-</u>
- 795 <u>16-0758.1</u>, 2017.

796

- Giles, D. M., Sinyuk, A., Sorokin, M. G., Schafer, J. S., Smirnov, A., Slutsker, I., Eck, T. F.,
- Holben, B. N., Lewis, J. R., Campbell, J. R., Welton, E. J., Korkin, S. V., and Lyapustin, A. I.:
- 799 Advancements in the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Version 3 database automated
- 800 near-real-time quality control algorithm with improved cloud screening for Sun photometer
- aerosol optical depth (AOD) measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 12, 169–
- 802 209, <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-12-169-2019</u>, 2019.
- 803

Ginoux, Paul, M Chin, I Tegen, J M Prospero, B Holben, O Dubovik, and Shian-Jiann Lin:
Sources and distributions of dust aerosols simulated with the GOCART model. J. Geophys. Res.,
106(D17), 20255-20273, 2001.

- 807 Gliß, J., A. Mortier, M. Schulz, E. Andrews, Y. Balkanski, S.E. Bauer, A.M.K. Benedictow, H.
- 808 Bian, R. Checa-Garcia, M. Chin, P. Ginoux, J.J. Griesfeller, A. Heckel, Z. Kipling, A. Kirkevåg,
- 809 H. Kokkola, P. Laj, P. Le Sager, M.T. Lund, C. Lund Myhre, H. Matsui, G. Myhre, D. Neubauer,
- 810 T. van Noije, P. North, D.J.L. Olivié, L. Sogacheva, T. Takemura, K. Tsigaridis, and S.G. Tsyro,
- 811 2021: AeroCom phase III multi-model evaluation of the aerosol life cycle and optical properties
- 812 using ground- and space-based remote sensing as well as surface in situ observations. *Atmos.*
- 813 *Chem. Phys.*, **21**, no. 1, 87-128, doi:10.5194/acp-21-87-2021.
- 60 Gong, S. (2003) A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron
- 815 particles, Global Biogeochem Cy, 17, 1097, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gb002079.
- 816 Granier, C., Bessagnet, B., Bond, T., D'Angiola, A., van der Gon, H. D., Frost, G. J., Heil, A.,
- 817 Kaiser, J. W., Kinne, S., Klimont, Z., Kloster, S., Lamarque, J.-F., Liousse, C., Masui, T.,
- 818 Meleux, F., Mieville, A., Ohara, T., Raut, J.-C., Riahi, K., Schultz, M. G., Smith, S. J.,
- 819 Thompson, A., van Aardenne, J., van der Werf, G. R., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Evolution of
- 820 anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of air pollutants at global and regional scales
- during the 1980–2010 period, Climate Change, 109, 163–190, 2011.Grzegorski M, Poli G,
- 822 Cacciari A, Jafariserajehlou S, Holdak A, Lang R, Vazquez-Navarro M, Munro R, Fougnie B.
- 823 Multi-Sensor Retrieval of Aerosol Optical Properties for Near-Real-Time Applications Using the
- 824 Metop Series of Satellites: Concept, Detailed Description, and First Validation. *Remote Sensing*.
- 825 2022; 14(1):85. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14010085

827 P.: Assessment of Severe Aerosol Events from NASA MODIS and VIIRS Aerosol Products for

Gumber, A., Reid, J. S., Holz, R. E., Eck, T. F., Hsu, N. C., Levy, R. C., Zhang, J., and Veglio,





- 828 Data Assimilation and Climate Continuity, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss. [preprint],
- 829 https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2022-290, in review, 2022
- 830 Hogan, T.F. and T.E. Rosmond: The description of the Navy Operational Global Atmospheric
- Prediction System's spectral forecast model. Mon. Wea. Rev., 119, 1786-1815, 1991.
- Hogan, T. F., Liu, M., Ridout, J. S., Peng, M. S., Whitcomb, T. R., Ruston, B. C., Reynolds, C.
- 833 A., Eckermann S. D., Moskaitis, J. R., Baker, N. L., McCormack, J. P., Viner, K. C., McLay, J.
- 834 G., Flatau, M. K., Xu, L., Chen, C., and Chang, S. W.: The Navy Global Environmental Model.
- Oceanography, Special Issue on Navy Operational Models, 27, No. 3. 2014.
- 836 Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J.
- 837 A., Kaufman, Y. J., Nakajima, T., Lavenu, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, A.: AERONET A
- federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, Remote Sens.
- 839 Environ., 66, 1–16, 1998.
- 840 Hsu, N. C., Jeong, M.-J., Bettenhausen, C., Sayer, A. M., Hansell, R., Seftor, C. S., Huang, J.,
- and Tsay, S.-C. (2013), Enhanced Deep Blue aerosol retrieval algorithm: The second
- 842 generation, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 9296–9315, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50712.
- 843 Hyer, E. J., Reid, J. S., and Zhang, J.: An over-land aerosol optical depth data set for data
- assimilation by filtering, correction, and aggregation of MODIS Collection 5 optical depth
- retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 379–408, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-379-2011, 2011.
- 846 Ignatov, A., & Stowe, L. (2002). Aerosol Retrievals from Individual AVHRR Channels. Part I:
- 847 Retrieval Algorithm and Transition from Dave to 6S Radiative Transfer Model, Journal of the
- 848 Atmospheric Sciences, 59(3), 313-334. Doi: https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-
- 849 0469(2002)059<0313:ARFIAC>2.0.CO;2
- 850 Inness, A., Ades, M., Agustí-Panareda, A., Barré, J., Benedictow, A., Blechschmidt, A.-M.,
- 851 Dominguez, J. J., Engelen, R., Eskes, H., Flemming, J., Huijnen, V., Jones, L., Kipling, Z.,
- 852 Massart, S., Parrington, M., Peuch, V.-H., Razinger, M., Remy, S., Schulz, M., and Suttie, M.:
- The CAMS reanalysis of atmospheric composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19, 3515–3556,
- 854 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-19-3515-2019, 2019.
- 855 Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J., Garay, M. J., Diner, D. J., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., and Holben, B.
- 856 N. (2010), Multiangle Imaging SpectroRadiometer global aerosol product assessment by
- 857 comparison with the Aerosol Robotic Network, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D23209,
- 858 doi:<u>10.1029/2010JD014601</u>.
- 859 Kaiser, J. W., Heil, A., Andreae, M. O., Benedetti, A., Chubarova, N., Jones, L., Morcrette, J.-J.,
- 860 Razinger, M., Schultz, M. G., Suttie, M., and van der Werf, G. R.: Biomass burning emis- sions
- 861 estimated with a global fire assimilation system based on observed fire radiative power,
- 862 Biogeosciences, 9, 527–554, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-527-2012, 2012.





- 863 Kinne, S., Schulz, M., Textor, C., Guibert, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S. E., Berntsen, T., Berglen,
- 864 T. F., Boucher, O., Chin, M., Collins, W., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, J.,
- 865 Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Herzog, M., Horowitz, L.,
- 866 Isaksen, I., Iversen, T., Kirkevåg, A., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer,
- 867 A., Lamarque, J. F., Lesins, G., Liu, X., Lohmann, U., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J.,
- Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, O., Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: An AeroCom initial

assessment – optical properties in aerosol component modules of global models, Atmos. Chem.

- 870 Phys., 6, 1815–1834, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1815-2006, 2006.
- 871
- 872 Kramer, S. J., Alvarez, C., Barkley, A. E., Colarco, P. R., Custals, L., Delgadillo, R., Gaston, C.
- 873 J., Govindaraju, R., and Zuidema, P.: Apparent dust size discrepancy in aerosol reanalysis in
- north African dust after long-range transport, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 10047–10062,
- 875 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-10047-2020, 2020.
- 876
- Jaegle, L., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Alexander, B., and Lin, J.-T., (2011) Global distribution of
 sea salt aerosols: new constraints from in situ and remote sensing observations, Atmos Chem
 Phys, 11, 3137 3157, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-3137-2011.
- Jenwitheesuk, K.; Peansukwech, U.; Jenwitheesuk, K., (2022) Predictive MERRA-2 aerosol
- diagnostic model for oral, oropharyngeal and laryngeal cancer caused by air pollution in Thai
- population, Toxicology Reports, 9, 970-978. Doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxrep.2022.04.015</u>.
- 883

Lacima, A., Petetin, H., Soret, A., Bowdalo, D., Jorba, O., Chen, Z., Méndez Turrubiates, R. F.,
Achebak, H., Ballester, J., and Pérez García-Pando, C.: Long-term evaluation of surface air
pollution in CAMSRA and MERRA-2 global reanalyses over Europe (2003–2020), Geosci.

- Model Dev. Discuss. [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-2022-197, in review, 2022.
- 888
- Levy, R. C.; Mattoo, S.; Munchak, L. A.; Remer, L. A.; Sayer, A. M.; Patadia, F.; Hsu, N. C.
- The Collection 6 MODIS aerosol products over land and ocean, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2013, 6, 2989-3034, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-2989-2013.
- 892
- Lynch, P., Reid, J. S., Westphal, D. L., Zhang, J., Hogan, T. F., Hyer, E. J., Curtis, C. A., Hegg,
- D. A., Shi, Y., Campbell, J. R., Rubin, J. I., Sessions, W. R., Turk, F. J., and Walker, A. L.: An
- 895 11-year global gridded aerosol optical thickness reanalysis (v1.0) for atmospheric and climate
- sciences, Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 1489–1522, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1489-2016, 2016.
- 897 McCoy, D. T., F. A.-M. Bender, J. K. C. Mohrmann, D. L. Hartmann, R. Wood, and D. P.
- 898 Grosvenor (2017): The global aerosol-cloud first indirect effect estimated using MODIS,
- 899 MERRA, and AeroCom, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 122, 1779–1796, doi:10.1002/2016JD026141.
- 900 Monahan, E. C., Spiel, D. E., and Davidson, K. L.: A model of marine aerosol generation via
- whitecaps and wave disruption, in Oceanic Whitecaps, edited by: Monahan, E. and Niocaill, G.
 M., D. Reidel, Norwell, Mass., 167–174, 1986.
- Ningombam, Shantikumar S., Dumka, Umesh Chandra, Mugil, Sivasamy Kalamani, Kuniyal,
 Jagdish Chandra, Hooda, Rakesh K., Gautam, Alok Sagar, and Tiwari, Suresh, 2021, "Impacts of





- Aerosol Loading in the Hindu Kush Himalayan Region Based on MERRA-2 Reanalysis Data"
 Atmosphere Vol. 12, No. 10, pp 1290, 2073-4433
- 907 Ohno, T., Irie, H., Momoi, M. and da Silva, A.M. : Quantitative evaluation of mixed biomass
- burning and anthropogenic aerosols over the Indochina Peninsula using MERRA-2 reanalysis
 products validated by sky radiometer and MAX-DOAS observations. Prog Earth Planet Sci 9, 61
- 910 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40645-022-00520-4
- 911
- 912 O'Neill, N.T., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., Smirnov, A., Dubovik, O. and Royer, A.: Bimodal size
- 913 distribution influences on the variation of Angstrom derivatives in spectral and optical depth
- 914 space, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 9787-9806, 2001.
- 915
- 916 O'Neill, N. T., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A., Holben, B. N., and Thulasiraman, S.: Spectral
- 917 discrimination of coarse and fine mode optical depth. J. Geophys. Res., 108, D05212,
 918 doi:10.1029/2002JD002975, 2003.
- 918 do 919
- 920 O'Sullivan, D., Marenco, F., Ryder, C. L., Pradhan, Y., Kipling, Z., Johnson, B., Benedetti, A.,
- Brooks, M., McGill, M., Yorks, J., and Selmer, P.: Models transport Saharan dust too low in the
- atmosphere: a comparison of the MetUM and CAMS forecasts with observations, Atmos. Chem.
- 923 Phys., 20, 12955–12982, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12955-2020, 2020.
- 924
- 925 Randles, C. A., daSilva, A. M., Buchard, V., Colarco, P. R., Darmenov, A., Govindaraju, R., et
- al.: The MERRA-2 aerosol reanalysis, 1980 onward. Part I: System description and data
- assimilation evaluation. Journal of Climate, 30(17), 6823-6850. <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-</u>
 <u>16-0609.1</u>, 2017.
- <u>10-0009.1</u>, 2017.
- 929 Reid, J.S., Gumber, A.; Zhang, J.; Holz, R. E.; Rubin, J. I.; Xian, P.; Smirnov, A.; Eck, T. F.;
- 930 O'Neill, N. T.; Levy, R. C.; Reid, E. A.; Colarco, P. R.; Benedetti, A.; and Tanaka, T. (2022) A
- 931 Coupled Evaluation of Operational MODIS and Model Aerosol Products for Maritime
- 932 Environments Using Sun Photometry: Evaluation of the Fine and Coarse Mode. Remote Sens,
- 933 14, 2978. https://doi.org/10.3390/ rs14132978.
- Reid, J. S., and Coauthors, 2023: The coupling between tropical meteorology, aerosol lifecycle,
- 935 convection, and radiation, during the Cloud, Aerosol and Monsoon Processes Philippines
- 936 Experiment (CAMP2Ex). Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., E1179-E1205,
- 937 https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-21-0285.1
- 938 Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., Prins, E. M., Westphal, D. L., Zhang, J., Wang, J., Christopher, S. A.,
- 939 Curtis, C. A., Schmidt, C. C., Eleuterio, D. P., Richardson, K. A., and Hoffman, J. P.: Global
- 940 Monitoring and Forecasting of Biomass-Burning Smoke: Description of and Lessons from the
- 941 Fire Locating and Modeling of Burning Emissions (FLAMBE) Program, IEEE J. Sel. Top.
- 942 Appl., 2, 144–162, JSTARS-2009-00034, 2009.
- 943 Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Hyer, E. J., Flatau, M. K., Ramirez, E. M., Turk, F. J., Sampson, C. R.,
- 244 Zhang, C., Fukada, E. M., and Maloney, E. D.: Multi-scale meteorological conceptual analysis of





- observed active fire hotspot activity and smoke optical depth in the Maritime Continent, Atmos.
- 946 Chem. Phys., 12, 2117–2147, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-2117-2012, 2012.
- 947 Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Holben, B. N., Hyer, E. J., Reid, E. A., Salinas, S. V., Zhang, J., Campbell,
- 948 J. R., Chew, B. N., Holz, R. E., Kuciauskas, A. P., Lagrosas, N., Posselt, D. J., Sampson, C. R.,
- 949 Walker, A. L., Welton, E. J., and Zhang, C.: Aerosol meteorology of the Maritime Continent for
- 950 the 2012 7SEAS southwest monsoon intensive study Part 1: regional-scale phenomena, Atmos.
- 951 Chem. Phys., 16, 14041–14056, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-14041-2016, 2016.
- 952 Roychoudhury, C., He, C., Kumar, R., McKinnon, J. M., & Arellano, A. F. Jr. (2022). On the
- 953 relevance of aerosols to snow cover variability over High Mountain Asia. Geophysical Research
- 954 Letters, 49, e2022GL099317. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GL099317
- 955 Sayer, A.M., Munchak, L.A., Hsu, N.C., Levy, R.C., Bettenhausen, C., Jeong, M.J., 2014.
- 956 MODIS Collection 6 aerosol products: comparison between Aqua's e-Deep Blue, Dark Target,
- and "merged" data sets, and usage recommendations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 119 (24), 13–
 965.
- 959 Schutgens, N., Sayer, A. M., Heckel, A., Hsu, C., Jethva, H., de Leeuw, G., Leonard, P. J. T.,
- Levy, R. C., Lipponen, A., Lyapustin, A., North, P., Popp, T., Poulsen, C., Sawyer, V.,
- 961 Sogacheva, L., Thomas, G., Torres, O., Wang, Y., Kinne, S., Schulz, M., and Stier, P.: An
- 962 AeroCom–AeroSat study: intercomparison of satellite AOD datasets for aerosol model
- 963 evaluation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 12431–12457, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-12431-2020,
 964 2020.
- 965
- Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., and Hsu, N. C.: Critical evaluation of the MODIS
 Deep Blue aerosol optical depth product for data assimilation over North Africa, Atmos. Meas.
- 968 Tech., 6, 949–969, https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-6-949-2013, 2013.
- 969
- 970 Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Holben, B., Hyer, E. J., and Curtis, C.: An analysis of the
- 971 collection 5 MODIS over-ocean aerosol optical depth product for its implication in aerosol
- 972 assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 557–565, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-11-557-2011, 2011.
- 973

974 Sorenson, B. T., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Xian, P., and Jaker, S. L.: Ozone Monitoring Instrument

- 975 (OMI) UV aerosol index data analysis over the Arctic region for future data assimilation and 976 climate forcing applications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 23, 7161–7175, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-
- 977 23-7161-2023, 2023.
- 978
- Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T.,
 Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S.,
- Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Dieni, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Frinhole, D., Ghan, S.,
 Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Horowitz, L., Huang, P., Isaksen, I., Iversen, I.,
- William K., Bendricks, J., Horowitz, L., Huang, P., Isaksen, I., Iversen, I.,
 Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Liu,
- Kioster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevag, A., Kirstjansson, J. E., Kioi, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Elu,
 X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, Ø., Stier, P., Takemura,
- 785 A., Mohanaro, V., Myne, O., Fenner, J., Fhan, G., Keudy, S., Seland, Ø., Sher, F., Takend
 784 T., and Tie, X.: Analysis and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within
- AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1777–1813, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-1777-2006, 2006.
- 986





- 987 Tong, D. Q., et al., Health and Safety Effects of Airborne Soil Dust in the Americas and Beyond.
- 988 Reviews of Geophysics. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/2021RG000763</u>
- 989
- 990 Xian, P., Klotzbach, P. J., Dunion, J. P., Janiga, M. A., Reid, J. S., Colarco, P. R., and Kipling,
- Z.: Revisiting the relationship between Atlantic dust and tropical cyclone activity using aerosol
 optical depth reanalyses: 2003–2018, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 15357–15378,
- 993 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-15357-2020, 2020.
- 994
- Xian, P., Reid J. S., Hyer, E., Sampson, C.R., Rubin, J., Ades M., et. al., Current state of the
- global operational aerosol multi-model ensemble: an update from the International Cooperativefor Aerosol Prediction (ICAP), Quarterly J. of the Royal Met. Soc.
- 998 https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.3497, 2019.
- 999
- 1000 Xian, P., Reid, J. S., Turk, J. F., Hyer, E. J., and Westphal, D. L.: Impact of models versus 1001 satellite measured tropical precipitation on regional smoke optical thickness in an aerosol
- satellite measured tropical precipitation on regional smoke optical thickness in an aerosol
 transport model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L16805, doi:10.1029/2009GL038823, 2009.
- 1003
- Xian, P. Reid, J. S., Atwood, S. A., Johnson, R. S., Hyer, E. J., Westphal, D. L., Sessions, W.:
 Smoke aerosol transport patterns over the Maritime continent, Atmos. Res. Vol. 122, 469-485, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.05.006

Xian, P., Zhang, J., O'Neill, N. T., Toth, T. D., Sorenson, B., Colarco, P. R., Kipling, Z., Hyer, E.
J., Campbell, J. R., Reid, J. S., and Ranjbar, K.: Arctic spring and summertime aerosol optical
depth baseline from long-term observations and model reanalyses – Part 1: Climatology and
trend, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 9915–9947, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-9915-2022, 2022.

- Witek, M. L., P. J. Flatau, P. K. Quinn, and D. L. Westphal: Global sea-salt modeling: Results
 and validation against multicampaign shipboard measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 112, 2007.
- Yumimoto, K., Tanaka, T. Y., Oshima, N., and Maki, T.: JRAero: the Japanese Reanalysis for
 Aerosol v1.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 10, 3225–3253, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-3225-2017,
 2017.
- 1016 Yukimoto, S., Adachi, Y., Hosaka, M., Sakami, T., Yoshimura, H., Hirabara, M., Tanaka, T. Y.,
- 1017 Shindo, E., Tsujino, H., Deushi, M., Mizuta, R., Yabu, S., Obata, A., Nakano, H., Koshiro, T.,

1018 Ose, T., and Kitoh, A.: A New Global Climate Model of the Meteorological Research Institute:

MRI-CGCM3 – Model Description and Basic Performance, J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 90A, 23–64,
 https://doi.org/10.2151/jmsj.2012-A02, 2012.

- Zhang, J. L., and J. S. Reid: MODIS aerosol product analysis for data assimilation: Assessment
 of over-ocean level 2 aerosol optical thickness retrievals. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, 2006.
- Zhang, J. L., and Reid, J. S., Westphal, D. L., Baker, N. L., and Hyer, E. J.: A system for
- 1025 operational aerosol optical depth data assimilation over global oceans. J. Geophys. Res., 113,
- 1026 D10208, doi:10.1029/2007JD009065, 2008.
- 1027





- 1028 Zhang J., Reid, J. S., Alfaro-Contreras, R., Xian P., Has China been exporting less particulate air
- pollution over the past decade?, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 10.1002/2017GL072617, 2017.
- 1030
- 1031 Zhang, J., Spurr, R. J. D., Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Colarco, P. R., Campbell, J. R., Hyer, E. J., and
- 1032 Baker, N. L.: Development of an Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) aerosol index (AI) data
- assimilation scheme for aerosol modeling over bright surfaces a step toward direct radiance
- assimilation in the UV spectrum, Geosci. Model Dev., 14, 27–42, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd 14-27-2021, 2021.
- 1036
- 1037 Zhang, X., and Zhou, Y.: Aerosol direct radiative forcing over China: A 40-year MERRA-2-
- 1038 based evaluation, Atmos. Env., Vol., 299, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2023.119659</u>