Extensional fault geometry and evolution within rifted margin hyper-extended continental crust leading to mantle exhumation and allochthon formation

Júlia Gómez-Romeu^{1,*} & Nick Kusznir¹

6 ¹Department of Earth, Ocean and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK *Currently: 7 M&U sasu, Sassenage, France

8

1

2

3

4

5

Abstract 9

10 Seismic reflection interpretation at magma-poor rifted margins shows that crustal thinning within the hyper-extended domain occurs by in-sequence oceanward extensional faulting which terminates in a 11 sub-horizontal reflector in the top-most mantle immediately beneath tilted crustal fault blocks. This 12 13 sub-horizontal reflector is interpreted to be a detachment surface which develops sequentially with oceanward in-sequence crustal faulting. We investigate the geometry and evolution of active and 14 inactive extensional faulting due to flexural isostatic rotation during magma-poor margin hyper-15 16 extension using a recursive adaptation of the rolling hinge model of Buck (1988) and compare 17 modelling results with published seismic interpretation. In the case of progressive in-sequence faulting, 18 we show that sub-horizontal reflectors imaged on seismic reflection data can be generated by the flexural isostatic rotation of faults with initially high-angle geometry. Our modelling supports the 19 hypothesis of Lymer et al. (2019) that the S reflector on the Galician margin is a sub-horizontal 20 detachment generated by the in-sequence incremental addition of the isostatically rotated soles of 21 22 block bounding extensional faults. Flexural isostatic rotation produces shallowing of emergent fault angles, fault locking and the development of new high-angle short-cut fault segments within the 23 24 hanging-wall. This results in the transfer and isostatic rotation of triangular pieces of hangingwall onto 25 exhumed fault footwall, forming extensional allochthons which our modelling predicts are typically 26 limited to a few km in lateral extent and thickness. The initial geometry of basement extensional faults is a long-standing question. Our modelling results show that a sequence of extensional listric or planar 27 28 faults with otherwise identical tectonic parameters produce very similar sea-bed bathymetric relief but distinct Moho and allochthon shapes. Our preferred interpretation of our modelling results and seismic 29 data is that faults are initially planar in geometry but are isostatically rotated and coalesce at depth to 30

form the seismically observed sub-horizontal detachment in the top-most mantle. In-sequence extensional faulting of hyper-extended continental crust results in a smooth bathymetric transition from thinned continental crust to exhumed mantle; in contrast out-of- sequence faulting results in a transition to exhumed mantle with bathymetric relief.

1. Introduction

The formation of a rifted continental margin during continental breakup requires continental crust and 36 lithosphere to be stretched and thinned. In the case of a magma-poor rifted margins, 5 progressive 37 stages of margin formation resulting in 5 distinct margin domains have been identified: proximal, 38 39 necking, hyper-extended, exhumed mantle and oceanic crust (Mohn et al. 2012, Tugend et al. 2014). The hyper-extended domain of a magma-poor rifted margin forms when the crust is thinned to 40 41 approximately 10 km thickness or less and the crust becomes fully brittle allowing faults to penetrate through the entire crust into the mantle (Pérez-Gussinyé et al., 2001; Manatschal, 2004). The hyper-42 extended domain has a crustal architecture characterised by tilted crustal fault blocks separated by 43 oceanward dipping basement extensional faults and often underlain by a strong sub-horizontal seismic 44 45 reflector. This is illustrated on figure 1(a) which shows a seismic reflection dip section (Lymer et al. 46 2019) within the hyper-extended domain of the distal Galicia Bank margin west of Iberia. The subhorizontal reflector, known as the S reflector, has been interpreted to be a sub-horizontal detachment 47 48 within the top-most mantle (Krawczyk et al., 1996; Reston et al., 1996) into which basement extensional faults sole. 49

The geometry and evolution of extensional faults and their relationship to the S reflector within the 50 hyper-extended domain have been a long-standing question. Interpretation of 2D seismic reflection 51 data (Ranero and Pérez-Gussinyé, 2010) has revealed that basement extensional faulting within the 52 53 hyper-extended domain develops oceanward in-sequence with new faults developing in the oceanward direction at the same time as abandonment of earlier faults. Recent high-quality 3D seismic reflection 54 seismic on the SW of Galicia Bank west of Iberia (Lymer at al. 2019) confirms this oceanward in-55 sequence fault development and additionally provides observations that determine the relationship 56 57 between the in-sequence basement extensional faulting and the underlying S sub-horizontal reflector. Basement extensional faults are observed to sole out into the sub-horizontal detachment within the 58 top-most mantle imaged as the S seismic reflector. In 3D the S reflector shows corrugations that 59 indicate the direction of slip and correlate with corrugations within the extensional block-bounding 60 faults. Further analysis by Lymer at al. (2019) reveals that the S reflector is a composite surface made 61 62 by the progressive ocean-ward in-sequence development of a sub-horizontal detachment into which

the higher angle basement faults sole. Their analysis also reveals that as extension migrates oceanward 63 in-sequence and that several faults may be active simultaneously. A similar relationship has been 64 observed between basement extensional faulting and sub-horizontal S type seismic reflectors in other 65 rift basins using 3D seismic reflection data. Figure 1(b) shows corrugations on the sub-horizontal 66 reflector interpreted as a detachment surface and its relationship to basement extensional faulting 67 68 above for the Porcupine Basin west of Ireland (Lymer at al. 2022). Lymer et al. (2019) present a 69 schematic summary (reproduced in Figure 1c) of extensional basement faulting in the hyper-extended 70 domain and its relationship to the sub-horizontal detachment within the top-most mantle, most probably controlled by serpentinization, into which basement faults sole. 71

72 Dynamic thermo-rheological finite element models of continental lithosphere stretching and thinning (e.g. Lavier & Manatschal, 2006; Brune et al. 2014; Naliboff et al. 2017) leading to continental breakup 73 and rifted margin formation have been successful in simulating the progression from necking to hyper-74 75 extension to mantle exhumation at magma-poor rifted margins. However these dynamic models do not replicate the extensional fault and detachment structures observed on 2D and 3D seismic reflection 76 77 data. The dynamic model of Peron-Pinvidic & Naliboff (2020), specifically investigating extensional detachment development, predicts extensional fault structures that penetrate to depths much greater 78 79 than the seismically observed S-type reflector; additionally their predicted fault geometries remain steep failing to match the lower fault angles imaged on seismic reflection data. The kinematic model 80 presented by Ranero & Perez-Gussinye (2010) using extensional fault block rotation much better 81 82 replicates extensional fault and detachment structures imaged by 2D seismic within the hyperextended magma-poor margin domain. Their work however preceded the 3D seismic observations by 83 Lymer et al (2019) of the S-type detachment and its corrugations. 84

Lymer et al. (2019) propose that their observations strongly support the development of the S seismic 85 reflector by a rolling-hinge process (Buck 1988) in which a sub-horizonal detachment is created by 86 87 the incremental addition of the soles of basement extensional faults. The kinematic rolling-hinge model of Buck (1988) has been successfully used at slow-spreading ocean ridges to replicate and analyse 88 89 extensional faulting leading to footwall exhumation, detachment faulting and core complex formation (Smith et al. 2008; Schouten et al, 2010). In this paper, we use a recursive adaptation of the rolling 90 hinge model of Buck (1988) to examine how both active and inactive fault geometries are modified 91 by flexural isostatic rotation during sequential faulting to form the sub-horizonal structure imaged on 92 seismic reflection data. 93

A long-standing question is whether the initial geometry of crustal extension faults is planar or listric; earthquake seismology and geodetic observations favour a planar geometry (Jackson 1987; Stein & Barrientos 1985). Using the flexural isostatic rotation model, we also investigate whether an initial listric or planar fault geometry better fits seismic observations of the sub-horizontal reflector and the geometry of extensional allochthons. In addition, we examine the transition from hyper-extended continental crust to exhumed mantle and how it depends on the sequence of extensional faulting.

100 **2. Model formulation**

We use a numerical model (RIFTER) to replicate faulting and fault block geometry within the hyper-101 102 extended domain, and to investigate fault rotation, fault geometry interaction, the formation of crustal allochthon blocks and the transition between hyper-extended and exhumed mantle domains. RIFTER 103 104 is a kinematic forward lithosphere deformation model that allows the production of flexural isostatically compensated as well as balanced cross-sections. Within RIFTER, lithosphere is deformed 105 by faulting in the upper crust with underlying distributed pure-shear deformation in the lower crust 106 107 and mantle. RIFTER can be used to model and predict the structural development in extensional 108 tectonic settings as shown in Figure 2. The model is kinematically controlled with fault geometry, fault 109 displacement and pure-shear distribution given as model inputs as a function of time.

The kinematic formulation of RIFTER represents an advantage over dynamic modelling because the 110 111 input data given to RIFTER can be constrained by observed geology. Specifically fault position, extension magnitude and sequence order with respect to other faults can be taken directly from the 112 interpretation of seismic reflection images and used to drive the kinematic model. This is in contrast 113 114 to dynamic models where fault location, extension magnitude and sequence order are predicted by the model and may bare little relationship to an observed structural and stratigraphic cross-section. In a 115 116 kinematic model, while the lithosphere deformation is specified as an input, the thermal and isostatic consequences may be dynamically determined to predict thermal uplift and subsidence (e.g. Gómez-117 Romeu et al. 2019). Because model outputs are geological cross-sections which are flexural 118 isostatically compensated as well as structurally balanced, RIFTER provides for the isostatic testing 119 of palinspastic cross-sections and can also be used to explore different kinematic scenarios. A more 120 detailed description of the model formulation (originally called OROGENY) is given by Toth et al., 121 (1996), Ford et al., (1999) and Jácome et al., (2003). These studies show the model formulation applied 122 to compressional tectonics however similar physical principles apply for an extensional tectonics 123 scenario. Gómez-Romeu et al., (2019) show how RIFTER can be used to reproduce both extensional 124 125 and compressional tectonics using the Western Pyrenees as a case-study.

Within RIFTER, loads resulting from extensional lithosphere deformation are compensated by flexural 126 isostasy. These loads are generated by faulting, crustal thinning, sedimentation, erosion and lithosphere 127 128 thermal perturbation and re-equilibration (Kusznir et al., 1991). The lithosphere flexural strength must be considered to determine the isostatic rotation of faults during extension and therefore to investigate 129 their geometric evolution. For the purposes of calculating the flexural isostatic response, the 130 131 lithosphere is represented as an elastic plate of effective elastic thickness (Te) floating on a fluid 132 substratum. The lithosphere effective elastic thickness (Te) is defined as the equivalent thickness of a 133 perfectly elastic plate which has the same flexural strength as the lithosphere. Extension on basement faults produces flexure which, as well as generating footwall uplift and hangingwall subsidence, gives 134 135 rise to substantial bending stresses (Magnavita et al., 1994) in the cooler upper lithosphere; these large bending stresses are reduced by combined brittle and plastic failure. The flexural strength of the 136 lithosphere, and therefore Te, are reduced by this brittle and plastic failure and this reduction becomes 137 138 greater with increase in extension (Magnavita et al., 1994). Therefore, in extensional tectonic settings, a low effective elastic thickness (Te) is expected and required to reproduce the consequences of 139 140 lithosphere deformation due to extensional faulting.

We use a Te value of 0.5 km in our modelling of extensional faulting during the formation of the hyperextended domain and mantle exhumation (Figure 3). This value is consistent with those determined at slow-spreading ocean ridges ranging between 0.5 and 1 km (e.g. Buck, 1988; Smith et al., 2008; Schouten et al., 2010) where a similar lithosphere flexural strength to that of the distal rifted margins is expected. The sensitivity of model predictions to Te is shown in Figure 4; increasing Te increases the bathymetric relief resulting from extensional faulting but otherwise the structural architecture remains similar.

The initial crustal geometry for our modelling of extensional faulting within the hyperextended domain 148 149 leading to mantle exhumation and allochthon formation is when the continental crust has been thinned 150 down to 10 km (Tugend et al., 2014) corresponding to the point when faults within the seismogenic layer couple into the mantle (Pérez-Gussinyé et al., 2001). Prior to that, during the necking zone stage 151 152 of margin formation (Mohn et al., 2012), faults are expected to be decoupled from the mantle by ductile deformation within the lower continental crust. The width of the necking zone with crust 10 km thick 153 at the start of hyperextension is set to 100 km although this width value is not critical to this study. The 154 starting bathymetry is set to 2 km corresponding to the isostatic equilibrium of continental crust 155 156 thinned to 10 km with an highly elevated lithosphere geotherm (Figure 3b). For simplicity we only 157 model faulting during hyper-extension on one distal rifted margin and do not include faulting within its distal conjugate. This simplified initial model template allows us to focus on extensional faulting 158

during the hyper-extension stage of magma-poor rifted margin formation avoiding the complexity occurring during the earlier rifting and necking phases. Figure 3c shows the resultant model of a hyperextended distal rifted margin. The detailed numerical model stages to produce this are shown in Figures 3d-e and described below for the formation of the hyperextended domain, the initiation of the exhumed mantle domain and the formation of extensional allochthons.

3. Model application to sequential faulting within the hyper-extended margin domain

The interpretation of sub-horizontal seismic reflectors below fault blocks within the hyperextended 166 167 domain has been intensively debated (e.g. Reston et al., 1996). Interpretations suggested for the S-type reflectors on the Iberian margin (de Charpal et al., 1978; Krawczyk et al., 1996) are many and are 168 169 reviewed later in the discussion. Despite this wide range of possible interpretations, after the work by 170 Reston et al. (1996) and Krawczyk et al. (1996), it has been generally accepted that the S-type reflectors are detachment faults (Manatschal et al., 2001). Ranero & Pérez-Gussinyé (2010) show that 171 extensional faulting within the hyper-extended domain develops oceanward insequence with initially 172 steeply dipping faults. As in-sequence faulting propagates oceanward, active fault rotation modifies 173 174 the deeper geometry of previously active faults leading to their deeper segments being passively rotated to a lower angle producing an apparent listric fault geometry or even a sub-horizontal 175 appearance. Lymer et al., (2019) confirmed observationally that extensional faulting develops 176 oceanward in-sequence, and that extensional faulting soles out into the sub-horizontal detachment 177 imaged as the S-type-reflectors. 178

Figure 3d shows the modelling results of progressive deformation within the hyper-extended domain resulting from a set of in-sequence extensional faults. The initial pre-movement dip of each extensional fault at the surface is 60° . This value is consistent with Andersonian extensional fault mechanics (Anderson 1905) and also the value of $55^{\circ} - 60^{\circ}$ determined for initial surface fault dip by Lymer et al. (2019) from their analysis of 3D seismic reflection data on the SW Galicia Bank margin. Note that our RIFTER modelling results shown in this paper, using high initial faults angles, do not apply to low angle extensionally reactivated thrusts (Morley, 2009; Deng et al. 2022).

In the model results shown in Figure 3d-e the faults detach at 15 km depth corresponding to an assumed brittle-plastic transition within the topmost mantle (results obtained from an initial planar fault geometry are examined later). Flexural isostatic response to faulting leads to an uplift of the footwall block, subsidence of the hanging-wall block and a rotation of the active fault plane reducing its dip (Figure 3d1). The reduction of fault dip due to flexural isostatic rotation is expected to lead to the
locking of that fault and the initiation of new faults with steeper dip. This is shown in Figure 3d2 and
subsequent Figures 3d3-6.

Extension on each new fault not only reduces its own fault dip by flexural isostatic rotation but also further reduces the fault dip of earlier active faults within its footwall. The cumulative result of this process is that faults originally steeply dipping when active become sub-horizontal in their lower parts as illustrated in Figures 3d5 for fault number 1. In this case the sub-horizontal inactive fault is almost coincident with the Moho beneath the hyper-extended continental crustal fault-blocks (Figure 3d5). If fault extension is sufficiently large and the hyper-extended continental crust is sufficiently thin, footwall exhumation leads to mantle exhumation (Figure 3d6) as proposed by Manatschal et al. (2001).

Table 1 summarizes the fault parameters and sequential fault displacement required to reproduce the structural architecture of the hyper-extended domain shown in Figure 3d.

4. Model application to mantle exhumation and extensional allochthon formation

For even greater extension on the exhumation fault, the exhumed mantle footwall becomes subhorizontal at the sea-bed due to flexural isostatic rotation as predicted by the rolling-hinge model of Buck (1988). Extensional allochthon blocks sitting above sub-horizontal exhumed footwall are observed at magma-poor margins by seismic reflection imaging and field studies (Epin and Manatschal and references therein, 2018).

209 We use RIFTER to investigate the formation of extensional allochthon blocks by the rolling hinge model as suggested by Manatschal et al., (2001) and shown in Figure 3e. Allochthon blocks are 210 produced by new steeply dipping extensional faults cutting through the hangingwall block of a master 211 fault (fault 6 in our case in Figure 3e1) and pulling off triangular pieces of continental crust from the 212 213 hanging-wall (i.e. the rolling hinge model of Buck, 1988). These new faults, created when the emergence angle of the master fault becomes too low ($\sim 30^{\circ}$ dip), are short-cuts of the master fault and 214 215 connect with it at depth. Depending on what depth they initiate at and their break-away position, the 216 size of the crustal allochthon block generated will vary (Figure 3e). The intersection depth between the master fault and the new extensional faults is different in each model stage shown in Figure 3e but 217 it ranges between 5 and 10 km depth consistent with deMartin et al., (2007). Another parameter that 218 219 differs in each model stage is the distance between two consecutive allochthon blocks. This depends on how much the new extensional fault moved before it locked. A small fault offset will not generate 220

exhumed mantle between two allochthon blocks as shown in Figures 3e3-4 whereas a large fault offset

will generate exhumed mantle and a sub-horizontal sea-bed geometry between two allochthon blocks

223 (Figures 3e4-5). Note that each allochthon block overlies sub-horizontal exhumed footwall generated

by flexural isostatic rotation.

Table 2 summarizes the initial fault parameters and the chronological fault displacement required to reproduce the structural architecture of the exhumed mantle domain shown in Figure 3e.

The RIFTER model results shown in Figure 3 do not include sediment deposition during hyper-227 extension, mantle exhumation and allochthon formation. In Figure 5, incremental sediment deposition 228 229 and its isostatic loading are included in the model; the tectonics remains the same as in Figure 3. The model results of increasing sediment supply are shown in Figures 5b-c and compared with the model 230 result with no sediment deposition shown in Figure 5a. Because of the diachronous tectonics of 231 oceanward in-sequence extensional faulting during the formation of the distal magma-poor margin, 232 233 sediments of the same age may be syn-tectonic if they are deposited where active faulting is occurring, 234 or they may be post-tectonic if they are passive fill of accommodation space generated by earlier extensional faulting that has ceased at that location. The important distinction between syn- and post-235 tectonic sedimentation due to diachronous tectonics during rifted margin formation is described in 236 greater detail in Ribes et al. (2019) and Manatschal et al (2022). 237

238 Figure 5b shows a relatively small amount of sediment incrementally added to the model and is consistent with a relatively sediment starved scenario corresponding to the SW Galicia margin as 239 240 imaged by the 3D seismic of Lymer et al (2019). The isostatic response to the small amount of sediment 241 loading shown in Figure 5b is also small and the flexural isostatic fault rotation is therefore not significantly different from the model result with no sediments shown in Figure 5a. The increased 242 isostatic response to increasing sediment supply (Figures 5c&d) results in a slight decrease in fault 243 rotation resulting in slightly steeper faults for the same fault extension. Sediment supply and its 244 245 isostatic loading are therefore expected to exert a control on when faults lock and new oceanward insequence faults develop. 246

5. Sensitivity to listric or planar fault geometry?

Lithosphere deformation is achieved by localised deformation on faults and shear zones within the upper lithosphere with distributed deformation below at depth. A long-standing question is how deformation by faulting connects to deep distributed lithosphere deformation. This question also has implications for fault geometry. Our numerical experiments described above in sections 3 and 4 assume a listric fault geometry in which faults sole out into a sub-horizontal shear zone at 15 km depth below
which deformation becomes distributed. In contrast earthquake seismology and geodetic analysis
(Stein and Barrientos, 1985; Jackson, 1987) suggests that large extensional earthquakes involve faults
whose geometry is planar.

We explore the differences between using listric and planar fault in modelling the formation of the hyper-extended and exhumed mantle domains. The results are compared in Figure 6. The initial faults geometries for listric and planar faults are shown in Figures 5a and d respectively. Both have an initial surface dip of 60°. The initial listric fault geometry soles out at 15 km while the initial planar fault geometry continues downwards with a dip of 60°. We assume that the deformation transition from faulting to distributed deformation for the planar fault occurs within the mantle below the crust-mantle density interface and so does not affect the isostatic response to faulting.

Listric and planar fault geometry model predictions are shown in Figures 6c and f and use the same fault locations, fault extension and sequence. Comparison shows that listric and planar fault geometries produces very similar sea-bed structural topography, and which cannot be used to distinguish whether fault geometry is listric or planar. In contrast, the listric and planar fault models produce different subsurface structure. The Moho geometries predicted by the listric and planar fault geometry models are also different, however whether these different predicted Moho geometries can be distinguished using seismic reflection data is uncertain.

In section 4 we used listric fault geometries to model allochthon formation. We now examine 270 271 allochthon formation using planar faults and compare these predictions with those using listric faults 272 (Figure 7). For both listric and planar fault geometries, Figure 7 shows the formation of allochthons for different separations of the hanging-wall short-cut fault from the primary extensional fault which 273 has exhumed mantle footwall. Separations of 1 km (Figures 7a-b and g-h), 2 km (Figures 7c-d and i-274 j) and 5 km (Figures 7 e-f and k-l) are used. For the 1 km separation, a small allochthon is produced 275 276 with similar triangular geometry for both listric (Figure 7b) and planar (Figure 7h) fault geometries. Increasing the separation to 2 km increases the allochthon size; however while the listric fault (Figure 277 278 7d) produces a triangular allochthon, the planar fault (Figure 7j) geometry produces a 4-sided body. For a 5 km separation, the allochthon size increases further and both listric (Figure 7f) and planar 279 (Figure 71) fault geometries produce a 4- sided body. For the larger separations of the short-cut fault 280 from the primary fault, the detached fragment transferred to the exhumed mantle consists of 281 282 continental basement with some autochthonous mantle beneath it (Figure 7j-l). Whether extensional allochthons can provide insight into answering the question are extensional faults listric or planar posesan interesting challenge.

285 286

6. The transition from hyper-extended crust to exhumed mantle and its sensitivity to in-sequence vs out-of-sequence faulting

Stretching and thinning of the continental crust can eventually lead to mantle exhumation as observed 287 by drilling on the distal Iberian margin (Figures 8a-b). Seismic reflection data (Figure 8c) provides 288 289 insight into how mantle exhumation was achieved by extensional faulting. Based on drill and seismic reflection data, Manatschal et al., (2001, 2004) proposed that an in-sequence ocean-ward propagating 290 set of extensional faulting progressively thins the continental crust in the hyper-extended domain until 291 eventually a large extensional fault exhumes mantle in its footwall. Our modelling of mantle 292 exhumation using a set of in-sequence extensional faults as proposed by Manatschal et al., (2001, 293 294 2004) is shown in Figure 3 and 9a and produces a smooth bathymetric transition from continental crust 295 to exhumed mantle.

While the in-sequence fault extension process provides a very good generalised model for the 296 297 formation of the hyper-extended margin domain, mantle exhumation and their transition, it is unlikely that all faults propagate in-sequence oceanward. Some out-of-sequence faulting is to be expected when 298 the 3D nature and along strike complexity of rifting and breakup is considered and can be seen 299 seismically in Figure 8e. In Figure 9b we show the result of introducing an out-of-sequence fault, with 300 the same dip sense as other faults, into the hyperextension and mantle exhumation model. All other 301 302 faults have similar locations and extensions to those used to produce Figure 9a. The effect of introducing an out-of-sequence fault to exhume mantle is to produce a transition from thinned 303 304 continental crust to mantle which is no longer smooth at the seabed but shows bathymetric relief. An 305 out-of-sequence fault might also have an opposite dip-sense as shown in Figure 9c. This fault does not exhume mantle but does generate a horst containing exhumed mantle capped by thinned continental 306 307 crust as observed in Figure 8e.

7. Discussion

To better understand extensional fault geometry and its evolution during hyper-extension at magmapoor rifted margins, several important questions need to be answered: (i) are faults active at low angle, (ii) what is the relationship between the sub-horizontal reflector and block bounding faults, (iii) do faults have a listric or planar geometry and (iv) is faulting always in-sequence. In section 4 (Figure 3) we show for a listric fault geometry that flexural isostatic rotation progressively reduces the fault dip of inactive faults within the footwall of oceanward in-sequence faulting. From this we can deduce that the present-day sub-horizontal orientation of a fault at depth does not indicate that the fault was active at a sub-horizontal orientation. This conclusion is consistent with the modelling results of Ranero & Pérez-Gussinyé, (2010) and the 3D seismic observations of Lymer et al. (2019).

319 The nature of the seismically Imaged sub-horizontal reflectors beneath rotated fault blocks in the 320 hyper-extended domain has been extensively debated (e.g. Reston et al. 1996; Lymer et al. 2019 and references therein). Proposed origins of the sub-horizontal reflector have included a lithosphere scale 321 extensional detachment fault (Wernicke et al., 1981), the top of a mafic underplate (Horsefield, 1992), 322 a thin igneous intrusion (Reston, 1996), a serpentinization front (Boillot et al., 1987), and the brittle-323 plastic transition (de Charpal et al., 1978; Sibuet, 1992). Detailed seismology by Reston et al., (1996) 324 325 was able to eliminate an igneous origin, leaving a sub-horizontal detachment in the top-most mantle as the most likely interpretation, probably assisted by mantle serpentinization (Pérez Gussinyé et al., 326 327 (2001).

Seismic reflection interpretation shows that extensional faults thinning the continental crust within the 328 hyper-extended domain sole out into the sub-horizontal reflector (Reston et al. 1996; Manatschal et 329 al., 2001). If extensional faults within the hyper-extended zone penetrate into the mantle, as suggested 330 by Pérez Gussinyé et al., (2001), then the interpretation of seismically observed sub-horizontal 331 332 reflectors being a sub-horizontal detachment requires it to be within the mantle rather than at the base of the thinned continental crust. Analysis of the recently acquired 3D seismic reflection data in the 333 334 hyper-extended southern Galicia margin by Lymer et al. (2019) shows that oceanward in-sequence extensional crustal faulting detaches into a sub-horizontal detachment imaged as the sub-horizontal 335 reflector (confirming the interpretations of Manatschal et al.; 2001 and Ranero & Pérez-Gussinyé: 336 337 2010). Their 3D analysis of the correlation between corrugations within the S reflector surface and 338 those within block bounding faults demonstrates that the sub-horizontal detachment imaged as the S 339 reflector develops synchronously with the oceanward in-sequence crustal faulting.

Our listric fault model (Figure 3a-c) assumes that faults sole out into a horizontal detachment within the top-most mantle consistent with the seismically observed sub-horizontal S reflector being interpreted as a horizontal detachment into which the block bounding extensional faults above sole into. Our model is also consistent with the interpretation of Lymer et al., (2019) that the sub-horizontal reflector is the relict of an oceanward propagating detachment at the base of the in-sequence crustal faulting and is not simultaneously active from distal to proximal. Our modelling supports the hypothesis of Lymer et al. (2019) that the S reflector on the Galicia margin is a sub-horizontal detachment generated by the in-sequence incremental addition of the isosatically rotated soles of block bounding extensional faults.

In section 5 (Figure 6) we compare the response of listric and planar fault geometries for oceanward 349 in-sequence hyper-extension. Significant flexural isostatic rotation leading to greatly reduced dip of 350 351 planar faults at depth is also seen, especially for planar faults in the footwall of later faults with large extension. However, Figure 6 shows a clear difference between planar (Figures 6d-f) and listric 352 (Figures 6a-c) fault geometries at depth; planar fault geometries do not result in a continuous sub-353 354 horizontal structure at depth. In contrast because all listric faults sole out at the same brittle-plastic transition depth, all listric faults form a single continuous sub-horizontal structure at depth resembling 355 356 that observed on seismic reflection data in the hyper-extended domain.

357 Earthquake seismology, however, favours a planar fault geometry for extension within the seismogenic layer (Stein and Barrientos, 1985; Jackson, 1987). How might extensional deformation on a planar 358 359 fault in the brittle seismogenic layer terminate at depth? In the case of rifted margin hyper-extension, faults penetrate the crust and permit water to penetrate down into the top-most mantle (e.g. Pérez-360 361 Gussinyé et al., 2001) enabling mantle serpentinization to occur. Serpentinized top-most mantle at the base of extensional faults would produce a weak layer enabling the formation of a horizontal 362 363 detachment. Planar faulting in the seismogenic layer, isostatically rotated to low angles, would then 364 sole out into this horizontal detachment in the top-most serpentinised mantle immediately beneath 365 thinned continental crust. The resulting fault geometry would not be dissimilar to that of the listric fault used in the modelling of sections 3 and 4 but with a more planar geometry in the upper brittle 366 seismogenic layer as observed on the 3D seismic of Lymer et al. (2019). 367

368 The rolling hinge model of Buck (1988) provides an explanation for the formation of triangular allochthons of continental crust emplaced on exhumed mantle (Buck 1988; Manatchal et al. 2001; 369 370 Epin & Manatschal, 2019). In Figures 3 and 7 we show slivers of hanging wall continental crust transferred onto exhumed mantle footwall by short-cut faults. Flexural isostatic rotation produces the 371 observed geometry of triangular allochthons emplaced on sub-horizontal exhumed mantle. While 372 373 listric and planar fault geometries produce nearly identical small allochthons, their difference becomes pronounced for large allochthons (Figure 7). Listric faults always produce a triangular allochthon 374 375 fragment of hanging-wall continental crust while planar faults produce a rectangular shape for large 376 allochthons (semantically these large rectangular fragments produced by planar faults should perhaps be called autochthons). Whether reflection seismology observations of large allochthon shapes can beused to distinguish listric or planar fault geometry during hyper-extension remains to be investigated.

379 Oceanward in-sequence faulting shown in Figure 3 and as proposed by Manatschal et al. (2001) and Manatschal (2004) provides a good generalised model for the formation of hyper-extended magma-380 poor margins. However, it should be recognised that out-of-sequence faulting does occur during 381 382 margin formation and is the inevitable consequence of the 3D nature of continental breakup at the regional scale where upper-plate/lower-plate polarity varies along margin strike. Lymer et al., (2019) 383 384 also show that, at the more local scale, 3D fault system overlap must occur and would also break a simple oceanward in-sequence fault pattern. The transition from hyper-extended continental crust to 385 exhumed mantle is particularly sensitive to the sequence of faulting; oceanward in-sequence faulting 386 produces a smooth bathymetric transition onto exhumed mantle while out of sequence produces a 387 transition with bathymetric relief as shown in Figure 9. 388

8. Summary

- a) Flexural isostatic rotation of extensional faulting (the rolling hinge model) applied to the
 formation of the hyper-extended domain of magma-poor rifted margins predicts fault geometry
 evolution consistent with the published interpretations of 3D seismic reflection data.
- b) The same modelling shows that seismically observed low-angle extensional faults were not
 necessarily active at low angle and have been flexurally rotated to their present low angle
 geometry.
- c) Modelling supports the hypothesis of Lymer et al. (2019) that the S reflector on the Galicia
 margin is a sub-horizontal detachment generated by the in-sequence incremental addition of
 the isostatically rotated soles of block bounding extensional faults.
- d) Extensional faults may initially have a planar geometry in the upper seismogenic layer but this
 initial planar geometry is modified by flexural isostatic rotation.
- e) The predicted geometry of extensional allochthons emplaced on exhumed mantle is sensitive
 to the initial geometry of block bounding faults. This may provide a means of distinguishing
 listric and planar faults using seismic reflection data.
- 404

f) Sequential in-sequence oceanward extensional faulting is the dominant process during the
 extensional thinning of the hyper-extended domain at magma-poor rifted margins. Some out-of sequence faulting does occur and generates a recognisably distinct transition onto exhumed
 mantle.

410	Author contribution
411	JGR: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Visualization, Writing -
412	original draft preparation, Writing - review and editing. NK: Conceptualization, Formal analysis,
413	Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision,
414	Visualization, Writing – review and editing.
415	
416	Competing interests
417	The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.
418	
419	Acknowledgments
420	
421	We thank the MM4 (Margin Modelling Phase 4) industry partners (BP, Conoco Phillips, Statoil,
422	Petrobras, Total, Shell, BHP-Billiton, and BG) for financial support. We also thank Tony Dore & Chris
423	Morley for constructive reviews and Alan Roberts and Gianreto Manatschal for discussions. We also
424	thanks Gael Lymer for his assistance with seismic images used in Figure 1.
425	
426	References
427	
428	Anderson, E.M., 1905. The dynamics of faulting. Trans. Edinb. Geol. Soc. 8 (3), 387-402.
429	Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from
429 430	Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-
429 430 431	Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040- 1951(93)90327.
429 430 431 432	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas,
 429 430 431 432 433 	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa,
 429 430 431 432 433 434 	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa, L.F., Johnson, J.A., Kasahara, J., Loreau, J.P., Luna-Sierra, E., Moullade, M., Ogg, J., Sarti, M.,
 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa, L.F., Johnson, J.A., Kasahara, J., Loreau, J.P., Luna-Sierra, E., Moullade, M., Ogg, J., Sarti, M., Thurow, J., Williamson, M., 1987. Tectonic denudation of the upper mantle along passive
429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa, L.F., Johnson, J.A., Kasahara, J., Loreau, J.P., Luna-Sierra, E., Moullade, M., Ogg, J., Sarti, M., Thurow, J., Williamson, M., 1987. Tectonic denudation of the upper mantle along passive margins: a model based on drilling results (ODP leg 103, western Galicia margin, Spain).
429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa, L.F., Johnson, J.A., Kasahara, J., Loreau, J.P., Luna-Sierra, E., Moullade, M., Ogg, J., Sarti, M., Thurow, J., Williamson, M., 1987. Tectonic denudation of the upper mantle along passive margins: a model based on drilling results (ODP leg 103, western Galicia margin, Spain). Tectonophysics 132, 335–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90352-0.
429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa, L.F., Johnson, J.A., Kasahara, J., Loreau, J.P., Luna-Sierra, E., Moullade, M., Ogg, J., Sarti, M., Thurow, J., Williamson, M., 1987. Tectonic denudation of the upper mantle along passive margins: a model based on drilling results (ODP leg 103, western Galicia margin, Spain). Tectonophysics 132, 335–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90352-0. Brune, S., Heine, C., Perez-Gussinye, M. & Sobolev, V., 2014. Rift migration explains continental
429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439	 Beslier, M.O., Ask, M., Boillot, G., 1993. Ocean-continent boundary in the Iberia Abyssal Plain from multichannel seismic data. Tectonophysics 218, 383–393. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(93)90327. Boillot, G., Recq, M., Winterer, E.L., Meyer, A.W., Applegate, J., Baltuck, M., Bergen, J.A., Comas, M.C., Davies, T.A., Dunham, K., Evans, C.A., Girardeau, J., Goldberg, G., Haggerty, J., Jansa, L.F., Johnson, J.A., Kasahara, J., Loreau, J.P., Luna-Sierra, E., Moullade, M., Ogg, J., Sarti, M., Thurow, J., Williamson, M., 1987. Tectonic denudation of the upper mantle along passive margins: a model based on drilling results (ODP leg 103, western Galicia margin, Spain). Tectonophysics 132, 335–342. https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-1951(87)90352-0. Brune, S., Heine, C., Perez-Gussinye, M. & Sobolev, V., 2014. Rift migration explains continental margin asymmetry and crustal hyper-extension. Nature Communications, doi:10.1038/ncomms5014.

440

441 Buck, W.R., 1988. Flexural Rotation of Normal Faults. Tectonics 7, 959–973.

- 442 De Charpal, O., Guennoc, P., Montadert, L., Roberts, D.G., 1978. Rifting, crustal attenuation and
 443 subsidence in the Bay of Biscay. Nature 275, 706–711. https://doi.org/10.1038/275706a0.
- deMartin, B.J., Sohn, R.A., Canales, J.P., Humphris, S.E., 2007. Kinematics and geometry of active
 detachment faulting beneath the Trans-Atlantic geotraverse (TAG) hydrothermal field on
 the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. Geology 35, 711–714.
- 447 https://doi.org/10.1130/G23718A.1.
- Deng, C., Zhu, R., Han, J., Shu, Y., Wu, Y., Hou, K. & Long. W., 2021. Impact of basement thrust
 faults on low-angle normal faults and rift basin evolution: a case study in the Enping sag, Pearl
 River Basin. Solid Earth, doi.org/10.5194/se-12-2327-2021.
- Epin, M. E., & Manatschal, G. (2018). Three-dimensional architecture, structural evolution, and role
 of inheritance controlling detachment faulting at a hyper-extended distal margin: The example of
 the Err detachment system (SE Switzerland). Tectonics, 37(12), 44944514.
- Ford, M., Lickorish, W.H., Kusznir, N.J., 1999. Tertiary foreland sedimentation in the Southern
 Subalpine Chains, SE France: A geodynamic appraisal. Basin Res. 11, 315–336.
 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2117.1999.00103.x.
- Gómez-Romeu, J., Masini, E., Tugend, J., Ducoux, M., & Kusznir, N. (2019). Role of rift structural
 inheritance in orogeny highlighted by the Western Pyrenees casestudy. Tectonophysics, 766, 131150.
- Hoffmann, H.J., Reston, T.J., 1992. Nature of the S reflector beneath the Galicia Banks rifted margin:
 preliminary results from prestack depth migration. Geology 20, 1091–1094.
- 462 https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(1992)020<1091:NOTSRB>2.3.CO;2.
- Horsefield, S.J., 1992. Crustal structure across the contiennt-ocean boundary [Ph.D. thesis].
 Cambridge Univ.
- Jackson, J. a., 1987. Active normal faulting and crustal extension. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 28,
 3–17. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1987.028.01.02.

- Jácome, M.I., Kusznir, N., Audemard, F., Flint, S., 2003. Formation of the Maturín Foreland Basin,
 eastern Venezuela: Thrust sheet loading or subduction dynamic topography. Tectonics 22, n/a-n/a.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2002tc001381.
- 470 Krawczyk, C.M., Reston, T.J., Beslier, M.O., Boillot, G., 1996. Evidence for Detachment
- 471 Tectonics on the Iberia Abyssal Plain Rifted Margin 149, 1–
 472 13. https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.149.244.1996.
- Kusznir, N.J., Marsden, G., Egan, S.S., 1991. A flexural-cantilever simple-shear/pure-shear model of 473 continental lithosphere extension: applications to the Jeanne d'Arc Basin, Grand Banks and 474 475 Viking Graben, North Sea. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ. 56. 41-60. https://doi.org/10.1144/gsl.sp.1991.056.01.04. 476
- 477 Lavier, L. & Manatschal, G., 2006. A mechanism to thin the continental lithosphere at magma-poor
 478 margins. Nature, doi:10.1038/nature0460.
- 479 Lymer, G., Cresswell, D.J.F., Reston, T.J., Bull, J.M., Sawyer, D.S., Morgan, J.K., Stevenson, C., Causer, A., Minshull, T.A., Shillington, D.J., 2019. 3D development of detachment faulting 480 during continental breakup. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 515. 90-99. 481 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.03.018. 482
- 483 Lymer, G., Childs, C. & Walsh, J., 2022. Punctuated propagation of a corrugated extensional
 484 detachment offshore Ireland. Basin Research, doi: 10.1111/bre.12745.
- Magnavita, L.P., Davison, I., Kusznir, N.J., 1994. Rifting, erosion, and uplift history of the Reconcavo Tucano-Jatoba Rift, northeast Brazil. Tectonics 13, 367–388.
- Manatschal, G., Chenin, P., Ghienne, J-F., Ribes, C., Masini, E., 2021. The syn-rift tectonostratigraphic record of rifted margins (Part I): Insights from the Alpine Tethys. Basin Research,
 doi:10.1111/bre.12627.
- Manatschal, G., 2004. New models for evolution of magma-poor rifted margins based on a review of
 data and concepts from West Iberia and the Alps. Int. J. Earth Sci. 93, 432–466.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-004-0394-7.
- Manatschal, G., Froitzheim, N., Rubenach, M., Turrin, B., 2001. The role of detachment faulting in
 the formation of an ocean-continent transition: insights from the Iberia Abyssal Plain from:
 Wilson, R.C.L., Whitmarsh, R.B., Taylor, B. & Froitzheim, N. Non-Volcaninc Rifting of
 Continental Margins: A Comparison of Evid. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.

497

187, 405–428. https://doi.org/0305-8719/01/1500.

- Mohn, G., Manatschal, G., Beltrando, M., Masini, E., & Kusznir, N. (2012). Necking of continental
 crust in magma-poor rifted margins: Evidence from the fossil Alpine Tethys margins. Tectonics,
 31(1).
- Montadert, L., De Charpal, O., Roberts, D., Guennoc, P., Sibuet, J.-C., 1979. Northeast Atlantic passive
 continental margins: Rifting and subsidence processes. In: Talwani, M., Hay, W. & Ryan, W. B.
 F. (eds) Deep Drilling Results in the Atlantic Ocean: Continental Margins and
 Palaeoenvironments. Am. Geophiscal Union, Washington, DC 154–186.
- Morley, C.K., 2009. Geometry and evolution of low-angle normal faults (LANF) within a Cenozoic
 high-angle rift system, Thailand: Implications for sedimentology and the mechanisms of LANF
 development. Tectonics, doi:10.1029/2007TC002202.
- Naliboff, J., Buiter, S., Péron-Pinvidic, G., Osmundsen, P. & Tetreault, J., 2017. Complex fault
 interaction controls continental rifting. Nature Communications. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-00904 x.
- 511 Pérez-Gussinyé, M., 2013. A tectonic model for hyperextension at magma-poor rifted margins:
- an example from the West Iberia Newfoundland conjugate margins. Geol. Soc. London, Spec.

513 Publ. 369, 403–427. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP369.19.

- Pérez-Gussinyé, M., Reston, T.J., Morgan, J., 2001. Serpentinization and magmatism during extension
 at non-volcanic margins: the effect of initial lithospheric structure. Geol. Soc. London, Spec. Publ.
 187, 551–576. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2001.187.01.27.
- Péron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., Minshull, T.A., Sawyer, D.S., 2007. Tectonosedimentary
 evolution of the deep Iberia-Newfoundland margins: Evidence for a complex breakup history.
 Tectonics 26, 1–19. https://doi.org/10.1029/2006TC001970.
- Péron-Pinvidic, G., Manatschal, G., Osmundsen, P.T., 2013. Structural comparison of archetypal
 Atlantic rifted margins: A review of observations and concepts. Mar. Pet. Geol. 43, 21–47.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2013.02.002.
- 523 Peron-Pinvidic, G. & Naliboff, J., 2020. The exhumation detachment factory. Geology,
 524 doi.org/10.1130/G47174.1.
- Ranero, C.R., Pérez-Gussinyé, M., 2010. Sequential faulting explains the asymmetry and extension
 discrepancy of conjugate margins. Nature 468, 294–299. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09520.

- Reston, T.J., 2005. Polyphase faulting during the development of the west Galicia rifted margin. Earth
 Planet. Sci. Lett. 237, 561–576. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2005.06.019.
- Reston, T.J., 1996. The S reflector west of Galicia: The seismic signature of a detachment fault.
 Geophys. J. Int. 127, 230–244. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.1996.tb01547.
- Reston, T.J., Krawczyk, C.M., Klaeschen, D., 1996. The S reflector west of Galicia (Spain): Evidence
 from prestack depth migration for detachment faulting during continental breakup. J. Geophys.
 Res. Solid Earth 101, 8075–8091. https://doi.org/10.1029/95jb03466.
- Reston, T.J., McDermott, K.G., 2011. Successive detachment faults and mantle unroofing at magma poor rifted margins. Geology 39, 1071–1074. <u>https://doi.org/10.1130/G32428.1</u>.
- Ribes, C., Manatschal, G., Ghienne, J-F., Karner, G.D., Johnson, C.A., Figueredo, P.H., Incerpi, N. &
 Epin, M-E., 2019. The syn-rift stratigraphic record across a fossil hyper-extended rifted margin:
 the example of the northwestern Adriatic margin exposed in the Central Alps. Int. J. Earth
 Sciences, doi.org/10.1007/s00531-019-01750-6.
- Roberts, A.M., Kusznir, N.J., Yielding, G., Beeley, H., 2019. Mapping the bathymetric evolution of
 the northern North Sea: from Jurassic syn-rift archipelago through Cretaceous-Tertiary post-rift
 subsidence. Pet. Geosci.
- Roberts, A.M., Kusznir, N.J., Yielding, G., Styles, P., 1998. 2D flexural backstripping of extensional 543 Geosci. 544 basin: the need for а sideways glance. Pet. 4, 327-338. https://doi.org/10.1144/petgeo.4.4.327. 545
- Schouten, H., Smith, D.K., Cann, J.R., Escartín, J., 2010. Tectonic versus magmatic extension in the
 presence of core complexes at slow-spreading ridges from a visualization of faulted seafloor
 topography. Geology 38, 615–618. https://doi.org/10.1130/G30803.1.
- Sibuet, J.-C., 1992. Formation of non-volcanic passive margins: a composite model applies to the
 conjugate Galicia and southeastern Flemish cap margins. Geophys. Res. Lett. 19, 769–772.
- Smith, D.K., Escartín, J., Schouten, H., Cann, J.R., 2008. Fault rotation and core complex formation:
 Significant processes in seafloor formation at slow-spreading mid-ocean ridges (Mid-Atlantic
 Ridge, 13°-15°N). Geochemistry, Geophys. Geosystems 9.
 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GC001699.

- Stein, R.-S., Barrientos, S.-E., 1985. Planar High-Angle Faulting in the Basin and Range: Geodetic
 Analysis of the 1983 Borah Peak, Idaho, Earthquake. J. Geophys. Res. 90, 11,355-11,366.
- Sutra, E., Manatschal, G., 2012. How does the continental crust thin in a hyper-extended rifted margin?
 Insights from the iberia margin. Geology 40, 139–142. https://doi.org/10.1130/G32786.1.
- Sutra, E., Manatschal, G., Mohn, G., Unternehr, P., 2013. Quantification and restoration of extensional
 deformation along the Western Iberia and Newfoundland rifted margins. Geochemistry, Geophys.
 Geosystems 14, 2575–2597.
- Toth, J., Kusznir, N.J., Flint, S.S., 1996. A flexural isostatic model of lithosphere shortening and
 foreland basin formation: Application to the Eastern Cordillera and Subandean belt
- of NW Argentina. Tectonics 15, 2–3.
- Tugend, J., Manatschal, G., Kusznir, N.J., Masini, E., Mohn, G., Thinon, I., 2014. Formation and
 deformation of hyper-extended rift systems: Insights from rift domain mapping in the Bay of
 Biscay-Pyrenees. Tectonics 33, 1239–1276.
- Wernicke, B., 1981. Low-angle normal faults in the Basin and Range Province: nappe tectonics in an
 extending orogen. Nature 291, 645–648. https://doi.org/10.1038/291645a0.
- White, R.S., 1999. The lithosphere under stress. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 357,
 901–915. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.1999.0357.
- Whitmarsh, R.B., Manatschal, G., Minshull, T. a, 2001. Evolution of magma-poor continental margins
 from rifting to seafloor spreading. Nature 413, 150–154. https://doi.org/10.1038/35093085.
- Whitmarsh, R.B., Pinheiro, L.M., Miles, P.R., Recq, M., Sibuet, J.-C., 1993. Thin crust at the western
 Iberia ocean-continent transition and ophiolites. Tectonics 12, 5.
- 576
- 577



Figure 1: a) Depth seismic reflection section across the hyper-extended domain of the SW Galica Bank continental margin showing the relationship between basement extensional faults, the "S" horizontal detachment and synand post-tectonic sediment fill (modified from Figure 5b of Lymer et al, 2019). **b)** 3D view extracted from a 3D seismic reflection cube in hyper-extended domain of the Porcupine Basin, showing a seismic line and the interpreted "S" reflector surface in two-way travel time (adapted from Figure 2b of Lymer et al, 2022). It illustrates the horizontal detachment corrugations and their relationship with the extensional basement faults above. **c)** Summary schematic model of extensional faulting within the hyper-extended domain of the Iberia magma-poor rifted margin based on 3D seismic reflection interpretation (Lymer et al. 2019).



Figure 2: Example application of the kinematic lithosphere deformation model (RIFTER) applied to magma-poor rifted margin development: **a**) **c**ontinental rifting stage, **b**) **n**ecking stage, **c**) crustal breakup and mantle exhumation stage. The model computes the flexural isostatic response to changes in lithosphere loading including the rolling hinge flexural rotation process during extensional faulting.



Figure 3: A generalized evolutionary RIFTER model showing the development of a magma-poor rifted margin. **a**) Lithosphere architecture prior to rifting. **b**) Lithosphere architecture at the end of the necking stage, prior to the formation of hyper-extended domain. **c**) Formation of hyper-thinned domain by in-sequence oceanward extensional faulting leading to mantle exhumation. **d**) Detail of the hyper-thinned domain formation (d1-d6). **e**) Detail of the exhumed mantle domain formation (e1-e5).



Figure 4: Model sensitivity to the effective elastic thickness, Te, used to determine the flexural response to extensional faulting. Fault location, extension, initial dip and activation sequence are the same as in Figure 3c.



Figure 5: Sensitivity to sediment supply of a generalized evolutionary RIFTER model (same tectonics as in Figure 3e) with incremental sediment deposition during oceanward in-sequence extensional faulting. Sediment supply is parameterised as the % of available accommodation space filled by sediment prior to the isostatic response to sediment loading. Diachronous oceanward in-sequence extensional faulting results in sediment packages of the same age being syn-tectonic or absent distally (to left) but post-tectonic proximally (to right). Sediment isostatic loading is included but sediment compaction is not.



Figure 6: Comparison of hyper-extended domain structure and transition to exhumed mantle predicted using listric and planar faults in the RIFTER model. a-c) Using listric faults (same as shown in Figure 3c) and d-f) using planar faults.

Before extension on second fault



Figure 7: Comparison of allochthon block formation using listric (a-f) and planar (g-l) fault geometry for different offsets of new short-cut fault with respect to footwall emergence of primary fault. Initial fault dip 60°, detachment depth = 15 km for listric fault, Te = 0.5 km. a, b, g & h) 1 km offset of new short-cut fault with respect to footwall emergence of primary fault before and after 15 km of extension and predicted extensional allochthon block for listric and planar fault geometry. c, d, i & j) corresponding model prediction with 2 km offset. e, f, k & l) corresponding model prediction with 5 km offset.



Figure 8: a) Bathymetric map of the western Iberian margin showing in red the location of TGS seismic reflection profile. b) ODP well observations from the western Iberia margin (Manatschal et al., 2001 and 2004). c) Part of the TGS time domain seismic reflection section (Sutra and Manatschal et al., 2012) showing ODP well locations (black lines). d) Interpretation of the above by Manatschal et al., (2001 and 2004). e) Interpretation of out-of-sequence faulting for inset of seismic section shown in c).

(a) In-sequence scenario: master fault (number 6)



(b) Out-of-sequence scenario: ocean-dipping fault (O)



(c) Out-of-sequence scenario: ocean- and continent-dipping fault (O and C)



Figure 9: Comparison of predicted transition from hyper-extended crust onto exhumed mantle for in-sequence and out of sequence faulting. Crust and mantle are grey and green respectively. a) In-sequence faulting produces a smooth bathymetric transition from hyper-extended crust to exhumed mantle. b & c) Out of sequence faulting produces a transition from hyper-extended crust to exhumed mantle with bathymetric relief.

Faults numbers	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Horizontal faults heaves (km)	7 0,5		0,5	1,5	4	13		
Initial fault dip	Surface = 60°							
(listric fault)	At 15 km = 0°							
Fault movement	Red number = fault active							
raun movement	Black number = fault inactive							

Table 1: Table for fault parameters used for Figure 3d. Fault number indicates the chronological movement (Fault 1 is the oldest).

Faults numbers	6 (master fault)	7	8	9	10		
Horizontal faults heaves (km)	13	7	3	7	3		
Initial fault din	Surface = 60°						
(listric fault)	At 15 km = 0° At 30 km = 0°						
Foult movement	Colour solid line = fault active						
raut movement	(Colour dash	dash line = fault inactive				

Table 2: Table for fault parameters used in Figure 3e. Fault number indicates the chronological movement (Fault 6 is the oldest).

Domains formed	1. Hyperthinned domain		2. Transition zone	3. Exhumed mantle domain		
	Faults numbers	6 (master fault)				
Horizontal faults heaves (km)	Horizontal faults heaves (km)	13				
. ,	Initial fault dip (lisitric fault)	Surface = 60°				
		Depth at 15 km = 0°				

 Table 3: Table for fault parameters used in Figure 7a.

Domains formed	1. Hyperthinned	domain	2. Exhumed mantle domain		3. Transition zone	
	Faults numbers	6 (master fault)		Fault O (ocean)		
Horizontal faults heaves (km)	Horizontal faults heaves (km)	7		2		
. ,	Initial fault dip (lisitric fault)		Surface = 60°		Surface = 60°	
		De	pth at 15 km = 0°	Depth at 15 km = 0°		

Table 4: Table for fault parameters used in Figure 7b.

Domains formed	1. Hyperthinned domain		2. Exhumed mantle domain		3. Transition zone	
	Faults numbers	6 (mas	6 (master fault)		n)	Fault C (continent)
Horizontal faults heaves (km)	Horizontal faults heaves (km)	ntal faults 7 es (km)		2		1
	Initial fault dip	Surface = 60°		Surface = 60°		Surface = 60°
	(lisitric fault) Dept		15 km = 0°	Depth at 15 km = 0°		Depth at 15 km = 0°

Table 5: Table for fault parameters used in Figure 7c.