1 **2** Response to Reviewer #3's comments

This study investigated the effect of ENSO on tropospheric ozone over the period 1850-2014, focusing on the 300, 500, 850 and 1000 hPa. The authors also used the probability for the absence of Granger causality from ENSO to ozone concentrations. The topic is interesting. However, before it can be considered for publication, some aspects need more explanation.

6 2.1 My major concern is that can the current CMIP6 model simulations including the ozone
7 chemistry and it related physical and chemical processes. For example, the first BCC model
8 does not have atmospheric chemistry model (Table S1), how can it predict ozone?

9 **Response:** We thank the reviewer for raising this point. We agree that several models do not have

10 atmospheric chemistry model. However, it might be useful to include these models in the analysis.

11 The comparison between different models may emphasize the importance of the atmospheric

12 chemistry module. For the models without atmospheric chemistry module, the variations of ozone

13 are prescribed and mainly based on observations (Lurton et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2019).

14 We added the following sentences to Section 4 to further clarify this point:

15 "In these models, ozone variations are prescribed using observational data (Lurton et al., 2020;

16 Wu et al., 2019), and it is expected that the response of ozone variation to atmospheric circulation

17 and ENSO is not significant."

- 18 2.2 The No.3-6 are all CESM2 model. Do these model configurations predict tropospheric ozone
 19 with fully atmospheric chemistry?
- 20 **Response:** We thank the reviewer for raising this point.
- 21 We added the following sentences to Section 2.1 to further clarify this point:

22 "In Table 1, the models equipped with an Atmospheric Chemistry module are fully coupled where

23 the chemistry scheme is associated with the physics of the atmospheric model, allowing for

- 24 comprehensive consideration of interactions between climate variations, interactive chemistry, and
- 25 carbon cycle (Emmons et al., 2020; Michou et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2019)."
- 26 "For example, the simulation of tropospheric ozone in CESM2 models is improved in comparison
- 27 to previous model versions (Emmons et al., 2020)."
- 28 2.3 The MAM4 is the name of aerosol module not the atmospheric chemistry.

Response: We thank the reviewer for raising this point. We corrected the model name to
MOZART-T1 (the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers with new tropospheric
chemistry scheme) (Emmons et al., 2020).

Also, are the simulated ozone in these models evaluated? Some models cannot well
 reproduce the global distribution of ozone and some cannot characterize the response of
 ozone to ENSO signal shown in observations.

Response: The performance of CMIP6 models in simulating ozone was assessed in previous
works (Emmons et al., 2020; Griffiths et al., 2021; Turnock et al., 2020; Young et al., 2018). We

agree with the reviewer that the models still have biases in simulating ozone. However, there is

38 improvement in the current models.

39 We described this aspect in the section 2.1 of the original manuscript as below:

40 "There are biases in simulating tropospheric ozone variations in the models (Griffiths et al., 2021;

41 Turnock et al., 2020; Young et al., 2018), however, CMIP model outputs are still helpful to

42 investigate the effects of ENSO on tropospheric ozone (Archibald et al., 2020; Young et al.,

43 2018)."

44 We added the following sentences to Section 2.1 to further explain this point:

45 "For instance, CMIP6 models may underestimate ozone levels in the Southern Hemisphere and

46 overestimate ozone levels in the Northern Hemisphere compared to observational data of recent

47 past (Griffiths et al., 2021; Turnock et al., 2020; Young et al., 2018)."

48 "For example, the simulation of tropospheric ozone in CESM2 models is improved in comparison

49 to previous model versions (Emmons et al., 2020). In addition, CMIP6 models are capable of

50 simulating long-term changes in surface ozone levels and recent increasing trends in tropospheric

51 ozone (Griffiths et al., 2021; Turnock et al., 2020)."

52 2.5 The conclusions about the effect of ENSO on seasonal ozone in the troposphere can be added
53 to the abstract.

54 **Response:** We thank the reviewer for this suggestion. We added the following sentence to the55 abstract.

56 "Springtime surface ozone is more sensitive to ENSO compared to other seasons".

2

57 2.6 Line35-40: It is suggested to provide the details of the uncertainties regarding the causal
 58 effects of ENSO on global tropospheric ozone. Although the authors provided some
 59 references, the information from these references should be strengthened.

60 **Response:** We thank the reviewer for raising this point. We added the following sentences to the

61 Introduction to further clarify this point:

62 "Moreover, a causal analysis (Le et al., 2022; Le and Bae, 2022) that takes into account the 63 confounding impacts of other climate modes on the relationship between ENSO and tropospheric 64 ozone is lacking. While the response of tropospheric ozone to ENSO can be interpreted by changes 65 in ENSO-related atmospheric circulation (Lu et al., 2019; Sekiya and Sudo, 2012; Ziemke and 66 Chandra, 2003), these changes might be influenced by other climate modes (Cai et al., 2019; Le et 67 al., 2020)."

68 2.7 The effect of ENSO on ozone in the lower troposphere is more significant than that in the69 upper and middle troposphere. Please elaborate the reason.

Response: We thank the reviewer for raising this point. We modified the relevant paragraph in
Section 4 to further discuss the different effects of ENSO on ozone at different pressure levels as
below:

73 "The robust response of lower tropospheric ozone to ENSO is associated with ENSO-induced 74 changes in the atmospheric circulation (Oman et al., 2011) and this response is particularly 75 prominent over the tropics (Figures 2c and d). However, this response appears to be weaker over 76 the middle and upper troposphere (Figures 2a and b). The weak impacts of ENSO on the mid-level 77 tropospheric ozone (i.e., 500 hPa level, described in Figures 2b) might be due to the strong 78 exchange between stratospheric ozone and middle to upper tropospheric ozone (Liu et al., 2017; 79 Meul et al., 2018; Neu et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2019). The more pronounced reaction of upper 80 tropospheric ozone to ENSO in comparison to middle tropospheric ozone could be attributed to 81 the influence of ENSO on deep convective transport and the interconnected relationship between 82 ENSO and the North Pacific Oscillation (Cai et al., 2019; Gaudel et al., 2020; Kug et al., 2020)."

83 2.8 Moreover, the models' agreement is weak in reproducing ozone in the lower troposphere
84 and the standard deviation is high in the tropics. In this context, is the conclusion that ENSO
85 affects the lower troposphere in the tropics convincing?

86 The conclusion of ENSO effects on lower tropospheric ozone is convincing. We added the87 following sentences to the Section 4 to discuss this point:

88 "Despite the limited consensus among models in replicating ozone levels in the lower troposphere, 89 and a high standard deviation particularly in tropical regions, (Figures 1 and S1), we observed 90 noteworthy effects of ENSO on lower tropospheric ozone (Figure 2). These results exhibit a degree 91 of independence and are not contradictory. This is because the models' mean of annual ozone is 92 calculated over the entire 1850-2014 period, whereas the assessment of the relationship between 93 the ENSO and annual ozone is conducted on a year-to-year basis. Furthermore, variations in ozone 94 are also influenced by factors beyond ENSO, including other major climate modes, cyclones, and 95 local emissions of ozone precursors such as nitrogen oxides (NO_x), volatile organic compounds, 96 and carbon monoxide (CO). Biases in simulating these factors contribute to the inconsistencies of 97 ozone in the models, although there is consensus in simulating the connection between ENSO and

- 98 ozone."
- 2.9 Line 116 "The significant impacts of ENSO on ozone ... might be associated with the
 transport of ozone from east Asia". If so, the effect of ENSO on ozone over east Asia should
 be found. But it doesn't. Can you add some explanation about it?

102 **Response:** We thank the reviewer for raising this point. We added the following sentences to103 Section 4 to further clarify this point:

104 "These impacts can be explained by the modulation of ENSO on springtime upper tropospheric

105 ozone over east Asia (Figure S5a) and the connection between ENSO and the North Pacific106 Oscillation (Kug et al., 2020)".

107 **References**

- 108 Archibald, A. T., Neu, J. L., Elshorbany, Y. F., Cooper, O. R., Young, P. J., Akiyoshi, H., Cox, R.
- 109 A., Coyle, M., Derwent, R. G., Deushi, M., Finco, A., Frost, G. J., Galbally, I. E., Gerosa, G.,
- 110 Granier, C., Griffiths, P. T., Hossaini, R., Hu, L., Jöckel, P., Josse, B., Lin, M. Y., Mertens, M.,
- 111 Morgenstern, O., Naja, M., Naik, V., Oltmans, S., Plummer, D. A., Revell, L. E., Saiz-Lopez, A.,
- 112 Saxena, P., Shin, Y. M., Shahid, I., Shallcross, D., Tilmes, S., Trickl, T., Wallington, T. J., Wang,
- 113 T., Worden, H. M. and Zeng, G.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report, Elem. Sci. Anthr., 8(1),
- 114 1–53, doi:10.1525/elementa.2020.034, 2020.

- 115 Cai, W., Wu, L., Lengaigne, M., Li, T., McGregor, S., Kug, J.-S., Yu, J.-Y., Stuecker, M. F.,
- 116 Santoso, A., Li, X., Ham, Y.-G., Chikamoto, Y., Ng, B., McPhaden, M. J., Du, Y., Dommenget,
- 117 D., Jia, F., Kajtar, J. B., Keenlyside, N., Lin, X., Luo, J.-J., Martín-Rey, M., Ruprich-Robert, Y.,
- 118 Wang, G., Xie, S.-P., Yang, Y., Kang, S. M., Choi, J.-Y., Gan, B., Kim, G.-I., Kim, C.-E., Kim,
- 119 S., Kim, J.-H. and Chang, P.: Pantropical climate interactions, Science (80-.)., 363(6430),
- 120 eaav4236, doi:10.1126/science.aav4236, 2019.
- 121 Emmons, L. K., Schwantes, R. H., Orlando, J. J., Tyndall, G., Kinnison, D., Lamarque, J. F.,
- 122 Marsh, D., Mills, M. J., Tilmes, S., Bardeen, C., Buchholz, R. R., Conley, A., Gettelman, A.,
- 123 Garcia, R., Simpson, I., Blake, D. R., Meinardi, S. and Pétron, G.: The Chemistry Mechanism in
- 124 the Community Earth System Model Version 2 (CESM2), J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12(4), 1–
- 125 21, doi:10.1029/2019MS001882, 2020.
- 126 Gaudel, A., Cooper, O. R., Chang, K.-L., Bourgeois, I., Ziemke, J. R., Strode, S. A., Oman, L. D.,
- 127 Sellitto, P., Nédélec, P., Blot, R., Thouret, V. and Granier, C.: Aircraft observations since the 1990s
- 128 reveal increases of tropospheric ozone at multiple locations across the Northern Hemisphere, Sci.
- 129 Adv., 6(34), 1–12, doi:10.1126/sciadv.aba8272, 2020.
- 130 Griffiths, P. T., Murray, L. T., Zeng, G., Shin, Y. M., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Deushi,
- 131 M., Emmons, L. K., Galbally, I. E., Hassler, B., Horowitz, L. W., Keeble, J., Liu, J., Moeini, O.,
- 132 Naik, V., O'Connor, F. M., Oshima, N., Tarasick, D., Tilmes, S., Turnock, S. T., Wild, O., Young,
- 133 P. J. and Zanis, P.: Tropospheric ozone in CMIP6 simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 21(5), 4187–
- 134 4218, doi:10.5194/acp-21-4187-2021, 2021.
- Kug, J., Vialard, J., Ham, Y., Yu, J. and Lengaigne, M.: ENSO Remote Forcing, pp. 247–265.,2020.
- 137 Le, T. and Bae, D.: Causal influences of El Niño–Southern Oscillation on global dust activities,
- 138 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 22(8), 5253–5263, doi:10.5194/acp-22-5253-2022, 2022.
- 139 Le, T., Ha, K.-J., Bae, D.-H. and Kim, S.-H.: Causal effects of Indian Ocean Dipole on El Niño-
- 140 Southern Oscillation during 1950–2014 based on high-resolution models and reanalysis data,
- 141 Environ. Res. Lett., 15(10), 1040b6, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/abb96d, 2020.
- 142 Le, T., Kim, S. and Bae, D.: Decreasing causal impacts of El Niño–Southern Oscillation on future
- 143 fire activities, Sci. Total Environ., 826, 154031, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154031, 2022.
- Liu, J., Rodriguez, J. M., Steenrod, S. D., Douglass, A. R., Logan, J. A., Olsen, M. A., Wargan, K.
- 145 and Ziemke, J. R.: Causes of interannual variability over the southern hemispheric tropospheric

- 146 ozone maximum, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17(5), 3279–3299, doi:10.5194/acp-17-3279-2017, 2017.
- 147 Lu, X., Zhang, L. and Shen, L.: Meteorology and Climate Influences on Tropospheric Ozone: a
- 148 Review of Natural Sources, Chemistry, and Transport Patterns, Curr. Pollut. Reports, 5(4), 238–
- 149 260, doi:10.1007/s40726-019-00118-3, 2019.
- 150 Lurton, T., Balkanski, Y., Bastrikov, V., Bekki, S., Bopp, L., Braconnot, P., Brockmann, P.,
- 151 Cadule, P., Contoux, C., Cozic, A., Cugnet, D., Dufresne, J. L., Éthé, C., Foujols, M. A., Ghattas,
- 152 J., Hauglustaine, D., Hu, R. M., Kageyama, M., Khodri, M., Lebas, N., Levavasseur, G.,
- 153 Marchand, M., Ottlé, C., Peylin, P., Sima, A., Szopa, S., Thiéblemont, R., Vuichard, N. and
- 154 Boucher, O.: Implementation of the CMIP6 Forcing Data in the IPSL-CM6A-LR Model, J. Adv.
- 155 Model. Earth Syst., 12(4), 1–22, doi:10.1029/2019MS001940, 2020.
- 156 Meul, S., Langematz, U., Kröger, P., Oberländer-Hayn, S. and Jöckel, P.: Future changes in the
- 157 stratosphere-to-troposphere ozone mass flux and the contribution from climate change and ozone
- 158 recovery, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18(10), 7721–7738, doi:10.5194/acp-18-7721-2018, 2018.
- 159 Michou, M., Nabat, P., Saint-Martin, D., Bock, J., Decharme, B., Mallet, M., Roehrig, R., Séférian,
- 160 R., Sénési, S. and Voldoire, A.: Present-Day and Historical Aerosol and Ozone Characteristics in
- 161 CNRM CMIP6 Simulations, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 12(1), 1–31,
 162 doi:10.1029/2019MS001816, 2020.
- 163 Neu, J. L., Flury, T., Manney, G. L., Santee, M. L., Livesey, N. J. and Worden, J.: Tropospheric
- 164 ozone variations governed by changes in stratospheric circulation, Nat. Geosci., 7(5), 340–344,
 165 doi:10.1038/ngeo2138, 2014.
- 166 Oman, L. D., Ziemke, J. R., Douglass, A. R., Waugh, D. W., Lang, C., Rodriguez, J. M. and
- 167 Nielsen, J. E.: The response of tropical tropospheric ozone to ENSO, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38(13),
- 168 n/a-n/a, doi:10.1029/2011GL047865, 2011.
- 169 Sekiya, T. and Sudo, K.: Role of meteorological variability in global tropospheric ozone during
- 170 1970-2008, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117(17), 1–16, doi:10.1029/2012JD018054, 2012.
- 171 Turnock, S. T., Allen, R. J., Andrews, M., Bauer, S. E., Deushi, M., Emmons, L., Good, P.,
- 172 Horowitz, L., John, J. G., Michou, M., Nabat, P., Naik, V., Neubauer, D., O'Connor, F. M., Olivié,
- 173 D., Oshima, N., Schulz, M., Sellar, A., Shim, S., Takemura, T., Tilmes, S., Tsigaridis, K., Wu, T.
- and Zhang, J.: Historical and future changes in air pollutants from CMIP6 models, Atmos. Chem.
- 175 Phys., 20(23), 14547–14579, doi:10.5194/acp-20-14547-2020, 2020.
- 176 Williams, R. S., Hegglin, M. I., Kerridge, B. J., Jöckel, P., Latter, B. G. and Plummer, D. A.:

- 177 Characterising the seasonal and geographical variability in tropospheric ozone, stratospheric
 178 influence and recent changes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 19(6), 3589–3620, doi:10.5194/acp-19-3589179 2019, 2019.
- 180 Wu, T., Lu, Y., Fang, Y., Xin, X., Li, L., Li, W., Jie, W., Zhang, J., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Zhang, F.,
- 181 Zhang, Y., Wu, F., Li, J., Chu, M., Wang, Z., Shi, X., Liu, X., Wei, M., Huang, A., Zhang, Y. and
- 182 Liu, X.: The Beijing Climate Center Climate System Model (BCC-CSM): The main progress from
- 183 CMIP5 to CMIP6, Geosci. Model Dev., 12(4), 1573–1600, doi:10.5194/gmd-12-1573-2019, 2019.
- 184 Young, P. J., Naik, V., Fiore, A. M., Gaudel, A., Guo, J., Lin, M. Y., Neu, J. L., Parrish, D. D.,
- 185 Rieder, H. E., Schnell, J. L., Tilmes, S., Wild, O., Zhang, L., Ziemke, J., Brandt, J., Delcloo, A.,
- 186 Doherty, R. M., Geels, C., Hegglin, M. I., Hu, L., Im, U., Kumar, R., Luhar, A., Murray, L.,
- 187 Plummer, D., Rodriguez, J., Saiz-Lopez, A., Schultz, M. G., Woodhouse, M. T. and Zeng, G.:
- 188 Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Assessment of global-scale model performance for
- 189 global and regional ozone distributions, variability, and trends, edited by D. Helmig and A. Lewis,
- 190 Elem. Sci. Anthr., 6, doi:10.1525/elementa.265, 2018.
- 191 Ziemke, J. R. and Chandra, S.: La Nina and El Nino Induced variabilities of ozone in the tropical
- 192 lower atmosphere during 1970-2001, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30(3), 30–33,
- 193 doi:10.1029/2002GL016387, 2003.
- 194