# Simulation of ozone-vegetation coupling and feedback in

China using multiple ozone damage schemes				
Jiachen Cao <sup>1</sup> , Xu Yue <sup>1*</sup> , Mingrui Ma <sup>2</sup>				
1. Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control, Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology (NUIST), Nanjing, 210044, China				
2. State Key Laboratory of Pollution Control and Resource Reuse, School of the Environment, Nanjing University, Nanjing, 210044, China				
*Corresponding author: Xu Yue				
email: <u>yuexu@nuist.edu.cn</u>				

#### 25 Abstract

As a phytotoxic pollutant, surface ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) not only affects plant physiology but also influences meteorological fields and air quality by altering leaf stomatal functions. Previous studies revealed strong feedbacks of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling in China but with large uncertainties due to the applications of varied O<sub>3</sub> damage schemes and chemistryvegetation models. In this study, we quantify the O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage and the consequent feedbacks to surface meteorology and air quality in China by coupling two O<sub>3</sub> damage schemes (S2007 vs. L2013) into a fully coupled regional meteorologychemistry model. With different schemes and damaging sensitivities, surface O<sub>3</sub> is predicted to decrease summertime gross primary productivity by 5.5%-21.4% and transpiration by 5.4%-23.2% in China, in which the L2013 scheme yields 2.5-4 times of losses relative to the S2007 scheme. The damages to photosynthesis of sunlit leaves are ~2.6 times that of shaded leaves in the S2007 scheme but show limited differences in the L2013 scheme. Though with large discrepancies in offline responses, the two schemes yield similar magnitude of feedback to surface meteorology and O<sub>3</sub> air quality. The O<sub>3</sub>-induced damage to transpiration increases national sensible heat by 3.2-6.0 W  $m^{-2}$  (8.9% to 16.2%) while reduces latent heat by 3.3-6.4 W  $m^{-2}$  (-5.6% to -17.4%), leading to a 0.2-0.51 °C increase in surface air temperature and a 2.2-3.9% reduction in relative humidity. Meanwhile, surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations on average increase by 2.6-4.4 µg m<sup>-3</sup> due to the inhibitions of stomatal uptake and the anomalous enhancement in isoprene emissions, the latter of which is attributed to the surface warming by O<sub>3</sub>vegetaion coupling. Our results highlight the importance of O<sub>3</sub> control in China due to its adverse effects on ecosystem functions, global warming, and O<sub>3</sub> pollution through the O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling.

49

48

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Keywords: Ozone, vegetation, feedback, meteorology, air quality, regional model

51

#### 1 Introduction

Surface ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) is one of the most enduring air pollutants affecting air quality in China, with detrimental effects on human health and ecosystem functions (Monk et al., 2015). Long-term observations and numerical simulations have shown that O<sub>3</sub> affects stomatal conductance (Li et al., 2017), accelerates vegetation aging (Feng et al., 2015), and reduces photosynthesis (Wittig et al., 2007). These negative effects altered carbon allocation (Yue and Unger, 2014; Lombardozzi et al., 2015) and inhibited plant growth (Li et al., 2016), suppressing ecosystem carbon uptake (Ainsworth, 2012). Moreover, these effects have profound implications for global/regional climate and atmospheric environment. Given the significant ecological impacts, a systematic quantification of the O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage effect in China is of great importance for the better understanding of the side effects of O<sub>3</sub> pollution on both regional carbon uptake and climate change.

At present, field experiments on O<sub>3</sub>-induced vegetation damage have been conducted in China but were mostly confined to individual monitoring sites. For instance, Su et al. (2017) conducted experiments on grassland in Inner Mongolia and found that elevated O<sub>3</sub> concentrations resulted in a decrease of approximately 20% in the photosynthetic rate of herbaceous plants. Meta-analysis of tropical, subtropical, and temperate tree species in China found that increased O<sub>3</sub> concentrations reduced net photosynthesis and total biomass of Chinese woody plants by 28% and 14%, respectively (Li et al., 2017). However, most of these experiments were conducted using open-top chambers with artificially controlled O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, rather than actual surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, making it difficult to quantitatively estimate the impact of ambient O<sub>3</sub> on vegetation productivity. Furthermore, the spatial coverage of field experiments is limited, which hinders the direct use of observational data for assessing O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage in different regions of China.

Alternatively, numerical models provide a more feasible approach to quantify the O<sub>3</sub>-induced vegetation damage from the regional to global scales. Currently, there are three main parameterizations for the calculation of ozone vegetation damage. Felzer et

al. (2004) established an empirical scheme based on the Accumulated Ozone exposure over a Threshold of 40 ppb (AOT40) within the framework of a terrestrial ecosystem model. They further estimated that O<sub>3</sub> pollution in the United States led to a decrease in net primary productivity (NPP) by 2.6% to 6.8% during the period of 1980-1990. However, the AOT40 is related to O<sub>3</sub> concentrations alone and ignores the biological regulations on the O<sub>3</sub> stomatal uptake, leading to inconsistent tendencies between O<sub>3</sub> pollution level and plant damage at the drought conditions (Gong et al., 2021). In acknowledge of such deficit, Sitch et al. (2007) proposed a semi-mechanistic scheme calculating O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage based on the stomatal uptake of O<sub>3</sub> fluxes and the coupling between stomatal conductance and leaf photosynthesis. Yue and Unger (2014) implemented this scheme into the Yale Interactive terrestrial Biosphere (YIBs) model. Taking into account varied O<sub>3</sub> sensitivities of different vegetation types, they estimated that surface O<sub>3</sub> led to reductions of 2-5% in the summer gross primary productivity (GPP) in eastern U.S. from 1998 to 2007. Later, Lombardozzi et al. (2013) conducted a meta-analysis using published chamber data and found different levels of responses to O<sub>3</sub> exposure between stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. They further implemented the independent response relationships into the Community Land Model (CLM) and estimated that current ozone levels led to a reduction in global GPP by 8%-12% (Lombardozzi et al., 2015).

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

The O<sub>3</sub> stress on vegetation physiology can feed back to affect regional climate. Lombardozzi et al. (2015) employed the CLM model and found that current O<sub>3</sub> exposure reduced transpiration by 2%-2.4% globally and up to 15% regionally over eastern U.S., Europe, and Southeast Asia, leading to further perturbations in surface energy balance. In U.S., Li et al. (2016) found that the O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage reduced latent heat (LH) flux, precipitation, and runoff by 10-27 W m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.9-1.4 mm d<sup>-1</sup>, and 0.1-0.17 mm d<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and increased surface air temperature by 0.6-2.0 °C during the summer of 2007-2012. In China, Zhu et al. (2022) performed simulations and found that the inclusion of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation interaction caused a 5-30 W m<sup>-2</sup> decrease in LH, 0.2-0.8 °C increase in surface air temperature, and 3% reduction in relative

humidity during summers of 2014-2017. Recently, Jin et al. (2023) applied a different regional model and estimated that O<sub>3</sub> exposure weakened plant transpiration and altered surface heat flux in China, resulting in significant increase of up to 0.16 °C in maximum daytime temperature and decrease of -0.74% in relative humidity. However, all these previous estimates of O<sub>3</sub>-induced feedback to climate were derived using the empirical O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme proposed by Lombardozzi et al. (2013), which assumed fixed damage ratios independent of O<sub>3</sub> dose for some vegetation species and as a result may have biases in the further estimated feedback to climate.

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

The O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling also has intricate implications for air quality. On one hand, O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling can influence meteorological conditions that affect O<sub>3</sub> generation, ultimately influencing the O<sub>3</sub> level (Sadiq et al., 2017). On the other hand, it can also influence biogenic emissions and dry deposition, thereby affecting O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (Gong et al., 2020). Sadiq et al. (2017) implemented O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling in the Community Earth System Model (CESM) and estimated that surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations increased 4-6 ppb in Europe, North America, and China due to O<sub>3</sub>vegetation coupling. By using the CLM model with the empirical scheme of Lombardozzi et al. (2013), Zhou et al. (2018) found that O<sub>3</sub>-induced damage on leaf area index (LAI) could lead to changes in global O<sub>3</sub> concentrations by -1.8 to +3 ppb in boreal summer. Gong et al., (2020) used the O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme from Sitch et al. (2007) embedded in a global climate-chemistry-carbon coupled model and estimated that O<sub>3</sub>-induced stomatal inhibition led to an average surface O<sub>3</sub> increase of 1.2-2.1 ppb in eastern China and 1.0-1.3 ppb in western Europe. Different from the above global simulations with coarse resolutions, regional modeling with fine resolution can reveal more details about O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling and feedback to surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in China (Zhu et al., 2022; Jin et al., 2023). However, all these regional simulations were carried out using O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme of Lombardozzi et al. (2013), limiting the exploration of model uncertainties due to varied O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage schemes.

In this study, we implemented O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage schemes from both Sitch et al. (2007) and Lombardozzi et al. (2013) into the widely-used regional meteorology-

chemistry model WRF-Chem. We validated the simulated meteorology and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, and performed sensitivity experiments to explore the O<sub>3</sub> damage to GPP and consequent feedbacks to regional climate and air quality in China. Within the same framework, we compared the differences of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling from two schemes and explored the causes for the discrepancies. We aimed to quantify the modeling uncertainties in the up-to-date estimates of O<sub>3</sub> impact on regional carbon fluxes and its feedback to regional climate and air quality in China.

## 2 Method

#### 2.1 WRF-Chem model

We used WRF-Chem model version 3.9.1 to simulate meteorological fields and O<sub>3</sub> concentration in China. The model includes atmospheric physics and dynamical processes, atmospheric chemistry, and biophysical and biochemical processes (Grell et al., 2005, Skamarock et al., 2008). The model domain is configured with 196×160 gird cells at 27 km horizontal resolution on the Lambert conformal projection, and covers the entire mainland China. In the vertical direction, 28 layers are set extending from surface to 50 hPa. The meteorological initial and boundary conditions were adopted from ERA5 reanalysis produced by the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) at a horizontal resolution of 0.25°×0.25° (Hersbach et al., 2020). The chemical initial and boundary conditions were generated from the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracer version 4 (MOZART-4), which is available at a horizontal resolution of 1.9°×2.5° with 56 vertical layers (Emmons et al., 2010).

Anthropogenic emissions are adopted from the 0.25° Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) and MIX Asian emission inventory for the other regions (available at http://meicmodel.org). Biogenic emissions are calculated online using the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (Guenther et al., 2006), which considers the impacts of plant types, weather conditions, and leaf area on vegetation emissions. Atmospheric chemistry is simulated using the Carbon Bond Mechanism version Z (CBMZ) (Zaveri and Peters, 1999) gas-phase chemistry module coupled with

a four-bin sectional Model for Simulating Aerosol Interactions and Chemistry (MOSAIC) (Zaveri et al., 2008). The photolysis scheme is based on the Madronich Fast-TUV photolysis module (Tie et al., 2003). The physical configurations include the Morrison double-moment microphysics scheme (Morrison et al., 2009), the Grell-3 cumulus scheme (Grell et al., 2002), the Rapid Radiative Transfer Model longwave radiation scheme (Mlawer et al., 1997), the Goddard short-wave radiation scheme (Chou and Suarez, 1994), the Yonsei University planetary boundary layer scheme (Hong et al., 2006), and the revised MM5 (Fifth generation Mesoscale Model) Monin-Obukhov surface layer scheme.

#### 2.2 Noah-MP model

Noah-MP is a land surface model coupled to WRF-Chem with multiple options for key land-atmosphere interaction processes (Niu et al., 2011). Noah-MP considers canopy structure with canopy height and crown radius, and depicts leaves with prescribed dimensions, orientation, density, and radiometric properties. The model employs a two-stream radiative transfer approach for surface energy and water transfer processes (Dickinson, 1983). Noah-MP is capable of distinguishing photosynthesis pathways between C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants, and defines vegetation-specific parameters for leaf photosynthesis and respiration.

Noah-MP considers prognostic vegetation growth through the coupling between photosynthesis and stomatal conductance (Farquhar et al., 1980; Ball et al., 1987). The photosynthesis rate, A (µmolCO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), is calculated as one of three limiting factors as follows:

$$191 A_{tot} = min(W_c, W_j, W_e)I_{gs} (1)$$

where  $W_c$  is the RuBisco-limited photosynthesis rate,  $W_j$  is the light-limited photosynthesis rate, and  $W_e$  is the export-limited photosynthesis rate.  $I_{gs}$  is the growing season index with values ranging from 0 to 1. Stomatal conductance  $(g_s)$  is computed based on photosynthetic rate as follows:

196 
$$g_S = \frac{1}{r_S} = m \frac{A_{net}}{C_S} RH + b$$
 (2)

where b is the minimum stomatal conductance; m is the Ball-Berry slope of the conductance-photosynthesis relationship;  $A_{net}$  is the net photosynthesis by subtracting dark respiration from  $A_{tot}$ ;  $C_S$  is the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the leaf surface. The assimilated carbon is allocated to various parts of vegetation (leaf, stem, wood, and root) and soil carbon pools (fast and slow), which determines the variations of LAI and canopy height. Plant transpiration rate is then estimated using the dynamic LAI and stomatal conductance. Noah-MP also distinguishes the photosynthesis of sunlit and shaded leaves. Sunlit leaves are more limited by CO<sub>2</sub> concentration while shaded leaves are more constrained by insolation, leading to varied responses to O<sub>3</sub> damage.

206

207

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

#### 2.3 Scheme for ozone damage on vegetation

- We implemented the  $O_3$  vegetation damage schemes proposed by Sitch et al. (2007) (thereafter S2007) and Lombardozzi et al. (2013) (thereafter L2013) into the Noah-MP. In S2007 scheme, the undamaged fraction F for net photosynthesis is dependent on the sensitivity parameter  $a_{PFT}$  and excessive area-based stomatal  $O_3$  flux, which is calculated as the difference between  $f_{O_3}$  and threshold  $y_{PFT}$ :
- 213  $F = 1 a_{PFT} \times max\{f_{O_3} y_{PFT}, 0\}$  (3)
- where  $a_{PFT}$  and  $y_{PFT}$  are specifically determined for individual plant functional types
- 215 (PFTs) based on measurements (Table 1). The stomatal O<sub>3</sub> flux  $f_{O_3}$  is calculated as

$$216 f_{O_3} = \frac{[O_3]}{r_a + k_{O_3} \cdot r_s} (4)$$

- where  $[O_3]$  is the  $O_3$  concentration at the reference level (nmol m<sup>-3</sup>),  $r_a$  is the aerodynamic and boundary layer resistance between leaf surface and reference level (s
- 219 m<sup>-1</sup>).  $k_{O3} = 1.67$  represents the ratio of leaf resistance for O<sub>3</sub> to that for water vapor.  $r_s$
- 220 represents stomatal resistance (s m<sup>-1</sup>). For S2007 scheme, stomatal conductance is
- damaged with the same ratio (1-F) as photosynthesis and further affects  $O_3$  uptake. In
- Noah-MP, the  $f_{\mathcal{O}_3}$  are calculated separately for sunlit and shaded leaves with
- 223 corresponding stomatal resistance (Supplementary Text S1).
- As a comparison, the L2013 scheme applies separate O<sub>3</sub> damaging relationships

for photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance. These independent relationships account for different plant groups and are calculated based on the cumulative uptake of O<sub>3</sub> (CUO) under different levels of chronic O<sub>3</sub> exposure. The leaf-level CUO (mmol m<sup>-</sup> <sup>2</sup>) is calculated by accumulating stomatal O<sub>3</sub> fluxes of Equation 4 from the start of the growing season to the specific time step with mean LAI > 0.5 (Lombardozzi et al., 2012), when vegetation is most vulnerable to air pollution episodes. O<sub>3</sub> uptake is only accumulated when O<sub>3</sub> flux is above an instantaneous threshold of 0.8 nmol O<sub>3</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> to account for ozone detoxification by vegetation at low O<sub>3</sub> levels (Lombardozzi et al., 2015). We also include a leaf-turnover rate for evergreen plants so that the accumulation of O<sub>3</sub> flux does not last beyond the average foliar lifetime. The O<sub>3</sub> damaging ratios depend on CUO with empirical linear relationships as follows: 

$$236 F_{PO3} = a_p \times CUO + b_p (5)$$

$$237 F_{cO3} = a_c \times CUO + b_c (6)$$

where  $F_{pO3}$  and  $F_{cO3}$  are the ozone damage ratios for photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, respectively. The slopes  $(a_p)$  for photosynthesis and  $a_c$  for stomatal conductance) and intercepts  $(b_p)$  for photosynthesis and  $b_c$  for stomatal conductance) of regression functions are determined based on the meta-analysis of hundreds of measurements (Table 2). The ratios predicted in Equations (5) and (6) are applied to photosynthesis and stomatal conductance, respectively, to account for their independent responses to  $O_3$  damages. In Noah-MP, the  $F_{pO3}$  and  $F_{cO3}$  are calculated separately for sunlit and shaded leaves based on corresponding stomatal resistance (Supplementary Text S1).

#### 2.4 Observational data

We validated the simulated meteorology and air pollutants with observations. The meteorological data were downloaded from the National Meteorological Information Center of China Meteorological Administration (CMA Meteorological Data Centre, 2022, http://data.cma.cn/data/detail/dataCode/A.0012.0001.html). The daily averaged surface pressure (PRES), wind speed at a height of 10 m (WS10), relative humidity (RH) and temperature at a height of 2 m (T2) were collected from 839 ground stations.

Hourly surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations at 1597 sites in China were collected from Chinese National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC, http://websearch.mep.gov.cn/).

#### 2.5. Simulations

We performed seven experiments to quantify the damaging effects of ambient O<sub>3</sub> on GPP and the feedbacks to regional climate and air quality (Table 3). All simulations are conducted from 1<sup>st</sup> May to 31<sup>st</sup> August of 2017 with the first month excluded from the analysis as the spin-up. The control simulations (CRTL) excluded the impact of ozone on vegetation. Three offline simulations were performed with the same settings as the CTRL run, except that O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damages were calculated and output without feedback to affect vegetation growth. These offline runs were established using either the S2007 scheme (Offline\_SH07 for high sensitivity and Offline\_SL07 for low sensitivity) or the L2013 scheme (Offline\_L13). As a comparison, three online simulations applied the S2007 scheme (Online\_SH07 for high sensitivity and Online\_SL07 for low sensitivity) and the L2013 scheme (Online\_L13) to estimate the O<sub>3</sub> damages to GPP, which further influenced LAI development, leaf transpiration, and dry deposition. The differences between CTRL and Online runs indicated the responses of surface meteorology and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations to the O<sub>3</sub>-induced vegetation damages.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Model evaluations

We compared the simulated summer near-surface temperature, relative humidity, wind speed, and surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations to observations. The model reasonably reproduces the spatial pattern of higher near-surface temperature in Southeast and Northwest and lower temperature over the Tibetan Plateau (Figure 1a). On the national scale, the near-surface temperature is underestimated with a mean bias (MB) of 1.04 °C but it shows a high correlation (R=0.96). Unlike temperature, simulated relative humidity is overestimated with a MB of 5.04 % but a high R of 0.93 (Figure 1b). Due to the modeling biases in the topographic effects, simulated wind speed is overestimated

by more than 1.06 m s<sup>-1</sup> on the national scale (Figure 1c). Such overestimation was also reported in other studies using WRF models (Hu et al., 2016, Liu et al., 2020, Zhu et al., 2022).

Comparisons with the measurements from air quality sites show that the simulated O<sub>3</sub> deviates from the observed mean concentrations by 5.42 µg m<sup>-3</sup> with a spatial R of 0.68. The model reasonably captures the hotspots over North China Plain though with some overestimations, potentially attributed to uncertain emissions and coarse model resolutions. Such elevated bias in summer O<sub>3</sub> is a common issue for both global and regional models over Asia. For example, Zhu et al. (2022) reported the overestimated summer average ozone concentration by 13.82 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in China. Liu et al. (2020) reached positive biases ranging from 3.7 µg m<sup>-3</sup> to 13.32 µg m<sup>-3</sup> using the WRF-CMAQ model. Overall, the WRF-Chem model shows reasonable performance in the simulation of surface meteorology and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in China.

## 3.2 Offline O<sub>3</sub> damage

We compared the offline O<sub>3</sub> damage to photosynthesis between sunlit (PSNSUN) and shaded (PSNSHA) leaves during the summer. The S2007 scheme is dependent on instantaneous O<sub>3</sub> uptake, which peaks when both O<sub>3</sub> concentrations and stomatal conductance are high. For the same O<sub>3</sub> pollution level, the damages are much higher for the sunlit leaves (Figures 2a-2b) than that for the shaded leaves (Figures 2d-2e), because of the higher stomatal conductance linked with the more active photosynthesis for the sunlit leaves. In contrast, the L2013 scheme depends on the accumulated O<sub>3</sub> flux and assumes constant damages for some PFTs (Table 2), resulting in reductions of photosynthesis even at low O<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Consequently, we found limited differences in the O<sub>3</sub> damages between sunlit (Figure 2c) and shaded (Figure 2f) leaves with L2013 scheme. Observations have reported that surface O<sub>3</sub> has limited impacts on the shaded leaves (Wan et al., 2014), consistent with the results simulated by the S2007 scheme.

Figure 3 shows the effect of O<sub>3</sub> damage to stomatal resistance of sunlit (RSSUN)

and shaded (RSSHA) leaves. Overall, the spatial pattern of the changes in stomatal resistance is consistent with those of photosynthesis (Figure 2) but with opposite signs. Both RSSUN and RSSHA are enhanced by O<sub>3</sub> damage so as to prevent more O<sub>3</sub> uptake. For S2007 scheme, RSSUN with high and low sensitivities respectively increases by 13.43% (Figure 3a) and 8.35% (Figure 3b), higher than the rates of 4.71% (Figure 3d) and 2.97% (Figure 3e) for RSSHA. These ratios are inversely connected to the changes of photosynthesis (Figure 2), suggesting the full coupling of damages between leaf photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. For L2013 scheme, predicted changes in RSSUN (Figure 3c) and RSSHA (Figure 3f) are very similar with the magnitude of 25.3%-26.3%. These changes are higher than the loss of photosynthesis (Figures 2c and 2f), suggesting the decoupling of O<sub>3</sub> damages to leaf photosynthesis and stomatal conductance as revealed by the L2013 scheme.

We further assessed the O<sub>3</sub> damage to GPP and transpiration (TR). For S2007 scheme, O<sub>3</sub> causes damages to national average GPP and TR approximately by 5.5% with low sensitivity (Figures 4b and 4e) and 8.4% with high sensitivity (Figures 4a and 4d) compared to the CTRL simulation. The model predicts high GPP damages over North China Plain and moderate damages in the southeastern and northeastern regions. In the northwest, GPP damage is very limited due to the low relative humidity (Figure 1b) that constrains the stomatal uptake. For L2013 scheme, TR shows uniform reductions exceeding -25% in most regions of China except for the northwest (Figure 4f), though O<sub>3</sub> concentrations show distinct spatial gradient (Figure 1d). The changes of GPP are similar to that of TR but with lower inhibitions (Figure 4c). On average, the GPP reduction with the L2013 scheme is 2.5-3.9 times of that predicted with the S2007 scheme. The most significant differences are located in Tibetan Plateau with limited damages in S2007 but strong inhibitions of both GPP and TR in L2013. The low temperature (Figure 1a) and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations (Figure 1d) jointly constrain O<sub>3</sub> stomatal uptake (Figure S2), leading to low O<sub>3</sub> damages over Tibetan Plateau with the S2007 scheme. However, the L2013 scheme applies  $b_p$ =0.8021 for grassland (Table 2), suggesting strong baseline damages up to 20% even with CUO=0 over Tibetan Plateau

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

342

## 3.3 The O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation feedback to surface energy and meteorology

The O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage causes contrasting responses in surface sensible heat (SH) and LH (Figure 5). For S2007 scheme, the SH fluxes on average increase by 3.17 W m<sup>-2</sup> (8.85%) with low sensitivity (Figure 5b) and 5.99 W m<sup>-2</sup> (16.22%) with high sensitivity (Figure 5a). The maximum enhancement is located in southern China, where the increased stomatal resistance (Figure 3a) reduces transpiration and the consequent heat dissipation. Meanwhile, LH fluxes decrease by 3.26 W m<sup>-2</sup> (5.58%) with low sensitivity (Figure 5e) and 6.43 W m<sup>-2</sup> (15.29%) with high sensitivity (Figure 5d), following the reductions in transpiration (Figures 4d and 4e). We found similar changes in surface energy by O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling between the S2007 and L2013 schemes. The SH shows the same hotspots over southern China with national average increase of 12.85% (Figure 5c), which is within the range of 8.85% to 16.22% predicted by the S2007 scheme. The LH largely decreases in central and northern China with the mean reduction of 17.4% (Figure 5f), close to the magnitude of 15.29% predicted with the S2007 scheme using the high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity (Figure 5d). Although the offline damages to GPP and TR are much larger with the L2013 than S2007 (Figure 4), their feedback to surface energy shows consistent spatial pattern and magnitude (Figure 5), likely because the O<sub>3</sub> inhibition in S2007 has the same diurnal cycle with energy fluxes while the L2013 scheme shows almost constant inhibitions throughout the day (Figure S1). The zero or near-zero slope parameters ( $a_p$  and  $a_c$ ) in the L2013 scheme (Table 2) lead to insensitive responses of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to the variations of CUO. As a result, there were very limited diurnal variations in O<sub>3</sub> damage with the L2013 scheme. However, the strong nighttime damages in L2013 have limited contributions to the changes of surface energy, which usually peaks at the daytime.

The O<sub>3</sub>-induced damages to stomatal conductance weaken plant transpiration and thus slow down the heat dissipation at the surface, leading to the higher temperature but lower RH in China (Figure 6). On the national scale, temperature increases by 0.5 °C

due to O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage with the high sensitivity (Figure 6a) and 0.23 °C with the low sensitivity (Figure 6b) predicted using the S2007 scheme. A similar warming is predicted with the L2013 scheme except that temperature shows moderate enhancement over Tibetan Plateau (Figure 6c). The average RH decreases by 3.68% with the high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity (Figure 6d) and 2.22% with the low sensitivity (Figure 6e) in response to the suppressed plant transpiration. A stronger RH reduction of -3.85% is achieved with the L2013 scheme, which predicts the maximum RH reductions in the North (Figure 6f).

## 3.4 The O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation feedback to air quality

The O<sub>3</sub>-induced inhibition on stomatal resistance leads to a significant increase in surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, particularly in eastern China (Figures 7a-7c). The main cause of such feedback is the reduction in O<sub>3</sub> dry deposition, which exacerbates the O<sub>3</sub> pollution in China. For S2007 scheme, this positive feedback can reach up to 15 μg m<sup>-3</sup> with high sensitivity (Figure 7a) and 8 μg m<sup>-3</sup> with low sensitivity (Figure 7b) over North China Plain. On the national scale, surface O<sub>3</sub> enhances 4.40 μg m<sup>-3</sup> (5.08 %) with high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity and 2.62 μg m<sup>-3</sup> (3.04%) with low O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity through the coupling to vegetation. For L2013 scheme, the changes of O<sub>3</sub> concentration (Figure 7c) are comparable to that of the S2007 scheme with high sensitivity (Figure 7a), except that the O<sub>3</sub> enhancement is stronger in the Southeast but weaker in the Northeast.

The O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling also increases surface isoprene emissions. For S2007 scheme, isoprene emissions increase by 6.13% with high sensitivity (Figure 7d) and 3.43% with low sensitivity (Figure 7e), with regional hotspots in North China Plain, northeastern and southern regions. The predictions using L2013 scheme (Figure 7f) show very similar patterns and magnitude of isoprene changes to the S2007 scheme with high sensitivity. Such enhancement in isoprene emissions is related to the additional surface warming by O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation interactions (Figures 6a-6c). In turn, the increased isoprene emissions contribute to the deterioration of O<sub>3</sub> pollution in China.

#### 4. Conclusions and discussion

In this study, we explored the feedback of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling to surface meteorology and air quality in China using two O<sub>3</sub> damage schemes embedded in a regional meteorology-chemistry coupled model. The two schemes predicted distinct spatial patterns with much larger magnitude of GPP loss in the L2013 scheme than that in the S2007 scheme. We further distinguished the leaf responses with different illuminations. For the S2007 scheme, the damages to photosynthesis of sunlit leaves are ~2.6 times of that to shaded leaves. However, for the L2013 scheme, limited differences are found between the sunlit and shaded leaves. The damages to leaf photosynthesis increase stomatal resistance, leading to the reductions of transpiration but enhancement of sensible heat due to the less efficient heat dissipation. These changes in surface energy and water fluxes feed back to increase surface temperature but decrease relative humidity. Although the L2013 scheme predicts much stronger offline damages, the feedback causes very similar pattern and magnitude in surface warming as the S2007 scheme. Consequently, surface O<sub>3</sub> increases due to the stomatal closure and isoprene emissions enhance due to the anomalous warming.

Our predicted O<sub>3</sub> damage to GPP was within the range of -4% to -40% as estimated in previous studies using different models and/or parameterizations over China (Ren et al., 2011; Lombardozzi et al., 2015; Yue et al., 2015; Sadiq et al., 2017; Xie et al., 2019; Zhu et al., 2022; Jin et al., 2023). Such a wide span revealed the large uncertainties in the estimate of O<sub>3</sub> impacts on ecosystem functions. In this study, we employed two schemes and compared their differences. With the S2007 scheme, we predicted GPP reductions of -5.5% to -8.5% in China. This is similar to the range of -4% to -10% estimated by Yue et al. (2015) using the same O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme. However, it is lower than the estimate of -12.1% predicted by Xie et al. (2019), likely due to the slight overestimation of surface O<sub>3</sub> in the latter study. With the L2013 scheme, we predicted much larger GPP reductions of -21.4%. However, such value was still lower than the -28.9% in Jin et al. (2023) and -20% to -40% in Zhu et al. (2022) using the same L2013 scheme embedded in WRF-Chem model, though all studies showed similar spatial patterns in the GPP reductions. Such differences were likely attributed to the varied

model configuration as we ran the model from May while the other studies started from the beginning of years. The longer time for the accumulation of O<sub>3</sub> stomatal uptake in other studies might result in higher damages than our estimates with the L2013 scheme.

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

The O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling caused strong feedback to surface meteorology and air quality. Our simulations with either scheme revealed that surface SH increases by 2-28 W m<sup>-2</sup> and LH decreases by 4-32W m<sup>-2</sup> over eastern China, consistent with the estimates of 5-30 W m<sup>-2</sup> by Zhu et al. (2022) using WRF-Chem model with the L2013 scheme. Consequently, surface air temperature on average increases by 0.23-0.51°C while relative humidity decreases by 2.2-3.8%, similar to the warming of 0.2-0.8°C and RH reduction of 3% as predicted by Zhu et al. (2022). However, these changes in surface energy flux and meteorology are much higher than that in Jin et al. (2023), likely because the latter focuses on the perturbations averaged throughout the year instead of summer period as in this study and Zhu et al. (2022). We further predicted that O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage increased surface O<sub>3</sub> by 1.0-3.33 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in China, similar to the 2.35-4.11 µg m<sup>-3</sup> estimated for eastern China using a global model (Gong et al., 2020). Regionally, the O<sub>3</sub> enhancement reached as high as 7.84-14.70 µg m<sup>-3</sup> in North China Plain, consistent with the maximum value of 11.76 µg m<sup>-3</sup> over the same domain predicted by Zhu et al. (2022). However, limited feedback to surface O<sub>3</sub> was predicted in Jin et al. (2023), mainly because the decreased dry deposition had comparable but opposite effects to the decreased isoprene emissions due to the reductions of LAI. Such discrepancy was likely caused by the stronger O<sub>3</sub> inhibition in Jin et al. (2023) following the longer period of O<sub>3</sub> accumulation, consequently exacerbating the negative impacts of LAI reductions on O<sub>3</sub> production.

There were some limitations in our parameterizations and simulations. First, we predicted increases of isoprene emissions in eastern China mainly due to the increased leaf temperature, which is in line with previous studies (Sadiq et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2022). However, isoprene production is coupled to photosynthesis. There are empirical evidences showing that high dose of O<sub>3</sub> exposure reduces isoprene emissions when O<sub>3</sub> exposure is prolonged enough to suppress photosynthesis (Bellucci et al., 2023).

Inclusion of such negative feedback might alleviate the O<sub>3</sub>-induced enhancement in isoprene emissions. Second, the WRF-Chem model slightly overestimated summer O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, which could exacerbate the damages to stomatal conductance and the subsequent feedback. Third, the S2007 scheme employed the coupled responses in photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage. However, some observations revealed that stomatal response is slow under long-term O<sub>3</sub> exposure, resulting in loss of stomatal function and decoupling from photosynthesis (Calatayud et al., 2007; Lombardozzi et al., 2012). The L2013 scheme considered the decoupling between photosynthesis and stomatal conductance. However, this scheme shows no significant different changes for sunlit and shaded leaves. In addition, the calculation of CUO heavily relied on the O<sub>3</sub> threshold and accumulation period, leading to varied responses among different studies using the same scheme. Furthermore, the slopes of O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity in L2013 scheme were set to zero for some PFTs, leading to constant damages independent of CUO. Fourth, the current knowledge of the O<sub>3</sub> effects on stomatal conductance was primarily derived from leaf-level measurements (Matyssek et al., 2008), which were much fewer compared to that for photosynthesis. The limited data availability and lack of inter-PFT responses constrain the development of empirical parameterizations.

Despite these limitations, our study provided the first comparison of different parameterizations in simulating O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation interactions. We found similar feedbacks to surface energy and meteorology though the two schemes showed varied magnitude and distribution in the offline responses of GPP and stomatal conductance to surface O<sub>3</sub>. The main cause of such inconsistency lied in the low feedback of damages in L2013 with some unrealistic inhibitions of ecosystem functions at night and over the regions with low O<sub>3</sub> level. Such similarity provides a solid foundation for the exploration of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation coupling using different schemes. The positive feedback of O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage to surface air temperature and O<sub>3</sub> concentrations posed emerging but ignored threats to both climate change and air quality in China.

- Data availability. The observed hourly O<sub>3</sub> concentrations were obtained from Chinese
  National Environmental Monitoring Center (CNEMC, http://websearch.mep.gov.cn/).
  The observed meteorological data were obtained from the National Meteorological
- 490 Information Center of China Meteorological Administration (CMA Meteorological
- Data Centre, 2022, http://data.cma.cn/data/detail/dataCode/A.0012.0001.html). The
- 492 MEIC and MIX emission inventory are available at
- http://meicmodel.org.cn/?page\_id=560 and http://meicmodel.org.cn/?page\_id=89.

- Author contributions. XY conceived the study. XY and JC designed the research and carried out the simulations. JC completed data analysis and the first draft. MM provided
- useful comments on the paper. XY reviewed and edited the manuscript.

498

499 **Competing interests.** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

500

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to three anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments that have improved this study.

503

- Financial support. This study was jointly funded by the National Key Research and
- 505 Development Program of China (grant no. 2023YFF0805403), National Natural
- Science Foundation of China (grant no. 42293323), and Jiangsu Funding Program for
- 507 Excellent Postdoctoral Talent (grant no. 2023ZB737).

508

509

#### References

- Ainsworth, E. A., Yendrek, C. R., Sitch, S., Collins, W. J., and Emberson, L. D.: The
- effects of tropospheric ozone on net primary productivity and implications for
- climate change, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 63, 637–661,
- 513 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevarplant-042110-103829, 2012.
- Ball, J. T., Woodrow, I. E., and Berry, J. A.: A model predicting stomatal conductance
- and its contribution to the control of photosynthesis under different environmental
- conditions, Prog. Photosynthesis, Springer, Dordrecht, 4, 221–224, 1987.

- Bellucci, M., Locato, V., Sharkey, T. D., Gara D. and Loreto, F.: Isoprene emission by
- plants in polluted environments, J PLANT INTERACT., 18:1, 2266463,
- 519 https://doi.org/10.1080/17429145.2023.2266463, 2023
- 520 Calatayud, V., Cerveró, J., and Sanz, M. J.: Foliar, physiologial and growth responses
- of four maple species exposed to ozone, Water Air Soil Pollut., 185, 239–254,
- 522 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-007-9446-5, 2007.
- 523 Chou, M.-D. and Suarez, M.J.: An Efficient Thermal Infrared Radiation
- Parameterization for Use in General Circulation Models. Technical Report, 85p.
- 525 1994.
- 526 Dickinson, R. E.: Land surface processes and climate Surface albedos and energy
- 527 balance, Adv. Geophys., 25, 305–353, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-
- 528 2687(08)60176-4, 1983.
- Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D.,
- Granier, C., Guenther, A., Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando, J., Tie, X., Tyndall,
- G., Wiedinmyer, C., Baughcum, S. L., and Kloster, S.: Description and evaluation
- of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4),
- Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 43–67, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010, 2010.
- 534 Farquhar, G. D., Caemmerer, S. V., and Berry, J. A.: A biochemicalmodel of
- photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C3 species, Planta, 149, 78–90,
- 536 https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00386231, 1980.
- Felzer, B., Kicklighter, D., Melillo, J., Wang, C., Zhuang, Q., and Prinn, R.: Effects of
- ozone on net primary production and carbon sequestration in the conterminous
- United States using a biogeochemistry model, Tellus B, 56, 230-248,
- 540 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0889.2004.00097.x, 2004.
- 541 Feng, Z., Hu, E., Wang, X., Jiang, L., and Liu, X.: Ground-level O<sub>3</sub> pollution and its
- impacts on food crops in China: A review, Environ. Pollut., 199, 42–48,
- 543 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.01.016, 2015.
- Gong, C., Lei, Y., Ma, Y., Yue, X., and Liao, H.: Ozone– vegetation feedback through
- dry deposition and isoprene emissions in a global chemistry-carbon-climate

- model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20, 3841–3857, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-203841-
- 547 2020, 2020.
- 548 Gong, C., Yue, X., Liao, H., and Ma, Y.: A humidity-based exposure index representing
- ozone damage effects on vegetation, Environ. Res. Lett., 16, 044030,
- https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abecbb, 2021.
- Grell, G. A., McKeen, S., Michalakes, J., Bao, J.-W., Trainer, M., and Hsie, E.-Y.: Real-
- 552 time simultaneous prediction of air pollution and weather during the Houston 2000
- Field Experiment, presented at the 4th Conference on Atmospheric Chemistry:
- 554 Atmospheric Chemistry and Texas Field Study, 13-17 January, American
- 555 Meteorological Society, Orlando, 2002.
- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C.,
- and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chem- istry within the WRF model. Atmos.
- Environ., 39, 6957–6975, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.:
- Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of
- Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181–
- 3210, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
- Hersbach, H., Bell, B., Berrisford, P., Hirahara, S., Horányi, A., Muñoz-Sabater, J.,
- Nicolas, J., Peubey, C., Radu, R., Schepers, D., Simmons, A., Soci, C., Abdalla,
- 565 S., Abellan, X., Balsamo, G., Bechtold, P., Biavati, G., Bidlot, J., Bonavita, M., De
- Chiara, G., Dahlgren, P., Dee, D., Diamantakis, M., Dragani, R., Flemming, J.,
- Forbes, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A., Haimberger, L., Healy, S., Hogan, R. J., Hólm,
- E., Janisková, M., Keeley, S., Laloyaux, P., Lopez, P., Lupu, C., Radnoti, G., de
- Rosnay, P., Rozum, I., Vamborg, F., Villaume, S., and Thépaut, J.-N.: The ERA5
- global reanalysis, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 146, 1999–2049, 2020.
- Hong, S.-Y., Noh, Y., and Dudhia, J.: A new vertical diffusion package with explicit
- treatment of entrainment processes, Mon. Weather Rev., 134, 2318–2341,
- 573 https://doi.org/10.1175/MWR3199.1, 2006.
- Hu, J., Chen, J., Ying, Q., and Zhang, H.: One-year simulation of ozone and particulate

- matter in China using WRF/CMAQ modeling system, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16,
- 576 10333–10350, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-10333-2016, 2016.
- Jin, Z., Yan, D., Zhang, Z., Li, M., Wang, T., Huang, X., Xie, M., Li S and Zhuang.:
- Effects of elevated ozone exposure on regional meteorology and air quality in
- 579 China through ozone-vegetation coupling. J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 128,
- 580 e2022JD038119. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JD038119, 2023.
- Li, J., Mahalov, A., and Hyde, P.: Simulating the impacts of chronic ozone exposure on
- plant conductance and photosynthesis, and on the regional hydroclimate using
- 583 WRF/Chem, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 114017,
- 584 https://doi.org/10.1088/17489326/11/11/114017, 2016.
- Li, P., Calatayud, V., Gao, F., Uddling, J., and Feng, Z. Z.: Differences in ozone
- sensitivity among woody species are related to leaf morphology and antioxidant
- levels, Tree Physiol., 36, 1105–1116, https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw042,
- 588 2016.
- Li, P., Feng, Z., Catalayud, V., Yuan, X., Xu, Y., and Paoletti, E.: A meta-analysis on
- growth, physiological, and biochemical responses of woody species to ground-
- level ozone highlights the role of plant functional types, Plant Cell Environ., 40,
- 592 2369–2380, https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.13043, 2017.
- Liu, Y. and Wang, T.: Worsening urban ozone pollution in China from 2013 to 2017 –
- Part 1: The complex and varying roles of meteorology, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 20,
- 595 6305–6321, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-20-6305-2020, 2020.
- Lombardozzi, D., Levis, S., Bonan, G., and Sparks, J. P.: Predicting photosynthesis and
- transpiration responses to ozone: decoupling modeled photosynthesis and stomatal
- conductance, Biogeosciences, 9, 3113-3130, https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-
- 599 31132012, 2012.
- 600 Lombardozzi, D., Sparks, J. P., and Bonan, G.: Integrating O3 influences on terrestrial
- processes: photosynthetic and stomatal response data available for regional and
- global modeling, Biogeosciences, 10, 6815–6831, https://doi:10.5194/bg-10-
- 603 6815-2013, 2013.

- 604 Lombardozzi, D., Levis, S., Bonan, G., Hess, P. G., and Sparks, J. P.: The influence of
- chronic ozone exposure on global carbon and water cycles, J. Climate, 28, 292–
- 305, https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-14-00223.1, 2015.
- Matyssek, R., Sandermann, H., Wieser, G., Booker, F., Cieslik, S., Musselman, R., and
- Ernst, D.: The challenge of making ozone risk assessment for forest trees more
- 609 mechanistic, Environ. Pollut., 156, 567–582,
- 610 https://doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2008.04.017, 2008.
- Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., and Clough, S. A.: Radiative
- transfer for inhomogeneous atmosphere: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model
- for the longwave, J. Geophys. Res-Atmos., 102(D14), 16 663–16 682,
- 614 https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00237, 1997.
- Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler,
- D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret,
- V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.:
- Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air
- quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889–8973,
- 620 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.
- 621 Morrison, H., Thompson, G., and Tatarskii, V.: Impact of cloud microphysics on the
- development of trailing stratiform precipitation in a simulated squall line:
- 623 comparison of one- and two-moment schemes, Monthly Weather Review, 137,
- 624 991–1007, https://doi.org/10.1175/2008MWR2556.1, 2009.
- Niu, G. Y., Yang, Z. L., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., Kumar, A.,
- Manning, K., Niyogi, D., Rosero, E., Tewari, M., and Xia, Y.: The community
- Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1.
- Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements, J. Geophys.
- Res-Atmos., 116, D12, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015139, 2011.
- Ren, W., Tian, H., Tao, B., Chappelka, A., Sun, G., Lu, C., Liu, M., Chen, G., and Xu,
- X.: Impacts of tropospheric ozone and climate change on net primary productivity
- and net carbon exchange of China's forest ecosystems, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 20,

- 633 391–406, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00606.x, 2011.
- 634 Sadiq, M., Tai, A. P. K., Lombardozzi, D., and Val Martin, M.: Effects of ozone-
- vegetation coupling on surface ozone air quality via biogeochemical and
- meteorological feedbacks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 3055–3066,
- 637 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-3055-2017, 2017.
- 638 Sitch, S., Cox, P. M., Collins, W. J., and Huntingford, C.: Indirect radiative forcing of
- climate change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink, Nature, 448, 791–
- 794, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature06059, 2007.
- 641 Skamarock W C and Klemp J B. A time-split nonhydrostatic atmospheric model for
- weather research and forecasting applications. J. Comput. Phys., 227(7): 3465-
- 643 3485, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2007.01.037, 2008.
- Su, B., Zhou, M., Xu, H., Zhang, X., Li, Y., Su, H., and Xiang B.: Photosynthesis and
- biochemical responses to elevated O<sub>3</sub> in Plantago major and Sonchus oleraceus
- growing in a lowland habitat of northern China, J. Environ. SCI., 53(3): 113-121,
- 647 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2016.05.011, 2017.
- Tie, X. X., Madronich, S., Walters, S., Zhang, R. Y., Rasch, P., and Collins, W.: Effect
- of clouds on photolysis and oxidants in the troposphere, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.,
- 650 108, 4642, https://doi.org/10.1029/2003jd003659, 2003.
- Wan, W., Manning, WJ., Wang, X., Zhang, H., Sun, X., and Zhang, Q.: Ozone and
- ozone injury on plants in and around Beijing, China, Environ Pollut., 191: 215-
- 653 222, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2014.02.035, 2014
- Wilkinson, S., Clephan, A. L., and Davies, W. J.: Rapid Low Temperature-Induced
- 655 Stomatal Closure Occurs in Cold-Tolerant Commelina Communis Leaves But Not
- in Cold-Sensitive Tobacco Leaves, via a Mechanism That Involves Apoplastic
- 657 Calcium But Not Abscisic Acid. Plant Physiol., 126, 1566–1578.
- https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.126.4.1566, 2001.
- Wittig, V. E., Ainsworth, E. A., and Long, S. P.: To what extent do current and projected
- increases in surface ozone affect photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of trees?
- A metaanalytic review of the last 3 decades of experiments, Plant Cell Environ.,

- 30, 1150–1162, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13653040.2007.01717.x, 2007.
- Kie, X., Wang, T., Yue, X., Li, S., Zhuang, B., Wang, M., and Yang, X.: Numerical
- modeling of ozone damage to plants and its effects on atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in China,
- Atmos. Environ., 217, 116970, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.116970,
- 666 2019.
- Yue, X. and Unger, N.: Ozone vegetation damage effects on gross primary productivity
- in the United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 9137–9153,
- https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-9137-2014, 2014.
- Yue, X. and Unger, N.: The Yale Interactive terrestrial Biosphere model version 1.0:
- description, evaluation and implementation into NASA GISS ModelE2, Geosci.
- 672 Model Dev., 8, 2399–2417, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2399-2015, 2015.
- Zaveri, R. A., and Peters, L. K.: A new lumped structure photochemical mechanism for
- large-scale applications, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 104, 30387-30415, 1999.
- Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Fast, J. D., and Peters, L. K.: Model for simulating aerosol
- interactions and chemistry (MOSAIC), J. Geophys. Res-Atmos., 113, D13204,
- 677 https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD008782, 2008.
- Zhou, S. S., Tai, A. P. K., Sun, S., Sadiq, M., Heald, C. L., and Geddes, J. A.: Coupling
- between surface ozone and leaf area index in a chemical transport model: strength
- of feedback and implications for ozone air quality and vegetation health, Atmos.
- Chem. Phys., 18, 14133–14148, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-14133-2018, 2018.
- Zhu, J., Tai, A. P. K., and Yim, S. H. L.: Effects of ozone-vegetation interactions on
- 683 meteorology and air quality in China using a two-way coupled land-atmosphere
- model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22, 765-782, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-22-765-
- 685 2022, 2022.

# 687 **Tables**

688

692

**Table 1.** Parameters used for S2007 O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme.

PFTs <sup>a</sup>	$a_{PFT}$ (nmol <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>2</sup> s) <sup>b</sup>	y <sub>PFT</sub> (nmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
EBF	0.075, 0.02	1.6
NF	0.075, 0.02	1.6
DBF	0.15, 0.04	1.6
SHR	0.1, 0.03	1.6
GRA	1.4, 0.25	5
CRO	1.4, 0.25	5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The plant functional types (PFTs) include evergreen broadleaf forest (EBF), needleleaf forest (NF), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF), shrubland (SHR), grassland (GRA), and cropland (CRO).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The first number is for high sensitivity and the second is for low sensitivity.


Table 2. Slopes and intercepts used for L2013 O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme.

PFTs	$a_p$ (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> )	$b_p$	$a_c$ (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> )	$b_c$
EBF	0	0.8752	0	0.9125
NF	0	0.839	0.0048	0.7823
DBF	0	0.8752	0	0.9125
SHR	0	0.8752	0	0.9125
GRA	-0.0009	0.8021	0	0.7511
CRO	-0.0009	0.8021	0	0.7511


Table 3. Summary of simulation experiments

Nome	O <sub>3</sub> damage to	Scheme	
Name	vegetable		
CRTL	-	-	
Offline_SH07	High	Sitch et al. (2007)	
Offline_SL07	Low	Sitch et al. (2007)	
Offline_L13	-	Lombardozzi et al. (2013)	
Online_SH07	High	Sitch et al. (2007)	
Online_SL07	Low	Sitch et al. (2007)	
Online_L13	-	Lombardozzi et al. (2013)	


# 702 Figure captions




**Figure 1** Evaluations of simulated summer (June–August) daily (24-h average) (a) near-surface temperature, (b) relative humidity, (c) wind speed, and (d) surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations in China. The dots represent the site-level observations. The correlation coefficients (R), mean biases (MB), and root-mean-square error (RMSE) for the comparisons are shown in the lower left corner of each panel.




**Figure 2** Offline O<sub>3</sub> damage (%) to the summertime photosynthesis of (a-c) sunlit and (d-f) shaded leaves predicted by the S2007 scheme with (a, d) high and (b, e) low sensitivities or the (c, f) L2013 scheme. The area-weighted percentage changes are shown in the lower left corner.




**Figure 3** The same as Figure 2 but for the changes in stomatal resistance.



**Figure 4** Offline O<sub>3</sub> damage (%) to the (a-c) gross primary productivity (GPP) and (d-f) transpiration rate (TR) predicted by the Sitch scheme with (a, d) high and (b,e) low sensitivities or the (c, f) Lombardozzi scheme. The area-weighted percentage changes are shown in the lower left corner.



**Figure 5** The feedback of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation interaction to surface (a-c) sensible and (d-f) latent heat fluxes in the summer predicted by the S2007 scheme with (a, d) high and (b, e) low sensitivities or the (c, f) L2013 scheme. The relative changes are shown with area-weighted percentage changes indicated at the lower left corner.



**Figure 6** The same as Figure 5 but for changes in (top) air temperature and (bottom) relative humidity at 2 meters.




Figure 7 The feedback of O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation interaction to surface O<sub>3</sub> concentrations and isoprene emissions in the summer predicted by the S2007 scheme with (a, d) high and (b, e) low sensitivities or the (c, f) L2013 scheme. The area-weighted percentage changes are shown in the lower left corner.