# Large ensemble simulations of the North American and Greenland ice sheets at the Last Glacial Maximum with a coupled atmospheric general circulation-ice sheet model

- 4
- Sam Sherriff-Tadano<sup>1,6</sup>, Ruza Ivanovic<sup>1</sup>, Lauren Gregoire<sup>1</sup>, Charlotte Lang<sup>2</sup>, Niall Gandy<sup>1, 5</sup>, Jonathan
   Gregory<sup>2,3</sup>, Tamsin L. Edwards<sup>4</sup>, Oliver Pollard<sup>1</sup> and Robin S. Smith<sup>2</sup>
- 7 <sup>1</sup> School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, UK
- 8 <sup>2</sup> National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Reading, UK
- 9 <sup>3</sup> Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK
- 10 <sup>4</sup>King's College London, UK
- 11<sup>5</sup> Department of Natural and Built Environment, Sheffield Hallam University, UK
- 12 <sup>6</sup>Department of Physics and Earth Sciences, University of the Ryukyus, Japan
- 13
- 14 *Correspondence to*: Sam Sherriff-Tadano (tadanosam@gmail.com)

## 15

## 16 Abstract

17 The Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) iswas characterised by huge ice sheets covering the Northern Hemisphere, especially over North America, and by its cold climate. Numerical Previous authors have performed numerical simulations of the 18 elimate and ice sheets of the LGM have been performed to better understand these coupled climate - ice sheet systems, 19 however the inherent uncertainty and sensitivity in the. However, the results of such simulations are sensitive to the selection 20 21 of many model parameters-remain uncertain. Here, we perform a 200-member ensemble of simulations of the North 22 American and Greenland ice sheets and climate of the LGM with an ice sheet-atmosphere-slab ocean coupled model 23 (FAMOUS-BISICLES) to explore sensitivities of the coupled climate-ice system to 16 uncertain parameters. In the ensemble 24 of simulations, the global mean surface temperature is primarily controlled by the combination of parameters in the large-25 scale condensation scheme and the cumulus convection scheme. In simulations with plausible LGM global mean surface 26 temperatures, we find that the albedo parameters have only a small impact on the Greenland ice volume due to the limited area of surface ablation associated with the cold climate. Instead, the basal sliding law controls the ice volume by affecting 27 28 ice transport from the interior to the margin. On the other hand, like the Greenland ice sheet in future climate change, the 29 LGM North American ice sheet volume is controlled by parameters in the snow and ice albedo scheme. Few of our 30 simulations produce an extensive North American ice sheet when the global temperature is above 12 °C. Based on constraints on the LGM global mean surface temperature, the ice volume and the southern extent of the North American ice sheet, we 31 32 select 16 acceptable simulations. These simulations lack the southern extent of ice compared to reconstructions, though show reasonable performance on the ice sheet configuration and ice streams facing the Baffin Bay and the Arctic Ocean. The 33 34 strong sensitivities of the North American ice sheet to albedo at the LGM may imply a potential constraint on the future 35 Greenland ice sheet by constraining the albedo schemes.

## 37 1. Introduction

38 The rise in sea level predicted in the next several centuries associated with increasing greenhouse gases and global warming 39 is one of the largest concerns of society and the climate science community. The most recent IPCC WG1 report projects a 40 global mean sea- level rise of more than 3 m under the high end of the SSP5-8.5 scenario for increase in radiative forcing 41 (SSP5-8.5) in the next 300 years (IPCC 2021). However, there are still large uncertainties in the predicted projections of sea 42 level rise with the possibility of a much larger magnitude (Edwards et al. 2021). This large uncertainty in the projection of 43 sea- level rise reflects the present limited state of knowledge of several important processes, such as nonlinear behaviours in 44 the ice sheet system (e.g. Gregoire et al. 2012, Abe-Ouchi et al. 2013, Golledge et al. 2019) and interactions of the climate 45 and the ice sheets, which are expressed in climate-ice sheet coupled models (e.g. Deconto and Pollard 2016, Golledge et al. 46 2019, Gregory et al. 2020, Smith et al. 2021). This uncertainty shows the importance of improving our understanding of the 47 ice sheet-climate coupled system and to refinerefining numerical models used for the future projection of climate and sea-48 level rise.

49

50 One method of evaluating climate-ice sheet coupled models and improving understanding of the climate-ice sheet coupled 51 system is to simulate conditions of past periods. In this regard, the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM), which corresponds to 52 approximately 21 thousand years before present (ka BP; Clark et al. 2009, Kageyama et al. 2021), is useful since both 53 climate conditions and the ice sheet configurations are relatively well documented compared to previous periods of glaciation (Tarasov et al. 2012, Kageyama et al. 2021). It has been suggested that the LGM could be used to constrain the 54 55 climate sensitivity (Tierney et al. 2020), cloud processes (Zhu et al. 2022) and deep ocean circulation (Sherriff-Tadano et al. 56 2023), implying that understanding this period has the-potential to help constrain climate and ice sheet models and future 57 sea level projections. During this period, weaker summer insolation and lower concentrations of greenhouse gases caused the 58 climate to be colder, allowing ice sheets to expand over North America and Northern Europe. As a result, the global climate 59 was colder by 1.7°C to 8.3°C (Holden et al. 2010, Schmittner et al. 2011, Tierney et al. 2020, Paul et al. 2021) and global 60 mean sea level was approximately 120 m lower compared to modern (Clark et al. 2009, Gowan et al. 2021). The mass of the 61 Greenland ice sheet is thought to have been larger by approximately by 2 to 5 m sea level equivalent (SLE) at the LGM 62 (Clark and Mix 2002, Lecavalier et al. 2014, Bradley et al. 2018, Tabone et al. 2018) and of the Antarctic ice sheet by 5.6 to 63 14.3 m SLE (e.g. Briggs et al. 2014). The Eurasian ice sheet is thought to have attained a volume of 24 m SLE (Hughes et al. 64 2016), but by much the largest part of the 120 m SLE is attributed to the growth of the North American ice sheet (at least 60 m SLE, e.g. Abe-Ouchi et al. 2015). The position of the margin of the North American ice sheet is constrained reasonably 65 66 well by geological evidence and this line of evidence is often used to validate the performance of ice sheet models (e.g., 67 Dyke et al. 2002, Clark et al. 2009).

68

69 Studies that simulate LGM climate and ice-sheets have primarily treated these components independently using 70 individualseparate numerical models. To investigate the effect of ice sheets on climate, following Manabe and Broccoli 71 (1985), many simulations have been performed and compared their simulations with elimate models as part of the, including 72 in studies contributed to the long-running Paleoclimate Model Intercomparison Project (PMIP, Braconnot et al. 2007, 2012, 73 Ivanovic et al. 2016, Kageyama et al. 2017). In these simulations, the The ice sheet configuration was specified as a boundary 74 condition and they in these simulations, which show the important role of the existence of the glacial ice sheets on the glacial 75 climate, affecting surface temperature, precipitation, atmospheric and oceanic circulation (Smith and Gregory, 2012; 76 Klockmann et al. 2016, Gregoire et al. 2018, Ivanovic et al. 2018, Sherriff-Tadano et al. 2021). To investigate the effect of 77 climate on ice sheets, simulations of the LGM ice sheets have been performed with ice sheet models. These simulations were 78 performed, either as full glacial cycle experiments (e.g. Abe-Ouchi et al. 2007) or perpetual equilibrium LGM experiments

79 (e.g. Alder and Hostetler 2019). In these experiments, the ice sheet models were forced with climatic conditions based on

outputs from general circulation models (Gregoire et al. 2012, Abe-Ouchi et al. 2013, Alder and Hostetler 2019, Niu et al.
2019, Blasco et al. 2021). They showed the critical effects of uncertain climatic conditions and albedo in causing a large
diversity in the simulated ice sheet configuration (Abe-Ouchi et al. 2007, Alder and Hostetler 2019, Niu et al. 2019, Blasco
et al. 2021) together with uncertainties in basal sliding law (Gandy et al. 20202019). These studies highlighted the strong
interaction of climate and ice sheets and the importance of performing simulations with climate-ice sheet coupled models to
better understand the coupled system.

87 Recent efforts in the modelling community in developing complex coupled climate-ice sheet models (e.g. Gregory et al. 88 2012, Ziemen et al. 2014, Roche et al. 2014, Smith et al. 2021) mean that higher complexity coupled climate-ice simulations 89 of the glacial period than have previously been possible may now be performed. Gregory et al. (2012) performed simulations of an ice sheet inception over North America with the climate-ice sheet coupled model FAMOUS-Glimmer. They showed 90 91 the role of the albedo on the magnitude and speed of the inception. Ziemen et al. (2014) performed simulations of the ice 92 sheet-atmosphere-ocean system with a more complex ice sheet-climate coupled model. Their simulation reproduced the 93 climate and the ice sheets of the LGM reasonably well, while the southern extent of the North American ice sheet was 94 somewhat smaller compared to reconstructions. This is partly due to the relatively coarse resolution of the atmospheric 95 model (Ziemen et al. 2014), which means their model underestimated the stationary wave effect that cools the southern 96 extent of the North American ice sheet and hence underestimates the ice area in that region (Roe and Lindzen 2001, Abe-97 Ouchi et al. 2007). Lofverstrom et al. (2015) performed simulations of the North American ice sheet and climate with an 98 atmosphere-ice sheet-slab ocean coupled model in an idealised framework and showed the importance of interactions 99 between atmospheric circulation, the Rocky Mountains and the ice sheet in shaping the ice sheet's zonally asymmetric 100 features. Willeit and Ganopolski (2016) presented simulations of the last glacial cycle with an ice sheet model coupled to an 101 Earth System model of intermediate complexity and discussed the role of the darkening effect of snow. Quiquet et al. (2021) 102 performed simulations of the ice sheets and climate of the LGM and the last deglaciation with a coupled climate-ice sheet 103 model. They managed to reproduce the overall characteristics of the evolution of climate and ice sheets and showed the 104 effects of modulations in the oceanic circulation.

105

109

86

106 These previous studies provide very useful insight into the physical interactions within the coupled system, but the inherent 107 uncertainty and sensitivity in the simulations to the selection of model inputs (including physical parameterisations) remain 108 untested asare not tested in allany of these studies, because they each use a single version of a given model-was used...

110 Perturbed parameter ensembles of simulations are a powerful way to estimate uncertainties originating from particular 111 parameter values in a single model (Murphy et al. 2004, Sanderson 2011, Shiogama et al. 2012). For example, Rougier et al. 112 (2009) analysed results from an ensemble performed under modern and future climate conditions with an atmosphere-slab 113 ocean coupled general circulation model (HadSM3) and showed the critical role of entrainment rate in the cumulus cloud 114 scheme and its interaction with large-scale condensation scheme on global climate. Gregoire et al. (2011) performed an 115 ensemble of simulations with an atmosphere-ocean coupled general circulation model, FAMOUS, and found that the mid-116 latitude cloud parameters and sea ice albedo exert an important influence on global cooling at the LGM. Furthermore, they 117 used their results to identify combinations of parameter values that optimise model skill in simulating both the pre-industrial 118 and LGM, thus improving model flexibility. Gandy et al. (2023) recently performed ensemble simulations of the North 119 American ice sheet and climate with an atmosphere-ice sheet coupled model FAMOUS-Ice (Smith et al. 2021). They 120 showed the importance of ice and snow albedo in building the ice sheet due to strong summer insolation at the southern 121 margin of the North American ice sheet. In this study, however, the sea surface temperature and the global temperature were

- 122 fixed. As a result, the role of clouds on the climate and the effects of global mean surface temperature (GMST) on the ice 123 sheet volume remained unclearcould not be examined.
- 124 125 Here, we perform a large ensemble of simulations of the North American and Greenland ice sheets and climate of the LGM 126 with a version of the FAMOUS-Ice coupled atmosphere-ice sheet model, which utilises theincluding a more sophisticated 127 ice sheet model, BISICLES rather than Glimmer (Method, e.g. Smith et al. 2021). With this modelensemble, we estimate 128 the impact of uncertainty in the choice of parameter values implemented in the atmosphere and ice sheet components of the 129 model, and we test the ability of the model to simulate ice sheets and climates very different from today. The results are 130 evaluated against the LGM global mean temperatureGMST, ice volume and southern extent of the North American ice sheet. 131 Through these experiments, we aim to address the following questions;:
  - How do uncertain parameters affect the climate and ice sheets at the LGM?
    - Is there a difference in important parameters between the North American and Greenland ice sheets?
    - •
    - How well are the ice sheets simulated in this experiment, e.g. in terms of North American ice sheet volume, the • southern extent of the North American ice sheet and the position of the ice streams?
- 137 The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 gives a description of the model, the experimental design and 138 the integration procedure. Section 3 reports on the results of the large ensemble. Section 4 discusses the results and the effect 139 of biases in the model. Lastly, section 5 gives the conclusions.
- 140

133

134

135

136

#### 141 2. Method

#### 142 2.1 Model

143 Our simulations of the climate and ice sheets are performed with the atmosphere-ice sheet-slab ocean coupled model, 144 FAMOUS-Ice (Smith et al. 2021, Gregory et al. 2020). FAMOUS is a low-resolution version of the atmosphere-ocean 145 general circulation model (AOGCM) HadCM3; the horizontal resolution is 7.5° in longitude and 5° in latitude (Smith et al. 146 2008, 2012). Due to the lower resolution, FAMOUS runs 10 times faster compared to HadCM3, while retaining a reasonable 147 performance for the modern and the LGM climates (Smith et al. 2008, 2012, Smith and Gregory 2012). Benefitting from 148 much cheaper computational cost, it is feasible to run multi-millennial simulations (Smith and Gregory 2012; Gregory et al. 149 2020) and large ensembles (Gregoire et al. 2011), as required to meet our objectives.

150

151 The latest version of FAMOUS (FAMOUS-ieeIce, Smith et al. 2021) incorporates a downscaling scheme for the calculation 152 of the surface mass balance (SMB) over ice sheets. In the downscaling scheme, 10 additional vertical tiles are added to better 153 represent the elevation dependence of surface temperature and downward longwave radiation, following the method first 154 used in Vizcaino et al. (2013). The downscaled temperature and longwave radiation are then utilised with downward 155 shortwave radiation to calculate the SMB based on a surface energy budget and a multi-layer snow scheme, together with 156 precipitation from the original FAMOUS grid. The model also incorporates an updated snow and ice albedo scheme, which 157 accounts for albedo changes associated with modifications in surface air temperature (*daice*), grain size (*avgr*) and density of 158 the snow (fsnow) (Smith et al. 2021, Table 1). As a result, the atmospheric model reproduces the general pattern of SMB 159 over the modern Greenland ice sheet reasonably well (van de Wal et al. 2012, Smith et al. 2021) with some overestimating 160 biases inoverestimation of the elevation of Equilibrium-Line Altitude (ELA; Smith et al., 2021, see also subsection 4.23).

162 Previous work with FAMOUS-ice used prescribed climatological SSTs and sea-ice instead of an interactive ocean model 163 (Gregory et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2021, Gandy et al. 2023). In the present study, we use a slab ocean model with the same 164 horizontal resolution as the atmosphere. Inclusion of a slab ocean model allows the local and global SST and sea-ice to vary 165 in response to changes in climate, which in our experiments are caused by modifications in parameters and the advance and 166 retreat of ice sheets. In the slab ocean model, sea-ice is advected by the climatological monthly surface sea-water velocity of 167 the HadCM3 pre-industrial control experiment, with sea-ice convergence prevented when the local thickness exceeds 4.0 m. 168 The local thickness of sea ice evolves due to snowfall, sublimation and melting at the surface, and melting and freezing at 169 the base in response to heat exchange with the slab ocean. The SST is the temperature of a layer of water 50 m thick<sub>3</sub> and 170 evolves in response to surface energy exchange with the atmosphere and heat transport within the slab ocean. Since the slab 171 ocean does not simulate ocean dynamics, climatological heat transport is prescribed within it as a monthly climatological 172 field of heat convergence. The heat convergence field is obtained from a calibration experiment (Section 2.2) in which the 173 model calculates the heat flux necessary to maintain a reference climatological state of SST and sea-ice.

174

175 The slab ocean model is essentially the same as described by Williams et al. (2001), where it is used with the HadCM3 176 AGCM, but the present study is the first to use it with the atmosphere resolution of FAMOUS. For this configuration, grid 177 boxes which are partly land and partly sea were implemented in the slab ocean, as in the AGCM. In order to prevent unstable 178 surface temperature feedbacks in coastal grid boxes with small sea fraction, we found that horizontal diffusion of heat in the 179 slab ocean was needed (diffusivity 10000 m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>); unlike the prescribed heat convergence, diffusive heat divergence responds 180 to the time-dependent slab temperature gradient and thus dissipates local anomalies, but usually it is much smaller than the 181 heat convergence. In order to prevent local build-up of excessively thick coastal sea ice, we allow horizontal diffusion of sea 182 ice thickness (diffusivity  $5000 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) when the local thickness exceeds 4.0 m. To improve the reproduction of the reference 183 sea-ice climatology, we adjusted the coefficients for sea-ice basal melting.

184

185 Instead of the Glimmer, ice sheet model which was used in the previous studies of FAMOUS-Ice (Gregory et al., 2020;

186 Smith et al., 2021, Gandy et al. 2023), we use the more complex and computationally demanding BISICLES ice sheet model 187 (Cornford et al. 2013) for the ice sheet component of FAMOUS-Ice (hereafter referred to as FAMOUS-BISICLES). 188 BISICLES is a vertically integrated ice sheet model, which has been mainly used for simulations of modern and future 189 Greenland (Lee et al. 2015, Smith et al. 2021b) and Antarctica (Martin et al. 2019, Smith et al. 2021b), and has recently been 190 applied for reproducingused to simulate past ice sheets over North America (Matero et al. 2020) and Northern Europe 191 (Gandy et al. 2018, 2019, 20202021). Whereas Glimmer uses the shallow ice approximation, BISICLES applies a L1L2 192 approximation, which allows more flexibility in sliding and flowing of the ice sheet especially at the ice shelf area (Cornford 193 et al. 2013). In addition, the model is capable of changing spatial resolution according to the flow regime of the ice. In this 194 study, a horizontal base resolution of 32 km is chosen, with refinement to 16 km at ice sheet margins. The choice of the 195 resolution was made based on practical reasons regarding the computational expense. We show- that this resolution is 196 adequate for simulating large-scale glaciers in the northern area of the North American ice sheet (see subsection 4.+2).

197

We utilise a basal drag scheme introduced by Gandy et al. (2019), which explicitly expresses the thermodynamic interaction of the ice sheets and the underlying till. This scheme combines the Coulomb-friction law and Weertman-friction law depending on the water pressure in the bedrock sediment (Tsai et al. 2015). The basal drag follows the Weertman law under cold ice basal temperature and dry bedrock sediment. Under warm ice basal temperature and wet bedrock sediment, the basal drag follows the Coulomb-friction law. Depending on the depth of till water in the sediment, the friction of ice and bedrock changes. The depth of the till water is controlled by the balance of basal melting of the ice sheet and a parameter (*drain*) that

- 204 controls the vertical till-stored drainage rate. Using this basal scheme in BISICLES simulations, Gandy et al. (2019) 205 reproduced the features of known ice streams in the LGM British ice sheet.
- 206

207 Changes in ice-sheet geometry, and the subsequent redistribution of the Earth's surface mass load, result in deformation of

208 the Earth's topography through a series of interconnected processes known as glacial isostatic adjustment (GIA). An

209 important impact of GIA for the purpose of ice-sheet modelling is the subsidence of the bedrock topography beneath an ice-

210 sheet. The rate of the solid Earth response towards isostatic equilibrium, which can range from centuries to millions of years, 211 is viscoelastic in nature as a result of the rheological structure of the Earth and specific pattern of ice loading. In order to

212 simulate the first-order effects of GIA on bedrock topography, we couple the ice-sheet model to a simple Elastic Lithosphere

213 Relaxing Asthenosphere (ELRA) model which approximates this response by assuming a fully elastic lithosphere above a

214 uniformly viscous asthenosphere (Kachuck et al. 2020). A relaxation time of 3000 years is applied in this model based on

215 previous studies (Pollard and Deconto 2012).

216

229

217 In running FAMOUS-BISICLES, a 10 times acceleration is applied to the ice sheet model to save computational cost

- 218 (Gregory et al. 2012, Ziemen et al. 2014). In this method, the ice sheet model is integrated for 10 years using for every 1-
- 219 year of climate simulation by FAMOUS. Gregory et al. (2012) and Gregory et al. (2020) show that 10 times acceleration has
- 220 a small to negligible impact on the simulated ice sheet evolution in FAMOUS, supporting the use of this technique.

#### 221 2.2 Experimental design

222 Our experiments mainly follow the protocol of PMIP4 LGM simulations (Kageyama et al. 2017, 2021), which specifies the 223 insolation, atmospheric concentration of Greenhouse gases (CO2=190 ppm, CH4=375 ppb, NO2=200 ppb, all by volume) and 224 configurations of continental ice sheets. With respect to ice sheets, in our setup, only the Eurasian and 225 Antarctica Antarctic ice sheets are fixed to the reconstruction of GLAC-1D (Tarasove Tarasov et al. 2012) asin our setup, 226 while the LaurentideNorth American and Greenland ice sheets are simulated with BISICLES. While the protocol specifies 227 the insolation forcing of 21 ka BP, here we use the insolation of 23 ka since the ice sheet at the LGM is likely still adjusting 228 to earlier forcing (Abe-Ouchi et al. 2013).

230 For calibrating the slab ocean heat convergence (Section 2.1), we use the SST and sea-ice climatology from a previous LGM 231 simulation performed with HadCM3, shown in Fig. 1 (Izumi et al., 2023). Their 2022). The simulated SST fieldGMST 232 exhibits a cold LGM climate, having a global cooling of 6.5 K. This value is similar to Tierney et al. (2020), who estimate 233 6.5 K to 5.7 K. For simplicity of design and clarity of interpretation, the oceanic heat flux is fixed among all the ensemble 234 simulations, thus assuming no changes in the oceanic heat transport in response to the different parameter values in each 235 member.





238

250



We perform 200-member ensemble simulations by varying16varying 16 parameter values associated with climate and ice dynamics, as summarised in Table 1, using a Latin-hypercube sampling method (Williamson 2015)-.), assuming a uniform value probability across each parameter range, in order to explore the full ranges of the 16-dimensional parameter space. The Latin-hypercube sampling technique is useful as it allows exploration of all the uncertain parameter spaces in an efficient way. While some cancellations among parameters can cause lower correlation values between inputs and outputs, the method also provides quantitative insights on the complex interactions among different parameters (e.g. Fig. 6 and Fig. S7 in this study).

251 The choice and the range of the parameter values in FAMOUS are modified following Gregoire et al. (2012) and Gandy et 252 al. (2023). In BISICLES, the range of sliding law parameters are modified following sensitivity experiments of Gandy et al. 253 (2019). For drain, which specifies the vertical till-stored drainage rate, the value is very uncertain and hence we varied it to 254 ensure that the till of the interior of the ice sheet remains dry. Much lower values for drain, as used in Gandy et al. (2019) in 255 their simulation of the much smaller British-Irish ice sheet, result in unphysically wet basal conditions and fast sliding in our 256 simulations so we used a higher range. For n, which specifies the coefficient in Glen's flow law, the range is selected in a 257 practical way; applying a high value increases the calculation time by more than 10 times due to very large ice velocities and 258 the resulting refinement in several locations. Hence, the range of n is necessarily capped for its upper limit at 3.1, where our 259 technical tests indicated that the simulations will most likely complete within a feasible run length (two months of wallclock 260 time). During the ice sheet spin-up phase (see subsection 2.3) we specify a constant SMB. The value of this smb is varied

- 261 across the ensemble so that the ice volume at the initiation of FAMOUS-BISICLES coupling has a spread of 25 m SLE, 262 which is similar to the uncertainty in the global ice volume estimates at the LGM (e.g. Abe-Ouchi et al. 2015). For simplicity, we apply spatially uniform basal heat fluxes of 158 mW/m<sup>2</sup> and 100W/m<sup>2</sup> under the grounded and floating ice 263 264 respectively, without testing other values. However, these choices need to be reassessed in the future, because the basal heat 265 flux over both the continent (e.g. Margold et al. 2018) and the ocean can vary spatially. Two hundred sets of parameter value 266 combinations for these 16 parameters are sampled using a Latin hypercube sampling method, assuming a uniform value 267 probability across each parameter range, to explore across the full ranges of the 16-dimensional parameter space. 268 269 For simplicity, we apply a single value, spatially uniform basal heat flux of 158 mW/m<sup>2</sup> and 100W/m<sup>2</sup> under the grounded 270 and floating ice respectively. However this needs to be reassessed in the future as both the basal heat flux over the continent
- 271 (e.g. Margold et al. 2018) and the ocean can vary spatially.
- 272
- 273

Table 1 Summary of parameters modified in the ensemble simulations. ND stands for non-\_dimensional.

Name	Min	Max	Unit	Note	
	value	value			
daice	-0.4	0.05	$K^{-1}$	Darkening effect of warm surface air temperature on bare ice in the	
				albedo scheme, mimicking water collecting at the surface. Minimum	
				value reduces the bare ice albedo to as low as 0.15 (Smith et al. 2021).	
fsnow	350	799	kg m <sup>-3</sup>	Density threshold for snow in the albedo scheme beyond which the	
				surface starts to be regarded as bare ice. Higher values correspond to	
				using brighter albedoes for denser snow and tends to increase ice sheet	
				albedo (Smith et al. 2021).	
avgr	0.001	0.01	$\mu m^{-3}$	Dependence of snow albedo on increasing grain size. Higher value	
				enhances the darkening of snow over time and reduces the snow albedo	
				(Smith et al. 2021).	
rhcrit	0.6	0.9	ND	Threshold of relative humidity to form large-scale clouds (Smith, 1990).	
Vf1	0.5	2.0	$m s^{-1}$	Speed of ice sedimentation (Heymsfield, 1977).	
ct	0.00005	0.0004	<i>s</i> <sup>-1</sup>	Conversion rate of cloud liquid water droplets to precipitation (Smith,	
				1990)	
cw	0.0001	0.002	kg m <sup>-3</sup>	Threshold value of cloud liquid water for formation of precipitation	
				(Smith, 1990). Only values over land are modified.	
entcoef	0.6	6.0	ND	Entrainment rate coefficient. Higher value enhances mixing of an	
				ascending convective plume with ambient dry air.	
tgrad	-0.01	-0.002	$K m^{-1}$	Air temperature lapse rate used during the downscaling to ice sheet	
				surfaces. Larger negative values correspond to stronger lapse rate effects	
				(Smith et al. 2021).	
alpham	0.2	0.65	ND	The lowest value of albedo in the sea ice scheme.	
seaice	0.00015	0.00035	$m^2 s^{-1}$	Efficiency of heat exchange between the base of sea ice and ocean.	
				Higher value increases the heat flux and causes a retreat of sea ice.	
beta	20000	60000	Pa m <sup>-</sup>	Coefficient in Weertman-friction law. Higher value corresponds to	
			$^{1/3}a^{1/3}$	stronger friction between the ice base and the dry bedrock (Gandy et al.	
				2019).	

coef	0.4	0.6	ND	Coefficient in Coulomb-friction law (Gandy et al. 2019).
drain	0.2	0.6	m yr <sup>-1</sup>	Magnitude of drainage removing water from the till. Higher value removes water rapidly from the till hence increases the Coulomb-friction (Gandy et al. 2019).
smb	0.01	0.1	m yr <sup>-1</sup>	Magnitude of temporally constant and spatially uniform surface mass balance (expressed as equivalent liquid water volume flux) applied during the standalone BISICLES spin-up. Higher values result in a larger ice sheet at the beginning of the FAMOUS-BISICLES coupled simulation.
n	2.6	3.1	ND	Coefficient in Glen's flow law.













0.10 -

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

0.00

0.10

0.08

0.06

0.04

0.02

0.00

0.2

0.2

0.3

0.3

0.4

drain

0.5

0.4 0.5

alpham

0.6













0.10

2 4 entcoef



beta



276 277 0.6



Fig. 2 Fraction of the 200 simulations which satisfy the constraints as a function of each of the parameters. 200 members are
uniformly distributed in each parameter range based on the latin-hypercube sampling method (approximately 20 simulations
per each parameter bin). Light blue: ensemble members satisfying the global mean surface temperature (GMST) constraint,
Dark blue: ensemble members satisfying both the global temperatureGMST and totalthe North American ice volume
constraints, Red: ensemble members satisfying the southern North American ice sheet margin constraints in addition to
global temperaturethe GMST and totalthe North American ice volume constraints.

#### 285 2.3 Integration procedure

278

Model simulations are all initiated from a static, isothermal (ice temperature 253 K) ice sheet and bedrock topography of
21ka BP of GLAC-1D (Fig. 3a, f, Tarasov et al. 2012). The simulations have two phases. First, there is an initial <u>spin-up of</u>
5000 ice sheet <u>year spin-upyears</u> with stand-alone BISICLES, where the ice sheet model parameter values are chosen
according to the ensemble Latin Hypercube sampling, but the associated climate parameter values are not used because there

is no climate model. In place of the climate model, a constant-in-time surface mass balance (*smb*, Table 1) and atmospheric

291 surface temperature of 253K are applied uniformly over the ice. Note that the ice temperature is allowed to evolve in the 292 simulation. The smb value is varied across the ensemble to produce a variety of total ice volumes (Fig. S1), because total ice 293 volume is highly uncertain in reconstructions and could be important given the dependence of ice sheet simulation on initial 294 conditions (Abe-Ouchi et al. 2013). The spin-up phase also gives the ice sheet model physics time to adjust from the 295 prescribed initial condition, i.e. it allows BISICLES to smooth out the blocky surface of the ice sheet reconstruction, 296 providing some stability to the simulations when they are subsequently coupled to the climate (FAMOUS) in the second 297 phase. By the end of the spin-up phase, 200 unique ice sheets have been modelled, providing the starting condition for 298 simulations with BISICLES coupled to FAMOUS in the second phase. In FAMOUS-BISICLES, smb is redundant and the 299 climate parameters chosen by Latin Hypercube are used in FAMOUS, with the same ice sheet parameter combinations as in 800 the spin-up phase. In the second phase, the simulations run -anotherfor 5000 ice sheet (500 climate) years, which is 301 insufficient to reach a quasi-equilibrium state, but sufficiently long to see the effects of important parameters on the climate 802 and ice sheets. For some of the best--performing simulations, the integration is extended for another 5000 ice years-803 However, during which the configuration of the ice sheet showedshows only modest further changes (Fig. S2).









- Fig. 3 Spatial maps of the initial condition for the ice sheet model, and results from the FAMOUS-BISICLES ensemble after
   5000 ice sheet years. (a) ice topography [m] and (f) bedrock topography [m] from Tarasov et al. (2012). (b-e/top) Spatial
- 809 maps of surface altitude ice thickness [m] and (g-j/bottom) surface mass balance (SMB) [m/year] from ensemble means. (b,
- g) 87 members satisfying the <u>global</u>Global mean surface temperature (GMST) constraint, (c, h) 4739 members satisfying
- both global mean temperature GMST and ice volume constraints, (d, i) 16 members having the largest southern extent of
- 812 North American ice sheet that satisfies temperature <u>GMST</u> and volume constraints and (e, j) the member with most extensive
- southern ice area in the ensemble simulations. The thin black contour corresponds to the modern coastline, whereas the thick
- black contour in (g-j) corresponds to the zero line of SMB. Red contours in (a)-(e) correspond to the ice extent of Dalton et
- al. (2020). <u>Black contours in (b)-(e) correspond to the ice extent of the ensemble mean defined as 100 m ice thickness</u>. Black
- rectangle in (a) shows the region where the southern extent of the North American ice sheet is calculated (e.g. Fig. 11).

#### 317 2.4 Constraints

Three metrics are used to evaluate the large-scale feature of the ensemble simulations. These are the annual mean LGM
 global surface air temperature, total <u>GMST</u>, the ice volume of the North American <del>and Greenland</del> ice <del>sheetssheet</del> and the
 southern extent of the North American ice sheet.

321

322 For the global temperature, we create our LGM constraint by adding estimates of the LGM global cooling to the

823 Preindustrial global temperature. The Preindustrial temperature is GMST of 13.7 °C (1880-1900, NOAA National Centers for 324 Environmental Information (2023)) with an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C (one standard deviation of global temperature during this 325 period). According to previous studies, the LGM global cooling relative to the Preindustrial has a range of -1.7°C to -8.3°C 326 (e.g., -1.7°C to -3.7°C with a probability of 90% in Schmittner et al. (2011) and -4.6°C to -8.3°C with a probability of 90% in 827 Holden et al. (2010), see Fig. 4a in Tierney et al. 2020). To objectively cover all the possibilities, we take into account all of 828 these studies to define our range of plausible LGM GMST. Assuming the LGM cooling is normally distributed, this gives a 329 mean cooling of 5 °C  $\pm$  3.3°C with a probability of 90% (one standard deviation is  $\pm$  2.0°C). Combining the uncertainties 830 associated with the Preindustrial global temperatureGMST and the LGM global cooling gives one standard deviation of the 331 uncertainty of

332

336

$$\sqrt{(0.1)^2 + (2.0)^2} = \pm 2.0^{\circ} \text{C}$$

in the actual LGM temperature<u>GMST</u> (66% probability). To be conservative and take into account model uncertainty, we apply three standard deviations ( $\pm$  6.0°C) as the uncertainty ranges. This gives an actual LGM temperature<u>GMST</u> of approximately 2.7 °C to 14.7 °C (8.7°C  $\pm$  6.0°C), with a probability of- at least 99% (Pukelsheim 1994).

For the total ice volume constraint, previous studies have suggested that the volume of the North American ice sheet was likely to be higherlarger than 6070 m sea level equivalent (c.f. Abe-Ouchi et al. 2015). To account for model uncertainty and to be conservative, we apply a minimum reasonable North American ice volume of 50 m SLE as a constraint. 60 m SLE as a constraint. Applying an upper ice volume limit may also be important in constraining the parameter space. However, in general, equilibrium LGM simulations tend to overestimate the ice volume if once the simulation has a net positive SMB (e.g. Alder and Hostetler 2019). In this regard, setting an upper limit can be tricky, and therefore needs to be examined in a different experimental set-up.

344

The southern extent of the North American ice sheet is used to select the best-\_performing simulations, rather than as a strict constraint, because all ensemble members show a smaller southern area of the ice sheet than reconstructions (see Section 4.1). Areas of grid cells covered by the ice sheet in the box shown in Fig. 3a are calculated. <u>This area corresponds to the</u> <u>south of the Hudson Bay.</u> Simulations with the southern area covering 60% of the <u>reconstruction</u>reconstructed area (Dalton
 et al. 2020) are considered to satisfy our constraint.

350

351 In the end, sixteen simulations simultaneously satisfy our constraints on temperature, ice volume and extent.

## 352 **3. Results**

# 3.1 Response of the Global temperatureGMST

854 Fig. 4 summarises the temporal evolution of annual mean global mean temperatureGMST in the ensemble of simulations. 355 After the first 300 ice sheet years, climates reach a quasi-equilibrium. The results show a wide variety of simulated global 356 temperatures, ranging from -10°C to 40°C. Such a wide range is frequently observed under parameter ensemble simulations 857 (e.g. Joshi et al. 2010, Gregoire et al. 2011). The diverse response of global temperatureGMST is largely explained by two 358 parameters in the cloud schemes; ct in the large-scale condensation scheme and entcoef in the cumulus convection scheme (Fig. 5). The correlation coefficients of these parameters with the global temperature at ice years 200-290 are 0.622 for ct 359 360 and -0.574 for entcoef, respectively. In contrast, other parameters appear to have a smaller effect, according to the correlation 361 analysis (Fig. 5). For the sea ice albedo, this relatively muted sensitivity may be related to the use of a slab ocean model, 362 which underestimates the strong interactions between sea ice and oceanic heat transport over the Southern Ocean that 363 amplifies the surface cooling at high latitudes (Ogura et al. 2004, Zhu et al. 2021). Including a dynamical ocean may increase the importance of sea ice albedo on the global temperatureGMST, as shown by Gregoire et al. (2011). 864

866 Roles of *ct* and *entcoef* in governing global temperatureGMST are further explored by means of a pair plot in Fig. 6. This 867 figure compares the relationship of these two parameters to global temperature. GMST. The results show a positive 368 correlation between global-scale warming and ct, which is associated with an increase in precipitation efficiency, reducing 369 the life cycle of mid-latitude clouds, causing a decrease in the cloud cover and a decrease in the planetary albedo. As a result, 870 more shortwave radiation is absorbed and the planet warms (Joshi et al. 2010, Sherriff-Tadano et al. 2023). Conversely, 371 global-scale warming occurs with decreasing entcoef (Fig. 6), as the entrainment rate of ambient dry air in the tropics 372 reduces, and the vertical transport of moisture to the high troposphere and lower stratosphere enhances. The planet then 373 warms up due to the strong greenhouse gas effect of the water vapour (Joshi et al. 2010). Similar responses are observed in 374 Joshi et al. (2010), who performed ensemble simulations under modern and future climates and showed that low values of 375 entcoef were unrealistic based on the amount of water vapour in the lower stratosphere. Consistently, ensemble members 876 with very low values of entcoef are more likely to be ruled out for producing implausible global mean temperaturesGMSTs, 377 depending on the effect of the combinations of the other parameters (Fig. 6). For ensemble members satisfying the 378 temperature constraint (black outlined coloured dots in Fig. 6), the overall cooling and warming effects of ct and entcoef are 379 largely cancelled out by each other.

380



Fig. 4 Evolution of <u>global mean annual temperatureGMST</u> in the <u>Famous-BisiclesFAMOUS-BISICLES</u> ensemble of simulations. Each grey line represents one ensemble member. Results from the first 300 ice years (30 climate years) are shown. <u>The uncertainties in GMST are shaded blue (three standard deviations for light blue and two standard deviations for</u> <u>dark blue</u>). Histograms on the right show the number of simulations in each temperature bin.







Fig. 5 Relationship between <u>global mean temperatureGMST</u> averaged over ice years 200-290 (climate years 20-29) and each parameter value. Correlation values are displayed above each panel. The uncertainties in <u>global mean annual surface air</u> temperatureGMST is shaded blue (three standard deviations for light blue and two standard deviations for dark blue).



897

Fig. 6 Pair plot analysis exploring the combined effects of *ct* (precipitation efficiency in the large-scale condensation
 scheme) and *entcoef* (entrainment rate in the cumulus convection scheme) on global mean annual surface air
 temperatureGMST (colours, °C). Filled circles outlined in black are those satisfying the temperature evaluation criterion.

# 402 **3.2** Response of the North American ice sheet and absolute ice volume

Similar to the diversity in simulated global mean temperatureGMST, the evolution of the ice sheet after the coupling to 403 404 FAMOUS shows a wide range of responses (Fig. 7). Starting from absolutecombined ice sheet volumes of 80 to 105 m SLE 405 (sum of North American and Greenland ice sheets), the ensemble members produce absolutecombined ice volumes between 406 0 and 120 m SLE at the end of the 5000-ice year integration. In some simulations, even the Greenland ice sheet disappears 407 completely associated with the very high global temperature (Fig. 4). Note that some simulations with high n values or very 408 warm climates (that cause all of the ice to rapidly disappear) crash during the integration. In total, 139 members (~70% of 409 the ensemble) complete the entire 5000 ice years. Eighty-seven members satisfy the global temperature constraint (Fig. 5 and 410 Fig. 6), and 4739 members also satisfy the North American ice volume constraint of at least 5060 m SLE. The additional 411 constraint on the southern extent of the North American ice sheet selects the 16 best performing simulations (Fig. 2).





Fig.7 Evolution of absolute ice volume of the North America American and Greenland combined SLE ice volume in the FAMOUS-BISICLES LGM ensemble. Note that the modern ice volume of the entire 7.3 m SLE on Greenland is *included*-; the ice volume is *not the difference* between LGM and present. Each grey line represents one ensemble member. Blue lines are the members satisfying our chosen global temperature GMST evaluation criteria. Histograms on the right show the number of simulations in each temperature bin; grey: all members and blue: members satisfying the global temperature GMST constraint.

421 To explore which parameters are causing the variety of outcomes for the simulated North American ice volume, scatter plot 422 and correlation analyses are performed (Fig. 8). Here, the ensemble members that both satisfy the global temperatureGMST 423 constraint and have completed 5000 ice years are used (87 members). The analysis shows important impacts from 424 parameters in our ice sheet surface albedo scheme that have a direct influence on the albedo that is diagnosed for bare ice or 425 uncompacted snow surfaces; avgr (snow ageing effect), daice (melt pond effect), and fsnow (the weighting of snow and ice 426 albedo based on the density of snow) showing correlations of -0.56, -0.475 and 0.372, respectively, with ice volume (see 427 Table 1 for the effects of each parameter). Similar results are obtained for the analysis on the southern extent of the North 428 American ice sheet (Fig. S4).

429

413

420

Additional analysis exploring the combined effect of these three parameters in the albedo scheme reveals a strong

431 dependence between *daice* and *fsnow* (Fig. S7); the ice volume is less sensitive to *daice* when *fsnow* has a large value. This

432 is reasonable as a large value of *fsnow* means that most of the snow/ice will be diagnosed as snow due to the high value of
433 density threshold. As a result, the darkening effect for the old ice (*daice*) has only a minor influence.

- 435 The effects of other climate parameters are weaker compared to those of albedo parameters. Among these, *ct* shows the 436 largest correlation value of -0.325. This is reasonable since the low value of *ct* corresponds to a colder global climate (Fig. 437 5), hence a colder local climate over the ice sheet, allowing the large ice sheet to be sustained (see also section 3.4 and Fig. 11). On the other hand, the 87 not-ruled-out-yet simulations are relatively insensitive to entcoef (Fig. 8). This may in part be 438 439 due to the screening out effect of ensemble members with low values of entcoef that causes drastically warm climates. We 440 should also note that the cloud parameters exert some local influences on accumulation patterns, e.g. over the Gulf stream 441 region (Fig. S6); larger values of ct and cw correspond to an increase in the amount of snowfall in this area. However the 442 overall low correlation values between cw and the ice volume of North America shows a relatively weak effect of 443 accumulation on the simulated ice volume.
- 444

445 Correlation analysis shows a very weak effect from basal drag parameters (beta and coef) on the ice volume (Fig. 8) and the 446 southern extent (Fig. S4). The correlation value of *smb*, which controls the initial ice volume when the coupled climate-ice 447 sheet phase of each simulation starts, is also low (r=0.22). This suggests only a weak connection between final ice sheet 448 volume at 5000 years and its initial volume at the beginning of the coupled simulations- (Similar results are also obtained for 449 ice volume changes in the first 500 years, Fig. S3). This is due to the large modifications in snow/ice albedo in our ensemble 450 design, which is capable of drastically altering the magnitude of absorbed solar radiation over the ice sheet (e.g. Abe-Ouchi 451 et al. 2013). For other dynamical ice sheet parameters (*drain* and *n*), the correlations are generally even lower. Overall, the 452 North American ice sheet volume is much less sensitive to uncertainty in ice sheet dynamics than ice sheet albedo and 453 climate in our parameter space.

454

Interestingly, we find that the main results showing the importance of albedo parameters can be found in the first 500 ice sheet years by analysing the relation of ice volume changes and each parameter (106 members, Fig. S3). Similar results are also obtained by Gregory et al. (2020), who show that the SMB of the first 100 years can be a good predictor of the final steady state ice sheet mass of modern and future Greenland. These results suggest that significant computational cost could be saved for at least an initial exploration of model sensitivity to uncertain parameter values (e.g. if designing a multi-wave ensemble experiment).





Fig. 8 Relationship between North American ice volume at 5000 ice years in FAMOUS-BISICLES and each perturbed
parameter. Only those ensemble members that satisfy the <u>global temperatureGMST</u> constraint are used. Correlation values
are displayed above each panel. Black dots correspond to the best sixteen members. <u>The uncertainties in the North American</u>
ice volume constraint are shaded blue.

467

To explore our preferred parameter space that produces good climate and ice sheets at the LGM, the distributions of parameters satisfying the applied constraints are examined (Fig. 2). Results show that some of the parameter ranges may be ruled out due to poor resulting simulation performance, such as values below 400 of *fsnow*, values above 0.006 of *avgr*, values below 0.00008 of *ct* and values above 3.0 of *n*. Additionally, from Fig. S7, a combination of low values in both *daice* and *fsnow* may be ruled out. Runs that satisfy the constraints tend to have parameters that lead to higher albedo values. For other parameters, it is shown that values across any individual parameter range in the ensemble can produce reasonable **global temperatures**<u>GMSTs</u> and ice sheets, depending on their combination with others.

- 476 The performance of the simulated ice extent in the best sixteen simulations (Fig. 3d) is further evaluated against the ice 477 extent reconstruction from Dalton et al. (2020, red contour in Fig. 3d). In general, the average of the best sixteen simulations 478 reproduces the overall ice extent of the North American ice sheet reasonably well; e.g. performances over the northern 479 margin and the southern margin west of 110°W and east of 80°W are reasonable. Also the performance is much better 480 compared to means of members that satisfies the global temperatureGMST and the ice volume constraints but not the 481 southern North American ice margin criterion (Fig. 3b, c). In contrast, the main differences between the best sixteen 482 simulations and the reconstruction appear over the southern margin at 110°W - 80°W, where the model underestimates the 483 area of the ice sheet. Another difference can be found over Alaska, where the model overestimates the ice sheet area and 484 thickness (Fig. 3d). These features are commonly observed in ice sheet model simulations coupled to a low-resolution 485 atmospheric model and will be discussed in section 4.1.
- 486

487 Away from the southern margin, the best performing FAMOUS-BISICLES simulations tend to lack sufficient ice at the 488 eastern margin, where an ice shelf should exist (Fig. 3d). This is associated with the strong and uniform basal ice shelf 489 melting applied in this study. The basal melting around the coastal area largely depends on the configuration of the 490 continental shelf as well as the ambient ocean temperature, as shown by studies on the Antarctic ice sheet (e.g. Obase et al. 491 2017). Future work could undertake additional sensitivity experiments changing the magnitudes and patterns of the basal 492 melting to further explore this point.

#### 493 **3.3 Responses of the Greenland ice sheet**

The Greenland ice sheet also shows various responses to modifications in the parameters in the ensemble of simulations,
ranging from 8 m SLE to 15 m SLE (Fig. 9). The simulated range is similar to the range in the reconstructions suggesting
9.3 m to 12.3 m SLE (7.3 m + 2~5 m SLE, Clark and Mix 2002, Lecavalier et al. 2014, Bradley et al. 2018, Tabone et al.
2018), while the model overestimates the higher band.

498

499 Interestingly, the results show a different sensitivity to the model parameters we vary compared to the North American ice 500 sheet (Fig. 9). The variations in the ice volume are mostly explained by changes in *beta*, where higher values increase the 501 friction between the ice sheet and the bedrock at a cold ice base. This acts to increase the ice volume by reducing the amount 502 of ice transported to its margin which then calves at the continental shelf, and hence by inducing thickening of the ice sheet 503 interior.

504

505 The lower sensitivity of the Greenland ice sheet to albedo parameters comes from different climatic conditions compared to 506 North America. In North America, the large area is covered by negative surface mass balance (Fig. 3g) as the summer 507 temperature can be close to freezing point in the simulations (Fig. 10). Hence, albedo parameters cause a drastic difference 508 since they control the magnitude of the negative SMB over North America (Fig. 8). In contrast, the Greenland ice sheet is 509 covered by colder conditions in summer (Fig. 10), hence most surface areas have positive surface mass balance (Fig. 9). 510 Under this condition, the amount of the ice loss is determined by the amount of ice transported from the interior to its edge, 511 which then calves. As a result, the ice volume is mainly driven by beta since it controls the transport of ice under the cold ice 512 base.

513

Previous studies have shown that basal melting of ice shelves by the underlying ocean is also important in controlling
Greenland ice sheet volume at the LGM in their coupled ice shelf-ice sheet models (Bradley et al. 2018, Tabone et al. 2018).
In this study, however, a constant value was given for the ice shelf basal melting. Conducting ensemble simulations with

517 variations in the amount of ice shelf melting may enable us to explore the relative importance.







Fig.9 Relation of ice volume of Greenland at 5000 ice years in FAMOUS-BISICLES and each parameter. Ensemble
 members satisfying the <u>global temperatureGMST</u> constraint are used. Correlation values are displayed on the top of each
 panel.



527 Fig.10 Summer surface air temperature [°C] over North America and Greenland, averaged over all ensemble members
528 satisfying the <u>global temperatureGMST</u> constraint.

#### 529 **3.4 Effects of global mean <u>surface</u> temperature <u>(GMST)</u> on ice sheet volume**

525

526

530 The sensitivity of the ice sheets to the reasonable LGM global temperature GMST range (2.7°C-14.7°C) is explored to see 531 any possible the relationship between them (Fig. 11). The results show a high correlation between the global 532 temperatureGMST and North American ice volume/southern extent; colder climates correspond to larger and more extensive 533 ice sheets (Fig. 11a, b). This is not a surprise since a large uncertainty of  $\pm$  6.0°C is applied to the global 534 temperature.GMST. Reducing the uncertainty level to two sigma ( $8.7^{\circ}C \pm 4.0^{\circ}C$ , black dots in Fig. 11) weakens the 535 correlation between the global temperatureGMST and the North American ice volume/southern extent to -0.193 and -0.285, 536 respectively. Nevertheless, the correlation analysis still shows some sensitivity of the southern extent of the North American 537 ice sheet to global temperature GMST (Fig. 11b), where a colder global climate tends to produce a more extensive ice sheet 538 in the south. In other words, it can also be said that it is hard to get an extensive southern North American ice sheet under 539 warm LGM global temperature GMST (above 12.0°C), irrespective of the albedo parameters, which demonstrates the value 540 of constraining the upper band of real LGM temperatures for simulating the North American ice sheet well. 541

542 The Greenland ice sheet appears to be insensitive to the reasonable LGM <u>global temperatureGMST</u> range ( $2.7^{\circ}C-14.7^{\circ}C$ ), 543 which is consistent with the dominant role of basal sliding in controlling the ice volume. Reducing the uncertainty level to 544 two sigma ( $8.7^{\circ}C \pm 4.0^{\circ}C$ , black dots in Fig. 11) increases the correlation value to 0.259 possibly associated with an

545 increase in snow fall following the warming climate, however the effect is much weaker compared to the effect of basal

546 sliding.



Fig. 11 Relationship between <u>global mean annual surface air temperatureGMST</u> [°C] and Ice sheet variables. (a) North American ice sheet volume [m], (b) Ratio of southern extent of the North American ice sheet compared to Dalton et al. (2020) and (c) Greenland ice sheet volume [m]. Ensemble members that satisfy the <u>global temperatureGMST</u> constraint and have run 5000 ice sheet years are used (87 members). Correlation values are also shown in each figure. Black dots show results within the two sigma uncertainty in the LGM <u>global temperatureGMST</u> (8.7°C  $\pm$  4.0°C).

# 555 <u>3.5 Localities in the effect of parameters</u>

556 The different sensitivities to parameters between the North American and Greenland ice sheets imply that similar variations in sensitivity to parameters may exist between different local regions within the huge North American ice sheet. To explore 557 558 this point, we separate the North American ice sheet into seven different sectors (NW, SW, N, M, MS, NE, E) where a 559 substantial amount of ice remains in the ensemble mean of members satisfying the GMST constraint (Fig. 12). Results are 560 summarized in Table 2. While the albedo parameters remain the most important ones (*daice* and *avgr*) in each region, we 561 find that beta has an increased influence in SW and M. These areas either exhibit a mountainous bedrock topography or have 562 very thick ice, hence can be more affected by the basal sliding parameters. Additionally, we find that ct has a relatively 563 strong influence on the northern (N) and eastern (E) parts of the North American ice sheet. Our analysis indicates some 564 variation in regional sensitivities to climate and ice sheet parameters in different sectors of the ice sheet sectors. Further 565 analysis beyond the scope of this study would be required to explore this regional dependency in detail.



567 Fig. 12 Six different areas (NW, SW, N, M, NE and E) of the North American ice sheet used for the additional analysis

(black rectangle). Blue shades show the mean ice thickness [m, colour] of members satisfying the GMST constraint.

569

Table 2 Four most influential parameters on ice volumes at different regions. Values in the bracket show the correlation. For

the Southern Extent, results from Fig. S4 are used.

Region	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>NW</u>	<u>avgr (-0.48)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.47)</u>	<u>daice (0.4)</u>	<u>ct (-0.25)</u>
SW	<u>fsnow (0.42)</u>	<u>daice (0.4)</u>	<u>beta (0.39)</u>	<u>avgr (-0.35)</u>
N	<u>avgr (-0.44)</u>	<u>daice (0.37)</u>	<u>ct (-0.36)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.28)</u>
M	<u>daice (0.53)</u>	<u>avgr (-0.49)</u>	<u>beta (0.29)</u>	<u>ct (-0.25)</u>
<u>MS</u>	<u>avgr (-0.58)</u>	<u>daice (0.47)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.39)</u>	<u>ct (-0.30)</u>
<u>NE</u>	<u>avgr (-0.52)</u>	<u>daice (0.49)</u>	<u>smb (0.30)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.26)</u>
<u>E</u>	<u>avgr (-0.48)</u>	<u>daice (0.43)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.33)</u>	<u>ct (-0.30)</u>
Southern Extent	<u>avgr (-0.52)</u>	<u>daice (0.41)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.36)</u>	<u>ct (-0.33)</u>

572

# 573 <u>3.6 Sensitivity of influential parameters to individual constraints</u>

Applying our three simulation constraints simultaneously may be hiding relationships that exist between model parameters
 and simulation behaviour. We perform additional analyses to explore how each constraint individually affects the

relationship between our model parameters and North American ice sheet volume. In the case of no-constraints (139

577 <u>members</u>), the albedo parameters are important, but the influence from *ct* becomes more important (Table 3). This is due to

578 the increased range of GMST allowed by varying *ct* (Fig. 5). Having a much colder or warmer climate allows the ice sheets

579 to grow or melt, and the resulting feedback further enhances the role of *ct*. In contrast, most members with extremely warm

580 climates crashed during the 5000 year simulation. This means that, *entcoef* does not appear to have so large an effect on ice

581 <u>sheet volume directly, unlike its importance in setting the GMST.</u>

- In the case of applying only the ice sheet volume constraint (73 members), *avgr* and *fsnow* still show relatively high
- 684 <u>correlations with ice sheet volume. However their influence is less than when GMST constraint alone is applied (Table 3).</u>
- The ice volume constraint alone results in a preferred selection of members exhibiting colder climates (46 members have a
- 686 <u>GMST below 4 °C</u>). As a result, the members are less sensitive to albedo related parameters.
- When the southern extent constraint alone is applied, 33 members remain. Similar to above, members satisfying this
- 589 <u>condition tend to have very cold climates, where 24 members have GMST colder than 4°C and 14 members colder than</u>
- 590 <u>0.63°C. In this case, *avgr* and *beta* appear to be most influential. This may imply that snow albedo and basal conditions play</u>
- 591 an important role in maintaining an extensive ice sheet once the climate allows the ice sheet to reach this size. Further
- discussion on the maintenance of the southern margin of the North American ice sheet is in subsection 4.1.
- 593

<u>Table 3 Effects of constraints on the relation of parameters and North American ice sheet volume at year 5000. The four</u>
 <u>most influential parameters on ice volumes are shown.</u>

Constraint applied	<u>1</u>	2	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>
No Constraint	<u>daice (0.51)</u>	<u>avgr (-0.45)</u>	<u>ct (0.45)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.35)</u>
<u>(139 members)</u>				
GMST alone	<u>avgr (-0.56)</u>	<u>daice (0.48)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.37)</u>	<u>ct (-0.33)</u>
<u>(87 members)</u>				
<u>Min Ice volume</u> <u>alone</u>	<u>avgr (-0.39)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.33)</u>	<u>smb (0.33)</u>	<u>daice (0.24)</u>
(73 members)				
Southern Extent alone (33 members)	<u>avgr (-0.71)</u>	<u>beta (0.51)</u>	<u>smb (0.44)</u>	<u>fsnow (0.39)</u>

596 597 598

# 599 4. Discussion

# 600 4.1 How could FAMOUS-BISICLES be made to reproduce the southern extent of the North American ice sheet?

601 A recent study by Gandy et al. (2023) performed a similar ensemble simulation with FAMOUS-GLIMMERIce, but with 602 fixed SSTs instead of FAMOUS-and with the simpler Glimmer ice sheet model rather than BISICLES-coupled to a slab 603 ocean model used in this study. Our findings here are consistent with themtheirs in that the ice extent is sensitive to choices 604 of parameters in the snow and ice albedo scheme and that both models underestimate the southern extent of the North 605 American ice sheet, especially the so-called 'lobe' characteristics. To investigate the possibility of the model being able to 606 reproduce the full extent of the southern margin of the North American ice sheet, we analyse in detail the ensemble member 607 that has the most extensive southern margin, disregarding our imposed climate plausibility constraints (Fig. 3e). In thethis 608 simulation, the performance of the southern extent of the North American ice sheet improves and becomesis closer to the 609 reconstructed area due to the very cold climate simulated, whose absolute global temperatureGMST is -7.4 °C. Yet even in 610 this very cold simulation, the model cannot maintain the 'lobe' characteristics of the North American ice extent as far south 611 as the reconstructions.

- So, how might we reproduce the southern margin of the North American ice sheet? in our simulations? There are several
  possibilities:
- Finer horizontal resolution in the climate model: during the simulations, FAMOUS-BISICLES loses the thin ice
   sheet at the south margin abruptly in the first 1000 ice sheet years due to the very large negative SMB simulated in
   the atmospheric model (e.g. Fig. 13b). As discussed above, applyingApplying a high-resolution atmospheric model
   might be better able to sustain a more southerly ice margin through a stronger stationary wave effect that cools the
   area (Abe-Ouchi et al. 2007).
- Representation of clouds: Gregory et al. (2012) pointed out the importance of changes in cloud cover over the southern margin of the North American ice sheet on its SMB during the glacial inception. Having a larger cloud cover at the southern margin may help to maintain the ice sheet by reducing the very large negative SMB,
   whilealthough a careful analysis on the physical plausibility needs of creating this feature would need to be done.
- Improvements in the downscaling scheme: including the effect of strongly stratified boundary layer on the surface temperature during the downscaling may allow a colder surface temperature over ice, which can help sustain the ice sheet at its margin. Incorporation of downscaling of accumulation in FAMOUS-BISICLES can increase the snow fall at the southern margin, which increases the SMB and surface albedo and may help to sustain the ice sheet at the southern margin (e.g. Yamagishi and Abe-Ouchi 2005).
- Higher initial surface elevation: the simulation could be started with a higher initial surface elevation which can be obtained by giving a thicker ice or a higher bedrock topography at the southern margin, allowing for lower surface temperatures due to the higher elevation, although this may not be physically plausible.
- Palaeo-vegetation: the choice of vegetation type for the unglaciated region near to the ice sheet may be relevant.
  The modern vegetation distribution used in this study may tend to give a warmer condition in this area, unlike
  tundra, which grows under cold climates and causes a surface cooling (O'ishi and Abe-Ouchi 2013).
- Bedrock conditions: creating a slippery bedrock condition would enhance ice flow from the ice sheet interior
   towards the margin, and so may be instrumental in redistributing ice outwards. In this regard, adding a scheme that
   allows the generation of proglacial lakes and increases ice flow at the southern margin would help advance the lobe
   (Hinck et al. 2022).
- Longer integration of the model: extending the integration of FAMOUS-BISICLES may help to redistribute the
   thick ice in the interior to the southern margin. In fact, some of the members, which have been extended for
   additional 5000 years show asome southward expansion (Fig. S2).
- It is also possible that the concept of the southern margin being in a quasi-equilibrium state with the LGM forcing may not be valid, and that it-may instead be reflecting-several transient ice advancingadvance events that occurred during the recent glacial period (and preceding the LGM)(e.g. Pico et al. 2017, Gowan et al. 2021, Bradley et al. 20232024). We speculate that such earlier southward ice advance may allow a more expansive southern ice sheet to establish, before rebalancing with the insolation forcing. In this case, running a long transient simulation, rather than performing equilibrium-type LGM simulations, may be essential for achieving the target southern margin extent.

#### 648 4.2 Performances of ice streams

649 The positions of our simulated ice streams in the best sixteen ensemble members are evaluated against the reconstruction by
650 Margold et al. (2018) (Fig. <u>1213</u> and Fig. S5). The figure depicts that BISICLES shows regions of relatively high ice

velocities (or ice streams) at various sites, despite the relatively low resolution of the model (16 km at finest grid) and the

- relatively short integration period. Specifically, most members reproduce high ice velocities at the margin over the Baffin
- Bay area. In addition, the simulation of ice streams facing the Arctic Ocean is encouraging (Fig.  $\frac{1213}{5}$ , S5). However, once
- again the southern margin is tricky to get right, and our ice stream behaviour there is somewhat diffuse, not picking up the

655 characteristic 'lobe' structure of the reconstructions (Margold et al. 2018). Over the Eastern North American ice sheet, the 656 model captures some large glaciers such as Laurentian Channel (25), Placentia Bay-Halibut Channel (133) and Hopedale 657 Saddle (168), while none of the best sixteen ensemble members simulate the large ice stream that flows to the Labrador Sea 658 from the present-day Hudson Bay area. These poorly represented ice stream features may be caused by low resolution of the 659 smallest ice sheet refinement (16 km, e.g. Gandy et al. 2019), too-short integration and misrepresentation of the surface type of till (Gowan et al. 2019). With the last point, the amount of till water calculated prognostically in the simulations appears 660 661 small, hence most areas use the Weertman sliding law. An increase in the basal melting, a choice of a smaller value for 662 drain or incorporating a spatially variable Weertman coefficient map based on geological evidence may help to improve the 663 performance of the ice streams. Nevertheless, the model does show some reasonable potential in simulating North American 664 ice streams considering the relatively low resolution as well as the explicit calculation of basal drag.

665









55°N

50°N



0.6

Ratio

0.8

0.2

0.4

666 667

65°N

60'



668

Fig.<u>1213</u> Comparison of ice velocity [m/year, colour] between (a) Reconstruction (Margold et al. 2018, adapted from Fig. 5
of Margold et al. 2018) and (b) the mean of best sixteen members. (c) and (d) show the <u>ratiofraction</u> of numbers of members
simulating ice velocity beyond 10m/year for (c) and 40m/year for (d), respectively. <u>RatioFraction</u> of 1.0 means all the
sixteen members simulate ice velocities of those values.

### 673 4.3 Effects of biases in the simulated climate

674 Some of the simulations in the ensemble exhibit a local melting of the ice sheet from parts of the interior outwards, which is 675 unusual, as ice sheets usually melt from their margins, where the surface temperature is close to the freezing point (e.g. Figs 676 3c and 1314). This phenomenon is caused by biases in the atmospheric model, which are then amplified by the downscaling 677 method and a positive feedback from the coupling. FirstIn these simulations, the model has a warm summer temperature bias 678 over the ice sheet interior. As a result, large parts of the central North American ice sheet have a temperature above -10 °C 679 despite the surface elevation exceeding 2000 m (Fig. 10). A similar feature was pointed out by Smith et al. (2021) using the 680 same model under the modern Greenland ice sheet, which produced a higher ELA (around 2 km high in places) compared to 681 a high resolution regional atmospheric model (at about 1 km high). Second, because the downscaling of SMB strongly 682 depends on the elevation, a local change in surface elevation can induce a local negative surface mass balance if the surface 683 temperature calculated in the FAMOUS grid points are close to the freezing point. This example is shown in Fig. 1314, 684 where a negative SMB can be found at the local minimumsminima of surface elevation, despite the elevation exceeding 685 2000 m. The initial negative SMB then kicks in a strong positive feedback where melting of snow reduces the albedo and 686 results in more energy absorption. As a result, the ice elevation starts to decrease and causes additional positive feedback 687 similar to saddle node collapse (Gregoire et al. 2012). This The strong dependence of SMB on temperature and altitude

688 implied by this way of downscaling the climate model output works well for modern Greenland, especially at low elevation

689 where the SMB has observed to have a very strong elevation dependence. However, at the higher altitudes achieved by the

690 LGM North American ice sheet, SMB may be more greatly affected by other factors such as wind speeds, as suggested by

691 studies on Antarctica (Van Liefferinge et al. 2021). Hence, further improvements in the downscaling method at higher

692 elevation could help to reduce the impact of the climate biases.





695

696 Fig.1314 An example of local ice melting in the interior of the ice sheet; ensemble member xplji, which has a GMST of 697 9.9°C and North American ice sheet volume of 88.4m SLE. (a) Surface topography [m] and (b) Surface mass balance 698 [m/year]. Height zonal cross-section of (c) surface topography and (d) surface mass balance at y=80 are shown.

#### 699 5. Conclusion

700 In this paper, we have presented a large ensemble of simulations of the North American and Greenland ice sheets and

701 climate of the LGM, performed with a coupled atmosphere-ice sheet-slab ocean model FAMOUS-BISICLES, a version of

702 the FAMOUS-ice Ice model developed by Smith et al. (2021). The experiment consists of a 200-member perturbed parameter 703 ensemble, where the values of 16 parameters associated with climate and ice dynamics were varied using a Latin-hypercube 704 sampling method. The simulated results are evaluated against the LGM global mean surface air temperatureGMST, the 705 North American ice volume and the southern extent of the North American ice sheet. In the ensemble, the global 706 temperatureGMST is controlled by a combination of precipitation efficiency in the large-scale condensation and entrainment 707 rate in the cumulus convection, consistent with previous FAMOUS simulations of modern climate (Joshi et al. 2010). Under 708 reasonable LGM global temperature GMST conditions, we find that the surface albedo exerts the strongest control on North 709 American ice volume. In contrast, the ice volume of Greenland is found to be mainly controlled by the Weertman coefficient 710 in the basal sliding law. The different sensitivity of these ice sheets to the model's physical parameter values mainly comes 711 from different climatic conditions; the North American ice sheet being generally warmer hence has a larger area of negative 712 SMB, which is affected by the albedo. In contrast, most parts of the Greenland ice sheet are covered by a very cold 713 atmosphere, hence the ice sheet volume is more affected by the calving at its margin, the total amount of which is controlled 714 by the magnitude of the basal sliding law that affects the amount of ice transported to the margins. These differences 715 between the North American and Greenland ice sheets provide an important take-home message on model performance, 716 suggesting that for best flexibility (i.e., the ability to simulate conditions largely very different from today), simulators should 717 be calibrated under substantially differenta range of climate and ice sheet conditions and tested out-of-sample. 718

Analysis of the relationship between the North American southern ice extent and <u>global temperatureGMST</u> with the uncertainty level of two sigmas  $(8.7^{\circ}C \pm 4.0^{\circ}C)$  shows a slightly weak relation. Nevertheless, we find that it is hard to get an extensive southern North American ice sheet under warm LGM global temperature (above 12.0°C), irrespective of the albedo parameters in our model. This demonstrates the value of constraining the upper band of real LGM temperatures for simulating the North American ice sheet well.

724

725 Based on our plausibility constraints, the model produces sixteen 'acceptable' simulations with reasonable global 726 temperatureGMST and North American ice sheet. These simulations show the most extensive southern margin under 727 reasonable LGM temperature and ice volume, but, as with manylike LGM ice sheet simulations, are not sufficiently 728 expansive at the southern margin and by other authors, they overestimate ice volume in Alaska, and do not expand far 729 enough at the southern margin (even after 5000 years, with the absolute global temperature as cold as -7.4°C). Both of 730 these features are likely attributable to the underestimation of the stationary wave effect (Roe and Lindzen 2001, Abe-Ouchi 731 et al. 2007), and may which might be improved upon/overcome by increasing the climate model resolution. We find that the model cannot reproduce the southern margin of the ice sheet over the 5000 year simulation even if the absolute global 732 733 temperature is as cold as 7.4°C, and it It is also possible that more accurate representation of the palaeo vegetation, different 734 treatments of ice sheet sliding and downscaling method of the SMB, or a different spin-up procedure could improve the 735 simulated southerlysouthward ice sheet extentextension.

736

Our results show that warm summer temperature biases in the interior of the ice sheet as well as the downscaling method of
SMB based on elevation can cause strong local melting of the ice sheet from the interior outwards. More complex treatment
of the atmospheric conditions and surface mass balance in the ice sheet interior could improve this, and may be especially
important when applying the model to the Antarctic ice sheet.

741

742 Lastly, the strong sensitivities of the North American ice sheet to albedo at the LGM may imply a potential constraint on the 743 future Greenland ice sheet by constraining the formulation and behaviour of albedo schemes for climate and ice sheet models 744 under relatively warm climates. Running similar ensemble simulations with a directly comparable version of this model for

- the modern and future Greenland ice sheet will provide an important data set to directly connect the simulations of past
- climates and ice sheets to those of the modern and future. Using such data, we <u>maywill</u> be able to explore <u>whetherhow</u>
- simulations of past climate-ice sheet conditions can more tightly constrain or increase the confidence of projectionin
- 748 <u>projections</u> of future sea level rise-.

## 749 Code and data availability

750 The simulation data of FAMOUS-BISICLES used in this study will be -available in a public database.

#### 751 Author contribution

752 Sam Sherriff-Tadano (Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing-753 original draft), Ruza Ivanovic (Conceptualisation, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, 754 Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing - review and editing), Lauren Gregoire (Conceptualisation, Funding Acquisition, 755 Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing - review and editing), 756 Charlotte Lang (Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-review and editing), Niall Gandy 757 (Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing-review and editing), Tamsin Edwards (Funding 758 acquisition, Methodology, Writing - original draft). Robin S. Smith (Conceptualisation, Funding Acquisition, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Writing - review and editing), Jonathan Gregory 759

- 760 (conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, software, writing review and editing), Oliver Pollard (Methodology,
- 761 Visualization, Writing review and editing)

## 762 Competing interest

763 The authors declare no competing interests.

#### 764 Acknowledgements

765 This work was undertaken on ARC4, part of the High Performance Computing Facilities at the University of Leeds,

- 766 ARCHER2 and JASMIN. RFI, RSS, JG, TE, CL and SST were funded by "RiSICMAP", NERC Standard Grant
- 767 NE/T007443/1. NG, LJG, RFI were funded by "SMB-Gen" UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship MR/S016961/1. SST was
- funded by JSPS. We are also grateful to Richard Rigby for his assistance in setting up the simulation. SST also thanks Ayako
   Abe-Ouchi, Miren Vizcaino, Heiko Goelzer and Jonathan Owen for constructive discussion.

## 770 References

- 771 Abe-Ouchi, A., et al.: Ice-sheet configuration in the CMIP5/PMIP3 Last Glacial Maximum experiments. Geoscientific
- 772 Model Development, 8, 3621-3637. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-3621-2015</u>, 2015.
- Abe-Ouchi, A., et al.: Insolation-driven 100,000-year glacial cycles and hysteresis of ice-sheet volume. Nature, 500, 190-+.
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12374</u>, 2013.
- Abe-Ouchi, A., Segawa, T., & Saito, F.: Climatic Conditions for modelling the Northern Hemisphere ice sheets throughout
  the ice age cycle. Climate of the Past, 3, 423-438. <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-3-423-2007</u>, 2007.
- Alder, J.R., Hostetler, S.W.: Applying the Community Ice Sheet Model to evaluate PMIP3 LGM climatologies over the
   North American ice sheets. Clim Dyn 53, 2807–2824. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04663-x</u>
   <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04663-x</u>, 2019.
- Blasco, J., Alvarez-Solas, J., Robinson, A., and Montoya, M.: Exploring the impact of atmospheric forcing and basal drag on
   the Antarctic Ice Sheet under Last Glacial Maximum conditions, The Cryosphere, 15, 215–231.
   <a href="https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-215-2021">https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-15-215-2021</a>, 2021.
- 783 Braconnot, P. et al.: Evaluation of climate models using palaeoclimatic data. Nature Climate Change 2, 417-424.
  - 36

- https://doi.org:10.1038/nclimate1456https://doi.org:10.1038/nclimate1456, 2012.
   Braconnot, P. et al.: Results of PMIP2 coupled simulations of the Mid-Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum Part 1:
   experiments and large-scale features. Climate of the Past 3, 261-277. 2007.
- Bradley, S. L., Reerink, T. J., van de Wal, R. S. W., and Helsen, M. M.: Simulation of the Greenland Ice Sheet over two
  glacial-interglacial cycles: investigating a sub-ice- shelf melt parameterization and relative sea level forcing in an icesheet-ice-shelf model, Clim. Past, 14, 619–635. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-619-2018Past, 14, 619–635.
  https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-619-2018, 2018.
- Bradley, S. L., Sellevold, R., Petrini, M., Vizcaino, M., Georgiou, S., Zhu, J., Otto-Bliesner, B. L., and Lofverstrom, M.:
  Surface mass balance and climate of the Last Glacial Maximum northern hemisphere Northern Hemisphere ice sheets:
  simulations with CESM2.1, Clim. Past-Discuss. [preprint], 20, 211–235, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-2023-62, in review,
  202320-211-2024, 2024.
- Briggs, R. D., Pollard, D., and Tarasov, L.: A data-constrained large ensemble analysis of Antarctic evolution since the
  Eemian, Quatern. Sci. Rev., 103, 91–115. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.09.003</u>
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.09.003, 2014.
- Clark, P. U. et al.: The Last Glacial Maximum. Science 325, 710-714.
   https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1172873https://doi.org:10.1126/science.1172873, 2009.
- Clark, P. U. and Mix, A. C.: Ice sheets and sea level of the Last Glacial Maximum, Quaternary Science Reviews, Volume
  21, Issues 1–3, 2002, Pages 1-7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00118-4</u>, 2002.
- Cornford, S. L., Martin, D. F., Graves, D. T., Ranken, D. F., Le Brocq, A. M., Gladstone, R. M., Payne, A. J., Ng, E. G.,
  Lipscomb, W. H.: Adaptive mesh, finite volume modeling of marine ice sheets, Journal of Computational Physics,
  Volume 232, Issue 1, 2013, Pages 529-549. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2012.08.037, 2013.
- Bolton, A. S. et al.: An updated radiocarbon-based ice margin chronology for the last deglaciation of the North American Ice
   Sheet Complex, Quaternary Science Reviews, Volume 234, 106223, ISSN 0277-3791,
   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106223, 2020.
- B08 DeConto, R., Pollard, D.: Contribution of Antarctica to past and future sea-level rise. Nature 531, 591–597.
   B09 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature17145</u>, 2016.
- B10 Dyke, A.S. et al.: The Laurentide and Innuitian ice sheets during the Last Glacial Maximum, Quaternary Science Reviews,
  B11 Volume 21, Issues 1–3, Pages 9-31, ISSN 0277-3791, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-3791(01)00095-6, 2002.
- Edwards, T. L. et al.: Projected land ice contributions to twenty-first-century sea level rise. Nature 593, 74-+.
   <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-021-03302-y</u>, 2021.
- Gandy, N. et al.: Marine ice sheet instability and ice shelf buttressing of the Minch Ice Stream, northwest Scotland.
  Cryosphere 12, 3635-3651. <u>https://doi.org:10.5194/tc-12-3635-2018</u>, 2018.
- 816 Gandy, N. et al.: Exploring tile ingredients required to successfully model the placement, generation, and evolution of ice
  817 streams in the British-Irish Ice Sheet. Quaternary Science Reviews 223.
- 818 <u>https://doi.org:10.1016/j.quascirev.2019.105915</u>, 2019.
- Gandy, N., Gregoire, L. J., Ely, J. C., Cornford, S. L., Clark, C. D., & Hodgson, D. M.: Collapse of the last Eurasian Ice
   Sheet in the North Sea modulated by combined processes of ice flow, surface melt, and marine ice sheet
- 821 instabilities. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 126,
- 822 <u>e2020JF005755. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020JF005755, 2021.</u>
- 823 <u>Gandy</u>, N., Astfalck, L. C., Gregoire, L. J., Ivanovic, R. F., Patterson, V. L., Sherriff-Tadano, S., et al.: De-tuning albedo
- parameters in a coupled Climate Ice Sheet model to simulate the North American Ice Sheet at the Last Glacial
- 825 Maximum. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface, 128, e2023JF007250.
- 826 https://doi.org/10.1029/2023JF007250, 2023.

- Golledge, N. R. et al.: Global environmental consequences of twenty-first-century ice-sheet melt. Nature 566, 65-+.
  https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-019-0889-9, 2019.
- Gowan, E. J. et al.: A new global ice sheet reconstruction for the past 80000 years. Nature Communications 12.
  https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-021-21469-w, 2021.
- <u>Gowan, E. J., Niu, L., Knorr, G., and Lohmann, G.: Geology datasets in North America, Greenland and surrounding areas</u>
   for use with ice sheet models, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 375–391, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-375-2019, 2019.
- Gregoire, L. J., Ivanovic, R. F., Maycock, A. C., Valdes, P. J. & Stevenson, S.: Holocene lowering of the Laurentide ice
  sheet affects North Atlantic gyre circulation and climate. Climate Dynamics 51, 3797-3813.
  https://doi.org:10.1007/s00382-018-4111-9, 2018.
- 836 Gregoire, L. J., Payne, A. J. & Valdes, P. J.: Deglacial rapid sea level rises caused by ice-sheet saddle collapses. Nature 487,
  837 219-U1506. https://doi.org:10.1038/nature11257, 2012.
- Gregoire, L. J., Valdes, P. J., Payne, A. J. & Kahana, R.: Optimal tuning of a GCM using modern and glacial constraints.
  Climate Dynamics 37, 705-719. <u>https://doi.org:10.1007/s00382-010-0934-8</u>, 2011.
- Gregory, J. M., Browne, O. J. H., Payne, A. J., Ridley, J. K. & Rutt, I. C.: Modelling large-scale ice-sheet-climate
  interactions following glacial inception. Climate of the Past 8, 1565-1580. <u>https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-8-1565-2012</u>,
- **842** 2012.
- Gregory, J. M., George, S. E. & Smith, R. S.: Large and irreversible future decline of the Greenland ice sheet. Cryosphere
  14, 4299-4322. <u>https://doi.org:10.5194/tc-14-4299-2020</u>, 2020.
- Hinck, S., Gowan, E. J., Zhang, X., and Lohmann, G.: PISM-LakeCC: Implementing an adaptive proglacial lake boundary in
   an ice sheet model, The Cryosphere, 16, 941–965, https://doi.org/10.5194/tc-16-941-2022, 2022.
- Holden, P.B., Edwards, N.R., Oliver, K.I.C. *et al.*: A probabilistic calibration of climate sensitivity and terrestrial carbon
  change in GENIE-1. *Clim Dyn* 35, 785–806. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-009-0630-8</u>, 2010.
- Hughes, A. L. C., Gyllencreutz, R., Lohne, Ø. S., Mangerud, J., Svendsen, J. I.:: The last Eurasian ice sheets a
  chronological database and time-slice reconstruction, DATED-1. *Boreas*, Vol 45, pp. 1–45. 10.1111/bor.12142. ISSN 0300-9483, 2016.
- IPCC, 2021: Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment
   *Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*[Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C.
   Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K.
- Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United
   Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, In press, doi:10.1017/9781009157896, 2021.
- Ivanovic, R. F. et al.: Acceleration of Northern Ice Sheet Melt Induces AMOC Slowdown and Northern Cooling in
  Simulations of the Early Last Deglaciation. Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology 33, 807-824.
  https://doi.org:10.1029/2017pa003308, 2018.
- Ivanovic, R. F. et al.: Transient climate simulations of the deglaciation 21-9 thousand years before present (version 1) PMIP4 Core experiment design and boundary conditions. Geoscientific Model Development 9, 2563-2587.
   https://doi.org:10.5194/gmd-9-2563-2016, 2016.
- Izumi, K., Valdes, P., Ivanovic, R. *et al.* Impacts of the PMIP4 ice sheets on Northern Hemisphere climate during the last
  glacial period. *Clim Dyn* 60, 2481–2499. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-022-06456-1, 2023.
- Joshi, M. M., Webb, M. J., Maycock, A. C., and Collins, M.: Stratospheric water vapour and high climate sensitivity in a
  version of the HadSM3 climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7161–7167, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-7161-2010,
  2010.
- 868 Lecavalier, B. S., Milne, G. A., Simpson, M. J. R., Wake, L., Huybrechts, P., Tarasov, L., Kjeldsen, K. K., Funder, S., Long,
- 869 A. J., Woodroffe, S., Dyke, A. S., and Larsen, N. K.: A model of Greenland ice sheet deglaciation constrained by

870	observations of relative sea level and ice extent, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 102, 54-84,
871	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaseirev.2014.07.018, 2014.
872	Lee, V., Cornford, S., & Payne, A.: Initialization of an ice sheet model for present day Greenland. Annals of Glaciology,
873	<del>56(70), 129-140. doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A121, 2015.</del>
874	Matero, I. S. O., Gregoire, L. J., and Ivanovic, R. F.: Simulating the Early Holocone demise of the Laurentide Ice Sheet with
875	BISICLES (public trunk revision 3298), Geosei. Model Dev., 13, 4555-4577, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-4555-
876	<del>2020, 2020.</del>
877	Niu, L., Lohmann, G., Hinek, S., Gowan, E. J. & Krebs-Kanzow, U .: The sensitivity of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets
878	to atmospheric forcing during the last glacial cycle using PMIP3 models. Journal of Glaciology 65, 645-661.
879	https://doi.org:10.1017/jog.2019.42, 2019.
880	Obase, T., A. Abe-Ouchi, K. Kusahara, H. Hasumi, and ROhgaito, 2017: Responses of Basal Melting of Antarctic Ice
881	Shelves to the Climatic Forcing of the Last Glacial Maximum and CO2 Doubling. J. Climate, 30, 3473-3497,
882	https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0908.1.
883	Ogura, T., Abe-Ouchi, A., and Hasumi, H. (2004), Effects of sea ice dynamics on the Antarctic sea ice distribution in a
884	coupled occan atmosphere model, J. Geophys. Res., 109, C04025, doi:10.1029/2003JC002022.
885	O'ishi, R. and Abe Ouchi, A.: Influence of dynamic vegetation on climate change and terrestrial carbon storage in the Last
886	Glacial Maximum, Clim. Past, 9, 1571–1587 (2013). <u>https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1571-2013</u>
887	Pollard, DA retrospective look at coupled ice sheet climate modeling. Climatic Change 100, 173-194 (2010).
888	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-010-9830-9
889	Kachuck, S. B., Martin, D. F., Bassis, J. N., & Price, S. F. (2020): Rapid viscoelastic deformation slows marine ice sheet
890	instability at Pine Island Glacier. Geophysical Research Letters, 47, e2019GL086446.
891	https://doi.org/10.1029/2019GL086446, 2020.
892	Kageyama, M. et al. The PMIP4 Last Glacial Maximum experiments: preliminary results and comparison with the PMIP3
893	simulations. Climate of the Past 17, 1065-1089-(2021). https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-17-1065-2021.
894	https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-17-1065-2021, 2021.
895	Kageyama, M. et al. The PMIP4 contribution to CMIP6-Part 4: Scientific objectives and experimental design of the PMIP4-
896	CMIP6 Last Glacial Maximum experiments and PMIP4 sensitivity experiments. Geoscientific Model Development 10,
897	4035-4055-(2017). https://doi.org:10.5194/gmd-10-4035-2017. https://doi.org:10.5194/gmd-10-4035-2017, 2017.
898	Klockmann, M., Mikolajewicz, U. & Marotzke, J. The effect of greenhouse gas concentrations and ice sheets on the glacial
899	AMOC in a coupled climate model. Climate of the Past 12, 1829-1846 (2016). https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-12-1829-2016.
900	https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-12-1829-2016, 2016.
901	Lecavalier, B. S., Milne, G. A., Simpson, M. J. R., Wake, L., Huybrechts, P., Tarasov, L., Kjeldsen, K. K., Funder, S., Long,
902	A. J., Woodroffe, S., Dyke, A. S., and Larsen, N. K.: A model of Greenland ice sheet deglaciation constrained by
903	observations of relative sea level and ice extent, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 102, 54-84,
904	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2014.07.018, 2014.
905	Lee, V., Cornford, S., & Payne, A.: Initialization of an ice-sheet model for present-day Greenland. Annals of Glaciology,
906	<u>56(70), 129-140. doi:10.3189/2015AoG70A121, 2015.</u>
907	Lofverstrom, M., Liakka, J. & Kleman, J. The North American Cordillera-An Impediment to Growing the Continent-Wide
908	Laurentide Ice Sheet. Journal of Climate 28, 9433-9450-(2015). https://doi.org:10.1175/jeli-d-15-0044.1.
909	https://doi.org:10.1175/jcli-d-15-0044.1, 2015.
910	Manabe, S. & Broccoli, A. J. THE INFLUENCE OF CONTINENTAL ICE SHEETS ON THE CLIMATE OF AN ICE-
911	AGE. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres 90, 2167-2190 (1985). https://doi.org:10.1029/JD090iD01p02167,
912	<u>1985.</u>

913 Martin-Margold, M., Chris R. Stokes, Chris D. Clark, Reconciling records of ice streaming and ice margin retreat to produce 914 a palaeogeographic reconstruction of the deglaciation of the Laurentide Ice Sheet, Quaternary Science Reviews, Volume 915 189, 2018, Pages 1-30, ISSN 0277-3791, 916 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.03.013.https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2018.03.013.2018. 917 Martin, D. F., Cornford, S. L., & Payne, A. J. (2019) ..: Millennial-scale vulnerability of the Antarctic Ice Sheet to regional 918 ice shelf collapse. Geophysical Research Letters, 46, 1467-1475. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL081229, 2019. 919 Matero, I. S. O., Gregoire, L. J., and Ivanovic, R. F.: Simulating the Early Holocene demise of the Laurentide Ice Sheet with 920 BISICLES (public trunk revision 3298), Geosci. Model Dev., 13, 4555-4577, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-13-4555-921 2020, 2020. 922 Murphy, J., Sexton, D., Barnett, D. et al. Quantification of modelling uncertainties in a large ensemble of climate change 923 simulations. Nature 430, 768-772-(2004). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02771, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02771, 924 2004. 925 NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information (2023). State of the Climate: Global Climate Report for 2022. 926 Accessed January 18, 2023, from https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/global/202213.Niu, L., 927 Lohmann, G., Hinck, S., Gowan, E. J. & Krebs-Kanzow, U.: The sensitivity of Northern Hemisphere ice sheets to 928 atmospheric forcing during the last glacial cycle using PMIP3 models. Journal of Glaciology 65, 645-661. 929 https://doi.org:10.1017/jog.2019.42, 2019. 930 Obase, T., A. Abe-Ouchi, K. Kusahara, H. Hasumi, and R. Ohgaito,: Responses of Basal Melting of Antarctic Ice Shelves to 931 the Climatic Forcing of the Last Glacial Maximum and CO2 Doubling. J. Climate, 30, 3473-3497, 932 https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0908.1. 2017. 933 Ogura, T., Abe-Ouchi, A., and Hasumi, H.: Effects of sea ice dynamics on the Antarctic sea ice distribution in a coupled 934 ocean atmosphere model, J. Geophys. Res., 109, C04025, doi:10.1029/2003JC002022. 2004. 935 O'ishi, R. and Abe-Ouchi, A.: Influence of dynamic vegetation on climate change and terrestrial carbon storage in the Last 936 Glacial Maximum, Clim. Past, 9, 1571-1587. https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1571-2013, 2013. 937 Pollard, D. and DeConto, R. M.: Description of a hybrid ice sheet-shelf model, and application to Antarctica, Geosci. Model 938 Dev., 5, 1273–1295, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-5-1273-2012, 2012. 939 Paul, A., Mulitza, S., Stein, R. & Werner, M. A global climatology of the ocean surface during the Last Glacial Maximum 940 mapped on a regular grid (GLOMAP). Climate of the Past 17, 805-824-(2021). https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-17-805-2021. 941 https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-17-805-2021, 2021. 942 Pico, T., Creveling, J. R. & Mitrovica, J. X. Sea-level records from the US mid-Atlantic constrain Laurentide Ice Sheet 943 extent during Marine Isotope Stage 3. Nature Communications 8-(2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/ncomms15612, 2017. 944 Pukelsheim, F. The Three Sigma Rule, The American Statistician, 48, 88–91-(, https://doi.org/10.2307/2684253), 1994)-945 https://doi.org/10.2307/2684253). 946 Quiquet, A., Roche, D. M., Dumas, C., Bouttes, N., and Lhardy, F.: Climate and ice sheet evolutions from the last glacial 947 maximum to the pre-industrial period with an ice-sheet-climate coupled model, Clim. Past, 17, 2179-2199, 948 https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-2179-2021, 2021. 949 Roche, D. M., Dumas, C., Bügelmayer, M., Charbit, S., and Ritz, C.: Adding a dynamical cryosphere to iLOVECLIM 950 (version 1.0): coupling with the GRISLI ice-sheet model, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 1377–1394, 951 https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-7-1377-2014, 2014. 952 Roe, G. H. & Lindzen, R. S. The mutual interaction between continental-scale ice sheets and atmospheric stationary waves. 953 Journal of Climate 14, 1450-1465 (2001). https://doi.org:10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<1450:tmibcs>2.0.co;2 954 Rougier, J., D. M. H. Sexton, J. M. Murphy, and D. Stainforth, 2009; Analyzing the Climate Sensitivity of the HadSM3 955 Climate Model Using Ensembles from Different but Related Experiments. J. Climate, 22, 3540-3557,

- **956** <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2008JCLI2533.1-, 2009.</u>
- Sanderson, B. M., 2011; A Multimodel Study of Parametric Uncertainty in Predictions of Climate Response to Rising
  Greenhouse Gas Concentrations. J. Climate, 24, 1362–1377, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/2010JCLI3498.1-, 2011.</u>
- Schmittner, A., et al , Climate Sensitivity Estimated from Temperature Reconstructions of the Last Glacial Maximum.
   *Science*334,1385-1388(2011).\_DOI:10.1126/science.1203513, 2011.
- 961 Sherriff-Tadano, S., Abe-Ouchi, A. & Oka, A.: Impact of mid-glacial ice sheets on deep ocean circulation and global
  962 climate. Climate of the Past 17, 95-110. <u>https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-17-95-2021</u>, 2021.
- 963 Sherriff-Tadano, S., Abe-Ouchi, A., Yoshimori, M., Hotta, H., Kikuchi, M., Ohgaito, R., Kodama, T., Vadsaria, T., Oka, A,
  964 Suzuki, K.: Southern Ocean surface temperatures and cloud biases in climate models connected to the representation of
  965 glacial deep ocean circulation, Journal of Climate, (2023)-.
- Shiogama, H., Watanabe, M., Yoshimori, M. *et al.*: Perturbed physics ensemble using the MIROC5 coupled atmosphere–
   ocean GCM without flux corrections: experimental design and results. *Clim Dyn* 39, 3041–3056.
   https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-012-1441-x, 2012.
- 969 Smith, R. S.: The FAMOUS climate model (versions XFXWB and XFHCC): description update to version XDBUA.
  970 Geoscientific Model Development 5, 269-276. <u>https://doi.org:10.5194/gmd-5-269-2012</u>, 2012.
- 971 Smith, R. S., George, S. & Gregory, J. M. FAMOUS version xotzt (FAMOUS-ice): a general circulation model (GCM)
  972 capable of energy- and water-conserving coupling to an ice sheet model. Geoscientific Model Development 14, 5769973 5787. https://doi.org:10.5194/gmd-14-5769-2021, 2021.
- 974 Smith, R. S., Mathiot, P., Siahaan, A., Lee, V., Cornford, S. L., Gregory, J. M., et al.: Coupling the U.K. Earth System model
  975 to dynamic models of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 13,
  976 e2021MS002520. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002520, 2021.
- 977 Smith, R. S. & Gregory, J.: The last glacial cycle: transient simulations with an AOGCM. Climate Dynamics 38, 1545-1559.
   978 <u>https://doi.org:10.1007/s00382-011-1283-y</u>, 2012.
- 979 Smith, R. S., Gregory, J. M. & Osprey, A.: A description of the FAMOUS (version XDBUA) climate model and control run.
  980 Geoscientific Model Development 1, 53-68. <u>https://doi.org:10.5194/gmd-1-53-2008</u>, 2008.
- 981 Smith, R.N.B.: A scheme for predicting layer clouds and their water content in a general circulation model. Q.J.R. Meteorol.
  982 Soc., 116: 435-460. https://doi.org/10.1002/qj.49711649210, 1990.
- Tabone, I., Blasco, J., Robinson, A., Alvarez-Solas, J., and Montoya, M.: The sensitivity of the Greenland Ice Sheet to
  glacial-interglacial oceanic forcing, Clim. Past, 14, 455–472, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-455-2018, 2018.
- Tarasov, L., Dyke, A. S., Neal, R. M. & Peltier, W. R.: A data-calibrated distribution of deglacial chronologies for the North
   American ice complex from glaciological modeling. Earth and Planetary Science Letters 315, 30-40.
   https://doi.org:10.1016/j.epsl.2011.09.010, 2012.
- Tierney, J. E. et al.: Glacial cooling and climate sensitivity revisited. Nature 584, 569-+. <u>https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-020-</u>
   <u>2617-x</u>, 2020.
- Tsai, V., Stewart, A., & Thompson, A.: Marine ice-sheet profiles and stability under Coulomb basal conditions. *Journal of Glaciology*, *61*(226), 205-215. doi:10.3189/2015JoG14J221, 2015.
- van de Wal, R. S. W., Boot, W., Smeets, C. J. P. P., Snellen, H., van den Broeke, M. R., and Oerlemans, J.: Twenty-one
  years of mass balance observations along the K-transect, West Greenland, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 4, 31–35,
  https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-4-31-2012, 2012.
- Van Liefferinge, B., Taylor, D., Tsutaki, S., Fujita, S., Gogineni, P., Kawamura, K., et al.: Surface mass balance controlled
   by local surface slope in inland Antarctica: Implications for ice-sheet mass balance and Oldest Ice delineation in Dome
   Fuji. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2021GL094966. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094966, 2021.
- 998 Vizcaino, M. et al.: Greenland Surface Mass Balance as Simulated by the Community Earth System Model. Part I: Model

- 999 Evaluation and 1850-2005 Results. Journal of Climate 26, 7793-7812. <u>https://doi.org:10.1175/jcli-d-12-00615.1</u>, 2013.
- .000 Williamson, D. Exploratory ensemble designs for environmental models using k-extended latin hypercubes. Environmetrics,
   .001 26 (4), 268–283 (2015). <u>https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/env.2335</u>
- Williams, K. D., Senior, C. A. and Mitchell, J. F. B.: Transient Climate Change in the Hadley Centre Models: The Role of
   Physical Processes. J. Climate, 14, 2659–2674, <u>https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2001)014<2659:TCCITH>2.0.CO;2</u>,
   2001.
- .005 Willeit, M. & Ganopolski, A.: The importance of snow albedo for ice sheet evolution over the last glacial cycle. Climate of .006 the Past 14, 697-707. https://doi.org:10.5194/cp-14-697-2018, 2018.
- Yamagishi, T., Abe-Ouchi, A., Saito, F., Segawa, T., & Nishimura, T.: Re-evaluation of paleo-accumulation
   parameterization over Northern Hemisphere ice sheets during the ice age examined with a high-resolution AGCM and a
   3-D ice-sheet model. *Annals of Glaciology*, *42*, 433-440. doi:10.3189/172756405781813032, 2005.
- .010 Ziemen, F. A., Rodehacke, C. B., and Mikolajewicz, U.: Coupled ice sheet–climate modeling under glacial and pre-industrial
   .011 boundary conditions, Clim. Past, 10, 1817–1836, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-10-1817-2014, 2014.
- .012 Zhu, J., Otto-Bliesner, B., Brady, E., Poulsen, C.J., Shaw, J.K., Kay, J.E. LGM paleoclimate constraints inform cloud
- .013 parameterizations and equilibrium climate sensitivity in CESM2. *Journal of Advances in Modeling Earth Systems*, 14(4), .014 e2021MS002776. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021MS002776, 2022.
- .015 Zhu, J. and Poulsen, C. J.: Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) climate forcing and ocean dynamical feedback and their
- .016 implications for estimating climate sensitivity, Clim. Past, 17, 253–267, https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-17-253-2021, 2021.
- .017